

Taylor expansions of solutions of stochastic partial differential equations

Arnulf Jentzen*

October 30, 2018

Abstract

The solutions of parabolic and hyperbolic stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) driven by an infinite dimensional Brownian motion, which is a martingale, are in general not semi-martingales any more and therefore do not satisfy an Itô formula like the solutions of finite dimensional stochastic differential equations (SODEs). In particular, it is not possible to derive stochastic Taylor expansions as for the solutions of SODEs using an iterated application of the Itô formula. However, in this article we introduce Taylor expansions of solutions of SPDEs via an alternative approach, which avoids the need of an Itô formula. The main idea behind these Taylor expansions is to use first classical Taylor expansions for the nonlinear coefficients of the SPDE and then to insert recursively the mild presentation of the solution of the SPDE. The iteration of this idea allows us to derive stochastic Taylor expansions of arbitrarily high order. Combinatorial concepts of trees and woods provide a compact formulation of the Taylor expansions.

Taylor expansions, stochastic partial differential equations, SPDEs, strong convergence, stochastic trees

*Institute of Mathematics, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-University, D-60054 Frankfurt am Main, Germany, E-mail: jentzen@math.uni-frankfurt.de

1 Introduction

Taylor expansions are a fundamental and repeatedly used means of approximation in mathematics, in particular in numerical analysis. Although numerical schemes for ordinary differential equations (ODEs) are often derived in an ad hoc manner, their convergence orders are determined by Taylor expansions of the solution of an ODE. An important component of these Taylor expansions are the iterated total derivatives of the vector field corresponding higher derivatives of the solution, which are obtained via the chain rule, see Deuffhard & Bornemann (2002).

An analogous situation holds for Itô stochastic ordinary differential equations (SODEs), except, due to the less robust nature of stochastic calculus, the stochastic Taylor expansions here are obtained via iterated application of the stochastic chain rule, the Itô formula (see Kloeden & Platen (1992)). Underlying this method is the fact that the solution of an SODE is an Itô process or, more generally, a semi-martingale and, particular, of finite quadratic variation.

Therefore, this approach fails, however, if an SODE is driven by an additive stochastic process with infinite quadratic variation such as a fractional Brownian motion, because the Itô formula is no longer valid. A new method to derive Taylor expansions in such cases was presented in Jentzen & Kloeden (2007, 2008a). It uses the smoothness of the coefficients, but only minimal assumptions on the nature of the driving stochastic process. The resulting Taylor expansions there are thus robust with respect to assumptions concerning the driving stochastic process and, in particular, remain valid for other noise processes. The main idea in Jentzen & Kloeden (2007) is to use classical Taylor expansions for the coefficients of the SODEs (driven by an additive Hölder continuous stochastic process) and then to insert recursively lower order expansions into that classical Taylor expansions.

In the case of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) the situation is different from the finite dimensional setting. In this article we consider SPDEs of the form

$$dU_t = [AU_t + F(U_t)] dt + B(U_t) dW_t, \quad U_0 = u_0 \quad (1)$$

on a Hilbert space H and where A is in general an unbounded linear operator (for example $A = \Delta$), F , B are nonlinear continuous functions and W_t is a cylindrical Wiener process (see section 2 for a precise description of the equation above and the assumptions we use). The interesting thing here in the

infinite dimensional setting is, that although the SPDE (1) is driven by the martingale Brownian motion, the solution process is not a semi-martingale any more (see Gradinaru et al. (2005) for a clear discussion of the problem) and therefore a general Itô formula does not exist for its solutions, just special cases (see Gradinaru et al. (2005) and also Prévot & Röckner (2007)). Hence stochastic Taylor expansions for the solutions of the SPDE (1) cannot be derived as in Kloeden & Platen (1992) for the solutions of finite dimensional SODEs. Consequently, until recently, only temporal approximations of low order have been derived for the solutions of such SPDEs (except for SPDEs with only finitely many stochastic processes, see for example Gyöngy (2003) or see also Bayer & Teichmann (2008) for finitely many stochastic processes and weak convergence).

The main idea of the Taylor expansions presented in this article is to use classical Taylor expansions for the coefficients F and B in the mild integral equation version of the SPDE above and then to insert the mild presentation of the solution process into these classical Taylor expansions (see section 3 for a detailed presentation of these Taylor expansions). In the case of SPDEs with additive noise this approach was recently introduced in Jentzen & Kloeden (2008c). Moreover, numerical schemes based on these Taylor expansions there have already been introduced in Jentzen & Kloeden (2008b) and in Jentzen (2008). Indeed, it can be seen from a theoretical point of view and in simulations that these Taylor expansions lead to higher order numerical schemes for SPDEs with additive noise (see for example section 4.3 in Jentzen (2008)). Here, we consider the case with general noise, where the technical difficulty is to estimate the reminder terms of the diffusion coefficient B inside the infinite dimensional stochastic integral. To sum up: with this approach we avoid the need for an Itô formula but nevertheless we can derive stochastic Taylor expansions of arbitrarily high order for the solution of the SPDE (1). The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we describe precisely the SPDE that we are considering and state the assumptions that we require on its terms and coefficients and on the initial value. Then, in the third section, we sketch the idea and notation for deriving simple Taylor expansions, which we develop in section four in some detail using combinatorial objects, specifically stochastic trees and woods, to derive Taylor expansions of an arbitrarily high order. We also provide an estimate for the reminder terms of the Taylor expansions there. (Proofs are postponed to the final section). These results are illustrated with some representative examples in the fifth section. Numerical scheme based on these Taylor expansions will be

discussed elsewhere.

2 Setting and Assumptions

Fix $T > 0$ and let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]$, see e.g. Da Prato & Zabczyk (1992) for details. In addition, let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a separable Hilbert space with its norm denoted by $|\cdot|$. Moreover, let $(D, |\cdot|_D)$ be a separable Banach space with $H \subset D$ continuously. Then, we consider the SPDE (1) in the mild integral equation form

$$U_t = e^{At}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}F(U_s) ds + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)}B(U_s) dW_s \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2)$$

on H , where $W_t, t \in [0, T]$, is a cylindrical Q -Wiener process with $Q = I$ with respect to $\mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, T]$ on another separable Hilbert space $(U, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ (space-time white noise) and the objects A, F, B and u_0 are specified through the following assumptions. Here $L(U, D)$ denotes the space of all bounded linear operators from U to D .

Assumption 1. (Linear Operator A) *Let \mathcal{I} be a countable set. Moreover, let $(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a family of positive real numbers with $\inf_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_i > 0$ and let $(e_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ be an orthonormal basis of H . Then, suppose that the linear operator $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ is given by*

$$Av = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} -\lambda_i \langle e_i, v \rangle e_i$$

for all $v \in D(A)$ with $D(A) = \{v \in H \mid \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |\lambda_i|^2 |\langle e_i, v \rangle|^2 < \infty\}$.

Assumption 2. (Drift F) *The nonlinearity $F : H \rightarrow H$ is infinitely often Fréchet differentiable and its derivatives satisfy $\sup_{v \in H} |F^{(i)}(v)| < \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Let $D((-A)^r), r \in \mathbb{R}$, denote the interpolation spaces of powers of the operator $-A$, see for example Sell & You (2002) and let $|\cdot|_{HS}$ denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm for Hilbert-Schmidt operators from U to H .

Assumption 3. (Diffusion B) *Suppose $D \subset D((-A)^{-r})$ continuously for some $r \geq 0$. Moreover, let $B : H \rightarrow L(U, D)$ be infinitely often Fréchet*

differentiable and suppose that $e^{At}B^{(i)}(v)(w_1, \dots, w_i)$ and $(-A)^\gamma e^{At}B(v)$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators from U to H such that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{At}B^{(i)}(v)(w_1, \dots, w_i)|_{HS} &\leq L_i (1 + |v|) |w_1| \dots |w_i| t^{(\delta - \frac{1}{2})}, \\ |e^{At}(B(v) - B(w))|_{HS} &\leq L_0 |v - w| t^{(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2})}, \\ |(-A)^\gamma e^{At}B(v)|_{HS} &\leq L_0 (1 + |v|) t^{(\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2})} \end{aligned}$$

for all $v, w, w_1, \dots, w_i \in H$, $i \geq 0$ and $t \in (0, T]$ with constants $L_0, L_1, \dots > 0$, $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Assumption 4. (Initial value u_0) Let $u_0 : \Omega \rightarrow D((-A)^\gamma)$ be a \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable with the property that $\mathbb{E}|(-A)^\gamma u_0|^p < \infty$ for every $p \geq 1$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ given in Assumption 2.

