

Propagation of twist solitons in real DNA chains

Mariano Cadoni,^{*} Roberto De Leo,[†] and Sergio Demelio[‡]
*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Cagliari and INFN,
Sezione di Cagliari, Cittadella Universitaria, 09042 Monserrato, Italy*

Giuseppe Gaeta[§]
Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Milano, via Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy

We report on numerical investigations concerning the propagation of solitons in a real DNA chain (the Human Adenovirus 2) using a realistic model of DNA torsional dynamics; this takes fully into account the inhomogeneities in the real chain. We find that twist solitons propagate for considerable distances (2 – 10 times their diameters) before stopping due to phonon emission. Our results show that twist solitons may exist in real DNA chains; and on a more general level that solitonic propagation can take place in highly inhomogeneous media.

Solitonic excitations are very common in nonlinear dynamical systems [1, 2]. It has been proposed that they may play an important role also in functional processes of the DNA double helix such as transcription and denaturation [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Since the original proposal by Englander [3] several simplified models for the nonlinear dynamics of the DNA chain have been proposed [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Most of these models allow for solitonic solutions describing stretch and/or twist excitation of the DNA chain. The models describe both the structural and dynamical features of DNA in a highly simplified way, so that it is not at all clear if solitonic excitations play a role for real DNA.

One strong objection against the existence of solitons in real DNA is represented by the observation that solitons propagate in a significant way only in the *homogeneous* DNA double chain, i.e. when the four nitrogen bases and their interactions are assumed to be indistinguishable. This is obviously an unrealistic assumption, as we know that real DNA is highly inhomogeneous; actually the genetic information is coded exactly in the bases sequence along the DNA double helix.

The relevance of the issue about the existence and stability of solitons in inhomogeneous media goes far beyond DNA modelling: it concerns solitonic propagation in realistic nonlinear media. Existence and stability of solitons – in particular topological solitons (kinks) – is well established in a broad class of nonlinear phenomena (nonlinear optics, molecular chains, ferromagnetic waves, Josephson effect etc. [2, 4, 19, 20, 21, 22]), but these involve an idealized homogeneous medium. Some examples of solitons propagation in inhomogeneous media have been considered both in the framework of nonlinear DNA dynamics [15, 23, 24, 25] and of generic solitonic propagation [26]. However, these investigations either refer to localized inhomogeneities - in the form of e.g discontinuities, potential barriers, delta potentials etc.) - or else the inhomogeneities are parametrized by particular *ad hoc* rules.

The existence of solitonic perturbations in real DNA chains and in general inhomogeneous nonlinear media still remains an involved and open question. Starting from a homogenous system for which one knows solitonic solutions exist, and introducing inhomogeneities in the system, one naturally expects that: *a)* Solitons still propagate along the chain as long as the size of the soliton is substantially larger than the typical scale of the inhomogeneities; *b)* The effect of the inhomogeneities on the soliton propagation will be the production of linear excitations (like phonons) which will dissipate the kinetic energy of the soliton and bring the soliton to rest (or disappear for non-topological solitons) after a finite time.

The relevant question to be asked is the following: can there be fully inhomogeneous nonlinear systems in which solitons – of size comparable with the typical scale of the inhomogeneity – propagate for distances which are long enough for the soliton to be relevant for the physical (or biological) process it is assumed to describe? We will answer to this question (in the positive) for the case of soliton propagation in a real DNA chain (the Human Adenovirus 2 (HA2) [27]) using a realistic – albeit simplified – model of DNA torsional dynamics.

Our starting point is the inhomogeneous version of the composite model for DNA torsional dynamics, described in detail in Ref. [16]; this is a natural generalization of the model by Yakushevich (Y) [5, 8]. In our model each nucleotide at site n on chain $i = 1, 2$ is represented concretely by two disks: one centered about the backbone axis and representing the sugar-phosphate group (rotation angle $\theta_{n,i}$); and the other, representing the nitrogen base, which can rotate about a fixed point on the sugar, hence on the edge of the first disk (rotation angle $\varphi_{n,i}$). See [16] for details. Note that the genetic information is entirely contained in the (inhomogeneous) sequence of bases while the sugar-phosphate backbone is homogeneous: this model treats separately the homogeneous and inhomogeneous components of the chain.

