

On a local theory of asymptotic integration for nonlinear differential equations

Ravi P. Agarwal

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Florida Institute of Technology,
Melbourne, FL 32901, USA
e-mail: agarwal@fit.edu*

Octavian G. Mustafa

*Faculty of Mathematics, D.A.L.
University of Craiova, Romania
e-mail: octaviangenghiz@yahoo.com*

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Cezar Avramescu

Abstract By revisiting an asymptotic integration theory of nonlinear ordinary differential equations due to J.K. Hale and N. Onuchic [Contributions Differential Equations 2 (1963), 61–75], we improve and generalize several recent results in the literature. As an application, we study the existence of bounded positive solutions to a large class of semi-linear elliptic partial differential equations via the subsolution-supersolution approach.

1 Introduction

Consider the ordinary differential equation in the superlinear case ($\lambda > 1$)

$$x'' + q(t)x^\lambda = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 1, \quad (1)$$

where the functional coefficient $q : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is assumed continuous and with (eventual) isolated zeros, and $x^\lambda = |x|^\varepsilon x$ for $\lambda = 1 + \varepsilon$. The equation (1) and its variants with modified functional arguments are

frequently called the *Emden-Fowler*, the *Lane-Emden* or the *Thomas-Fermi* equations, as a tribute to a series of their fundamental particular cases, cf. [24]. Details on the analysis of such differential equations can be found in the monographs [1, 7, 30].

In 1955, F.V. Atkinson published a spectacular result on the oscillation of equation (1) with a proof relying on asymptotic integration theory via the Picard iterations.

Theorem 1 ([5, Theorem 1]) *A necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillation of (1) is given by*

$$\int^{+\infty} tq(t)dt = +\infty. \quad (2)$$

The sufficiency part of Theorem 1 consisted in a demonstration of the next

Claim *If Atkinson's hypothesis (2) does not hold – meaning that we can take ([5, p. 646])*

$$\eta = \lambda \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} tq(t)dt < 1, \quad (3)$$

then the boundary valued problem

$$\begin{cases} x'' + q(t)x^\lambda = 0, & t \geq t_0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = 1, & \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x'(t) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

has at least one solution.

Recently, Theorem 1 has been considered by several investigators [16, 20, 32]. We would like to improve hereafter upon the conclusions of [32] and of some other recent work. Important continuations of Atkinson's theorem are given in [31, 43, 44].

To emphasize the significance of Atkinson's contribution, let us just say that, via Theorem 1, *the superlinear case of the second order ordinary differential equations with positive functional coefficient $q(t)$ has a necessary and sufficient condition of oscillation, a feature without correspondence to the linear case*, cf. [5, p. 643], [10, p. 128].

We shall discuss a different proof of Theorem 1 by controlling the behavior of the derivative $x'(t)$ for the solutions of (1). This type of analysis has been already performed in different circumstances by Coffman and Wong [10, Theorems 1, 3], and also in a general setting by Hale and Onuchic [25].

Our main motivation in this work comes from a problem concerning the existence of positive classical solutions to the semi-linear elliptic partial differential equation

$$\Delta u + f(x, u) + g(|x|)x \cdot \nabla u = 0, \quad x \in G_A, \quad (5)$$

where $G_A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| > A\}$ and $n \geq 3$. One can find different conclusions regarding (5) in the contributions [2, 3, 11, 12, 14, 15], [19]–[21], [27], [34]–[38], [42] and in their references. In this literature, besides the regularity requirements imposed upon f, g , see [36, 37], and several technical conditions on f , the main hypothesis concerned with g reads as ([11, 20])

$$\int_A^{+\infty} r|g(r)|dr < +\infty. \quad (6)$$

It has been noticed by Ehrnström [20] that, when g takes only nonnegative values, we can eliminate (6) provided that a certain elliptic partial differential equation possesses a positive radially symmetric solution U verifying the condition

$$x \cdot \nabla U(x) \leq 0, \quad x \in G_B, \quad (7)$$

where $B > A$ is taken large enough. This solution U plays the role of supersolution in the comparison method approach [23] designed for the class of equations (5), cf. [11, 37]. Further developments of Ehrnström's idea are given in [2, 3, 15, 21].

Translated into the language of ordinary differential equations, the research about U reads as follows: find (if any) a positive solution $x(t)$ of the equation (1) such that $x(t) = O(t)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and

$$x'(t) - \frac{x(t)}{t} < 0, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (8)$$

Formula (8) prompts the need to estimate the behavior of $x'(t)$ for the solution $x(t)$ to (1) when t increases indefinitely and thus motivates our investigation.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next Section a presentation of the results due to Hale and Onuchic [25] is given and commented upon. The hypotheses and proofs contain several modifications with respect to the original analysis. Further, these results are specialized to the class of equations (1) and certain estimates recently established are improved. In this process, several theorems scattered throughout the literature are presented in a unifying way. The last Section is devoted to showing that the equation (5) has a bounded positive solution with prescribed upper and lower bounds.

2 The Hale-Onuchic theory of asymptotic integration

Let us consider the boundary value problem given by the nonlinear differential equation ($k + r \geq 1$)

$$u^{(k+r+1)} = P(t, u, u', \dots, u^{(k+r)}), \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 1, \quad (9)$$

where the function $P : [t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{k+r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, together with the data

$$\begin{cases} u^{(i)}(t_0) = a_{k-i}, & i \in \overline{0, k-1}, \quad (\text{when } k \geq 1) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u^{(k)}(t) = c, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [t^j u^{(k+j)}(t)] = 0, & j \in \overline{1, r} \quad (\text{when } r \geq 1) \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

for fixed $a_{k-i}, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

According to [25, p. 62], we can establish an existence result for the problem (9), (10) *by transforming it into an integro-differential $(r + 1)$ -dimensional system.*

If $u(t)$ is a solution of the problem (9), (10) then we have

$$u^{(p)}(t) = Q_p(t, u^{(k)}), \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where

$$Q_p(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k-p} a_j \frac{(t-t_0)^{k-p-j}}{(k-p-j)!} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-s)^{k-p-1}}{(k-p-1)!} x(s) ds, \quad x \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}),$$

for every $p \in \overline{0, k-1}$. When $k = 0$ we take $Q_p(t, x) \equiv x$.

So, for $x = u^{(k)}$, the equation (9) can be written as the integro-differential equation

$$x^{(r+1)} = P(t, Q_0(t, x), Q_1(t, x), \dots, Q_{k-1}(t, x), x, x', \dots, x^{(r)}). \quad (11)$$

Further, by introducing the function $y = \text{col}(y_1, \dots, y_{r+1})$ through the formulas

$$y_1 = x, y_2 = x', \dots, y_{r+1} = x^{(r)},$$

the equation (11) becomes (see [4])

$$y' = Ay + P[t, x, y], \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P[t, x, y] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ P \end{pmatrix}.$$

The change of variables suggested in [4], namely $y = e^{At}z$, where $z = \text{col}(z_1, \dots, z_{r+1})$, leads to

$$\begin{cases} y_i(t) = \sum_{l=i}^{r+1} \frac{t^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} z_l(t), \\ z_i(t) = \sum_{l=i}^{r+1} (-1)^{l-i} \frac{t^{l-i}}{(l-i)!} y_l(t) \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r+1$. We took into account that $(e^{At})^{-1} = e^{-At}$ when $t \geq t_0$.

