ON STRONG UNIQUE CONTINUATION OF COUPLED EINSTEIN METRICS

WILLIE WAI-YEUNG WONG AND PIN YU

ABSTRACT. The strong unique continuation property for Einstein metrics can be concluded from the well-known fact that Einstein metrics are analytic in geodesic normal coördinates. Here we give a different proof of that result using a Carleman inequality (and thus circumventing the use of analyticity). Hence the method is robust under certain non-analytic perturbations. As an example, we also show the strong unique continuation property for the Riemannian Einstein-scalar-field system with cosmological constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

An Einstein metric g on a Riemannian manifold M satisfies the following system of equations

$$R_{ij} = \lambda g_{ij} \tag{1.1}$$

where R_{ij} is the Ricci curvature of the given metric g_{ij} and the Einstein constant λ is a fixed real number. The system is essentially elliptic (a fact made most abundantly clear in harmonic coördinates), and in fact a solution can be seen as real-analytic in harmonic or geodesic normal coördinates [3, 5]. It is simple to see that then the strong unique continuation property¹ must hold for Einstein manifolds.

Here we offer a different proof of the strong unique continuation property using Carleman estimates. The Carleman inequality has a long history in connection with uniqueness theorems (see [8] for a selective history; also see [4, 6, 9] for examples) for elliptic systems. Recently it has also found use for uniqueness properties of an ill-posed characteristic hyperbolic system [1, 2]. The main advantage of an approach based on Carleman estimates is that it relaxes the analyticity requirement. Indeed, our proof is based on an estimate of Sogge's [8] that allows even rough coefficients in the equation. To illustrate the power of this method, we also prove the strong unique continuation property for the Einstein equation coupled to a scalar field with an potential that is $C^{3,1}$.

To apply Carleman estimates, we interpret the Einstein(-scalar-field) system as an elliptic system for the Riemann curvature tensor. By the second Bianchi identities, we

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53B20, 35B60.

Most of this work was completed when W.W.Y.W. was a graduate student at Princeton University.

¹The strong unique continuation property for a system of equations can be stated roughly as: if u and v are solutions and p a point such that u(p) = v(p) and $\partial^{\alpha} u(p) = \partial^{\alpha} v(p)$ for any multi-index α (in other words, their Taylor expansions agree at the point p), then u = v everywhere. See Theorem 3.1 for precise conditions used in this paper.

have that schematically

$$dR = 0$$
; $div R = dRic$,

where the derivative of the Ricci tensor is zero in the pure Einstein case. From the divergence-curl system we can derive the schematic equation

$$\triangle_g R = R * R + \nabla_q^2 Ric \; ,$$

where R * R denotes some fixed tensorial contractions between the Riemann curvature tensor and itself, and especially is quadratic in R; and ∇_g is the Levi-Civita connection of g. The main idea is then to show that this system has unique continuation properties: in other words, let g and \bar{g} be two metrics solving our system, we wish to show that their corresponding Riemann tensors R, \bar{R} agree in a neighborhood. Subtracting the *equations*, we discover that, schematically, we need to consider

$$\Delta_g(R - \bar{R}) = -(\Delta_g - \Delta_{\bar{g}})\bar{R} + (R - \bar{R}) * R + \bar{R} * (R - \bar{R}) + \nabla_g^2(Ric - R\bar{i}c) + (\nabla_g^2 - \nabla_{\bar{g}}^2)\bar{R}\bar{i}c$$

Here we immediately see two obstructions to directly applying the Carleman estimates to obtain strong unique continuation, both of which have to do with the second derivative terms.

On the one hand, there is the term $\nabla_g^2(Ric - Ric)$, which is the same order of derivatives as the left hand side of the equation. A principle behind the use of Carleman estimates is that to obtain unique continuation the equations must be *order reducing* (the Carleman inequality itself estimates lower order derivatives by the top order derivatives with a small constant. An order reducing equation estimates the top order derivative by lower order derivatives with constant 1. Combining the two gives uniqueness). For the pure Einstein case, the Ricci tensor has zero covariant derivative, so this obstruction is side-stepped. In the model case with the scalar field (this is also true for more general matter fields such as Maxwell or Yang-Mills), the derivatives of Ricci can be estimated by the derivatives of the scalar field. As long as the matter field equations are minimally coupled (which means that the geometry only appears in the form of covariant differentiation and metric contraction), we can hope that prolongation of the field equations leads to estimable quantities for the higher derivatives. To be more precise: for the Einstein-scalar equations, we have

$$Ric = \partial \phi \otimes \partial \phi + V(\phi)g$$

where ϕ satisfies a scalar equation, and

$$\triangle_q \phi = V'(\phi)$$

where the potential V satisfies some regularity conditions. Therefore to control $\nabla_g^2(Ric - Ric)$, the worst term comes from $\nabla_g^3(\phi - \bar{\phi})$, which we can obtain by prolonging the scalar equation with two derivatives, whilst sacrificing one derivative on Riemann curvature:

$$\Delta_g \nabla_g^2 \phi = \nabla_g^2 V'(\phi) + \nabla (R \cdot \nabla \phi) \; .$$