From the mechanical point of view our DNA model is a conservative system described by $4N$ coordinates $(\theta_{n,i}, \varphi_{n,i})$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, $i = 1, 2$. The bases cannot make a complete rotation about the sugar-phosphate group because of steric hindrances. We model this fact through an effective confining potential V_c whose energy wall is high enough to restrict the range of the bases' angles $\varphi_{n,i}$ to some segment shorter than 2π .

The dynamical evolution of the mechanical system is governed by the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = T - V$. Here the kinetic energy T has a contribution from the sugar-phosphate group (T_t) and from the bases (T_s): $T = T_t + T_s$.

The potential energy has several contributions: $V = V_t + V_s + V_p + V_h + V_c$. The *torsional* potential energy V_t models the interaction between nearest neighbor sugar-phosphate groups and is described by a physical pendulum periodic potential. The *stacking* potential V_s models the $\pi - \pi$ bonds between the bases, and we use for it a simple harmonic potential in terms of the planar distance (distance between projections in a plane orthogonal to the molecule axis); the stacking interaction is treated as homogeneous along the chain, since stacking inhomogeneities due to different bases sequences are of lower order with respect to those present in the pairing interaction. The *pairing* potential V_p models the ionic bonds between base pairs (*bp*) at same site on opposite chains; this interaction is described by a Morse-like potential in terms of the (planar) distance between these bases (in our numerical simulations we will also use a harmonic approximation for the sake of comparison; this was traditionally the simplest choice for modeling the pairing interaction [5, 8]). The *helicoïdal* potential V_h models the forces between nucleotides in solution due to Bernal-Fowler filaments [28]. The *confining* potential V_c , which models an "effective" interaction representing the steric constraint of the sugar-phosphate group on the bases. In the explicit expression of these potentials we take into account the different potential energy in the AT (two hydrogen bonds) and GC (three hydrogen bonds) base pairs.

Our model is completely specified by giving the various geometrical and dynamical parameters appearing in the Lagrangian. The evaluation of these geometrical and dynamical parameters is far from being trivial because of the complexity of the molecular structure and of the difficulty in making estimates of the related mechanical quantities. Here we use the values given in Ref. [18], to which we refer for a detailed discussion of their determination.

Our task is to investigate the existence and the propagation of solitons along the DNA double chain within the model described so far. Investigations on this topic have been performed (for both harmonic and Morse pairing potential) both at the analytic level (in the continuum limit) [17] and at the numerical one [16, 18]; but they have been almost exclusively concerned with the homogeneous approximation of the DNA chain, when different bases are treated on the same footing. Moreover, most of the numerical investigations were focused on showing the existence of static solitonic profiles of the chain and not on the propagation of the soliton in the chain. Despite some attempts [11, 15, 23, 24, 25], soliton propagation in real, hence inhomogeneous, DNA chains has not been proved to occur, at least in a significant way.

We have now performed a systematic numerical analysis of the dynamics of the solitonic (kink) solutions of lowest topological charges (0, 1), (1, 0) and (1, 1) (see below for their definition) of our system with $N = 2000$ and $N = 3000$ *bp* aimed to *a*) Determine the initial profile of the soliton; *b*) Let it evolve along the chain using that profile as initial condition. This analysis has been carried out for values of the parameters characterizing the DNA model within the physical range [18] and varying several model features: 1) *Different bases sequences*: 1.1) Completely homogeneous chain, 1.2) A real DNA chain (the HA2) 1.3) A chain with random bases sequence; 2) *Different pairing potential*: 2.1) Harmonic, and 2.2) Morse; and 3) *Different DNA models* 3.1) The composite model considered here, and 3.2) The Y model, obtained by freezing to zero the angles $\varphi_{n,i}$.