Later, it will be clear, that it would suffice to postulate that only the first K -derivatives of F and B are bounded in the sense above with $K \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently high, but for simplicity we use Assumption 2 and Assumption 3. Although similar assumptions are used in the literature on the approximation of this kind of SPDEs (see for example Assumption H1-H3 in Hausenblas (2003) or see also Lord & Shardlow (2007), Jentzen & Kloeden (2008b) and Müller-Gronbach & Ritter (2007b)), these assumptions here are in a way not satisfying, since the nonlinear terms F , B have to be global Lipschitz continuous and Fréchet differentiable on the space H , which is not so often fulfilled in applications. However as in Kloeden & Platen (1992), we present here a Taylor expansion with strong assumption and then one can use localization techniques as in Göngy (1998) or in Jentzen et al. (2008) to show convergence under less restrictive assumptions. Furthermore, note that the stochastic heat equation with additive or particularly multiplicative noise is a non trivial example, which satisfies for example these assumptions (see section 5). Note also that Assumption 3 is in that way elegant that in contrast to Theorem 7.4 and Theorem 7.6 in Da Prato & Zabczyk (1992) it combines space time white noise and trace class noise in one setting (see Jentzen & Kloeden (2008d)). While in the case of space time white noise one usually requires somethings on e^{At} and while in the case of trace class noise one usually requires somethings on $B(\cdot)$, here we use Assumption 3, which postulates somethings on $e^{At}B(\cdot)$. In that way both cases are here handled in one setting.

Now, we present some properties of the solution and some notations and

then start with the Taylor expansions in the next section. A solution of the SPDE (1) (under the Assumptions 1-4) is a predictable (with respect to \mathcal{F}_t) stochastic process $U : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow H$ with $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} |U_t|^2 < \infty$ and which satisfies

$$\mathbb{P} \left[U_t = e^{At} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} F(U_s) ds + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} B(U_s) dW_s \right] = 1$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$. Under the Assumptions 1-4 there is an up to modifications unique stochastic process $U : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow H$, which is a solution of the SPDE (1) in the sense above and furthermore satisfies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |(-A)^\gamma U_t|_{L^p} < \infty \quad (3)$$

for all $p \geq 1$ (see Jentzen & Kloeden (2008d)), where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ is given in Assumption 3 and where $|Z|_{L^p} := (\mathbb{E} |Z|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is the L^p -norm of a random variable $Z : \Omega \rightarrow H$. Henceforth we fix $t_0 \in [0, T)$ and denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all equivalence classes of predictable stochastic processes

$$X : \Omega \times [t_0, T] \rightarrow H \quad \text{with} \quad \sup_{t_0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t|_{L^p} < \infty \quad \forall p \geq 1,$$

where two processes are in one equivalence class if they are modifications of each other. Finally, we define the finite constants

$$K_i := \sup_{v \in H} |F^{(i)}(v)| \quad \text{and} \quad R_i := \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (|(-A)^\gamma U_t|_{L^i} + |U_t|_{L^i}) \quad (4)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

3 Taylor expansions

In this section we present the notation and basic idea behind the derivation of the Taylor expansions. We write

$$\Delta U_s := U_s - U_{t_0}, \quad \Delta s := s - t_0$$

for $s \in [t_0, T] \subset [0, T]$, thus ΔU denotes the stochastic process $\Delta U_t, t \in [t_0, T]$. Here and below U is always the unique solution process of the SPDE (1). Note that ΔU is in \mathcal{P} . Firstly, we introduce some integral operators and an expression relating them, and then we show how they can be used to derive some simple Taylor expansions.

3.1 Integral Operators

Let $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 1^*, 2^*\}$, where the indices $\{0, 1, 2\}$ will label expressions containing only a constant value or no value of the SPDE solution, while 1^* and 2^* will label certain integrals with time dependent values of the solutions in the integrand. Specifically, we define the stochastic processes I_j^0 in \mathcal{P} by

$$I_j^0(s) := \begin{cases} (e^{A\Delta s} - I) U_{t_0} & j = 0 \\ \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} F(U_{t_0}) dr & j = 1 \\ \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r & j = 2 \\ \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} F(U_r) dr & j = 1^* \\ \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} B(U_r) dW_r & j = 2^* \end{cases}$$

for each $s \in [t_0, T]$. Given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, 1^*, 2^*\}$, we then define the i -multilinear symmetric mapping $I_j^i : \mathcal{P}^i := \underbrace{\mathcal{P} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}}_{i\text{-times}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ with

$I_j^i[g_1, \dots, g_i](s)$ by

$$\frac{1}{i!} \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} F^{(i)}(U_{t_0}) (g_1(r), \dots, g_i(r)) dr$$

when $j = 1$ respectively

$$\frac{1}{i!} \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} B^{(i)}(U_{t_0}) (g_1(r), \dots, g_i(r)) dW_r$$

when $j = 2$ and

$$\int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} \left(\int_0^1 F^{(i)}(U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r) (g_1(r), \dots, g_i(r)) \frac{(1-\theta)^{(i-1)}}{(i-1)!} d\theta \right) dr,$$

when $j = 1^*$ respectively

$$\int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} \left(\int_0^1 B^{(i)}(U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r) (g_1(r), \dots, g_i(r)) \frac{(1-\theta)^{(i-1)}}{(i-1)!} d\theta \right) dW_r,$$

when $j = 2^*$ for all $s \in [t_0, T]$ and g_1, \dots, g_i in \mathcal{P} . Due to Assumptions 1-4 one can check that the stochastic processes I_j^0 and the mappings I_j^i are

well defined. The proof that the processes I_j^i are predictable (and in \mathcal{P}) is a little tricky: one shows that these processes are mean square continuous by using the Assumptions 2 and 3 and this yields that they have a predictable version. In the next step, we consider the mild solution of the SPDE (1), which obviously satisfies

$$\Delta U_s = (e^{A\Delta s} - I) U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} F(U_r) dr + \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} B(U_r) dW_r \quad (5)$$

almost surely, or, in terms of the above integral operators,

$$\Delta U_s = I_0^0(s) + I_{1^*}^0(s) + I_{2^*}^0(s) \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for $s \in [t_0, T]$, which we can write symbolically in the space \mathcal{P} as

$$\Delta U = I_0^0 + I_{1^*}^0 + I_{2^*}^0. \quad (6)$$

The stochastic processes $I_0^0, I_j^i[g_1, \dots, g_i]$ for $j = 1$ or 2 and $i \geq 0$ only depend on the solution at time $t = t_0$. These terms are therefore useful approximations for the solution U_s . However, the stochastic processes $I_{1^*}^i[g_1, \dots, g_i]$ and $I_{2^*}^i[g_1, \dots, g_i]$ with $i \geq 0$ depends on the solution path U_s with $s \in [t_0, T]$. In that sense the star $*$ at the number 1 and 2 denotes that we need a further expansion for these processes. For this we will use the important formulas

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1^*}^0 &= I_1^0 + I_{1^*}^1[\Delta U] \\ &= I_1^0 + I_{1^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2^*}^0 &= I_2^0 + I_{1^*}^1[\Delta U] \\ &= I_2^0 + I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which are an immediate consequence of integration by parts and equation (6), and more generally the iterated formulas

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1^*}^i[g_1, \dots, g_i] &= I_1^i[g_1, \dots, g_i] + I_{1^*}^{i+1}[\Delta U, g_1, \dots, g_i] \\ &= I_1^i[g_1, \dots, g_i] + I_{1^*}^{i+1}[I_0^0, g_1, \dots, g_i] \\ &\quad + I_{1^*}^{i+1}[I_{1^*}^0, g_1, \dots, g_i] + I_{1^*}^{i+1}[I_{2^*}^0, g_1, \dots, g_i] \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2^*}^i[g_1, \dots, g_i] &= I_2^i[g_1, \dots, g_i] + I_{2^*}^{i+1}[\Delta U, g_1, \dots, g_i] \\
&= I_2^i[g_1, \dots, g_i] + I_{2^*}^{i+1}[I_0^0, g_1, \dots, g_i] \\
&\quad + I_{2^*}^{i+1}[I_{1^*}^0, g_1, \dots, g_i] + I_{2^*}^{i+1}[I_{2^*}^0, g_1, \dots, g_i] \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

for g_1, \dots, g_i in \mathcal{P} , $i \geq 1$.

