

The truncated Fourier operator. V.

Victor Katsnelson, Ronny Machluf

Mathematics Subject Classification: (2000). 35S30, 43A90.

Keywords: Truncated Fourier operator, spectral analysis, prolate spherical functions.

Abstract

The operator Fourier truncated on a finite symmetric interval is considered. The limiting behavior of its spectrum is discussed as the length of the interval tends to infinity.

5 Spectral theory of the Fourier operator truncated on a finite symmetric interval.

In this section we discuss the behavior of eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ of the truncated Fourier operator \mathcal{F}_E as functions of a :

$$\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}e_k = \lambda_k e_k. \quad (5.1)$$

1. As we have seen in Section 4, (see [KaMa2]), the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ commutes with the differential operator \mathcal{L} which is generated by the differential expression

$$Lx(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{dx}{dt} \right) + t^2 x(t) \quad (5.2)$$

on the set of functions x which are defined on the interval $(-a, a)$ and satisfied the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow -a+0} (t+a) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow a-0} (t-a) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

This operator \mathcal{L} appeared as the operator \mathcal{L}_I in the section 4, and is the member of the family $\{\mathcal{L}_U\}$ which consists of all selfadjoint differential operators generated by the formal differential operator L . Here U is an arbitrary 2×2 unitary matrix indexing the family. See [KaMa2, Definition 4.19 and Theorem 4.1]. The operator \mathcal{L}_I is the member of the family corresponding to the matrix $U = I$, where I is the identity matrix. Since we do not deal with the operator \mathcal{L}_U other than the operator \mathcal{L}_I , we omit the subindex I and use the notation \mathcal{L} instead of \mathcal{L}_I .

The operator \mathcal{L} is a selfadjoint operator in $L^2([-a, a])$ with discrete spectrum. The spectrum of the operator \mathcal{L} consists of the sequence of eigenvalues $\mu_k(a)$ which tend to $+\infty$. Each of these eigenvalues is of multiplicity one. (These well known facts are formulated as [KaMa2, Theorem 4.3].)

We enumerate the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} in the increasing order:

$$0 = \mu_0(a) < \mu_1(a) < \mu_2(a) < \dots < \mu_k(a) < \dots . \quad (5.4)$$

The appropriate eigenfunction $e_k(t, a)$ can be normalized such that it depends on a smoothly. Indeed the eigenvalue problem for the operator \mathcal{L} is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\left(1 - \frac{t^2}{a^2} \right) \frac{de_k(t, a)}{dt} \right) + t^2 e_k(t, a) &= \mu_k(a) e_k(t, a), \quad -a < t < a, \\ |e_k(-a, a)| < \infty, \quad |e_k(a, a)| < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Changing variable $t \rightarrow at$, we come to the eigenvalue problem

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \left((1 - t^2) \frac{dg_k(t, a)}{dt} \right) + a^2 t^2 g_k(t, a) = \gamma_k(a) g_k(t, a), \quad -1 < t < 1, \quad (5.6a)$$

$$|g_k(-1, a)| < \infty, \quad |g_k(1, a)| < \infty, \quad (5.6b)$$

where for $a \geq 0$

$$0 = \gamma_0(a) < \gamma_1(a) < \gamma_2(a) < \dots < \gamma_k(a) < \dots , \quad (5.7)$$

and for $a > 0$

$$\gamma_k(a) = a^2 \mu_k(a). \quad (5.8)$$

The eigenvalue problems of the family (5.5) are considered on the *different* intervals $(-a, a)$. In other words, the appropriate operators act in the spaces $L^2(-a, a)$ which depend on a .

The eigenvalue problems (5.6) are considered in the single interval $(-1, 1)$. The dependence on a is transferred to the operator: before the potential t^2 the factor a^2 appears.

Since the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem (5.6) are simple, and the differential operator (5.6a) depends on the parameter a analytically, each eigenvalue $\gamma_k(a)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, depends on a analytically for real a . This is a standard result of the perturbation theory.

