# On quantum opti
al properties of single-walled arbon nanotube

Z. L. GUO, Z. R. GONg, and C. P. Sun-

<sup>1</sup> S
hool of Physi
s, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, China

"Institute of Theoretical Physics, The Uninese Acaaemy of Sciences, Beijing, 100080, Unina

(Dated: November 29, 2018)

We study quantum optical properties of the single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) by introducing the effective interaction between the quantized electromagnetic field and the confined ele
trons in the SWCNT. Our purpose is to explore the quantum natures of ele
tron transport in the SWCNT by probing its various quantum optical properties relevant to quantum coherence, such as the interference of the scattered and emitted photons, and the bunching and anti-bunching of photons which are characterized by the higher order coherence functions. In the strong field limit, we study the interband Rabi oscillation of electrons driven by a classical light. We also investigate the possible lasing me
hanism in superradiation of oherent ele
trons in a SWCNT driven by a light pump or electron injection, which generate electron population inversion in the higher energy-band of SWCNT.

PACS numbers: 78.67.Ch, 78.55.-m, 81.07.-b

## I. INTRODUCTION

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) have been under great fo us these years be
ause of their promising thermal and ele
tri
al ondu
tivities, and other unusual features that may lead to new applications  $[1, 2, 3]$  $[1, 2, 3]$  $[1, 2, 3]$  $[1, 2, 3]$ . In recent years, individual single-walled arbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) have experimentally be
ome available for the design of future quantum devices  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$  $[4, 5, 6, 7]$ . Through putting such a SWCNT between electrodes while maintaining a low contact resistance, novel CMOS devices can be made from this novel material  $[8, 9, 10]$  $[8, 9, 10]$  $[8, 9, 10]$  $[8, 9, 10]$ . Surpassing the current sili
on-based CMOS devi
es, CNT-based CMOS devi
es appear to have the potential for wide appli
ations. To this end, a broad resear
h is required on various aspe
ts of its hara
teristi
s beforehand.

The onventional investigation for a new material is to explore its photolumines
en
e [\[11,](#page-9-10) [12](#page-9-11), [13,](#page-9-12) [14](#page-9-13), [15,](#page-9-14) [16](#page-9-15),  $17, 18, 19$  $17, 18, 19$  $17, 18, 19$  $17, 18, 19$  . We usually study the characteristic spectros
opy of the light s
attered by or emitted from this material. Meanwhile, since ballistic transport-a motion of ele
trons with negligible ele
tri
al resistivity due to scattering in the process of transportation-happens in a SWCNT at low temperature  $[8, 20]$  $[8, 20]$ , SWCNT should be treated beyond the lassi
al s
enario, and pure quantum effects should be taken into account. As a result, not only should the classical optical properties (e.g., the intensity, the spe
trum, et
,) of the SWCNT be onsidered, but also the quantum optical properties (e.g., the bunching and antibun
hing phenomena, et
,) need to be studied in details. In this paper we develop a fully quantum approa
h for the SWCNT-light intera
tion to address the quantum effects relevant to the higher order quantum oheren
e. Our investigation is oriented by the great potential to implement the quantum opti
al devi
es based on urrent arbon nanotube te
hnology, whi
h works in the quantum regime, or at a level of single quantum state.

Starting from the minimal oupling theorem, we derive the effective Hamiltonian of the SWCNT interacting with a fully quantized light field. The interband Rabi oscillation is first studied for the light field whose intensity is sufficiently strong to be treated classically. We explore the full quantum features of the transporting ele
 tron in the SWCNT whi
h is displayed by its quantum opti
al properties. To this end, we quantize the light field interacting with the confined electrons in SWCNT, and calculate and analyze the higher order coherence functions of the photons scattered or emitted from the SWCNT. It is shown that the total population inversion of electrons, the first order and the second order coherence functions strongly depends on the chiral vector of the SWCNT, while this dependen
e does not exist in the generi graphene. Additionally, the anti-bun
hing feature of the light field is predicted with detailed calculations based on the long time approximation. A similar discovery has been made in an experiment [\[20](#page-9-19)], but to our best knowledge no microscopic theoretical explanation has been given.

This paper is organized as follows. In Se
. [II,](#page-0-0) the interaction between the quantized light field and the SWCNT based on the tight binding approa
h is derived from the the minimal oupling theorem. In Se
. [III,](#page-2-0) we study the interband Rabi oscillation of the electrons in the SWCNT indu
ed by strong light when the driving light an be treated lassi
ally, the reason of whi
h is generally proved in App. [A.](#page-8-0) The interferen
e of the s
attered light from the SWCNT and the second order correlation of the emitted photons are investigated in Se
. [IV](#page-4-0) and Se
. [V,](#page-6-0) respe
tively. Additionally, the possible lasing me
hanism of the SWCNT through a light pump or electron injection is dis
ussed in Se
. [VI.](#page-6-1) The on
lusions are presented in Se
. [VII.](#page-8-1)

### <span id="page-0-0"></span>II. MODEL SETUP

The difference between carbon nanotubes and graphene is that arbon nanotubes allow merely dis-



<span id="page-1-0"></span>FIG. 1: Schematic illustration of the 2-D hexagonal lattice of the SWCNT, which contain two sets of sublattices A and B. The pair numbers  $(n, m)$  denotes the chiral vector.

crete wave vectors along their specific chiral vector while graphene allows ontinuous ones, as long as we negle
t such effects as distortion of the lattice in carbon nanotubes. Thus, to simplify the modeling of the system in onsideration, we an take the tight banding model of graphene into account, and then apply discrete wave vector restriction to demonstrate the properties of the nanotube. The honey
omb latti
e of graphene is divided into two triangular sublattices  $A$  and  $B$  (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). Here, the chiral vector of the SWCNT is denoted as a pair of numbers  $(n, m)$ . The discrete wave vectors for carbon nanotubes will be dire
tly introdu
ed by boundary onditions later.

