

Courant-like brackets and loop spaces

Joel Ekstrand and Maxim Zabzine

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University,
Box 516, SE-751 20 Uppsala, Sweden*

Abstract

We study the algebra of local functionals equipped with a Poisson bracket. We discuss the underlying algebraic structures related to a version of the Courant-Dorfman algebra. As a main illustration, we consider the functionals over the cotangent bundle of the superloop space over a smooth manifold. We present a number of examples of the Courant-like brackets arising from this analysis.

1 Introduction

Higher algebraic structures have been a subject of recent interest in physics and geometry. In this work, we study the algebraic structures underlying the Poisson brackets of local functionals in classical field theory.

Our work is inspired by two different observations. The first interesting observation was made in 1997, in [3], about the appearance of an L_∞ -structure on the space of local functionals in classical field theory (see also [1, 13]). The work [3] is a formalization of the simple idea that a local functional is an integral over some function (integrand), and all operations with the local functionals involve throwing away total derivatives. Thus, the Poisson brackets of local functionals induce a binary operation on the integrands which satisfy the properties of Poisson brackets up to total derivatives. We thus end up with the appropriate L_∞ -structure on the space of local functionals in classical field theory. Later, another curious observation was made in [2]. Namely, the authors found an intriguing way to "derive" the Courant and Dorfman brackets on $TM \oplus T^*M$ by calculating the classical Poisson brackets between local functionals of a special form defined over the cotangent bundle of a loop space¹. The Courant bracket by itself is an example of an L_∞ -structure [14]. It would be natural that these two observations are related and that they are just different manifestations of the same algebraic structures.

In the present work, we argue that the appropriate formalization of the Courant-Dorfman structure naturally appears when one considers the algebra of local functionals. For the sake of concreteness, we consider the case of the string phase space (*i.e.* the cotangent bundle of the loop space) and its supersymmetric generalization. However, many results can easily be generalized beyond this concrete framework. Beside arguing about the general structure, we are able to generate infinitely many examples of Leibniz algebras for appropriate geometric objects over a smooth manifold M . We present four concrete examples.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the observation made by Alekseev and Strobl from [2]. We also discuss its supersymmetric generalization and we set the conventions for the rest of the paper. Section 3 presents the main observation: the algebra of local functionals naturally is

¹ Similar observations were made in [4] (see [9] for relevant comments) and more elaborated in [11].

equipped with a Leibniz bracket and there is a structure of a weak Courant-Dorfman algebra. In section 4 we go through different examples of this structure. Section 5 contains a summary of the paper and the concluding remarks. For the reader's convenience, in the appendix we review and summarize the key properties of the standard Dorfman and Courant brackets on $TM \oplus T^*M$ and we also give the definitions of (weak) Courant-Dorfman algebras.

2 The Alekseev-Strobl observation

In this section, we introduce the relevant notation and review the observation by Alekseev and Strobl from [2]. Moreover, we present its supersymmetric generalization.

The bosonic string phase space can be constructed as follows. Let us consider the loop space

$$LM = \{ X : S^1 \longrightarrow M \} ,$$

which is the space of differentiable maps from the circle S^1 to a smooth manifold M . The cotangent bundle of LM can be defined as the space of differentiable vector bundle morphisms $(X, p) : TS^1 \rightarrow T^*M$ with differentiable base maps $X : S^1 \rightarrow M$. The symplectic structure on T^*LM is of the standard form and it can be written in local coordinates as follows:

$$\omega = \int_{S^1} d\sigma \delta X^\mu \wedge \delta p_\mu , \quad (2.1)$$

where δ is the de Rham differential on T^*LM . Thus, we have a Poisson algebra on the space of functionals, $C^\infty(T^*LM)$. For a section $(v + \omega)$ of $TM \oplus T^*M$, we define a local functional of the special form

$$J_\epsilon(v + \omega) = \int_{S^1} d\sigma \epsilon(v^\mu p_\mu + \omega_\mu \partial X^\mu) , \quad (2.2)$$

where ∂ is a derivative along a loop and $\epsilon : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a test function ($\epsilon \in C^\infty(S^1)$). It has been observed in [2] that the Poisson bracket of these special local functionals can be written as

$$\{J_{\epsilon_1}(A), J_{\epsilon_2}(B)\} = -J_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(A * B) - \int_{S^1} d\sigma (\epsilon_2 \partial \epsilon_1) \langle A, B \rangle , \quad (2.3)$$

or, alternatively, as

$$\{J_{\epsilon_1}(A), J_{\epsilon_2}(B)\} = -J_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}([A, B]_C) + \int_{S^1} d\sigma (\epsilon_1\partial\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_2\partial\epsilon_1)\langle A, B \rangle . \quad (2.4)$$

In these expressions $A, B \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, $*$ stands for the Dorfman bracket, $[,]_C$ for the Courant bracket and \langle , \rangle is the natural pairing on $TM \oplus T^*M$ (see the appendix for a review).