Definition 5.1. *The eigenfunctions $g_k(t, a)$ of the boundary value problem (5.6) are said to be¹ the angular prolate spherical functions.*

Since the equation (5.6a) is invariant with respect to the change of variable $t \rightarrow -t$ and the eigenvalue $\nu_k(a)$ simple, the eigenfunction $g_k(t, a)$ is either even, or odd with respect to t . It turns out, that² for even k the eigenfunction $g_k(t, a)$ is even, and for odd k the eigenfunction $g_k(t, a)$ is odd with respect to t . This can be proved studying the oscillation properties of solutions of the differential equation (5.6a).

Different normalization of the prolate spherical functions are used in literature. In the monograph [F1] the normalization

$$g_k(t, a)|_{t=0} = P_k(t)|_{t=0}, \quad \left. \frac{dg_k(t, a)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = 0, \quad k \text{ is even}, \quad (5.9a)$$

$$g_k(t, a)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \left. \frac{dg_k(t, a)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{dP_k(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}, \quad k \text{ is odd}. \quad (5.9b)$$

where $P_k(t)$ are the Legendre polynomials,

$$P_k(t) = \frac{1}{2^k k!} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} (t^2 - 1)^k. \quad (5.10)$$

In particular,

$$P_k(t)|_{t=0} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} k!}{2^k (\frac{k}{2})! (\frac{k}{2})!}, \quad k \text{ is even}, \quad (5.11a)$$

$$\left. \frac{dP_k(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (k+1)!}{2^k (\frac{k-1}{2})! (\frac{k+1}{2})!}, \quad k \text{ is odd}. \quad (5.11b)$$

¹Various authors disagree not only on notation for these functions, but also in their method of normalization.

²Recall that the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the boundary value problem (5.6) are enumerated according to (5.7).

Under this normalization, each of the eigenfunctions $g_k(t, a)$ is the solution of the Cauchy problem for the differential equation (5.6a) with the initial conditions (5.9) posed in the point $t = 0$. The boundary conditions (5.6b) are satisfied automatically since the number $\gamma_k(a)$, which appears in the right hand side of (5.6a), is an eigenvalue. The function $g_k(t, a)$ depends on a analytically for real a because this function is a solution of the differential equation (5.6a) whose coefficients $a^2 t^2$ and $\gamma_k(a)$ depends on a analytically and the initial conditions (5.9) do not depend on a .

For $a = 0$, the differential equation (5.6a) is the Legendre differential equation, eigenfunctions $g_k(t, 0)$ of the eigenvalue problem (5.6) are the Legendre polynomials, (5.10),

$$g_k(t, 0) = P_k(t), \quad (5.12)$$

and the eigenvalues $\gamma_k(0)$ are the numbers

$$\gamma_k(0) = k(k + 1). \quad (5.13)$$

Since the set of functions $\{g_k(t, a)\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$ is the set of all eigenfunctions of a selfadjoint differential operator with discrete spectrum, this set forms an orthogonal basis in the Hilbert space $L^2([-1, 1])$. The eigenfunctions $e_k(t, a)$ of the boundary value problem (5.5), in other words the eigenfunctions of the operator \mathcal{L} , are

$$e_k(t, a) = g_k(ta^{-1}, a). \quad (5.14)$$

The set of functions $\{e_k(t, a)\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$ forms an orthogonal basis in the Hilbert space $L^2([-a, a])$.

2. The selfadjoint differential operator \mathcal{L} commutes with the truncated Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ as well as with the adjoint operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^*$. (This fact is formulated in [KaMa2]) as Theorem 4.2.) Thus the operator \mathcal{L} commutes also with the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$.

Since the eigenvalues of the operator \mathcal{L} are simple, the eigenfunctions $e_k(t, a)$ of the operator \mathcal{L} also are an eigenfunctions of each of the operators $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$, $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]} e_k(\cdot, a) = \lambda_k(a) e_k(\cdot, a), \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (5.15a)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* e_k(\cdot, a) = \overline{\lambda_k(a)} e_k(\cdot, a), \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (5.15b)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]} e_k(\cdot, a) = |\lambda_k(a)|^2 e_k(\cdot, a), \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots). \quad (5.15c)$$

Here $\lambda_k(a)$ is the eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ corresponding to the eigenfunction $e_k(\cdot, a)$. Since the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ is normal, the numbers $\overline{\lambda_k(a)}$ and $|\lambda_k(a)|^2$ are the eigenvalues of the operators $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^*$ and $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ corresponding to the eigenfunction $e_k(\cdot, a)$.

