

SQUARE INTEGRABILITY OF REPRESENTATIONS ON p -ADIC SYMMETRIC SPACES

SHIN-ICHI KATO AND KEIJI TAKANO

ABSTRACT. A symmetric space analogue of Casselman's criterion for square integrability of representations of a p -adic group is established. It is described in terms of exponents of Jacquet modules along parabolic subgroups associated to the symmetric space.

INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group defined over a non-archimedean local field F equipped with an involutive F -automorphism $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}$, and \mathbf{H} the subgroup of all σ -fixed points of \mathbf{G} . The quotient space G/H where $G = \mathbf{G}(F)$ and $H = \mathbf{H}(F)$ is called a p -adic symmetric space. We are interested in representations of G which can be realized in the space of functions on G/H . Such representations are often said to be H -distinguished. In this paper, we are concerned especially with *discrete series for G/H* ; roughly speaking, the representations which have realizations in the space of square integrable functions on G/H .

Let (π, V) be a finitely generated admissible representation of G which carries a non-zero H -invariant linear form $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. We consider the functions $\varphi_{\lambda, v}$ on G/H for $v \in V$ given by

$$\varphi_{\lambda, v}(g) = \langle \lambda, \pi(g^{-1})v \rangle \quad (g \in G).$$

Such functions are called *H -matrix coefficients of π defined by λ* . Any non-trivial realization of π in the space of functions on G/H is formed by these H -matrix coefficients for some non-zero $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. Note that H -matrix coefficients are not the matrix coefficients in the usual sense, but are *generalized* matrix coefficients, since H -invariant linear forms are not smooth in general. In a previous work [KT], we have studied representations whose H -matrix coefficients have compact support modulo $Z_G H$. Here Z_G denotes the center of G . We have called such representations (H, λ) -relatively cuspidal, and established a criterion for (H, λ) -relative cuspidality of π by using Jacquet modules ([KT, 6.2]). In the present work, we shall deal with

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22E50; Secondary 11F70, 20G25, 22E35.

Key words and phrases. square integrable representations, discrete series, distinguished representations, reductive p -adic groups, symmetric spaces.

a different class of representations. For simplicity, suppose that π has a unitary central character. Then $|\varphi_{\lambda,v}(\cdot)|$ is regarded as a function on $G/Z_G H$. We say that π is *H-square integrable with respect to λ* if $|\varphi_{\lambda,v}(\cdot)|$ is square integrable on $G/Z_G H$ for all $v \in V$, namely, if

$$\int_{G/Z_G H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg < \infty$$

for all $v \in V$. We shall establish a criterion for *H-square integrability* of π in this paper.

Before stating our main theorem, let us recall Casselman's criterion for the usual square integrability. We say that π is *square integrable* if all the usual matrix coefficients (defined by smooth linear forms) are square integrable on G/Z_G . For each parabolic subgroup P of G with the F -split component A_P , let (π_P, V_P) be the normalized Jacquet module of π along P and $\mathcal{E}xp_{A_P}(\pi_P)$ the set of all quasi-characters χ of A_P having non-zero generalized eigenvectors in V_P . Let A_P^- and A_P^1 denote the dominant part of A_P and the \mathcal{O}_F -points of A_P respectively.

Casselman's criterion. ([C, 4.4.6]) *The representation π is square integrable if and only if for every parabolic subgroup P , the condition $|\chi(a)| < 1$ holds for all $\chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_{A_P}(\pi_P)$ and $a \in A_P^- \setminus Z_G A_P^1$.*

Also our criterion for *H-square integrability* is stated in terms of exponents of Jacquet modules. However we use only those along σ -split parabolic subgroups (see 1.5). In our previous work [KT] (and also in Lagier [L]), a canonical mapping $r_P : (V^*)^H \rightarrow (V_P^*)^{M \cap H}$ was introduced for each σ -split parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ (see 3.2). Now, for a given $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$ and a σ -split parabolic subgroup P with the (σ, F) -split component S_P (see 1.5), we put

$$\mathcal{E}xp_{S_P}(\pi_P, r_P(\lambda)) = \left\{ \chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_P}(\pi_P) \left| \begin{array}{l} r_P(\lambda) \neq 0 \text{ on the generalized} \\ \chi\text{-eigenspace in } V_P \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

The main theorem of this paper is the following (Theorem 4.7):

Main Theorem. *The representation π is H-square integrable with respect to λ if and only if for every σ -split parabolic subgroup P , the condition $|\chi(s)| < 1$ holds for all $\chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_P}(\pi_P, r_P(\lambda))$ and $s \in S_P^- \setminus Z_G S_P^1$.*

This is an analogue, and even a generalization, of Casselman's criterion. Actually, if one applies the above theorem to the symmetric space $G/H = (G_1 \times G_1)/G_1$ where the involution is the permutation of two factors (referred to as the *group case*), then one recovers Casselman's criterion for the group G_1 .

Let us summarize the contents of this paper. In Section 1 we prepare notation and several definitions used throughout. In Section 2, we recall the analogue of Cartan decomposition for p -adic symmetric spaces given by

Benoist-Oh [BO] and Delorme-Sécherre [DS]. After that, we give two ingredients for the proof of the main theorem; a disjointness assertion (Proposition 2.3) and some volume estimate (Proposition 2.6). Section 3 is essentially a recollection of [KT, §5] and [L, §2] on the asymptotic behavior of H -matrix coefficients described by the mapping r_P . Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem. We give simple examples of H -square integrable representations in Section 5.

1. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

1.1. Basic notation.

Let F be a non-archimedean local field with the absolute value $|\cdot|_F$. The valuation ring of F is denoted by \mathcal{O}_F and the order of the residue field of F by q_F . Throughout this paper, we assume that the residual characteristic of F is not equal to 2. Let $\nu_F : F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote the additive valuation defined by

$$|x|_F = q_F^{-\nu_F(x)} \quad (x \in F^\times).$$

Let \mathbf{G} be a connected reductive group defined over F and σ an F -involution on \mathbf{G} . The F -subgroup $\{h \in \mathbf{G} \mid \sigma(h) = h\}$ consisting of all σ -fixed points of \mathbf{G} is denoted by \mathbf{H} . Let \mathbf{Z} be the F -split component of \mathbf{G} , that is, the largest F -split torus lying in the center of \mathbf{G} . Note that \mathbf{Z} is σ -stable. We put

$$\mathbf{Z}_0 = (\{z \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \sigma(z) = z^{-1}\})^0,$$

which we shall call *the (σ, F) -split component of \mathbf{G}* . Here and henceforth, $(\)^0$ stands for the identity component in the Zariski topology.

The group $\mathbf{G}(F)$ consisting of all the F -rational points of \mathbf{G} is denoted by G . Similarly, for any F -subgroup \mathbf{R} of \mathbf{G} , we shall write $R = \mathbf{R}(F)$.

For a connected F -group \mathbf{M} , let $X^*(\mathbf{M})$ (resp. $X^*(\mathbf{M})_F$) denote the free \mathbb{Z} -module of rational (resp. F -rational) characters of \mathbf{M} . If \mathbf{A} is an F -split torus, one has $X^*(\mathbf{A}) = X^*(\mathbf{A})_F$. Let \mathbf{M} be a connected reductive F -group with its F -split component \mathbf{A} . We put

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{M}} = \text{Hom}(X^*(\mathbf{M})_F, \mathbb{R}).$$

The natural homomorphism $X^*(\mathbf{M})_F \rightarrow X^*(\mathbf{A})$ defined by restriction induces an isomorphism

$$(1.1.1) \quad \text{Hom}(X^*(\mathbf{A}), \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{M}}.$$

We define a homomorphism

$$\nu_M : M = \mathbf{M}(F) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_M$$

by

$$\langle \alpha, \nu_M(m) \rangle = \nu_F(m^\alpha)$$

for all $m \in M$ and $\alpha \in X^*(\mathbf{M})_F$. We can define $\langle \alpha, \nu_M(m) \rangle$ also for $\alpha \in X^*(\mathbf{A})$ through the identification (1.1.1). The kernel of ν_M is denoted by M^1 . Note that $M^1 = \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ for an F -split torus \mathbf{A} .

1.2. H -matrix coefficients of representations.

Let $C^\infty(G/H)$ denote the space of all smooth \mathbb{C} -valued functions on G/H on which G acts by left translation. A smooth representation (π, V) of G is said to be H -distinguished if $(V^*)^H \neq \{0\}$. Take a non-zero $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$ and consider the functions on G given by

$$\varphi_{\lambda, v}(g) = \langle \lambda, \pi(g^{-1})v \rangle \quad (g \in G)$$

for $v \in V$. We call these functions H -matrix coefficients of π defined by λ . Let us identify right H -invariant functions on G with functions on G/H . Then, H -matrix coefficients belong to $C^\infty(G/H)$ and the mapping

$$T_\lambda : V \rightarrow C^\infty(G/H), \quad T_\lambda(v) = \varphi_{\lambda, v}$$

gives a G -morphism. Any realization of V in $C^\infty(G/H)$ is determined by an H -invariant linear form in this way.

Let ω_0 be a quasi-character of $Z_0 = \mathbf{Z}_0(F)$. A smooth representation (π, V) is called an ω_0 -representation if Z_0 acts on V by the character ω_0 . If (π, V) is an H -distinguished ω_0 -representation, then for any $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$, the image $T_\lambda(V)$ of V is contained in the space $C_{\omega_0}^\infty(G/H)$ consisting of functions $\varphi \in C^\infty(G/H)$ which satisfy

$$\varphi(zgH) = \omega_0(z)^{-1}\varphi(gH) \quad (z \in Z_0, gH \in G/H).$$

1.3. H -square integrability.

Both G and H are unimodular groups. Thus the quotient space G/Z_0H carries a left G -invariant measure, which is denoted by $\int_{G/Z_0H} dg$. Let ω_0 be a unitary character of Z_0 . We define $L_{\omega_0}^2(G/H)$ to be the space of (L^2 -classes of) all functions $\varphi \in C_{\omega_0}^\infty(G/H)$ satisfying

$$\int_{G/Z_0H} |\varphi(g)|^2 dg < \infty.$$

Take a non-zero $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. We say that an H -distinguished ω_0 -representation (π, V) is H -square integrable with respect to λ if the H -matrix coefficients $\varphi_{\lambda, v}$ defined by λ are square integrable on G/Z_0H for all $v \in V$, or equivalently, if $T_\lambda(V)$ is contained in $L_{\omega_0}^2(G/H)$. Note that this definition agrees with the one given in the Introduction, since $Z_G H/Z_0H$ is compact. Irreducible H -square integrable representations are said to be *in the discrete series for G/H* .

In [KT], we have put the following definition: An H -distinguished admissible ω_0 -representation (π, V) of G is said to be (H, λ) -relatively cuspidal if all the H -matrix coefficients of π defined by λ are compactly supported modulo Z_0H . We gave examples of such representations in [KT, §8]. It is clear from the definition that (H, λ) -relatively cuspidal ω_0 -representations are H -square integrable with respect to λ provided that ω_0 is unitary.

