On an Asymptotic Series of Ramanujan

Yaming Yu

Department of Statistics University of California Irvine, CA 92697, USA yamingy@uci.edu

Abstract

An asymptotic series in Ramanujan's second notebook (Entry 10, Chapter 3) is concerned with the behavior of the expected value of $\phi(X)$ for large λ where X is a Poisson random variable with mean λ and ϕ is a function satisfying certain growth conditions. We generalize this by studying the asymptotics of the expected value of $\phi(X)$ when the distribution of X belongs to a suitable family indexed by a convolution parameter. Examples include the binomial, negative binomial, and gamma families. Some formulas associated with the negative binomial appear new.

Keywords: asymptotic expansion; binomial distribution; central moments; cumulants; gamma distribution; negative binomial distribution; Poisson distribution; Ramanujan's notebooks.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 34E05; Secondary 60E05.

1 An Asymptotic Series of Ramanujan

A version (modified from [\[2\]](#page-9-0)) of Entry 10 in Chapter 3 of Ramanujan's second notebook reads

Theorem 1 Let $\phi(x)$, $x \in [0,\infty)$, denote a function of at most polynomial growth as x tends to ∞ . Suppose there exist constants $x_0 > 0$ and $A \geq 1$, and a function $G(x)$ of at most polynomial growth as $x \to \infty$ such that for each nonnegative integer m and all $x > x_0$, the derivatives $\phi^{(m)}(x)$ exist and satisfy

$$
\left| \frac{\phi^{(m)}(x)}{m!} \right| \le G(x) \left(\frac{A}{x} \right)^m.
$$
 (1)

Assume that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$
G(x) \gg e^{-c\sqrt{x}} \tag{2}
$$

as $x \to \infty$. Put

$$
\phi_{\infty}(x) = e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k \phi(k)}{k!}.
$$

Then for any fixed positive integer M,

$$
\phi_{\infty}(x) = \phi(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{2M-2} \sum_{k=\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor + 1}^{n} b_{kn} x^{n-k+1} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!} + O\left(G(x) x^{-M}\right),\tag{3}
$$

as x tends to ∞ , where $\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of $(n+1)/2$ and the numbers b_{kn} are defined recursively by

$$
b_{kk} = 1,
$$

\n
$$
b_{kn} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
k \ge 2;
$$

\n
$$
h_{kn} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
k \ge 2;
$$

\n
$$
n < k \text{ or } n > 2k - 2;
$$

\n
$$
k \le n \le 2k - 1.
$$

This result may seem hard to penetrate at first glance. Its relevance, however, is easily appreciated through interesting examples such as([\[2,](#page-9-0) [3\]](#page-9-1))

$$
e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{k}x^k}{k!} = \sqrt{x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{8x} - \frac{7}{128x^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^3}\right) \right)
$$
(4)

and

$$
e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k \log(k+1)}{k!} = \log(x) + \frac{1}{2x} + \frac{1}{12x^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^3}\right),
$$

both valid as $x \to \infty$; by choosing $\phi(x) = \log \Gamma(x + 1)$ in [\(3\)](#page-1-0), an asymptotic formula for the Shannon entropy of the Poisson distribution can also be obtained (see [\[6\]](#page-9-2)).

The first goal of this note is a formal, probablistic derivation of Theorem [1.](#page-0-0) The starting point is the observation that $\phi_{\infty}(x) = E\phi(U)$, where U is a Poisson random variable with mean x and E denotes expectation. Based on this we present in Section 2 a more general version (Theorem [2\)](#page-2-0) of Theorem [1](#page-0-0) by considering U distributed as some distribution other than the Poisson, e.g., a gamma distribution or a binomial distribution. As illustrations, we derive asymptotic expansions for digamma functions and for inverse moments of certain positive random variables. We prove Theorem [2](#page-2-0) in Section 3.

