

GLOBAL COMPARISON PRINCIPLES FOR THE p -LAPLACE OPERATOR ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

STEFANO PIGOLA AND GIONA VERONELLI

ABSTRACT. We prove global comparison results for the p -Laplacian on a p -parabolic manifold. These involve both real-valued and vector-valued maps with finite p -energy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, \langle, \rangle) be a connected, m -dimensional manifold and let $p > 1$. Recall that the p -Laplacian of a real valued function $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div} \left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \right)$. The function u is said to be p -subharmonic if $\Delta_p u \geq 0$. In case any bounded above, p -subharmonic function is necessarily constant we say that the manifold M is p -parabolic. It is known that p -parabolicity of a complete manifold M is related to volume growth properties of the underlying manifold. Namely, M is p -parabolic provided, for some $x \in M$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_{m-1} \partial B_r(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \notin L^1(+\infty),$$

where $\partial B_r(x)$ denotes the metric sphere centered at x , of radius $r > 0$, and vol_{m-1} is the $(m-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure; [9]. Thus, for instance, the standard Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m is p -parabolic if $m \leq p$.

Now, suppose that M is p -parabolic, with $p \geq 2$. It is known, [7], that a p -subharmonic function $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with finite p -energy $|\nabla u| \in L^p(M)$ must be constant. We shall show that this is nothing but a very special case of a genuine comparison principle for the p -Laplace operator.

Theorem 1. *Let (M, \langle, \rangle) be a connected, p -parabolic manifold, $p \geq 2$. Assume that the smooth functions $u, v : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy*

$$\Delta_p u \geq \Delta_p v \text{ on } M,$$

and

$$|\nabla u|, |\nabla v| \in L^p(M).$$

Then, $u = v + A$ on M , for some constant $A \in \mathbb{R}$.

Date: October 27, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35B05, 31C45.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear comparison, finite p -energy, p -parabolicity.

Besides real-valued functions one is naturally led to consider manifold-valued maps. Several topological questions are related to the p -Laplacian of maps; [11]. Recall that the p -Laplacian (or the p -tension field) of a map $u : M \rightarrow N$ between Riemannian manifolds is defined by

$$\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div} \left(|du|^{p-2} du \right),$$

Here, $du \in T^*M \otimes u^{-1}TN$ denotes the differential of u and the bundle $T^*M \otimes u^{-1}TN$ is endowed with its Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product \langle, \rangle . Moreover, $-\operatorname{div}$ stands for the formal adjoint of the exterior differential d with respect to the standard L^2 inner product on vector-valued 1-forms. Say that u is p -harmonic if $\Delta_p u = 0$. In [10], Schoen and Yau prove a general comparison principle for homotopic (2-)harmonic maps with finite (2-)energy into non-positively curved targets. They assume that the complete, non compact manifold M has finite volume but the request that M is (2-)parabolic suffices, [7]. In this direction, comparisons for homotopic p -harmonic maps with finite p -energy into non-positively curved manifolds are far from being completely understood. Some progress in the special situation of a single map homotopic to a constant has been made in [7]. In this note, we focus our attention on the case $N = \mathbb{R}^n$. According to [7], it is clear that, if M is p -parabolic, then every p -harmonic map $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with finite p -energy $|du| \in L^p(M)$ must be constant. However, using the very special structure of \mathbb{R}^n , we are able to extend this conclusion, thus establishing a comparison principle for maps $u, v : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ having the same p -Laplacian. This can be considered as a further step towards the comprehension of the general comparison problem alluded to above.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that (M, \langle, \rangle) is p -parabolic, $p \geq 2$. Let $u, v : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be smooth maps satisfying*

$$(1) \quad \Delta_p u = \Delta_p v \text{ on } M,$$

and

$$|du|, |dv| \in L^p(M).$$

If (M, \langle, \rangle) is p -parabolic then $u = v + A$, for some constant $A \in \mathbb{R}$.

Acknowledgement. The authors express their gratitude to A.G. Setti for useful discussions during the preparation of this paper.

2. MAIN TOOLS

In the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 we will make an essential use of two main ingredients: (a) a version for the p -Laplacian of a classical inequality for the mean-curvature operator; (b) a parabolicity criterion which, in a sense, can be considered as a global form of the divergence theorem in non-compact settings.