1.4. Tori and roots associated to symmetric spaces.

We shall fix notation on tori, roots, and parabolic subgroups associated to the involution σ . For the reference, see [HH] and also [KT, §2].

A torus \mathbf{S} is said to be (σ, F) -split if it is F -split and $\sigma(s) = s^{-1}$ for all $s \in \mathbf{S}$. We fix a maximal (σ, F) -split torus \mathbf{S}_0 of \mathbf{G} and a maximal F -split torus \mathbf{A}_θ containing \mathbf{S}_0 . Then \mathbf{A}_θ is necessarily σ -stable, so σ acts naturally on $X^*(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$.

Let $\Phi \subset X^*(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$ be the root system of $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}_\theta)$. It is σ -stable. We choose a σ -basis Δ of Φ that has the property

$$\alpha > 0, \sigma(\alpha) \neq \alpha \implies \sigma(\alpha) < 0$$

in the corresponding order. The subset of all σ -fixed roots in Φ (resp. Δ) is denoted by Φ_σ (resp. Δ_σ).

Let $p : X^*(\mathbf{A}_\theta) \rightarrow X^*(\mathbf{S}_0)$ be the homomorphism defined by restriction to \mathbf{S}_0 . It is surjective and its kernel coincides with the submodule of all σ -fixed elements of $X^*(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$. Let us put

$$\bar{\Phi} = p(\Phi) \setminus \{0\} \quad \left(= p(\Phi \setminus \Phi_\sigma) \right).$$

It is well-known that $\bar{\Phi}$ is a root system in $X^*(\mathbf{S}_0)$ with a basis

$$\bar{\Delta} = p(\Delta) \setminus \{0\} \quad \left(= p(\Delta \setminus \Delta_\sigma) \right).$$

For each subset \bar{I} of $\bar{\Delta}$, we consider the subset

$$[\bar{I}] := (p^{-1}(\bar{I}) \cap \Delta) \cup \Delta_\sigma$$

of Δ . In this paper we say that a subset of Δ is σ -split if it is of the form $[\bar{I}]$ for some $\bar{I} \subset \bar{\Delta}$. This terminology agrees with that in [KT, 2.3]. The correspondence $\bar{I} \mapsto [\bar{I}]$ is an inclusion-preserving bijection between subsets of $\bar{\Delta}$ and σ -split subsets of Δ . The inverse of this correspondence is given by $I \mapsto p(I \setminus \Delta_\sigma)$ for a σ -split subset I of Δ .

Note that maximal proper σ -split subsets of Δ are written in the form $[\bar{\Delta} \setminus \{\bar{\alpha}\}]$ for some $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Delta}$.

1.5. Parabolic subgroups associated to symmetric spaces.

A parabolic F -subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} is said to be σ -split if \mathbf{P} and $\sigma(\mathbf{P})$ are opposite. In such a case, we always take $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{P} \cap \sigma(\mathbf{P})$ for a (σ -stable) Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P} .

Let \mathbf{P}_θ be the minimal parabolic F -subgroup of \mathbf{G} corresponding to the choice of Δ as in 1.4. The centralizer $Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{A}_\theta)$ of \mathbf{A}_θ in \mathbf{G} is denoted by \mathbf{M}_θ , which is a Levi subgroup of \mathbf{P}_θ . Put $\mathbf{M}_0 = Z_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{S}_0)$ and $\mathbf{P}_0 = \mathbf{P}_\theta \mathbf{M}_0$. Then \mathbf{P}_0 is a minimal σ -split parabolic F -subgroup of \mathbf{G} with a σ -stable Levi subgroup \mathbf{M}_0 . Let \mathbf{U}_0 be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P}_0 .

For each subset I of Δ , let \mathbf{P}_I denote the standard parabolic F -subgroup (that contains \mathbf{P}_θ) of \mathbf{G} corresponding to I . If I is a σ -split subset of Δ , then \mathbf{P}_I is σ -split. Standard σ -split parabolic F -subgroups of \mathbf{G} correspond to σ -split subsets of Δ in this way. Furthermore, it is remarked in [KT,

2.5] that any σ -split parabolic F -subgroup of \mathbf{G} is of the form $\gamma^{-1}\mathbf{P}_I\gamma$ for some σ -split $I \subset \Delta$ and $\gamma \in (\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{H})(F)$. For each σ -split $I \subset \Delta$, we put $\mathbf{M}_I = \mathbf{P}_I \cap \sigma(\mathbf{P}_I)$. Note that $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta_\sigma}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\Delta_\sigma}$ coincide with \mathbf{P}_0 and \mathbf{M}_0 respectively. Let \mathbf{U}_I be the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P}_I , so that we have a Levi decomposition $\mathbf{P}_I = \mathbf{M}_I\mathbf{U}_I$. The F -split component (resp. (σ, F) -split component) of \mathbf{M}_I is denoted by \mathbf{A}_I (resp. \mathbf{S}_I). These are also called the F -split and (σ, F) -split component of \mathbf{P}_I respectively.