This setup can easily be generalized to the supersymmetric case. Let us define the superloop space as the space of the following maps:

$$\mathcal{L}M = \{ \Phi : T[1]S^1 \longrightarrow M \} ,$$

where $T[1]S^1$ is a superloop parametrized by the coordinates (σ, θ) , with σ being a coordinate along S^1 as before and θ is its partner which is a section of the cotangent bundle to the circle with reversed parity. In local coordinates Φ is

$$\Phi^\mu(\sigma, \theta) = X^\mu(\sigma) + \theta \lambda^\mu(\sigma) . \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, the superloop space can alternatively be defined as

$$\mathcal{L}M = \{ S^1 \longrightarrow T[1]M \} .$$

The corresponding phase space is $T^*\mathcal{L}M$ which can be defined as the space of bundle morphisms $(\Phi, S) : T[1]S^1 \rightarrow T^*[1]M$. $T^*\mathcal{L}M$ is equipped with a canonical symplectic structure

$$\omega = i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \delta S_\mu \wedge \delta \Phi^\mu , \quad (2.6)$$

where S is a coordinate along the fiber of the bundle:

$$S_\mu(\sigma, \theta) = \rho_\mu(\sigma) + i\theta p_\mu(\sigma) . \quad (2.7)$$

It is important to note that the fiber is odd. The "super" momenta S therefore anticommute: $S_\mu S_\nu = -S_\nu S_\mu$. Upon integration over θ the bosonic part of (2.6) coincides with (2.1). The symplectic structure (2.6) makes $C^\infty(T^*\mathcal{L}M)$ into a super-Poisson algebra. Defining the left and right func-

tional derivatives of a functional $F(\Phi, S)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F &= \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \left(\frac{F \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta S_\mu} \delta S^\mu + \frac{F \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Phi^\mu} \delta \Phi^\mu \right) \\ &= \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \left(\delta S_\mu \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} F}{\delta S_\mu} + \delta \Phi^\mu \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} F}{\delta \Phi^\mu} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

we end up with the corresponding super-Poisson bracket:

$$\{F, G\} = i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \left(\frac{F \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta S_\mu} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} G}{\delta \Phi^\mu} - \frac{F \overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Phi^\mu} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta} G}{\delta S_\mu} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

In what follows, we will often drop the prefix "super", the meaning will hopefully still be clear. As in the bosonic case, we can define a local functional associated to a section of $TM \oplus T^*M$ as follows:

$$J_\epsilon(v + \omega) = \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon (v^\mu S_\mu + \omega_\mu D\Phi^\mu), \quad (2.10)$$

with $\epsilon(\sigma, \theta)$ being an even test function. The derivative D is defined as

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i\theta \partial. \quad (2.11)$$

So, we have one even derivative, ∂ , and one odd derivative, D , with

$$D^2 = DD = i\partial. \quad (2.12)$$

The factor i in the definition (2.11) is a conventional choice, in order to make D^2 an hermitian operator. Also note that $D\Phi^\mu D\Phi^\nu = -D\Phi^\nu D\Phi^\mu$.

With respect to the bracket (2.9) the local functionals (2.10) satisfy

$$\{J_{\epsilon_1}(A), J_{\epsilon_2}(B)\} = iJ_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}([A, B]_C) + \frac{i}{2} \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta (\epsilon_2 D\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1 D\epsilon_2) \langle A, B \rangle. \quad (2.13)$$

This is the supersymmetric generalization of the bosonic bracket (2.4), which has been discussed previously in [11].

The space $T^*\mathcal{LM}$ can be equipped with a more general symplectic structure than (2.6). These symplectic structures are labelled by a closed three form on M . All results and observations can easily be generalized to this situation. However, for clarity, we avoid the case of the most general symplectic structure on $T^*\mathcal{LM}$. Further details about $T^*\mathcal{LM}$ can be found in [5, 16].

3 Leibniz algebra on local operators

For the sake of clarity, we first discuss the bosonic case. The supersymmetric generalization would be straightforward, and we will comment on it later on. The space of smooth functionals $C^\infty(T^*LM)$ is a Poisson algebra. In physics, however, the local functionals play a special role. A local functional is defined as follows:

$$J_\epsilon(A) = \int_{S^1} d\sigma \epsilon(\sigma) A(X, \partial X, \dots, \partial^k X, p, \partial p, \dots, \partial^l p), \quad (3.1)$$

where ϵ is a test function and A is a function of fields and their derivatives (only a finite number of derivatives are allowed). The local functionals form a subalgebra with respect to the Poisson bracket. This subalgebra is not a Poisson subalgebra though, since we cannot define a product of two local functionals as a local functional. Next, we define a binary operation $*$ on the local operators as follows:

$$\{J_1(A), J_\epsilon(B)\} = J_\epsilon(A * B). \quad (3.2)$$

Combining the Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \{J_1(A), \{J_1(B), J_\epsilon(C)\}\} + \\ & \{J_\epsilon(C), \{J_1(A), J_1(B)\}\} + \\ & \{J_1(B), \{J_\epsilon(C), J_1(A)\}\} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and the antisymmetry of the Poisson bracket with the definition (3.2), we arrive at the relation

$$J_\epsilon(A * (B * C) - B * (A * C) - (A * B) * C) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Since (3.4) should be true for any test function ϵ , we get

$$A * (B * C) = (A * B) * C + B * (A * C). \quad (3.5)$$

The binary operation $*$ thus gives rise to a Leibniz algebra. A (left) Leibniz algebra (sometimes called a Loday algebra) is a module over a commutative ring or field (in our case \mathbb{R}) with a bilinear product $*$ such that (3.5) is satisfied. In other words, left multiplication by any element A is a derivation.

