

THE 2-CATEGORY OF WEAK ENTWINING STRUCTURES

GABRIELLA BÖHM

ABSTRACT. A weak entwining structure in a 2-category \mathcal{K} consists of a monad t and a comonad c , together with a 2-cell relating both structures in a way which generalizes a mixed distributive law. A weak entwining structure can be characterized as a compatible pair of a monad and a comonad, in 2-categories generalizing the 2-category of comonads and the 2-category of monads in \mathcal{K} , respectively. This observation is used to define a 2-category $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ of weak entwining structures in \mathcal{K} . If the 2-category \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for both monads and comonads and idempotent 2-cells in \mathcal{K} split, then there are pseudo-functors from $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ to the 2-category of monads and to the 2-category of comonads in \mathcal{K} , taking a weak entwining structure (t, c) to a ‘weak lifting’ of t for c and a ‘weak lifting’ of c for t , respectively. The Eilenberg-Moore objects of the lifted monad and the lifted comonad are shown to be equivalent. If \mathcal{K} is the 2-category of functors induced by bimodules, then these Eilenberg-Moore objects are isomorphic to the usual category of weak entwined modules.

INTRODUCTION

Mixed distributive laws [1] in a 2-category \mathcal{K} (or ‘entwining structures’, as they are called more often in the Hopf algebraic terminology), can be described in some equivalent ways [8]. They are monads in the 2-category $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})$ of comonads in \mathcal{K} , equivalently, they are comonads in the 2-category $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ of monads in \mathcal{K} . Consequently, they can be regarded as 0-cells of a 2-category $\text{Entw}(\mathcal{K})$, defined to be isomorphic to $\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})) \cong \text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K}))$.

If a 2-category \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for monads, that is, the inclusion 2-functor $I : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ possesses a right 2-adjoint J , then the 2-functor $\text{Cmd}(J)$ takes a mixed distributive law of a monad t and a comonad c in \mathcal{K} to a comonad $J(t) \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} J(t)$, which is a lifting of c , cf. [7]. Symmetrically, if \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for comonads, that is, the inclusion 2-functor $I_* : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})$ possesses a right 2-adjoint J_* , then $\text{Mnd}(J_*)$ takes (t, c) to a monad $J_*(c) \xrightarrow{\bar{t}} J_*(c)$, which is a lifting of t . If Eilenberg-Moore constructions in \mathcal{K} exist both for monads and comonads, then the 2-functors $J_*\text{Cmd}(J)$ and $J\text{Mnd}(J_*)$ are 2-naturally isomorphic. In particular, the lifted monad \bar{t} and the lifted comonad \bar{c} possess isomorphic Eilenberg-Moore objects, see [7]. In the case when \mathcal{K} is the 2-category $\text{CAT} = [\text{Categories}; \text{Functors}; \text{Natural Transformations}]$, this is the category of (t, c) -bimodules, also called ‘entwined modules’.

In order to treat algebra extensions by weak bialgebras in [3], entwining structures were generalized to ‘weak entwining structures’ in [5]. A weak entwining structure in a 2-category \mathcal{K} also consists of a monad t and a comonad c , together with a 2-cell $tc \Rightarrow ct$, but the compatibility axioms with the unit of the monad and the counit of the comonad are weakened. We are not aware of any characterization of a weak

entwining structure as a monad or as a comonad in some 2-category. Instead, in this note we observe that a weak entwining structure in an arbitrary 2-category \mathcal{K} can be described as a compatible pair of a comonad in a 2-category $\text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K})$, which extends $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$, and a monad in $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}) := \text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K}_*)_*$ (where $(-)_*$ means the vertically opposite 2-category). This observation is used to define in Section 1 a 2-category $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$, whose 0-cells are weak entwining structures in \mathcal{K} and whose 1-cells and 2-cells are also compatible pairs of 1-cells and 2-cells, respectively, in $\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$ and $\text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K}))$. By construction, the 2-category $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ comes equipped with 2-functors $A : \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K}))$ and $B : \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$.

If a 2-category \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for monads and idempotent 2-cells in \mathcal{K} split, then the 2-functor J above factorizes through the inclusion $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow \text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K})$ and an appropriate pseudo-functor $Q : \text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. The image of a weak entwining structure (t, c) under the pseudo-functor $\text{Cmd}(Q)A$ is a ‘weak lifting’ of c for t , cf. [2]. Symmetrically, if \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for comonads and idempotent 2-cells in \mathcal{K} split, then there is a pseudo-functor $Q_* : \text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, such that $\text{Mnd}(Q_*)B$ takes a weak entwining structure (t, c) to a weak lifting of t for c . If Eilenberg-Moore constructions in \mathcal{K} exist both for monads and comonads and also idempotent 2-cells in \mathcal{K} split, then we prove in Section 2 that the pseudo-functors $J_*\text{Cmd}(Q)A$ and $J\text{Mnd}(Q_*)B : \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ are pseudo-naturally equivalent. In particular, for any weak entwining structure (t, c) , the weak lifting of t for c , and the weak lifting of c for t , possess equivalent Eilenberg-Moore objects.