We can describe σ -split parabolic subgroups and their (σ, F) -split components also by using subsets of the restricted basis $\overline{\Delta}$ through the bijective correspondence $\overline{I} \leftrightarrow I = [\overline{I}]$ in 1.4. We shall occasionally use notation based on restricted roots if it is convenient. For each subset \overline{I} of $\overline{\Delta}$, we put

$$\mathbf{S}_{\overline{I}} = \left(\bigcap_{\overline{\alpha} \in \overline{I}} \ker(\overline{\alpha} : \mathbf{S}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m) \right)^0.$$

It is easy to see the following equalities:

$$(1.5.1) \quad \mathbf{S}_0 = \mathbf{S}_{\overline{I}} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\overline{\Delta} \setminus \overline{I}}, \quad \mathbf{S}_{\overline{I}} \cap \mathbf{S}_{\overline{\Delta} \setminus \overline{I}} = \mathbf{S}_{\overline{\Delta}} = \mathbf{Z}_0.$$

Observe that if $\overline{I} \subset \overline{\Delta}$ corresponds to a σ -split subset $I \subset \Delta$, then $\mathbf{S}_{\overline{I}}$ coincides with \mathbf{S}_I .

For a positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$ and a σ -split subset I of Δ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} S_I^-(\varepsilon) &= \{s \in S_I \mid |s^\alpha|_F \leq \varepsilon \ (\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I)\}, \\ S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon) &= \left\{ s \in S_0 \mid \begin{array}{l} |s^\alpha|_F \leq \varepsilon \ (\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I), \\ |s^\alpha|_F \leq 1 \ (\alpha \in I) \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$${}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) = \left\{ s \in S_0 \mid \begin{array}{l} |s^\alpha|_F \leq \varepsilon \ (\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I), \\ \varepsilon < |s^\alpha|_F \leq 1 \ (\alpha \in I) \end{array} \right\}.$$

For example, $S_I^-(\varepsilon)$ can be written also as

$$(1.5.2) \quad S_I^-(\varepsilon) = \left\{ s \in S_I = S_{\overline{I}} \mid |s^{\overline{\alpha}}|_F \leq \varepsilon \ (\overline{\alpha} \in \overline{\Delta} \setminus \overline{I}) \right\}$$

if I corresponds to $\overline{I} \subset \overline{\Delta}$ as in 1.4. We abbreviate $S_I^-(1)$ as S_I^- and write

$$S_0^- (= S_{\Delta_\sigma}^-(1)) = \left\{ s \in S_0 \mid |s^\alpha|_F \leq 1 \ (\alpha \in \Delta) \right\}.$$

It is obvious from the definition that

$$S_I^-(\varepsilon) \subset {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) \subset S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon) \subset S_0^-,$$

and that

$$\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon' \implies S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon) \subset S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon').$$

1.6. Lemma. *Let I be a σ -split subset of Δ .*

(1) *For any positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$, there exists a positive real number $\varepsilon' \leq 1$ such that*

$$S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon') \subset S_I^-(\varepsilon) \cdot S_0^-.$$

(2) For any positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$, there exist a positive real number ε' and finitely many elements t_1, \dots, t_k of S_0^- such that

$${}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) \subset \bigcup_i S_I^-(\varepsilon') t_i Z_0 S_0^1.$$

Moreover one can take $\varepsilon' \leq 1$ if ε is sufficiently small.

(3) For any positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$, one has a decomposition

$$S_0^- = \bigcup_{I \subset \Delta: \sigma\text{-split}} {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) \text{ (disjoint)}$$

where I ranges over all σ -split subsets of Δ .

Proof. The proof of (1) is exactly the same as that of [C, 4.3.1]. Regard the union in (3) as

$$\bigcup_{\bar{I} \subset \bar{\Delta}} {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) \quad (I = [\bar{I}])$$

where \bar{I} ranges over all subsets of $\bar{\Delta}$ including the empty set. Then the assertion of (3) can be seen by the same way as in [C, remark preceding 4.3.4]. For (2), let \bar{I} be the subset of $\bar{\Delta}$ corresponding to I . First observe that $S_{\bar{I}} \cdot S_{\bar{\Delta} \setminus \bar{I}}$ is of finite index in S_0 by (1.5.1). We can take a finite set Γ_I of representatives of $S_0 / (S_{\bar{I}} \cdot S_{\bar{\Delta} \setminus \bar{I}})$ from S_0^- . We put

$$c = c_I = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma_I, \bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Delta} \setminus \bar{I}} (|\gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F)$$

and take $t_1, \dots, t_k \in S_0^-$ so that $\bigcup_i t_i Z_0 S_0^1$ contains the subset

$$\left\{ s \in S_0^- \mid \begin{array}{l} \varepsilon < |s^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F \leq 1 \ (\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{I}), \\ c \leq |s^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F \leq 1 \ (\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Delta} \setminus \bar{I}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Now, let us write $s \in {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)$ as $s = s_1 s_2 \gamma$ with $s_1 \in S_I = S_{\bar{I}}$, $s_2 \in S_{\bar{\Delta} \setminus \bar{I}}$, and $\gamma \in \Gamma_I$. We show that $s_1 \in S_{\bar{I}}^-(\varepsilon')$ for some ε' and that $s_2 \gamma \in \bigcup_i t_i Z_0 S_0^1$. For any $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Delta} \setminus \bar{I}$, we have

$$|s_1^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F \cdot c \leq |(s_1 \gamma)^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F = |s^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore s_1 belongs to $S_{\bar{I}}^-(\varepsilon')$ for $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon c^{-1}$ (see (1.5.2)). Note that $\varepsilon' \leq 1$ if $\varepsilon \leq c = c_I$. On the other hand, we have

$$\varepsilon < |s^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F = |(s_2 \gamma)^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F \leq 1$$

for each $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{I}$, while

$$c \leq |\gamma^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F = |(s_2 \gamma)^{\bar{\alpha}}|_F \leq 1$$

for each $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Delta} \setminus \bar{I}$. This completes the proof. \square

2. RELATIVE CARTAN DECOMPOSITION

In this section we recall the analogue of Cartan decomposition for p -adic symmetric spaces given by Benoist-Oh [BO] and Delorme-Sécherre [DS]. Concerning this decomposition, we shall give a disjointness result (Proposition 2.3) and some volume estimate (Proposition 2.6). These will be key ingredients for the proof of the main theorem in Section 4.