So in the end we obtain a system of equations for $\triangle_g \phi$, $\triangle_g \nabla_g \phi$, $\triangle_g \nabla_g^2 \phi$, and $\triangle_g R$, which is order-reducing when all terms are considered. It is for this system that we consider uniqueness. The second obstruction arises from the difference in connections, which appears as $\nabla_g - \nabla_{\bar{g}}$ or $\nabla_g^2 - \nabla_{\bar{g}}^2$ in the subtracted equations. This obstruction is, in a large part, due to the quasi-linear nature of the Einstein equations. This gives a significant complication to our method when compared to purely semi-linear estimates; see [6, 9]. To control these terms, we adapt an idea from Alexakis [1, 2] to directly control the connection coefficients. As explained in Section 3 below, we consider a radially transported orthonormal frame over a geodesic normal coördinate system. This way we obtain a system of first order ordinary differential equations on the coördinate coefficients of the frame vectors, and on the connection coefficients. Insofar as ODEs are concerned, the analogue for Carleman estimates is almost trivial.

In summary, the unique continuation problem for the Einstein-scalar-field system is reduced to a mixed partial-differential- and ordinary-differential-equation system for the *differences* between two solutions (e.g. $\delta\phi = \phi - \bar{\phi}, \delta\nabla\phi = \partial\phi - \partial\bar{\phi}, \delta R = R - \bar{R}, \ldots$). By imposing the condition that the data for this system vanishes to infinite order at a point, we can apply Carleman estimates to the system and obtain local uniqueness of the solution.

The authors would like to note that Biquard [4] also obtained a proof of strong uniqueness for the case of the pure Einstein metric using Carleman inequalities. Our approaches are, however, fundamentally different as Biquard uses a system of elliptic equations on the metric in local coördinates, and on the second fundamental form for a foliation of the ball by geodesic distance to the origin, while we consider the tensorial elliptic system on the curvature level.

2. Carleman Estimates and Uniqueness

In this section we study the strong unique continuation for a coupled PDE-ODE system as a model problem. As explained in the introduction, the motivation for the model problem lies in the need to estimate connection coefficients à la [1] and [2]. The reduction to the model problem will be explained in more detail in Section 3. To show the strong unique continuation property we shall need two Carleman type estimates. The first estimate, due to Sogge [8], is an L^p -Carleman inequality adapted to show strong unique continuation for elliptic systems with rough coefficients. It will be used to control the PDE part of the system. A second, more trivial, estimate used to control the ODE part of the system will be shown below.

We now work on \mathbb{R}^n , n > 2, with the standard metric. Let $\hat{r} = r(1 - r^{\delta})$ where $0 < \delta < \frac{2}{n}$ is a small constant. We fix $p = \frac{2n}{n+2}$ and $q = \frac{2n}{n-2}$. The following easy fact will be crucial in the proof of strong unique continuation:

$$p < 2 < q$$

since it allows one to use Hölder's inequality to get a small constant by shrinking the neighborhood around the origin. For any λ such that

$$|\lambda - (k + \frac{n-2}{2})| \ge \frac{1}{2}$$
(2.1)

holds for every k = 1, 2, ..., we have the following Carleman type inequality:

Lemma 2.1 (Sogge [8]). Assuming u is a smooth function compactly supported in $B_R \setminus \{0\}$ for some sufficiently small R, then there is a constant C independent of λ , such that for all λ sufficiently large and satisfying (2.1)

$$\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}r^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} \le C \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\triangle_{g}u\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})}$$
(2.2)

Remark 2.2. Observe that the previous estimate holds for a sequence of λ 's unbounded in \mathbb{R}_+ . So we can let λ tend to infinity, which gives us the "small constant" necessary for the strong unique continuation.

In the following, Y denotes the smooth radial vector field $r\partial_r$.

Lemma 2.3. For any δ fixed sufficiently small (depending on the dimension n), there exists $R_0 = R_0(\delta)$ and $C = C(n, R_0, \delta)$, such that for any $\lambda > n$ and $R < R_0$, if u is a smooth function compactly supported in B_R which vanishes to infinite order at the origin,

$$\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}Y(u)\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}$$
(2.3)

Proof. We use the standard measure $dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$. The measure $d\sigma$ is the standard measure on unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} &= c_{n} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} r^{-2\lambda} (1-r^{\delta})^{-2\lambda} u^{2} r^{n-1} dr d\sigma \\ &= \frac{c_{n}}{-2\lambda+n} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (1-r^{\delta})^{-2\lambda} u^{2} d(r^{-2\lambda+n}) d\sigma \\ &= \frac{2c_{n}}{2\lambda-n} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (1-r^{\delta})^{-2\lambda} u \cdot \partial_{r} u \cdot r^{-2\lambda+n} dr d\sigma \\ &\quad + \frac{2c_{n}\lambda\delta}{2\lambda-n} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{r^{\delta}}{1-r^{\delta}} r^{-2\lambda} (1-r^{\delta})^{-2\lambda} u^{2} \cdot r^{n-1} dr d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

We can assume δ to be fixed $\langle c_n/2^{10}$, and R_0 fixed so that the factor $\frac{r^{\delta}}{1-r^{\delta}} \leq 1$ for all $r < R < R_0$. Then since $\lambda > n$, the second term on the right hand side can be absorbed to the left hand side, and we have

$$\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (1-r^{\delta})^{-2\lambda} u \cdot \partial_{r} u \cdot r^{-2\lambda+n} dr d\sigma$$
$$= \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \hat{r}^{-\lambda} u \cdot \hat{r}^{-\lambda} r \partial_{r} u \cdot r^{n-1} dr d\sigma$$
$$= \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{R} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \hat{r}^{-\lambda} u \cdot \hat{r}^{-\lambda} Y(u) \cdot r^{n-1} dr d\sigma$$
$$\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} \cdot \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}Y(u)\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}$$

This proves the lemma.