As a motivating example, we can consider the 2-category \mathcal{K} obtained as the image of the bicategory $\text{BIM}_k = [\text{Algebras}; \text{Bimodules}; \text{Bimodule Maps}]$ (over a commutative ring k) under the hom 2-functor $\text{BIM}_k(k, -) : \text{BIM}_k \rightarrow \text{CAT}$. A weak entwining structure $((-)\otimes_R T, (-)\otimes_R C)$ in this 2-category is given by a k -algebra R , an R -ring T , an R -coring C and an R -bimodule map $C \otimes_R T \rightarrow T \otimes_R C$. In this case, we obtain that the Eilenberg-Moore category of the weakly lifted comonad $(-)\otimes_R C$ (on the category M_T of T -modules) is isomorphic to the Eilenberg-Moore category of the weakly lifted monad $(-)\otimes_R T$ (on the category M^C of C -comodules), and it is isomorphic also to $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})((M_k, M_k), ((-)\otimes_R T, (-)\otimes_R C))$, known as the category of ‘weak entwined modules’. In particular, if R is a trivial k -algebra (i.e. $R = k$), we re-obtain [4, Proposition 2.3].

Notations. We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of 2-categories. For a review of the occurring notions (such as a 2-category, a 2-functor and a 2-adjunction, monads, adjunctions and Eilenberg-Moore construction in a 2-category) we refer to the article [6].

In a 2-category \mathcal{K} , horizontal composition is denoted by juxtaposition and vertical composition is denoted by $*$, 1-cells are represented by an arrow \rightarrow and 2-cells are represented by \Rightarrow .

For any 2-category \mathcal{K} , $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ denotes the 2-category of monads in \mathcal{K} as in [8] and $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K}) := \text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K}_*)_*$ denotes the 2-category of comonads in \mathcal{K} , where $(-)_*$ refers to the vertical opposite of a 2-category. Throughout, we denote by $I : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ the inclusion 2-functor (with underlying maps $k \mapsto (k, k, k)$, $V \mapsto (V, V)$, $\omega \mapsto \omega$ on the 0-, 1-, and 2-cells, respectively). Its right 2-adjoint, if it exists, is denoted by J . The

inclusion 2-functor $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})$ is denoted by I_* and its right 2-adjoint, whenever it exists, is denoted by J_* .

If a 2-category \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for monads (i.e. the 2-functor J exists), then any monad $(k \xrightarrow{t} k, tt \xrightarrow{\mu} t, k \xrightarrow{\eta} t)$ in \mathcal{K} determines a canonical adjunction $(k \xrightarrow{f} J(t), J(t) \xrightarrow{v} k, fv \xrightarrow{\epsilon} J(t), k \xrightarrow{\eta} vf)$ such that $(t, \mu, \eta) = (vf, v\epsilon f, \eta)$, cf. [8, Theorem 2]. Throughout, these notations are used for this canonical adjunction. For a monad (t', μ', η') , the canonical adjunction is denoted by $(f', v', \epsilon', \eta')$, etc.

We say that in a 2-category \mathcal{K} idempotent 2-cells split if, for any 2-cell $V \xrightarrow{\Theta} V$ in \mathcal{K} such that $\Theta * \Theta = \Theta$, there exist a 1-cell \widehat{V} and 2-cells $V \xrightarrow{\pi} \widehat{V}$ and $\widehat{V} \xrightarrow{\iota} V$, such that $\pi * \iota = \widehat{V}$ and $\iota * \pi = \Theta$.

1. THE 2-CATEGORY OF WEAK ENTWINING STRUCTURES

Consider a monad $(k \xrightarrow{t} k, tt \xrightarrow{\mu} t, k \xrightarrow{\eta} t)$ and a comonad $(k \xrightarrow{c} k, c \xrightarrow{\delta} cc, c \xrightarrow{\epsilon} k)$ in a 2-category \mathcal{K} and a 2-cell $tc \xrightarrow{\psi} ct$. The triple (t, c, ψ) is termed a *weak entwining structure* provided that the following axioms in [5] hold.

$$\begin{aligned} (1.1) \quad & \psi * \mu c = c\mu * \psi t * t\psi; \\ (1.2) \quad & \delta t * \psi = c\psi * \psi c * t\delta; \\ (1.3) \quad & \psi * \eta c = c\epsilon t * c\psi * c\eta c * \delta; \\ (1.4) \quad & \epsilon t * \psi = \mu * t\epsilon t * t\psi * t\eta c. \end{aligned}$$

The most important difference between such a weak entwining structure and a usual entwining structure (i.e. mixed distributive law) is that in the weak case (c, ψ) is no longer a 1-cell $t \rightarrow t$ in $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ and (t, ψ) is not a 1-cell $c \rightarrow c$ in $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})$. Still, as it was observed in [2], $(t \xrightarrow{(c, \psi)} t, \mu, \eta)$ is a monad and $(c \xrightarrow{(t, \psi)} c, \delta, \epsilon)$ is a comonad in an extended 2-category of (co)monads in \mathcal{K} , recalled in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([2], Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 3.5). *For any 2-category \mathcal{K} , the following data constitute a 2-category, to be denoted by $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$.*

0-cells are monads $(k \xrightarrow{t} k, \mu, \eta)$ in \mathcal{K} .

