

On the Space of KdV Fields

Atsushi Nakayashiki*

Abstract

The space of functions A over the phase space of KdV-hierarchy is studied as a module over the ring \mathcal{D} generated by commuting derivations. A \mathcal{D} -free resolution of A is constructed by Babelon, Bernard and Smirnov by taking the classical limit of the construction in quantum integrable models assuming a certain conjecture. We propose another \mathcal{D} -free resolution of A by extending the construction in the classical finite dimensional integrable system associated with a certain family of hyperelliptic curves to infinite dimension assuming a similar conjecture. The relation of two constructions is given.

1 Introduction

In [1] Babelon, Bernard and Smirnov (BBS), by considering the classical limit of a model in two dimensional integrable quantum field theory, have studied the space of KdV fields $A = \mathbb{C}[u, u', \dots]$ as a module over the ring of commuting derivations $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \partial_3, \dots]$, where ∂_i acts on u according as the KdV-hierarchy (see section 2):

$$\partial_i u = S'_{i+1}(u), \quad S_{i+1}(u) \in A.$$

Assuming the conjecture that A is generated over \mathcal{D} by $\mathbb{C}[S_2, S_4, \dots]$ they have constructed a \mathcal{D} -free resolution of A . In particular all \mathcal{D} -linear relations among monomials of $\{S_{2i}\}$ are determined. They are called null vectors in [1]. For example the first two non-trivial null vectors are

$$\partial_3 S_2 - \partial_1 S_4 = 0, \quad \partial_1^2 S_2 - 4S_4 + 6S_2^2 = 0, \quad (1)$$

which give the KdV equation for S_2 :

$$\partial_3 S_2 = \frac{1}{4} \partial_1^3 S_2 + 3S_2 \partial_1(S_2).$$

In [11] the affine ring A_g of the affine Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve of genus g is studied as a module over the ring $\mathcal{D}_g = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \partial_3, \dots, \partial_{2g-1}]$ of invariant vector fields on the Jacobian. Assuming some conjecture a \mathcal{D}_g -free resolution of A_g has been constructed. Although the conjecture is verified only for $g \leq 3$ [9] up to now, this construction exhibits a remarkable consistency with other results. For example it recovers the character of A_g [11] and the cohomologies of the affine Jacobian [7]. Since the $g \rightarrow \infty$ limit of A_g is identified with A , in the present paper we directly construct a \mathcal{D} -free resolution of A extending the construction for A_g .

*Department of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Ropponmatsu 4-2-1, Fukuoka 810-8560, Japan, e-mail: 6vertex@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp, Mathematics Subject Classifications: 37K20, 17B69, 17B37, Key words: KdV hierarchy, boson-fermion correspondence, space of fields, \mathcal{D} -module.

Let $\tau(t)$ be the tau function of the KdV-hierarchy and $\zeta_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} \log \tau(t)$, $\partial_i = \partial/\partial t_i$. Then the generators of A over \mathcal{D} of this construction are given by the set of functions

$$1, \quad (i_1 \dots i_n; j_1 \dots j_n) := \det(\zeta_{i_k, j_l})_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $i_1 < \cdots < i_n$, $j_1 < \cdots < j_n$. The \mathcal{D} -linear relations among them are, for example,

$$\partial_{j_1}(i_1 i_2 : j_2 j_3) - \partial_{j_2}(i_1 i_2 : j_1 j_3) + \partial_{j_3}(i_1 i_2 : j_1 j_2) = 0.$$

In a sense these are trivial relations since they hold if $\tau(t)$ is replaced by an arbitrary function of t_1, t_3, \dots . Notice that $S_{2n} = \partial_1 \partial_{2n-1}$ and the null vectors of BBS implies the bilinear form of the KdV equation for $\tau(t)$. Thus two free resolutions of A give quite different generators and relations. However we prove that two constructions are equivalent by showing the equivalence of two conjectures.