2.1. The analogue of Cartan decomposition.

Let us fix the data $(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{A}_\emptyset, \Delta)$ as in 1.4. We shall write $S_I^+(\varepsilon)$, $S_{0,I}^+(\varepsilon)$, and ${}_I S_0^+(\varepsilon)$ for the set of all elements s such that s^{-1} belong to $S_I^-(\varepsilon)$, $S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon)$, and ${}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon)$ respectively. We recall the analogue of Cartan decomposition given in [BO] and [DS]. Let us state it in the following form as in [KT, 3.4]: There exists a compact subset Ω of G and a finite subset Γ of $(\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{H})(F)$ such that

$$G = \Omega S_0^+ \Gamma H.$$

2.2. Groups with Iwahori factorization.

Let K be a σ -stable open compact subgroup of G and $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}$ a σ -split parabolic subgroup of \mathbf{G} standard with respect to Δ . We put $\mathbf{P}^- = \sigma(\mathbf{P})$ and $\mathbf{U}^- = \sigma(\mathbf{U})$, so that $\mathbf{P} \cap \mathbf{P}^- = \mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{P}^- = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}^-$. We say that K has the *Iwahori factorization with respect to \mathbf{P}* if the product map induces a bijection

$$U_K^- \times M_K \times U_K \simeq K,$$

where $U_K^- = U^- \cap K$, $M_K = M \cap K$, and $U_K = U \cap K$.

Let K_{\max} be an \mathbf{A}_\emptyset -good maximal compact subgroup of G . We take a σ -stable open compact subgroup K_0 , having the Iwahori factorization with respect to \mathbf{P}_0 , such that $S_0^1 \subset K_0 \subset K_{\max}$. For example, it suffices to take $K_0 = B \cap \sigma(B)$ for an Iwahori subgroup B contained in K_{\max} . Choose a finite set $\Xi \subset G$ such that $\Omega \subset \bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi} \xi K_0$. We may decompose G as

$$G = \bigcup_{\xi \in \Xi, \gamma \in \Gamma} \bigcup_{s \in S_0^+ / S_0^1} \xi K_0 s \gamma H,$$

hence

$$(2.2.1) \quad G/Z_0 H = \bigcup_{\xi, \gamma} \bigcup_{s \in S_0^+ / Z_0 S_0^1} \xi K_0 s \gamma H / H.$$

It is not known whether these are disjoint. However we can assert the following.

2.3. Proposition. *For each $\gamma \in (\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{H})(F)$, the union*

$$\bigcup_{s \in S_0^+ / S_0^1} K_0 s \gamma H$$

is disjoint.

Proof. We use the mapping $\tau : G \rightarrow G$ given by $\tau(g) = g\sigma(g)^{-1}$ and the action

$$(g, x) \mapsto g * x = gx\sigma(g)^{-1} \quad (g \in G, x \in \tau(G))$$

of G on $\tau(G)$ to study cosets modulo H . Suppose that $K_0 s_1 \gamma H = K_0 s_2 \gamma H$ for $s_1, s_2 \in S_0^+$. Applying τ , we have

$$K_0 * (s_1^2 m_\gamma) = K_0 * (s_2^2 m_\gamma)$$

where $m_\gamma = \tau(\gamma) \in M_0$. There is an element $k \in K_0$ such that $k * (s_1^2 m_\gamma) = s_2^2 m_\gamma$. Thus

$$k s_1^2 m_\gamma = s_2^2 m_\gamma \sigma(k).$$

Using the Iwahori factorization, write k and $\sigma(k) \in K_0$ as

$$k = u_1^- m_1 u_1, \quad \sigma(k) = u_2^- m_2 u_2$$

where $u_i^- \in (U_0^-)_{K_0}$, $m_i \in (M_0)_{K_0}$, and $u_i \in (U_0)_{K_0}$. Then we have

$$u_1^- m_1 u_1 s_1^2 m_\gamma = s_2^2 m_\gamma u_2^- m_2 u_2,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} & u_1^- \cdot (m_1 s_1^2 m_\gamma) \cdot (m_\gamma^{-1} (s_1^2)^{-1} u_1 s_1^2 m_\gamma) \\ &= (m_\gamma s_2^2 u_2^- (s_2^2)^{-1} m_\gamma^{-1}) \cdot (m_\gamma s_2^2 m_2) \cdot u_2. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of expressions in $U_0^- M_0 U_0$, we must have

$$(2.3.1) \quad m_1 s_1^2 m_\gamma = m_\gamma s_2^2 m_2.$$

Now we use the usual Cartan decomposition (see e.g. [W, 1.1(4)]) for M_0 to write $m_\gamma \in M_0$ as

$$m_\gamma = k_1 a k_2 \quad (k_1, k_2 \in M_0 \cap K_{\max}, a \in \overline{M}_\emptyset^{\Delta_{\sigma,+}}).$$