In this section, we discuss the distribution of the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ and their behavior, mainly for large a . We already know that the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ are located within the cross which is the union of the horizontal interval $[-1, 1]$ and the vertical interval $[-i, i]$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ corresponding to even (w.r.t. t) eigenfunctions $e_k(t, a)$ belong to $[-1, 1]$, the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ corresponding to odd (w.r.t. t) eigenfunctions $e_k(t, a)$ belong to $[-i, i]$. (The even functions $e_k(t, a)$ are eigenfunctions of the cosine-transform, the odd functions $e_k(t, a)$ are eigenfunctions of the sine-transform. See formula (2.14) in [KaMa1].) We already mentioned that the functions $e_k(t, a)$ with even and odd indices k are even and odd functions of t respectively. The operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ is an integral operator:

$$(\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]} x)(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{\sin a(t-\tau)}{t-\tau} x(\tau) d\tau. \quad (5.16)$$

The eigenvalue problem for the operator $(\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]} x)$ is

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a}^a \frac{\sin a(t-\tau)}{t-\tau} x(\tau) d\tau = \sigma(a)x(t), \quad -a \leq t \leq a. \quad (5.17)$$

Changing variable $at \rightarrow t$ and $t \rightarrow \frac{t}{a}$ we see that the the eigenvalues $\sigma(a)$ of the problem (5.17) are the same that the eigenvalues of each of the problems

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-a^2}^{a^2} \frac{\sin(t-\tau)}{t-\tau} x(\tau) d\tau = \sigma(a)x(t), \quad -a^2 \leq t \leq a^2, \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sin a^2(t-\tau)}{t-\tau} x(\tau) d\tau = \sigma(a)x(t), \quad -1 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (5.19)$$

In the problem (5.18), the kernel $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin(t-\tau)}{t-\tau}$ of the integral operator does not depend on a , but the interval $[-a^2, a^2]$ depends on a . In the

problem (5.19), the interval $[-1, 1]$ does not depend on a but the kernel $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin a^2(t - \tau)}{t - \tau}$ depends on a . In [SIPO, Section VI, pp. 59-61] it is proved that the eigenvalues of the problem (5.19) are pairwise distinct. A transparent presentation of this result can be found also in [KPS], Chapter 2, §2.2, pp. 55-56. (In [KPS], the eigenvalue problem (5.19) is considered). In the proof of the non-degeneracy property of eigenvalues of the problem (5.17)-(5.18)-(5.19) the fact that the eigenfunctions of the problem (5.17) for the integral operator are the same that the eigenfunctions $e_k(t, a)$ of the problem (5.5) for the differential operator is essentially used.

The integral operator

$$x(t) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sin a^2(t - \tau)}{t - \tau} x(\tau) d\tau$$

is of the form

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sin a^2(t - \tau)}{t - \tau} x(\tau) d\tau = P_1 \mathcal{F}^* P_{a^2} \mathcal{F} P_1 \Big|_{L^2([-1,1])},$$

where \mathcal{F} is the (non-truncated) Fourier operator acting in $L^2((-\infty, \infty))$, and for positive c , P_c is the orthogonal projector from $L^2((-\infty, \infty))$ onto $L^2((-c, c))$. Since for $0 < c_1 < c_2$, $P_1 \mathcal{F}^* P_{c_1} \mathcal{F} P_1 \leq P_1 \mathcal{F}^* P_{c_2} \mathcal{F} P_1$, then from minimax principle of Courant it follows that the eigenvalues $\sigma_k(a)$ of the problem (5.19) behave monotonically:

$$\sigma_k(a_1) \leq \sigma_k(a_2) \quad \text{if } a_1 < a_2.$$

In this stage of the reasoning, the numbers $\sigma_k(a)$ are enumerated according to the rule

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sin a^2(t - \tau)}{t - \tau} g_k(t, a) = \sigma_k(a) g_k(t, a), \quad -1 \leq t \leq 1,$$

$g_k(t, a)$ are the eigenfunctions of the problem (5.6).