3.2 Derivation of simple Taylor expansions

To derive a further expansion of equation (6) we insert formula (7) to the stochastic process $I_{1^*}^0$ and we insert formula (8) to the stochastic process $I_{2^*}^0$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1^*}^0 &= I_1^0 + I_{1^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0], \\
I_{2^*}^0 &= I_2^0 + I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0]
\end{aligned}$$

into equation (6) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta U &= I_0^0 + (I_1^0 + I_{1^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0]) \\
&\quad + (I_2^0 + I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0]),
\end{aligned}$$

which can also be written as

$$\Delta U = I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0 + R \quad (11)$$

with

$$R = I_{1^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0].$$

If we can show that the double integral terms $I_j^l[I_k^0]$ in R are sufficient small (indeed, this will be done in the next section), then we obtain the approximation

$$\Delta U \approx I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0, \quad (12)$$

or, using the definition of the stochastic processes I_j^0 ,

$$\Delta U_t \approx (e^{A\Delta t} - I) U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} F(U_{t_0}) dr + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r$$

for $t \in [t_0, T]$. Hence

$$U_t \approx e^{A\Delta t}U_{t_0} + A^{-1} (e^{A\Delta t} - I) F(U_{t_0}) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r, \quad t \in [t_0, T] \quad (13)$$

is an approximation for the solution of SPDE (1). Since the right hand side of equation (13) depends on the solution only at time t_0 , it is the first non trivial Taylor expansion of the solution of the SPDE (1). The reminder terms $I_j^l[I_k^0]$ in R of this approximation can be estimated by

$$|R(t)|_{L^2} \leq C(\Delta t)^{(\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta))}$$

for $t \in [t_0, T]$ and with a constant $C \geq 0$ (see Theorem 1 in the next section). We write $Y_t = O((\Delta t)^r)$ with $r > 0$ for a stochastic process Y in \mathcal{P} if $|Y_t|_{L^2} \leq C(\Delta t)^r$ holds for all $t \in [t_0, T]$ with a constant $C > 0$. Therefore, we have

$$U_t - \left(e^{A\Delta t}U_{t_0} + A^{-1} (e^{A\Delta t} - I) F(U_{t_0}) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r \right) = O((\Delta t)^{(\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta))}),$$

or

$$U_t = e^{A\Delta t}U_{t_0} + A^{-1} (e^{A\Delta t} - I) F(U_{t_0}) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r + O((\Delta t)^{(\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta))}). \quad (14)$$

The approximation (13) thus has order $\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$ in the above strong sense. It plays an analogous role to the simplest strong Taylor expansion in Kloeden & Platen (1992) on which the Euler-Maruyama scheme for finite dimensional SODEs is based and was in fact in the case of additive noise used in Jentzen & Kloeden (2008b) to derive the exponential Euler scheme for the SPDE (1).

3.3 Higher order Taylor expansions

Further expansions of the reminder terms in a Taylor expansion give a Taylor expansion of higher order. To illustrate this, we will expand the terms $I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0]$ and $I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0]$ in R in equation (11). From (8) and (10) we have

$$I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0] = I_2^1[I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_0^0, I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{1^*}^0, I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{2^*}^0, I_0^0]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0] &= I_2^1[I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_0^0, I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{1^*}^0, I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{2^*}^0, I_{2^*}^0] \\
&= I_2^1[I_2^0] + I_2^1[I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0]] + I_2^1[I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0]] + I_2^1[I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0]] \\
&\quad + I_{2^*}^2[I_0^0, I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{1^*}^0, I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{2^*}^0, I_{2^*}^0],
\end{aligned}$$

which we insert into equation (11) to obtain

$$\Delta U = I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0 + I_2^1[I_0^0] + I_2^1[I_2^0] + R,$$

where the reminder term R is here given by

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= I_{1^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_0^0, I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{1^*}^0, I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{2^*}^0, I_0^0] \\
&+ I_2^1[I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0]] + I_2^1[I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0]] + I_2^1[I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0]] + I_{2^*}^2[I_0^0, I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{1^*}^0, I_{2^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^2[I_{2^*}^0, I_{2^*}^0].
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1 in the next section we will see that $R(t) = O((\Delta t)^{(\delta+2\min(\gamma,\delta))})$. Thus we have

$$\Delta U = I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0 + I_2^1[I_0^0] + I_2^1[I_2^0] + O((\Delta t)^{(\delta+2\min(\gamma,\delta))}),$$

which can also be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
U_t &= e^{A\Delta t}U_{t_0} + A^{-1}(e^{A\Delta t} - I)F(U_{t_0}) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B(U_{t_0})dW_s \\
&\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B'(U_{t_0})(e^{A\Delta s} - I)U_{t_0}dW_s \\
&\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B'(U_{t_0})\int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)}B(U_{t_0})dW_r dW_s + O((\Delta t)^{(\delta+2\min(\gamma,\delta))})
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [t_0, T]$. This approximation is of order $\delta + 2\min(\gamma, \delta)$. The double integral $dW_r dW_s$ indicates that this Taylor expansion is in a way the infinite dimensional analogon of the Milstein scheme for SODEs.

By iterating this idea we can construct further Taylor expansions. In particular, we will show in the next section how a Taylor expansion with arbitrary high order can be achieved.

4 Systematic derivation of Taylor expansions of arbitrary high order

The basic mechanism for deriving a Taylor expansion for the SPDE (1) was explained in the previous section. We will now show how Taylor expansions of arbitrary high order can be derived and will also estimate their reminder terms. For this we will identify the terms occurring in a Taylor expansions by combinatorial objects, i.e. trees. It is a standard tool in numerical analysis to describe higher order terms in a Taylor expansion via rooted trees (see, for example, Butcher (1987) for ODEs and Burrage & Burrage (2000), Rößler (2001, 2004) for SODEs). In particular, we introduce a class of trees which is appropriate for our situation and show how the trees relate to the desired Taylor expansions.

4.1 Stochastic trees and woods

We begin with the definition of the trees that we need, adapting the standard notation of the trees used in the Taylor expansion of SODEs (see for example Definition 2.3.1 in Rößler (2001) as well as Burrage & Burrage (2000), Rößler (2004)).

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be a natural number and let

$$\mathbf{t}' : \{2, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}, \quad \mathbf{t}'' : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 1^*, 2^*\},$$

be two mappings with the property that $\mathbf{t}'(j) < j$ for all $j \in \{2, \dots, N\}$. The pair of mappings $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{t}'')$ is a S-tree (stochastic tree) of length $N = l(\mathbf{t})$ nodes.