Noting that $\phi_{\infty}(x) = E\phi(U)$ where U has the Po(x) distribution, we expand $\phi(U)$ as a Taylor series

$$
\phi(U) = \phi(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{2M-2} \frac{(U-x)^n \phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!} + \dots,
$$

and formally take the expectation term by term:

$$
E\phi(U) = \phi(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{2M-2} \frac{E(U-x)^n \phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!} + \dots
$$
 (5)

The quantity $\mu_n = E(U - x)^n$ is the nth central moment of the Po(x) distribution. The first few μ_n 's are

$$
(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = (0, x, x, 3x^2 + x),
$$

and they obey the well-known recursion (see [\[19\]](#page-10-0), Lemma 3, for example)

$$
\mu_{n+1} = x \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_n}{\mathrm{d}x} + n\mu_{n-1} \right), \quad n \ge 2,
$$

from which we obtain, by comparing the coefficients of x^{n-k+1} and using the definition of b_{kn} ,

$$
\mu_n = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{kn} x^{n-k+1}, \quad n \ge 2.
$$
 (6)

The double sum in [\(3\)](#page-1-0) is the result of substituting [\(6\)](#page-2-1) in [\(5\)](#page-1-1) and noting that $b_{kn} = 0$ if $k \leq$ $\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$. Based on this it is also clear that μ_n is a polynomial in x of degree $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, which implies that, given the condition [\(1\)](#page-0-1), the "leading term of the remainder" in (5) ,

$$
\frac{E(U-x)^{2M-1}\phi^{(2M-1)}(x)}{(2M-1)!} = \frac{\mu_{2M-1}\phi^{(2M-1)}(x)}{(2M-1)!},
$$

is $O(G(x)x^{-M}).$

The above derivation is, of course, strictly formal. However, it can be made rigorous under the stated conditions; see Berndt [\[2\]](#page-9-0) and Evans [\[5\]](#page-9-3). Berndt actually proved a modification of [\(3\)](#page-1-0) where the order of summation over k and n on the right hand side is inverted and certain higher order terms of the resulting sum are absorbed in the $O(G(x)x^{-M})$ term.

2 A General Version

The formal derivation in Section 1 suggests that it is possible to generalize Theorem [1](#page-0-0) if we let U have a suitable distribution other than the Poisson. Noting the key role played by the central moments of U, we give a version of Theorem [1](#page-0-0) by imposing conditions on the moment generating function (mgf) of U. An introduction to moment generating functions can be found in probability texts such as Gut [\[9\]](#page-9-4). A useful property is that, if an mgf exists in a neighborhood of zero, then for all $m \geq 1$, the mth moment exists and can be obtained by differentiating the mgf m times.

Theorem 2 Let $\phi(x)$, $x \in [0,\infty)$, denote a Borel measurable function that can be bounded in absolute value by a polynomial in x. Let M be a fixed positive integer. Suppose there exist a constant $A \geq 1$ and a function $G(x)$ of at most polynomial growth such that for $1 \leq m \leq 2M$ and all sufficiently large x, the derivatives $\phi^{(m)}(x)$ exist and satisfy

$$
\left| \frac{\phi^{(m)}(x)}{m!} \right| \le G(x) \left(\frac{A}{x} \right)^m.
$$
 (7)

Assume there exist $\eta \in (0,1)$ and a constant B such that for all sufficiently large x,

$$
G(y) \le BG(x) \quad \text{whenever } |y - x| \le \eta x. \tag{8}
$$

Let Ω be an unbounded subset of $[0,\infty)$ and let $U_x, x \in \Omega$, be a family of nonnegative random variables. Assume there exist a constant $\delta > 0$ and a function $g(s)$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$, the mgf of U_x exists in the interval $(-\delta, \delta)$ and satisfies

$$
Ee^{sU_x} = e^{xg(s)}, \quad s \in (-\delta, \delta).
$$
\n(9)

Assume $g'(0) = 1$ in addition. Then

$$
E\phi(U_x) = \phi(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{2M-2} \sum_{k=\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor + 1}^{n} c_{kn} x^{n-k+1} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!} + O\left(G(x)x^{-M}\right),\tag{10}
$$

as x tends to ∞ , where c_{kn} are constants that depend only on the function $g(s)$, and are determined by

$$
E(U_x - x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{kn} x^{n-k+1}, \quad n \ge 2.
$$

Evidently, Theorem [1](#page-0-0) is the special case $g(s) = e^s - 1$, except for the assumption [\(8\)](#page-2-2) on $G(x)$ which replaces [\(2\)](#page-0-2). This new assumption does not appear very restrictive as we shall see from the examples later in this section; however it does make the proof of Theorem [2](#page-2-0) more straightforward. We also relax the assumption on $\phi(x)$ slightly by requiring only 2M derivatives.