The construction of [1] is related with the quantum groups at root of unity [8, 6]. While the construction of the present paper is directly related with the geometry of Jacobian varieties and the analysis of abelian functions [9, 10]. Thus the present result opens the way to study the latter subjects in terms of the representation theory. It is a quite interesting problem to extend conjectures and results of [11, 9] to the case of more general algebraic curves than that of hyperelliptic curves based on this view point. Toward this direction the results of [12, 13, 4] are important.

The present paper is organized in the following manner. In section 2 the space of KdV fields is defined. After reviewing the boson-fermion correspondence in section 3, the construction of the free resolution of A due to Babelon, Bernard and Smirnov is reviewed in section 4. In section 5 another construction of the free resolution of A is given. The relation of two constructions is given in section 6. Finally in section 7 concluding remarks are given.

2 The Space of KdV Fields

Let A denote the differential algebra

$$A = \mathbb{C}[u, u', u'', \dots] \quad (2)$$

generated by $u = u^{(0)}$, $u' = u^{(1)}$, $u'' = u^{(2)}$, ... such that the derivation $'$ acts as $(u^{(m)})' = u^{(m+1)}$ for any m . The KdV hierarchy is the infinite number of compatible differential equations given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t_n} = S'_{n+1}(u), \quad n = 1, 3, 5, \dots, \quad (3)$$

where $S_n(u)$ is the element of A without constant term satisfying the equation

$$S'_{n+2}(u) = \frac{1}{4} S'''_n(u) - u S'_n(u) - \frac{1}{2} u' S_n, \quad S_2(u) = -\frac{1}{2} u. \quad (4)$$

In particular $'$ is identified with $\partial/\partial t_1$. The KdV hierarchy defines the action of the commuting derivations ∂_n on A by

$$\partial_n(u^{(k)}) = S_{n+1}^{(k+1)}(u), \quad (5)$$

Thus A is a \mathcal{D} -module, where $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \partial_3, \dots]$.

3 Free Fermions and Fock Spaces

Let $\psi_n, \psi_n^*, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$, satisfy the relations

$$[\psi_m, \psi_n]_+ = [\psi_m^*, \psi_n^*]_+ = 0, \quad [\psi_m^*, \psi_n]_+ = \delta_{m,n}, \quad (6)$$

where $[X, Y]_+ = XY + YX$. The vacuums $\langle m|, |m \rangle, m \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ are defined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m|\psi_n = 0 & \quad \text{for } n \leq m, & \quad \langle m|\psi_n^* = 0 & \quad \text{for } n > m, \\ \psi_n|m \rangle = 0 & \quad \text{for } n > m, & \quad \psi_n^*|m \rangle = 0 & \quad \text{for } n \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

They are related by

$$\psi_m^*|m-2 \rangle = |m \rangle, \quad \langle m-2|\psi_m = \langle m|.$$

The Fock spaces H_m, H_m^* are constructed from $|m \rangle$ and $\langle m|$ respectively by the equal number of ψ_k and ψ_k^* . The pairing between H_m and H_m^* are defined by normalizing

$$\langle m|m \rangle = 1.$$

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} h_{-2k} &= \sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \psi_n \psi_{n+2k}^*, \\ T &= \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} J_{2k} h_{-2k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where J_{2k} are commutative variables. Notice that $\langle m|T = \langle m|$ for any m . The boson-fermion correspondence gives the isomorphism of bosonic and fermionic Fock spaces [3]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2m-1}^* &\simeq \mathbb{C}[J_2, J_4, \dots], \\ \langle 2m-1|a \mapsto \langle 2m-1|aT|2m-1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

4 Babelon-Bernard-Smirnov's Construction

In this section we review the results of [1]. Their discovery is that the fermionic description of the bosonic map ev_1 defined below (10) greatly simplifies the situation. Later it is understood that such structure is intimately related with quantum groups at a root of unity [8, 6].

