# GENERALIZED NAIVER-STOKES EQUATIONS WITH INITIAL DATA IN LOCAL *Q*-TYPE SPACES

PENGTAO LI AND ZHICHUN ZHAI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish a link between Leray mollified solutions of the three-dimensional generalized Naiver-Stokes equations and mild solutions for initial data in the adherence of the test functions for the norm of  $Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,\ loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . This result applies to the usual incompressible Navier-Stokes equations and deduces a known link.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies the relationship between Leray mollified solutions and mild solutions to the generalized Naiver-Stokes equations in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\beta} u + (u \cdot \nabla) u - \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+3}_+; \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+3}_+; \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

for  $\beta \in (1/2, 1]$ , where  $(-\triangle)^{\beta}$  is the fractional Laplacian with respect to x defined by

$$\widehat{(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u(t,\xi) = |\xi|^{2\beta}\widehat{u}(t,\xi)$$

through Fourier transform. Here u and p are non-dimensional quantities corresponding to the velocity of the fluid and its pressure.  $u_0$  is the initial data and for the sake of simplicity, the fluid is supposed to fill the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Equations (1.1) have been studied intensively, see Cannone [1], Giga and Miyakawa[6], Kato [7], Koch and Tataru [8], Xiao [16], Lions [12], Wu [14]-[15], Li and Zhai [13].

When  $\beta = 1$ , equations (1.1) become the usual incompressible Naiver-Stokes equations. In dimensional 3, the global existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solutions for the usual Naiver-Stokes equations are long-standing open problem of fluid dynamics and the regularity problems is of course a millennium prize problem. Generally speaking, there are two specific approaches in the study of the existence of solutions to the three-dimensional incompressible Naiver-Stokes equations. The first one is due to Leray [11] and the second is due to Kato [7]. We refer the readers to Cannone [2] and Lemarié-Rieusset [9] for further information.

For general  $\beta$ , we can also define the mild and mollified solutions separately as follows.

The generalized Naiver-Stokes system is equivalent to the fixed point problem:

(1.2) 
$$u(t,x) = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0(x) - B(u,u)(t,x),$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35Q30; 76D03; 42B35; 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Naiver-Stokes equations;  $Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha, loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ; Lorentz spaces. Project supported in part by Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

where the bilinear form B(u, v) is defined by

$$B(u,v) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)(s,x) ds.$$

Here  $e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}$  denotes the convolution operator generated by the symbol

$$\widehat{[e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}]}(\xi) = e^{-t|\xi|^2}$$

and  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the Leray projector onto the divergence free vector filed.

**Definition 1.1.** A mild solution to the generalized Naiver-Stokes equations (1.1) is a solution to equations (1.2) obtained via a fixed point procedure.

The mollified solutions are constructed in the same way as mild solutions, but with a slightly different model. Indeed, instead of the term  $u \otimes u$  involved in the (GNS) equations, we look for something smoother. Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\omega > 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega(x) dx = 1$ . Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the mollified generalized Navier-Stokes equations are given by:

(1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (-\Delta)^\beta u + ((u * \omega_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla) u - \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+3}_+; \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{1+3}_+; \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases}$$

with  $\omega_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \omega(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}).$ 

Similar to the equations (1.1), equations (1.3) can be rewritten as a fixed point problem:

(1.4) 
$$u_{\varepsilon} = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0 - B_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}),$$

where the bilinear operator  $B_{\varepsilon}$  is defined by

$$B_{\varepsilon}(u,u) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot ((u * \omega_{\varepsilon}) \otimes u)(s) ds.$$

**Definition 1.2.** The mollified solution to equations (1.1) is the sequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  of the solutions to the system (1.4) for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

In [10], for  $\beta = 1$  of equations (1.1), that is, the usual incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, Lemarié-Rieusset and Prioux established a link between these two solutions. They proved that if the initial data  $u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{bmo^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ , then there exists T > 0 such that the mollified solutions  $u_{\varepsilon} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X_{0;T}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  constructed via the theory of Leray converges, when  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0, to the mild solution given by Kato, for  $t \in (0,T)$ .

In [13], inspired by Xiao's paper [16], we considered the well-posedness and regularity of equations (1.1) with initial data in some new critical spaces  $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In that paper, we proved that for initial data  $u_0 \in Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exists a unique mild solution in the space  $X_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta}$ , where the space  $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  occurring above is a class of spaces which own a structure similar to the space  $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in [8] and  $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in [16]. It is easy to see that if  $\alpha = -\frac{n}{2}$  and  $\beta = 1$ ,  $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) =$  $BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and if  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  and  $\beta = 1$ ,  $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Here  $Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the derivative space of  $Q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , see Xiao [16], Dafni and Xiao [3]-[4], Essen, Janson, Peng and Xiao [5]. Therefore our well-posed result generalized the result of Koch and Tataru [8] and that of Xiao [16]. The main goal of this paper is to establish a relation between the mild solutions obtained in [16] and [13] and the mollified solutions for the equations (1.3). In fact, our main results mean that for initial data  $u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,\ loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ , with  $\beta \in (1/2, 1]$ , there exists T > 0 such that the sequence  $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X^{\beta,T}_{\alpha,T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  of solutions to (1.3) converges, when  $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$ , to the mild solution obtained in [16] and [13], for  $t \in (0,T)$ . For the usual incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, when  $\alpha = 0$ , our main result goes back to Lemarié-Rieusset and Prioux [10, Theorem 1.1]. However, it is worth pointing out that their Theorem does not deduce our results even though  $Q^{1,-1}_{\alpha;\ loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is a subspace of  $bmo^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , since  $X^1_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is proper subspace of  $X^1_{0;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  when  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

In the following, we give some definitions and known results. The first one is the space  $Q_{\alpha,loc}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined as follows.