Here $\overline{M}_\emptyset^{\Delta_{\sigma,+}}$ denotes the set of elements m of M_\emptyset satisfying

$$\langle \alpha, \nu_{M_\emptyset}(m) \rangle \leq 0$$

for all $\alpha \in \Delta_\sigma$. Note that $m_1, m_2 \in M_0 \cap K_0 \subset M_0 \cap K_{\max}$, and $S_0^+ \subset \overline{M}_\emptyset^{\Delta_{\sigma,+}}$. Since s_1^2 and s_2^2 are central in M_0 , we have

$$m_1 k_1 \cdot (s_1^2 a) \cdot k_2 = k_1 \cdot (s_2^2 a) \cdot k_2 m_2$$

by (2.3.1). From the uniqueness of Cartan decomposition, we may conclude that

$$s_1^2 a \equiv s_2^2 a \pmod{M_\emptyset^1}.$$

Since $M_\emptyset^1 \cap S_0 = S_0^1$, we have $s_1^2 \equiv s_2^2 \pmod{S_0^1}$, and in turn, $s_1 \equiv s_2 \pmod{S_0^1}$. \square

2.4. Some volume computation.

Let us fix a left G -invariant measure $\int_{G/H} dg$ on the quotient space G/H . For each open compact subgroup K of G and an element $a \in G$, the set KaH/H is open and compact in G/H . Let $\int_{KaH/H} dg$ denote the restriction of $\int_{G/H} dg$ to the open subset KaH/H .

We have to evaluate the volume of $K_0s\gamma H/H$. For this purpose we first need to take a σ -stable open compact subgroup K from *an adapted family* given in [KT, 4.3]: Besides the Iwahori factorization, we need a good filtration ([KT, 4.3 (2)]) inside K (and its subgroups) to use the result [KT, 4.6]. Then we can compute the volume of KsH/H for such a K and $s \in S_0^+$.

Let δ_P denote the modulus character of $P = \mathbf{P}(F)$ for a parabolic F -subgroup \mathbf{P} of \mathbf{G} .

2.5. Lemma. *If K is a σ -stable open compact subgroup as above, then*

$$\text{vol}(KsH/H) = \delta_{P_0}(s) \cdot \text{vol}(KeH/H)$$

for all $s \in S_0^+$.

Proof. We use the mapping τ to identify $\tau(G)$ with G/H and transport the left G -invariant measure on G/H to the measure on $\tau(G)$ invariant under the $*$ -action of G . The subset KsH/H is identified with $(Ks) * e$ and

$$\text{vol}((Ks) * e) = \text{vol}(s * ((s^{-1}Ks) * e)) = \text{vol}((s^{-1}Ks) * e)$$

by the invariance under $*$. Put $K_s = s^{-1}Ks$. The Iwahori factorization

$$K = U_{0,K}^- M_{0,K} U_{0,K}$$

of K with respect to \mathbf{P}_0 implies

$$K_s = (s^{-1}U_{0,K}^- s) \cdot M_{0,K} \cdot (s^{-1}U_{0,K} s)$$

for $s \in S_0^+$ with

$$s^{-1}U_{0,K}^- s \supset U_{0,K}^-, \quad s^{-1}U_{0,K} s \subset U_{0,K}.$$

Put $K'_s = K_s \cap \sigma(K_s) (= s^{-1}Ks \cap sKs^{-1})$. It is σ -stable and has a factorization

$$K'_s = (sU_{0,K}^- s^{-1}) M_{0,K} (s^{-1}U_{0,K} s).$$

We have $K'_s \subset K$. So we may apply [KT, 4.6] to the group K'_s , which asserts that

$$s^{-1}U_{0,K} s \subset (sU_{0,K}^- s^{-1}) M_{0,K} H.$$

As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_s * e &= (s^{-1}U_{0,K}^- s) M_{0,K} (s^{-1}U_{0,K} s) * e \\ &\subset (s^{-1}U_{0,K}^- s) M_{0,K} (sU_{0,K}^- s^{-1}) M_{0,K} * e = (s^{-1}U_{0,K}^- s) M_{0,K} * e. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that $(s^{-1}U_{0,K}^-)M_{0,K} = P_0^- \cap K_s$. This shows that the orbit $(P_0^- \cap K_s) * e$ of the subgroup $P_0^- \cap K_s$ of K_s coincides with the whole K_s -orbit $K_s * e$. Now, fix Haar measures du^- and dm on $s^{-1}U_{0,K}^-$ and $M_{0,K}$ respectively. The $(P_0^- \cap K_s)$ -invariant measure

$$f \mapsto \int_{s^{-1}U_{0,K}^-} \int_{M_{0,K}} f(u^- * (m * e)) dm du^-$$

on the orbit $K_s * e$ has to be K_s -invariant. Consequently, the volume of $K_s * e$ is proportional to

$$\text{vol}(s^{-1}U_{0,K}^-) \cdot \text{vol}(M_{0,K}) = \text{vol}(U_{0,K}^-) \cdot \delta_{P_0}(s) \cdot \text{vol}(M_{0,K}),$$

which is a constant multiple of $\delta_{P_0}(s)$. The constant turns out to be the volume of KeH/H , if we consider $s = e$. \square

2.6. Proposition. *Let K be an arbitrary open compact subgroup of G . For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exist positive real constants c_1 and c_2 such that*

$$c_1 \cdot \delta_{P_0}(s) \leq \text{vol}(Ks\gamma H/H) \leq c_2 \cdot \delta_{P_0}(s)$$

for all $s \in S_0^+$.