Let

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \psi_n z^{-n}, \quad \psi^*(z) = \sum_{n \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \psi_n^* z^n.$$

Define two operators Q and C by

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \nabla(z) \psi(z), \\ C &= \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \psi(z) \frac{d\psi(z)}{dz} + \int \int_{|z_2| > |z_1|} \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} \frac{dz_2}{2\pi i} \log\left(1 - \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)^2\right) \nabla(z_1) \nabla(z_2) \psi(z_1) \psi(z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Here the simple integral signifies to take the coefficient of z^{-1} and the double integral signifies to take that of $(z_1 z_2)^{-1}$ when the integrand is expanded at the region $|z_1/z_2| < 1$. These operators are maps of the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned} Q &: \mathcal{D} \otimes H_n^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes H_{n+2}^*, \\ C &: \mathcal{D} \otimes H_n^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes H_{n+4}^*. \end{aligned}$$

They satisfy

$$[Q, C] = 0, \quad Q^2 = 0. \quad (8)$$

Let us introduce new variables \bar{S} by

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} J_{2k} z^{-2k}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{S}_{2n} z^{-2n}.$$

By specifying the degrees as $\deg J_{2k} = 2k$, \bar{S}_{2n} is a homogeneous polynomial of J_{2k} 's of degree $2k$ and has the form

$$\bar{S}_{2n} = \frac{-J_{2n}}{2n} + \dots,$$

where \dots part does not contain J_{2n} . In particular we have the isomorphism of polynomial rings

$$\mathbb{C}[J_2, J_4, \dots] \simeq \mathbb{C}[\bar{S}_2, \bar{S}_4, \dots]. \quad (9)$$

The composition of (7) and (9) gives the isomorphism

$$H_{-1}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}[\bar{S}_2, \bar{S}_4, \dots].$$

We identify these two spaces by this isomorphism. We define a map

$$ev_1 : \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\bar{S}_2, \bar{S}_4, \dots] \longrightarrow A \quad (10)$$

by

$$P(\partial) \otimes \bar{S}_2^{\alpha_2} \bar{S}_4^{\alpha_4} \dots \mapsto P(\partial)(S_2^{\alpha_2} S_4^{\alpha_4} \dots).$$

Then Babelon, Bernard and Smirnov have proved

Theorem 1 ([1, 2])

$$Q(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-3}^*) + C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-5}^*) \subset \text{Ker } ev_1.$$

They conjectured

Conjecture 1 *The map ev_1 is surjective.*

We set

$$B = \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-1}^*}{Q(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-3}^*) + C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-5}^*)}.$$

Since $Q^2 = 0$ we have the complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-5}^* \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-3}^* \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-1}^* \longrightarrow 0. \quad (11)$$

Since C and Q commute, it induces the following complex;

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{Q} \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-5}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-9}^*)} \xrightarrow{Q} \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-3}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-7}^*)} \xrightarrow{Q} \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-1}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-5}^*)} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (12)$$

because $[Q, C] = 0$. Finally we have the complex

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{Q} \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-5}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-9}^*)} \xrightarrow{Q} \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-3}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-7}^*)} \xrightarrow{Q} \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-1}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-5}^*)} \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0, \quad (13)$$

where the map to B is the natural projection.

Proposition 1 (i) For $n \geq 0$

$$\frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-2n-1}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-2n-5}^*)}$$

is a free \mathcal{D} -module.

(ii) The complex (13) is exact.

By this proposition (13) gives a \mathcal{D} -free resolution of B .

The statement (i) of this proposition is proved in [2]. We recall the change of fermions used there for further use. In the component form Q and C are written as

$$Q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} \psi_{-(2n-1)},$$

$$C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(2(2n-1) \psi_{2n-1} - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} P_{n,l}(\partial) \psi_{2n-1-l} \right) \psi_{-(2n-1)},$$

where we set

$$P_{n,l}(\partial) = \sum_{i+j=l+1, j < n} \frac{1}{n-j} \partial_{2i-1} \partial_{2j-1}.$$

We define

$$\tilde{\psi}_{-(2n-1)} = \psi_{-(2n-1)} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_{2n-1} = 2(2n-1) \psi_{2n-1} - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} P_{n,l}(\partial) \psi_{2n-1-2l} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