We introduce the function $R(t, z)$ via the formula

$$R(t, z) = P \left(t, \left(Q_p \left(t, \sum_{q=1}^{r+1} \frac{t^{q-1}}{(q-1)!} z_q \right) \right)_{p \in \overline{0, k-1}}, \left(\sum_{l=d}^{r+1} \frac{t^{l-d}}{(l-d)!} z_l \right)_{d \in \overline{1, r+1}} \right).$$

At this point, the relation $e^{At}z' = P[t, x, y]$, cf. [4], becomes the integro-differential system

$$\frac{dz_i}{dt} = (-1)^{r+1-i} \frac{t^{r+1-i}}{(r+1-i)!} R(t, z), \quad 1 \leq i \leq r+1. \quad (13)$$

To establish the existence of a solution to the problem (9), (10) we impose a series of *technical conditions* on both the data a_{k-i}, c and the nonlinearity P .

Fix the numbers $M > 0$, $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $s_d \in [s_0, 1)$ such that $\sum_{d=1}^{r+1} s_d = 1$. Let also $K : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a continuous function such that

$$\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} K(s) ds \leq s_0 M. \quad (14)$$

We denote with Z_M the subset of the space $[X_2(t_0; 1)]^{r+1}$ (endowed with the product topology and respectively the product norm), see [4], which consists of all functions $z(t)$ with the property that

$$\begin{cases} |z_1(t) - c| \leq \frac{s_1}{s_0} \int_t^{+\infty} K(\tau) d\tau \\ |z_i(t)| \leq \frac{s_i}{s_0} \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{K(\tau)}{\tau^{i-1}} d\tau, \quad i \in \overline{2, r+1}, \end{cases}$$

throughout $[t_0, +\infty)$.

The key technical condition of the Hale-Onuchic method is given by the inequality

$$t^r |R(t, z)| \leq K(t), \quad z \in Z_M, \quad (15)$$

for all $t \geq t_0$.

Theorem 2 (Hale, Onuchic, 1963, cf. [25, Theorem 1]) *Assume that (14), (15) hold. Then, the system (13) has at least one solution in Z_M .*

Proof. Consider the operator $T : Z_M \rightarrow [X_2(t_0; 1)]^{r+1}$ with the formula $T(z) = w$, where $w = \text{col}(w_1, \dots, w_{r+1})$ and

$$\begin{cases} w_1(t) = c - \int_t^{+\infty} (-1)^r \frac{s^r}{r!} R(s, z) ds \\ w_i(t) = - \int_t^{+\infty} (-1)^{r+1-i} \frac{s^{r+1-i}}{(r+1-i)!} R(s, z) ds, \quad i \in \overline{2, r+1}. \end{cases}$$

The set Z_M is bounded, closed and convex. By adapting the technique from [4], we deduce that the operator T is uniformly continuous and the set $T(Z_M)$ is relatively compact.

It is easy to see that

$$|w_1(t) - c| \leq \int_t^{+\infty} K(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{s_1}{s_0} \int_t^{+\infty} K(\tau) d\tau,$$

and respectively

$$|w_i(t)| \leq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{K(\tau)}{\tau^{i-1}} d\tau \leq \frac{s_i}{s_0} \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{K(\tau)}{\tau^{i-1}} d\tau,$$

which implies $T(Z_M) \subseteq Z_M$. The conclusion follows by application of the Schauder-Tikhonov fixed point theorem. \square

Proposition 1 *Let $z \in Z_M$ be the solution of the system (13) established in Theorem 2. Then, the functions $(y_i)_{i \in \overline{1, r+1}}$ given by the first of formulas (12) satisfy the estimates*

$$|y_1(t) - c| \leq \psi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |y_{i+1}(t)| \leq \frac{\psi(t)}{t^i}, \quad (16)$$

where $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{s_0} \int_t^{+\infty} K(\tau) d\tau$, throughout $[t_0, +\infty)$. Furthermore,

$$y_1^{(j)} = y_{j+1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r.$$

Proof. We have

$$|y_1(t) - c| \leq |z_1(t) - c| + \sum_{l=2}^{r+1} \frac{t^{l-1}}{(l-1)!} |z_l(t)| \leq \psi(t) \sum_{l=1}^{r+1} \frac{s_l}{(l-1)!} \leq \psi(t),$$

and respectively

$$|y_i(t)| \leq \frac{\psi(t)}{t^{i-1}} \sum_{l=i}^{r+1} \frac{t^{l-1}}{(l-1)!} |z_l(t)| \leq \frac{\psi(t)}{t^{i-1}} \sum_{l=i}^{r+1} \frac{s_l}{(l-1)!} \leq \frac{\psi(t)}{t^{i-1}}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq r+1.$$

Further, for $j \in \overline{1, r}$ fixed, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} y'_j(t) &= \sum_{q=j}^r \frac{t^{q-j}}{(q-j)!} z_{q+1}(t) + \sum_{l=j}^{r+1} \frac{t^{l-j}}{(l-j)!} z'_l(t) \\ &= y_{j+1}(t) + t^{r+1-j} R(t, z) \sum_{l=j}^{r+1} \frac{(-1)^{r+1+l}}{(l-j)!(r+1-l)!} = y_{j+1}(t), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{l=j}^{r+1} \frac{(-1)^{r+1+l}}{(l-j)!(r+1-l)!} = \frac{1}{(r+1-j)!} \sum_{d=0}^{r+1-j} \binom{d}{r+1-i} (-1)^d = 0$$

according to Newton's binomial formula. \square

The conclusions of Proposition 1 allow us to say that the problem (9), (10) has a solution $x(t)$. Moreover, the relations (16) describe the decay rate of the derivatives of this solution to c , respectively to 0 when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

The condition (15) is, in the general case, extremely complicated to verify. Certain simpler restrictions can be designed, fortunately, that will lead to (15).

Theorem 3 ([25, Theorem 2]) *Consider the quasi-linear differential equation*

$$u^{(k+r+1)} + \sum_{j=1}^{k+r+1} f_j(t, u, u', \dots, u^{(k+r)}) u^{(k+r+1-j)} = 0, \quad t \geq 1, \quad (17)$$

where the functional coefficients $f_j : [1, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{k+r+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and verify the inequality

$$|f_j(t, u, u', \dots, u^{(k+r)})| \leq h_j(t) L_j(|u|, |u'|, \dots, |u^{(k+r)}|)$$

throughout their entire domain of existence. Assume, further, that the comparison functions L_j are continuous, monotone nondecreasing in every argument and subjected to the restriction

$$\int^{+\infty} t^{j-1} h_j(t) L_j(\beta t^k, \beta t^{k-1}, \dots, \beta t^{-r}) dt < +\infty$$

for every $\beta > 0$.

Then, given the numbers $c, (a_j)_{j \in \overline{1, k}}$, there exist $t_0 \geq 1$ and a solution $u(t)$ of equation (17) defined in $[t_0, +\infty)$ which satisfies the boundary conditions (10).

Let us mention at this point that results similar to Theorems 2, 3 can be established also by following the fundamental technique due to Hartman and Onuchic [26]. This method relies on a rather complicated (in the general case) change of variables and the admissibility theory devised by Massera, Schäffer and Corduneanu, see the discussion in [3]. In fact, in [3, Theorem 4] we use this approach to deal with the existence of solutions that possess the same behavior as the one we look for in the present paper.