Using the definition (3.2), we write the Poisson bracket between two local functionals, with arbitrary test functions, in the following form:

$$\{J_{\epsilon_1}(A), J_{\epsilon_2}(B)\} = J_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(A * B) + \Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A, B) , \quad (3.6a)$$

where the last term Λ will be referred to as *the anomalous term*. The general form of the anomalous term is

$$\Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{S^1} d\sigma (\epsilon_2 \partial^{(i)} \epsilon_1) f_i(A, B) , \quad (3.6b)$$

where $f_i(A, B)$ are expressions constructed out of A and B . For concrete A and B , the sum in (3.6b) would only have a finite number of terms. We conclude that the Poisson bracket between any two local functionals can be represented in the form (3.6), thus inducing a Leibniz algebra structure on the local operators. It is important to stress that the decomposition on the right hand of (3.6a) into a J -term and an anomalous term a priori is not unique. This ambiguity is removed by requiring that $\Lambda(1, \epsilon_2; A, B) = 0$, in agreement with our definition (3.2). The Alekseev-Strobl formula (2.3) is just a particular example of this general calculation (3.6).

In the context of quantum field theory, a more familiar form of (3.6) is

$$\{A(\sigma), B(\sigma')\} = (A * B)(\sigma') \delta(\sigma - \sigma') + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(A, B)(\sigma') \partial_{\sigma'}^{(i)} \delta(\sigma' - \sigma) . \quad (3.7)$$

This is to be understood as an equality of distributions, yielding (3.6) upon multiplying with test functions and integrating.

The antisymmetry of the Poisson bracket implies

$$J_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(A * B + B * A) = -\Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A, B) - \Lambda(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1; B, A) . \quad (3.8)$$

Using the prescription $\Lambda(1, \epsilon_2; A, B) = 0$ together with the property that (3.8) is true for any choice of the test functions we arrive at

$$A * B + B * A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \partial^{(i)} f_i(A, B) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \partial^{(i)} f_i(B, A) . \quad (3.9)$$

Let us define a symmetric bilinear form by

$$\langle A, B \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \partial^{(i-1)} (f_i(A, B) + f_i(B, A)) , \quad (3.10)$$

which allows us to rewrite equation (3.9) as

$$A * B + B * A = \partial \langle A, B \rangle . \quad (3.11)$$

Moreover, using the definition (3.2) and the property $J_\epsilon(\partial A) = -J_{\partial\epsilon}(A)$, we obtain the following relation between ∂ and $*$:

$$\partial A * B = 0 . \quad (3.12)$$

Thus on the space of local functionals we get three operations $*$, ∂ , $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ which satisfy the properties (3.5), (3.11) and (3.12). In the above discussion of local functionals, we have ignored the properties of the f_i -operations. Imposing the Jacobi identity on the expressions (3.6) would give, in general, infinity many relations between the operations $*$ and f_i . In each concrete calculation, only a finite number of these relations will be non trivial, due to the assumption of the functionals being local. This is very reminiscent of the Poisson vertex algebra structure. Thus, in all generality, we should have been discussing a sheaf of Poisson vertex algebras associated to M . The structures we are investigating here is only the tip of the iceberg if we adopt this general point of view. However, this restricted structures still lead to highly non-trivial geometrical results, as can be seen from our examples.

Now, let us discuss possible interpretations of these structures and their relation to other works, in particular to [3]. It is useful to compare our manipulations with the notion of local functionals within the variational bi-complex. On the space of expressions $A(X, \partial X, \dots, \partial^k X, p, \partial p, \dots, \partial^l p)$ we have two natural operations: variation and taking the full derivative along the loop. These two operations can be defined as two anticommuting differentials with the underlying bi-grading $\mathcal{A}^{(p,q)}$. For further details, the reader may consult [7]. Here we closely follow the setting in [3]. Let us define $\mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}$ to be the zero-forms and $\mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}$ to be one-forms on the loop. There is a differential d_h :

$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(0,0)} \xrightarrow{d_h} \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)} , \quad (3.13)$$

where $d_h f = (\partial f)d\sigma$, with ∂ being a full derivative along the loop. The space of local functionals in the variational bi-complex is $\mathcal{A}_{loc} = \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}/d_h(\mathcal{A}^{(0,0)})$. In our definition (3.1) of a local functional, which includes a test function, we use an element from $\mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}$. Therefore, the previous discussion can be formalized in the following way. The space $\mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}$ is equipped with a bracket $*$:

$\mathcal{A}^{(1,0)} \times \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}$ and an inner product $\langle , \rangle : \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)} \times \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}$ such that the following properties are satisfied:

$$A * (B * C) = B * (A * C) + (A * B) * C , \quad (3.14a)$$

$$A * B + B * A = d_h \langle A, B \rangle , \quad (3.14b)$$

$$(d_h f) * A = 0 , \quad (3.14c)$$

where $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}$. Thus, the space of expressions $\mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}$ together with $\mathcal{A}^{(0,0)}$ form a weak Courant-Dorfman algebra as defined in the appendix. $\mathcal{A}_{loc} = \mathcal{A}^{(1,0)}/d_h(\mathcal{A}^{(0,0)})$ is equipped with a Lie bracket which is the Poisson bracket on the local functionals. Moreover, there is a simple L_∞ -structure which has been discussed in [3] (see also [13] and see [8] for specific examples) and this is related to the weak Courant-Dorfman algebra discussed above.

The previous discussion has a straightforward generalization to the supersymmetric case $T^*\mathcal{LM}$. The formulas (3.6) remains valid upon using the odd derivative D instead of ∂ , and also integrating over the odd coordinate θ in addition to the even coordinate σ . The supersymmetric case drastically extends the space of local operators, allowing, e.g., anti-symmetric tensors to be contracted with odd vectors. With this grading, we could consider both odd and even local functionals, leading to a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Leibniz algebra and a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded weak Dorfman-Courant algebra. In the present work, however, we only consider even functionals.