It should be emphasized that the function $g(s)$ in [\(9\)](#page-3-0) does not depend on x. Also note that $g(s)$ is analytic in $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$ given the existence of the mgf. Aside from the Poisson, examples of distribution families that satisfy [\(9\)](#page-3-0) include the binomial, negative binomial, and gamma families. In general, suppose Y_1, Y_2, \ldots is a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), nonnegative, nondegenerate random variables whose mgf exists in a neighborhood of zero. Then the family of random variables $\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k, n = 1, 2, ...\}$ (*n* is known as a convolution parameter) has mgf

$$
E \exp\left(s \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k\right) = \exp\left(n \log\left(E e^{sY_1} \right)\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,
$$

which is of the form [\(9\)](#page-3-0) with $g(s) = (EY_1)^{-1} \log (Ee^{sY_1})$, if we index the family by its mean $x = nEY_1$. This shows that Theorem [2](#page-2-0) is potentially applicable to a wide range of problems.

Example 1 For a fixed $p \in (0,1)$, consider the family U_x , $x = p, 2p, 3p, \ldots$, where U_x has the binomial distribution Bi (n, p) , $n = x/p$. The first few central moments of U_x are given by $(q = 1 - p)$

$$
(\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = (qx, (q-p)qx, 3q^2x^2 + q(1-6pq)x).
$$

Since $\text{Bi}(n, p)$ is a sum of n i.i.d. Bernoulli(p) random variables, [\(9\)](#page-3-0) is satisfied.

• Given r (real) and $a > 0$, let $\phi(x) = G(x) = (x + a)^{-r}$. It is easy to verify [\(7\)](#page-2-3) and [\(8\)](#page-2-2); thus we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} p^{k} q^{n-k} (k+a)^{-r} = (np+a)^{-r} + \frac{qr(r+1)}{2} (np)(np+a)^{-r-2} \n- \frac{(q-p)qr(r+1)(r+2)}{6} (np)(np+a)^{-r-3} \n+ \frac{q^{2}r(r+1)(r+2)(r+3)}{8} (np)^{2} (np+a)^{-r-4} \qquad (11) \n+ O(n^{-r-3}),
$$

as $n \to \infty$.

• If we let $\phi(x) = x^{-r}$, $x \ge 1$ and $\phi(x) = 0$, $x < 1$, then we obtain an asymptotic expansion for

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k} k^{-r}
$$
\n
$$
(12)
$$

by simply substituting $a = 0$ in the right hand side of [\(11\)](#page-4-0). When r is a positive integer, [\(12\)](#page-4-1) is sometimes known as the rth inverse moment of the binomial. The problem of inverse moments has a long history in statistics (see, for example, Stephan [\[16\]](#page-10-1), Grab and Savage $[8]$, and David and Johnson $[4]$). More recently, expansions for (12) have been considered by Marciniak and Wesolowski [\[14\]](#page-9-7) (see also Rempala [\[15\]](#page-9-8)) for $r = 1$, and by Znidarič $[19]$ for general r. Znidarič $[19]$ also gives a brief historical account with many references.

A special case corresponding to $r = -1/2$ is

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k} \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{np} \left[1 - \frac{q/8}{np} + \frac{(q-p)q/16 - 15q^2/128}{(np)^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right) \right],
$$

which is the binomial analog of [\(4\)](#page-1-2) considered by Ramanujan([\[2\]](#page-9-0)).

• Let $\phi(x) = \log(x + \beta)$ for a fixed $\beta > 0$ and let $G(x) \equiv 1$. We have $(\text{as } n \to \infty)$

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} p^k q^{n-k} \log(k+\beta) = \log(np+\beta) - \frac{npq}{2}(np+\beta)^{-2} + \frac{(q-p)q}{3}(np)(np+\beta)^{-3} - \frac{3q^2}{4}(np)^2(np+\beta)^{-4} \qquad (13) + O(n^{-3}).
$$

The problem of approximating the left hand side of [\(13\)](#page-4-2) appears in Krichevskiy [\[13\]](#page-9-9) in an information theoretic context; see also Jacquet and Szpankowski [\[10,](#page-9-10) [11\]](#page-9-11), who give an alternative derivation of [\(13\)](#page-4-2) using the method of analytic poissonization and depoissonization. Flajolet [\[7\]](#page-9-12) also considers similar problems using singularity analysis.

Example 2 For a fixed $p \in (0,1)$, consider the negative binomial family NB (n, p) whose probability mass function is $f(k; n, p) = {n+k-1 \choose k} p^n q^k$, $k = 0, 1, ...,$ where $q = 1 - p$. The mean is nq/p and the first few central moments are

$$
(\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = \left(\frac{nq}{p^2}, \frac{nq(1+q)}{p^3}, \left[3 + \frac{6}{n} + \frac{p^2}{nq}\right] \frac{(nq)^2}{p^4}\right).
$$

Similar to the binomial case, as $NB(n, p)$ is a sum of n i.i.d. geometric(p) random variables, [\(9\)](#page-3-0) is satisfied and Theorem 2 is applicable for an appropriate $\phi(x)$.