Proof. (of Theorem 3) Fix $M > 0$ and introduce $\beta = |c| + M + \sum_{j=1}^k |a_j|$. Without providing a lower bound for t_0 at this time, we deduce that ($z \in Z_M$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| Q_p \left(t, \sum_{q=1}^{r+1} \frac{t^{q-1}}{(q-1)!} z_q(t) \right) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-p} |a_j| t^{k-p-1} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-s)^{k-p-1}}{(k-p-1)!} \\ & \times \left(\sum_{q=1}^{r+1} \frac{s^{q-1}}{(q-1)!} |z_q(s)| \right) ds \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-p} |a_j| t^{k-p-1} + \left[|c| + M \left(\sum_{q=1}^{r+1} \frac{s_q}{(q-1)!} \right) \right] \\ & \times \int_{t_0}^t \frac{(t-s)^{k-p-1}}{(k-p-1)!} ds \leq \beta t^{k-p}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and respectively

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{l=d}^{r+1} \frac{t^{l-d}}{(l-d)!} z_l(t) \right| \leq |z_1(t)| + \sum_{l=d}^{r+1} \operatorname{sgn}(l-1) \frac{t^{1-d}}{(l-d)!} [t^{l-1} |z_l(t)|] \\ & \leq (|c| + M) t^{1-d} \leq \beta t^{1-d} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

for all $t \geq t_0$ and $0 \leq p \leq k-1, 1 \leq d \leq r+1$.

Thus,

$$t^r |R(t, z)|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} t^r |f_j(t, (Q_p(t, x))_{p \in \overline{0, k-1}}, (x^{(i)})_{i \in \overline{0, r}})| |x^{(r+1-j)}(t)| \quad (20)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=r+2}^{r+k+1} t^r |f_j(t, (Q_p(t, x))_{p \in \overline{0, k-1}}, (x^{(i)})_{i \in \overline{0, r}})| |Q_{k+r+1-j}(t, x)|, \quad (21)$$

where, according to Proposition 1, we have

$$x^{(i)}(t) = y_{i+1}(t) = \sum_{l=i+1}^{r+1} \frac{t^{l-i-1}}{(l-i-1)!} z_l(t).$$

The estimates (18), with $p = k + r + 1 - j$, and (19), with $d = r + 2 - j$, allow us to majorize any of the terms from the sum (20), and respectively of the sum (21) with the quantity (see [25, p. 68])

$$\beta t^{j-1} h_j(t) L_j(\beta t^k, \beta t^{k-1}, \dots, \beta t^{-r}), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

The function $K : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is now the sum

$$K(t) = \beta \sum_{j=1}^{k+r+1} t^{j-1} h_j(t) L_j(\beta t^k, \beta t^{k-1}, \dots, \beta t^{-r}),$$

where $t_0 \geq 1$ verifies the restriction (14). \square

The significance of (15) is that, in a series of cases which cannot be discussed by means of Theorem 3, *the nonlinearity of the differential equation (9) doesn't have to be necessarily "small" but it has only to become "small" along some of the solutions of the equation*, cf. [25, p. 61]. See also the discussion in [3, p. 363].

General results about the existence throughout unbounded intervals of the solutions to various boundary value problems associated to nonlinear differential equations can be found in [28, 29]. We mention, in this respect, the monographs [8, 13] and the papers [9, 41].

Similar investigations, regarding the issue of disconjugacy, for quasi-linear differential equations can be read in [18, 39, 40]. The existence of special families of solutions (Chebyshev or Descartes systems) is established via fixed point theories of multi-functions (the Fan-Glicksberg theorem, [39, p. 82]). This type of approach in the asymptotic integration of quasi-linear ordinary differential equations is attributed to Kartsatos, cf. [40, p. 911].

Some interesting details regarding the existence over bounded intervals of solutions to general boundary value problems can be read in the contribution by Avramescu [6].

3 Local theories for the asymptotic integration of equation (1)

Let us come back to the Emden-Fowler equation (1). We shall analyze in the following the asymptotic features of some of its (non-oscillatory) solutions using Hale-Onuchic results.

By *local theories* we mean, in the spirit of condition (15), those results in whose hypotheses *the behavior of the nonlinearity of a differential equation is described on a given family of functions* (with these functions we compare either the solution we are looking for or other functional quantities associated with the solution) and not throughout its entire domain of existence.

The results are based on an observation made by Dubé and Mingarelli [16, Eq. (2.1)], according to which *one can combine the hypothesis (15) with a (local) Lipschitz restriction upon the nonlinearity of the differential equation*. In this way, the functional analysis involved in the investigation is greatly simplified.

Theorem 4 (Dubé, Mingarelli, 2004, cf. [16, Theorem 2.1]) *Let $f : [t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a continuous function such that*

$$\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (t - t_0)f(t, u(t))dt \leq M \quad (22)$$

for all $u \in X_M$, where $M > 0$ is fixed and

$$X_M = \{u \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : 0 \leq u(t) \leq M \text{ for all } t \geq t_0\}.$$

Assume that there exists the continuous function $k : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ subjected to

$$\eta = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (t - t_0)k(t)dt < 1 \quad (23)$$

and

$$|f(t, u_2(t)) - f(t, u_1(t))| \leq k(t)|u_2(t) - u_1(t)|, \quad t \geq t_0, u_{1,2} \in X_M. \quad (24)$$

Then, the differential equation

$$x'' + f(t, x) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \quad (25)$$

has a solution $x(t)$ defined in $[t_0, +\infty)$ with the asymptotic profile given by

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = M. \quad (26)$$

Proof. We introduce the integral operator $T : X_M \rightarrow C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ with the formula

$$T(u)(t) = M - \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)f(s, u(s))ds, \quad u \in X_M,$$

for all $t \geq t_0$. It is easy to deduce that, by a double differentiation with respect to t , any (eventual) fixed point of operator T verifies the equation (25) throughout $[t_0, +\infty)$.

Obviously, $T(X_M) \subseteq X_M$ according to the Hale-Onuchic condition (22).

Given the pairs (u_1, u_2) of elements from X_M , we introduce the natural distance d by

$$d(u_1, u_2) = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \{|u_1(t) - u_2(t)|\}. \quad (27)$$

It can be established in a standard manner, see [29, Chapter I], that the metric space $S_M = (X_M, d)$ is complete.

The next estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |T(u_2)(t) - T(u_1)(t)| &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)|f(s, u_2(s)) - f(s, u_1(s))|ds \\ &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t_0)k(s)|u_2(s) - u_1(s)|ds \\ &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t_0)k(s)ds \cdot d(u_2, u_1), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $u_{1,2} \in X_M$ și $t \geq t_0$, implies $d(T(u_2), T(u_1)) \leq \eta d(u_1, u_2)$, that is *the operator T is a contraction in S_M* .

The Banach contraction principle [29, pp. 19–20] ensures that the equation (25) has the solution we were looking for. \square

In its essence, the Hale-Onuchic "philosophy" of asymptotic integration of nonlinear differential equations reduces to *transforming the boundary value problem into the existence problem of a fixed point to an integral operator T* , a common fact in this field, and to *identifying an invariant set (Z_M, X_M , etc)* in which one can use, with minimal effort, a fixed point theorem. This is why, in a Hale-Onuchic type of approach, the verification of the hypotheses of such a theorem is quite easy, the weight leaning upon the formula of the integral operator (associated to an intermediate integro-differential problem), respectively upon the detection of invariant sets. Some authors, maybe too drastic in this respect, exclude the verification of the hypotheses to the fixed point theorem from the investigation, e.g. [17, p. v].

