# HARDY'S INEQUALITY FROM A LOGARITHMIC CACCIOPPOLI ESTIMATE

PETER LINDQVIST AND JUAN J. MANFREDI

Dedicated to Juha Heinonen (1960-2007)

ABSTRACT. We give a simple proof of Hardy's inequality based on the logarithmic Cacciopoli inequality for p-superharmonic functions.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The so-called Hardy inequality

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n}{n} \right)^p \le \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p,
$$

where  $a_n \geq 0$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , was given in 1920 by G. Hardy, c.f. [H]. The sharp constant was fixed by E. Landau, cf. [La]. The integral version for  $f(x) \geq 0$  is usually written as

$$
\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{F(x)}{x}\right)^p dx \le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_0^\infty f(x)^p dx
$$

where  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$ . In a finite interval [a, b] the inequality

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \left| \frac{\zeta(x)}{\delta(x)} \right|^{p} dx \le \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{p} \int_{a}^{b} |\zeta'(x)|^{p} dx
$$

holds, where  $\delta(x) = \min(x - a, b - x)$  and  $\zeta$  is a smooth function vanishing at the endpoints  $a$  and  $b$ , cf. [D, Lemma 1.5.1]. As pointed out in [FHT] the Hardy inequality was known to T. Boggio as early as in 1907, cf. [B].

We are concerned with the following variant of the Hardy inequality, formulated for a bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and involving the distance

$$
\delta(x) = \min_{\xi \in \partial \Omega} |x - \xi|
$$

Date: October 25, 2006.

to the boundary. For  $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}$  $\int_0^\infty (\Omega)$  the Hardy inequality

(1) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\zeta(x)}{\delta(x)} \right|^p dx \leq C_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta(x)|^p dx
$$

holds with  $C_{\Omega}$  independent of  $\zeta$ , at least for a smooth domain  $\Omega$ . Our objective is to provide a very simple and natural proof, based on a logarithmic Caccioppoli estimate. The case  $p = 2$  involves only the fact that the distance function  $\delta$  (or some function of  $\delta$ ) is superharmonic. Needless to say, the idea to use a differential equation is well-known in this connection, (see [B] and [BFT]) but our approach is, as it were, direct and very easy. Our use of the logarithmic Caccioppoli estimate seems to be new.

Before proceeding to the proof, we mention some other interesting facts, in passing. First, the inequality is not valid in all domains. See [Hz]. A necessary and sufficient criterion in terms of p-capacities of level sets has been given by V. Mazya in [M, 2.3.3, Corollary, p. 11 ]. Second, the dependence of  $p$  is rather puzzling. For example, in the case of the punctured disk  $0 < |x| < 1$ , the inequality holds except for  $p = n$ . The Hardy inequality has "the open end property": for a fixed domain the values of  $p$  for which it is valid form an open set, cf. [\[KZ\]](#page-5-0). Third, the existence of an extremal function  $\zeta$  in  $W_0^{1,p}$  $C_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is equivalent to the strict inequality

$$
\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p < C_{\Omega} < \infty
$$

for the best constant. In a convex domain  $\Omega$  the best constant is  $(p/(p-1))^p$  but it is never attained! For this fascinating phenomenon we refer to [MMP].

### 2. THE LOGARITHMIC CACCIOPPOLI ESTIMATE

It is well-known that a bounded superharmonic function  $v$  in a domain  $\Omega$  satisfies the inequality

(2) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla v, \nabla \varphi \rangle dx \ge 0
$$

for all non-negative  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}$  $0^{\infty}_{0}(\Omega)$ . We will need only the case when v is a function of the distance  $\delta$ . More generally, we say that a function v belonging to  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is p-superharmonic in  $\Omega$ , if

(3) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \langle |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v, \nabla \varphi \rangle dx \ge 0
$$

for all  $\varphi \geq 0, \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}$  $0^{\infty}(0)$ . Usually, it is also required that v be lower semincontinuous, but since we only need a very restricted class of  $v$ 's this has no bearing here. (See [L] for an account on  $p$ -superharmonic functions.) The next lemma is our starting point.