Sin
e ele
trons in graphene approximately hop from one site to the nearest neighbor one, a tight binding model

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
H_e = -J \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in A} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} [a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})b(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}) + h.c.] \tag{1}
$$

is applied to des
ribe the motion of the ele
trons in the graphene. Here, *J* is the hopping constant; *a*  $(a^{\dagger})$  and  $b(b^{\dagger})$  annihilates (creates) an electron at sublattice  $A$  and B, respectively. And  $\mathbf{r}_{\alpha}$  ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ ) are the real space vectors pointing from one site to its nearest neighbors. Usually they are hosen as

$$
\mathbf{r}_1 = \frac{l}{\sqrt{3}}(0, -1), \tag{2a}
$$

$$
\mathbf{r}_2 = \frac{l}{\sqrt{3}} (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), \tag{2b}
$$

$$
\mathbf{r}_3 = \frac{l}{\sqrt{3}}(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})
$$
 (2c)

, and are s
hemati
ally plotted in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) Here, l is the lattice constant of both the triangular sublattice A and B.

To diagonalize the above tight banding Hamiltonian, a 2D Fourier transformation

$$
c_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in C} c(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}}, (c = a \text{ or } b, C = A \text{ or } B). \tag{3}
$$

is used to give the momentum spa
e- representation of the Hamiltonian [\(1\)](#page-1-1)

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
H_e = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \Phi_{\mathbf{k}} a_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}} + h.c. \right). \tag{4}
$$

Here the transition energy  $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv -J \sum_{\mathbf{k}}$  $\delta{\in}\{\mathbf{r}_\alpha\}$  $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta}$  is a summation over all the directions of nearest neighbors. It is explicitly written as

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = -Je^{i\frac{k_x l}{\sqrt{3}}} \left( 1 + 2\cos\frac{k_y l}{2} e^{-i\frac{\sqrt{3}k_x l}{2}} \right). \tag{5}
$$

and orresponds to the transition of ele
trons between two sublatti
es A and B . Further, this Hamiltonian [\(4\)](#page-1-2) is diagonalized as

$$
H_e = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \right) \tag{6}
$$

through a unitary transformation

$$
\alpha_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e^{-i\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}} a_{\mathbf{k}} + e^{i\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}} b_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \tag{7a}
$$

$$
\beta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( e^{-i\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}} a_{\mathbf{k}} - e^{i\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}} b_{\mathbf{k}} \right). \tag{7b}
$$

Here, the single parti
le spe
trum is

$$
E_{\mathbf{k}} = J \sqrt{1 + 4 \cos(\frac{k_x l}{2}) \left[ \cos(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} k_y l) + \cos(\frac{k_x l}{2}) \right]}
$$
 (8)

with the phase  $\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}$  determined by

$$
\tan 2\varphi_{\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{2\cos (k_x l/2)\sin (\sqrt{3}k_y l/2)}{1 + 2\cos (k_x l/2)\cos (\sqrt{3}k_y l/2)}.
$$
 (9)

We have to point out that the energy  $2E_{\mathbf{k}}$  of single electron excitation actually has six Dirac points on the six vertices of the first Brillouin Zone in the momentum space. It has been discovered that in the vicinity of Dirac points, the effective motion of the electrons accords with the relativistic theory, which is described by the massless or massive Dirac equation with an effective light velocity

In order to study the quantum opti
al properties of the nanotubes, it is ne
essary to introdu
e a quantized light field

$$
H_p = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hbar \Omega_{\mathbf{q}} d_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{q}},\tag{10}
$$

where  $\Omega_{q}$  is the frequency of photons with momentum q.  $d_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}$  and  $d_{\mathbf{q}}$  creates and annihilates a photon with momentum q, respectively. We choose  $\hbar = 1$  and only one polarization dire
tion for ea
h mode of light denoted by q in the following dis
ussions.

The interaction between the carbon nanotube and the light field is obtained according to the minimal coupling principle of electromagnetic field. By replacing the mehani
al momentum of the ele
trons with anoni
al ones and neglecting the multi-photon interactions, the intera
tion Hamiltonian is obtained as

$$
H_{I} = -\frac{e}{mc} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{q}} \left( a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \right) \left( a_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} + b_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} \right). \tag{11}
$$

Here, the vector potential of the quantized light field is

$$
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{q}} = -i\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\epsilon_0 V \Omega_{\mathbf{q}}}} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{q}} \left( d_{\mathbf{q}} - d_{-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \right), \tag{12}
$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_{q}$  is the unit polarization vector of mode q.  $\epsilon_{0}$ is the vacuum electric permittivity and  $V$  is the volume effectively occupied by the light field.

So far, we have obtained the quantized mode of the SWCNT interacting with a light field, whose Hamiltonian is  $H = H_e + H_p + H_I$ , with

$$
H_e = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \tag{13a}
$$

$$
H_p = \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \hbar \Omega_{\mathbf{q}} d_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{q}}, \tag{13b}
$$

$$
H_{I} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} D_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} \left( d_{\mathbf{q}} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} + h.c. \right), \quad (13c)
$$

where we have made the rotating wave approximation to eliminate the fast varying terms, such as  $d_{-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}$ ,  $d_{\mathbf{q}}\beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}\alpha_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}, \ \ d_{\mathbf{q}}\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}\alpha_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}, \ \ d_{\mathbf{q}}\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}\alpha_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}, \ \ d_{-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}\beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}\beta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}, \text{ and}$  $d_{\bf q}\beta^{\dagger}_{\bf k}\beta_{{\bf k}-{\bf q}},$  and the coefficient  $D_{{\bf k},{\bf q}}$  for electron-photon

$$
D_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} = -\frac{e}{\sqrt{2mc}} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{q}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \Omega_{\mathbf{q}}}} (\cos \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \sin \varphi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} + \cos \varphi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} \sin \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}).
$$
 (14)

We note that when interaction between the light field and the SWCNT is significant, the momentum of photons in the light field is approximately  $|\mathbf{q}| \sim 10^7 m^{-1}$ , which is much smaller than the momentum of the electron near the boundary of the first Brillouin Zone of graphene  $|k| \sim$  $10^{10}m^{-1}$ . Thus we neglect the momentum **q** of photons so that  $\cos \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \sin \varphi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} \approx \cos \varphi_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} \sin \varphi_{\mathbf{k}} \approx \sin[2\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}]/2$ , and the coefficient  $D_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}$  is approximately

$$
D_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}} = -\frac{e}{\sqrt{2mc}} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{q}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0 V \Omega_{\mathbf{q}}}} \sin 2\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}.
$$
 (15)



<span id="page-2-1"></span>FIG. 2: (a) The energy spectrum  $E(k)$  of graphene versus k. (b) The interaction intensity  $D(k)$  between electrons in graphene and single-mode light, in whi
h we take the average over all the possible directions for  $e(q)$ .