By taking $M = 1$, $k(t) \equiv \lambda q(t)$ and $f(t, u) \equiv q(t)u^\lambda$, Theorem 4 establishes the existence of a solution to the boundary value problem (4). Atkinson's original claim is improved in

Theorem 5 *Assume that (3) holds. Then, there exists $p > 1$ such that, for all $c \in (0, 1]$, the equation (1) has at least one solution $x(t)$ defined in $[t_0, +\infty)$ with the property that*

$$\frac{c}{p} \leq x(t) \leq c, \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (29)$$

The asymptotic profile of the solution is given by $x(t) = c + o(1)$, respectively $x'(t) = o(t^{-1})$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Introduce the complete metric space $S = (X, d)$, where

$$X = \left\{ u \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : \frac{c}{p} \leq u(t) \leq c \text{ for all } t \geq t_0 \right\}$$

and the distance d is given by (27). Here, the number $p > 1$ is fixed such that to have ($\eta \in (0, 1)$!)

$$\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} tq(t)dt < \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq \frac{p-1}{p} < 1. \quad (30)$$

The nonlinearity $f(t, u) \equiv q(t)u^\lambda$ satisfies the condition (24) for $k(t) \equiv \lambda q(t)$. Also, the operator $T : X \rightarrow C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ with the formula

$$T(u)(t) = c - \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)q(s)[u(s)]^\lambda ds, \quad u \in X, t \geq t_0, \quad (31)$$

verifies the estimate (28), meaning that it is a contraction of coefficient η .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} c &\geq c - \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)q(s) \left(\frac{c}{p}\right)^\lambda ds \\ &\geq T(u)(t) = c - \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)q(s)[u(s)]^\lambda ds \\ &\geq c - c^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)q(s)ds \geq c \left[1 - \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} sq(s)ds \right] \\ &\geq \frac{c}{p}, \quad u \in X, t \geq t_0, \end{aligned}$$

that is $T(X) \subseteq X$.

According to the contraction principle, the operator T has a fixed point in X , denoted $x(t)$. Obviously, $x(t) = c + o(1)$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Further,

$$0 \leq x'(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} q(s)[x(s)]^\lambda ds \leq c^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) ds \leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) ds = o(t^{-1})$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. See [32]. \square

Remark 1 We impose this restriction upon c just to make use of (3). Elsewhere, in the spirit of [10], fix C such that $C > \lambda(C - |c|) > 0$ and $C^\lambda \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t|q(t)|dt \leq C - |c|$. Then, the operator $T : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow X_2(t_0; 1)$, see [4], with the formula given by (31) and $\mathcal{C} = \{u \in X_2(t_0; 1) : |u(t)| \leq C \text{ for all } t \geq t_0\}$, is a contraction of coefficient $\lambda \frac{C - |c|}{C}$. Its fixed point in \mathcal{C} is the solution we are looking for.

The conclusion of Theorem 5 can be reached as well by a different local theory. To this end, we establish a theorem that improves upon the results in [32, Theorem 1].

Theorem 6 Set $M \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\alpha, \beta : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions, absolutely integrable over $[t_0, +\infty)$, with $\alpha(t) \leq \beta(t)$ for all $t \geq t_0$ and such that $\lim_{t' \rightarrow +\infty} \alpha(t') = \lim_{t' \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(t') = 0$.

Given the sets

$$C_M = \left\{ u \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : M - \int_t^{+\infty} \beta(s) ds \leq u(t) \leq M - \int_t^{+\infty} \alpha(s) ds \text{ for all } t \geq t_0 \right\}$$

and

$$D = \{v \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : \alpha(t) \leq v(t) \leq \beta(t) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0\},$$

assume that the double inequality takes place

$$\alpha(t) \leq \int_t^{+\infty} f(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \leq \beta(t), \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (32)$$

for all $u \in C_M$ and $v \in D$, where the function $f : [t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. As a plus,

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(t, u_2(t), v_2(t)) - f(t, u_1(t), v_1(t))| \\ & \leq k_1(t)|u_2(t) - u_1(t)| + k_2(t)|v_2(t) - v_1(t)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $u_{1,2} \in C_M$, $v_{1,2} \in D$ and $t \geq t_0$. Here, the functions $k_{1,2} : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous and there exists a number $\zeta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \zeta \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k_1(t) dt + \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (t - t_0) k_1(t) dt \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k_2(t) dt + \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (t - t_0) k_2(t) dt < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x'' + f(t, x, x') = 0, & t \geq t_0 \geq 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) = M \\ \alpha(t) \leq x'(t) \leq \beta(t), & t \geq t_0, \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

has a unique solution.

Proof. Define the distance d between the elements v_1 and v_2 of the set D by the formula

$$d(v_1, v_2) = \|v_1 - v_2\|_{L^1((t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})} + \zeta \sup_{t \geq t_0} \{|v_1(t) - v_2(t)|\}.$$

The dominated convergence theorem, see [22, pp. 20–21], ensures that the metric space $S = (D, d)$ is complete.

We introduce the operator $T : D \rightarrow C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ via the formula

$$T(v)(t) = \int_t^{+\infty} f\left(s, M - \int_s^{+\infty} v(\tau) d\tau, v(s)\right) ds, \quad v \in D, t \geq t_0.$$

The restriction (32) shows that $T(D) \subseteq D$ since $M - \int_{(\cdot)}^{+\infty} v(s) ds \in C_M$ for all $v \in D$.

Claim *The operator $T : D \rightarrow D$ is a contraction with coefficient χ .*

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta |T(v_2)(t) - T(v_1)(t)| &\leq \zeta \int_t^{+\infty} k_1(s) \int_s^{+\infty} |v_2(\tau) - v_1(\tau)| d\tau ds \\ &+ \int_t^{+\infty} k_2(s) [\zeta |v_2(s) - v_1(s)|] ds \\ &\leq \left[\zeta \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k_1(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k_2(s) ds \right] d(v_1, v_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_t^{+\infty} |T(v_2)(s) - T(v_1)(s)| ds \leq \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)k_1(s) \\ & \times \int_s^{+\infty} |v_2(\tau) - v_1(\tau)| d\tau ds + \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_t^{+\infty} (s-t)k_2(s)[\zeta|v_2(s) - v_1(s)|] ds \\ & \leq \left[\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (s-t_0)k_1(s) ds + \frac{1}{\zeta} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (s-t_0)k_2(s) ds \right] d(v_1, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\int_t^{+\infty} |T(v_2)(s) - T(v_1)(s)| ds + \zeta|T(v_2)(t) - T(v_1)(t)| \leq \chi d(v_1, v_2)$$

for all $v_{1,2} \in D$ and $t \geq t_0$, which validates the Claim.

Denote with $v_0(t)$ the fixed point of operator T in D . Then, the function $x(t) \equiv M - \int_t^{+\infty} v_0(s) ds$ is the solution we are looking for. \square

Corollary 1 *Let $g : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a continuous function, integrable over $[t_0, +\infty)$ and such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} g(t) = 0$. Assume as well that, in the statement of Theorem 4, the hypothesis (22) is replaced by the restrictions*

$$\int_t^{+\infty} f(s, u(s)) ds \leq g(t), \quad u \in X_M, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} g(t') dt' \leq M.$$

Then, if the function $f(t, x)$ verifies the conditions (23), (24), there will be a solution $x(t)$ of equation (25) with the asymptotic profile (26) such that

$$0 \leq x'(t) \leq g(t), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Proof. Take $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = g$, $k_1 = k$, $k_2 = 0$ and $\zeta \in (0, 1)$ with the property that

$$\zeta < (1 - \eta) \left(\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k(t) dt \right)^{-1}. \quad (34)$$

Since $\chi = \zeta \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k(t) dt + \eta < 1$, the conclusion follows readily from Theorem 6. \square

A second proof of Theorem 5. We shall use Theorem 6. So, fix the numbers $p > 1$, $\zeta \in (0, 1)$ in order for (30), (34) to hold.