**Lemma.** (*Caccioppoli*) Suppose that v is p-superharmonic in  $\Omega$  and that  $v > 0$ . Then

(4) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} |\zeta \nabla \log v|^p dx \le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^p dx
$$

for all  $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}$  $\int_0^\infty (\Omega)$ .

**Proof:** This well-known estimate follows from  $(3)$ , when the test function  $\varphi = |\zeta|^{p} v^{1-p}$  is used. Indeed, one only has to insert

$$
\nabla \varphi = p|\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta v^{1-p}\nabla \zeta - (p-1)|\zeta|^p v^{-p}\nabla v
$$

and use Hölder's inequality. $\Box$ 

#### 3. CONVEX DOMAINS

Let us turn our attention to the distance function

$$
\delta|x| = \min_{\xi \in \partial\Omega} |x - \xi|,
$$

representing the distance from the point x to the boundary of  $\Omega$ . Since

$$
|\delta(x) - \delta(y)| \le |x - y|, \quad x, y \in \Omega,
$$

it follows from Rademacher's theorem that  $\delta$  is differentiable almost everywhere. In fact, the eiconal equation

$$
|\nabla \delta(x)|^2 = 1
$$

holds at a.e. x in  $\Omega$ ; see, for example, Theorem 3.3 in [\[EH\]](#page-5-1) for this fact.

Notice that if  $\Omega$  is a convex domain, then the distance function is superharmonic, because it is the pointwise minimum of affine functions (hyperplanes). It is also p-superharmonic for any p in the range  $1 <$  $p < \infty$  (and even for  $p = \infty$ ). Thus we may use the function  $v = \delta$  in the Caccioppoli estimate. Then

$$
|\zeta \nabla \log v| = \frac{|\zeta|}{\delta}
$$

and the Hardy inequality below follows.

**Proposition.** (*Hardy*) Let  $\Omega$  be a convex domain. Then

(5) 
$$
\int \left| \frac{\zeta(x)}{\delta(x)} \right|^p dx \leq \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta(x)|^p dx
$$

holds for all  $\zeta \in C_0^{\infty}$  $\int_0^\infty (\Omega)$ .

**Remark.** (1) In this case there is no extremal function  $\zeta$  in  $W_0^{1,p}$  $\mathcal{O}^{1,p}(\Omega),$ although the constant is sharp. The improved inequality

$$
\epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\zeta|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\zeta}{\delta} \right|^p dx \le \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^p dx
$$

is known to be valid for convex bounded domains, c.f. [MMP].

(2) Since  $|\nabla \delta|^{p-2}\nabla \delta = \nabla \delta$  in (3), we can obtain (5) with some effort without referring to p-superharmonic functions, using only ordinary superharmonic functions.

## 4. THE CASE  $p > n$

The case  $p > n$  is as easy as the previous proof. The functions  $|x-\xi|^{(p-n)/(p-1)}$  are p-superharmonic when  $x \neq \xi$ . We have

$$
\delta(x)^{\alpha} = \min_{\xi \in \partial \Omega} |x - \xi|^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \frac{p - n}{p - 1} > 0.
$$

Hence  $\delta^{\alpha}$  is *p*-superharmonic. Inserting  $v = \delta^{\alpha}$  and using

$$
|\nabla \log \delta^{\alpha}|^p = \alpha^p |\nabla \log \delta|^p
$$

in the Caccioppoli estimate, we again obtain the Hardy inequality, but with the constant

$$
\alpha^{-p} \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p = \left( \frac{p}{p-n} \right)^p.
$$

Thus we have proved the following:

**Proposition** (*Hardy*) Suppose that  $p > n$ . Then the Hardy inequality holds for an arbitrary domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with the constant

$$
C_{\Omega} = \left(\frac{p}{p-n}\right)^p.
$$

Remark. The constant is sharp in annular domains.

# 5. THE CASE  $1 < p \leq n$

For these values of  $p$ , the Hardy inequality is not valid in arbitrary domains. Under suitable assumptions the deduction from the logarithmic Caccioppoli estimate works, but at the expense of a longer calculation.