Specially,  $D_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}$  is taken average over all polarization directions of the light field to obtain the final  $D_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}$  we use in calculations.

The single quasi-particle energy  $E_{\mathbf{k}}$  and the interaction coefficient  $D_{\bf k,q}$  are plotted versus the momentum  ${\bf k}$ of the electrons in Fig. [2.](#page-2-1) The six Dirac points are clear to be found at the degenera
y points of upper and lower bands in Fig. [2\(](#page-2-1)a). For the photon momentum  $|\mathbf{q}| \ll |\mathbf{k}|$ chosen in Fig.  $2(b)$  $2(b)$ , the absolute value of the interaction coefficient  $D_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}$  becomes large when **k** is near the boundary of the first Brillouin Zone and decreases rapidly as  $\bf{k}$ deviate from that boundary.

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>III. INTERBAND RABI OSCILLATION INDUCED BY STRONG LIGHT FIELD

The general photon-electron interaction contains multi-mode light field, which case is too complex to be analyti
ally treated in revealing the essential properties. Thus, we simplify the Hamiltonian by making the reasonable assumption that only one particular quantum mode of the light field would dominate the dynamics. This could be experimentally realized by adding a high-finesse microcavity to the system to pick out a single mode of quantized light under onsideration. In this sense, the model Hamiltonian is reduced to  $H = H_0 + H_1$ , where

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \right) + \Omega d^{\dagger} d, \qquad (16a)
$$

$$
H_1 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( d\alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} + h.c. \right), \tag{16b}
$$

indicates that a single-mode light field would induce the oherent transitions of ele
trons between the upper band and the lower band. The output of the electronic flow would display an obvious resonance, namely, Rabi oscillation, whi
h is experimentally observable.

In the strong light limit, the light field can be treated as a lassi
al one, where the reation and annihilation operators  $d^{\dagger}$  and  $d$  are replaced by C-numbers, namely

$$
d \to \sqrt{N}e^{-i\Omega t}, d^{\dagger} \to (d)^*.
$$
 (17)

with  $N$  the total number of photons. This approximation is valid since in a strong light field only the intensity of the light plays an important role. We an generally prove this lassi
al approximation in App. [A.](#page-8-0)

 $\sum$ Then we obtain the semi-classical Hamiltonian  $H(t) =$  $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ , in which the single momentum Hamiltonian is

$$
h_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = E_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} \right) + \sqrt{N} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} e^{-i\Omega t} + h.c. \right).
$$
 (18)

for electrons with momentum **k**. Here, we have neglected the constant  $N\Omega$  in the total energy of the light field and the difference between  $E_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $E_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}$  for the reason mentioned at the end of Se
. [II.](#page-0-0) In terms of the quasi-spin operators

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} \right), \quad (19a)
$$

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} = \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}}, S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-} = \left(S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}\right)^{\dagger}, \tag{19b}
$$

which obviously satisfy the commutation relations of the regular spin-1/2 operators, the above single momentum Hamiltonian is rewritten as

$$
h_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = E_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^z + \sqrt{N} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( S_{\mathbf{k}}^+ e^{-i\Omega t} + h.c. \right). \tag{20}
$$

It describes a quasi-spin precession in a time-dependent effective magnetic field

$$
\mathbf{B} = (\sqrt{N}D_{\mathbf{k}}\cos\Omega t, \sqrt{N}D_{\mathbf{k}}\sin\Omega t, E_{\mathbf{k}}). \qquad (21)
$$

Su
h spin pre
ession is just the Rabi os
illation between bands.

To solve the dynamic equation governed by  $h_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ , a time-dependent unitary transformation

$$
U(t) = \exp(i\Omega S_{\mathbf{k}}^z t),\tag{22}
$$

is used to transform the Hamiltonian above into a timeindependent one  $h'_{\mathbf{k}} = U^{\dagger} h_{\mathbf{k}}(t) U - i \partial_t U^{\dagger} U$  or

$$
h'_{\mathbf{k}} = -\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^z + \sqrt{N} D_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^+ + h.c \tag{23}
$$

Here,

$$
\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Omega - 2E_{\mathbf{k}}.\tag{24}
$$

is the detuning between the energy of the light field and that of the quasi-spin.

The Heisenberg equations of the system

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\sin\theta_{\mathbf{k}}[S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} - S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}],
$$
 (25a)

$$
i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \pm \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}[\cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} + \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}], \qquad (25b)
$$

determine the Rabi oscillation of the electrons with momentum k between the upper and lower bands. Here the mixing angle  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}}$  is defined by

$$
\cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}},\tag{26a}
$$

$$
\sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{2\sqrt{N}D_{\mathbf{k}}}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}},\tag{26b}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 4ND_{\mathbf{k}}^2. \tag{26c}
$$

The above first order partial differential equations  $(25a)$ -[\(25b\)](#page-3-0) with initial operators  $S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0)$  and  $S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}(0)$  is solved through the Lapla
e transformation

$$
\lambda(p) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \lambda(t)e^{-pt}dt,
$$
\n(27)

whi
h gives

$$
pS_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} - S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0) = -i\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}\sin\theta_{\mathbf{k}}[S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} - S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}],
$$
 (28a)

$$
pS_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} - S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}(0) = \mp i\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} [\cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} + \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}]. (28b)
$$

In terms of the normalized Laplacian parameter  $p' =$  $p/\varepsilon_{\bf k}$ , the above equation is solved as

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(p') = \frac{S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0)}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{[p'^{2} + \cos^{2} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}]}{p'[p'^{2} + 1]} + \frac{S_{\mathbf{k}}^{y}(0)}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}}}{p'^{2} + 1} - \frac{S_{\mathbf{k}}^{x}(0)}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}}}{p'[p'^{2} + 1]},
$$
(29a)

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}(p') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}(0) \mp i \sin \theta_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(p')}{p' \pm i \cos \theta_{\mathbf{k}}},
$$
 (29b)

where

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{x} = \frac{1}{2}(S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} + S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}), \tag{30a}
$$

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{y} = \frac{1}{2i}(S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} - S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}).
$$
 (30b)