After the non-degeneracy and the monotonicity properties of the eigenvalues $\sigma_k(a)$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ are established, the ordering

$$\sigma_0(a) > \sigma_1(a) > \sigma_2(a) > \dots > \sigma_k(a) > \dots, \quad \text{for every } a > 0 \tag{5.20}$$

can be obtained from an analysis of the asymptotic behavior of $\sigma_k(a)$ for small a . Such analysis was first done in [SlPo, Section VI]. In this analysis, the property (5.12) is essentially used. In [Wid] it is shown that for fixed k ,

$$\sigma_k(a) = 2\pi \left(\frac{a^2}{4}\right)^{2k+1} (k!)^{-2} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow +0. \quad (5.21)$$

Since $\sigma_k(a) = |\lambda_k(a)|^2$, the ordering

$$|\lambda_0(a)| > |\lambda_1(a)| > |\lambda_2(a)| > \dots > |\lambda_k(a)| > \dots, \quad \text{for every } a > 0 \quad (5.22)$$

of the absolute values of the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ of the truncated Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ holds as well. The values of the arguments of the complex numbers $\lambda_k(a)$ also can be obtained analysing the asymptotic behavior of $\lambda_k(a)$ for small a . For fixed k ,

$$\lambda_k(a) = i^k \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{2k+1} (k!)^{-1} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow +0. \quad (5.23)$$

In particular, for fixed k and $a \rightarrow +0$, the argument $\arg \lambda_k(a)$ tends to one of the numbers $\arg 1$, $\arg i$, $\arg(-1)$, $\arg(-i)$ depending on the residue of k by $\text{mod } 4$. Since the argument of the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ can take only the values $\arg 1$, $\arg i$, $\arg(-1)$, $\arg(-i)$, then

$$\lambda_k(a) = i^k |\lambda_k(a)|. \quad (5.24)$$

for a positive and small enough. The eigenvalue $\lambda_k(a)$ depends on a continuously. By increasing of a , the absolute value $|\lambda_k(a)|$ increases. In particular, as a increases, the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(a)$ is separated from the point $\lambda = 0$. Therefore the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(a)$ can not jump from the interval $[0, i^k]$ to another interval $[0, i^m]$, where $m \neq k \text{ mod } 4$. Thus, *the equality (5.24) holds for every $a > 0$, and not only for small a .* In [Fu] is shown that for fixed k , the asymptotic equality

$$1 - \sigma_k(a) = 4\sqrt{\pi} 8^k (k!)^{-1} a^{2k+1} e^{-2a^2}, \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.25)$$

Therefore,

$$1 - |\lambda_k(a)| = 2\sqrt{\pi} 8^k (k!)^{-1} a^{2k+1} e^{-2a^2}, \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.26)$$

We summarize the above stated facts as

Theorem 5.1.

1. *The eigenfunctions $e_k(t, a)$ of the truncated Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a, a]}$ are expressed in terms of the angular prolate spherical functions $g_k(t, a)$ (Definition 5.1):*

$$e_k(t, a) = g_k(ta^{-1}, a).$$

2. *For every a , the absolute values $|\lambda_k(a)|$ of the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ of the truncated Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a, a]}$ are pairwise different, and their ordering (5.20)-(5.15a) agrees with the ordering (5.4)-(5.5) of the eigenvalues $\mu_k(a)$ of the differential operator \mathcal{L} .*
3. *The argument of the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(a)$ is:*

$$\lambda_k(a) = i^k |\lambda_k(a)|.$$

4. *If k is fixed, and a increases from 0 to $+\infty$, then the eigenvalue $\lambda_k(a)$ moves monotonically from the point $\lambda = +0$ to the point $\lambda = i^k$.*

Theorem 5.1 is related to the behavior of the individual eigenvalue $\lambda_k(a)$ as a function of a . The next result is related to the behavior of the set $\{\lambda_k(a)\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$ of all eigenvalues for large a . The next Theorem claims that for large a , the set $\{\lambda_k(a)\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$ fills, in a sense, the whole cross with the endpoints $1, i, -1, -i$.