Write these relations as

$$\tilde{\psi}_i = \sum_{j \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} d_{ij} \psi_j,$$

and set $D = (d_{ij})$ which is an invertible triangular matrix. Set

$$D' = (d'_{ij}) = {}^t(D^{-1}),$$

$$\tilde{\psi}_i^* = \sum_j d'_{ij} \psi_j^*.$$

Then $\{\tilde{\psi}_i, \tilde{\psi}_j^*\}$ satisfy the canonical anti-commutation relations (6). Moreover the vacuums $\langle m |$, $|m \rangle$ for $\{\psi_i, \psi_j^*\}$ become the vacuums for $\{\tilde{\psi}_i, \tilde{\psi}_j^*\}$. We denote the Fock spaces of $\{\tilde{\psi}_i, \tilde{\psi}_j^*\}$ by $\tilde{H}_m, \tilde{H}_m^*$. Then

$$Q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{-(2n-1)}, \quad C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\psi}_{2n-1} \tilde{\psi}_{-(2n-1)},$$

and we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-2n-1}^* &\simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes \tilde{H}_{-2n-1}^*, \\ \frac{\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-2n-1}^*}{C(\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-2n-5}^*)} &\simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes \frac{\tilde{H}_{-2n-1}^*}{C\tilde{H}_{-2n-5}^*}, \end{aligned}$$

for any integer n . The statement (ii) follows from the following lemmas in a similar manner to Theorem 4.3 of [8]. The lemmas can also be proved similarly to Lemma 4.4 and 4.5 of [8].

Lemma 1 *The complex (11) is exact at $\mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-2n-1}$, $n \geq 1$.*

Lemma 2 *The map*

$$C : \tilde{H}_{-2m-1} \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_{-2m+3}$$

is injective for $m \geq 0$.

Corollary 1 *If we assume Conjecture 1, then $A \simeq B$ and (13) gives a \mathcal{D} -free resolution of A ,*

$$\dots \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{D} \otimes \frac{\tilde{H}_{-5}^*}{C\tilde{H}_{-9}^*} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{D} \otimes \frac{\tilde{H}_{-3}^*}{C\tilde{H}_{-7}^*} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{D} \otimes \frac{\tilde{H}_{-1}^*}{C\tilde{H}_{-5}^*} \xrightarrow{ev_1} A \longrightarrow 0. \quad (14)$$

Proof. Conjecture 1 implies that the map $B \rightarrow A$ induced from ev_1 is surjective. Then the injectivity follows by comparing characters. For a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus V_n$ with $\dim V_n < \infty$ we define the character of V by

$$\text{ch } V = \sum q^n \dim V_n.$$

For H_n^* and \tilde{H}_n^* we assign

$$\deg \psi_n = n, \quad \deg \psi_n^* = -n, \quad \deg \partial_{2m-1} = m^2, \quad \deg \partial_i = i.$$

Then

$$\text{ch } H_{-2m+1}^* = \text{ch } \tilde{H}_{-2m+1}^* = \frac{q^{m^2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2i})}.$$

For A we define $\deg u^{(i)} = 2 + i$. Then

$$\text{ch } A = \frac{1 - q}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^i)}$$

and the map ev_1 preserves grading. Using the free resolution (13) of B we have

$$\text{ch } B = \frac{1 - q}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^i)} = \text{ch } A,$$

which completes the proof. ■

5 Another Construction of Free Resolution

In this section we shall generalize the construction of [11] to the case of infinite degrees of freedom.

Let $\tau(t) = \tau(t_1, t_3, \dots)$ be the tau function of the KdV-hierarchy [3]. We set

$$\zeta_i = \partial_i \log \tau(t), \quad \zeta_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j \log \tau(t), \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}.$$

Notice that ζ_{ij} can be expressed as a differential polynomial of $u = \zeta_{11}$ and thereby is contained in A . Then

$$d\zeta_i = \sum_{j:\text{odd}} \zeta_{ij} dt_j$$

is a 1-form with the coefficients in A .