The previous two estimates allows one to use the standard Carleman techinques to show the strong unique continuation for the following model problem:

Proposition 2.4. Given a smooth solution (u, v) of the following PDE-ODE system

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g u = u + \nabla u + v \\ Y(v) = v + u + \nabla u \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

If (u, v) vanishes to infinite order around a point $p \in M$, then there is a small neighborhood U of p such that

$$u = v = 0 \qquad on \quad U. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Let R be sufficiently small so that Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 both apply. Let ϕ be a cut-off function supported in the unit ball B_1 such that $\phi|_{B_R} = 1$. Let

$$\chi_k(x) = 1 - \phi(2^k x) . (2.6)$$

We then define

$$\begin{cases} u_k(x) = \chi_k(x) \cdot \phi(x) \cdot u(x) \\ v_\phi(x) = \phi(x) \cdot v(x) \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

We can apply the Carleman estimate (2.1) to u_k in B_1 which gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u_{k}\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}r^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\nabla u_{k}\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} \\ &\leq C(\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\triangle_{g}u_{k}\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})} + \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\triangle_{g}(\phi \cdot u)\|_{L^{p}(^{c}B_{R})}) \end{aligned}$$
(2.8)

where ${}^{c}B_{R}$ denotes the complement of B_{R} in B_{1} . We use Leibnitz rule and the equation (2.4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \triangle_g u_k\|_{L^p(B_R)} &= \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} (\chi_k \triangle_g u + 2\nabla_g \chi_k \cdot \nabla_g u + u \triangle_g \chi_k)\|_{L^p(B_R)} \\ &\leq \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \chi_k \triangle_g u\|_{L^p(B_R)} + R^{-\lambda} 2^{(\lambda+2)k} \|\phi\|_{C^2(B_1)} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{2^{-k}})} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\nabla \chi_k$ is supported on $B_{2^{-k}} \setminus B_{2^{-k}R}$. Next we note that if u vanishes to infinite order at the origin, then $||u||_{W^{1,p}(B_{\rho})} = O(\rho^N)$ for any N > 0 as $\rho \searrow 0$, so for any fixed R, λ , as $k \nearrow \infty$ the second term above tends to 0. We denote this behaviour by $o_k(1)$. Continuing

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \triangle_{g} u_{k}\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})} &\leq \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \chi_{k} u\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})} + \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \chi_{k} \nabla u\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})} + \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \chi_{k} v\|_{L^{p}(B_{R})} + o_{k}(1) \\ & \stackrel{H\"{o}lder}{\leq} R^{2} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \chi_{k} u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + R^{2} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \chi_{k} \nabla u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + R \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda} \chi_{k} v\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} + o_{k}(1) \end{aligned}$$

Plug this in (2.8), and for any fixed λ, R , let $k \nearrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u_{k}\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}r^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\nabla u_{k}\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} & (2.9) \\ & \leq C(R^{2}\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\chi_{k}u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + R^{2}\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\chi_{k}\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} \\ & + R\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\chi_{k}v\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} + \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\Delta_{g}(\phi \cdot u)\|_{L^{p}(^{c}B_{R})}) \end{aligned}$$

5

Now to control v, we need to use the Carleman estimate (2.3) and the equation (2.4) to estimate $v_{\phi}(x)$:

$$\begin{split} \lambda \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} v \|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} &\leq C(\| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} Y(v) \|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} + \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} Y(\phi v) \|_{L^{2}(^{c}B_{R})}) \\ &\leq C(\| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} v \|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} + \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} u \|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} \\ &+ \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} \nabla u \|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} + \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} Y(\phi v) \|_{L^{2}(^{c}B_{R})}) \end{split}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to the second and third terms,

$$\lambda \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} v \|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} \leq C(\| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} v \|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} + R \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} \nabla u \|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + \| \hat{r}^{-\lambda} Y(\phi v) \|_{L^{2}(^{c}B_{R})})$$

$$(2.10)$$

Now, puttin (2.9) and (2.10) together, we can assume that λ is initially chosen to be large enough, and R, δ are chosen initially small enough such that the first three terms on the right hand side of (2.9) and the first three terms on the right hand side of (2.10) can all be absorbed to the left hand side. And so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + \lambda^{\frac{1}{n}} \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}r^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} + \lambda\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}v\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} \\ &\leq C(\|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}\triangle_{g}(\phi u)\|_{L^{p}(^{c}B_{R})} + \|\hat{r}^{-\lambda}Y(\phi v)\|_{L^{2}(^{c}B_{R})}) \\ &\leq C\hat{R}^{-\lambda}(\|\triangle_{g}(\phi u)\|_{L^{p}(^{c}B_{R})} + \|Y(\phi v)\|_{L^{2}(^{c}B_{R})}) \\ &\leq C'\hat{R}^{-\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it shows

$$\|(\frac{\hat{R}}{\hat{r}})^{\lambda}u\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} \leq C' , \quad \|(\frac{\hat{R}}{\hat{r}})^{\lambda}v\|_{L^{q}(B_{R})} \leq \frac{C'}{\lambda}$$

Now let $\lambda \to \infty$ and notice that $\hat{R}/\hat{r} > 1$, so by Lebesgue dominant convergence theorem, u = v = 0 in B_R .