**Definition 1.3.** For  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\max\{1/2, \alpha\} < \beta \le 1$  with  $\alpha + \beta - 1 \ge 0$ ,  $Q_{\alpha, loc}^{\beta, -1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the space of tempered distributions f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that, for all  $T \in (0, \infty)$ ,

$$\sup_{0 < r^{2\beta} < T} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{2\alpha - n + 2\beta - 2} \int_0^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{|x - x_0| < r} |e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} f(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{\alpha/\beta}} < \infty.$$

The norm on  $Q_{\alpha,loc}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\sup_{0 < r^{2\beta} < 1} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} r^{2\alpha - n + 2\beta - 2} \int_0^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{|x - x_0| < r} |e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} f(y)|^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{\alpha/\beta}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

In [13], it was proved that the space  $Q_{\alpha,loc}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consist of the derivatives of functions in  $Q_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  which is composed of all measurable functions with

$$\sup_{I} [l(I)]^{2(\alpha+\beta-1)-n} \int_{I} \int_{I} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2(\alpha-\beta+1)}} dx dy < \infty$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes I with the edge length l(I) and the edges parallel to the coordinate axes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

We now introduce the space  $X_{\alpha,T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\max\{1/2, \alpha\} < \beta \leq 1$  with  $\alpha + \beta - 1 \geq 0$ . (i) A tempered distribution f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to  $Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  provided

$$\|f\|_{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r^{2\beta} \in (0,T)} \left( r^{2\alpha - n + 2\beta - 2} \int_0^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{|y-x| < r} |K_t^{\beta} * f(y)|^2 t^{-\frac{\alpha}{\beta}} dy dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty;$$

(ii) A tempered distribution f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to  $\overline{VQ_{\alpha}^{\beta,-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  provided  $\lim_{T \longrightarrow 0} \|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0;$ 

(iii) A function g on  $\mathbb{R}^{1+n}_+$  belongs to the space  $X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  provided

$$\begin{split} \|g\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &= \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \|g(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &+ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, r^{2\beta} \in (0,T)} \left( r^{2\alpha - n + 2\beta - 2} \int_{0}^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{|y-x| < r} |g(t,y)|^{2} t^{-\alpha/\beta} dy dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty \end{split}$$

In Xiao [16] and Li and Zhai [13], they proved the following well-posedness results about the equations (1.1) for  $\beta = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$ , respectively.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\max\{\alpha, 1/2\} < \beta \le 1$  with  $\alpha + \beta - 1 \ge 0$ . Then

(i) The generalized Navier-Stokes system (1.1) has a unique small global mild solution in  $(X_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$  for all initial data a with  $\nabla \cdot a = 0$  and  $\|a\|_{(Q_{\alpha;\infty}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n}$  being small.

(ii) For any  $T \in (0,\infty)$  there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the generalized Navier-Stokes system (1.1) has a unique small mild solution in  $(X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$  on  $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$  when the initial data a satisfies  $\nabla \cdot a = 0$  and  $\|a\|_{(Q_{\alpha;T}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n} \leq \varepsilon$ . In particular for all

 $a \in (\overline{VQ_{\alpha}^{\beta,-1}}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$  with  $\nabla \cdot a = 0$  there exists a unique small local mild solution in  $(X_{\alpha:T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n))^n$  on  $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Remark 1.6. The core of the proof of the above theorem is the following inequality: for u and  $v \in X_{\alpha:T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

(1.5) 
$$\|B(u,v)\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|u\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|v\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The above inequality will play an important role in this paper.

We recall the definition of the Lorentz space  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for 1 .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $1 . A function <math>f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is in the Lorentz space  $L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, |f(x)| > \lambda\}| \le \frac{C}{\lambda^p}.$$

For  $p = \infty$ , we have  $L^{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In [10], the authors introduced a new class of Lorentz spaces.

**Definition 1.8.** For  $1 , the weak Lorentz space <math>\widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T))$  is the adherence of functions in  $L^{\infty}((0,T))$  for the norm in the Lorentz space  $L^{p,\infty}((0,T))$ , that is,

$$\widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T)) = \overline{L^{\infty}((0,T))}^{L^{p,\infty}((0,T))}$$

Let  $\widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  be the space of all measurable functions f on  $(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $\|f(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T))$ . Then the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 1.9.** ([10, Proposition 2.7 ]) Let T > 0,  $1 and <math>1 < q \le \infty$ . The following properties are equivalent:

(1)  $f \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3));$ 

(2) For all  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C(\lambda)$  such that  $C(\lambda) \longrightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \longrightarrow \infty$  and

$$\left|\left\{\|f(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} > \lambda\right\}\right| < \frac{C(\lambda)}{\lambda^p};$$

(3) For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f_1 \in L^{\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and  $f_2 \in L^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  such that

 $||f_2||_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \varepsilon \quad and \quad f = f_1 + f_2.$ 

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give two technical lemmas: Lemma 2.1-the continuity of the bilinear operator  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  in Lorentz spaces; Lemma 2.1- a local existence of mild solution to equations (1.1) with initial data in Lorentz spaces. In Section 3, we establish main results of this paper. We only provide a proof for three spatial dimensions, but our proof goes through almost verbatim in higher dimensions.

## 2. Technical Lemmas

In this section, we prove two preliminary lemmas. The first one can be regarded as an generalization of [10, Lemma 6.1] for the case  $\beta = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let T > 0 and  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$ ,

$$B(u,v)(t,x) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla(u \otimes v)(s,x) ds.$$

Let  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} \leq p < \infty$  and  $\frac{3}{2\beta-1} < q \leq \infty$  such that  $\beta - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{3}{2q}$ . Then we have (1) for  $p \neq \frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1}$  (and so  $q \neq \infty$ ),

$$B: \quad L^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \times L^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$
with

$$\begin{split} \|B(u,v)\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}; \\ (2) \quad B: L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \times L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \longrightarrow L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \\ with \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \|B(u,v)\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} &\leq C \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \|v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))}; \\ (3) \quad B : L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \times L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \longrightarrow L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \\ with \end{split}$$

$$||B(u,v)||_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \leq CT^{1/p} ||u||_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} ||v||_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))};$$

$$(4) \quad B: L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \times L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))$$
with

 $||B(u,v)||_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq CT^{1/p} ||u||_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} ||v||_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}.$ *Proof.* The proof of this lemma bases on the following inequality:

$$(2.1) \quad \|B(u,v)(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}(-1-\frac{3}{q})} \|u(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds.$$