Most of the formulas can be extended to the case of higher dimensional field theories. In particular, the argument around (3.2)-(3.5) will remain true and the structure of a Leibniz algebra would be a generic feature of classical field theories. The structure of the anomalous term (3.6b) will be much more involved due to the presence of derivatives in different directions. Relations like (3.11) and (3.12) still should be possible to generalize, but the exact form of the higher dimensional analogue to a weak Courant-Dorfman still remains to be explored.

One can restrict the algebra of local functionals, and study functionals of a special form, which form a closed Leibniz subalgebra. Our arguments are also applicable to the case when we only look on this Leibniz subalgebra with some specific anomalous terms. In the next section we present a few interesting examples of Leibniz subalgebras arising from this sort of calculations. These examples naturally give rise to weak Courant-Dorfman algebras associated to the smooth manifold M .

4 New brackets from local functionals

This section provides an illustration for the general considerations presented in the previous section. We consider local functionals on $T^*\mathcal{L}M$ of special forms, which are parametrized by geometrical data on M . These local functionals give rise to interesting Leibniz subalgebras of \mathcal{A}_{loc} , which we discuss here in geometrical terms. We recover a well-known generalization of the Courant bracket as well as new generalizations.

In all examples except 4.4, it is crucial that we consider the supersymmetric phase space $T^*\mathcal{L}M$, since the local functionals are constructed out of anti-symmetric tensors.

4.1 Schouten bracket

Let us start with a simple example. Consider a local functional on $T^*\mathcal{L}M$ of the following form:

$$J_\epsilon(v) = \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon v^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_p}(\Phi) S_{\mu_1} \dots S_{\mu_p}, \quad (4.1)$$

where v is an antisymmetric p -multivector field, $v \in \Gamma(\Lambda^p TM)$, and ϵ is a test function with parity $|\epsilon| = (-1)^{p+1}$. The parity of the test function is chosen in order for $J_\epsilon(v)$ to be an even functional. The Poisson bracket (2.9) between two such local functionals is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_{\epsilon_1}(v), J_{\epsilon_2}(u)\} &= \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \left(p v^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p-1} \rho} \partial_\rho u^{\mu_p \dots \mu_{p+q-1}} - \right. \\ &\quad \left. (-1)^{(p+1)(q+1)} q u^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{q-1} \rho} \partial_\rho v^{\mu_q \dots \mu_{p+q-1}} \right) S_{\mu_1} \dots S_{\mu_{p+q-1}} \\ &= i J_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}([v, u]_s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $u \in \Gamma(\Lambda^q TM)$ and $[v, u]_s$ is the Schouten bracket. This calculation can be extended to the local functionals parametrized by any sections of $\Lambda^\bullet TM$.

4.2 Courant bracket on $TM \oplus \wedge^\bullet T^*M$

Consider the following functional:

$$J_\epsilon(v+\beta) = \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon \left(v^\mu S_\mu + e \frac{1}{p!} \beta_{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_p} D(D\Phi^{\nu_1} D\Phi^{\nu_2} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_p}) \right), \quad (4.3)$$

where ϵ is an even test function, v is a vector field and β is a p -form. In order for the functional to have an even grading, we include e , a constant ($De = 0$) with parity $|e| = (-1)^p$. This is merely a calculational shortcut, to avoid dealing with a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Poisson bracket. The Poisson bracket between two such local functionals is

$$\{J_{\epsilon_1}(A), J_{\epsilon_2}(B)\} = iJ_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}(A * B) + \Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A, B), \quad (4.4)$$

where $A * B$ is the Dorfman bracket (A.2) generalized to forms of arbitrary degree. The Λ -term is given by the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A, B) = i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \left(e \epsilon_2 D^2 \epsilon_1 \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \langle A, B \rangle_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p-1}} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_{p-1}} \right. \\ \left. - e \epsilon_2 D\epsilon_1 \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \langle A, B \rangle_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p-1}} D(D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_{p-1}}) \right), \quad (4.5) \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the pairing (A.1) extended to $\Gamma(TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M)$.

Furthermore, if we take the test functions ϵ_i to be purely bosonic, they obey $\int d\theta \epsilon_i = 0$ and as a result there is the relation $\int d\sigma d\theta D\epsilon_1 D\epsilon_2(\text{anything}) = 0$. Using this, we can rewrite the Λ -term as follows:

$$\Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A, B) = i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta e \epsilon_2 D\epsilon_1 \frac{1}{p!} (d\langle A, B \rangle)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_p}. \quad (4.6)$$

Anti-symmetrizing the expression (4.4) and assuming bosonic test functions we arrive at the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_{\epsilon_1}(A), J_{\epsilon_2}(B)\} = iJ_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2}([A, B]_C) \\ + \frac{i}{2} \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta e (\epsilon_2 D\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1 D\epsilon_2) \frac{1}{p!} (d\langle A, B \rangle)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_p}, \quad (4.7) \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_C$ is the Courant bracket generalized to forms of any degree.

Geometrically, we can interpret this results as follows. We can choose $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma(TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M)$ equipped with the Dorfman bracket $*$, and $\mathcal{R} = \Gamma(\wedge^{p-1} T^*M)$. The symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is defined by the formula (A.1) and the exterior derivative is understood as $d : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. It can easily be checked that this structure $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, d, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, *)$ satisfies the definition of a weak Courant-Dorfman algebra (see the appendix for details).