In the SWCNT, the electrons fill up the lower band when the system stays at its ground state at zero temperature. As a consequence, we may simply set  $S_{\mathbf{k}}^{x}(0)$  and  $S_{\mathbf{k}}^{y}(0)$ as zero for onvenien
e in the following dis
ussions. The inverse Lapla
e transformation gives the time evolution of  $S^z_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$  and  $S^{\pm}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$  respectively

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(t) = S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0) \left[ \cos^{2} \theta_{\mathbf{k}} + \sin^{2} \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \cos \left( \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} t \right) \right]. \tag{31}
$$

and

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm}(t) = -S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0)\sin\theta_{\mathbf{k}}\cos\theta_{\mathbf{k}}[1-\cos(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}t)]
$$
  
 
$$
\mp iS_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0)\sin\theta_{\mathbf{k}}\sin(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}t).
$$
 (32)

Finally, the total population inversion

$$
W(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \tag{33}
$$

is al
ulated as the summation over those of single momentum, whi
h reads

$$
W(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left\{ \langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0) \rangle \left[ 1 - 2\sin^{2}\theta_{\mathbf{k}}\sin^{2}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}t}{2}\right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \right\}.
$$
\n(34)

When the temperature is zero, the system stays at its ground state and thus  $\langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(t=0)\rangle = -1/2$  is valid for all k. Then the total population inversion is obtained

$$
W(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \{ 1 - \cos \left( \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} t \right) \} \tag{35}
$$

If we onsider the ontinuous momentum in a 2-D graphene and the inhomogeneously-broadened system in which different quasi-spins have different momentums by introducing the distribution  $g(\varepsilon_{\bf k})$  centered on  $\varepsilon_0$  as

$$
g(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) = 2\sqrt{\pi}T \exp\left[-T^2\left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_0\right)^2\right],\tag{36}
$$

which satisfies  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\infty}^{\infty} g(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$ . When  $2\sqrt{N}D_{\mathbf{k}} \gg$  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$  results in sin  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \simeq 1$ , the total population inversion an be al
ulated as

$$
W(t) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\infty}^{-\infty} g(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \{1 - \cos(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} t)\} d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} [1 - \cos(\varepsilon_{0} t)] \exp\left(-\frac{t^{2}}{4T^{2}}\right). \tag{37}
$$

It must be pointed out that the time dependen
e of the total population inversion in
ludes two aspe
ts when the energy distribution is Gaussian type. One is the periodic factor as  $(1 - \cos(\epsilon_0 t))$  resulting from the central frequen
y of the Gaussian distribution. The other is the exponential decay  $\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{4T}\right)$  $4T^2$ ) resulted from the broadening of the Gaussian distribution. The randomness of the energy spectrum of the quasi-spins actually induces these effects, which can be considered as a kind of spin e
ho.



<span id="page-4-1"></span>FIG. 3: Population inversion of electrons in the SWCNT is plotted versus time. The parameters for the SWCNT are respectively: (1)chiral vector is  $(6, 4)$ ,  $\Omega = 0.4$ ,  $\tau = 5$  for the black short dotted line; (2)chiral vector is  $(8,0)$ ,  $\Omega = 2$ ,  $\tau = 5$ for the red solid line; (3) chiral vector is  $(8,0)$ ,  $\Omega = 2$ ,  $\tau = 70$ for the blue short dashed line. Here,  $\tau$  is the time scale.

From Fig. [3](#page-4-1) for the population inversion of the  $(2n, 0)$ SWCNTs with the incurring light frequency of  $\Omega = 2J$ , we may see that a considerable proportion of the electrons are ex
ited to the upper band (more than 1/15 for (8, 0) SWCNT), and exhibits ollapse and revival in a long period of time. The explanation for it is straightforward: in the  $(2n, 0)$  SWCNTs, there are large degeneracies of possible states onto the equi-energy lines  $E = J$ of the 2-D graphene energy bands. Thus, the  $(2n, 0)$ SWCNTs are potential experimental andidates for the demonstration of Rabi os
illation in solids.

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>IV. FIRST ORDER COHERENCE OF SCATTERED AND EMITTED PHOTONS

The strong light field only couples the upper and lower bands of ele
trons through its intensity, whi
h essentially an
els the quantum opti
al features of the SWCNT hara
terized by the higher order quantum oheren
e. To save curiosity of quantized light field interacting with SWCNT, we return to the Hamiltonian Eq.[\(16a\)](#page-3-1)-[\(16b\)](#page-3-1)

The first order correlation function of the light field

$$
G^{(1)}(\tau) = \langle d^+(t)d(t+\tau) \rangle \tag{38}
$$

to characterize the interference of the electrons in SWCNT is independent of t after long time evolution  $t \to +\infty$ , which corresponds to the steady solution for the light-SWCNT coupling system. In the interaction picture with respect to

$$
H_0 = \Omega d^\dagger d + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Omega S_{\mathbf{k}}^z,\tag{39}
$$

6

the Langevin equations read as

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}d = -i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}, \qquad (40a)
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-} = (i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}) S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-} + 2iD_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} d,
$$
\n(40b)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = -2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} + \frac{1}{2}) + iD_{\mathbf{k}}(d^{\dagger}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-} - S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}d). (40c)
$$

Here, we phenomenologically add decay terms of the SWCNT part to the Langevin equations, while negle
t the decay of light field since an ideal probe is considered. We also assume that the SWCNT system rea
hes its equilibrium state with the light field before there is considerable change in the light field. Actually, this assumption is very crucially used in Haken's theory of laser [21]. By setting the time derivatives of the  $S$  operators as zero, the steady solution of the total system an be obtained with steady quasi-spin operators

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = -\frac{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}}{2(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + 2d^{\dagger}dD_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{2})},\tag{41a}
$$

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-} = -\frac{iD_{\mathbf{k}}(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} - i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}})d}{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + 2d^{\dagger}dD_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}}.
$$
 (41b)

Therefore, if the number of photons does not fluctuate intensively long time after the light is turned on, we could simply set the particle number operator  $d^{\dagger}d = N$  as a onstant.