1-cells $(k \xrightarrow{t} k, \mu, \eta) \xrightarrow{(V, \psi)} (k' \xrightarrow{t'} k', \mu', \eta')$ are pairs, consisting of a 1-cell $k \xrightarrow{V} k'$ and a 2-cell $t'V \xrightarrow{\psi} Vt$ in \mathcal{K} such that

$$(1.5) \quad V\mu * \psi t * t'\psi = \psi * \mu'V.$$

2-cells $(V, \psi) \xrightarrow{\omega} (W, \phi)$ are 2-cells $V \xrightarrow{\omega} W$ in \mathcal{K} , satisfying

$$(1.6) \quad \omega t * \psi = W\mu * \phi t * t'\omega t * t'\psi * t'\eta'V.$$

Horizontal and vertical compositions are the same as in \mathcal{K} .

The 2-category $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$ contains $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ as a vertically full 2-subcategory.

Moreover, if \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for monads and idempotent 2-cells in \mathcal{K} split, then the following maps determine a pseudo-functor $Q : \text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$.

For a 0-cell (t, μ, η) , $Q(t, \mu, \eta) := J(t, \mu, \eta)$.

For a 1-cell $(t, \mu, \eta) \xrightarrow{(V, \psi)} (t', \mu', \eta')$, $Q(V, \psi)$ is the unique 1-cell $Q(t, \mu, \eta) \rightarrow Q(t', \mu', \eta')$ in \mathcal{K} for which

$$(1.7) \quad v' \epsilon' Q(V, \psi) = \pi * V v \epsilon * \psi v * t' \iota.$$

For a 2-cell $(V, \psi) \xrightarrow{\omega} (W, \phi)$, $Q(\omega)$ is the unique 2-cell $Q(V, \psi) \Rightarrow Q(W, \phi)$ in \mathcal{K} for which

$$(1.8) \quad v' Q(\omega) = \pi * \omega v * \iota,$$

where $V v \xrightarrow{\pi} v' Q(V, \psi) \xrightarrow{\iota} V v$ denote a chosen splitting of the idempotent 2-cell

$$(1.9) \quad V v \epsilon * \psi v * \eta' V v : V v \Rightarrow V v,$$

for any 1-cell (V, ψ) in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$.

For 1-cells $t \xrightarrow{(V, \psi)} t' \xrightarrow{(V', \psi')} t''$ in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$, the coherence natural iso 2-cell $Q((V', \psi')(V, \psi)) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(V', \psi') Q(V, \psi)$ is the unique 2-cell γ for that $v'' \gamma = (v'' Q((V', \psi')(V, \psi)) \xrightarrow{\iota} V' V v \xrightarrow{V' \pi} V' v' Q(V, \psi) \xrightarrow{\pi Q(V, \psi)} v'' Q(V', \psi') Q(V, \psi))$ (so $v'' \gamma^{-1} = (v'' Q(V', \psi') Q(V, \psi) \xrightarrow{\iota Q(V, \psi)} V' v' Q(V, \psi) \xrightarrow{V' \iota} V' V v \xrightarrow{\pi} v'' Q((V', \psi')(V, \psi)))$).

With the convention of choosing a trivial splitting $V v \xrightarrow{V v} V v \xrightarrow{V v} V v$ whenever (1.9) is an identity 2-cell, the image of any identity 1-cell $t \xrightarrow{(k, t)} t$ under Q becomes equal to the identity 1-cell $Q(t)$. This convention also ensures that the composite pseudo-functor $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow \text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{K}$ is equal to J . The pseudo-natural isomorphism class of Q does not depend on the choice of the 2-cells π and ι .

For any 2-category \mathcal{K} , we put $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}) := \text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}_*)_*$. Applying Theorem 1.1 to the 2-category \mathcal{K}_* , we conclude that whenever \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for comonads and idempotent 2-cells in \mathcal{K} split, J_* extends to a pseudo-functor $Q_* : \text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$.

After all these preparations, we are ready to construct a 2-category of weak entwining structures in any 2-category \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 1.2. *For any 2-category \mathcal{K} , the following data constitute a 2-category, to be denoted by $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$.*

0-cells are triples $((k \xrightarrow{t} k, \mu, \eta), (k \xrightarrow{c} k, \delta, \varepsilon), \psi)$, consisting of a monad $(k \xrightarrow{t} k, \mu, \eta)$, a comonad $(k \xrightarrow{c} k, \delta, \varepsilon)$ and a 2-cell $tc \xrightarrow{\psi} ct$ in \mathcal{K} , such that

- $(t \xrightarrow{(c, \psi)} t, \delta, \varepsilon)$ is a comonad in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$ and
- $(c \xrightarrow{(t, \psi)} c, \mu, \eta)$ is a monad in $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K})$.

1-cells $((k \xrightarrow{t} k, \mu, \eta), (k \xrightarrow{c} k, \delta, \varepsilon), \psi) \xrightarrow{(W, \alpha, \beta)} ((k' \xrightarrow{t'} k', \mu', \eta'), (k' \xrightarrow{c'} k', \delta', \varepsilon'), \psi')$ are triples, consisting of a 1-cell $k \xrightarrow{W} k'$ and 2-cells $t'W \xrightarrow{\alpha} Wt$ and $Wc \xrightarrow{\beta} c'W$ in \mathcal{K} , such that

- $(t \xrightarrow{(c, \psi)} t, \delta, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{((W, \alpha), \beta)} (t' \xrightarrow{(c', \psi')} t', \delta', \varepsilon')$ is a 1-cell in $\text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}))$ and
- $(c \xrightarrow{(t, \psi)} c, \mu, \eta) \xrightarrow{((W, \beta), \alpha)} (c' \xrightarrow{(t', \psi')} c', \mu', \eta')$ is a 1-cell in $\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$.