With this structure, we can naturally introduce the notion of a Dirac structure \mathcal{D} as a subbundle of $TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M$ such that $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ is closed under $*$ and $d\langle A, B \rangle = 0$ for any $A, B \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. For $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ the local functionals (4.3) form a closed algebra under the Poisson bracket since $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ is a Lie algebra with respect to $*$.

4.3 Courant-like bracket on $TM \oplus \wedge^\bullet T^*M \oplus \wedge^\bullet T^*M$

Next, we consider a generalization of the functionals (2.10) and (4.3). For a section $A = v + \beta + \gamma \in \Gamma(TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M \oplus \wedge^{p+1} T^*M)$ we associate a local functional of the following form:

$$J_\epsilon(A) = \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon \left(v^\mu S_\mu + e \frac{1}{p!} \beta_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} D(D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_p}) \right. \\ \left. + e \frac{(-1)^p}{(p+1)!} \gamma_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p+1}} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_{p+1}} \right), \quad (4.8)$$

where e again is a constant with parity $|e| = (-1)^p$ on order to make $J_\epsilon(A)$ even. The Poisson bracket between two such local functionals has the form

$$\{J_{\epsilon_1}(A_1), J_{\epsilon_2}(A_2)\} = iJ_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}(A_1 * A_2) + \Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A_1, A_2), \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$(v_1 + \beta_1 + \gamma_1) * (v_2 + \beta_2 + \gamma_2) \equiv \{v_1, v_2\} + \\ \mathcal{L}_{v_1}(\beta_2 + \gamma_2) - \iota_{v_2} d(\beta_1 + \gamma_1) + (-1)^p \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1 \quad (4.10)$$

is a new Dorfman bracket which differs from the standard one by the last term. One can easily check that it satisfies the Leibniz identity. The Λ -term

in (4.9) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda = i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \left[e \epsilon_2 D^2 \epsilon_1 \frac{1}{(p-1)!} (\iota_{v_1} \beta_2 + \iota_{v_2} \beta_1)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p-1}} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_{p-1}} \right. \\ \left. - e \epsilon_2 D \epsilon_1 \frac{1}{(p-1)!} (\iota_{v_1} \beta_2 + \iota_{v_2} \beta_1)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p-1}} D (D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_{p-1}}) \right. \\ \left. + e \epsilon_2 D \epsilon_1 \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} (\iota_{v_1} \gamma_2 + \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_p} \right]. \quad (4.11) \end{aligned}$$

If we choose the test functions to be purely bosonic, then the Λ -term can be simplified to

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda = i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta e \epsilon_2 D \epsilon_1 \frac{1}{p!} \left((-1)^p (\iota_{v_1} \gamma_2 + \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1) \right. \\ \left. + d(\iota_{v_1} \beta_2 + \iota_{v_2} \beta_1) \right)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_p}. \quad (4.12) \end{aligned}$$

The anti-symmetrized version of expression (4.9) with bosonic test functions is

$$\begin{aligned} \{J_{\epsilon_1}(A_1), J_{\epsilon_2}(A_2)\} = i J_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}([A_1, A_2]_C) + \frac{i}{2} \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta e (\epsilon_2 D \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_1 D \epsilon_2) \times \\ \times \frac{1}{p!} \left((-1)^p (\iota_{v_1} \gamma_2 + \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1) + d(\iota_{v_1} \beta_2 + \iota_{v_2} \beta_1) \right)_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_p} D\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D\Phi^{\nu_p}, \quad (4.13) \end{aligned}$$

where $[\ , \]_C$ is the anti-symmetrization of the new Dorfman bracket (4.10).

Now, let us discuss the geometrical meaning of these structures. $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma(TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M \oplus \wedge^{p+1} T^*M)$ is equipped with the Dorfman bracket $*$ given by (4.10). Define $\mathcal{R} = \Gamma(\wedge^{p-1} T^*M \oplus \wedge^p T^*M)$. The symmetric bilinear form $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is given by the expression

$$\langle v_1 + \beta_1 + \gamma_1, v_2 + \beta_2 + \gamma_2 \rangle = \iota_{v_1}(\beta_2 + \gamma_2) + \iota_{v_2}(\beta_1 + \gamma_1). \quad (4.14)$$

Define a linear map $d_h : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by

$$d_h(b_{p-1} + a_p) = db_{p-1} + da_p + (-1)^p a_p, \quad (4.15)$$

where b_{p-1} is $(p-1)$ -form and a_p is p -form. If we consider the operation d_h defined for all values values of p by this formula, then $d_h^2 = 0$. The symmetrization of the bracket (4.10) is given by

$$A_1 * A_2 + A_2 * A_1 = (-1)^p (\iota_{v_1} \gamma_2 + \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1) + d(\iota_{v_1}(\beta_2 + \gamma_2) + \iota_{v_2}(\beta_1 + \gamma_1)), \quad (4.16)$$

which can be written as

$$A_1 * A_2 + A_2 * A_1 = d_h \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle \quad (4.17)$$

if we use the above definitions. Indeed, it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, d_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, *)$ is a weak Courant-Dorfman algebra. It is interesting to mention that the bracket (4.10) can be understood as a derived bracket for the differential d_h (see [12] for a review of derived brackets).