Proof. We put $K' = \gamma^{-1}K\gamma$, $\mathbf{S}'_0 = \gamma^{-1}\mathbf{S}_0\gamma$, and $\mathbf{P}'_0 = \gamma^{-1}\mathbf{P}_0\gamma$. Then \mathbf{P}'_0 is a σ -split parabolic subgroup with the (σ, F) -split component \mathbf{S}'_0 . We have

$$\text{vol}(Ks\gamma H/H) = \text{vol}(\gamma K' \gamma^{-1} s \gamma H/H) = \text{vol}(K' s' H/H)$$

where $s' = \gamma^{-1}s\gamma \in (S'_0)^+ = \gamma^{-1}S_0^+\gamma$. Take a member K'' from the adapted family (corresponding to the γ -conjugated data) such that $K'' \subset K'$. Then we have

$$\text{vol}(K'' s' H/H) \leq \text{vol}(K' s' H/H) \leq [K' : K''] \cdot \text{vol}(K'' s' H/H).$$

Thus the claim follows from Lemma 2.5. \square

3. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF H -MATRIX COEFFICIENTS

In this section we shall describe asymptotic behavior of H -matrix coefficients through the mapping r_P (see 3.2). This section is essentially a recollection of [KT, §5] and [L, §2].

From now on, we shall briefly say that P is a σ -split parabolic subgroup of G if P is the group of F -points of a σ -split parabolic F -subgroup $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_I$ of \mathbf{G} etc, by abuse of terminology.

3.1. Normalized Jacquet modules.

For an admissible representation (π, V) of G and a parabolic subgroup $P = MU$ of G , the normalized Jacquet module of π along P is denoted by (π_P, V_P) : The space V_P is defined as the quotient $V/V(U)$ where $V(U)$ is the subspace of V spanned by all the elements of the form $\pi(u)v - v$ ($u \in U$, $v \in V$). The action π_P of M on V_P is normalized so that

$$\pi_P(m)j_P(v) = \delta_P^{-1/2}(m)j_P(\pi(m)v)$$

for $m \in M$ and $v \in V$ where $j_P : V \rightarrow V_P$ denotes the canonical projection.

3.2. The mapping r_P .

When $P = MU$ is a σ -split parabolic subgroup, we have defined in [KT] a linear mapping

$$r_P : (V^*)^H \rightarrow (V_P^*)^{M \cap H}$$

between the spaces of invariant linear forms. If $v \in V$ is a canonical lifting (in the sense of [C, §4]) of $\bar{v} \in V_P$ with respect to a suitable σ -stable open compact subgroup (a member in an *adapted family* in [KT, 4.3]), then $r_P(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$ is defined by

$$(3.2.1) \quad \langle r_P(\lambda), \bar{v} \rangle = \langle \lambda, v \rangle$$

(see [KT, 5.3 (2) and 5.4]). The same mapping was constructed independently by N. Lagier [L] in a different manner. P. Delorme extended the construction of such mappings to any smooth representations in [D].

In [KT, §5], we gave asymptotic behavior of H -matrix coefficients through the mapping r_P . The result [KT, Proposition 5.5] can be extended to the next proposition. This is a generalization of Casselman's result [C, 4.3.3] to symmetric spaces, and was already proved essentially in [L, Théorème 2]. The proof provided by [L] invokes Casselman's result itself. We shall give a proof which do not rely on [C, loc.cit] (but follow the lines similar to [C] as we did in [KT, §5]). It would yield [C, loc.cit] when we apply this to the *group case*.

3.3. Proposition. *Let I be a σ -split subset of Δ and $P = P_I$ the corresponding σ -split parabolic subgroup with the (σ, F) -split component $S = S_I$. Let (π, V) be an admissible representation of G and $V_1 \subset V$ a finite dimensional subspace. Then there exists a positive real number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_I \leq 1$ such that*

$$\langle \lambda, \pi(s)v \rangle = \delta_P^{1/2}(s) \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(s)j_P(v) \rangle$$

for all $s \in S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon)$, $v \in V_1$, and $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$.

Proof. For a compact subgroup K of G , let $p_K : V \rightarrow V^K$ denote the projection defined by $p_K(v) = \int_K \pi(k)v dk$. For an open compact subgroup K of G (from the adapted family), there is a positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$ such that the space $p_K(\pi(s)V^K)$ does not depend on $s \in S_I^-(\varepsilon)$ and is isomorphic to $(V_P)^{M_K}$ by the restriction of $j_P : V \rightarrow V_P$. The vectors in $p_K(\pi(s)V^K)$ are called canonical liftings over $(V_P)^{M_K}$ with respect to K . First, using (1) of Lemma 1.6, we can even construct the space $p_K(\pi(s)V^K)$ of canonical liftings by taking s from $S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon)$ (replacing ε suitably). This step is entirely the same as the derivation of [C, 4.3.2] from [C, 4.3.1]. Next, choose K small enough so that $V_1 \subset V^K$. Then, $\pi(s)v \in V^{M_{0,K}U_{0,K}^-}$ for each $v \in V_1$ and $s \in S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon)$. By [KT, 5.3 (1)], we have

$$\langle \lambda, \pi(s)v \rangle = \langle \lambda, p_{U_{0,K}}(\pi(s)v) \rangle = \langle \lambda, p_K(\pi(s)v) \rangle.$$