Every S-tree can be represented as a graph, whose nodes are given by the set $\text{nd}(\mathbf{t}) := \{1, \dots, N\}$ and whose arcs are described by the mapping \mathbf{t}' in the sense that there is an edge from j to $\mathbf{t}'(j)$ for every node $j \in \{2, \dots, N\}$. The mapping \mathbf{t}'' is an additional labelling of the nodes with $\mathbf{t}''(j) \in \{0, 1, 2, 1^*, 2^*\}$ indicating the type of node j for every $j \in \text{nd}(\mathbf{t})$. The left picture in Figure 1 corresponds to the tree $\mathbf{t}_1 = (\mathbf{t}'_1, \mathbf{t}''_1)$ with $\text{nd}(\mathbf{t}_1) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ given by

$$\mathbf{t}'_1(5) = 2, \quad \mathbf{t}'_1(4) = 1, \quad \mathbf{t}'_1(3) = 2, \quad \mathbf{t}'_1(2) = 1$$




Figure 1: Two examples of stochastic trees

and

$$\mathbf{t}_1''(1) = 1, \quad \mathbf{t}_1''(2) = 1^*, \quad \mathbf{t}_1''(3) = 2, \quad \mathbf{t}_1''(4) = 0, \quad \mathbf{t}_1''(5) = 2^*.$$

The root is always presented as the lowest node. The number on the left of a node in Figure 1 is the number of the node of the corresponding tree. The type of the nodes in Figure 1 depends on the additional labelling of the nodes given by \mathbf{t}_2'' . More precisely, we represent a node $j \in \text{nd}(\mathbf{t}_1)$ by \otimes if $\mathbf{t}_1''(j) = 0$, by \bullet if $\mathbf{t}_1''(j) = 1$, by \circ if $\mathbf{t}_1''(j) = 2$, by \blacksquare if $\mathbf{t}_1''(j) = 1^*$ and finally by \square if $\mathbf{t}_1''(j) = 2^*$. The right picture in Figure 1 corresponds to the tree $\mathbf{t}_2 = (\mathbf{t}'_2, \mathbf{t}''_2)$ with $\text{nd}(\mathbf{t}_2) = \{1, \dots, 7\}$ given by

$$\mathbf{t}'_2(7) = 4, \quad \mathbf{t}'_2(6) = 4, \quad \mathbf{t}'_2(5) = 1, \quad \mathbf{t}'_2(4) = 1, \quad \mathbf{t}'_2(3) = 1, \quad \mathbf{t}'_2(2) = 1$$

and

$$\mathbf{t}''_2(1) = 0, \quad \mathbf{t}''_2(2) = 0, \quad \mathbf{t}''_2(3) = 2, \quad \mathbf{t}''_2(4) = 1, \quad \mathbf{t}''_2(5) = 1^*, \quad \mathbf{t}''_2(6) = 1, \quad \mathbf{t}''_2(7) = 2^*.$$

We denote the set of all stochastic trees by \mathbf{ST} and will also consider a tuple of trees, i.e. a wood. The set of S-woods (stochastic woods) is defined by

$$\mathbf{SW} := \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{ST})^l.$$

Of course, we have the embedding $\mathbf{ST} \subset \mathbf{SW}$. A simple example of an S-wood which will be required later is $\mathbf{w}_0 = (\mathbf{t}_1, \mathbf{t}_2, \mathbf{t}_3)$ with \mathbf{t}_1 , \mathbf{t}_2 and \mathbf{t}_3 are given by $l(\mathbf{t}_1) = l(\mathbf{t}_2) = l(\mathbf{t}_3) = 1$ and $\mathbf{t}_1''(1) = 0$, $\mathbf{t}_2''(1) = 1^*$, $\mathbf{t}_3''(1) = 2^*$. This is shown in Figure 2 where the left tree corresponds to \mathbf{t}_1 , the middle one to \mathbf{t}_2 and the right tree corresponds to \mathbf{t}_3 .

$$1 \otimes \quad 1 \blacksquare \quad 1 \square$$

Figure 2: The stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_0 in \mathbf{SW}

4.2 Construction of stochastic trees and woods

We define an operator on the set \mathbf{SW} , that will enable us to construct an appropriate stochastic wood step by step. Let $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_l)$ be a S-wood with $\mathbf{t}_i = (\mathbf{t}'_i, \mathbf{t}''_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$. Moreover, let $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, l(\mathbf{t}_i)\}$ be given and suppose that $\mathbf{t}''_i(j) = 1^*$ or $\mathbf{t}'_i(j) = 2^*$, in which case we call the pair (i, j) an active node of \mathbf{w} . We denote the set of all active nodes of \mathbf{w} by $\text{acn}(\mathbf{w})$. In Figures for woods respectively trees (for example Figure 1) these nodes are represented by a square (a filled square \blacksquare for 1^* and a simple square \square for 2^*). Now, we introduce the trees $\mathbf{t}_{l+1} = (\mathbf{t}'_{l+1}, \mathbf{t}''_{l+1})$, $\mathbf{t}_{l+2} = (\mathbf{t}'_{l+2}, \mathbf{t}''_{l+2})$ and $\mathbf{t}_{l+3} = (\mathbf{t}'_{l+3}, \mathbf{t}''_{l+3})$ in \mathbf{ST} by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nd}(\mathbf{t}_{l+k}) &= \{1, \dots, l(\mathbf{t}_i), l(\mathbf{t}_i) + 1\}, \\ \mathbf{t}'_{l+k}(n) &= \mathbf{t}'_i(n), \quad 2 \leq n \leq l(\mathbf{t}_i), \quad \mathbf{t}''_{l+k}(n) = \mathbf{t}''_i(n), \quad 1 \leq n \leq l(\mathbf{t}_i), \\ \mathbf{t}'_{l+k}(l(\mathbf{t}_i) + 1) &= j, \quad \mathbf{t}''_{l+k}(l(\mathbf{t}_i) + 1) = \begin{cases} 0 & k = 1 \\ 1^* & k = 2 \\ 2^* & k = 3, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Finally, we consider the S-tree $\tilde{\mathbf{t}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{t}}', \tilde{\mathbf{t}}'')$ given by $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}' = \mathbf{t}'_i$, but with $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}''$ given by $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}''(n) = \mathbf{t}''_i(n)$ for $n \neq j$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}''(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{t}''_i(j) = 1^* \\ 2 & \mathbf{t}''_i(j) = 2^* \end{cases}$.

Then, we define

$$E_{(i,j)}(\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_l) := (\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{i-1}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{t}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{l+3})$$

and consider the set of all woods that can be constructed by iteratively applying the $E_{(i,j)}$ operations, i.e. we define

$$\mathbf{SW}' := \left\{ \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{SW} \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{w} = E_{(i_n, j_n)} \dots E_{(i_1, j_1)} \mathbf{w}_0, \quad n \geq 0 \\ (i_l, j_l) \in \text{acn}(E_{(i_{l-1}, j_{l-1})} \dots E_{(i_1, j_1)} \mathbf{w}_0), \quad 1 \leq l \leq n \end{array} \right\}$$

for the \mathbf{w}_0 introduced above. To illustrate these definitions, we present some examples using the initial stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_0 given in Figure 2. First,




Figure 3: The stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_1 in **SW**




Figure 4: The stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_2 in **SW**

the active nodes of \mathbf{w}_0 are $\text{acn}(\mathbf{w}_0) = \{(2, 1), (3, 1)\}$, since the first node in the second tree and the first node in the third tree are represented by squares. Hence, $E_{(3,1)}\mathbf{w}_0$ is well defined and the resulting stochastic wood $\mathbf{w}_1 = E_{(3,1)}\mathbf{w}_0$, which has six trees, is presented in Figure 3. Writing $\mathbf{w}_1 = (\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_6)$, the left tree in Figure 3 corresponds to \mathbf{t}_1 , the second tree in Figure 3 corresponds to \mathbf{t}_2 , and so on. Moreover, we have

$$\text{acn}(\mathbf{w}_1) = \{(2, 1), (4, 1), (5, 1), (5, 2), (6, 1), (6, 2)\} \quad (15)$$

for the active nodes of \mathbf{w}_1 , so $\mathbf{w}_2 = E_{(2,1)}\mathbf{w}_1$ is also well defined. It is presented in Figure 4. In Figure 5, we present the stochastic wood $\mathbf{w}_3 = E_{(4,1)}\mathbf{w}_2$, which is well defined since

$$\text{acn}(\mathbf{w}_2) = \{(4, 1), (5, 1), (5, 2), (6, 1), (6, 2), (7, 1), (8, 1), (8, 2), (9, 1), (9, 2)\}. \quad (16)$$