2.1. A key inequality. The following basic inequality was discovered by Lindqvist, [4].

Lemma 3. *Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a finite dimensional, real vector space endowed with a positive definite scalar product. Let $p \geq 2$. Then, for every $x, y \in V$ it holds*

$$|x|^p + (p-1)|y|^p \geq p|y|^{p-2} \langle x, y \rangle + \frac{1}{2^{p-1}-1} |x-y|^p.$$

As consequence, we deduce the validity of the next

Corollary 4. *In the above assumptions, for every $x, y \in V$, it holds*

$$(2) \quad \left\langle |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x-y \right\rangle \geq \frac{2}{p(2^{p-1}-1)} |x-y|^p.$$

Proof. We start computing

$$\left\langle |x|^{p-2}x - |y|^{p-2}y, x-y \right\rangle = |x|^p + |y|^p - \langle x, y \rangle \left(|x|^{p-2} + |y|^{p-2} \right).$$

On the other hand, applying twice Lindqvist inequality with the role of x and y interchanged we get

$$p(|x|^p + |y|^p) \geq p \left(|x|^{p-2} + |y|^{p-2} \right) \langle x, y \rangle + \frac{2}{(2^{p-1}-1)} |x-y|^p.$$

Inserting into the above completes the proof. \square

Remark 5. Inequality (2) can be considered as a version for the p -Laplacian of the classical Mikljukov-Hwang-Collin-Krust inequality; [6], [3], [1]. This latter states that, for every $x, y \in V$,

$$\left\langle \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}} - \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}}, x-y \right\rangle \geq \frac{\sqrt{1+|x|^2} + \sqrt{1+|y|^2}}{2} \left| \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+|x|^2}} - \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+|y|^2}} \right|^2,$$

equality holding if and only if $x = y$.

2.2. The Kelvin-Nevanlinna-Royden criterion. There is a very useful characterization of (non) p -parabolicity in terms of special vector fields on the underlying manifold. It goes under the name of Kelvin-Nevanlinna-Royden criterion. In the linear setting $p = 2$ it was proved in a paper by Lyons and Sullivan, [5]. See also [8]. The following non-linear extension is due to Gol'dshtein and Troyanov, [2].

Theorem 6. *The manifold M is not p -parabolic if and only if there exists a vector field X on M such that:*

- (a) $|X| \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(M)$
- (b) $\operatorname{div} X \in L^1_{loc}(M)$ and $\min(\operatorname{div} X, 0) = (\operatorname{div} X)_- \in L^1(M)$
- (c) $0 < \int_M \operatorname{div} X \leq +\infty$.

In particular, if M is p -parabolic and X is a vector field satisfying (a) $|X| \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(M)$, (b) $\operatorname{div} X \in L^1_{loc}(M)$, and (c) $\operatorname{div} X \geq 0$ on M , then we must necessarily conclude that $\operatorname{div} X = 0$ on M .

3. PROOFS OF THE COMPARISON PRINCIPLES

We are now in the position to prove the main results.

Proof (of Theorem 1). Fix any $x_0 \in M$, let $A = u(x_0) - v(x_0)$ and define Ω_A to be the connected component of the open set

$$\{x \in M : A - 1 < u(x_0) - v(x_0) < A + 1\}$$

which contains x_0 . By standard topological arguments, $\Omega_A \neq \emptyset$ is a (connected) open set. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the piece-wise linear function defined by

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t \leq A - 1 \\ (t - A + 1)/2 & A - 1 \leq t \leq A + 1 \\ 1 & t \geq A + 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider the vector field

$$X = \alpha(u - v) \left\{ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \right\}.$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} X &= \alpha'(u - v) \left\langle |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v, \nabla u - \nabla v \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \alpha(u - v) (\Delta_p u - \Delta_p v) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{2^{p-1} - 1} \alpha'(u - v) |\nabla u - \nabla v|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used Corollary 4, the fact that $\alpha, \alpha' \geq 0$ and the assumption $\Delta_p u - \Delta_p v \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{div} X \geq 0, \text{ on } M,$$

the equality holding if and only if

$$\alpha'(u - v) |\nabla u - \nabla v| = 0.$$

On the other hand, for a suitable constant $C > 0$,

$$|X|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq C (|\nabla u|^p + |\nabla v|^p) \in L^1(M).$$