In order to study the first order coherence of the light field, we use the mean field approach for the Langevin equations of the above system by setting

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}d \approx \langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(t) \rangle|_{t \to \infty} d(\tau) \equiv S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(\infty) d(\tau) \tag{42}
$$

for long time evolution. Here we can analytically calculate the first order correlation function through the partial differential equations (40a-40c). After applying Lapla
e transformation to Eq. [\(40a-40
\)](#page-5-0), we have

$$
pd - d(0) = -i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}, \qquad (43a)
$$

$$
(p - i\Delta'_{\mathbf{k}}) S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-} = 2i D_{\mathbf{k}} \langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(t) \rangle|_{t \to \infty} d + S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}(0), (43b)
$$

for the effective detuning  $\Delta'_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} + i\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$ . This gives the solution of  $d(p)$  as

$$
d(p) = \frac{d(0) + \Lambda^{-}(p)}{p - \Lambda^{z}(p)}.
$$
 (44)

Here,

$$
\Lambda^{-}(p) = -i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{D_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}(0)}{p - i \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}'}
$$
(45)

represents the contribution from  $S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}(0)$ , while contribution from the long time evolution of  $\langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} \rangle$  is given by

$$
\Lambda^{z}(p) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{2D_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} \langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(t) \rangle|_{t \to \infty}}{p - i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}'}.
$$
 (46)

Sin
e the ele
tron-photon intera
tion serves as a perturbation term in the Hamiltonian, the singularities of the  $d(p)$  is mainly determined by the denominator  $p - \Lambda^z(p)$ . Under the Wigner-Weisskopf approximation, the 0-th order zero point of the denominator is  $p = 0$ , and to the 1-st order it is

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
p = -i\Omega' - \Gamma',\tag{47}
$$

where the renormalized frequency and the effective decay are

$$
\Omega' = -\text{Im}\Lambda^z(0),\tag{48a}
$$

$$
\Gamma' = -\text{Re}\Lambda^z(0). \tag{48b}
$$

Applying the inverse Lapla
e transformation, we obtain an expression for  $d(\tau)$  as

$$
d(\tau) = \exp(-i\Omega'\tau - \Gamma'\tau) [d(0) + F(\tau)], \qquad (49)
$$

where the contribution from  $S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}(0)$  is

$$
F(\tau) = -i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{D_{\mathbf{k}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}(0)}{\mu_{\mathbf{k}} + i \nu_{\mathbf{k}}} \left[ 1 - e^{-i \mu_{\mathbf{k}} \tau - \nu_{\mathbf{k}} \tau} \right],\tag{50}
$$

with  $\mu_{\mathbf{k}} = (-\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} - \Omega')$  and  $\nu_{\mathbf{k}} = (\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} - \Gamma')$ . If we compare a quasi-spin system to a heat bath, the term  $F(\tau)$ represents its induced quantum fluctuation. The couplings of the light field to SWCNT is characterized by

$$
\Omega' = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{D_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2ND_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \Delta_{\mathbf{k}},\tag{51a}
$$

$$
\Gamma' = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{D_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 2ND_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \gamma_{\mathbf{k}},\tag{51b}
$$

where the explicit steady solution for  $S^z_{\mathbf{k}}$  that has been used.

The contribution  $\langle d^+(0)F(\tau) \rangle$  from  $S^-_{\mathbf{k}}(0)$  in the first order orrelation

$$
G^{(1)}(\tau) = \exp\left(-i\Omega'\tau - \Gamma'\tau\right) \left(G^{(1)}(0) + \left\langle d^+(0)F(\tau)\right\rangle\right) \tag{52}
$$

vanishes sin
e the average on the photon number states reduces to zero due to the photon number conservation. Then the normalized first order coherence function  $g^{(1)}(\tau) \equiv G^{(1)}(\tau)/G^{(1)}(0)$  is explicitly written as

$$
g^{(1)}(\tau) = \exp(-i\Omega'\tau - \Gamma'\tau), \qquad (53)
$$

which is used to measure the interference of the scattered and emitted photons. It is clear that long time first order correlation of the light field vanishes exponentially with  $\tau$ . In most cases, considering that the decay rates  $\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}$  are much smaller than the detuning  $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ ,  $\Gamma' \ll \Omega'$  is obviously satisfied. Thus, neglecting the decay effect in the first order oheren
e, the existen
e of SWCNT ontributes to the first order coherence a shift  $\Omega'$  in the frequency of light.

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>V. SECOND ORDER CORRELATION OF THE SCATTERED AND EMITTED LIGHT

The first order coherence function only demonstrates the interferen
e of the s
attered and emitted photon. To distinguish the fully quantum opti
al properties of the SWCNT, e.g. , the bun
hing and anti-bun
hing of the photons, the se
ond order oheren
e fun
tion

$$
G^{(2)}(\tau) = \langle d^{\dagger}(t)d^{\dagger}(t+\tau)d(t+\tau)d(t)\rangle \tag{54}
$$

is needed. According to Eq.  $(47)$ , we calculate

$$
G^{(2)}(\tau) = \exp(-2\Gamma'\tau) G^{(2)}(0)
$$
  
+  $\exp(-2\Gamma'\tau) \langle d^{\dagger}(0) F^{\dagger}(\tau) F(\tau) d(0) \rangle$  (55)

where we have neglected terms  $\langle d^{\dagger}(0) d^{\dagger}(0) F(\tau) d(0) \rangle$  and  $\langle d^{\dagger}(0)F^{\dagger}(\tau)d(0)d(0)\rangle$  because of the photon number conservation for the light field. Neglecting correlation between different quasi-spins, only terms with the same momentum can survive in  $F^{\dagger}(\tau)$  and  $F(\tau)$ . Therefore, the non-vanishing second term is calculated as

$$
\langle d^{\dagger}(0) F^{\dagger}(\tau) F(\tau) d(0) \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\approx \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \langle d^{\dagger}(0) S_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(0) S_{\mathbf{k}}^{-}(0) d(0) \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\approx \sum_{\mathbf{k}} f_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \langle d^{\dagger}(0) d(0) \rangle \left( \langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(t) \rangle |_{t \to \infty} + \frac{1}{2} \right), (56)
$$

where the time dependent coefficients are

$$
f_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau) = \frac{2D_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{\mu_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \nu_{\mathbf{k}}^2} \left[ \cosh\left(\nu_{\mathbf{k}}\tau\right) - \cos\left(\mu_{\mathbf{k}}\tau\right) \right] e^{-\nu_{\mathbf{k}}\tau}.
$$
 (57)