For the S-wood \mathbf{w}_3 , we have

$$\text{acn}(\mathbf{w}_3) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (5, 1), (5, 2), (6, 1), (6, 2), (7, 1), (8, 1), (8, 2), \\ (9, 1), (9, 2), (10, 1), (11, 1), (11, 3), (12, 1), (12, 3) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Therefore, we present the stochastic wood $\mathbf{w}_4 = E_{(6,1)}\mathbf{w}_3$ in Figure 6. Hence,




Figure 5: The stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_3 in **SW**




Figure 6: The stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_4 in **SW**




Figure 8: Subtrees of the right tree in Figure 1

Suppose now that $j_1 < \dots < j_n$ with $n \geq 1$ are the nodes of \mathbf{t} such that $\mathbf{t}'(j_i) = \text{root}(\mathbf{t})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For given $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ suppose that

$$j_i = j_{i,1} < j_{i,2} < \dots < j_{i,l_i} \leq l(\mathbf{t}),$$

where $l_i \geq 1$ and $j_{i,1}, \dots, j_{i,l_i}$ are the grandchildren of j_i . Then, we define the trees $\mathbf{t}_i = (\mathbf{t}'_i, \mathbf{t}''_i)$ with $l(\mathbf{t}_i) := l_i$ such that

$$j_{i,\mathbf{t}'_i(k)} = \mathbf{t}'(j_{i,k}), \quad \mathbf{t}''_i(k) = \mathbf{t}''(j_{i,k})$$

for all $k \in \{2, \dots, l_i\}$ and $\mathbf{t}''_i(1) = \mathbf{t}''(j_i)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$. We call the trees $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n$ defined in this way the subtrees of \mathbf{t} . For example, the subtrees of the right tree in Figure 1 are presented in Figure 8.

4.4 Order of a tree

We also require the order of a stochastic tree and of a stochastic wood. For this we introduce a function $\text{ord} : \mathbf{ST} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}) := & |\{j \in \text{nd}(\mathbf{t}) \mid \mathbf{t}''(j) = 1 \text{ or } \mathbf{t}''(j) = 1^*\}| \\ & + \gamma |\{j \in \text{nd}(\mathbf{t}) \mid \mathbf{t}''(j) = 0\}| + \delta |\{j \in \text{nd}(\mathbf{t}) \mid \mathbf{t}''(j) = 2 \text{ or } \mathbf{t}''(j) = 2^*\}| \end{aligned}$$

for every S-tree $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{t}'')$ with $\mathbf{t}''(1) \neq 0$ and $\text{ord}(\mathbf{t}) := \gamma$ for every S-tree $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{t}'')$ with $\mathbf{t}''(1) = 0$. For example, the order of the left tree in Figure 1 is $2 + \gamma + 2\delta$ (since the left tree has one node of type 0, two nodes of type 1 respectively 1^* and finally also two nodes of type 2 respectively 2^*) and the order of the right tree in Figure 1 is γ since its root is of type 0. In addition, we say that a tree $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{t}'')$ in \mathbf{ST} is active if there is a $j \in \text{nd}(\mathbf{t})$ such that $\mathbf{t}''(j) = 1^*$ or $\mathbf{t}''(j) = 2^*$. In that sense a S-tree is active if it has an

active node. Moreover, we define the order of an S-wood $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n)$ with $n \geq 1$ as

$$\text{ord}(\mathbf{w}) := \min \{ \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_i), 1 \leq i \leq n \mid \mathbf{t}_i \text{ is active} \}.$$

To illustrate this definition, we calculate the order of some stochastic woods. First of all, the stochastic wood in Figure 2 has order δ , since the middle tree and the last (the third) tree in Figure 2 are active. More precisely, the nodes $(2, 1)$ and $(3, 1)$ of the S-wood \mathbf{w}_0 are active nodes and therefore the second and the third tree are active. The second tree in Figure 2 has order 1 (since it only consists of one node of type 1^*) and the third tree in Figure 2 has order δ . Hence, since $\delta \leq 1$, the S-wood \mathbf{w}_0 has order δ . Since the second tree and the last three trees are active in the stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_1 in Figure 3 (see equation (15) for the active nodes of \mathbf{w}_1), we obtain that the stochastic wood in Figure 3 has order $\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$. The second tree in \mathbf{w}_1 has order 1 and the last three trees in the S-wood \mathbf{w}_1 have order $\delta + \gamma$, $\delta + 1$ respectively 2δ . As a third example, we consider the S-wood \mathbf{w}_2 in Figure 4. The active nodes of \mathbf{w}_2 are presented in equation (16). Hence, the last six S-trees are active. They have the orders $\delta + \gamma$, $\delta + 1$, 2δ , $1 + \gamma$, 2 and $1 + \delta$. The minimum of the six real numbers is $\delta + \min(\delta, \gamma)$. Therefore, the order of the S-wood \mathbf{w}_2 in Figure 4 is also $\delta + \min(\delta, \gamma)$. A similar calculation shows that the order of the stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_3 in Figure 5 is $\delta + \min(\delta, 2\gamma)$ and that the order of the stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_4 in Figure 6 is also $\delta + \min(\delta, 2\gamma)$. Finally, we obtain that the stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_5 in Figure 7 is of order $\delta + 2 \min(\delta, \gamma)$.

4.5 Trees and stochastic processes

To identify each tree in \mathbf{ST} with a predictable stochastic process in \mathcal{P} , we define two functions $\Phi, \Psi : \mathbf{ST} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ recursively. For a given S-tree $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{t}'')$ with $k = \mathbf{t}''(1)$ we define $\Phi(\mathbf{t}) := I_k^0$ when $k = 0$ or $l(\mathbf{t}) = 1$ and, when $l(\mathbf{t}) \geq 2$ and $k \neq 0$, we define

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t}) := I_k^n [\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1), \dots, \Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)],$$

where $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n$, $n \geq 1$, are the subtrees of \mathbf{t} . In addition, for an arbitrary \mathbf{t} in \mathbf{ST} , we define $\Psi(\mathbf{t}) := 0$ if \mathbf{t} is an active tree and $\Psi(\mathbf{t}) = \Phi(\mathbf{t})$ otherwise. Finally, for an S-wood $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n)$, $n \geq 1$, we define $\Phi(\mathbf{w})$ and $\Psi(\mathbf{w})$ by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \Phi(\mathbf{t}_1) + \dots + \Phi(\mathbf{t}_n), \quad \Psi(\mathbf{w}) = \Psi(\mathbf{t}_1) + \dots + \Psi(\mathbf{t}_n).$$

As an example, for the elementary stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_0 (see Figure 2) we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}_0) = I_0^0 + I_{1^*}^0 + I_{2^*}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(\mathbf{w}_0) = I_0^0. \quad (20)$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}_0) = \Delta U \quad (21)$$

from the equation above and equation (6). Since (3, 1) is an active node of \mathbf{w}_0 , we obtain

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}_1) = I_0^0 + I_{1^*}^0 + I_2^0 + I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0] \quad (22)$$

and

$$\Psi(\mathbf{w}_1) = I_0^0 + I_2^0 \quad (23)$$

for the S-wood $\mathbf{w}_1 = E_{(3,1)}\mathbf{w}_0$ presented in Figure 3. Moreover, in view of equations (7), (8), (9) and (10), we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \Phi(E_{(i,j)}\mathbf{w}) \quad (24)$$

for every active node (i, j) of a stochastic wood $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{SW}$. Hence, we obtain

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}_1) = \Phi(E_{(3,1)}\mathbf{w}_0) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}_0)$$

due to the equation above and the definition of \mathbf{w}_1 . Hence, we also obtain $\Phi(\mathbf{w}_1) = \Delta U$. And again equation (24) yields

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}_5) = \Phi(\mathbf{w}_4) = \dots = \Phi(\mathbf{w}_0) = \Delta U$$

by the definition of $\mathbf{w}_5, \dots, \mathbf{w}_1$. As a further example, we obtain

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}_2) = I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0 + I_{2^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{2^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_0^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{1^*}^0] + I_{1^*}^1[I_{2^*}^0], \quad (25)$$

which is, since $\Phi(\mathbf{w}_2) = \Delta U$, nothing else than the expansion of the solution given by equation (11). However, in the expression of the right hand side of the equation (25), the solution process $(U_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ occurs, for example in the integrals $I_{1^*}^1[I_0^0]$. More precisely, in every integral of type 1^* or 2^* . Therefore, we use $\Psi(\mathbf{w}_2)$ instead of $\Phi(\mathbf{w}_2)$, which omits all these integrals, as a good approximation of the solution process. We have

$$\Delta U = \Phi(\mathbf{w}_2) \approx \Psi(\mathbf{w}_2) = I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0.$$

We also note that the right hand side of the equation above is just the exponential Euler approximation in equation (12). With the above notation and definitions we are now able to present the main result, which is a presentation formula for the solution of the SPDE (1) by the Taylor expansion and an estimate of the remainder of the Taylor approximation. Hence, it can be seen that $\Psi(\cdot)$ is indeed a good approximation of $\Phi(\cdot)$.