Therefore, Theorem 6 yields

$$\operatorname{div} X = 0 \text{ on } M.$$

Since $\alpha'(u - v) \neq 0$ on Ω_A , we deduce

$$u - v \equiv A, \text{ on } \Omega_A.$$

It follows that the open set Ω_A is also closed. Since M is connected we must conclude that $\Omega_A = M$ and $u - v = A$ on M . \square

Proof (of Theorem 2). We suppose that either u or v is non-constant, for otherwise there's nothing to prove. Fix $q_0 \in M$. Set $C := u(q_0) - v(q_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

and introduce the radial function $r : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $r(x) = |x - C|$. For $T > 0$, consider the piecewise differentiable vector field X_T defined as

$$X_T := \left[dh_T|_{(u-v)} \circ (|du|^{p-2}du - |dv|^{p-2}dv) \right]^\#$$

where $h_T \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is the function

$$h_T(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{r^2(x)}{2} & \text{if } r(x) < T \\ Tr(x) - \frac{T^2}{2} & \text{if } r(x) \geq T. \end{cases}$$

We observe that $h_T \in C^2$ where $r(x) \neq T$ and that X_T is well defined since there exists a canonical identification

$$T_{(u-v)(q)}\mathbb{R}^n \cong T_{u(q)}\mathbb{R}^n \cong T_{v(q)}\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We also observe that, by Sard theorem, for a.e. $T > 0$, the level set $\{|u - v - C| = T\}$ is a smooth (possibly empty) hypersurface, hence a set of measure zero. Thus, the vector field X_T is weakly differentiable and, for a.e. $T > 0$, the weak divergence of X_T is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} X_T &= d\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right)|_{(u-v)} \circ (\Delta_p u - \Delta_p v) \\ &\quad + {}^M \operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{Hess}\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right)|_{(u-v)} (du - dv, |du|^{p-2}du - |dv|^{p-2}dv) \right), \end{aligned}$$

if $r(x) < T$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} X_T &= d(Tr)|_{(u-v)} \circ (\Delta_p u - \Delta_p v) \\ &\quad + {}^M \operatorname{tr} \left(\operatorname{Hess}(Tr)|_{(u-v)} (du - dv, |du|^{p-2}du - |dv|^{p-2}dv) \right). \end{aligned}$$

if $r(x) \geq T$. The first term on the RHS vanishes by assumption. Moreover, by standard computations, we have $\operatorname{Hess}(r) = r^{-1}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} - dr \otimes dr)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{C\}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hess}\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right) &= dr \otimes dr + r \operatorname{Hess}(r) = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} & \text{if } r(x) < T, \\ \operatorname{Hess}(Tr) &= T \operatorname{Hess}(r) = \frac{T}{r} (\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} - dr \otimes dr) & \text{if } r(x) \geq T. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, for $q \in M$ such that $r((u-v)(q)) < T$, by Corollary 4 we get

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{div} X_T = \langle du - dv, |du|^{p-2}du - |dv|^{p-2}dv \rangle \geq \frac{|du - dv|^p}{p(2^{p-1} - 1)},$$

while, for $q \in M$ such that $r((u-v)(q)) \geq T$, it holds

(4)

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{div} X_T &= \frac{T}{r(u-v)} \langle du - dv, |du|^{p-2} du - |dv|^{p-2} dv \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{T}{r(u-v)} \langle dr|_{(u-v)}(du - dv), dr|_{(u-v)}(|du|^{p-2} du - |dv|^{p-2} dv) \rangle \\
&\geq \frac{T}{r(u-v)} \frac{|du - dv|^p}{p(2^{p-1} - 1)} - (|du| + |dv|)(|du|^{p-1} + |dv|^{p-1}) \\
&\geq \frac{T}{r(u-v)} \frac{|du - dv|^p}{p(2^{p-1} - 1)} - (|du|^p + |dv|^p + |du|^{p-1}|dv| + |dv|^{p-1}|du|) \\
&\geq \frac{T}{r(u-v)} \frac{|du - dv|^p}{p(2^{p-1} - 1)} - 2(|du|^p + |dv|^p),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used again Corollary 4 for the first term and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Young's inequality and the facts that $|dr| = 1$ and $r(u-v) \geq T$ for the second one. Let us now compute the $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ -norm of X_T . Since