Remark 2.5. Observe that the same argument also applies if instead of the system (2.4), we have the differential inequality

$$\begin{cases} |\Delta_g u| \leq C(|u| + |\nabla u| + |v|) \\ |Y(v)| \leq C(|v| + |u| + |\nabla u|) \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

instead. This form is more convenient in applications.

3. PDE-ODE System

Fix a point $p_0 \in M$ and an orthonormal basis $\{e_i(p_0)\}$ on p_0 . By parallel transporting this frame along geodesics emanating from p_0 , we get an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ near p_0 . Let the smooth vector field

$$Y = r\partial_r$$

be defined on a normal neighborhood near p_0 , where r is the geodesic distance to p_0 . By the construction of $\{e_i\}$, we have

$$\nabla_Y e_i = 0 , \quad \nabla_Y Y = Y . \tag{3.1}$$

The connection coefficients relative to this frame are expressed as

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_k) , \qquad \Gamma_{iY}^j = g(\nabla_{e_i}Y, e_j) .$$

We fix a coördinate system (x_{α}) , then

$$Y = Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} , \quad e_i = e_i^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} .$$

Since

$$\Gamma_{iY}^{k} e_{k}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} = \Gamma_{iY}^{k} e_{k} = \nabla_{e_{i}} Y = [e_{i}, Y]$$
$$= (\partial_{\beta} Y_{\alpha}) e_{i}^{\beta} \partial_{\alpha} - (Y e_{i}^{\alpha}) \partial_{\alpha}$$

we have

$$Ye_i^{\alpha} = (\partial_{\beta}Y^{\alpha})e_i^{\beta} - \Gamma_{iY}^k e_k^{\alpha} .$$
(3.2)

We now compute the derivatives of the connection coefficients along Y

$$Y\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = Yg(\nabla_{e_{i}}e_{j}, e_{k}) = g(\nabla_{Y}\nabla_{e_{i}}e_{j}, e_{k})$$

$$= g(R(Y, e_{i})e_{j}, e_{k}) + g(\nabla_{e_{i}}\nabla_{Y}e_{j}, e_{k}) + g(\nabla_{\nabla_{Y}e_{i}-\nabla_{e_{i}}Y}e_{j}, e_{k})$$

$$= R_{Yijk} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p}\Gamma_{pj}^{k}$$

$$Y\Gamma_{iY}^{k} = Yg(\nabla_{e_{i}}Y, e_{k}) = g(\nabla_{Y}\nabla_{e_{i}}Y, e_{k})$$

$$= g(R(Y, e_{i})Y, e_{k}) + g(\nabla_{e_{i}}\nabla_{Y}Y, e_{k}) + g(\nabla_{\nabla_{Y}e_{i}-\nabla_{e_{i}}Y}Y, e_{k})$$

$$= R_{YiYk} + g(\nabla_{e_{i}}Y, e_{k}) - g(\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}}Y}Y, e_{k})$$

$$= R_{YiYk} + \Gamma_{iY}^{k} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p}\Gamma_{pY}^{k}$$

together with (3.2)

$$\begin{cases} Y(e_i^{\alpha}) &= (\partial_{\beta} Y^{\alpha}) e_i^{\beta} - \Gamma_{iY}^k e_k^{\alpha} \\ Y(\Gamma_{ij}^k) &= R_{Yijk} - \Gamma_{iY}^p \Gamma_{pj}^k \\ Y(\Gamma_{iY}^j) &= R_{YiYj} + \Gamma_{iY}^j - \Gamma_{iY}^p \Gamma_{pY}^j \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

Differentiating this system by coördinate derivatives, we have (by convention, unless bracketed, the derivatives only acts on the multiplicand immediately following it)

$$\begin{cases} Y(\partial_{\beta}e_{i}^{\alpha}) = -\partial_{\beta}Y^{\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}e_{i}^{\alpha} + \partial_{\beta}\partial_{\gamma}Y^{\alpha}e_{i}^{\gamma} + \partial_{\gamma}Y^{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}e_{i}^{\gamma} - \partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{iY}^{k}e_{k}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{iY}^{k}\partial_{\beta}e_{k}^{\alpha} \\ Y(\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{ij}^{k}) = -\partial_{\alpha}Y^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{ij}^{k} + \partial_{\alpha}R_{Yijk} - \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{p}\Gamma_{pj}^{k} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p}\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{pj}^{k} \\ Y(\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{j}) = -\partial_{\alpha}Y^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{iY}^{j} + \partial_{\alpha}R_{YiYj} + \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{j} - \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{p}\Gamma_{pY}^{j} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p}\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{pY}^{j} \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