• Take $\phi(x) = (x + a)^{-r}$, $a > 0$. We have, as $n \to \infty$,

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {n+k-1 \choose k} p^n q^k (k+a)^{-r} = \left(\frac{nq}{p}+a\right)^{-r} + \frac{r(r+1)}{2p} \left(\frac{nq}{p}\right) \left(\frac{nq}{p}+a\right)^{-r-2} \n- \frac{(1+q)r(r+1)(r+2)}{6p^2} \left(\frac{nq}{p}\right) \left(\frac{nq}{p}+a\right)^{-r-3} \n+ \frac{r(r+1)(r+2)(r+3)}{8p^2} \left(\frac{nq}{p}\right)^2 \left(\frac{nq}{p}+a\right)^{-r-4} \n+ O(n^{-r-3}).
$$
\n(14)

• As in the binomial case, we obtain an asymptotic expansion for

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} p^n q^k k^{-r}
$$
\n(15)

for real r by substituting $a = 0$ in the right hand side of [\(14\)](#page-5-0). Expansions for [\(15\)](#page-5-1) have been considered by Marciniak and Wesolowski [\[14\]](#page-9-7) and Rempala [\[15\]](#page-9-8) for the special case $r = 1$, and by Wuyungaowa and Wang [\[18\]](#page-10-2) for integer $r \geq 0$.

• Let $\phi(x) = \log(x + \beta)$ for a fixed $\beta > 0$. We have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {n+k-1 \choose k} p^n q^k \log(k+\beta) = \log\left(\frac{nq}{p} + \beta\right) - \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{nq}{p}\right) \left(\frac{nq}{p} + \beta\right)^{-2} + \frac{(1+q)}{3p^2} \left(\frac{nq}{p}\right) \left(\frac{nq}{p} + \beta\right)^{-3} - \frac{3}{4p^2} \left(\frac{nq}{p}\right)^2 \left(\frac{nq}{p} + \beta\right)^{-4} + O(n^{-3}).
$$

Example 3 Consider the gamma family $Gam(x, 1), x > 0$, whose density function is $f(u; x) = u^{x-1}e^{-u}/\Gamma(x), u > 0$. The mean is x and the first few central moments are

$$
(\mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4) = (x, 2x, 3x^2 + 6x).
$$

The moment generating function is $(1-s)^{-x}$, $s < 1$, which is of the form [\(9\)](#page-3-0) with $g(s) =$ $-\log(1-s)$.

Take $\phi(x) = G(x) = x \log(x)$. We have

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma(x)} \int_0^{\infty} u \log(u) u^{x-1} e^{-u} du = x \log(x) + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{12x} + O\left(\frac{\log(x)}{x^2}\right),
$$

as $x \to \infty$. Noting $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$, we may write

$$
\frac{\Gamma'(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+1)} = \log(x) + \frac{1}{2x} - \frac{1}{12x^2} + O\left(\frac{\log(x)}{x^3}\right),\tag{16}
$$

which is a familiar asymptotic formula for the digamma function([\[1\]](#page-9-13), p. 259). By expanding for one more term we can replace $O(x^{-3}\log(x))$ by $O(x^{-3})$ in [\(16\)](#page-6-0). A full asymptotic expansion can be recovered by applying [\(10\)](#page-3-1) and using the following recursion between the central moments of $Gam(x, 1)$ (see [\[17\]](#page-10-3)):

$$
\mu_k = (k-1)(\mu_{k-1} + x\mu_{k-2}), \quad k \ge 2.
$$

3 Proof of Theorem [2](#page-2-0)

Our proof follows Berndt [\[2\]](#page-9-0). In the setting of Theorem [2](#page-2-0) we have

Lemma 1 Let $h(u)$, $u \in [0,\infty)$, be a Borel measurable function that can be bounded in absolute value by a polynomial. Then for a fixed $t \in (0,1)$, both $EI(U_x < tx)h(U_x)$ and $EI(U_x >$ $x/t)h(U_x)$ tend to 0 exponentially fast as x tends to ∞ , where $I(\cdot)$ is the indicator function.