Theorem 1. *Let Assumptions 1-4 be fulfilled. Let \mathbf{w} be an arbitrary given stochastic wood in \mathbf{SW}' and let $p \geq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}[U_t = U_{t_0} + \Phi(\mathbf{w})(t)] = 1, \quad |U_t - (U_{t_0} + \Psi(\mathbf{w})(t))|_{L^p} \leq C(\Delta t)^{\text{ord}(\mathbf{w})} \quad (26)$$

for every $t \in [t_0, T]$, where U_t , $t \in [0, T]$, is the unique solution of the SPDE (1). Here the constant $C > 0$ only depends on the S-wood \mathbf{w} , on $p \geq 1$, on $T > 0$, on $|F(0)|$ given in Assumptions 2, on $\delta, (L_i)_{i \geq 0}$ given in Assumptions 3 and on $(R_i)_{i \geq 1}, (K_i)_{i \geq 1}$ given in equation (4).

The representation of the solution here is a direct consequence of equations (21) and (24) and the definition of \mathbf{SW}' . The proof for the estimate in (26) will be given in section 6. Here $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) = \Delta U$ is the Taylor expansion of the increment of the solution of the SPDE (1), while $\Psi(\mathbf{w})$ is the Taylor approximation of the increment of the solution and $\Phi(\mathbf{w}) - \Psi(\mathbf{w})$ is its remainder, which are uniquely described by the S-wood \mathbf{w} in \mathbf{SW}' . Since there are woods in \mathbf{SW}' with arbitrary high orders, Taylor expansions of arbitrary high order can be constructed by successively applying the operator $E_{(i,j)}$ to the initial S-wood \mathbf{w}_0 . Finally, the result of Theorem 1 can also be written as

$$U_t = U_{t_0} + \Psi(\mathbf{w}) + O((\Delta t)^{\text{ord}(\mathbf{w})})$$

for $t \in [t_0, T]$ and a stochastic wood $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{SW}'$.

5 Examples

We present some examples here to illustrate the Taylor expansions introduced above and Assumptions 1-4.

5.1 Abstract examples of the Taylor expansions

We begin with some abstract examples of the Taylor expansions.

5.1.1 Taylor expansion of order δ

The first Taylor expansion of the solution is given by the initial stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_0 (see Figure 2), i.e. we have $\Phi(\mathbf{w}_0) = \Delta U$ approximated by $\Psi(\mathbf{w}_0)$ with order $\text{ord}(\mathbf{w}_0)$. Precisely, we have

$$\Psi(\mathbf{w}_0)(t) = (e^{A\Delta t} - I)U_{t_0}$$

and

$$\Phi(\mathbf{w}_0)(t) = (e^{A\Delta t} - I)U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)} F(U_s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)} B(U_s) dW_s$$

almost surely due to equation (20). Since, $\text{ord}(\mathbf{w}_0) = \delta$ (see section 4.4.4), we finally obtain

$$U_t = e^{A\Delta t} U_{t_0} + O((\Delta t)^\delta)$$

as a Taylor expansion of order δ . Here t is always in $[t_0, T]$.

5.1.2 Taylor expansion of order $\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$

In the next step, we consider the Taylor expansion given by the S-wood \mathbf{w}_1 (see Figure 3). Here $\Phi(\mathbf{w}_1)$ and $\Psi(\mathbf{w}_1)$ are presented in equation (22) and (23). Since $\text{ord}(\mathbf{w}_1) = \delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$ (see section 4.4.4), we obtain

$$U_t = e^{A\Delta t} U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r + O((\Delta t)^{(\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta))})$$

as a Taylor expansion of order $\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$.

5.1.3 A further Taylor expansion of order $\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$

The stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_2 (see Figure 4) has order $\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$ (see section 4.4.4). Here the Taylor approximation $\Psi(\mathbf{w}_2)$ of $\Phi(\mathbf{w}_2) = \Delta U$ is given by $\Psi(\mathbf{w}_2) = I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0$ and therefore, we obtain

$$U_t = e^{A\Delta t} U_{t_0} + A^{-1}(e^{A\Delta t} - I)F(U_{t_0}) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r + O((\Delta t)^{(\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta))})$$

as a further Taylor expansion of order $\delta + \min(\gamma, \delta)$. This example corresponds in the case of additive noise to the exponential Euler scheme introduced in Jentzen & Kloeden (2008b), which was already discussed in section

3.3.2 (see also equation (14)). One could ask, why one presents this Taylor expansion here, if it is of the same order as the Taylor expansion above. The reason is the following: The local approximation quality of these both Taylor expansions is the same. However, for numerical schemes one has to consider the global approximation quality of a one-step numerical scheme induced by a local approximation. It turns out that the one-step numerical scheme induced by this Taylor expansion has very good global approximation properties, which can also be seen in Jentzen & Kloeden (2008b) in the case of additive noise and is a task for further research in the general case (see Remark 1).

5.1.4 Taylor expansion of order $\delta + \min(2\gamma, \delta)$

In the next step, the stochastic wood \mathbf{w}_3 (see Figure 5) has order $\delta + \min(2\gamma, \delta)$ (see section 4.4.4). Moreover, we have

$$\Psi(\mathbf{w}_3) = I_0^0 + I_1^0 + I_2^0 + I_2^1[I_0^0]$$

and hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= e^{A\Delta t}U_{t_0} + A^{-1}(e^{A\Delta t} - I)F(U_{t_0}) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B(U_{t_0})dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B'(U_{t_0})((e^{A\Delta s} - I)U_{t_0})dW_s + O((\Delta t)^{(\delta + \min(2\gamma, \delta))}) \end{aligned}$$

as a Taylor expansion of order $\delta + \min(2\gamma, \delta)$.

5.1.5 Taylor expansion of order $\delta + 2\min(\gamma, \delta)$

Since \mathbf{w}_4 yields the same Taylor approximation as \mathbf{w}_3 , i.e. $\Psi(\mathbf{w}_4) = \Psi(\mathbf{w}_3)$, we present here the Taylor approximation for the S-wood \mathbf{w}_5 (see Figure 7). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= e^{A\Delta t}U_{t_0} + A^{-1}(e^{A\Delta t} - I)F(U_{t_0}) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B(U_{t_0})dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B'(U_{t_0})\int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)}B(U_{t_0})dW_r dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B'(U_{t_0})((e^{A\Delta s} - I)U_{t_0})dW_s + O((\Delta t)^{(\delta + 2\min(\gamma, \delta))}) \end{aligned}$$

as a Taylor expansion of order $\delta+2 \min(\gamma, \delta)$ (see section 4.4.4). This example corresponds to the Taylor expansion introduced in section 3.3.3.

5.2 Additive noise

In the case of additive noise the stochastic trees and stochastic woods can be simplified. Therefore, a detailed presentation of abstract and concrete examples of the Taylor expansions in the case of additive noise can be found in Jentzen & Kloeden (2008c).