Define the functions

$$\alpha(t) = \left(\frac{c}{p}\right)^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} q(s)ds, \quad \beta(t) = c^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} q(s)ds, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Also, introduce $k_1 = k$, $k_2 = 0$.

We have

$$\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \beta(t)dt = c^\lambda \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (t - t_0)q(t)dt \leq c \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} tq(t)dt < c \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right). \quad (35)$$

According to [32, p. 183], and taking into account (35), the double inequality (32) follows from the next estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) \left(\frac{c}{p}\right)^\lambda ds \leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) \left(c - \int_s^{+\infty} \beta(\tau)d\tau\right)^\lambda ds \\ &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s)[u(s)]^\lambda ds = \int_t^{+\infty} f(s, u(s))ds, \quad u \in C_c, (M = c \in (0, 1]!) \\ &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) \left(c - \int_s^{+\infty} \alpha(\tau)d\tau\right)^\lambda ds \leq c^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} q(s)ds = \beta(t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \geq t_0$.

Consequently, by applying Theorem 6, we establish the existence of a solution with the formula $x(t) \equiv c - \int_t^{+\infty} v_0(s)ds$ of the boundary value problem (33), where v_0 is the fixed point of operator T in D .

The estimate (29) is a by-product of (35). More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c}{p} &\leq c \left[1 - \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} (t - t_0)q(t)dt\right] \leq c - c^\lambda \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \int_{t'}^{+\infty} q(s)dsdt' \\ &\leq c - \int_t^{+\infty} \beta(t')dt' \leq c - \int_t^{+\infty} v_0(t')dt' = x(t) \leq c, \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Another local result regards the *linear-like* solutions of equation (1), cf. [3, p. 356].

Theorem 7 ([33, Theorem 2.1]) *Take $t_0 \geq 1$, $A, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu \in [0, 1)$ and the continuous functions $\alpha, \beta : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha(t') \leq \beta(t')$ for all $t' \geq t_0$ and $\alpha(t), \beta(t) = o(t^{-\nu})$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Consider the set E_{A, x_0} given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{A, x_0} &= \{u \in C^1([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : u(t_0) = x_0, \alpha(t) \leq u'(t) - A \\ &\leq \beta(t) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0\} \end{aligned}$$

and assume that

$$\alpha(t) \leq \int_t^{+\infty} f(s, u(s)) ds \leq \beta(t), \quad u \in E_{A, x_0}, t \geq t_0,$$

where the continuous function $f : [t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the nonlinearity of the equation (25). As a plus,

$$|f(t, u_2(t)) - f(t, u_1(t))| \leq \frac{k(t)}{t} |u_2(t) - u_1(t)|$$

for all $u_{1,2} \in E_{A, x_0}$ and $t \geq t_0$. Here, the function $k : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and such that

$$\varpi = \frac{1}{1-\nu} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k(t) dt < 1. \quad (36)$$

Then, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x'' + f(t, x) = 0, & t \geq t_0, \\ x(t_0) = x_0, \\ x(t) = At + o(t^{1-\nu}) & \text{when } t \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $x(t)$ with the property that

$$\alpha(t) \leq x'(t) - A \leq \beta(t), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

In particular, if $\int^{+\infty} \alpha(t) dt = +\infty$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} [x(t) - At] = +\infty$.

Proof. Define the distance between the elements u_1 and u_2 of set E_{A, x_0} by the formula

$$d(u_1, u_2) = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \{t^\nu |u_1'(t) - u_2'(t)|\}.$$

One can see easily that the metric space $S = (E_{A, x_0}, d)$ is complete.

Let the operator $T : E_{A, x_0} \rightarrow C^1([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ be given by

$$T(u)(t) = x_0 + A(t - t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \int_s^{+\infty} f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau ds, \quad u \in E_{A, x_0}, t \geq t_0.$$

Evidently, $T(E_{A, x_0}) \subseteq E_{A, x_0}$.

From the next estimates, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
|[T(u_2)]'(t) - [T(u_1)]'(t)| &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{k(s)}{s} |u_2(s) - u_1(s)| ds \\
&\leq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{k(s)}{s} \int_{t_0}^s |u_2'(\tau) - u_1'(\tau)| d\tau ds \\
&\leq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{k(s)}{s} \int_{t_0}^s \frac{d\tau}{\tau^\nu} ds \cdot d(u_1, u_2) \\
&\leq t^{-\nu} \left(\frac{1}{1-\nu} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k(s) ds \right) d(u_1, u_2),
\end{aligned}$$

we conclude that the operator T is a contraction of coefficient ϖ (we recall the restriction (36)!). Its fixed point in E_{A, x_0} , denoted x , is the solution we have searched for.

The relations

$$x(t) = T(x)(t) \geq x_0 + A(t - t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \alpha(s) ds, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

allow us to prove the last requirement of the theorem. \square

Corollary 2 *Set $t_0, \lambda \geq 1, \nu \in [0, 1)$ and $A > 0$. Assume that the continuous function $q : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ verifies the conditions*

$$\lambda c_\nu (A + c_\nu)^{\lambda-1} < 1 - \nu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^\lambda q(t) dt < \frac{c_\nu}{(A + c_\nu)^\lambda}, \quad (37)$$

where $c_\nu = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{\lambda+\nu} q(t) dt$.

Then, the equation (1) possesses the solution $x(t)$ with the asymptotic profile $x(t) = At + \omega(t) = At + o(t^{1-\nu})$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, where

$$A^\lambda \int_{t_0}^t \int_s^{+\infty} \tau^\lambda q(\tau) d\tau ds \leq \omega(t) \leq (A + c_\nu)^\lambda \int_{t_0}^t \int_s^{+\infty} \tau^\lambda q(\tau) d\tau ds$$

for all $t \geq t_0$.

A simplification of hypothesis (37) can be found in ([33, Corollary 2.4]). For another variant, assume that $(2 - \frac{1}{\lambda})\nu < 1$.

Claim *The conditions*

$$\lambda \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{\lambda+(2-\frac{1}{\lambda})\nu} q(t) dt < 1 - \nu \quad \text{and} \quad (A + 1)^\lambda < t_0^\nu$$

imply (37).

In fact, as $\lambda, t_0 \geq 1$, we have

$$c_\nu \leq \lambda t_0^{\nu \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{\lambda+\nu} q(t) dt \leq \lambda \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{\lambda+(2-\frac{1}{\lambda})\nu} q(t) dt < 1 - \nu < 1,$$

and respectively

$$\lambda c_\nu (A + c_\nu)^{\lambda-1} < \lambda c_\nu (A + 1)^{\lambda-1} \leq \lambda t_0^{\nu \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda}} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{\lambda+\nu} q(t) dt < 1 - \nu.$$

With regard to the second of restrictions (37), notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^\lambda q(t) dt &\leq t_0^{-\nu} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{\lambda+\nu} q(t) dt = t_0^{-\nu} c_\nu < c_\nu (A + 1)^{-\lambda} \\ &< c_\nu (A + c_\nu)^{-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

The Claim is validated.

Proof of Corollary 2. Fix $x_0 = At_0$. Introduce the functions

$$\alpha(t) = A^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} s^\lambda q(s) ds, \quad \beta(t) = (A + c_\nu)^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} s^\lambda q(s) ds,$$

where $t \geq t_0$. The second of relations (37) implies $\beta(t) \leq c_\nu$ in $[t_0, +\infty)$. Also, it is obvious that we have $\alpha(t), \beta(t) = o(t^{-\nu})$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$. This is a consequence of the estimate $\beta(t) \leq (A + c_\nu)^\lambda t^{-\nu} \int_t^{+\infty} s^{\lambda+\nu} q(s) ds$.