2-cells $(W, \alpha, \beta) \xrightarrow{\omega} (W', \alpha', \beta')$ are 2-cells $W \xrightarrow{\omega} W'$ in \mathcal{K} , such that

- $((W, \alpha), \beta) \xrightarrow{\omega} ((W', \alpha'), \beta')$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}))$ and
- $((W, \beta), \alpha) \xrightarrow{\omega} ((W', \beta'), \alpha')$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$.

Horizontal and vertical compositions are the same as in \mathcal{K} .

Proof. In order to see that 0-cells in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ are precisely the weak entwining structures, note that (1.1) expresses the requirement that $t \xrightarrow{(c,\psi)} t$ is a 1-cell in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$ and (1.2) means that $c \xrightarrow{(t,\psi)} c$ is a 1-cell in $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K})$. Axiom (1.3) means that $(k, c) \xrightarrow{\eta} (t, \psi)$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K})$ and (1.4) holds if and only if $(c, \psi) \xrightarrow{\xi} (k, t)$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$. If these four conditions hold, then also $(t, \psi)(t, \psi) \xrightarrow{\mu} (t, \psi)$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K})$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} c\varepsilon t * c\psi * c\mu c * \psi t c * t\psi c * t\delta &\stackrel{(1.1)}{=} c\varepsilon t * c\psi * \psi c * \mu c c * t\delta = c\varepsilon t * c\psi * \psi c * t\delta * \mu c \\ &\stackrel{(1.2)}{=} c\varepsilon t * \delta t * \psi * \mu c = \psi * \mu c. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, (1.1-1.4) imply that $(c, \psi) \xrightarrow{\delta} (c, \psi)(c, \psi)$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} cc\mu * c\psi t * \psi c t * t\delta t * t\psi * t\eta c &\stackrel{(1.2)}{=} cc\mu * \delta t t * \psi t * t\psi * t\eta c = \delta t * c\mu * \psi t * t\psi * t\eta c \\ &\stackrel{(1.1)}{=} \delta t * \psi * \mu c * t\eta c = \delta t * \psi. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1, a triple $(k \xrightarrow{W} k', t'W \xrightarrow{\alpha} Wt, Wc \xrightarrow{\beta} c'W)$ is a 1-cell $((k \xrightarrow{t} k', \mu, \eta), (k \xrightarrow{c} k, \delta, \varepsilon), \psi) \rightarrow ((k' \xrightarrow{t'} k', \mu', \eta'), (k' \xrightarrow{c'} k', \delta', \varepsilon'), \psi')$ in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ if and only if the following equalities hold.

$$(1.10) \quad \alpha * \mu'W = W\mu * \alpha t * t'\alpha;$$

$$(1.11) \quad \alpha * \eta'W = W\eta;$$

$$(1.12) \quad \delta'W * \beta = c'\beta * \beta c * W\delta;$$

$$(1.13) \quad \varepsilon'W * \beta = W\varepsilon;$$

$$(1.14) \quad c'W\mu * c'\alpha t * \psi'Wt * t'\beta t * t'W\psi * t'W\eta c = \beta t * W\psi * \alpha c$$

$$(1.15) \quad c'W\varepsilon t * c'W\psi * c'\alpha c * \psi'Wc * t'\beta c * t'W\delta = \beta t * W\psi * \alpha c.$$

The equality (1.10) is equivalent to saying that $t \xrightarrow{(W,\alpha)} t'$ is a 1-cell in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$ and (1.12) is equivalent to $c \xrightarrow{(W,\beta)} c'$ being a 1-cell in $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K})$. The equality (1.15) means (after being simplified using (1.13)) that $(t', \psi')(W, \beta) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (W, \beta)(t, \psi)$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K})$ and (1.14) means (after being simplified using (1.11)) that $(W, \alpha)(c, \psi) \xrightarrow{\beta} (c', \psi')(W, \alpha)$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$. Conditions (1.10) and (1.11) mean that $(c \xrightarrow{(t,\psi)} c, \mu, \eta) \xrightarrow{((W,\beta),\alpha)} (c' \xrightarrow{(t',\psi')} c', \mu', \eta')$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$, while (1.12) and (1.13) express that $(t \xrightarrow{(c,\psi)} t, \delta, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{((W,\alpha),\beta)} (t' \xrightarrow{(c',\psi')} t', \delta', \varepsilon')$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}))$.