For the curvature terms in (3.3) and (3.4), they involve the vector field Y. We still need to untangle it into the frame components. Let $\partial_{\alpha} = e^i_{\alpha} e_i$, so $e^i_{\alpha} e^{\beta}_i = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha}$. So we have

$$Y(e^{i}_{\alpha}) = -e^{i}_{\beta}e^{j}_{\alpha}Y(e^{\beta}_{j}) = -e^{i}_{\beta}e^{j}_{\alpha}(\partial_{\gamma}Y^{\beta}e^{\gamma}_{j} - \Gamma^{k}_{jY}e^{\beta}_{k}) = -\partial_{\alpha}Y^{\beta}e^{i}_{\beta} + e^{j}_{\alpha}\Gamma^{i}_{jY}$$
$$Y(\partial_{\beta}e^{i}_{\alpha}) = [Y,\partial_{\beta}]e^{i}_{\alpha} + \partial_{\beta}(Y(e^{i}_{\alpha}))$$
$$= -\partial_{\beta}Y^{\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}e^{i}_{\alpha} - \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}Y^{\gamma}e^{i}_{\gamma} - \partial_{\alpha}Y^{\gamma}\partial_{\beta}e^{i}_{\gamma} + \partial_{\beta}e^{j}_{\alpha}\Gamma^{i}_{jY} + e^{j}_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}\Gamma^{i}_{jY}$$

Now we can untangle the curvature terms for (3.3)

$$\begin{split} Y(\Gamma_{ij}^{k}) &= R_{Yijk} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pj}^{k} = Y^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}^{l} R_{lijk} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pj}^{k} \\ Y(\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{ij}^{k}) &= -\partial_{\alpha} Y^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} + \partial_{\alpha} R_{Yijk} - \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pj}^{k} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{pj}^{k} \\ &= -\partial_{\alpha} Y^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \Gamma_{ij}^{k} + \partial_{\alpha} Y^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}^{l} R_{lijk} + Y^{\gamma} \partial_{\alpha} e_{\gamma}^{l} R_{lijk} \\ &+ Y^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}^{l} \partial_{\alpha} R_{lijk} - \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pj}^{k} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{pj}^{k} \\ Y(\Gamma_{iY}^{j}) &= R_{YiYj} + \Gamma_{iY}^{j} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pY}^{j} \\ &= Y^{\alpha} Y^{\beta} e_{\alpha}^{k} e_{\beta}^{l} R_{kilj} + \Gamma_{iY}^{j} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pY}^{j} \\ Y(\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^{j}) &= -\partial_{\alpha} Y^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \Gamma_{iY}^{j} + \partial_{\alpha} R_{YiYj} + \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^{j} - \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pY}^{j} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{pY}^{j} \\ &= -\partial_{\alpha} Y^{\beta} \partial_{\beta} \Gamma_{iY}^{j} + \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^{j} - \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \Gamma_{pY}^{j} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p} \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{pY}^{j} + \partial_{\alpha} (Y^{\gamma} Y^{\beta}) e_{\gamma}^{k} e_{\beta}^{l} R_{kilj} \\ &+ Y^{\gamma} Y^{\beta} \partial_{\alpha} e_{\gamma}^{k} e_{\beta}^{l} R_{kilj} + Y^{\gamma} Y^{\beta} e_{\gamma}^{k} \partial_{\alpha} e_{\beta}^{l} R_{kilj} + Y^{\gamma} Y^{\beta} e_{\gamma}^{k} e_{\beta}^{l} \partial_{\alpha} R_{kilj} \end{split}$$

We can put all these equations together to have the following system of equations for connection coefficients:

$$Y(e_{i}^{\alpha}) = \partial_{\beta}Y^{\alpha}e_{j}^{\beta} - \Gamma_{iY}^{k}e_{k}^{\alpha}$$

$$Y(e_{\alpha}^{i}) = -\partial_{\alpha}Y^{\beta}e_{j}^{i} + e_{\alpha}^{j}\Gamma_{jY}^{i}$$

$$Y(\partial_{\beta}e_{\alpha}^{i}) = -\partial_{\beta}Y^{\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}e_{\alpha}^{i} + \partial_{\beta}\partial_{\gamma}Y^{\alpha}e_{i}^{\gamma} + \partial_{\gamma}Y^{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}e_{i}^{\gamma} - \partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{iY}^{k}e_{k}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{iY}^{k}\partial_{\beta}e_{k}^{\alpha}$$

$$Y(\partial_{\beta}e_{\alpha}^{i}) = -\partial_{\beta}Y^{\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}e_{\alpha}^{i} - \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}Y^{\gamma}e_{\gamma}^{i} - \partial_{\alpha}Y^{\gamma}\partial_{\beta}e_{\gamma}^{i} + \partial_{\beta}e_{\alpha}^{j}\Gamma_{jY}^{i} + e_{\alpha}^{j}\partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{jY}^{i}$$

$$Y(\Gamma_{ij}^{i}) = Y^{\gamma}e_{\gamma}^{l}R_{lijk} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p}\Gamma_{pj}^{k}$$

$$Y(\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{ij}^{k}) = -\partial_{\alpha}Y^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{ij}^{k} + \partial_{\alpha}Y^{\gamma}e_{\gamma}^{l}R_{lijk} + Y^{\gamma}\partial_{\alpha}e_{\gamma}^{l}R_{lijk}$$