Given $u \in E_{A, x_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) \left(As + \int_{t_0}^s \alpha(\tau) d\tau \right)^\lambda ds \leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) [u(s)]^\lambda ds \\ &= [T(u)]'(t) - A \leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) \left(As + \int_{t_0}^s \beta(\tau) d\tau \right)^\lambda ds \\ &\leq \int_t^{+\infty} q(s) [(A + c_\nu)s]^\lambda ds = \beta(t), \quad t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

Further, via the mean value theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, u_2(t)) - f(t, u_1(t))| &= t^\lambda q(t) \left| \left(\frac{u_2(t)}{t} \right)^\lambda - \left(\frac{u_1(t)}{t} \right)^\lambda \right| \\ &\leq \lambda t^\lambda q(t) \left[\frac{1}{t} \left(At + \int_{t_0}^t \beta(s) ds \right) \right]^{\lambda-1} \frac{|u_2(t) - u_1(t)|}{t} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda (A + c_\nu)^{\lambda-1} t^\lambda q(t)}{t} |u_2(t) - u_1(t)|, \quad u_{1,2} \in E_{A, x_0}, \end{aligned}$$

throughout $[t_0, +\infty)$.

The first relation in (37) implies (36) for $k(t) \equiv \lambda(A + c_\nu)^{\lambda-1} t^\lambda q(t)$, by taking into account that $t_0 \geq 1$. \square

The following local theory is devoted to the condition (8).

Theorem 8 ([34, Theorem 2.3]) *Consider $t_0 \geq 1$, $a, b \geq 0$, $c \in (0, 1]$ and the bounded continuous functions $\alpha, \beta : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that $\alpha(t') \leq \beta(t')$ for all $t' \geq t_0$.*

Introduce the set $F_{a,b,c}$ by the formula

$$F_{a,b,c} = \left\{ u \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : at + b + t \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{\alpha(s)}{s^{1+c}} ds \leq u(t) \right. \\ \left. \leq at + b + t \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{s^{1+c}} ds \text{ for all } t \geq t_0 \right\}$$

and assume that

$$\alpha(t) \leq \frac{1}{t^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t f(s, u(s)) ds \leq \beta(t), \quad u \in F_{a,b,c}, t \geq t_0,$$

where the function $f : [t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous. As a plus,

$$|f(t, u_2(t)) - f(t, u_1(t))| \leq \frac{k(t)}{t} |u_2(t) - u_1(t)|$$

for all $u_{1,2} \in F_{a,b,c}$ and $t \geq t_0$. Here, the function $k : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and such that

$$\varsigma = \frac{1}{c} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} k(t) dt < 1. \quad (38)$$

Then, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} x'' + f(t, x) = 0, & t \geq t_0, \\ x(t) \geq b, & t \geq t_0 \\ x(t) = at + O(t^{1-c}) & \text{when } t \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

has a unique solution $x(t)$ with the property that

$$\alpha(t) \leq t^c \left[\frac{x(t) - b}{t} - x'(t) \right] \leq \beta(t), \quad t \geq t_0. \quad (40)$$

Proof. Introduce the set G by the formula

$$G = \{v \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : -t^{-c}\beta(t) \leq v(t) \leq -t^{-c}\alpha(t) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0\}.$$

The distance between the elements v_1 and v_2 of the set D has the formula

$$d(v_1, v_2) = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \{t^c |v_1(t) - v_2(t)|\}$$

and the metric space $S = (G, d)$ is complete.

We define the operator $T : G \rightarrow C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ taking into account the comments in [35, Theorem 8]. Precisely,

$$T(v)(t) = -\frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t sf \left(s, as + b - s \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{v(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \right) ds, \quad v \in G, t \geq t_0.$$

It is easy to notice that $T(G) \subseteq G$, since the mapping $t \mapsto at + b - t \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{v(s)}{s} ds$ belongs to $F_{a,b,c}$ for all $v \in G$.

The estimates given by

$$\begin{aligned} t^c |T(v_2)(t) - T(v_1)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{t^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t sk(s) \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{|v_2(\tau) - v_1(\tau)|}{\tau} d\tau ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{ct^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t s^{1-c} k(s) ds \cdot d(v_1, v_2) \leq \frac{1}{c} \int_{t_0}^t k(s) ds \cdot d(v_1, v_2) \end{aligned}$$

show that *the operator T is a contraction of coefficient ς in S .*

By denoting with v_0 its fixed point, where $v_0 \in G$, the solution we are looking for has the formula $x(t) \equiv at + b - t \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{v_0(s)}{s} ds$. \square

Remark 2 We notice that, in the circumstances of Theorem 8, if we assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{1-c}\alpha(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t^{1-c}\beta(t) = d \in [0, +\infty)$, all the elements of the set $F_{a,b,c}$, and, in particular, the solution of problem (39), (40), have the asymptotic profile $u(t) = At + B + o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, where $A = a$ and $B = b + d$. Moreover, $\int_{t_0}^{+\infty} sf(s, u(s)) ds = d$ for all $u \in F_{a,b,c}$.

Corollary 3 *Set $t_0, \lambda \geq 1$, $a, b \geq 0$, $c \in (0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Assume that the continuous function $q : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfies the conditions*

$$\lambda(a + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1} I_c < c \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b}{t_0} + (a + \varepsilon)^\lambda \frac{I_c}{ct_0^c} < \varepsilon,$$

where $I_c = \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^{\lambda+c} q(t) dt$.

Then, the equation (1) admits the solution $x : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [b, +\infty)$ with the asymptotic profile $x(t) = at + O(t^{1-c})$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ for which

$$a^\lambda \cdot \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t s^{\lambda+1} q(s) ds \leq \frac{x(t) - b}{t} - x'(t) \leq (a + \varepsilon)^\lambda \cdot \frac{1}{t^c} \int_{t_0}^t s^{\lambda+c} q(s) ds, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

In particular, $\frac{x(t)-b}{t} - x'(t) = O(t^{-c})$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Introduce the functions

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{a^\lambda}{t^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t s^{\lambda+1} q(s) ds, \quad \beta(t) = (a + \varepsilon)^\lambda \int_{t_0}^t s^{\lambda+c} q(s) ds, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Obviously, $\beta(t) \leq (a + \varepsilon)^\lambda I_c$ in $[t_0, +\infty)$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &\leq \frac{1}{t^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t sq(s) \left[as + b - s \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{v(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau \right]^\lambda ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t sq(s) \left(as + b + s \int_s^{+\infty} \frac{\|\beta\|_\infty}{\tau^{1+c}} d\tau \right)^\lambda ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t sq(s) \left[\left(a + \frac{b}{t_0} + \frac{(a + \varepsilon)^\lambda I_c}{ct_0^c} \right) s \right]^\lambda ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t^{1-c}} \int_{t_0}^t s^{\lambda+1} q(s) (a + \varepsilon)^\lambda ds \leq \beta(t), \quad v \in D, t \geq t_0, \end{aligned}$$

and respectively

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, u_2(t)) - f(t, u_1(t))| &\leq \lambda t^\lambda q(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \left[at + b + t \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{\beta(s)}{s^{1+c}} ds \right] \right\}^{\lambda-1} \\ &\times \frac{|u_2(t) - u_1(t)|}{t} \leq \lambda t^\lambda q(t) (a + \varepsilon)^{\lambda-1} \frac{|u_2(t) - u_1(t)|}{t} = \frac{k(t)}{t} |u_2(t) - u_1(t)| \end{aligned}$$

for all $u_{1,2} \in F_{a,b,c}$ and $t \geq t_0$. \square

We have explained the significance of such results in the Introduction. The solution $x(t)$ of equation (1) described in Corollary 3 verifies, evidently, the inequality

$$x'(t) < \frac{x(t)}{t}, \quad t > t_0. \quad (41)$$

On the other hand, such an estimate is not immediate for the solution $x(t)$ given at Corollary 2. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x(t)}{t} &= A + \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t \int_s^{+\infty} q(\tau)[x(\tau)]^\lambda d\tau ds = A + \int_t^{+\infty} q(\tau)[x(\tau)]^\lambda d\tau \\ &+ \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t sq(s)[x(s)]^\lambda ds - \frac{t_0}{t} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} q(\tau)[x(\tau)]^\lambda d\tau \right\} \\ &= x'(t) + o(1) \quad \text{when } t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

cf. [3, Eq. (42)].