A 2-cell $W \xrightarrow{\omega} W'$ in \mathcal{K} is a 2-cell $(W, \alpha, \beta) \Rightarrow (W', \alpha', \beta')$ in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ if and only if

$$(1.16) \quad \alpha' * t'\omega = \omega t * \alpha$$

$$(1.17) \quad \beta' * \omega c = c'\omega * \beta.$$

For any weak entwining structure $((k \xrightarrow{t} k, \mu, \eta), (k \xrightarrow{c} k, \delta, \varepsilon), \psi)$ in \mathcal{K} , the triple $(W = k, \alpha = t, \beta = c)$ satisfies the equalities (1.10-1.15). Hence it is an (identity) 1-cell in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$. The sets of 1-cells and 2-cells in $\text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}))$ and $\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$ are closed under the horizontal composition in \mathcal{K} by Theorem 1.1. Therefore the

horizontal composite of 1-cells and 2-cells in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ is a 1-cell and a 2-cell in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$, respectively.

For any 1-cell (W, α, β) in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$, the identity 2-cell $W \xrightarrow{W} W$ in \mathcal{K} satisfies (1.16) and (1.17). Hence it is an (identity) 2-cell in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$. Since the sets of 2-cells in $\text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}))$ and $\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$ are closed under the vertical composition in \mathcal{K} by Theorem 1.1, the vertical composite of 2-cells in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ again.

Associativity and unitality of the horizontal and vertical compositions in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$ and the interchange law follow by the respective properties of \mathcal{K} . \square

From Theorem 1.2, we immediately deduce the existence of some 2-functors.

Corollary 1.3. *For any 2-category \mathcal{K} , the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There is a 2-functor $Y : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})$, determined by the maps $k \mapsto (I(k), I_*(k), k)$, $V \rightarrow (V, V, V)$ and $\omega \mapsto \omega$ on the 0-, 1-, and 2-cells, respectively.*
- (2) *There is a 2-category isomorphism $\Phi : \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) \cong \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}_*)^*$, determined by the maps $(t, c, \psi) \mapsto (c, t, \psi)$, $(W, \alpha, \beta) \mapsto (W, \beta, \alpha)$ and $\omega \mapsto \omega$ on the 0-, 1-, and 2-cells, respectively. In particular, for any weak entwining structures (t, c, ψ) and (t', c', ψ') in \mathcal{K} , there is a category isomorphism $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})((t, c, \psi), (t', c', \psi')) \cong \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}_*)^*((c, t, \psi), (c', t', \psi'))$, which is 2-natural both in (t, c, ψ) and (t', c', ψ') .*
- (3) *There is a 2-functor $A : \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}))$, determined by the maps $((t, \mu, \eta), (c, \delta, \varepsilon), \psi) \mapsto (t \xrightarrow{(c, \psi)} t, \delta, \varepsilon)$, $(W, \alpha, \beta) \mapsto ((W, \alpha), \beta)$ and $\omega \mapsto \omega$ on the 0-, 1-, and 2-cells, respectively.*
- (4) *There is a 2-functor $B : \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K}))$, determined by the maps $((t, \mu, \eta), (c, \delta, \varepsilon), \psi) \mapsto (c \xrightarrow{(t, \psi)} c, \mu, \eta)$, $(W, \alpha, \beta) \mapsto ((W, \beta), \alpha)$ and $\omega \mapsto \omega$ on the 0-, 1-, and 2-cells, respectively.*

In contrast to the case of usual entwining structures, there seems to be no reason to expect that the 2-functors A and B in Corollary 1.3 are isomorphisms.

2. EQUIVALENCE OF EILENBERG-MOORE OBJECTS

If a 2-category \mathcal{K} admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for both monads and comonads and idempotent 2-cells in \mathcal{K} split, then by Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3, there are two pseudo-functors $J_*\text{Cmd}(Q)A$ and $J\text{Mnd}(Q_*)B : \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. The aim of this section is to prove that both are right biadjoints of Y in Corollary 1.3(1), hence they are pseudo-naturally equivalent. Consequently, for any weak entwining structure (t, c, ψ) in \mathcal{K} , the monad $Q_*(c \xrightarrow{(t, \psi)} c)$ and the comonad $Q(t \xrightarrow{(c, \psi)} t)$ in \mathcal{K} possess equivalent Eilenberg-Moore objects.

Recall that any pseudo-functor $Q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between 2-categories, induces a pseudo-functor $\text{Cmd}(Q) : \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{B})$ with underlying maps as follows. A comonad $(A \xrightarrow{c} A, \delta, \varepsilon)$ in \mathcal{A} is taken to the comonad $Q(A) \xrightarrow{Q(c)} Q(A)$, with comultiplication $Q(c) \xrightarrow{Q(\delta)} Q(cc) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(c)Q(c)$ and counit $Q(c) \xrightarrow{Q(\varepsilon)} Q(1_A) \xrightarrow{\cong} 1_{Q(A)}$. A 1-cell $(A \xrightarrow{c} A, \delta, \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{(V, \psi)} (A' \xrightarrow{c'} A', \delta', \varepsilon')$ in $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{A})$ is taken to a pair consisting of the 1-cell

$Q(A) \xrightarrow{Q(V)} Q(A')$ and the 2-cell $Q(V)Q(c) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(Vc) \xrightarrow{Q(\psi)} Q(c'V) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(c')Q(V)$ in \mathcal{B} . A 2-cell ω in $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{A})$ is taken to $Q(\omega)$. $\text{Cmd}(Q)$ is a pseudo-functor with the same coherence isomorphisms as Q .