The Dirac structure \mathcal{D} would be defined as a subbundle of $TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M \oplus \wedge^{p+1} T^*M$ such that $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ is closed under $*$ and $d_h \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle = 0$ for every $A_1, A_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$. Thus $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ is a Lie algebra with respect to $*$. Decomposing $d_h \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle = 0$ in form degrees give us two conditions:

$$(\iota_{v_1} \gamma_2 + \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1) = (-1)^{p+1} d(\iota_{v_1} \beta_2 + \iota_{v_2} \beta_1) , \quad (4.18)$$

$$d(\iota_{v_1} \gamma_2 + \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1) = 0 , \quad (4.19)$$

where (4.18) implies (4.19). Therefore it is enough that (4.18) is satisfied. This is exactly the same as requiring that the Λ -term in (4.12) vanishes. Thus, the local functionals (4.8) for $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ form a Lie algebra with respect to the Poisson bracket.

4.4 Bracket associated with a symmetric tensor

Next, we consider a different example of a local functional, which is parametrized by a vector field v , a symmetric tensor of second rank γ and a one form ρ :

$$J_\epsilon(A) = \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon (v^\mu S_\mu + e \gamma_{\mu\nu} \partial\Phi^\mu \partial\Phi^\nu + e \rho_\mu \nabla \partial\Phi^\mu) , \quad (4.20)$$

where $A = v + \gamma + \rho$ and

$$\nabla \partial\Phi^\mu = \partial^2 \Phi^\mu + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho} \partial\Phi^\nu \partial\Phi^\rho , \quad (4.21)$$

with $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho}$ being a torsionless connection. We need to introduce a connection in order to make the local functional (4.20) invariant under diffeomorphisms of M . In (4.20), e is an odd constant, and $J_\epsilon(A)$ is thus even.

The Poisson bracket between two such local functionals yields

$$\{J_{\epsilon_1}(A_1), J_{\epsilon_2}(A_2)\} = i J_{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}(A_1 * A_2) + \Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, A_1, A_2) . \quad (4.22)$$

The bracket $A_1 * A_2$ is given by

$$(A_1 * A_2 \Big|_T)^\mu = \{v_1, v_2\}^\mu, \quad (4.23a)$$

$$(A_1 * A_2 \Big|_{T^* \otimes T^*})_{\mu\nu} = (\mathcal{L}_{v_1} \hat{\gamma}_2 - \mathcal{L}_{v_2} \hat{\gamma}_1)_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{(\mu} (\mathcal{L}_{v_1} \rho_2 + 2\iota_{v_2} \hat{\gamma}_1)_{\nu)}, \quad (4.23b)$$

$$(A_1 * A_2 \Big|_{T^*})_\mu = (\mathcal{L}_{v_1} \rho_2 + 2\iota_{v_2} \hat{\gamma}_1)_\mu, \quad (4.23c)$$

where we have defined $(\hat{\gamma}_i)_{\mu\nu} \equiv (\gamma_i)_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{(\mu} \rho_{i\nu)}$. The symmetrization is defined with a normalization factor, *e.g.* : $\gamma_{(\mu\nu)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{\mu\nu} + \gamma_{\nu\mu})$. This operation $*$ satisfies the Leibniz identity! In (4.22) the anomalous term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2; A_1, A_2) &= i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon_2 \partial \epsilon_1 \left((\iota_{v_1} \gamma_2 + \iota_{v_2} \gamma_1)_\mu + \nabla_\mu v_1^\rho \rho_{2\rho} - v_2^\rho \nabla_\mu \rho_{1\rho} \right) \partial \Phi^\mu \\ &\quad + i \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon_2 \partial^2 \epsilon_1 (\iota_{v_1} \rho_2 - \iota_{v_2} \rho_1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Let us show how this calculation gives rise to another example of a weak Dorfman-Courant algebra. Let $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma(TM \oplus S^2 T^* M \oplus T^* M)$ be the space of vector fields plus symmetric tensors of second rank plus one forms on M . Define $\mathcal{R} = \Gamma(T^* M)$. The symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is given by the expression

$$\langle v_1 + \gamma_1 + \rho_1, v_2 + \gamma_2 + \rho_2 \rangle = (\mathcal{L}_{v_1} \rho_2 + \mathcal{L}_{v_2} \rho_1 + 2\iota_{v_2} \hat{\gamma}_1 + 2\iota_{v_1} \hat{\gamma}_2). \quad (4.25)$$

Next, define a map $d_h : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, which sends a one-form to a symmetric tensor of second rank and a one form, as follows:

$$d_h \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \alpha_\nu (dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + dx^\nu \otimes dx^\mu) + \alpha_\mu dx^\mu, \quad (4.26)$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_\mu dx^\mu$ is a one form. With these definitions, the symmetrization of the bracket (4.23) is given by

$$A_1 * A_2 + A_2 * A_1 = d_h \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle. \quad (4.27)$$

Moreover, one can check the property

$$\langle A, d_h \langle B, C \rangle \rangle = \langle A * B, C \rangle + \langle B, A * C \rangle, \quad (4.28)$$

where $A, B, C \in \mathcal{E}$. Thus, we conclude that with the present definitions, $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, d_h, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, *)$ is a weak Courant-Dorfman algebra. This structure depends on the choice of a torsionless connection on TM .