Let

$$\alpha_{2n-1} = \tilde{\psi}_{-(2n-1)}, \quad \beta_{2n-1} = \tilde{\psi}_{2n-1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then

$$Q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} \alpha_{2n-1}, \quad C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{2n-1} \alpha_{2n-1}.$$

For $N \geq 1$ set

$$\tilde{H}_{-1}^*(N) = \sum_{k=0}^N \sum \mathbb{C} \langle -2N-1 | \alpha_{i_{N-k}} \cdots \alpha_{i_1} \beta_{j_k} \cdots \beta_{j_1},$$

where the second summation is over all odd integers satisfying

$$2N+1 > i_{N-k} > \cdots > i_1 \geq 1, \quad j_k > \cdots > j_1 \geq 1.$$

Set $H_{-1}^*(0) = \mathbb{C} \langle -1 |$. We use the notation like

$$\alpha_I = \alpha_{i_{N-k}} \cdots \alpha_{i_1},$$

for $I = (i_{N-k}, \dots, i_1)$.

For $N < N'$ we have the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{-1}^*(N) &\subset \tilde{H}_{-1}^*(N'), \\ &\langle -2N-1 | a \mapsto \langle -2N'-1 | \alpha_{2N'-1} \alpha_{2N'-3} \cdots \alpha_{2N+1} a. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Thus $\{\tilde{H}_{-1}^*(N)\}$ defines an increasing filtration of H_{-1}^* :

$$\tilde{H}_{-1}^* = \cup_{N=0}^{\infty} \tilde{H}_{-1}^*(N).$$

We define a map of \mathcal{D} -modules

$$\text{ev}_2 : \mathcal{D} \otimes \tilde{H}_{-1}^* \longrightarrow A,$$

as follows.

Let

$$\text{vol} = \cdots \wedge dt_5 \wedge dt_3 \wedge dt_1, \quad \Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}} = \mathbb{C} \text{vol},$$

and $\Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}-p}$ be the vector space generated by differential forms which are obtained from vol by removing p dt_i 's. We define the action of \mathcal{D} on $A \otimes \Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}}$ by

$$P(F\text{vol}) = P(F)\text{vol}, \quad P \in \mathcal{D}, \quad F \in A,$$

where we omit the tensor symbol for simplicity. Then we have the isomorphism of \mathcal{D} -modules

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes \Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}} &\simeq A, \\ F\text{vol} &\mapsto F. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Let $v \in \tilde{H}_{-1}^*(N)$ be of the form

$$v = \langle -2N - 1 | \alpha_I \beta_J, \quad I = (i_{N-k}, \dots, i_1), \quad J = (j_k, \dots, j_1),$$

and $P \in \mathcal{D}$. We define

$$\text{ev}_2(P \otimes v) \text{vol} = P(\cdots \wedge dt_{2N+3} \wedge dt_{2N+1} \wedge dt_I \wedge d\zeta_J),$$

where $dt_I = dt_{i_{N-k}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i_1}$ etc. We can write ev_2 more explicitly using certain determinants. Write

$$\cdots \wedge dt_{2N+3} \wedge dt_{2N+1} \wedge dt_I \wedge d\zeta_J = F_{IJ} \text{vol}, \quad F_{IJ} \in A.$$

Let

$$I^c = \{1, 3, \dots, 2N - 1\} \setminus I = \{l_k > \cdots > l_1\}$$

and $\text{sgn}(I, I^c)$ be the sign of the permutation

$$(2N - 1, \dots, 3, 1) \longrightarrow (I, I^c).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_2(P \otimes v) &= P(F_{IJ}), \\ F_{IJ} &= \text{sgn}(I, I^c) \det(\zeta_{l_a j_b})_{1 \leq a, b \leq k}. \end{aligned}$$

One can immediately check, using (15), that the definition of ev_2 does not depend on the choice of N such that $v \in \tilde{H}_{-1}^*(N)$.

Proposition 2 (i) $\text{ev}_2(Q(\mathcal{D} \otimes \tilde{H}_{-3}^*)) = 0$.

(ii) $\text{ev}_2(C(\mathcal{D} \otimes \tilde{H}_{-5}^*)) = 0$.