Proposition 2.1. *Consider a 2-category \mathcal{K} which admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for monads and in which idempotent 2-cells split. Let l be a 0-cell and $((k \xrightarrow{t} k, \mu, \eta), (k \xrightarrow{c} k, \delta, \varepsilon), \psi)$ be weak entwining structure in \mathcal{K} . The following categories are isomorphic.*

- (i) *The Eilenberg-Moore category $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(l), \text{Cmd}(Q)(t \xrightarrow{(c,\psi)} t, \delta, \varepsilon))$ of the comonad $\mathcal{K}(l, Q(t \xrightarrow{(c,\psi)} t)) : \mathcal{K}(l, Q(t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(l, Q(t))$;*
- (ii) *the category $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(l), (t, c, \psi))$.*

Moreover, these isomorphisms provide the 1-cell parts of a pseudo-natural isomorphism $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(-), \text{Cmd}(Q)A(-)) \cong \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(-), -)$.

Proof. By (1.10-1.15), the objects in the category $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(l), (t, c, \psi))$ are triples $(l \xrightarrow{W} k, tW \xrightarrow{\varrho} W, W \xrightarrow{\kappa} cW)$, such that $I(l) \xrightarrow{(W,\varrho)} t$ is a 1-cell in $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$, $I_*(l) \xrightarrow{(W,\kappa)} c$ is a 1-cell in $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})$ and

$$(2.1) \quad c\varrho * \psi W * t\kappa = \kappa * \varrho.$$

Morphisms $(W, \varrho, \kappa) \rightarrow (W', \varrho', \kappa')$ in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(l), (t, c, \psi))$ are 2-cells $W \xrightarrow{\omega} W'$ in \mathcal{K} , such that $(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{\omega} (W', \varrho')$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ and $(W, \kappa) \xrightarrow{\omega} (W', \kappa')$ is a 2-cell in $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})$. We prove that the stated isomorphism is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(l), (t, c, \psi)) &\rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(l), \text{Cmd}(Q)((c, \psi), \delta, \varepsilon)), \\ (W, \varrho, \kappa) \xrightarrow{\omega} (W', \varrho', \kappa') &\mapsto \text{Cmd}(Q)((W, \varrho), \kappa) \xrightarrow{Q(\omega)} \text{Cmd}(Q)((W', \varrho'), \kappa'). \end{aligned}$$

If applying the convention of choosing trivial splittings of identity 2-cells, as described in Theorem 1.1, then when restricted to the 2-subcategory $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$ of $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$, Q is equal to J . Hence by [8, Theorem 2], there is a category isomorphism

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{K}(l, Q(t)) \rightarrow \text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})(I(l), t), \quad V \xrightarrow{\omega} V' \mapsto (vV, v\epsilon V) \xrightarrow{v\omega} (vV', v\epsilon V');$$

$$\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})(I(l), t) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(l, Q(t)), \quad (W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (W', \varrho') \mapsto Q(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{Q(\varphi)} Q(W', \varrho').$$

We claim that there is a bijection also between 2-cells $(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{\xi} (c, \psi)(W, \varrho)$ in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$, and 2-cells $Q(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{\xi} Q(c, \psi)Q(W, \varrho)$ in \mathcal{K} , for any 1-cell $I(l) \xrightarrow{(W,\varrho)} t$ in $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$. Indeed, for a 2-cell κ as described, $\xi := (Q(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{Q(\kappa)} Q((c, \psi)(W, \varrho)) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(c, \psi)Q(W, \varrho))$ is a 2-cell in \mathcal{K} as needed. Conversely, for a 2-cell ξ as above, use the chosen splitting $cv \xrightarrow{\pi} vQ(c, \psi) \xrightarrow{\iota} cv$ of the idempotent 2-cell (1.9) to construct a 2-cell $\kappa := \iota Q(W, \varrho) * v\xi : W \Rightarrow cW$ in \mathcal{K} . It satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa * \varrho &= \iota Q(W, \varrho) * v\xi * v\epsilon Q(W, \varrho) = \iota Q(W, \varrho) * v\epsilon Q(c, \psi)Q(W, \varrho) * tv\xi \\ &\stackrel{(1.7)}{=} \iota Q(W, \varrho) * \pi Q(W, \varrho) * c\varrho * \psi W * tv\xi = c\varrho * \psi W * t\kappa, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by $\iota f * \pi f * \psi = c\mu * \psi t * \eta c t * \psi \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} \psi * \mu c * \eta t c = \psi$. Hence κ is a 2-cell $(W, \varrho) \Rightarrow (c, \psi)(W, \varrho)$ in $\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})$, as required. In order to see that this correspondence $\kappa \leftrightarrow \xi$ is a bijection, note that by (1.8), $vQ(\iota Q(W, \varrho))$

is equal to the composite of $vQ(c, \psi)Q(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{\iota Q(W, \varrho)} cW$ and the chosen epi 2-cell $cW \Rightarrow vQ((c, \psi)(W, \varrho))$. That is, $Q(\iota Q(W, \varrho))$ is equal to the coherence iso 2-cell $Q(c, \psi)Q(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q((c, \psi)(W, \varrho))$. Hence starting with a 2-cell ξ and iterating both constructions, we re-obtain ξ . In the opposite order, applying both constructions to κ , by (1.8) we get $\iota Q(W, \varrho) * \pi Q(W, \varrho) * \kappa$. This is equal to κ by

$$(2.3) \quad \iota Q(W, \varrho) * \pi Q(W, \varrho) * \kappa = c\varrho * \psi W * \eta cW * \kappa \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} \kappa.$$