$$+Y^{\gamma}e_{\gamma}^{l}\partial_{\alpha}R_{lijk} - \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{p}\Gamma_{pj}^{j} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p}\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{pj}^{k}$$

$$Y(\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{j}) = -\partial_{\alpha}Y^{\beta}\partial_{\beta}\Gamma_{iY}^{j} + \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{j} - \partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{iY}^{p}\Gamma_{pY}^{j} - \Gamma_{iY}^{p}\partial_{\alpha}\Gamma_{pY}^{j}$$

$$+\partial_{\alpha}(Y^{\gamma}Y^{\beta})e_{\gamma}^{k}e_{\beta}^{l}R_{kilj} + Y^{\gamma}Y^{\beta}e_{\gamma}^{k}e_{\beta}^{l}\partial_{\alpha}R_{kilj}$$

$$+Y^{\gamma}Y^{\beta}e_{\gamma}^{k}\partial_{\alpha}e_{\beta}^{l}R_{kilj} + Y^{\gamma}Y^{\beta}e_{\gamma}^{k}e_{\beta}^{l}\partial_{\alpha}R_{kilj}$$

$$(3.5)$$

Let v to denote the vector value function which is the collection of e_i^{α} , $\partial_{\beta} e_i^{\alpha}$, Γ_{ij}^k , Γ_{iY}^j , $\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{ij}^k$ and $\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{iY}^j$, more precisely, we define

$$v = (e_i^{\alpha}, \partial_{\beta} e_i^{\alpha}, \Gamma_{ij}^k, \Gamma_{iY}^j, \partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{ij}^k, \Gamma_{iY}^k).$$
(3.6)

Schematically, the system (3.5) can be written as

$$Y(v) = A * v + A * v * v + A * v * R + A * v * \partial R + A * v * v * R + A * v * v * \partial R$$
(3.7)

where A denotes some algebraic expression involving $Y^{\alpha}, \partial_{\beta}Y^{\alpha}$ and ∂R denotes $\partial_{\alpha}R_{kilj}$.

An Einstein-scalar field on a Riemannian manifold is a triplet (M, g, ϕ) satisfying the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R &= \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(|\nabla \phi|^2 + 2V(\phi)) - \lambda g_{ij} \\ \Delta_g \phi &= V'(\phi) \end{cases}$$
(3.8)

where R_{ij} the Ricci curvature of the metric g_{ij} , R is the corresponding scalar curvature and ϕ is the coupled scalar field. λ is a real number that denotes the cosmological constant, and the function $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the potential for the scalar field. We use ∇ to denote the Levi-Civita connection for g and Δ for the corresponding laplacian. By taking the trace of the first equation in (3.8), it can be simplified as follows:

$$\begin{cases} R_{ij} = \nabla_i \phi \nabla_j \phi + g_{ij} V(\phi) + g_{ij} \lambda \\ \triangle_g \phi = V'(\phi) \end{cases}$$
(3.9)

To simplify the formulae we will use * notation. The expression A * B is a linear combination of tensors, each formed by starting with $A \otimes B$, using the metric to take any number of contractions. So the algorithm to get A * B is independent of the choices of tensors A and B of respective types.

Recall the second Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_i R_{jklm} + \nabla_j R_{kilm} + \nabla_k R_{ijlm} = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

We trace the i and l indices, then we have the once contracted Bianchi identity

$$\nabla^{i} R_{jkim} = -\nabla_{j} R_{k}^{i}{}_{im} - \nabla_{k} R^{i}{}_{jim} = -\nabla_{j} R_{km} + \nabla_{k} R_{jm}$$
$$= (\nabla^{2} \phi * \nabla \phi)_{jkm} + (V''(\phi) \nabla \phi)_{jkm}$$

We now apply divergence operator on the second Bianchi identity (3.10) and use the previous contracted version, we have

$$\nabla^{i}\nabla_{i}R_{jklm} = -\nabla^{i}\nabla_{j}R_{kilm} - \nabla^{i}\nabla_{k}R_{ijlm}$$

$$= -[\nabla^{i}, \nabla_{j}]R_{kilm} - [\nabla^{i}, \nabla_{k}]R_{ijlm} - \nabla_{j}\nabla^{i}R_{kilm} - \nabla_{k}\nabla^{i}R_{ijlm}$$

$$= (R * R)_{jklm} + (\nabla^{2}\phi * \nabla^{2}\phi)_{jklm} + (\nabla^{3}\phi * \nabla\phi)_{jklm}$$

$$+ (V''(\phi)\nabla^{2}\phi)_{jklm} + (V'''(\phi) * \nabla\phi * \nabla\phi)_{jklm}$$

So we have the following equations for the full curvature tensor:

$$\Delta_g R_{ijkl} = (R * R)_{ijkl} + (\nabla^2 \phi * \nabla^2 \phi)_{ijkl} + (\nabla^3 \phi * \nabla \phi)_{ijkl}$$
(3.11)

$$+ (V''(\phi)\nabla^2\phi)_{ijkl} + (V'''(\phi)*\nabla\phi*\nabla\phi)_{ijkl}$$

$$(3.12)$$

Now we deal with the scalar field part of (3.9). We apply the covariant derivatives on the equations, then commute derivatives, it gives

$$V''(\phi)\nabla_j\phi = \nabla_j\triangle_g\phi = \nabla_j\nabla^i\nabla_i\phi$$
$$= \nabla^i\nabla_j\nabla_i\phi - R_j{}^i{}_{ik}\nabla^k\phi = \triangle_g\nabla_j\phi - R_{jk}\nabla^k\phi$$