If $K(t, \tau)$, $-a \leq t, \tau \leq a$, is a smooth kernel, and \mathbf{K} is an integral operator in $L^2([-a, a])$, then the operators \mathbf{K} is a trace class operator, and

$$\text{trace } \mathbf{K} = \int_{-a}^a K(\xi, \xi) d\xi,$$

The operator \mathbf{K}^2 also is a trace class operator, and its trace also may be expressed as

$$\text{trace } (\mathbf{K}^2) = \int_{-a}^a \int_{-a}^a K(\xi, \eta) K(\eta, \xi) d\xi d\eta.$$

If \mathbf{K} is the operator: $\mathbf{K} = \mathcal{F}_{[-a, a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a, a]}$, that is the integral operator with the kernel

$$K(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin a(t - \tau)}{t - \tau},$$

then $K(\xi, \xi) = a$, and the trace can be calculated explicitly:

$$\text{trace } \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]} = \frac{2}{\pi} a^2. \quad (5.27a)$$

Trace of the operator $(\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]})^2$ can not be calculated explicitly, but can be estimated from below:

$$\text{trace } (\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]})^2 \geq \frac{2}{\pi} a^2 - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln^+ a - 1. \quad (5.27b)$$

The estimate (5.27b) was obtained in [LaP2, formula (4.2)].

For a trace class operator, its trace is equal to the sum of its eigenvalues. (This fact is attributed to V.B. Lidskii.) Thus, if $\sigma_k(a)$ are eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$, which are ordered in the decreasing order, (5.20), then

$$\sum_k \sigma_k(a) = \frac{2}{\pi} a^2 \quad (5.28a)$$

and

$$\sum_k (\sigma_k(a))^2 \geq \frac{2}{\pi} a^2 - \frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln^+ a - 1. \quad (5.28b)$$

Moreover, since the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ is contractive,

$$0 < \sigma_k(a) < 1. \quad (5.28c)$$

Subtracting the inequality (5.28b) from the equality (5.28a), we obtain the inequality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k(a)(1 - \sigma_k(a)) \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln^+ a + 1 \quad (5.29)$$

In view of (5.28c), every summand in the left hand side of (5.29) is positive.

Let a number ε is given, $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$. From (5.29) it follows that

$$\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{k: \varepsilon < \sigma_k} (1 - \sigma_k(a)) \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln^+ a + 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{k: \varepsilon < \sigma_k} 1 \leq \sum_{k: \varepsilon < \sigma_k} \sigma_k(a) + \varepsilon^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln^+ a + 1 \right).$$

Taking into account (5.28a), we come to the inequality

$$\#\{k : \sigma_k(a) > \varepsilon\} < \frac{2}{\pi}a^2 + \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2}\ln^+ a + 1\right). \quad (5.30a)$$

In the same way, from (5.29) it follows that

$$\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{k: \sigma_k \leq 1-\varepsilon} \sigma_k(a) \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2}\ln^+ a + 1,$$

Taking into account the equality (5.28a), we come to the inequality

$$\sum_{k: \sigma_k > 1-\varepsilon} \sigma_k(a) \geq \frac{2}{\pi}a^2 - \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2}\ln^+ a + 1\right).$$

In view of (5.28c), the inequality

$$\#\{k : \sigma_k(a) > 1 - \varepsilon\} \geq \frac{2}{\pi}a^2 - \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2}\ln^+ a + 1\right). \quad (5.30b)$$

holds. Since $\lambda(1 - \lambda) \geq \varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)$ for $\{\lambda : \varepsilon \leq \lambda \leq 1 - \varepsilon\}$, it follows from (5.29) that

$$\#\{k : \varepsilon \leq \sigma_k(a) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2}\ln^+ a + 1\right). \quad (5.30c)$$

We summarize the inequalities (5.30):

For large a , the eigenvalues $\sigma_k(a)$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^ \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$, arranged in the decreasing order, first are very close to one, then are very close to zero. The transition from the values equal almost one to the values equal almost zero occurring in the interval of values k which is centered at the point $k = \frac{2}{\pi}a^2$ and grows in width at the rate of only $\log a$.*

This result, even if it is not formulated in the explicit form, as well as its derivation, which is based on the estimates (5.27) of the traces of the operators $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ and $(\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]})^2$, appear first in [LaP2].