The profile of the soliton is determined, as an extremum of the action, by two data: the boundary conditions and the position of its center. Since we are interested only in kinks in the topological angles θ , we use the boundary conditions $\varphi_{1,i} = \varphi_{N,i} = 0$ for the angles φ , while for the θ ones we use $\theta_{1,i} = 0$, $\theta_{N,i} = 2k_i\pi$ for some integers k_i ; these are the topological charges mentioned above. We use in the discrete action the analog of the continuous traveling wave ansatz $\dot{q}_n = -v\Delta_n q/\delta$, with $q_n(t) = (\theta_{n,1}(t), \theta_{n,2}(t), \varphi_{n,1}(t), \varphi_{n,2}(t))$ and δ the spatial separation between sites in the chain. The resulting discrete action is extremized using the "conjugate gradients" method in the independent implementations of NR [29] and GNU's GSL [30]. The data obtained through the previous algorithm provide the coordinates q_n at time $t = 0$. Their graph, as function of the discrete variable n , represents the profile of a kink with some speed given in input. To study the evolution of the system we use the Hamiltonian formulation.

To implement the Hamiltonian evolution of the system we have used two independent algorithms: the GSL version of the standard Runge-Kutta Prince-Dormand method and a Hamiltonian symplectic integrator kindly provided by E. Hairer [31].

The main results of our numerical investigation are described below; a more extended and detailed version will be presented elsewhere. For our values of the physical parameters static soliton profiles always exist for the Morse pairing potential but not for the harmonic one (note the Morse potential is physically more realistic). Moreover, the diameter and the energy of the kink depend on its speed in the expected way: as the speed approaches the limiting solitons speed v_M , the energy increases whereas the diameter shrinks. This is perfectly consistent with the relativistic




FIG. 1: (Color online) Profiles and time evolution for the composite, homogeneous, model with a pairing Morse potential, for solitons with topological numbers $(1, 1)$. (a) Initial profile with $v = 0.4$ (solid lines) and $v = 0.62 \simeq v_M$ (dashed lines). (b) Motion of the soliton center for $v = 0.4$ (thick solid line) and $v = 0.62$ (dashed line). The thin solid line represents the motion of the continuous soliton with speed $v = 0.62$. (c) Profiles of the soliton with $v = 0.4$ in the first 2000 TU ($\text{TU} = 3.4 \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}$) – no phonons emission is visible. (d) Profiles of the soliton with $v = 0.62$ in the first 2000 TU – phonons are emitted in backward direction as the soliton slows down.

nature of the kinks.

The propagation of the soliton on the chain, differently from the determination of the profiles, is highly sensitive to different choices of models, form of pairing potential and kind of chain sequence. We will, therefore, discuss separately the propagation of the soliton in the homogeneous and inhomogeneous chain.

In the continuous limit of the homogeneous DNA chain, kinks, if they exist and are stable, propagate at constant speed without losing energy. We expect this to be not completely true for the discrete, homogeneous, DNA chain as invariance under continuous translation is lost. Owing to discreteness of the chain we expect the propagating solitons to lose kinetic energy due to phonon emission, although at a low rate. Moreover, the phonon emission rate should increase by increasing the soliton speed. These guesses have been confirmed by our simulations of soliton propagation in the discrete DNA chain, see Fig. 1. When the soliton speed (throughout this paper soliton speeds are expressed in km/s and units are not explicitly shown) is much smaller than the maximum soliton speed v_M the soliton profile is wider, travels at constant speed and no emission of phonons is visible. When the soliton speed becomes closer to v_M the soliton diameter becomes smaller and phonons are emitted.