5.3 Noise via multiplication operators

As an example of our assumptions, we consider the stochastic heat equation with multiplicative space time noise in one dimension (see also section 2 in Müller-Gronbach & Ritter (2007a) for a very precise description of this example). Let $H = L^2((0, 1), \mathbb{R})$ be the Hilbert space of all square integrable functions from $(0, 1)$ to \mathbb{R} . The scalar product and the norm in H are given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x) dx, \quad |f| = \left(\int_0^1 f(x)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for every $f, g \in H$. We also define $U := H$. Moreover, let $A = \Delta$ be the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions in one dimension, i.e. $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}$ and

$$e_i(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(i\pi x), \quad \lambda_i = \pi^2 i^2$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$ and all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The operator A is then given by

$$Af = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} -\lambda_i \langle e_i, f \rangle e_i$$

for all $f \in D(A)$ with $D(A) = \{f \in H \mid \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_i^2 |\langle e_i, f \rangle|^2 < \infty\}$ and in that way Assumption 1 is fulfilled. Furthermore let $F = 0$ and we define B by

$$B : H \rightarrow L(H, D), \quad (B(v)(w))(x) := v(x) \cdot w(x) \quad (27)$$

for every $x \in (0, 1)$ and $v, w \in H$, where $D = L^1((0, 1), \mathbb{R})$ is the Banach space of all integrable functions from $(0, 1)$ to \mathbb{R} . Indeed, B is well defined,

since

$$\begin{aligned} |B(v)(w)|_D &= \int_0^1 |v(x) \cdot w(x)| dx \leq \left(\int_0^1 |v(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^1 |w(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= |v| \cdot |w| \end{aligned}$$

for all $v, w \in H$ due to the inequality of Cauchy-Schwartz and therefore $B(v)$ is indeed a bounded linear operator from H to D with $|B(v)|_{L(H,D)} \leq |v|$ for all $v \in H$. Even more, by definition, also B is a linear operator from H to $L(H, D)$. In particular, it is infinitely often differentiable with the derivatives $B'(v) = B$ and $B^{(i)}(v) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$ and every $v \in H$. Furthermore, it is straightforward to verify that $(-A)^\gamma e^{At} B(v)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator from H to H with

$$|e^{At} B(v)|_{HS} \leq 4(T+1) |v| t^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |(-A)^\gamma e^{At} B(v)|_{HS} \leq 4(T+1) |v| t^{-(\frac{1}{4}+\gamma)}$$

for every $v \in H$, $t \in (0, T]$, $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ (see Jentzen & Kloeden (2008d) and also Remark 2 in Müller-Gronbach & Ritter (2007a)). Hence, since $L^1(0, 1) \subset D((-A)^{(-\frac{1}{2})})$ continuously, Assumptions 2 and 3 are also fulfilled with the parameters $\gamma = \frac{1}{4} - r$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ for an arbitrary but very small $r > 0$. Now, we present the abstract Taylor expansions in section 5.5.1 in that particular situation. First of all, the Taylor expansion in section 5.5.1.5.1.1 is here given by

$$U_t = e^{A\Delta t} U_{t_0} + O((\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{4}}).$$

Here t is always in $[t_0, T]$. In the next step, we consider the Taylor expansion in section 5.5.1.5.1.2 respectively 5.5.1.5.1.3, which is here given by

$$U_t = e^{A\Delta t} U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-r)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_r + O((\Delta t)^{(\frac{1}{2}-r)}).$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= e^{A\Delta t} U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)} B(U_{t_0}) dW_s \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)} B((e^{A\Delta s} - I) U_{t_0}) dW_s + O((\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= e^{A\Delta t} U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)} B(e^{A\Delta s} U_{t_0}) dW_s + O((\Delta t)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned}$$

for the Taylor expansion in section 5.5.1.5.1.4. Finally, we obtain

$$U_t = e^{A\Delta t}U_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B(e^{A\Delta s}U_{t_0})dW_s \\ + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-s)}B\left(\int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)}B(U_{t_0})dW_r\right)dW_s + O((\Delta t)^{\left(\frac{3}{4}-2r\right)})$$

for the Taylor expansion in section 5.5.1.5.1.5.

Remark 1. *For further research, it would be interesting to analyze the numerical one-step scheme induced by the Taylor expansion in section 5.5.1.5.1.3. Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$ be given discretization times. Then, one could consider the scheme $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ given by $X_0 = u_0$ and*

$$X_{n+1} = e^{A(t_{n+1}-t_n)}X_n + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} e^{A(t_{n+1}-r)}B(X_n)dW_r$$

for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Of course, this is just a discretization in time, but for the space discretization one could use standard methods such as spectral Galerkin methods. Note also that the second summand in the scheme given above is an Itô integral with an integrand that is \mathcal{F}_{t_n} -measurable and therefore the conditional distribution of this integral (with respect to \mathcal{F}_{t_n}) is normal. Although it was shown in the seminal paper Davie & Gaines (2000) that even for a large class of linear functionals the computational order barrier of $\frac{1}{6}$ (convergence speed of the linear implicit Euler scheme) cannot be overcome in this special example, there is still hope that this numerical scheme converges with a higher order, since the linear functionals above do not fit in the class of linear functionals used there. Therefore, from my point of view, it would be very interesting to analyze the convergence order of the scheme given above.

6 Proofs

In the following, we need a version of the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality in infinite dimensions (see Lemma 7.7 in Da Prato & Zabczyk (1992)).

Lemma 1. *Let $X_t, t \in [t_0, T]$ be a predictable stochastic process, whose values are Hilbert-Schmidt operators from U to H with $\mathbb{E} \int_{t_0}^T \|X_s\|_{HS}^2 ds < \infty$. Then,*

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^t X_s dW_s \right|_{L^p} \leq p \left(\int_{t_0}^t \|X_s\|_{HS}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for every $t \in [t_0, T]$ and every $p \geq 2$. (Both sides could be infinite.)

6.1 Proof of Theorem 1

In view of the definitions of the mappings Φ and Ψ , the proof of the Theorem 1 immediately follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let Assumptions 1-4 be fulfilled. Let $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{t}', \mathbf{t}'')$ be a given S-tree and let $p \geq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s)|_{L^p} \leq C \cdot (\Delta s)^{\text{ord}(\mathbf{t})}$$

for all $s \in [t_0, T]$, where $C > 0$ only depends on the S-tree \mathbf{t} , on $p \geq 1$, on $|F(0)|$ given in Assumptions 2, on $\delta, (L_i)_{i \geq 1}$ given in Assumptions 3 and on $(R)_{i \geq 1}, (K_i)_{i \geq 1}$ given in equation (4).

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $p \geq 2$. Throughout the proof $C > 0$ is a constant changing from line to line but only depends on $|F(0)|, \delta, (R_i)_{i \geq 1}, p, \mathbf{t}, (L_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(K_i)_{i \geq 1}$. We will prove the assertion by induction with respect to the number of nodes $l(\mathbf{t})$.

Let $s \in [t_0, T]$ and suppose that $k = \mathbf{t}''(1)$. Then, by Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(e^{A\Delta s} - I) U_{t_0}|_{L^p} &\leq |(-A)^{-\gamma} (e^{A\Delta s} - I)| \cdot |(-A)^\gamma U_{t_0}|_{L^p} \\ &\leq R_p (\Delta s)^\gamma \leq C (\Delta s)^\gamma, \\ \left| \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} F(U_r) dr \right|_{L^p} &\leq \int_{t_0}^s |e^{A(s-r)} F(U_r)|_{L^p} dr \leq \int_{t_0}^s (|F(0)| + K_0 |U_r|_{L^p}) dr \\ &\leq (|F(0)| + K_0 R_p) (\Delta s) \leq C (\Delta s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} B(U_r) dW_r \right|_{L^p} &\leq p \left(\int_{t_0}^s \|e^{A(s-r)} B(U_r)\|_{HS}^2 |_{L^p} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq L_0 p \left(\int_{t_0}^s (1 + |U_r|_{L^p})^2 (s-r)^{(2\delta-1)} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq (1 + R_p) L_0 p \left(\int_{t_0}^s (s-r)^{(2\delta-1)} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (1 + R_p) L_0 p \left(\int_0^{\Delta s} r^{(2\delta-1)} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \left(\frac{(1 + R_p) L_0 p}{\sqrt{2\delta}} \right) (\Delta s)^\delta \leq C(\Delta s)^\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields $|\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s)|_{L^p} \leq C(\Delta s)^{\text{ord}(\mathbf{t})}$ when $k = 0$ or $l(\mathbf{t}) = 1$ since by definition $\Phi(\mathbf{t}) = I_k^0$ here.