However, this result is not enough for our goal. We need a more detailed information on the distribution of the eigenvalues $\sigma_k(a)$ for large a and the indices k belonging to the "transition" interval

$$k : \frac{2}{\pi}a^2 - \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2}\ln^+ a + 1\right) \leq k \leq \frac{2}{\pi}a^2 + \varepsilon^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{\pi^2}\ln^+ a + 1\right). \quad (5.31)$$

The appropriate result was formulated (as a conjecture) in the paper [Sl1, page 106] of D. Slepian. The conjecture of D. Slepian is:

Let δ is the root of smallest absolute value of the equation

$$\frac{2}{\pi}a^2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \log(2a) - \arg \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\delta}{2}\right) = k - \frac{1}{2},$$

where Γ is the Euler gamma function and $\arg \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$. Then for large k and a , the approximate equality

$$\sigma_k(a) \approx (1 + e^{\pi\delta})^{-1}$$

holds.

In particular, if b is fixed and

$$k(a, b) = \left[\frac{2}{\pi}(a^2 + b \ln(2a)) \right], \quad (5.32a)$$

where the brackets $[]$ denotes "largest integer in", then

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{k(a,b)} = (1 + e^{\pi b})^{-1}. \quad (5.32b)$$

Though no rigorous mathematical proof of this approximation result is done in [Sl1], the approximation is justified by numerical examples there.

The first rigorous proof of the asymptotic relation (5.32) was done in [ClMe]. In particular, see formulas (3.27), (3.28) there. The proof of (5.32) given in [ClMe] is based on the thorough study of the asymptotic behavior of the prolate spherical functions $g_k(t, a)$ in the interval $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ for large value of the parameter a and *all* k . The method of the study can be classified as a hard analysis method. The method used involves asymptotic solving the differential equation which defines the prolate functions by using the classical WKB approximation. Later H. Landau and H. Widom, [LaWi], propose the proof based on the theory of integral operators with difference kernel $k(t - \tau)$, where k is a rapidly decreasing function. In [LaWi, Theorem 1], the eigenvalue distribution of the appropriate integral operator is derived from the Fourier transform of the function k , in accordance with the classical Szego method. In [LaWi, Theorem 2], even more general result is obtained related to the integral operator with the kernel $\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sin a^2(t-\tau)}{t-\tau}$ considered on the set which is a finite union of non-intersecting intervals.

The analysis of the proof of the asymptotic result (5.32) shows that the limiting relation (5.32) holds not only for fixed b , but for b from any fixed finite interval of the real axis. Moreover, the limit in (5.32b) is uniform with respect to b from any fixed finite interval:

Theorem (Cloizeaux-Mehta, [ClMe]; Landau-Widom, [LaWi]). *Given positive numbers N and ε , there exists the number $A = A(\varepsilon, N)$ such that for any a satisfying the inequality $a \geq A$ and for any b belonging to the interval $[-N, N]$, the inequality*

$$|\sigma_{k(a,b)} - (1 + e^{\pi b})^{-1}| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (5.33)$$

where the index $k(a, b)$ is defined in (5.32a).

This result is formulated explicitly neither in the paper [ClMe], nor in the paper [LaWi]. However the proof of this result can be extracted from any of this papers.

Let $\kappa, \kappa < 1$, be an arbitrary positive number. In fact we assume that κ is small. We choose a positive number $N = N(\kappa)$ such that

$$(1 + e^N)^{-1} < \kappa/2. \quad (5.34)$$

Let I be an arbitrary interval of length κ which is contained in the interval $[0, 1]$: $|I| = \kappa, I \subset [0, 1]$. We fix this interval. Since the function $b \rightarrow (1 + e^b)^{-1}$ is monotonic for $b \in (-\infty, \infty)$, and $(1 + e^b)^{-1}|_{b=-\infty} = 0, (1 + e^b)^{-1}|_{b=\infty} = 1$, there exists the unique value of b such that the point $(1 + e^b)^{-1}$ is the center point of the interval I . Let us fix this b and denote it by $b(I)$. Since the smallest possible value and the largest possible value for the center point of the interval I of length κ are the points $\kappa/2$ and $1 - \kappa/2$, the number $b(I)$ satisfy the inequality

$$-N \leq b(I) \leq N, \quad (5.35)$$

where N is already chosen and fixed. Choose

$$\varepsilon = \kappa/3. \quad (5.36)$$

Let $A(\kappa) = A(\kappa/3, N(\kappa))$, where $A(\varepsilon, N)$ is the value which appears in the formulation of the above stated Theorem which is attributed to the names Cloizeaux-Mehta and Landau-Widom. Then for any a satisfying the inequality $a \geq A(\kappa)$, there exists the eigenvalue $\sigma_{k(a,b(I))}$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ which belongs to the interval I . What

is important that the value $A(\kappa)$ depends only of the length κ of the interval I but not on the position of I within the interval $[0, 1]$.