Accordingly, the normalized second order coherence function  $g^{(2)}(\tau) \equiv G^{(2)}(\tau) / |G^{(1)}(0)|^2$  is written as

$$
g^{(2)}(\tau) = \exp(-2\Gamma'\tau) \left[ \frac{G^{(2)}(0)}{G^{(1)}(0)^2} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{f_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau)}{G^{(1)}(0)} \left( \langle S_{\mathbf{k}}^z(t) \rangle |_{t \to \infty} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right]
$$
(58)

Here, the second item in  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$  is non-negative for any  $\tau$ , and returns to zero when  $\tau \to 0$ , thus the explicit effect of the anti-bunching of the light coupled with the SWCNT is illustrated in Fig. [4.](#page-6-2)

Due to the divergence near the resonance area for  $g^{(2)}(t)$ , the anti-bunching feature is significant where the light and the energy gap between upper and lower bands reach resonance while the interaction intensity  $D_{\mathbf{k}}$  is comparatively high. In this ase, the SWCNT is equivalent to one or several 2-level atoms that interact strongly with the incurring light, just as the case in the  $(6, 4)$  SWCNT when the incurring light frequency is  $2J$ . Similar to Sec. [III](#page-2-0) concerning Rabi oscillation, here we still have a distinct effect for the  $(2n, 0)$  SWCNTs, when the incurring light frequency is really close to  $2J$ . In the case



<span id="page-6-2"></span>FIG. 4: The second order correlation of the light  $g^{(2)}(t)$  –  $g^{(2)}(0)$  is plotted, in which the antibunching feature is obviously displayed. The two chiral vectors  $(6, 4)$  and  $(8, 0)$  are chosen to represent significant anti-bunching effect due to different reasons. Here, we have chosen the frequency of the light field  $\omega = 2J$ .

for  $(8, 0)$  SWCNT, the strong anti-bunching feature is instead caused by the large degeneracy on the  $E = J$  line in the first Brillouin zone. Unlike the case for the  $(6, 4)$ SWCNT, in whi
h merely several ele
tron states are involved, the significant anti-bunching here is caused by the excitation in the  $E = J$  band of the SWCNT, where thousands of possible states parti
ipate in at the same time.

## <span id="page-6-1"></span>VI. POSSIBLE LASING MECHANISM OF CARBON NANOTUBE

The above investigations imply that the light emitted from or scattered by the SWCNT is strongly correlated in time domain, thus explicitly displays quantum effects. It is straight forward to imagine that if electrons in the SWCNT experien
e a population inversion, the emitted light would be amplified. This observation may enable a possible lasing me
hanism. In this se
tion, we will explore this me
hanism for the SWCNT by using Haken's laser theory  $[21]$ .

The Heisenberg equations[\(25a,25b\)](#page-3-0) without dissipation usually have no steady solution. Thus we phenomenologically introduce decays on both the light field and the quasi-spin operators to make the physical observables rea
h the stable results. In order to obtain the steady solution, we neglect the fluctuations because the time average of them vanishes. This simplification results

in the laser-like equations

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{d}^{\dagger} = -\kappa \tilde{d}^{\dagger} + i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} e^{-i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}t},
$$
\n(59a)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} = -\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} - 2iD_{\mathbf{k}}\widetilde{d}^{\dagger}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}e^{i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}t},
$$
\n(59b)

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = -2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} (S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} + \frac{1}{2}) - iD_{\mathbf{k}} (\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+} \widetilde{d} e^{-i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}t} - h.c.),
$$
\n(59c)

where we have removed the higher frequency factors by defining  $\widetilde{d}^{\dagger} = d^{\dagger} \exp(-i\Omega t)$  and  $\widetilde{S}_\mathbf{k}^+ =$  $S^+_{\mathbf{k}} \exp[-i2E(\vec{k})t]$ . This approach changes the observation from a laboratory frame of referen
e into some rotating one. Equation [\(59b\)](#page-7-0) an be formally integrated as

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(t) = \widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(0)e^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}t} - 2iD_{\mathbf{k}}\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(t-\tau)}\widetilde{d}^{\dagger}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}e^{i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}\tau}d\tau.
$$
\n(60)

According to Haken's laser theory, if  $\tilde{d}^{\dagger} S^z_{\mathbf{k}}$  varies with time much slower than  $\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(t)$ , it could be regarded as a time-independent one and then the above integral be omes

$$
\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(t) = \widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(0)e^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}t} - 2iD_{\mathbf{k}}\widetilde{d}^{\dagger}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} \frac{\left(e^{i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}t} - e^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}t}\right)}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} + i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}}, \tag{61}
$$

After a long time, the first term in the above solution Eq.[\(60\)](#page-7-1), whi
h is totally determined by the initial polarization  $\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(0)$ , will vanish. Thus, when  $\gamma_k t \gg 1$ , only the initial state-independent part

$$
\widetilde{S}_{\mathbf{k}}^{+}(t) \approx -2iD_{\mathbf{k}}\widetilde{d}^{\dagger}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} \frac{e^{i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}t}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} + i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}},\tag{62}
$$

remains. In this ase the motion equation of the z−dire
tion spin operators be
omes

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} \approx -2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} (S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} + \frac{1}{2}) - \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{d} S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z},\tag{63}
$$

where  $\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = 4\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}D_{\mathbf{k}}^2/(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2)$ . Then we obtain the effective motion equation of the light field

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{d}^{\dagger} = -\tilde{d}^{\dagger} \left( \kappa - \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{2D_{\mathbf{k}}^2 e^{i\Omega t}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}} + i\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}} S_{\mathbf{k}}^z \right). \tag{64}
$$

In the following discussions we will demonstrate a lasinglike phenomenon by considering the solution of Eq.  $(64)$ 

Usually, a lasing pro
ess requires population inversion. To realize su
h population inversion in our setup, a pump of electrons is needed to inject electrons with specific state into the arbon nanotube. Phenomenologi
ally, we add a pump term  $c_{\mathbf{k}} > 0$  to each term  $S_{\mathbf{k}}^z$ , then

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = c_{\mathbf{k}} - 2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} + \frac{1}{2}) - \theta(\mathbf{k})\tilde{d}^{\dagger}\tilde{d}S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z},\qquad(65)
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>The population inversion is obtained from Eq. [\(65\)](#page-7-3) as

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z}(0) \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} \left[\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{d} + 2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\right] d\tau'\right) +
$$

$$
(c_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}) \int_{0}^{t} \exp\left(\int_{0}^{\tau} \left[\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{d} + 2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\right] d\tau'\right) d\tau \times
$$

$$
\exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} \left[\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{d} + 2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\right] d\tau'\right).
$$
(66)