In fact, since

$$B(u,v)(t,x) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla(u \otimes v)(s,x) ds,$$

we have

$$\|B(u,v)(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla(u \otimes v)(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds.$$

Since  $e^{-u(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P} \nabla$  is a convolution operator, the Young inequality tells us for  $1 + \frac{1}{q} =$  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{1}{r}$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|B(u,v)(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \|u \otimes v(s)\|_{L^{q/2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} ds \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \|u(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} ds. \end{split}$$

For n = 3, the derivation of the generalized Oseen kernel satisfies

$$\left| e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla(x,y) \right| \le \frac{1}{((t-s)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} + |x-y|)^4}$$

(See [13, Lemma 4.10]). Then we can get

$$\begin{split} |e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &\leq \|e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla\|_{L^{r,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{1}{((t-s)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} + |x-y|)^{4r}} dy\right)^{1/r} \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} (t-s)^{-\frac{4r}{2\beta}} \frac{(|x-y|/t^{\frac{1}{2\beta}})^{2}}{\left(1+t^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}}|x-y|\right)^{4r}} t^{\frac{3}{2\beta}} d\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}}|x-y|\right)\right)^{1/r} \\ &= (t-s)^{\frac{3}{2\beta r} - \frac{4}{2\beta}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{(1+\lambda)^{4r}} d\lambda\right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}(\frac{3}{r}-4)}. \end{split}$$

For  $1 = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|B(u,v)(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}(\frac{3}{r}-4)} \|u(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds \\ &= \int_0^t (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}(-1-\frac{3}{q})} \|u(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of (2.1). Now we prove (1) - (4) by using (2.1). (1). Since  $\beta - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{3}{2q}$ , we know  $1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r_1}$  with  $\frac{1}{r_1} = \frac{1}{2\beta} + \frac{3}{2\beta q}$ . By Young's inequality, we get

 $\|B(u,v)\|_{L^{p}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \leq \|s^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}-\frac{3}{2\beta q}}\|_{L^{r_{1},\infty}}\|u\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))}\|v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))}.$ Now we compute the norm  $\|s^{-\frac{1}{r_1}}\|_{L^{r_1,\infty}(0,T)}$ , where

$$||f||_{L^{r_1,\infty}(0,T)} = \sup_{\lambda} \lambda |\{t \in (0,T), |f(t)| > \lambda\}|^{1/p}.$$

If  $s \in (0,T)$  and  $T < \frac{1}{\lambda^{r_1}}$ , then

$$\lambda \left| \left\{ t \in (0,T), s^{-\frac{1}{r_1}} > \lambda \right\} \right|^{1/r_1} \le \lambda T^{1/r_1} \le 1.$$

If  $T > \frac{1}{\lambda^{r_1}}$ , then  $T^{-\frac{1}{r_1}} < \lambda$ . For  $s^{-\frac{1}{r_1}} > \lambda$ , we can find a  $s_0$  such that  $s_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda^{r_1}}$ . When  $0 < s < s_0$ ,  $s^{-\frac{1}{r_1}} > s_0^{-\frac{1}{r_1}} = \lambda$ , then we have

$$\lambda \left| \left\{ s \in (0,T) : s^{-\frac{1}{r_1}} > \lambda \right\} \right|^{1/r_1} \le \lambda s_0^{1/r_1} = 1.$$

Therefore we get  $s^{-\frac{1}{r_1}} \in L^{r_1,\infty}((0,T))$  and  $\|s^{-\frac{1}{r_1}}\|_{L^{r_1,\infty}(o,T)} \le 1$ . (2). It follows from (2.1) that

$$\|B(u,v)(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2\beta}-\frac{3}{2\beta q}} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds$$

Then we obtain

$$\|B(u,v)(t)\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left| \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2\beta} - \frac{3}{2\beta q}} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} ds \right|.$$

By Hölder's inequality with  $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2\beta} + \frac{3}{2\beta q}$ , we have

$$||B(u,v)(t)||_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \leq C||u||_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))}||v||_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))}.$$

(3). By (2.1), we get

$$\begin{split} \|B(u,v)\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} &\leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \|v\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-(\frac{1}{2\beta}+\frac{3}{2\beta q})} ds \\ &\leq CT^{1/p} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \|v\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))}. \end{split}$$

(4). (2.1) and Young's inequality with  $1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} + (\frac{1}{2\beta} + \frac{3}{2\beta q})$  imply that

$$\begin{split} \|B(u,v)(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\leq \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{1}{2\beta}+\frac{3}{2\beta q})} \|u(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds \\ &\leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{1}{2\beta}+\frac{3}{2\beta q})} \|v(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} ds\right). \end{split}$$

Since  $||f * g||_{p,\infty} \le ||f||_{p,\infty} ||g||_1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|B(u,v)\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} &\leq \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \sup_{0 < t < T} \int_0^t s^{-1+\frac{1}{p}} ds \\ &\leq CT^{1/p} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \|v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

We need the following local existence of solution to equations (1.1) with initial data in Lorentz spaces.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \leq 1$ ,  $\frac{3}{2\beta-1} < q \leq \infty$ ,  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} and <math>u_0 \in L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . For T > 0 such that  $4T^{1/p} ||u_0||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < 1$ , there exists a mild solution  $u \in L^{\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  to equations (1.1), which is unique in the ball centered at 0, of radius  $2||u_0||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ .

*Proof.* We construct  $\{e_n\}$  as follows:

(2.2) 
$$\begin{cases} e_{n+1} = e_0 - B(e_n, e_n), \\ e_0 = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0. \end{cases}$$

 $\overline{7}$ 

<u>.</u>+

We claim that  $||e_n||_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq 2||u_0||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . For n = 0, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_0\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} &= \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &= \sup_{t\in(0,T)} \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2\beta}}} \frac{1}{(1+\frac{|x-y|}{t^{1/2\beta}})^3} dy\right) \|u_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq 2\|u_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the estimate is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For n + 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|e_{n+1}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} &\leq \|e_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} + \|B(e_{n},e_{n})\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} \\ &\leq \|e_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))} + T^{1/p}\|e_{n}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}))}^{2} \\ &\leq \|u_{0}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + 4T^{1/p}\|u_{0}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} \\ &\leq 2\|u_{0}\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}. \end{aligned}$$

This tells us

$$\begin{aligned} &\|e_{n+1} - e_n\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &= \|B(e_n, e_n) - B(e_{n-1}, e_{n-1})\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq T^{1/p}\|e_n - e_{n-1}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \left(|e_n\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|e_{n-1}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}\right) \\ &\leq 4T^{1/p}\|u_0\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}\|e_n - e_{n-1}\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $4T^{1/p} ||u_0||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < 1$ , the Picard contraction principle guarantees this lemma.

## 3. Main Results

In this section, we state and prove our main results. First, we need the following proposition which generalizes the case  $\beta = 1$  established by Lemarié-Rieusset and Prioux [10].

**Proposition 3.1.** Let T > 0,  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$  and u, v be two mild solutions to the equations (1.1) belonging to the space  $\tilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  with  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} and <math>\frac{3}{2\beta-1} < q < \infty$  such that  $\beta - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{3}{2q}$ . Assume that there exists  $\theta \in (0,T)$  such that  $u(\theta) = v(\theta)$ . Then u and v are equal for  $t \in (\theta, T]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t_0 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . We can split u and v into:

$$u = u_{\lambda} + u'_{\lambda}$$
 and  $v = v_{\lambda} + v'_{\lambda}$ 

where  $u_{\lambda} = u\chi_{\{t: ||u(t)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} > \lambda\}}$  and  $v_{\lambda} = v\chi_{\{t: ||v(t)||_{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} > \lambda\}}$ . By construction and the definition of the Lorentz spaces (see Proposition 1.9) we have

$$\|u_{\lambda}'\|_{L^{\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \lambda, \qquad \|u_{\lambda}\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(\lambda)$$

9

and the same estimates hold true for  $v'_{\lambda}$  and  $v_{\lambda}$ . Then, we compute by Lemma 2.1(1),

- $\|u-v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$
- $\leq ||B(u,u) B(v,v)||_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$
- $\leq C_0 \|B(u-v,u)\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + C_0 \|B(v,u-v)\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$
- $\leq C \|u v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \left( \|u\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \right).$

Since  $u = u_{\lambda} + u'_{\lambda}$  with  $||u_{\lambda}||_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(\lambda)$  and  $||u'_{\lambda}||_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \lambda$ , we get  $||u||_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq C(\lambda) + \lambda t_0^{1/p}$ . The same estimate holds for v. Hence we can obtain

$$\|u - v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C_0 \left( 2C(\lambda) + 2\lambda t_0^{1/p} \right) \|u - v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}.$$

We choose  $\lambda > 0$  large enough to guarantee  $2C_0C(\lambda) < 1/4$  and choose  $t_0 > 0$  small enough such that  $C_0t_0^{1/p} < 1/4$ . Thus there exists  $\delta < 1$  satisfies

$$\|u - v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le \delta \|u - v\|_{L^{p,\infty}((\theta,\theta+t_0),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$$

So u = v for  $t \in (\theta, \theta + t_0)$ . For T, there exists n such that  $T < \theta + nt_0$ . Thus u = v for  $t \in (\theta, T]$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** ([10, Proposition 2.9]) Let T > 0 and  $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ . If u satisfies

(3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{1/p} \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty, \\ t^{1/p} \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0, (as \ t \to 0) \end{cases}$$

then the function u belongs to the space  $\widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .

To establish the equivalence between the mild and mollified solution to the (GNS) equations, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $v \in \mathcal{D}((0,T] \times \overline{B}(0,R))$  and R > 0 such that supp  $v \subset (0,T] \times \overline{B}(0,R)$  where supp denotes the supports of the function v and  $\overline{B}(0,R)$  the closed ball of radius R centered at 0. Then, for  $t \in (0,T]$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  such that  $|y| \ge \lambda R$  for  $\lambda > 1$ , we have for some constant C > 0,

$$|B(u, u)(t, y)| \le \frac{C}{(\lambda R)^4} ||u||_{L^2((0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $|y| \ge \lambda R > \lambda |z|, |y - z| \ge (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda})|y|$ . Then, we can get

$$\begin{split} |B(u,u)(t,y)| &= \left| \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\Delta)^{\beta}} \mathbb{P}\nabla(u \otimes u) ds \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{((t-s)^{\frac{1}{2\beta}} + |z-y|)^4} |v(s,z)|^2 ds dz \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|z-y|^4} |v(s,z)|^2 ds dz \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \int_{B(0,R)} \frac{1}{|z-y|^4} |v(s,z)|^2 ds dz \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{|y|^4} \int_0^t \int_{B(0,R)} |v(s,z)|^2 ds dz \\ &= C \frac{1}{|y|^4} ||v||^2_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda R|^4} ||v||^2_{L^2((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{split}$$

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right\} < \beta \leq 1$  with  $\alpha + \beta - 1 \geq 0$  and let  $u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  such that  $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$  and T > 0 small enough to ensure  $\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{1}{4C}$ . Then there exists a mild solution  $u \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  to equations (1.1).