A general theorem, of Hale-Onuchic type, regarding the existence of solutions to nonlinear differential equations that are subjected to the inequality (41) can be read in [3, Theorem 5]. The next result is an adaptation of it to the circumstances of equation (1).

Theorem 9 Fix $t_0, \lambda \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0, d > 0$ such that

$$\max \left\{ \lambda(c+d)^{\lambda-1}, \frac{(c+d)^\lambda}{d} \right\} \cdot \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} t^\lambda q(t) dt < 1,$$

where the function $q : [t_0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and has (eventually) isolated zeros.

Then, the equation (1) possesses the solution $x(t)$ defined in $[t_0, +\infty)$ with the property that

$$c - d \leq x'(t) < \frac{x(t)}{t} \leq c + d, \quad t > t_0.$$

As a plus, the solution has the asymptotic profile $x(t) = ct + o(t)$ when $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. Consider $S = (D, d)$ the metric space given by the formulas

$$D = \{u \in C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}) : ct \leq u(t) \leq (c+d)t \text{ for all } t \geq t_0\}$$

and

$$d(u_1, u_2) = \sup_{t \geq t_0} \left\{ \frac{|u_1(t) - u_2(t)|}{t} \right\}, \quad u_{1,2} \in D.$$

For the operator $T : D \rightarrow C([t_0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$T(u)(t) = t \left\{ c + \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{t_0}^s \tau q(\tau)[u(\tau)]^\lambda d\tau ds \right\}, \quad u \in D, t \geq t_0,$$

the next estimates hold

$$\begin{aligned}
c &\leq \frac{T(u)(t)}{t} = c + \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{t_0}^s \tau^{\lambda+1} q(\tau) \left[\frac{u(\tau)}{\tau} \right]^\lambda d\tau ds \\
&\leq c + (c+d)^\lambda \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{t_0}^s \tau^{\lambda+1} q(\tau) d\tau ds \\
&\leq c + (c+d)^\lambda \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t \tau^{\lambda+1} q(\tau) d\tau + \int_t^{+\infty} \tau^\lambda q(\tau) d\tau \right] \\
&\leq c + (c+d)^\lambda \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \tau^\lambda q(\tau) d\tau < c + d
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{|T(u_2)(t) - T(u_1)(t)|}{t} \\
&\leq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{t_0}^s \tau^{\lambda+1} q(\tau) \left| \left(\frac{u_2(\tau)}{\tau} \right)^\lambda - \left(\frac{u_1(\tau)}{\tau} \right)^\lambda \right| d\tau ds \\
&\leq \int_t^{+\infty} \frac{1}{s^2} \int_{t_0}^s \tau^{\lambda+1} q(\tau) [\lambda(c+d)^{\lambda-1}] \frac{|u_2(\tau) - u_1(\tau)|}{\tau} d\tau ds \\
&\leq \lambda(c+d)^{\lambda-1} \left[\frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t \tau^{\lambda+1} q(\tau) d\tau + \int_t^{+\infty} \tau^\lambda q(\tau) d\tau \right] d(u_1, u_2) \\
&\leq \lambda(c+d)^{\lambda-1} \int_{t_0}^{+\infty} \tau^\lambda q(\tau) d\tau \cdot d(u_1, u_2) = \vartheta \cdot d(u_1, u_2).
\end{aligned}$$

These imply that $T(D) \subseteq D$, respectively $T : S \rightarrow S$ is a contraction of coefficient ϑ .

By denoting with x , where $x \in D$, the fixed point of operator T , we notice that

$$x'(t) = [T(x)]'(t) = \frac{x(t)}{t} - \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t \tau q(\tau) [x(\tau)]^\lambda d\tau < \frac{x(t)}{t}$$

and

$$x'(t) \geq c - \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_0}^t \tau q(\tau) [x(\tau)]^\lambda d\tau \geq c - (c+d)^\lambda \int_{t_0}^t \tau^\lambda q(\tau) d\tau$$

for all $t > t_0$. \square

4 An application to equation (5)

To give an application of Theorem 9, assume that, in accordance with [11, 20, 37], the functions $f : \overline{G}_A \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : [A, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are locally Hölder continuous. Moreover,

$$0 \leq f(x, u) \leq a(|x|)u, \quad x \in \overline{G}_A, u \in [0, \varepsilon],$$

for a certain $\varepsilon > 0$. Here, the function $a : [A, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous and such that

$$\int^{+\infty} ta(t)dt < +\infty.$$

Following the presentations in [3, 15, 34], if $u_2(x)$ is a positive radially symmetric solution of the linear elliptic equation

$$\Delta u + a(|x|)u = 0, \quad |x| > A, \quad (42)$$

such that $x \cdot \nabla u_2(x) \leq 0$ in G_A and $u_1(x)$ is a nonnegative radially symmetric solution of the linear elliptic equation

$$\Delta u + g(|x|)x \cdot \nabla u = 0, \quad |x| > A, \quad (43)$$

that satisfies the inequality $u_1(x) \leq u_2(x)$ throughout G_A , the equation (5) will possess a solution $u(x)$, not necessarily with radial symmetry, such that

$$u_1(x) \leq u(x) \leq u_2(x), \quad |x| > A.$$

We introduce the quantities $u_{1,2}(x) = \frac{h_{1,2}(s)}{s}$, where

$$|x| = \left(\frac{s}{n-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} = \beta(s).$$

Now, the existence of solution u_2 to the equation (42) is implied by the (eventual) existence of a solution $h_2(s)$ of the equation

$$h'' + \frac{\beta(s)\beta'(s)}{(n-2)s}a(\beta(s))h = 0, \quad s \geq s_0 \geq 1, \quad (\text{here, } \beta(s_0) > A)$$

such that, in $[s_0, +\infty)$,

$$\rho C \leq h'(s) < \frac{h(s)}{s} \leq C \quad \text{for given } C \in (0, \varepsilon), \rho \in (0, 1). \quad (44)$$

Since

$$\int_{s_0}^{+\infty} s \left[\frac{\beta(s)\beta'(s)}{(n-2)s} a(\beta(s)) \right] ds = \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{\beta(s_0)}^{+\infty} \tau a(\tau) d\tau < +\infty,$$

the hypotheses of Theorem 9 are verified. So, there exists the supersolution $u_2(x)$ of equation (5).