Proof. Observe that $xg(s)$, the cumulant generating function of U_x , is an analytic function of s (real) in a neighborhood of zero. Because $g(0) = 0$, $g'(0) = 1$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we may choose $r, \epsilon > 0$ small enough such that both $g(r) < r/t$ and $g(r + \epsilon) < r/t$. Since $|h(u)|$ is bounded by a polynomial, there exists a constant D such that $|h(u)| < e^{\epsilon u} + D$ for all $u \in [0, \infty)$. We have

$$
|EI(U_x > x/t)h(U_x)| \le E(e^{\epsilon U_x} + D)e^{r(U_x - x/t)}
$$

= $e^{x[g(r+\epsilon)-r/t]} + De^{x[g(r)-r/t]},$

which tends to zero exponentially as $x \to \infty$. The proof for $EI(U_x < tx)h(U_x)$ is similar and hence omitted.

Proof of Theorem [2.](#page-2-0) Throughout we assume that x is sufficiently large. Define intervals $I_1 = [0, (1 - \eta)x), I_2 = [(1 - \eta)x, (1 + \eta)x]$ and $I_3 = [(1 + \eta)x, \infty)$, where η is as specified in [\(8\)](#page-2-2).

By Lemma [1,](#page-6-1) both

$$
EI(U_x \in I_1)\phi(U_x) \tag{17}
$$

and

$$
EI(U_x \in I_3)\phi(U_x) \tag{18}
$$

tend to zero exponentially as $x \to \infty$.

Consider the Taylor polynomial

$$
\psi(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{2M-1} \frac{\phi^{(k)}(x)}{k!} (y-x)^k.
$$

Since for any $y \in I_1$,

$$
|\psi(y)| \le \sum_{k=0}^{2M-1} \left| \frac{\phi^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \right| x^k \equiv q(x),
$$

we have

$$
|EI(U_x \in I_1)\psi(U_x)| \le q(x)EI(U_x \in I_1).
$$

From [\(7\)](#page-2-3) it follows that $q(x)$ has at most polynomial growth as $x \to \infty$; by Lemma [1](#page-6-1) we know that

$$
EI(U_x \in I_1)\psi(U_x) \tag{19}
$$

tends to zero exponentially as $x \to \infty$.

Similarly, for any $y \in I_3$, we have

$$
|\psi(y)| \le \sum_{k=0}^{2M-1} \left| \frac{\phi^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \right| y^k,
$$

and hence

$$
|EI(U_x \in I_3)\psi(U_x)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{2M-1} \left| \frac{\phi^{(k)}(x)}{k!} \right| EI(U_x \in I_3)U_x^k.
$$

By Lemma [1,](#page-6-1) each of $EI(U_x \in I_3)U_x^k$, $k \leq 2M - 1$, tends to zero exponentially as $x \to \infty$. By [\(7\)](#page-2-3), each of $\phi^{(k)}(x)$ has at most polynomial growth as $x \to \infty$. Overall

$$
EI(U_x \in I_3)\psi(U_x) \tag{20}
$$

tends to zero exponentially as $x \to \infty$.

For any $y \in I_2$, there exists some point ζ between x and y such that

$$
\begin{aligned} |\phi(y) - \psi(y)| &= \left| \frac{\phi^{(2M)}(\zeta)}{(2M)!} \right| |y - x|^{2M} \\ &\leq G(\zeta) \left(\frac{A}{(1 - \eta)x} \right)^{2M} |y - x|^{2M} \\ &\leq BG(x) \left(\frac{A}{(1 - \eta)x} \right)^{2M} |y - x|^{2M}, \end{aligned}
$$

where [\(7\)](#page-2-3) and [\(8\)](#page-2-2) are used in the inequalities. Letting $C = B[A/(1 - \eta)]^{2M}$, we have

$$
|EI(U_x \in I_2)[\phi(U_x) - \psi(U_x)]| \le C \frac{G(x)}{x^{2M}} E |U_x - x|^{2M}.
$$

We now consider the *n*th central moment of U_x , $\mu_n = E(U_x - x)^n$, as a function of x. (Note that the mean of U_x is x as $EU_x = xg'(0) = x$.) Expand $xg(s)$ around $s = 0$ to get

$$
xg(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{xg^{(j)}(0)s^j}{j!}.
$$