*Proof.* We construct  $\{v_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  by

(3.2) 
$$\begin{cases} v_n = v_0 - B(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}), \text{ for } n \ge 1, \\ v_0 = e^{-t(-\Delta)^\beta} u_0. \end{cases}$$

For n = 0. By assumption, if  $u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ , there exists a sequence  $u_0^m \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $\|u_0 - u_0^m\|_{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0$  as  $m \to \infty$ . From the definition of  $Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , if  $f \in Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,

$$\sup_{0 < t^{2\beta} < T} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} t^{2\alpha - 3 + 2\beta - 2} \int_0^{t^{2\beta}} \int_{|x - x_0| < t} |e^{-s(-\Delta)^{\beta}} f(x)|^2 \frac{dsdx}{s^{\alpha/\beta}} < \infty.$$

Hence, as  $m \to \infty$ ,

$$\sup_{0 < t^{2\beta} < T} \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3} t^{2\alpha - 3 + 2\beta - 2} \int_0^{t^{2\beta}} \int_{|x - x_0| < t} |e^{-s(-\Delta)^{\beta}} (u_0 - u_0^m)(x)|^2 \frac{dsdx}{s^{\alpha/\beta}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

From the embedding:  $Q_{\alpha;T}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{1-2\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  (see [13, Theorem 4.6]), we obtain

$$t^{\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} f \|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \le C \| f \|_{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}$$

By the definition of  $X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we get  $\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}f\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\|f\|_{Q_{\alpha;T}^{\beta,-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . Then we have

$$\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}(u_{0}-u_{0}^{m})\|_{X^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \leq C\|u_{0}-u_{0}^{m}\|_{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}$$

and  $\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}(u_0-u_0^m)\|_{X^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0$  as  $m \to 0$ . So  $e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0 = \lim_{m \to \infty} e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0^m$ in  $X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . It follows from  $e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0^m \in \mathcal{D}((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3)$  that

$$v_0 = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

Let us assume  $v_{n-1} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)^{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . For  $v_n$ , since  $v_n = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0 - e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0$  $B(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}),$ 

$$u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \Longrightarrow v_0 = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0,T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

We only need to prove  $B(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . By induction, there exists a sequence  $v_{n-1}^m \in \mathcal{D}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

 $\|v_{n-1} - v_{n-1}^m\|_{X^{\beta}_{\infty,T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0$ , as  $m \to \infty$ .

Since  $v_{n-1}^m$  is compact supported in time and space, we have  $B(v_{n-1}^m, v_{n-1}^m) \in$  $C^\infty((0,T]\times \mathbb{R}^3)$  and is of compact support in time. Let  $\{\varphi_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|\varphi_m\|_{\infty} = 1$ . Assume  $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_m \subset B(0,\lambda_m R_m+1)$  and  $\varphi_m(x) = 1$  if  $x \in B(0,\lambda_m R_m)$  where  $R_m > 0$ is such that supp  $v_{n-1}^m \subset (0,T] \times B(0,R_m)$  and  $\lambda_m > m \|v_{n-1}^m\|_{L^2((0,T)\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2}$ . We denote  $B^{m}(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = \varphi_{m} \times B(v_{n-1}^{m}, v_{n-1}^{m})$  and get

$$\begin{split} &\|B(v_{n-1},v_{n-1}) - B^{m}(v_{n-1}^{m},v_{n-1}^{m})\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq \|B(v_{n-1},v_{n-1}) - B(v_{n-1}^{m},v_{n-1}^{m})\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|(1-\varphi_{m})B(v_{n-1}^{m},v_{n-1}^{m})\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq C\|v_{n-1} - v_{n-1}^{m}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}} \left[ \|v_{n-1}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|v_{n-1}^{m}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \right] \\ &+ \|(1-\varphi_{m})B(v_{n-1}^{m},v_{n-1}^{m})\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\varphi_m$  is supported on  $\overline{B}(0, \lambda_m R_m + 1)$  and  $\varphi_m = 1$  on  $B(0, \lambda_m R_m), (1 - \varphi_m(y))$ is supported on  $\overline{B}^c(0, \lambda_m R_m) = \{y : |y| > \lambda_m R_m\}$ . Then, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\|(1-\varphi_m)B(v_{n-1}^m,v_{n-1}^m)\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\leq \sup_{t\in(0,T)} t^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \|(1-\varphi_m)B(v_{n-1}^m,v_{n-1}^m)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\quad + \sup_{t^{2\beta}\in(0,T)} \sup_{x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3} \left(t^{2\alpha-3+2\beta+2\beta-2} \int_0^{t^{2\beta}} \int_{|y-x_0|< t} |(1-\varphi_m)B(v_{n-1}^m,v_{n-1}^m)(s,y)|^2 \frac{dsdy}{s^{\alpha/\beta}}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sup_{t\in(0,T)} t^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_m R_m)^4} \|v_{n-1}^m\|_{L^2((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\quad + \sup_{t^{2\beta}\in(0,T)} \sup_{x_0\in\mathbb{R}^3} \|v_{n-1}^m\|_{L^2((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \frac{1}{(\lambda_m R_m)^4} \left(t^{2\alpha-3+2\beta+2\beta-2} \int_0^{t^{2\beta}} \int_{|y-x_0|< t} \frac{dsdy}{s^{\alpha/\beta}}\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq CT^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_m R_m)^4} \|v_{n-1}^m\|_{L^2((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \frac{\|v_{n-1}^m\|_{L^2((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2}{(\lambda_m R_m)^4} \sup_{t^{2\beta}\in(0,T)} (t^{2\alpha-3+2\beta-2}t^{3+2\beta(1-\alpha/\beta)})^{1/2} \\ &\leq CT^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_m R_m)^4} \|v_{n-1}^m\|_{L^2((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\ &\leq CT^{1-\frac{1}{2\beta}} \frac{1}{(\lambda_m R_m)^4} \|v_{n-1}^m\|_{L^2((0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \end{split}$$

Thus,  $\|B(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) - B^m(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1})\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0$  as  $m \to 0$ , that is,  $v_n \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ .

Next we prove that  $v_n$  have a limit in  $X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . We prove  $\|v_n\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq 2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . It follows from  $v_0 = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0$  that

$$\|v_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le 2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

We assume that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|v_n\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha:T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq 2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha:T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n+1}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &\leq \|v_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|B(v_{n},v_{n})\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + C\|v_{n}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} \\ &\leq \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + 4C\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{1}{4C}$  that  $\|v_{n+1}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le 2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_{n} - v_{n-1}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &\leq \|B(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) - B(v_{n-2}, v_{n-2})\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq C\|v_{n-1} - v_{n-2}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}(\|v_{n-1}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + \|v_{n-2}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}) \\ &\leq 4C\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_{0}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|v_{n-1} - v_{n-2}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq (4C\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_{0}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})})^{n}\|v_{1} - v_{0}\|_{X_{\alpha;T}^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $4C \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < 1$ , the Picard contraction principle implies the desired.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right\} < \beta \leq 1$  with  $\alpha + \beta - 1 \geq 0$  and let  $u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha,loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  such that  $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$  and T > 0 is small enough to ensure  $\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{1}{4C}$ . Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a solution  $u_{\varepsilon} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}((0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{X^{\beta}_{\alpha,T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  to the mollified generalized Navier-Stokes equations (1.3).