Proof. (i) We define

$$d: A \otimes \Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}-p} \longrightarrow A \otimes \Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}-p+1},$$

by

$$d(F \otimes w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} F \otimes w \wedge dt_{2n-1}, \quad F \in A, \quad w \in \Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}-p}.$$

Then

$$d^2 = 0, \quad d\Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}-p} = 0,$$

$$d(w_1 \wedge w_2) = w_1 \wedge dw_2 + (-1)^q dw_1 \wedge w_2, \quad w_1 \in A \otimes \Omega^{\frac{\infty}{2}-p-q}, \quad w_2 \in A \otimes \Omega^q,$$

where Ω^q is the space of q -forms of dt_1, dt_3, \dots and dw_2 is defined in an obvious manner.

For $I = (i_k, \dots, i_1)$ we set $|I| = k$. Let

$$v = \langle -2N - 1 | \alpha_I \beta_J \in \tilde{H}_{-3}^*, \quad |I| + |J| = N - 1,$$

and $P \in \mathcal{D}$. Then

$$Q(P \otimes v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} P \otimes \langle -2N - 1 | \alpha_I \beta_J \alpha_{2n-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_2(Q(P \otimes v)) \text{vol} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} P(\cdots \wedge dt_{2N+1} \wedge dt_I \wedge d\zeta_J \wedge dt_{2n-1}) \\ &= P(d(\cdots \wedge dt_{2N+1} \wedge dt_I \wedge d\zeta_J)) \\ &= P((-1)^{N-1} d(\cdots \wedge dt_{2N+1}) \wedge dt_I \wedge d\zeta_J + \cdots \wedge dt_{2N+1} \wedge d(dt_I \wedge d\zeta_J)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let

$$v = P \otimes \langle -2N - 1 | \alpha_I \beta_J \in \mathcal{D} \otimes \tilde{H}_{-5}^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_2(Cv) \text{vol} &= \text{ev}_2(-P \otimes \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle -2N - 1 | \alpha_I \beta_J \alpha_{2n-1} \beta_{2n-1}) \\ &= -P(\cdots \wedge dt_{2N+1} \wedge dt_I \wedge d\zeta_J \wedge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} dt_{2n-1} \wedge d\zeta_{2n-1}) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} dt_{2n-1} \wedge d\zeta_{2n-1} = \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} (\partial_{2m-1} \partial_{2n-1} \log \tau) dt_{2n-1} \wedge dt_{2n-1} = 0.$$

■

By (ii) of Proposition 2 we have a map of \mathcal{D} -modules

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes \frac{\tilde{H}_{-1}^*}{C\tilde{H}_{-5}^*} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_2} A. \quad (17)$$

Notice that the proof of Proposition 2 is much simpler than that of Theorem 1 in [1, 2].

The following theorem is proved in the next section.

Theorem 2 *If we assume Conjecture 1 then the map (17) is surjective. In particular if we replace ev_1 by ev_2 in (14) then it gives a \mathcal{D} -free resolution of A .*

6 Relation of Two Constructions

In this section we show

Theorem 3 *The map ev_1 is surjective if and only if the map ev_2 is surjective.*

The remaining part of this section is devoted to the proof of this theorem. Let us set

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{n,m} &= ev_1(\bar{\omega}_{n,m}), \quad \bar{\omega}_{n,m} = \langle -1|\psi_n\psi_{-m}^*T| -1 \rangle, \quad m, n \geq 1, \\ \omega_n &= \sum_{m:odd} \omega_{n,m} dt_m.\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 *The 1-form ω_n is closed.*

Proof. By Theorem 1

$$ev_1(\langle -1|\psi_n\psi_{-m_1}^*\psi_{-m_2}^*QT| -1 \rangle) = 0. \quad (18)$$

We substitute

$$Q = \sum_{i:odd} \partial_i \psi_{-i}$$

into (18) and get

$$\partial_{m_2} \omega_{n,m_1} - \partial_{m_1} \omega_{n,m_2} = 0$$

which proves the lemma. ■

By the lemma ω_n should be written as $d\eta_n$ for some function η_n which is not necessarily in A . We shall find the explicit form of η_n and study its properties.