Next we show that $Q(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{Q(\kappa)} Q((c, \psi)(W, \varrho)) \xrightarrow{\cong} Q(c, \psi)Q(W, \varrho)$ is a coassociative coaction if and only if $W \xrightarrow{\kappa} cW$ is coassociative, and it is counital if and only if κ is counital. Compose the coassociativity condition $Q((c, \psi)\kappa) * Q(\kappa) = Q(\delta(W, \varrho)) * Q(\kappa)$ horizontally by v on the left and compose it vertically by the chosen mono 2-cell $vQ((c, \psi)(c, \psi)(W, \varrho)) \xrightarrow{\iota} ccW$ on the left. Applying (1.8), (2.1) and (2.3), the resulting equivalent condition can be written in the form $c\kappa * \kappa = cc\varrho * c\psi W * \psi cW * \eta ccW * \delta W * \kappa$. Since

$$cc\varrho * c\psi W * \psi cW * \eta ccW * \delta W * \kappa \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} \delta W * c\varrho * \psi W * \eta cW * \kappa \stackrel{(2.3)}{=} \delta W * \kappa,$$

this proves that the coaction on $Q(W, \varrho)$ is coassociative if and only if κ is so. By (2.2), (1.8) and (2.3), the counitality condition $Q(\varepsilon(W, \varrho)) * Q(\kappa) = Q(W, \varrho)$ is equivalent to $\varepsilon W * \kappa = W$. Thus there is a bijection between the objects of $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(l), \text{Cmd}(Q)((c, \psi), \delta, \varepsilon))$ and the objects of $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(l), (t, c, \psi))$, as stated.

One can see by similar steps that, for a 2-cell $(W, \varrho) \xrightarrow{\omega} (W', \varrho')$ in $\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})$, $Q(\omega)$ is a morphism $Q(W, \varrho) \rightarrow Q(W', \varrho')$ in $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(l), \text{Cmd}(Q)((c, \psi), \delta, \varepsilon))$ if and only if $\kappa' * \omega = c\varrho' * \psi W' * \eta cW' * c\omega * \kappa$. Since

$$c\varrho' * \psi W' * \eta cW' * c\omega * \kappa = c\varrho' * c\omega * \psi W * t\kappa * \eta W = c\omega * c\varrho * \psi W * t\kappa * \eta W \stackrel{(2.3)}{=} c\omega * \kappa,$$

we conclude that $Q(\omega)$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{K}(l, Q(c, \psi))$ -coalgebras as needed, if and only if ω is a 1-cell $I_*(l) \rightarrow c$ in $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})$, i.e. ω is a morphism $(W, \varrho, \kappa) \rightarrow (W', \varrho', \kappa')$ in $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(l), (t, c, \psi))$. In view of the isomorphism (2.2), this proves the stated isomorphism $\text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(l), \text{Cmd}(Q)((c, \psi), \delta, \varepsilon)) \cong \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(l), (t, c, \psi))$.

There is a pseudo-natural transformation

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Entw}^w(Y(-), -) \rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(\text{Cmd}(Q)AY(-), \text{Cmd}(Q)A(-)),$$

with 1-cell parts the functors induced by the pseudo-functor $\text{Cmd}(Q)A$ and 2-cell parts provided by its pseudo-naturality isomorphisms. Recall that AY differs from $\text{Cmd}(I)I_*$ by the inclusion 2-functor $\text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})) \hookrightarrow \text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K}))$. Since applying $Q : \text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ after $\mathcal{K} \xrightarrow{I} \text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K}) \hookrightarrow \text{Mnd}'(\mathcal{K})$ we obtain the identity functor $JI = \mathcal{K}$, it follows that $\text{Cmd}(Q)AY(-) = I_*$ as pseudo-functors. Thus (2.4) is, in fact, a pseudo-natural transformation $\text{Entw}^w(Y(-), -) \rightarrow \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(-), \text{Cmd}(Q)A(-))$. Since we already proved that its 1-cells are isomorphisms, it is a pseudo-natural isomorphism, as stated. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{K} be a 2-category which admits Eilenberg-Moore constructions for both monads and comonads and in which idempotent 2-cells split. The following*

diagram of pseudo-functors is commutative, up to a pseudo-natural equivalence.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}) & \xrightarrow{A} & \text{Cmd}(\text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K})) \\
\downarrow B & & \downarrow \text{Cmd}(Q) \\
& & \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K}) \\
& & \downarrow J_* \\
\text{Mnd}(\text{Cmd}^\pi(\mathcal{K})) & \xrightarrow{\text{Mnd}(Q_*)} & \text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K}) \xrightarrow{J} \mathcal{K} .
\end{array}$$

In particular, for any weak entwining structure (t, c, ψ) in \mathcal{K} , the monad $\text{Mnd}(Q_*)(c \xrightarrow{(t, \psi)} c, \mu, \eta)$ and the comonad $\text{Cmd}(Q)(t \xrightarrow{(c, \psi)} t, \delta, \varepsilon)$ in \mathcal{K} possess equivalent Eilenberg-Moore objects.