*Proof.* We only need to prove  $||f * \omega_{\varepsilon}||_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq ||f||_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . In fact, we have  $||\omega_{\varepsilon} * f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq ||\omega_{\varepsilon}||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} ||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  and

$$\begin{split} & \left(r^{2\alpha-3+2\beta-2} \int_{0}^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{|x-x_{0}| < r} |f \ast \omega_{\varepsilon}(t,x)|^{2} \frac{dtdx}{t^{\alpha/\beta}}\right)^{1/2} \\ \leq & \left(r^{2\alpha-3+2\beta-2} \int_{0}^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \chi_{B(x_{0},r)} f(t,x-y) \omega_{\varepsilon}(y) dy \right|^{2} \frac{dtdx}{t^{\alpha/\beta}} \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(y)| \left(r^{2\alpha-3+2\beta-2} \int_{0}^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |f(t,x-y)|^{2} \chi_{B(x_{0},r)} \frac{dtdx}{t^{\alpha/\beta}} \right)^{1/2} dy \\ \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(y)| \left(r^{2\alpha-3+2\beta-2} \int_{0}^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{|x_{1}-(x_{0}-y)| < r} |f(t,x_{1})|^{2} \frac{dtdx_{1}}{t^{\alpha/\beta}} \right)^{1/2} dy \\ \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(y)| dy \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} \sup_{r^{2\beta} \in (0,T]} \left(r^{2\alpha-3+2\beta-2} \int_{0}^{r^{2\beta}} \int_{|x_{1}-z| < r} |f(t,x_{1})|^{2} \frac{dtdx_{1}}{t^{\alpha/\beta}} \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq & \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \|f\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}. \end{split}$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.4, we can complete the proof.

**Theorem 3.6.** For  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \alpha\right\} < \beta \leq 1$  with  $\alpha + \beta - 1 \geq 0$ , let  $u_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{Q^{\beta,-1}_{\alpha;loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  and T > 0 be given in Theorem 3.4. Then the sequence of solutions  $\{u_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$  to the mollified equations (1.3) obtained by Theorem 3.5 converges strongly, as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0, to the mild solution u to equations (1.1) obtained by Picard contraction principle, of Theorem 3.4.

*Proof.* For the bilinear form B(u, v), we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} u - u_{\varepsilon} &=& B(u, u) - B_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}) \\ &=& B(u, u) - B(u_{\varepsilon} \ast \omega_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}) \\ &=& B(u, u - u_{\varepsilon}) + B(u - (u \ast \omega_{\varepsilon}), u_{\varepsilon}) + B((u - u_{\varepsilon}) \ast \omega_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}) \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq C\|u\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + C\|u - (u * \omega_{\varepsilon})\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &+ C\|(u - u_{\varepsilon}) * \omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\coloneqq A_{1} + A_{2} + A_{3} \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_3 \leq C \|\omega_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq 2C\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + 2C\|u-(u*\omega_{\varepsilon})\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \\ &\leq 4C\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} + 2C\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}\|u-(u*\omega_{\varepsilon})\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}. \end{aligned}$$

This tells us

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_{\varepsilon}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} &\leq \frac{2C \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}}{1 - 4C \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_{0}\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}} \|u - (u * \omega_{\varepsilon})\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}. \end{aligned}$$
  
Since  $\omega_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{3}), \, \omega_{\varepsilon} * u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times (0,T)). \text{ Thus, for } u \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times (0,T))}^{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}, \\ \|u - (u * \omega_{\varepsilon})\|_{X^{\beta}_{\alpha;T}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \end{aligned}$ 

Now we recall a class of weak Besov spaces which can be found in [10].

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ . We denote by  $\widetilde{B}_q^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  the adherence of functions in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for the norm of  $B_q^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and by  $\widetilde{B}_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for functions in  $L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  for the norm of  $B_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) = B_{L^q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , that is,

$$\widetilde{B}_{q}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) = \overline{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{B_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{B}_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) = \overline{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}^{B_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{3})}.$$

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$  and let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $1 < q < \infty$ . If  $u \in \widetilde{B}_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , then

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{\alpha/2\beta} \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty \\ t^{\alpha/2\beta} \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0, (as \ t \to 0). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $u \in \widetilde{B}_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , we have  $u \in B_{q,\infty}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\alpha/2\beta} \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty$$

and there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of functions in  $L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that

$$\|(u_n - u)(t)\|_{B^{-\alpha,\infty}_{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \to 0.$$

So there exists N > 0 such that for n > N,

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{\alpha/2\beta} \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} (u_n - u)(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then for all t > 0 we have, by Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\alpha/2\beta} \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + t^{\alpha/2\beta} \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_{N+1}(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + Ct^{\alpha/2\beta} \| u_{N+1}(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \end{aligned}$$
Let  $t_0 = \varepsilon^{2\beta/\alpha} (2C \| u_{N+1}(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)})^{-(2\beta/\alpha)}$ , we see that for  $t < t_0$ ,  
 $t^{\alpha/2\beta} \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$ 

The following result gives us a condition for initial data under which the solution to equations (1.1) for  $\beta \in (1/2, 1)$  belongs to the weak Lorentz spaces. Similar results hold for  $\beta = 1$ , see Lemarié-Rieusset and Prioux [10].

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$  and let  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} and <math>\frac{3}{2\beta-1} < q \le \infty$  such that  $\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{3}{2q} = \beta - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $u_0 \in \widetilde{B}_{L^{q,\infty}}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $\nabla \cdot u_0$ . Then there exists T > 0 and a mild solution u to equations (1.1) in the space  $\widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .

*Proof.* We construct the sequence  $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  as follows:

(3.4) 
$$\begin{cases} v_n = v_0 - B(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) & \text{for } n \ge 1, \\ v_0 = e^{-t(-\Delta)^\beta} u_0. \end{cases}$$

We prove that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $v_n$  belongs to the space  $\widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . Then we will use an induction argument on n.