After a long time evolution  $(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}t \gg 1)$ , this solution beomes

<span id="page-7-4"></span>
$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} = (c_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}) \int_{0}^{t} \exp\left(-\int_{\tau}^{t} \theta_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{d} d\tau'\right) e^{-2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}(t-\tau)} d\tau.
$$
\n(67)

It follows from Eq.[\(67\)](#page-7-4) that the main ontribution of the integral comes from the accumulation of the weighted photon numbers in the time  $\tau \sim t$ . In this sense we can assume that

$$
\int\limits_{\tau}^t \theta_{\bf k} \widetilde{d^{\dagger}} \widetilde{d} d\tau' = \theta_{\bf k} \widetilde{d^{\dagger}} \widetilde{d} (t-\tau)
$$

Then the population inversion is integrated as

$$
S_{\mathbf{k}}^{z} \approx \frac{(c_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}})}{\theta_{\mathbf{k}} \tilde{d}^{\dagger} \tilde{d} + 2\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}}.
$$
 (68)

Eventually, the motion equation of the light field is obtained as

<span id="page-7-5"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{d}^{\dagger} \approx (\kappa' - i\delta\omega)\tilde{d}^{\dagger} - \eta\tilde{d}^{\dagger}\tilde{d}^{\dagger}\tilde{d},\tag{69}
$$

where

$$
\delta\omega = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \frac{(c_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}})}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}} \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2},\tag{70a}
$$

appears as the Lamb shift of photons, and

$$
\kappa' = -\kappa + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \frac{(c_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}})}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2},\tag{70b}
$$

represents a dissipation or amplification of the optical mode together with

$$
\eta = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} 2D_{\mathbf{k}}^4 \frac{c_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}}}{(\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2)^2}.
$$
 (70c)

describing the extent of nonlinearity of the light field induced by the SWCNT. Here, we have expanded the second item on the right hand side of  $Eq.(69)$  $Eq.(69)$  up to the first order of  $2D_{\mathbf{k}}^2 d^{\dagger}d$ .

Obviously, Eq. [\(69\)](#page-7-5) is typical to describe the lasing process in an amplification medium. When electrons are inje
ted into the SWCNT to realize a population inversion,

$$
\kappa' = -\kappa + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}}^2 \frac{(c_{\mathbf{k}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{k}})}{\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{k}}^2} > 0 \tag{71}
$$

with  $\eta > 0$ , we obtain a lasing equation

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\tilde{d}^{\dagger} = \kappa'\tilde{d}^{\dagger} - \eta\tilde{d}^{\dagger}\tilde{d}^{\dagger}\tilde{d}.
$$
 (72)

Then the effect of the coherently injected electrons the SWCNT on the light field is equivalent to that of a double-well potential formed as

$$
V(|d|) = -\kappa' |d|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} |d|^4, \qquad (73)
$$

Thus there exists a symmetry breaking based instability for laser amplification. When  $\kappa' < 0$ ,  $d = 0$  is the unique stable point for the effective potential  $V(|d|)$ . In this case we may safely negle
t the nonlinearity, and the system is only affected by stochastic processes. However, when  $\kappa'$  passes through zero, the point  $d = 0$  is no longer the stable point. Instead, the photon amplitude  $d$  acquires its new stable points with nonzero amplitude

$$
|d| = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa'}{\eta}}\tag{74}
$$

indicating a phase transition in the system. The above phenomenon that nonzero stable points of  $V(|d|)$ appear means that a coherent light field with non-vanishing amplitude is produced by the radiation of electrons confined in the SWCNT.

#### <span id="page-8-1"></span>VII. CONCLUSION

In summary, our investigation in this paper is oriented by the needs of designing the quantum devices in future. We theoreti
ally studied a solid state based quantum opti
al system, namely, the SWCNT intera
ting with quantized light field. The ballistic transport of electrons in SWCNT means quantum coherence of electrons in terminology of quantum opti
s. Thus, the emitted and scattered light from such coherent electrons could be quantum oherent as well, and then we use the higher order oheren
e fun
tion to des
ribe it. On the other hand, SWCNT with different chirality  $(n, m)$  have different properties in their Rabi oscillations of the electrons when driven by a strong single-mode light field. The anti-bun
hing features of the light s
attered by or emitted from them is also studied in details here. The reason

for such distinction of chirality is that different sets of wave vectors **k** are allowed in SWCNT with different chiral vectors, which may lead to different energy structures in the SWCNT. Such effect is especially significant on the  $(2n, 0)$  type SWCNT, where large degeneracy of possible electron states onto  $E = J$  occurs. This is a characteristi property absent in 2D graphene. The possible lasing me
hanism in the SWCNT is also investigated theoreti
ally, whi
h may promise the realization of nanos
ale laser devices.

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>APPENDIX A: SEMI-CLASSICAL

It is noti
ed that the semilassi
al approximation applied in Se
. [III](#page-2-0) is valid only for the quasilassi
al ase in whi
h the initial state possesses a very large number of single frequen
y photons. We will justify this approximation with ne
essary details in this appendix.

The complete dynamics of the SWCNT interacting with a strong light field is displayed through the Schrodinger equations governed by the Hamiltonian  $H =$  $H_0 + H_1$ , in the interaction picture, where

$$
H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} E_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} - \beta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}} \right), \tag{A1a}
$$

$$
H_1 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( d e^{-i\Omega t} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} + h.c. \right) \quad \text{(A1b)}
$$

And the initial ondition of the system

$$
|\Psi(0)\rangle = \left|\xi = \sqrt{N}e^{i\theta}\right\rangle \otimes |\phi(0)\rangle, \qquad (A2)
$$

where the coherent state

$$
|\xi\rangle = \exp\left(\xi d^{\dagger} - \xi^* d\right)|0\rangle \equiv D(\xi)|0\rangle \tag{A3}
$$

represents the state of the light field while  $|\phi(0)\rangle$  stands for the initial state of the ele
trons in the SWCNT. We note that  $|\alpha| \simeq \sqrt{N}$ . Since there is no broken global phase symmetry, the arbitrary  $\theta$  is chosen as 0. The main reason for hoosing the initial photon state as a oherent one is that the average number  $\left\langle \sqrt{N} \right| d^{\dagger} d$  $\sqrt{N}$  = N should be satisfied.