Further, the problem of existence for the subsolution $u_1(x)$ which satisfies the equation (43) reduces to the existence of a nonnegative solution $h_1(s)$ to the equation

$$h'' + k(s) \left(h' - \frac{h}{s} \right) = 0, \quad s \geq s_0,$$

where $k(s) \equiv \beta(s)\beta'(s)g(\beta(s))$ is a continuous nonnegative-valued function. By fixing $h_0 \in (0, s_0\rho C)$ – see (44), we have

$$h_1(s) = s \left(\frac{h_0}{s_0} + \int_{s_0}^s \frac{H(\tau)}{\tau^2} d\tau \right), \quad H(\tau) = -\exp \left(-\int_{s_0}^{\tau} k(\xi) d\xi \right)$$

for all $s \geq \tau \geq s_0$. In this way,

$$\frac{h_0 - 1}{s_0} \leq \frac{h_1(s)}{s} \leq \frac{h_0}{s_0}, \quad s \geq s_0.$$

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the equation (5) admits a bounded solution u estimated by

$$\frac{h_0 - 1}{s_0} \leq u(x) \leq C, \quad x \in G_{\beta(s_0)}.$$

The result improves and clarifies the inferences of [34, Section 3].

Acknowledgement The second author was financed by the Romanian AT Grant 30C/21.05.2007 with the CNCSIS code 100. Both authors are grateful to a referee for several useful comments that have improved the presentation.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, S.R. Grace, D. O'Regan, Oscillation theory for second order linear, half-linear, superlinear and sublinear differential equations, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2002

- [2] R.P. Agarwal, O.G. Mustafa, A Riccatian approach to the decay of solutions of certain semi-linear PDE's, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 20 (2007), 1206–1210
- [3] R.P. Agarwal, S. Djebali, T. Moussaoui, O.G. Mustafa, On the asymptotic integration of nonlinear differential equations, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 202 (2007), 352–376
- [4] R.P. Agarwal, S. Djebali, T. Moussaoui, O.G. Mustafa, Yu.V. Rogovchenko, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions to nonlinear ordinary differential equations, *Asympt. Anal.* 54 (2007), 1–50
- [5] F.V. Atkinson, On second order nonlinear oscillation, *Pacific J. Math.* 5 (1955), 643–647
- [6] C. Avramescu, Sur l'existence des solutions pour un problème aux limites général, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 82 (1969), 69–82
- [7] R. Bellman, *Stability theory of differential equations*, McGraw–Hill, New York, 1953
- [8] F. Brauer, Some stability and perturbation problems for differential and integral equations, *I.M.P.A., Monogr. Mat.* 25, Rio de Janeiro, 1976
- [9] M. Cecchi, M. Marini, P.L. Zezza, Boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations on non-compact intervals, pp. 85–96 in "Nonlinear differential equations. Invariance, stability, and bifurcation", eds. P. Mottoni, L. Salvadori, Academic Press, New York, 1981
- [10] C.V. Coffman, J.S.W. Wong, Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for second order ordinary differential equations, *Funkc. Ekvac.* 15 (1972), 119–130
- [11] A. Constantin, Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 213 (1997), 334–339
- [12] A. Constantin, On the existence of positive solutions of second order differential equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 184 (2005), 131–138
- [13] W.A. Coppel, *Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations*, D.C. Heath & Comp., Boston, 1965
- [14] J. Deng, Bounded positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 332 (2007), 475–486

- [15] S. Djebali, T. Moussaoui, O.G. Mustafa, Positive evanescent solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 333 (2007), 863–870
- [16] S.G. Dubé, A.B. Mingarelli, Note on a non-oscillation theorem of F.V. Atkinson, *Electr. J. Differential Equations*, 22 (2004), 1–6
- [17] M.S.P. Eastham, *The asymptotic solution of linear differential systems. Applications of the Levinson Theorem*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1989
- [18] A.L. Edelson, J.D. Schuur, Asymptotic and strong asymptotic equivalence to polynomials for solutions of nonlinear differential equations, pp. 157–160 in "Equadiff 82", *Lect. Notes. Math.* 1017, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983
- [19] M. Ehrnström, On radial solutions of certain semi-linear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 64 (2006), 1578–1586
- [20] M. Ehrnström, Positive solutions for second-order nonlinear differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 64 (2006), 1608–1620
- [21] M. Ehrnström, O.G. Mustafa, On positive solutions of a class of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 67 (2007), 1147–1154
- [22] L.C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992
- [23] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001
- [24] J.R. Graef, M.K. Grammatikopoulos, P.W. Spikes, On the decay of oscillatory solutions of a forced higher order functional differential equation, *Math. Nachr.* 117 (1984), 141–153
- [25] J.K. Hale, N. Onuchic, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of a class of differential equations, *Contributions Differential Equations* 2 (1963), 61–75
- [26] P. Hartman, N. Onuchic, On the asymptotic integration of ordinary differential equations, *Pacific J. Math.* 13 (1963), 1193–1207
- [27] M. Hesaaraki, A. Moradifam, On the existence of bounded positive solutions of Schrödinger equations in two-dimensional exterior domains, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 20 (2007), 1227–1231

- [28] A.G. Kartsatos, The Leray–Schauder theorem and the existence of solutions to boundary value problems on infinite intervals, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 23 (1974), 1021–1029
- [29] A.G. Kartsatos, *Advanced ordinary differential equations*, Mariner Publ., Tampa, Florida, 1980
- [30] I.T. Kiguradze, T.A. Chanturia, *Asymptotic properties of solutions of nonautonomous ordinary differential equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993
- [31] R.A. Moore, Z. Nehari, Nonoscillation theorems for a class of nonlinear differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 93 (1959), 30–52
- [32] O.G. Mustafa, Positive solutions of nonlinear differential equations with prescribed decay of the first derivative, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 60 (2005), 179–185
- [33] O.G. Mustafa, Yu.V. Rogovchenko, A note on asymptotic integration of second order nonlinear differential equations, *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* 12 (2006), 207–213
- [34] O.G. Mustafa, Yu.V. Rogovchenko, Positive solutions of second-order differential equations with prescribed behavior of the first derivative, pp. 835–842 in *Proc. Conf. Differential & Difference Eqns. Appl.* (Melbourne, Florida, August 1–5, 2005), eds. R.P. Agarwal, K. Perera, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2007
- [35] O.G. Mustafa, On the existence of solutions with prescribed asymptotic behaviour for perturbed nonlinear differential equations of second order, *Glasgow Math. J.* 47 (2005), 177–185
- [36] E.S. Noussair, On the existence of solutions of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems, *J. Differential Equations* 34 (1979), 482–495
- [37] E.S. Noussair, C.A. Swanson, Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in exterior domains, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 75 (1980), 121–133
- [38] A. Orpel, On the existence of positive radial solutions for a certain class of elliptic BVPs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 299 (2004), 690–702
- [39] J.D. Schuur, A class of nonlinear ordinary differential equations which inherit linear-like asymptotic behavior, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 3 (1979), 81–86

- [40] J.D. Schuur, Qualitative behavior of ordinary differential equations of the quasilinear and related types, pp. 911–915 in "Nonlinear phenomena in mathematical sciences", ed. V. Lakshmikantham, Academic Press, New York, 1982
- [41] P. Talpalaru, Quelques problèmes concernant l'équivalence asymptotique des systèmes différentiels, *Boll. U.M.I.* 4 (1971), 164–186
- [42] Z. Yin, Monotone positive solutions of second-order nonlinear differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 54 (2003), 391–403
- [43] P. Waltman, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of a nonlinear equation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (1964), 918–923
- [44] J.S.W. Wong, On two theorems of Waltman, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 14 (1966), 724–728