For n = 0, by assumption,  $u_0 \in \widetilde{B}_{L^{q,\infty}}^{-\alpha,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . By Lemma 3.8, (3.5)

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{1/p} \|v_0(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p} \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty, \\ t^{1/p} \|v_0(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = t^{1/p} \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (\text{as } t \to 0). \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we have  $v_0 \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .

Next we assume that  $v_{n-1} \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , as  $v_{n-1} \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ , there exist two functions  $v_{n-1}^1 \in L^{\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and  $v_{n-1}^2 \in L^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  such that  $\|v_{n-1}^2\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \varepsilon$  and  $v_{n-1} = v_{n-1}^1 + v_{n-1}^2$ . We have

$$B(v_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) = B(v_{n-1}^1 + v_{n-1}^2, v_{n-1}^1 + v_{n-1}^2)$$
  
=  $B(v_{n-1}^1, v_{n-1}^1) + B(v_{n-1}^2, v_{n-1}^1) + B(v_{n-1}^1, v_{n-1}^2) + B(v_{n-1}^2, v_{n-1}^2)$   
:=  $M_1 + M_2$ 

with

$$M_1 = B(v_{n-1}^1, v_{n-1}^1) + B(v_{n-1}^2, v_{n-1}^1) + B(v_{n-1}^1, v_{n-1}^2) \text{ and } M_2 = B(v_{n-1}^2, v_{n-1}^2).$$
  
By Lemma 2.1, we get

By Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{split} &\|M_1\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq &\|B(v_{n-1}^1,v_{n-1}^1)\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|B(v_{n-1}^1,v_{n-1}^2)\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &+ \|B(v_{n-1}^2,v_{n-1}^1)\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ &\leq & C\|v_{n-1}^1\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^2 + 2\|v_{n-1}^1\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^2\|v_{n-1}^2\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^2 \\ &\leq & C. \end{split}$$

and  $||M_2||_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \lesssim ||v_{n-1}^2||_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2$ . Thus, according to Proposition 1.9, we have  $B(v_{n-1},v_{n-1}) \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ .

We will prove that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|v_n\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le 2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$$

Since  $v_0 = e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0$ , it is obvious that

$$\|v_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le 2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}.$$

Assume that this is true for a  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, we have

$$\|v_{n+1}\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|B(v_n,v_n)\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ \leq \|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + 4C\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^2$$

Taking  $4C \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0 \|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} < 1$ , we get

$$\|v_{n+1}\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le 2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))},$$

that is,  $\|v_{n+1}\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$  in the ball centered at 0, of radius  $2\|e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}}u_0\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ . Then,

 $||v_n - v_{n-1}||_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$ 

- $\leq \|B(v_{n-1}-v_{n-2},v_{n-1})\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|B(v_{n-2},v_{n-1}-v_{n-2})\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}$
- $\leq C \|v_{n-1} v_{n-2}\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \left( \|v_{n-1}\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} + \|v_{n-2}\|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \right)$
- $\leq 4C \| e^{-t(-\Delta)^{\beta}} u_0 \|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \| v_{n-1} v_{n-2} \|_{L^{p,\infty}((0,T),L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))}.$

Thus, the Picard contraction principle completes the proof.

Now, we want to give the reverse result of Theorem 3.9. To do this, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$  and let  $\frac{3}{2\beta-1} < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} such that <math>\frac{2\beta}{(2\beta-1)p} + \frac{3}{(2\beta-1)q} = 1$  and  $u \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  be a mild solution to equations (1.1). Then, for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , there exists  $0 < t_0 < T$  such that  $\forall t \in (0, t_0]$ ,  $\|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2C_0 t^{1/p}}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from  $u \in \tilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  that for all  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C(\lambda)$ , depending on  $\lambda$ , such that  $C(\lambda) \to 0$  (as  $\lambda \to \infty$ ) and

$$\left|\left\{\|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} > \lambda\right\}\right| < \frac{C(\lambda)}{\lambda^p}.$$

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $0 < t_0 < 1$ . Denote  $\lambda_{t_0} = \frac{\varepsilon}{4C_0 t_0^{1/p}}$ . When  $t_0 \to 0$  and  $C(\lambda_{t_0}) \to 0$ , we choose  $t_0$  small enough such that  $C(\lambda_{t_0}) < \frac{\varepsilon^p}{2 \times 4^{2p} C_0^p}$ . Let  $t \leq t_0$  such that  $\lambda_t = \frac{\varepsilon}{4C_0 t^{1/p}} \ge \lambda_{t_0}$ , then  $C(\lambda_t) \le C(\lambda_{t_0})$ . We can get

(3.6) 
$$\left| \left\{ t \in (0,T), \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} > \lambda_t \right\} \right| < \frac{C(\lambda_t)}{\lambda_t^p} < \frac{t}{2 \times 4^p}.$$

We claim that there exists  $\theta$  such that

$$t - \frac{t}{4^p} \le \theta \le t$$
 and  $||u(\theta)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4C_0 t^{1/p}} = \lambda_t.$ 

Otherwise

$$\left|\left\{t \in (0,T), \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} > \lambda_t\right\}\right| \ge \left|[t - \frac{t}{4^p}, t]\right| = \frac{t}{4^p}$$

This is a contraction to (3.6). Let  $T^* = (4C_0 \| u(\theta) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)})^{-p}$ . Taking  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we have

(3.7) 
$$||u(\theta)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{1}{4C_0 t^{1/p}} \Longrightarrow t \le (4C_0 ||u(\theta)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)})^{-p}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 in the interval  $[\theta, \theta+T^*]$ , there exists a solution  $\tilde{u} \in L^{\infty}((\theta, \theta+T^*), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  to the equations (1.1). Note that (3.7) implies that

$$(\theta, t] \subset (\theta, \theta + t) \subset (\theta, \theta + T^*).$$

By Proposition 3.1, we know  $u = \tilde{u}$  on  $(\theta, t]$ . So for  $t \leq t_0$ , there exists  $0 < \theta < t$  such that  $u \in L^{\infty}((\theta, t], L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and

$$\forall s \in (\theta, t], \|u(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le 2\|u(\theta)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2C_0 t^{1/p}}.$$

This completes the proof of this lemma.