$$||du|^{p-2} du - |dv|^{p-2} dv|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq (|du|^{p-1} + |dv|^{p-1})_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} (|du|^p + |dv|^p),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{|u-v-C|<T\}} |X_T|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} &\leq \int_{\{|u-v-C|<T\}} |u-v-C|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} ||du|^{p-2} du - |dv|^{p-2} dv|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
&\leq T^{\frac{p}{p-1}} 2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\|du\|_p^p + \|dv\|_p^p \right) < +\infty
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{|u-v-C|>T\}} |X_T|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} &\leq \int_{\{|u-v-C|>T\}} T^{\frac{p}{p-1}} ||du|^{p-2} du - |dv|^{p-2} dv|_{\frac{p}{p-1}} \\
&\leq T^{\frac{p}{p-1}} 2^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(\|du\|_p^p + \|dv\|_p^p \right) < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence X_T is a weakly differentiable vector field with $|X_T| \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(M)$ and $\operatorname{div} X_T \in L^1_{loc}(M)$. To apply Theorem 6, it remains to show that $(\operatorname{div} X_T)_- \in L^1(M)$. By inequalities (3) and (4), we deduce that

(5)

$$\int_M |(\operatorname{div} X_T)_-| \leq 2 \int_{\{|u-v-C|>T\}} (|du|^p + |dv|^p) \leq 2(\|du\|_p^p + \|dv\|_p^p) < +\infty.$$

Then, the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied and we get, for a.e. $T > 0$,

$$\int_M \operatorname{div} X_T \leq 0.$$

According to (5) we now choose a sequence $T_n \nearrow +\infty$ such that

$$\int_M |(\operatorname{div} X_{T_n})_-| \leq 2 \int_{\{|u-v-C|>T_n\}} (|du|^p + |dv|^p) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad \int_{\{|u-v-C|<T_n\}} \frac{|du-dv|^p}{p(2^{p-1}-1)} &\leq \int_{\{|u-v-C|<T_n\}} (\operatorname{div} X_{T_n})_+ \\
 &\leq \int_M (\operatorname{div} X_{T_n})_+ \\
 &\leq - \int_M (\operatorname{div} X_{T_n})_- < \frac{1}{n}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, letting n go to $+\infty$, we obtain

$$\int_M \frac{|d(u-v)|^p}{p(2^{p-1}-1)} = 0,$$

that is, $u-v \equiv u(q_0) - v(q_0) = C$ on M . □

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Collin, R. Krust. Le problème de Dirichlet pour l'équation des surfaces minimales sur des domaines non bornés. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **119** (1991), 443-458.
- [2] V. Gol'dshtein, M. Troyanov, *The Kelvin-Nevanlinna-Royden criterion for p -parabolicity*. *Math Z.* **232** (1999), 607-619.
- [3] J.-F. Hwang, *Comparison principles and Liouville theorems for prescribed mean curvature equations in unbounded domains*. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* **15** (1988), 341-355.
- [4] P. Lindqvist, *On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = 0$* . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1996), 157-164.
- [5] T. Lyons, D. Sullivan, *Function theory, random paths and covering spaces*. *J. Diff. Geom.* **18** (1984), 229-323.
- [6] V.M. Mikljukov. A new approach to Bernstein theorem and to related questions for equations of minimal surface type (in Russian). *Mat. Sb.* **108** (1979), 268-289; Engl. transl. in *Math. USSR Sb.*, **36** (1980), 251-271.
- [7] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Constancy of p -harmonic maps of finite q -energy into non-positively curved manifolds*. *Math. Z.* **258** (2008), 347-362.
- [8] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Vanishing and finiteness results in geometric analysis: a generalization of the Bochner technique*. *Progress in Mathematics* **266** (2008), Birkhäuser.
- [9] M. Rigoli, A.G. Setti, *Liouville type theorems for φ -subharmonic functions*. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamer.* **17** (2001), 471-450.
- [10] R. Schoen, S.T. Yau, *Compact group actions and the topology of manifolds with non-positive curvature*. *Topology* **18** (1979), 361-380.
- [11] S.W. Wei, *Representing homotopy groups and spaces of maps by p -harmonic maps*. *Indiana Math. J.* **47** (1998), 625-669.

DIPARTIMENTO DI FISICA E MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELL'INSUBRIA - COMO, VIA VALLEGGIO 11, I-22100 COMO, ITALY

E-mail address: stefano.pigola@uninsubria.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI MILANO, VIA SALDINI 50, I-20133 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: giona.veronelli@unimi.it