Just to illustrate the method, we assume that  $\Omega$  satisfies the exterior sphere condition with a fixed ball size, say of radius  $R$ . We construct the function

$$
v(x) = \min_{y} \left( \frac{1}{R^{\beta}} - \frac{1}{|x - y|^{\beta}} \right), \quad \beta = \frac{n - p}{p - 1} > 0
$$

where the minimum is taken over all points  $y$  satisfying

$$
dist (y, \overline{\Omega}) \ge R.
$$

As the pointwise minimum of  $p$ -harmonic functions  $v$  is  $p$ -superharmonic.

We can write

$$
v(x) = \frac{1}{R^{\beta}} - \frac{1}{(R + \delta(x))^{\beta}}
$$

because

$$
\min_{y} |x - y| = R + \delta(x)
$$

according to a simple argument involving the exterior sphere and the triangle inequality.

A substitution of the above  $v$  into the logarithmic Caccioppoli inequality yields the Hardy inequality. To this end, we calculate

$$
\nabla v = \frac{\beta \nabla \delta}{(R+\delta)^{\beta+1}}.
$$

Recalling that  $|\nabla \delta| = 1$  a.e., we obtain

$$
\frac{|\nabla v|}{v} = \beta \frac{R^{\beta}}{(R+\delta)[(R+\delta)^{\beta} - R^{\beta}]}
$$

The elementary inequality

$$
(R+\delta)^{\beta} - R^{\beta} \le \begin{cases} \beta \delta (R+\delta)^{\beta-1}, & \beta \ge 1 \\ \beta \delta R^{\beta-1}, & 0 < \beta < 1 \end{cases}
$$

yields

$$
\frac{|\nabla v|}{v} \ge \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\delta} \left( \frac{R}{R + \delta} \right)^{\beta}, \ \beta \ge 1\\ \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{R}{R + \delta}, \ 0 < \beta < 1 \end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
|\nabla \log(v)|^p \geq \frac{C}{\delta^p}
$$

where C is a power of  $R/(R + \text{diam }\Omega)$ . Again the Hardy inequality follows, since

$$
\int_{\Omega} \zeta^{p} |\nabla \log v|^{p} dx \geq C \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\zeta}{\delta} \right|^{p} dx.
$$

This concludes our proof.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [BFT] G. BARBATIS, S. FILIPPAS & A. TERTIKAS, A unified approach to improved  $L^p$  Hardy inequalities with best constants, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 356, 2003, pp. 2169-2196.
- [B] T. BOGGIO, Sull'equazione del moto vibratorio delle membrane elastiche, Accad. Lincei, sci. fis. ser., 5a 16, 1907, pp. 386-393.
- [D] E. DAVIES, Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[EH] W. EVANS & D. HARRIS, Sobolev imbeddings for generalized ridge domains, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society  $(3)$ , **54**, 1987, pp. 141–175.
- [FHT] J. FLECKINGER, E. HARRELL II, & F. de THELIN, *Boundary behaviour* and estimates for solutions of equations containing the p-Laplacian, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, 1999, no. 38, pp. 1-19.
- [Hz] P. HAJLASZ, Pointwise Hardy inequalities, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 127, 1999, pp. 417-423.
- [H] G. HARDY, Note on a theorem of Hilbert, Mathematische Zeitschrift 6, 1920, pp. 314-317.
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[KZ] P. KOSKELA & X. ZHONG, Hardy's inequality and the boundary size, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 131, 2003, 1151-1158.
- [La] E. LANDAU, A note on a theorem concerning series of positive terms: extract from a letter of Prof. E. Landau to Prof. J. Schur, The Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 1, 1926, pp. 38-39.
- [L] P. LINDQVIST, On the definition and properties of p-superharmonic functions, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 365, 1986, pp. 67-79.
- [MMP] M. MARCUS, V. MIZEL, & Y. PINCHOVER, On the best constant for Hardy's inequality in R, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 350, 1998, pp. 3237-3255.
- [M] V. MAZ'JA, Sobolev Spaces, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

## HARDY'S INEQUALITY FROM A LOGARITHMIC CACCIOPPOLI ESTIMATE 7

Department of Mathematics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway

E-mail address: peter.lindqvist@math.ntnu.no URL: http://www.math.ntnu.no/~lqvist

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260,USA

 $\emph{E-mail address:}$  manfredi@pitt.edu URL: http://www.pitt.edu/~manfredi