**Theorem 3.11.** For  $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$  and let  $\frac{3}{2\beta-1} < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{2\beta}{2\beta-1} such that <math>\frac{2\beta}{(2\beta-1)p} + \frac{3}{(2\beta-1)q} = 1$  and  $u \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((0,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  be a mild solution to equations (1.1). Then

(3.8) 
$$\begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0,T)} t^{1/p} \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty, \\ t^{1/p} \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (as \ t \to 0) \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.10, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $t_0$  such that, for all  $t \in (0, t_0)$ ,

$$t^{1/p} \| u(t) \|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2C_0},$$

that is,  $\lim_{t\to 0} t^{1/p} || u(t) ||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0.$ 

Now we prove the first assertion of (3.8). Checking the proof of Lemma 3.10 and taking  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ , we can see that there exist  $t_0$  such that for every  $t \leq t_0$  and  $0 < \theta < t$  such that  $u \in L^{\infty}((\theta, t], L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and

(3.9) 
$$\forall s \in (\theta, t], \quad \|u(s)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le 2\|u(\theta)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{1}{4C_0 t^{1/p}}.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3.10 and  $\lim_{t\to 0} t^{1/p} ||u(t)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 0$  tell us that there exists  $t_1$  such that for  $s \in (0, t_1)$ ,  $t^{1/p} ||u(t)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C$ . If  $t_0 > t_1$ , take  $t_2 < t_1 < t_0$  (otherwise take  $t_2 = t_0$ ). By (3.9), there exists  $\theta_2$  such that for every  $s \in (\theta_2, t_2]$ ,  $||u(s)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{4C_0t_2^{1/p}}$ . Because  $t^{1/p} ||u(t)||_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}$  is bounded on  $(0, \theta_2] \subset (0, t_1)$ , now we restrict  $t \in (\theta_2, T]$ . Define a new function  $\tilde{u}(s) = u(t_2 - \theta_2 + s)$ . Then we only need to prove the assertion for  $\tilde{u}(s)$  on  $s \in (\theta_2, T + t_2 - \theta_2]$ .

Since u is a solution to equations (1.1),

$$u \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((t_2,T), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \Longrightarrow \widetilde{u} \in \widetilde{L}^{p,\infty}((\theta_2, T - t_0 + \theta_2), L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

implies that  $\tilde{u}$  is also a solution to the equations (1.1). By Lemma 3.10 for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  again, we can get that for  $\forall t \in (\theta_2, t_2)$ ,  $\|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{4C_0 t_2^{1/p}}$ . That is,  $\forall t \in (t_2, 2t_2 - \theta_2)$ ,  $\|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{1}{4C_0 t_2^{1/p}}$ . We conclude that

$$\forall t \in (\theta_2, 2t_2 - \theta_2), \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{1}{4C_0 t_2^{1/p}}.$$

Since T is finite, we can find a constant  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nt_2 < T < (n+1)t_2$ . Hence repeating this argument finite many times, we get

$$\forall t \in (\theta_2, T], \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \frac{1}{4C_0 t_2^{1/p}} < \frac{1}{4C_0 t_2^{1/p}} \frac{T^{1/p}}{t^{1/p}}.$$

This completes the proof of this theorem.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank our supervisor Professor Jie Xiao for suggesting the problem and kind encouragement.

17

#### PENGTAO LI AND ZHICHUN ZHAI

#### References

- M. Cannone, A generalization of a theorem by Kato on Navier-Stokes equations, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 13 (1997), 673-697.
- [2] M. Cannone, Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, In: Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics Vol 3(eds. S. Friedlander, D. Serre), Elsevier, 2004, pp. 161-244.
- [3] G. Dafni and J. Xiao, Some new tent spaces and duality theorem for fractional Carleson measures and  $Q_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , J. Funct. Anal., **208** (2004), 377-422.
- [4] G. Dafni and J. Xiao, The dyadic structure and atomic decomposition of Q spaces in several varialbes, Tohoku Math. J., 57 (2005), 119-145.
- [5] M. Essen, S. Janson, L. Peng and J. Xiao, Q space of several real variables, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 49 (2000), 575-615.
- [6] Y. Giga, T. Miyakawa, Navier-Stokes flow in ℝ<sup>3</sup> with measures as initial vorticity and Morry spaces, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 14 (1989), 577-618.
- [7] T. Kato, Strong L<sup>p</sup>−solutions of the Navier-Stokes in ℝ<sup>n</sup> with applications to weak solutions, Math. Zeit., 187 (1984), 471-480.
- [8] H. Koch and D. Tataru, Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations, Adv. Math., 157 (2001), 22-35.
- [9] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, Recent Development in the Naiver-Stokes Problem, in: Research Notes in Mathematics, 431, Chapman-Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [10] P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset and N. Prioux, The Naiver-Stokes equations with data in bmo<sup>-1</sup>, Nonlinear Analysis 70 (2009), 280-297.
- [11] L. Leray, Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace, Acta Math., 63 (1934), 193-248.
- [12] J. L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, (French) Paris: Dunod/Gauthier-Villars, 1969.
- [13] P. Li and Z. Zhai, Well-posedness and Regularity of Generalized Naiver-Stokes Equations in Some Critical Q-spaces, Submitted.
- [14] J. Wu, The generalized incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces, Dyn. Partial Differ. Eq., 1 (2004), 381-400.
- [15] J. Wu, Lower Bounds for an integral involving fractional Laplacians and the generalized Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces, Commun. Math. Phys., 263 (2005), 803-831.
- [16] J. Xiao, Homothetic variant of fractional Sobolev space with application to Navier-Stokes system, Dynamic of PDE., 2 (2007), 227-245.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA

Current address: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada

E-mail address: li\_ptao@163.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND, ST. JOHN'S, NL A1C 5S7, CANADA

*E-mail address*: a64zz@mun.ca