5 Summary

In this work, we have investigated some of the algebraic properties of the algebra of Poisson brackets between local functionals. We were considering the cotangent bundle of the (super) loop space $T^*\mathcal{LM}$ as basis for our consideration. We have argued that there exist a natural structure of weak Courant-Dorfman algebras. We have considered four different examples which gave interesting specific cases of weak Courant-Dorfman algebras, realized on geometric objects of M . One can generate infinity many more examples along those lines. For example, we can consider local functionals of the form

$$J_\epsilon(A) = \int_{T[1]S^1} d\sigma d\theta \epsilon \left(v^\mu S_\mu + \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=\text{fixed}} A(\Phi)_{\nu_1\dots\nu_p} D^{k_1}\Phi^{\nu_1} \dots D^{k_p}\Phi^{\nu_p} \right), \quad (5.1)$$

which will give rise to Poisson subalgebras. In (5.1), the components of A are not tensors in general. Everything can be covariantized by introducing a connection, as in the fourth example in section 4. For these functionals, one can repeat the analysis we have presented and get new weak Courant-Dorfman structures.

There is also another interesting aspect of the current work. The closed subalgebras under the Poisson bracket of local functionals can be interpreted as first class constraints and thus related to gauge symmetries of a theory. This is exactly the case in our analysis when the anomalous term vanishes. In [2] some examples of theories corresponding to first class constraints of the form (2.2) were presented. It would be interesting to study the gauge symmetries which arise from the closed algebras considered here.

Let us comment on the wider context for our results. The observations presented in this work are closely related to similar statements which have appeared previously in the literature. Historically, the first reference goes back to 1980 when Gel'fand and Dorfman [10] developed the framework for variational calculus with application to integrable systems. From these considerations the Dorfman brackets initially appeared. The Dorfman bracket also appeared in the context of the chiral de Rham complex (a sheaf of vertex algebras) in [6]. In a sense, the Alekseev-Strobl result is a classical version of the observation in [6]. We believe that these different observations are closely related. We think that the natural mathematical framework for a better understanding of our observations would be the sheaf of (super)Poisson vertex algebras over M . We hope to come back to this problem elsewhere.

Another point we would like to stress is that the considerations presented in section 3 easily can be generalized for a higher dimensional classical field theory. Indeed, a specific example has been discussed previously in [4], [11]. Thus, the appearance of a Leibniz algebra and other related structures is a generic feature of field theory. Hopefully, it may help to understand the quantization in a more algebraic way, in analogy with vertex algebras.

Acknowledgement

We thank Reimundo Heluani, Sebastian Guttenberg and Dmitry Roytenberg for discussions. We thank the program "Geometrical Aspects of String Theory" at Nordita where part of this work was carried out. The research of M.Z. was supported by VR-grant 621-2008-4273. We thank the referee for pointing out mistakes in the previous version of the paper.

A Courant-Dorfman algebra

In this appendix, we collect the standard properties of the Dorfman and Courant brackets for the reader's convenience. We also give the definitions of a Courant-Dorfman algebra, and of a weak Courant-Dorfman algebra.

On the section of tangent plus cotangent bundle $(TM \oplus T^*M)$ we can define the canonical pairing $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \times \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$

$$\langle v_1 + \beta_1, v_2 + \beta_2 \rangle = (\iota_{v_1}\beta_2 + \iota_{v_2}\beta_1) , \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and the bilinear operation $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \times \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$

$$(v_1 + \beta_1) * (v_2 + \beta_2) = \{v_1, v_2\} + \mathcal{L}_{v_1}\beta_2 - \iota_{v_2}d\beta_1 , \quad (\text{A.2})$$

which is called the Dorfman bracket. In the above formulas $\{ , \}$ is a Lie bracket of the vector fields, \mathcal{L}_v is a Lie derivative, ι_v is a contraction and d is the standard exterior derivative on the differential forms. By a direct calculation one can check the Leibniz identity for the Dorfman bracket,

$$A * (B * C) = (A * B) * C + B * (A * C) , \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$A, B, C \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, which makes $\Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ into a Leibniz algebra. Moreover, the following additional properties are satisfied:

$$A * (fB) = f(A * B) + \langle A, df \rangle B , \quad (\text{A.4a})$$

$$A * B + B * A = d\langle A, B \rangle , \quad (\text{A.4b})$$

$$\langle A, d\langle B, C \rangle \rangle = \langle A * B, C \rangle + \langle B, A * C \rangle , \quad (\text{A.4c})$$

$$df * A = 0 , \quad (\text{A.4d})$$

$$\langle df, dg \rangle = 0 , \quad (\text{A.4e})$$

where $A, B, C \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$ and $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$. The Courant bracket is defined as the antisymmetrization of the Dorfman bracket:

$$[A, B]_C = \frac{1}{2} (A * B - B * A) . \quad (\text{A.5})$$

As follows from (A.4b) that the Courant bracket is related to the Dorfman bracket as

$$[A, B]_C = A * B - \frac{1}{2} d\langle A, B \rangle . \quad (\text{A.6})$$

If one understands the exterior derivative d as a map $d : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$, then one can formalize the present structure through the notion of a Courant-Dorfman algebra.

Inspired by the example of $TM \oplus T^*M$ and following [15] , a *Courant-Dorfman algebra* $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, \partial, \langle , \rangle, *)$ consists of the following data:

- (a) a commutative \mathbb{K} -algebra \mathcal{R}
- (b) an \mathcal{R} -module \mathcal{E}
- (c) a symmetric bilinear form $\langle , \rangle : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$
- (d) a derivation $\partial : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$
- (e) a Dorfman bracket $* : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$

which satisfy the following axioms:

- (1) $A * (fB) = f(A * B) + \langle A, \partial f \rangle B$
- (2) $\langle A, \partial\langle B, C \rangle \rangle = \langle A * B, C \rangle + \langle B, A * C \rangle$
- (3) $A * B + B * A = \partial\langle A, B \rangle$
- (4) $A * (B * C) = (A * B) * C + B * (A * C)$

$$(5) \quad (\partial f) * A = 0$$

$$(6) \quad \langle \partial f, \partial g \rangle = 0$$

where $A, B, C \in \mathcal{E}$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$.