We introduce the photon vacuum picture, similar to the approa
h for the semilassi
al approximation of photon-atom system [
ite P.L.Kingt Con
ept of Quantum Optics, defined by

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
|\Phi(t)\rangle = D(\xi)^{-1} |\Psi(t)\rangle, |\Phi(0)\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |\phi(0)\rangle \quad \text{(A4)}
$$

which satisfies the Schrodinger equation (in the interaction picture) with the effective Hamiltonian

$$
H_e = D (\xi)^{-1} H D (\xi) = H_0 + V_q + H_q
$$

where

$$
V_q = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \sqrt{N} e^{-i\Omega t} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} + h.c. \right) \quad (A5a)
$$

$$
H_{q} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( de^{-i\Omega t} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} + h.c. \right), \quad (A5b)
$$

Here  $|0\rangle$  can be understood as a displaced vacuum. It should be noti
ed that the above derivation is exa
t for the initial ondition [\(A4\)](#page-8-2).

For a very large  $N$ ,  $H_q$  in the above Hamiltonian is very small with respect to the  $V_q$ , and it can be neglected in the first order approximation. Under this approximation. the state of photons is subjected to a collective evolution governed by the effective Hamiltonian

$$
H_e = H_0 + V_q, \tag{A6a}
$$

$$
V_q = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( \sqrt{N} e^{-i\Omega t} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}} + h.c. \right). \tag{A6b}
$$

Transforming ba
k to the original pi
ture, one proves the conclusion: If N is a macroscopic number, namely, it is  $large\ enough,$  the total system will evolve with a factorizable wave function  $|\Psi(t)\rangle = |\sqrt{N}e^{i\theta}\rangle \otimes |\phi(t)\rangle$ , where  $|\phi(t)\rangle$ obeys the Schrödinger equation governed by the effective Hamiltonian H<sup>e</sup> .

The next question is the effects of the neglected term,  $H<sub>q</sub>$ , on the dynamics in the photons vacuum picture. In the framework of the perturbation theory, the role of  $H_q$ relies on the coupling to the vacuum, that is

$$
H_q|\Phi(0)\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \left( de^{-i\Omega t} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \beta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}} + h.c. \right) |0\rangle \otimes |\phi(0)\rangle
$$
  
=  $e^{i\Omega t} |1\rangle \otimes \sum_{\mathbf{k}} D_{\mathbf{k}} \beta_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} \alpha_{\mathbf{k}} |\phi(0)\rangle$  (A7)

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] R. Saito, G. Dresselhaus, M. S. Dresselhaus, Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotubes (Imperial College Press, London, 1995).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[2] R. H. Baughman, A. A. Zakhidov, W. A. de Heer, Science 297, 787 (2002).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>[3] M. S. Dresselhaus, G. Dresselhaus, Ph. Avouris, Carbon Nanotubes (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2110).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>[4] M. J. O'Connell, S. M. Bachilo, C. B. Huffman, V. C. Moore, M. S. Strano, E. H. Haroz, K. L. Rialon, P. J. Boul, W. H. Noon, C. Kittrell, Jianpeng Ma, R. H. Hauge, R. Bruce Weisman, and R. E. Smalley, Science 297, 593 (2002).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>[5] V. C. Moore, M. S. Strano, E. H. Haroz, R. H. Hauge, and R. E. Smalley, Nano Lett. 3, 1379 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>[6] Chongwu Zhou, Jing Kong, and Hongjie Dai, Appl. Phys. Lett. **76**, 1597 (2000).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>[7] M. Y. Sfeir, T. Beetz, F. Wang, Limin Huang, X. M. H. Huang, Mingyuan Huang, J. Hone, S. O'Brien, J. A. Misewi
h, T. F. Heinz, Lijun Wu, Yimei Zhu, and L. E. Brus, Science 312, 554 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>[8] A. Javey, J. Guo, Q. Wang, M. Lundstrom, and Hongjie Dai, Nature 424, 654 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>[9] Xiaolei Liu, Chenglung Lee, and Chongwu Zhou, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 3329 (2001).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>[10] Z. Y. Zhang, S. Wang, L. Ding, X. L. Liang, H. L. Xu, J. Shen, Q. Chen, R. L. Cui, Y. Li, and L. M. Peng, Appl.

which leads to a single-particle excitation of the vacuum. Finally we reach the following conclusions: (1) In the large  $N$  limit, this excitation is weak compared with the collective motion;  $(2)$  If there is initially no collective excitation or single excited electrons in the SWCNT, the system will be stable and remain in the displaced vacuum state even when  $H_q$  is taken into account.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank H. Dong for his schematic diagram of the graphene. This work is supported by NSFC No.10474104, No.60433050, and No.10704023, NFRPC No.2006CB921205 and 2005CB724508.

Phys. Lett. 92, 133117 (2008).

- <span id="page-9-10"></span>[11] S. M. Bachilo, M. S. Strano, C. Kittrell, R. H. Hauge, R. E. Smalley, R. B. Weisman, Science 298, 2361 (2002).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>[12] H. Katauraa, Y. Kumazawaa, Y. Maniwaa, I. Umezub. S. Suzuki
, Y. Ohtsuka and Y. A
hiba, Syntheti Metals 103, 2555 (1999).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>[13] E. Chang, G. Bussi, A. Ruini, and E. Molinari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 196401 (2004).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>[14] C. D. Spataru, S. Ismail-Beigi, L. X. Benedict, and S. G. Louie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 077402 (2004).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>[15] V. Perebeinos, J. Tersoff, and Ph. Avouris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 257402 (2004).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>[16] H. Zhao and S. Mazumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 157402  $(2004).$  $\sim$
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>[17] F. Wang, G. Dukovic, L. E. Brus, T. F. Heinz, Science 308, 838 (2005).
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>[18] J. Maultzsch, R. Pomraenke, S. Reich, E. Chang, D. Prezzi, A. Ruini, E. Molinari, M. S. Strano, C. Thomsen, and C. Lienau, Phys. Rev. B 72, 241402 (2005).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>[19] J. Lefebvre and P. Finnie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 167406 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>[20] A.Höele, C. Galland, M. Winger, and A. Imamoğlu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 217401 (2008).
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>[21] H. Haken, Rev. Mod. Phys.  $47, 67$  (1975).