So we have

$$\Delta_g \nabla \phi = V''(\phi) * \nabla \phi + R * \nabla \phi \tag{3.13}$$

We repeat this to get

$$\Delta_g(\nabla^2 \phi) = V''(\phi) * \nabla^2 \phi + V'''(\phi) * \nabla \phi * \nabla \phi + \nabla R * \nabla \phi + R * \nabla^2 \phi$$
(3.14)

In summary, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta_g R_{ijkl} = (R * R)_{ijkl} + (\nabla^2 \phi * \nabla^2 \phi)_{ijkl} + (\nabla^3 \phi * \nabla \phi)_{ijkl} \\
+ (V''(\phi) \nabla^2 \phi)_{ijkl} + (V'''(\phi) * \nabla \phi * \nabla \phi)_{ijkl} \\
\Delta_g \nabla \phi = V''(\phi) * \nabla \phi + R * \nabla \phi \\
\Delta_g (\nabla^2 \phi) = V''(\phi) * \nabla^2 \phi + V'''(\phi) * \nabla \phi * \nabla \phi + \nabla R * \nabla \phi + R * \nabla^2 \phi
\end{cases}$$
(3.15)

The above is a tensor equation written in abstract index notation. To convert the system to a scalar system of equations, we calculate the frame components of both the left and right hand sides. In particular, we let u be vector valued function

$$u = (R_{ijkl}, \phi, \nabla_i \phi, \nabla_{ij}^2 \phi) \tag{3.16}$$

comprising the scalar ϕ and the frame components of R, $\nabla \phi$, and $\nabla^2 \phi$. The scalar equations for u will then involve connection coefficients where terms are expressed as derivatives of the tensors R, $\nabla \phi$, and $\nabla^2 \phi$. Then it is clear that, since the left hand side of (3.15) has at most two derivatives of R, $\nabla \phi$, and $\nabla^2 \phi$, while the right hand side has at most one derivative, the equation for u can be written down schematically (noting that the equation for ϕ is given in (3.9)) as

$$\Delta_{q}u = v * v * u + v * u + \partial u * u + v * u * u + B * u + B * u * u$$
(3.17)

noting that while terms involving one derivative of the connection coefficients appear, no terms involve two derivatives of the connection coefficients, and so no derivatives of v are involved; B here denotes coefficients involving $V'(\phi)$, $V''(\phi)$ and $V'''(\phi)$ (henceforth we require the potential $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ to have regularity $C^{3,1}$).

In view of (3.7), we have the following closed PDE-ODE system

$$\begin{cases} \triangle_g u = B * (u + u * u) + v * u * (1 + u + v) + u * \partial u \\ Y(v) = A * v * (1 + v + u + \partial u + v * u + v * \partial u) \end{cases}$$
(3.18)

We now turn to the strong unique continuation for the Einstein-scalar field:

Theorem 3.1. Let U and \overline{U} be smooth manifolds with dimension $n \geq 3$. Let (g, ϕ) and $(\overline{g}, \overline{\phi})$ be solutions to the Einstein-scalar equation (3.9) on U and \overline{U} respectively. We assume that there exist points $p \in U$ and $\overline{p} \in \overline{U}$ such that the metric tensors, the covariant derivatives of arbitrary order of the matter fields, and the covariant derivatives of arbitrary order of the Riemann curvature tensors for the two solutions agree. In other

words, we assume that there exist a vector-space isomorphism $\Psi: T_p U \to T_{\bar{p}} \bar{U}$ such that,

$$g(X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}) = \bar{g}(\Psi_* X_{(1)}, \Psi_* X_{(2)})$$

$$\nabla^{(k)}_{X_{(1)} \dots X_{(k)}} \phi = \bar{\nabla}^{(k)}_{\Psi_* X_{(1)} \dots \Psi_* X_{(k)}} \phi$$

$$\nabla^{(k)}_{X_{(1)} \dots X_{(k)}} R(X_{(k+1)}, \dots, X_{(k+4)}) = \bar{\nabla}^{(k)}_{\Psi_* X_{(1)} \dots \Psi_* X_{(k)}} \bar{R}(\Psi_* X_{(k+1)}, \dots, \Psi_* X_{(k+4)})$$

for all $X_{(j)} \in T_p U$. Then there exists two neighborhoods $p \in V \subset U$, $\bar{p} \in \bar{V} \subset \bar{U}$ and a diffeomorphism $f: V \to \bar{V}$, such that (g, ϕ) and $(f^*\bar{g}, f^*\bar{\phi})$ agree on V.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $U = \overline{U}$, $p = \overline{p}$, and $\Psi = Id$. (We can always extend Ψ to a smooth diffeomorphism of a (possibly smaller) neighborhood of p to a neighborhood of \overline{p} , and replace \overline{g} and $\overline{\phi}$ by their pull-backs.) We begin by considering the construction of the diffeomorphism f. Take normal coördinates $\{x^{\alpha}\}$ and $\{y^{\alpha}\}$ for the metrics g and \overline{g} respectively, such that the vectors $\partial_{x^{\alpha}}|_{p} = \partial_{y^{\alpha}}$. Using the standard Taylor expansion for the metric in normal coördinate system