The behavior is qualitatively the same in the case of the Y model with a pairing Morse potential. On the other hand, using an harmonic pairing potential we have a qualitatively different behavior. In particular, in the case of




FIG. 2: (Color online) Profiles and time evolution of solitons for the composite model in the HA2 sequence with a Morse potential, topological numbers $(1,1)$ – so the profiles on the two chains coincide. (a) Initial profile with $v = 0.4$ (solid lines) and $v = 0.45 \simeq v_M$ (dashed lines). (b) Motion of the soliton center for $v = 0.4$ (thick solid line) and $v = 0.45$ (dashed thick line). The solid and dashed thin lines represent the corresponding constant-speed motion of the continuous soliton. (c) Profiles of the soliton within the first 2000 TU – very little phonons emission is visible in both directions. (d) Profiles of the soliton with $v = 0.62$ in the first 2000 TU – phonons are clearly emitted in both directions but much more in the direction opposite to soliton propagation .

the composite model with harmonic pairing potential the soliton does not propagate at all, implying that non-static solitons in this case do not exist.

In Fig. 2 we show our results for the propagation of solitons in the DNA sequence of the HA2. The profiles are slightly more “wavy” than in the homogeneous case, stay almost identical to the initial one and emit phonons, as expected, even far from v_M ; moreover phonons in this case are clearly emitted in both directions and, again, much more when the velocity is close to the upper limit. For $v = 0.4$, the motion remains constant for about 700 TU (TU= 3.4×10^{-13} s) then slowly decelerates, whereas for $v = 0.45$ it soon diverges from a constant speed.

Every kink along the chain has a behavior of this kind, and both diameter and energy do not change much (within 10^{-2}). On the other hand, the maximal distance d traveled by the soliton depends on the initial position of its center. We show in Fig. 3 a distribution of d performed along a complete sequence of about 30000 sites (sampled with a step of ten sites) for the HA2 and for a random chain. In the case of HA2 (Fig. 3, left) the mean diameter of the solitons is $\simeq 68bp$ with standard deviation $\sigma \simeq 2bp$; the average length traveled by kinks is $\bar{d} \simeq 345bp$ with standard deviation $\sigma \simeq 60bp$. In both cases of the HA2 and of the random chain d is always between $150bp$ and $600bp$, namely between 2 and 10 times their diameter .




FIG. 3: Maximal distance reached by kinks in the composite-Morse model as function of the initial coordinate of its center in the HA2 (left) and in a random chain (right).

For the Y model with a Morse pairing potential, soliton propagation in the real DNA chain, relative to a similar distribution, is qualitatively the same but \bar{d} drops to $\bar{d} \simeq 272bp$ ($\sigma \simeq 39bp$) with a mean diameter $\simeq 66bp$ ($\sigma \simeq 3bp$). All data for d lie now between $130bp$ and $400bp$. A key observation is that the average distance traveled by the soliton does not depend on the particular combinatorics of the DNA sequence. Indeed we evaluated the same quantities on a random sequence of same length and found the very same statistics (Fig. 3, right).

Summarizing, in this letter we have reported on our numerical investigation about the propagation of twist solitons in a real DNA chain. The simulations show that solitons of size of about $60bp$ can propagate in the DNA chain till 10 times the soliton size. Since we use a simplified mechanical model of DNA, which does not take into account effects that may enhance the soliton performance (such as the presence of the DNA Polymerase), our results give a strong indication that twist solitons may indeed be present in real DNA and play a role in its transcription.

A second important result is that, for soliton dynamics, a real DNA sequence is almost indistinguishable from a random one. This means that the DNA's sequence, which is of course fundamental for biological processes, does not play a significant role in the torsional dynamics of DNA.

As a byproduct, our numerical investigation has shown that soliton propagation is also possible in highly inhomogeneous media. This possibility can be traced back to two different features of our mechanical system. The first is the presence in the molecular chain of both a homogeneous part that supports the topological soliton (the sugar-phosphate group) and an inhomogeneous part (the bases) that plays the role of a dissipative medium. The second is that the Morse potential localizes the interaction of the inhomogeneous part essentially near the potential minimum; away from this minimum the interaction becomes very weak: again, this weakens the soliton sensitivity to inhomogeneities in the chain.