Proof. The proof consists of showing that both $J_*\text{Cmd}(Q)A$ and $J\text{Mnd}(Q_*)B$ are right biadjoints of the 2-functor Y in Corollary 1.3(1). Then the claim follows by uniqueness of a biadjoint up to a pseudo-natural equivalence.

On one hand, there is a sequence of pseudo-natural isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{K}(-, J_*\text{Cmd}(Q)A(-)) \cong \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K})(I_*(-), \text{Cmd}(Q)A(-)) \cong \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(-), -),$$

where the second isomorphism follows by Proposition 2.1.

On the other hand, applying Proposition 2.1 to the 2-category \mathcal{K}_* (in the third step) and using Corollary 1.3(2) (in the last step), we obtain a sequence of pseudo-natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(-, J\text{Mnd}(Q_*)B(-)) &\cong \text{Mnd}(\mathcal{K})(I(-), \text{Mnd}(Q_*)B(-)) \\
&\cong \text{Cmd}(\mathcal{K}_*)_*(I(-), \text{Mnd}(Q_*)B(-)) \\
&\cong \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K}_*)_*(\Phi Y(-), \Phi(-)) \cong \text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(-), -).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Example 2.3. Consider the 2-subcategory \mathcal{K} of CAT , whose 1-cells are functors induced by bimodules. Explicitly, 0-cells be module categories M_R for algebras R over a fixed commutative ring k . The 1-cells $M_R \rightarrow M_{R'}$ be R - R' bimodules V , i.e. functors $(-)\otimes_R V : M_R \rightarrow M_{R'}$. The 2-cells $V \Rightarrow W$ be R - R' bimodule maps $\omega : V \rightarrow W$, i.e. natural transformations $(-)\otimes_R V \xrightarrow{(-)\otimes_R \omega} (-)\otimes_R W$.

A weak entwining structure in \mathcal{K} is then a triple $(t := (-)\otimes_R T, c := (-)\otimes_R C, \psi := (-)\otimes_R \Psi)$, where R is a k -algebra, T is an R -ring (i.e. a monad $R \xrightarrow{T} R$ in BIM_k), C is an R -coring (i.e. a comonad $R \xrightarrow{C} R$ in BIM_k), and $\Psi : C\otimes_R T \rightarrow T\otimes_R C$ is an R -bimodule map such that the equalities (1.1-1.4) hold true.

In this particular 2-category \mathcal{K} , the idempotent 2-cell (1.9) is given by an idempotent map. Taking its obvious splitting through its range, the associated pseudo-functor $Q : \text{Mnd}^t(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ in Theorem 1.1 becomes a 2-functor. Hence the isomorphisms in Proposition 2.1 become 2-natural, so that the equivalent Eilenberg-Moore objects in Theorem 2.2 become isomorphic.

Under the minor restriction that $R = k$, the monad $\text{Mnd}(Q_*)B((-\)\otimes_R T, (-)\otimes_R C, (-)\otimes_R \Psi)$ and the comonad $\text{Cmd}(Q)A((-\)\otimes_R T, (-)\otimes_R C, (-)\otimes_R \Psi)$ were described in [5, Section 2]. It was shown in [4, Proposition 2.3] that their Eilenberg-Moore

categories are isomorphic to the category of so-called weak entwining structures. Using the constructions in the current paper, this category of weak entwining structures is nothing but $\text{Entw}^w(\mathcal{K})(Y(k), ((-)\otimes_R T, (-)\otimes_R C, (-)\otimes_R \Psi))$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author's work is supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund OTKA F67910. She is grateful to the referee of [2], whose comments were highly valuable also for this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Beck, *Distributive laws*, [in:] *Seminar on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory*, B. Eckmann (ed.), Springer LNM 80, 119-140 (1969).
- [2] G. Böhm, *The weak theory of monads*, to appear in *Adv. in Math.* arXiv:0902.4192v2.
- [3] G. Böhm, F. Nill and K. Szlachányi, *Weak Hopf algebras I: Integral theory and C^* -structure*, *J. Algebra* 221 (1999), 385–438.
- [4] T. Brzeziński, *The structure of corings. Induction functors, Maschke-type theorem, and Frobenius and Galois-type properties*, *Algebr. and Representat. Theory* 5 (2002), 389-410.
- [5] S. Caenepeel and E. De Groot, *Modules over weak entwining structures*, *Contemp. Math.* 267 (2000), 4701–4735.
- [6] G.M. Kelly and R. Street, *Review of the elements of 2-categories*, [in:] *Category Sem. Proc. Sydney 1972/1973*, G.M. Kelly (ed.) Springer LNM 420, 75-103 (1974).
- [7] J. Power and H. Watanabe, *Combining a monad and a comonad*, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 280 (2002), 137-162.
- [8] R. Street, *The formal theory of monads*, *J. Pure and Applied Algebra* 2 (1972), 149-168.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR PARTICLE AND NUCLEAR PHYSICS, BUDAPEST,
 H-1525 BUDAPEST 114, P.O.B. 49, HUNGARY
E-mail address: G.Bohm@rmki.kfki.hu