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}R_{i\alpha\beta j}x^{\alpha}x^{\beta} + O(|x|^3)$$

we see that the "infinite order agreement" of the Riemann curvature tensor assumed in the statement of the theorem implies that all coördinate derivatives

$$\partial_{x^{\alpha}\dots x^{\kappa}}^{(k)}g_{\mu\nu}(p) = \partial_{y^{\alpha}\dots y^{\kappa}}^{(k)}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(p)$$

for g and \bar{g} in their respective normal coördinates agree at p. This defines our diffeomorphism f. In what follows, all of the barred quantities (e.g. $\bar{g}, \bar{R}, \bar{\phi}$) are implicitly pulled-back via the diffeomorphism f, and so we work on a fixed, common coördinate system. The crucial advantage of this construction is that the coördinate expressions for the radial vector field $Y = r\partial_r$ is the same.

For (g, ϕ) , we have the PDE-ODE system (3.18); for (\bar{g}, ϕ) , the same construction applies. We simply replace each quantity by the same letter with a bar above it.

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\bar{g}}\bar{u} = \bar{B} * (\bar{u} + \bar{u} * \bar{u}) + \bar{v} * \bar{u} * (1 + \bar{u} + \bar{v}) + \bar{u} * \partial \bar{u} \\ Y(\bar{v}) = A * \bar{v} * (1 + \bar{v} + \bar{u} + \partial \bar{u} + \bar{v} * \bar{u} + \bar{v} * \partial \bar{u}) \end{cases}$$
(3.19)

Let $\delta u = u - \bar{u}$ and $\delta v = v - \bar{v}$. We take the difference of (3.18) and (3.19), as we commented in the introduction, since we have already coupled the Christoffel symbols in the system, every term can be written as the product of a fixed quatity with either δu or δv . In fact, since Y is the same for both metrics, the expression for A is the same for the two systems and will not cause any trouble; for the differences in B, since we assume V is $C^{3,1}$, it can be bounded by $C|\phi - \bar{\phi}|$ which is $C\delta u$. In summary, we have

$$\begin{cases} |\triangle_g \delta u| \leq C(|\delta u| + |\nabla \delta u| + |\delta v|) \\ |Y(\delta v)| \leq C(|\delta v| + |\delta u| + |\nabla \delta u|) \end{cases}$$

Now the Remark 2.5 applies which shows δu and δv both vanish identically in a small neighborhood of P. The theorem follows.

Combining the strong unique continuation properties proved in the above theorem, and using techniques from the proof of the monodromy theorem (see, e.g. Theorem 3 in [7]), we easily obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. Let U and \overline{U} be two simply connected smooth manifolds. Let (g, ϕ) and $(\overline{g}, \overline{\phi})$ be solutions to the Einstein-scalar equations on U and \overline{U} , respectively, and assume that (U,g) and $(\overline{U},\overline{g})$ are complete. Assuming that there exist points $p \in U$ and $\overline{p} \in \overline{U}$ such that the solutions $(g,\phi)(p)$ and $(\overline{g},\overline{\phi})(\overline{p})$ agree to infinite order (see the above theorem for exact conditions), then there exists a global diffeomorphism $f: U \to \overline{U}$ such that $(g,\phi) = (f^*g, f^*\phi)$ on U.

To quickly sketch a proof: using the strong unique continuation property, it is simple to construct isometries in tubular neighborhoods of geodesics originating from p and \bar{p} , once we observe that the above theorem guarantees an essentially unique way for this construction (the only possible non-uniqueness comes from a symmetry of the original solutions). From here, by completeness, we guarantee that (U, g, ϕ) and $(\bar{U}, \bar{g}, \bar{\phi})$ are locally identical. The vanishing of the first fundamental group then allows us to patch the local isometries to a well-defined global one in the standard manner.

References

- [1] S. Alexakis, Unique continuation for the vacuum Einstein equations, Preprint (2008).
- [2] S. Alexakis, A. D. Ionescu, and S. Klainerman Hawking's local rigidity theorem without analyticity, Preprint (2009).
- [3] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 10. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [4] Olivier Biquard, Continuation unique a partir de l'infini conforme pour les metriques d'Einstein, Preprint (2007)
- [5] Dennis M. DeTurck and Jerry L. Kazdan, Some regularity theorems in Riemannian geometry, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 14 (1981), no. 3, 249–260.
- [6] Jerry L. Kazdan, Unique continuation in geometry, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), no. 5, 667–681.
- [7] Sumner Byron Myers, Riemannian manifolds in the large. Duke Math. J. 1 (1935), no. 1, 39–49.
- [8] Christohper D. Sogge, Strong Uniqueness Theorems for Second Order Elliptic Differential Equations, Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990), no. 6, 943–984.
- [9] Clifford H. Taubes, Unique continuation theorems in gauge theories, Comm. Anal. Geom. 2 (1994), no. 1, 35–52.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CAM-BRIDGE

E-mail address: ww278@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY E-mail address: pinyu@math.princeton.edu