Suppose now that $l(\mathbf{t}) \geq 2$ and $k \neq 0$. Let $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_n, n \geq 1$, be the subtrees of \mathbf{t} . Then, by definition, we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s) = I_k^n [\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1), \dots, \Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)](s)$$

for $s \in [t_0, T]$. When $k = 1^*$, we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s) = \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} \left(\int_0^1 F^{(n)}(U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r) (\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r), \dots, \Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)) \frac{(1-\theta)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} d\theta \right) dr$$

and therefore

$$|\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s)| \leq K_n \int_{t_0}^s |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r)| \cdots |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)| dr.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s)|_{L^p} &\leq K_n \int_{t_0}^s \|\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r)\| \cdots \|\Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)\| |_{L^p} dr \\
&\leq K_n \int_{t_0}^s |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r)|_{L^{pn}} \cdots |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)|_{L^{pn}} dr \\
&\leq C(\Delta s)^{(1+\text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_1)+\dots+\text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_n))} = C(\Delta s)^{\text{ord}(\mathbf{t})},
\end{aligned}$$

since $l(\mathbf{t}_1), \dots, l(\mathbf{t}_n) \leq l(\mathbf{t}) - 1$ and we can apply the induction assertion to the subtrees. A similar calculation shows the result when $k = 1$.

When $k = 2^*$, we have

$$\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s) = \int_{t_0}^s e^{A(s-r)} \left(\int_0^1 B^{(n)}(U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r) (\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r), \dots, \Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)) \frac{(1-\theta)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} d\theta \right) dW_r$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s)|_{L^p} \\ & \leq p \left(\int_{t_0}^s \left\| \int_0^1 e^{A(s-r)} B^{(n)}(U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r) (\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r), \dots, \Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)) \frac{(1-\theta)^{(n-1)}}{(n-1)!} d\theta \right\|_{HS} \right)_{L^p}^2 dr \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq p \left(\int_{t_0}^s \left(\int_0^1 \|e^{A(s-r)} B^{(n)}(U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r) (\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r), \dots, \Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r))\|_{HS} d\theta \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq L_n p \left(\int_{t_0}^s (s-r)^{(2\delta-1)} \left(\int_0^1 |(1 + |U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r|) |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r)| \dots |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)|\|_{L^p} d\theta \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 |(1 + |U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r|) |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r)| \dots |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)|\|_{L^p} d\theta \\ & \leq \int_0^1 (1 + |U_{t_0} + \theta \Delta U_r|_{L^{p(n+1)}}) |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_1)(r)|_{L^{p(n+1)}} \dots |\Phi(\mathbf{t}_n)(r)|_{L^{p(n+1)}} d\theta \\ & \leq C (r - t_0)^{(\text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_n))} \int_0^1 (1 + |\theta U_r + (1-\theta)U_{t_0}|_{L^{p(n+1)}}) d\theta \\ & \leq C (1 + R_{p(n+1)}) (r - t_0)^{(\text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_n))} \leq C(\Delta_S)^{(\text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_n))} \end{aligned}$$

for every $r \in [t_0, s]$ due to the induction assertion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(\mathbf{t})(s)|_{L^p} & \leq C \left(\int_{t_0}^s (s-r)^{(2\delta-1)} dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\Delta_S)^{(\text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_n))} \\ & \leq C(\Delta_S)^{(\delta + \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(\mathbf{t}_n))} = C(\Delta_S)^{\text{ord}(\mathbf{t})}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows the result when $k = 2$. \square

Acknowledgements 1. *This work was supported by the DFG project ‘‘Path-wise numerics and dynamics of stochastic evolution equations’’.*

References

- [1] Burrage, K. and Burrage, P. M., Order conditions of stochastic Runge-Kutta methods by B -series, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **38** (2000), No. 5, 1626-1646.
- [2] Bayer, C. and Teichmann, J., Cubature on Wiener Space in infinite dimension, arXiv/0711.3763, *to appear in Proceedings of the Royal Society London A*, 2008.
- [3] Butcher, J. C., *The Numerical Analysis of Ordinary Differential Equations. Runge-Kutta and General Linear Methods*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1987
- [4] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J., *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [5] Davie, A. M. and Gaines, J. G., Convergence of numerical schemes for the solution of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations, *Mathematics of Computation*, **70** (2000), 121-134.
- [6] Deuffhard, P. and Bornemann, V., *Scientific Computing with Ordinary Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002
- [7] Gradinaru, M., Nourdin, I. and Tindel, S., Itô's and Tanaka's type formulae for the stochastic heat equation : the linear case, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **228** (2005), no. 1, 114-143.
- [8] Gyöngy, I., A note on Euler's Approximations, *Potential Analysis*, **8** (1998), 205-216.
- [9] Gyöngy, I. and Krylov, N., On the splitting-up method and stochastic partial differential equations, *Annals of Probability*, **31** (2003), No. 2, 564-591.
- [10] Hausenblas, H., Approximation for semilinear stochastic evolution equations, *Potential Analysis*, **18** (2003), 141-186.
- [11] Lord, G. J. and Shardlow, T., Postprocessing for stochastic parabolic partial differential equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **45** (2007), no. 2, 870-889.

- [12] Jentzen, A. and Kloeden, P. E., Pathwise convergent higher order numerical schemes for random ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, 463 (2007), no. 2087, 2929-2944.
- [13] Jentzen, A., Higher order pathwise numerical approximation of SPDEs with additive noise, Submitted (2008).
- [14] Jentzen, A. and Kloeden, P. E., Pathwise Taylor schemes for random ordinary differential equations, *BIT*, Accepted (2008).
- [15] Jentzen, A. and Kloeden, P. E., Overcoming the order barrier in the numerical approximation of SPDEs with additive space-time noise, *to appear in Proceedings of the Royal Society London A*, 2008.
- [16] Jentzen, A. and Kloeden, P. E., Taylor expansions of solutions of stochastic partial differential equations with additive noise, Submitted (2008).
- [17] Jentzen, A. and Kloeden, P.E., A unified existence and uniqueness theorem for stochastic evolution equations, In preparation (2008).
- [18] Jentzen, A., Kloeden, P. E. and Neuenkirch, A., Pathwise approximation of stochastic differential equations on domains: Higher order convergence rates without global Lipschitz coefficients, *Numerische Mathematik*, (2008) (to appear).
- [19] Kloeden, P.E. and Platen, E., Numerical Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations, *Springer Verlag*, Berlin (1992).
- [20] Müller-Gronbach, T. and Ritter, K., Lower bounds and nonuniform time discretization for approximation of stochastic heat equations, *Found. Comput. Math.*, **7** (2007), no. 2, 135-181.
- [21] Müller-Gronbach, T. and Ritter, K., An implicit Euler scheme with non-Uniform Time Discretization for Heat Equations with Multiplicative Noise, *BIT*, **47** (2007), 393-418.
- [22] Prévot, C. and Röckner, M., A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations, *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin (2007).
- [23] Rößler, A., *Runge-Kutta Methods for the Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, Shaker Verlag, Aachen (2001).

- [24] Röbner, A., Stochastic Taylor expansions for the expectation of functionals of diffusion processes, *Stochastic Anal. Appl.*, **22** (2004), No. 6, 1553-1576.
- [25] Sell, G. R. and You, Y., *Dynamics of Evolutionary Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2002).