Let

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{\tau(t - [z^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} e^{\xi(t,z)}, \quad \xi(t,z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{2n-1} z^{2n-1},$$

be the wave function of the KdV-hierarchy [3, 2], where $[z^{-1}] = (z^{-1}, \frac{z^{-3}}{3}, \frac{z^{-5}}{5}, \dots)$. Set

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{2n} z^{-2n}, \quad S_0 = 1.$$

Notice that $S_{2n} = \partial_1 \partial_{2n-1} \log \tau(t)$. Then, by the bilinear identity for $\tau(t)$ [3], we have (see Remark 1, p391, in [2] for example)

$$S(z) = \frac{\tau(t - [z^{-1}])\tau(t + [z^{-1}])}{\tau(t)^2}. \quad (19)$$

Define $X(z)$ by ([2] p388)

$$X(z) = \frac{-1}{2} \log S(z) + \log \Psi(z).$$

Using (19) we have

$$X(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\log \tau(t - [z^{-1}]) - \log \tau(t + [z^{-1}]) \right) + \xi(t, z). \quad (20)$$

Let us set

$$\eta(z) = z^{-1} \left(-X(z) + \xi(t, z) \right).$$

By (20) $\eta(z)$ is expanded into negative even powers of z ,

$$\eta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta_{2n-1} z^{-2n}.$$

Then

Proposition 3 (i) $d\eta_{2n-1} = \omega_{2n-1}$.

(ii) We have

$$\eta_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{2n-1} \zeta_{2n-1} + a_{2n-1}, \quad (21)$$

for some $a_{2n-1} \in A$.

Proof. We use the following lemma ([2] p392 Remark 3).

Lemma 4 Let $\nabla(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} w^{-2n}$. Then

$$\nabla(w)X(z) = \frac{z}{w^2 - z^2} \frac{S(w)}{S(z)}, \quad |w| > |z|.$$

By this lemma we get

$$\nabla(w)\eta(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 - w^2} \left(\frac{S(w)}{S(z)} - 1 \right), \quad |w| > |z|. \quad (22)$$

Since the right hand side of this equation is regular at $z^2 = w^2$, (22) is valid at $|z| > |w|$. Let $\bar{S}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{S}_{2n} z^{-2n}$ with $\bar{S}_0 = 1$. Using

$$\begin{aligned} T\psi(z)T^{-1} &= \bar{S}(z)\psi(z), \quad T\psi^*(z)T^{-1} = \bar{S}(z)^{-1}\psi^*(z), \\ < -1|\psi(z)\psi^*(w)| - 1 > &= \frac{zw}{z^2 - w^2}, \quad |z| > |w|, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$< -1|\psi(z)\psi^*(w)T| - 1 > = \frac{\bar{S}(w)}{\bar{S}(z)} \frac{zw}{z^2 - w^2}, \quad |z| > |w|.$$

On the other hand, expanding in z and w , we have

$$< -1|\psi(z)\psi^*(w)T| - 1 > = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\omega}_{2n-1,2m-1} z^{-2n+1} w^{-2m+1} + \frac{zw}{z^2 - w^2}, \quad |z| > |w|.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{z^2 - w^2} \left(\frac{\bar{S}(w)}{\bar{S}(z)} - 1 \right) &= (zw)^{-1} \langle -1 | \psi(z) \psi^*(w) T | -1 \rangle - \frac{1}{z^2 - w^2} \\ &= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\omega}_{2n-1,2m-1} z^{-2n} w^{-2m}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking ev_1 we have

$$\partial_{2m-1} \eta_{2n-1} = \omega_{2n-1,2m-1}.$$

■

Proof of Theorem 3

We denote by $\text{vol}(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ the differential form which is obtained from vol by removing $dt_{i_1}, \dots, dt_{i_k}$.