Thus, the following result is proved:

Lemma 5.1. *Given a positive number κ , there exists the number $A(\kappa)$, $A(\kappa) < \infty$, such that for every a satisfying the inequality $a \geq A(\kappa)$ the set of eigenvalues $\{\sigma_k(a)\}_{k=0,1,2,\dots}$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ forms a κ -net for the interval $[0, 1]$.*

To formulate the result which is related to the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ itself rather to the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ we need a little bit modify the above reasoning.

Lemma 5.2. *Given a positive number κ , there exists the number $A(\kappa)$, $A(\kappa) < \infty$, such that for every a satisfying the inequality $a \geq A(\kappa)$ each of the four sets of eigenvalues $\{\sigma_{4l+r}(a)\}_{l=0,1,2,\dots}$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$, corresponding to the residues $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$, form a κ -net of the interval $[0, 1]$.*

Proof. For given a , we can not control the residue class by $\text{mod } 4$ of the value $k(a, b(I))$. However we can control this residue class perturbing a little bit the value $b(I)$. Given a , $a \geq A(\kappa)$, and given one of the residues r , $r = 0, 1, 2, 3$, we need to choose the value b such that the following condition are satisfied:

$$k(a, b) = r \pmod{4} \quad (5.37a)$$

$$|(1 + e^b)^{-1} - (1 + e^{b(I)})^{-1}| < \kappa/6, \quad (5.37b)$$

$$-N \leq b \leq N. \quad (5.37c)$$

Starting from (5.32a), we choose b such that the value $(b - b(I)) \ln a$ takes one of the seven values $-3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then $|b - b(I)| \leq 3/\ln a$. Thus, increasing $A(\kappa)$ if this is needed, we can ensure the inequality (5.37b). Choosing b properly, we can ensure the equality (5.37a). Moreover, choosing the sign of the difference $b - b(I)$ properly, we can preserve the inequality (5.37c). (Actually, the last step is an overcautiousness.) The inequality (5.37b) together with the inequality (5.33) ensure the inequality

$$|\sigma_{k(a,b)} - (1 + e^{\pi b})^{-1}| \leq \kappa/2, \quad (5.38)$$

(Recall that $\varepsilon < \kappa/3$.)

Thus if $a \geq A(\kappa)$, and $r =$ takes one of the values $0, 1, 2, 3$, then in every interval I of length κ , $I \subset [0, 1]$, there exists an eigenvalue $\sigma_k(a)$

of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ which belongs to this interval: $\sigma_k(a) \in I$, and the congruence $k = r \pmod{4}$ holds. \square

An immediate consequence of Lemma 5.2 is the following

Theorem 5.2. *Given a positive number κ , there exists the number $A(\kappa)$, $A(\kappa) < \infty$, such that for every a satisfying the inequality $a \geq A(\kappa)$ the set $\{\lambda_k(a)\}_{k=0,1,2}$ of the eigenvalues of the truncated Fourier operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ forms an κ -net in the cross with the vertices $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda = i$, $\lambda = -1$, $\lambda = -i$.*

Proof. If $\sigma_k(a)$ is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}^* \mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$, then the number $\lambda_k(a) = i^k (\sigma_k(a))^{1/2}$ is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$. If a is chosen such that the numbers $\{\sigma_{4l+r}(a)\}_{l=0,1,2}$ form a κ^2 -net of the interval $[0, 1]$, then the numbers $\{\lambda_{4l+r}(a)\}_{l=0,1,2}$ form a κ -net in the interval $[0, i^r]$. \square