If the symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate in an appropriate sense [15], then in the above definition the conditions (1), (5) and (6) are redundant.

In the present work, we need a weaker notion of the Courant-Dorfman algebra where \mathcal{R} would not be a commutative algebra and \mathcal{E} would not be an \mathcal{R} -module. A *weak Courant-Dorfman algebra* $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R}, \partial, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, *)$ is defined by the following data:

- (a) a vector space \mathcal{R}
- (b) a vector space \mathcal{E}
- (c) a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$
- (d) a map $\partial : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$
- (e) a Dorfman bracket $* : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$

which satisfy the following axioms:

- (1) $A * (B * C) = (A * B) * C + B * (A * C)$
- (2) $A * B + B * A = \partial \langle A, B \rangle$
- (3) $(\partial f) * A = 0$

where $A, B, C \in \mathcal{E}$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{R}$. Comparing with the definition of a Courant-Dorfman algebra, the properties related to the algebraic structures of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{E} has changed, and axiom 1, 2 and 6 are removed. Note that properties similar to axiom 2 and 6 of a Courant-Dorfman algebra follows from the axioms:

$$\partial (\langle A, \partial \langle B, C \rangle \rangle - \langle A * B, C \rangle - \langle B, A * C \rangle) = 0 , \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\partial (\langle \partial f, \partial g \rangle) = 0 . \quad (\text{A.8})$$

An example of a weak Courant-Dorfman algebra is given by $\mathcal{E} = \Gamma(TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M)$ and $\mathcal{R} = \Gamma(\wedge^{p-1} T^*M)$, where the symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the Dorfman bracket $*$ are defined formally by the same formulas (A.1) and (A.2) but now $(v_1 + \beta_1), (v_2 + \beta_2) \in \Gamma(TM \oplus \wedge^p T^*M)$. The map ∂ is the exterior derivative acting on $(p-1)$ -forms. All the properties can easily be checked explicitly.

References

- [1] S. Al-Ashhab, “A Class of Strongly Homotopy Lie Algebras with Simplified sh-Lie Structures,” *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **208** (2007), no. **2**, 647–653 [arXiv:math/0308160].
- [2] A. Alekseev and T. Strobl, “Current algebra and differential geometry,” *JHEP* **0503** (2005) 035 [arXiv:hep-th/0410183].
- [3] G. Barnich, R. Fulp, T. Lada and J. Stasheff, “The sh Lie structure of Poisson brackets in field theory,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **191** (1998) 585 [arXiv:hep-th/9702176].
- [4] G. Bonelli and M. Zabzine, “From current algebras for p-branes to topological M-theory,” *JHEP* **0509** (2005) 015 [arXiv:hep-th/0507051].
- [5] A. Bredthauer, U. Lindström, J. Persson and M. Zabzine, “Generalized Kähler geometry from supersymmetric sigma models,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **77** (2006) 291 [arXiv:hep-th/0603130].
- [6] P. Bressler, “The first Pontryagin class,” *Compos. Math.* **143** (2007), no. 5 [arXiv:math.AT/0509563].
- [7] L. A. Dickey, “Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems,” *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics*, vol. **12** Singapore: World Scientific, 1991.
- [8] L. A. Dickey, “Poisson brackets with divergence terms in field theories: three examples,” *Higher homotopy structures in topology and mathematical physics* (Poughkeepsie, NY, 1996), 67–78, *Contemp. Math.*, **227**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [9] J. M. Figueroa-O’Farrill and N. Mohammedi, “Gauging the Wess-Zumino term of a sigma model with boundary,” *JHEP* **0508** (2005) 086 [arXiv:hep-th/0506049].
- [10] I. M. Gel’fand, I. Ya. Dorfman, “Schouten bracket and Hamiltonian operators,” *Funkt.nal. i Prilozhen.* **14** (1980) 71-74; *Funct.Anal. Appl.* **14** (1981), 223-226.

- [11] S. Guttenberg, “Brackets, sigma models and integrability of generalized complex structures,” *JHEP* **0706** (2007) 004 [arXiv:hep-th/0609015].
- [12] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, “Derived Brackets,” *Lett. in Math. Phys.*, vol.**69**, 61-87 [arXiv:math/0312524].
- [13] M. Markl and S. Shnider, “Differential operator endomorphisms of an Euler-Lagrange complex,” *Tel Aviv Topology Conference: Rothenberg Festschrift (1998)*, 177–201, *Contemp. Math.*, **231**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999 [arXiv:math.DG/9808105].
- [14] D. Roytenberg, A. Weinstein, “Courant Algebroids and Strongly Homotopy Lie Algebras,” *Lett. Math. Phys.* **46** (1998) 81 [math.QA/9802118].
- [15] D. Roytenberg, “Courant-Dorfman algebras and their cohomology.” arXiv:0902.4862.
- [16] M. Zabzine, “Lectures on generalized complex geometry and supersymmetry,” *Archivum mathematicum (supplement)* **42**:119-146,2006 [arXiv:hep-th/0605148].