Note that the coefficient $xg^{(j)}(0)$ is the jth cumulant of U_x , and, according to the well-known relation between central moments and cumulants (see [\[12\]](#page-9-14) or [\[17\]](#page-10-3), for example)

$$
\mu_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} {n-1 \choose j} \mu_j x g^{(n-j)}(0), \quad n \ge 2,
$$
\n(21)

with $\mu_0 = 1$ and $\mu_1 = 0$. Based on [\(21\)](#page-8-0), it is easy to show by induction that μ_n is a polynomial in x of degree at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, its coefficients depending only on the function $g(s)$. Hence, for large x we have $E(U_x - x)^{2M} = O(x^M)$ and

$$
EI(U_x \in I_2)[\phi(U_x) - \psi(U_x)] = O(G(x)x^{-M}).
$$

Combined with the exponentially small items (17) , (18) , (19) and (20) , this gives

$$
E[\phi(U_x) - \psi(U_x)] = O(G(x)x^{-M}).
$$

It remains to calculate $E\psi(U_x)$. We have, by the definition of c_{kn} ,

$$
E\psi(U_x) = \sum_{n=0}^{2M-1} E(U_x - x)^n \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!}
$$

= $\phi(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{2M-1} \sum_{k=0}^n c_{kn} x^{n-k+1} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!}$
= $\phi(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{2M-1} \sum_{k=\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor + 1}^n c_{kn} x^{n-k+1} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!}$
= $\phi(x) + \sum_{n=2}^{2M-2} \sum_{k=\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor + 1}^n c_{kn} x^{n-k+1} \frac{\phi^{(n)}(x)}{n!} + O(G(x) x^{-M}).$

Note that the inner sum over k is curtailed because the degree of μ_n is at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, i.e., $c_{kn} = 0$ if $k \leq \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$. As a consequence of [\(7\)](#page-2-3), the term corresponding to $n = 2M - 1$ in the outer sum is written as $O(G(x)x^{-M})$ in the last equality. The proof of [\(10\)](#page-3-1) is now complete.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank an anonymous reviewer for his/her valuable comments.

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A. (1964). Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover Publications, New York.
- [2] Berndt, B. C. (1985). Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part I, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [3] Berndt, B. C. (1989). Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part II, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [4] David, F. N. and Johnson, N. L. (1956). Reciprocal Bernoulli and Poisson variables. Metron 18, 77–81.
- [5] Evans, R. J. (1988). Ramanujan's second notebook: asymptotic expansions for hypergeometric series and related functions. In Proc. Ramanujan Centennial Conference, Academic Press, New York.
- [6] Evans, R. J., Boersma, J., Blachman, N. M. and Jagers, A. A. (1988). The entropy of a Poisson distribution: problem 87-6. SIAM Review 30, 314-317.
- [7] Flajolet, P. (1999). Singularity analysis and asymptotics of Bernoulli sums. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 215, 371–381.
- [8] Grab, E. L. and Savage, I. R. (1954). Tables for the expected value of 1/x for positive Bernoulli and Poisson variables. Journal of the American Statistical Association 49, 169– 177.
- [9] Gut, A. (2005). Probability: A Graduate Course, New York: Springer.
- [10] Jacquet, P. and Szpankowski, W. (1998). Analytical depoissonization and its applications. Theoret. Comput. Sci. $201, 1-62$.
- [11] Jacquet, P. and Szpankowski, W. (1999). Entropy computations via analytic depoissonization. IEEE Trans. Info. Theory $45, 1072-1081$.
- [12] Johnson, N. L., Kemp, A. W. and Kotz, S. (2005). Univariate Discrete Distributions, 3rd ed., Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.
- [13] Krichevskiy, R. E. (1998). Laplace's law of succession and universal encoding. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 44, 296–303.
- [14] Marciniak, E. and Wesolowski, J. (1999). Asymptotic Eulerian expansions for binomial and negative binomial reciprocals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127, 3329–3338.
- [15] Rempala, G. A. (2004). Asymptotic factorial powers expansions for binomial and negative binomial reciprocals. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132, 261-272.
- [16] Stephan, F. F. (1945). The expected value and variance of the reciprocal and other negative powers of a positive Bernoullian variate. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 16, 50–61.
- [17] Willink, R. (2003). Relationships between central moments and cumulants, with formulae for the central moments of gamma distributions. Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods 32, 701–704.
- [18] Wuyungaowa and Wang, T. (2008). Asymptotic expansions for inverse moments of binomial and negative binomial. Stat. Prob. Lett. 78, 3018–3022.
- [19] Znidarič, M. (2009). Asymptotic expansion for inverse moments of binomial and Poisson distributions. The Open Statistics \mathcal{B} Probability Journal 1, 7–10.