Remark 5.1. *Theorem 5.2 claims that for $a \rightarrow \infty$, the set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_k(a)\}_{k=0,1,2}$ of the operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-a,a]}$ fills in a sense the cross with the vertices $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda = i$, $\lambda = -1$, $\lambda = -i$. However, the spectrum of the limiting operator $\mathcal{F}_{[-\infty,\infty]}$, which is the non-truncated Fourier operator, consists of the endpoints $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda = i$, $\lambda = -1$, $\lambda = -i$ of the cross only.*

References

- [ChSt] CHU, L. J., STRATTON, J. A. *Elliptic and Spheroidal Wave Functions*. Journal of Math. and Phys., **20** (1941), 259 - 309. Reprinted in [SMCLC], p. 1 - 51.
- [ClMe] CLOIZEAUX, J., MEHTA, M. L. *Some asymptotic expressions for prolate spheroidal functions and for the eigenvalues of differential and integral equations of which they are solutions*. J. Mathematical Phys., **13**:11 (1972), 1745 - 1754.
- [Fl] FLAMMER, C. *Spheroidal Wave Functions*. Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA, 1957. ix+220.
- [Fu] FUCHS, W. H. J. *On the eigenvalues of an integral equation arising in the theory of band-limited signals*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **9**, (1964), 317-330.

- [KaMa1] KATSNELSON, V, MACHLUF, R. *The truncated Fourier operator*.II. arXiv:0901.2709.
- [KaMa2] KATSNELSON, V, MACHLUF, R. *The truncated Fourier operator*.IV. arXiv:0902.0568.
- [KPS] КОМАРОВ, И.В., ПОНОМАРЕВ, Л.И., СЛАВЯНОВ, С.Ю. *Сфероидальные и Кулоновские Сфероидальные Функции*. Наука, Москва 1976. 319 сс. (In Russian.) [КОМАРОВ, I.V., SLAVYANOV, S.YU., ПОНОМАРЕВ, L.I. *Spheroidal and Coulomb spheroidal functions*. Nauka, Moscow 1976. 319 pp.]
- [LaP1] LANDAU, H., POLLAK, H.O. *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty* –II. Bell System Techn. Journ. **40** (1961), 65-84.
- [LaP2] LANDAU, H., POLLAK, H.O. *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty* –III: *The dimension of the space of essentially time- and band-limited signals*. Bell System Techn. Journ. **40** (1961), 1295- 1336.
- [LaWi] LANDAU, H.J., WIDOM, H. *Eigenvalue distribution on time and frequency limiting*. Journ. of Mathem. Analysis and Appl., **77** (1980), 469- 481.
- [MSch] MEIXNER, J., SCHAFKE, F.W. *Mathieusche Funktionen und Spheroidfunktionen*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin•Göttingen•Heidelberg 1954.
- [Sl1] SLEPIAN, D. *Some asymptotic expansions for prolate wave functions*. Journ. of Math. and Phys., Vol.44 (1965), 99-140.
- [Sl2] SLEPIAN, D. *Prolated spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty* – IV: *Extension to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions*. Bell System Techn. Journ. **43** (1964), 3009- 3057.
- [Sl3] SLEPIAN, D. *On bandwidth*. Proc. IEEE **64**:3 (1976), 292–300.
- [Sl4] SLEPIAN, D. *Some comments on Fourier analysis, uncertainty and modelling*. SIAM Review, **25**:3, 1983, 379- 393.
- [SlPo] SLEPIAN, D., POLLAK, H.O. *Prolated spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty* – I. Bell System Techn. Journ. **40** (1961), 43-63.

- [SMCLC] STRATTON, J.A., MORSE, P.M., CHU, L.J., LITTLE J.D.C. CORBATÓ, F.J. *Spheroidal Wave Functions, including Tables*. MIT Press and Wiley, 1956. xi+300.
- [WhWa] WHITTAKER, E.T., WATSON, G.N. *A Course of Modern Analysis*. Fourth Edition. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1927. vi+608 pp.
- [Wid] WIDOM, H. *Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues of certain integral equations*. II. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **17** (1964), 215-229.

Victor Katsnelson
Department of Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute
Rehovot, 76100, Israel
e-mail:
victor.katsnelson@weizmann.ac.il

Ronny Machluf
Department of Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute
Rehovot, 76100, Israel
e-mail:
ronny-haim.machluf@weizmann.ac.il