Suppose that ev_1 is surjective. Notice that

$$\text{ev}_1 : \mathcal{D} \otimes H_{-1}^* \longrightarrow A,$$

is given by

$$\text{ev}_1 \left(P \otimes \langle -1 | \psi_{2i_1-1} \cdots \psi_{2i_k-1} \psi_{2j_k-1}^* \cdots \psi_{2j_1-1}^* \rangle \right) = P \left(\det(\omega_{2i_a-1,2j_b-1})_{1 \leq a,b \leq k} \right).$$

Thus the space

$$\left(\frac{A}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} A} \right) \text{vol} \tag{23}$$

is generated by all elements of the form

$$\text{vol}(i_1, \dots, i_k) \wedge \omega_{2j_1-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{2j_k-1}, \tag{24}$$

as a vector space over \mathbb{C} . We substitute (21) into (24). Then the space (23) is generated by the elements of the form

$$\text{vol}(i_1, \dots, i_k) \wedge d\zeta_{2j_1-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\zeta_{2j_k-1},$$

since the terms containing da_{2r-1} belong to the denominator $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial_{2n-1} A) \text{vol}$. Since (23) generates A over \mathcal{D} , ev_2 is surjective. The converse is similarly proved. ■

As a corollary of Theorem 3, Theorem 2 in the previous section is proved.

7 Concluding Remarks

From the view point of conformal field theories and their integrable deformations [15, 5, 14] the space A corresponds to the descendent fields of the identity operator. It is possible to consider the spaces corresponding to descendents of other primary fields [1]. It is an interesting problem to construct their \mathcal{D} -free resolutions. In the quantum case free resolutions are constructed for those spaces [1, 8]. In particular the spaces become free modules in "odd cases". The classical and even the finite dimensional cases are expected to have similar structures. Geometrically, to consider non-identity primary fields corresponds to consider the spaces of sections of certain non-trivial flat line bundles over affine Jacobians in stead of affine rings [11].

References

- [1] O. Babelon, D. Bernard and F. Smirnov, Null-vectors in integrable field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **186** (1997), 601-648.
- [2] O. Babelon, D. Bernard and M. Talon, Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [3] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, Transformation groups for Soliton equations, Nonlinear Integrable Systems-Classical Theory and Quantum Theory-, Ed. by Jimbo, M. and Miwa, T., World Scientific, 1983.
- [4] R. Donagi and E. Markman, Spectral covers, algebraically completely integrable@Hamiltonian systems, and moduli of bundles, Lect. Notes in Math. **1620** (1996), 1-119.
- [5] T. Eguchi and S.K. Yang, Deformations of conformal field theories and soliton equations, *Phys. Lett. B* **224** (1989), 373-378.
- [6] B. Feigin, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, E. Mukhin and Y. Takeyama, A functional model for the tensor product of level 1 highest and level -1 lowest modules for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$, *European J. Combin.* **25** (2004), 1197-1229.
- [7] A. Nakayashiki, On the cohomologies of theta divisors of hyperelliptic Jacobians, *Contemporary Math.* **309** (2002), 177-183.
- [8] A. Nakayashiki, The space of local fields as a module over the ring of local integrals of motion, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **52** (2004), 2797-2822.
- [9] A. Nakayashiki, On hyperelliptic abelian functions of genus 3, arXiv:0809.3303.
- [10] A. Nakayashiki, Sigma function as a tau function, preprint.
- [11] A. Nakayashiki and F. Smirnov, Cohomologies of affine hyperelliptic Jacobi varieties and integrable systems, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001), 623-652.
- [12] A. Nakayashiki and F. Smirnov, Euler characteristics of theta divisors of Jacobians for spectral curves, *CRM Proc. and Lect. Notes* **32**, Vadim B. Kuznetsov, ed. (2002), 239-246,
- [13] F. Smirnov and V. Zeitlin, Affine Jacobians of spectral curves and integrable models, arXiv:math-ph/020303.
- [14] R. Sasaki and I. Yamanaka, Virasoro algebra, vertex operators, quantum sine-Gordon and solvable quantum field theories, *Adv. Stud. in Pure Math.* **16** (1988), 271-296.
- [15] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Integrable field theory from conformal field theory, *Adv. Stud. in Pure Math.* **19** (1989), 641-674.