We thank E. Hairer for kindly sending us his Hamiltonian symplectic integrator.

* Electronic address: mariano.cadoni@ca.infn.it

† Electronic address: roberto.deleo@ca.infn.it

‡ Electronic address: sergio.demelio@ca.infn.it

§ Electronic address: gaeta@mat.unimi.it

- [1] Th. Dauxois and M. Peyrard, *Physics of Solitons*, Cambridge UP (Cambridge) 2006.
- [2] R.K. Dodd, J. C. Eilbeck, J. D. Gibbon, H.C. Morris, *Solitons and Nonlinear Wave Equations*, Academic Press, London, New York (1982).
- [3] S.W. Englander, N.R. Kallenbach, A.J. Heeger, J.A. Krumhansl and A. Litwin, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **77** (1980), 7222-7226.
- [4] A.S. Davydov, *Solitons in Molecular Systems*, Kluwer (Dordrecht) 1981.
- [5] L.V. Yakushevich, *Nonlinear Physics of DNA*, Wiley (Chichester) 1998; second edition 2004.
- [6] G. Gaeta, C. Reiss, M. Peyrard and Th. Dauxois, *Rivista del Nuovo Cimento* **17** (1994) n.4, 1.
- [7] M. Peyrard, *Nonlinearity* **17**, (2004), R1.
- [8] L.V. Yakushevich, *Phys. Lett. A* **136** (1989), 413.
- [9] M. Peyrard, A. Bishop, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989), 2755.

- [10] Th. Dauxois, *Phys. Lett. A* **159** (1991), 390.
- [11] F. Zhang and M.A. Collins, *Phys. Rev. E* **52** (1995), 4217.
- [12] M. Barbi, S. Cocco and M. Peyrard, *Phys. Lett. A* **253** (1999), 358; *Phys. Lett. A* **253** (1999), 161.
- [13] M. Barbi, S. Cocco, M. Peyrard and S. Ruffo, *J. Biol. Phys.* **24** (1999), 97.
- [14] S. Cocco, R. Monasson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** (1999), 5178.
- [15] L.V. Yakushevich, A.V. Savin and L.I. Manevitch, *Phys. Rev. E* **66** (2002), 016614.
- [16] M. Cadoni, R. De Leo and G. Gaeta, *Phys. Rev. E* **75** (2007) 021919.
- [17] M. Cadoni, R. De Leo, S. Demelio and G. Gaeta, *Int. J. Non-Linear Mech.* **43** (2008), 1094.
- [18] R. De Leo and S. Demelio, *Int. J. Non-Linear Mech.* **43** (2008), 1029.
- [19] A. Barone, G. Paternó, *Physics and applications of the Josephson effect*, Wiley, New York (1982).
- [20] J. R. Taylor, *Optical Solitons*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).
- [21] C. Cattuto, F. Marchesoni, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, (1997) 5070.
- [22] N. Manton, and P. Sutcliffe, *Topological solitons*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2004).
- [23] M. Salerno, *Phys. Rev. A* **44** (1991), 5292.
- [24] M. Daniel, V. Vasumathi, *Phys. Lett. A* **372** (2008), 5144.
- [25] L. Molina, O. Fernandez, *Phys. Scripta* **66** (2002), 385.
- [26] L. Ferreira, B. Piette, W. J. Zakrzewski, *J. of Phys.: Conf. Series* **128** (2008), 012027.
- [27] <http://www.ebi.ac.uk/cgi-bin/emblfetch?J01917>.
- [28] J.D Bernal, R.H Fowler, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1**, 515 (1933).
- [29] <http://www.nr.com/>.
- [30] <http://www.gnu.org/software/gsl>.
- [31] <http://www.unige.ch/~hairer/software.html>.