Since $p_K(\pi(s)v)$ is now a vector in the space of canonical liftings, this is further equal to

$$(3.3.1) \quad \langle r_P(\lambda), j_P(p_K(\pi(s)v)) \rangle = \langle r_P(\lambda), j_P(p_{U_0, K}(\pi(s)v)) \rangle$$

by the definition (3.2.1) of $r_P(\lambda)$. We use the decomposition $U_0 = U \cdot U'$ where $U' = M \cap U_0$. This implies that $U_{0, K} = U_K \cdot U'_K$, hence $p_{U_0, K} = p_{U_K} \circ p_{U'_K}$. Since $j_P \circ p_{U_K} = j_P$ and $U'_K \subset M$, the right hand side of (3.3.1) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_P(\lambda), j_P(p_{U'_K}(\pi(s)v)) \rangle &= \langle r_P(\lambda), p_{U'_K}(j_P(\pi(s)v)) \rangle \\ &= \langle r_P(\lambda), p_{U'_K}(\delta_P^{1/2}(s)\pi_P(s)j_P(v)) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, as a vector in the representation π_P of M , $j_P(v)$ is M_K -fixed and thus $\pi_P(s)j_P(v) \in (V_P)^{M_{0, K}(U_{0, K}^- \cap M)}$. Applying [KT, 5.3 (1)] for the $M \cap H$ -invariant linear form $r_P(\lambda)$, we have

$$\langle r_P(\lambda), p_{U'_K}(\pi_P(s)j_P(v)) \rangle = \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(s)j_P(v) \rangle.$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.4. Remark. When we take a σ -split parabolic subgroup P without specifying the initial data $(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{A}_\emptyset, \Delta)$, we may think of P as a standard one P_I for a suitable choice of $(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{A}_\emptyset, \Delta)$ and $I \subset \Delta$. However, sometimes we have to fix the data $(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{A}_\emptyset, \Delta)$ and deal with an arbitrary (possibly non-standard) σ -split parabolic subgroup written in the form $P = \gamma^{-1}P_I\gamma$ with $\gamma \in (\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{H})(F)$. The relation as in 3.3 for such a P can be derived in the same way, and is presented as follows: There exists a positive real number $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\gamma, I} \leq 1$ such that

$$(3.4.1) \quad \langle \lambda, \pi(\gamma^{-1}s\gamma)v \rangle = \delta_P^{1/2}(\gamma^{-1}s\gamma) \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(\gamma^{-1}s\gamma)j_P(v) \rangle$$

for all $s \in S_{0, I}^-(\varepsilon)$, $v \in V_1$, and $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$.

4. THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prepare notation on exponents, give a preliminary result (Proposition 4.3) on the relation between H -square integrability and exponents, and establish a criterion for H -square integrability (Theorem 4.7). We also give a non-trivial relation between H -square integrability and the usual square integrability (Proposition 4.10).

4.1. Exponents.

Let Z_1 be a closed subgroup of the center of G and $\mathcal{X}(Z_1)$ be the set of all quasi-characters of Z_1 . For a smooth representation (π, V) of G and a quasi-character $\omega \in \mathcal{X}(Z_1)$, we put

$$V_{\omega, \infty} = \left\{ v \in V \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{There exists a } d \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that} \\ (\pi(z) - \omega(z))^d v = 0 \text{ for all } z \in Z_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

This is a G -submodule of V . We put

$$\mathcal{E}xp_{Z_1}(V) = \mathcal{E}xp_{Z_1}(\pi) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{X}(Z_1) \mid V_{\omega, \infty} \neq 0\}.$$

If (π, V) is finitely generated and admissible, then the set $\mathcal{E}xp_{Z_1}(\pi)$ is finite and V has a direct sum decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \mathcal{E}xp_{Z_1}(\pi)} V_{\omega, \infty}$$

(see [C, 2.1.9]). Let Z_1 and Z_2 be closed subgroups of the center of G such that $Z_1 \supset Z_2$. As is easily seen, the mapping

$$\mathcal{E}xp_{Z_1}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xp_{Z_2}(\pi)$$

defined by restriction is surjective.

4.2. Exponents along parabolic subgroups.

Let (π, V) be a finitely generated admissible representation of G . For each parabolic subgroup P of G with the F -split component A , we consider the finite set $\mathcal{E}xp_A(\pi_P)$. The elements of $\mathcal{E}xp_A(\pi_P)$ are called *exponents of π along P* . If P is a σ -split parabolic subgroup with the (σ, F) -split component S , we also consider the finite set $\mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P)$. The mapping

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathcal{E}xp_A(\pi_P) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P)$$

defined by restriction is surjective.

Let $P_1 = M_1U_1$ and $P_2 = M_2U_2$ be σ -split parabolic subgroups of G with the (σ, F) -split components S_1 and S_2 respectively. If $P_1 \subset P_2$, then $M_1 \subset M_2$ and $S_1 \supset S_2$. The intersection $M_2 \cap P_1$ is a σ -split parabolic subgroup of M_2 having M_1 as a σ -stable Levi subgroup. As is well-known, $(\pi_{P_2})_{M_2 \cap P_1}$ is naturally isomorphic to π_{P_1} as an M_1 -module. Through this isomorphism, it is easy to see that

$$(4.2.2) \quad \chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_1}(\pi_{P_1}) \implies \chi|_{S_2} \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_2}(\pi_{P_2}).$$

In the course of the proofs in this section, we need to fix the initial data $(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{A}_\emptyset, \Delta)$ as in 1.4. In dealing with an arbitrary σ -split parabolic subgroup P , we have to write it as $P = \gamma^{-1}P_I\gamma$ by a σ -split subset $I \subset \Delta$ and an element $\gamma \in (\mathbf{M}_0\mathbf{H})(F)$. The (σ, F) -split component of P is then written as $S = \gamma^{-1}S_I\gamma$. We also write $S^- = \gamma^{-1}S_I^-(1)\gamma$ in such a case.

Now, for a given finitely generated admissible representation (π, V) of G , let us consider the following condition on a σ -split parabolic subgroup P :

$$(\sharp_P) \quad |\chi(s)| < 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P) \text{ and all } s \in S^- \setminus Z_0S^1.$$

4.3. Proposition. *Let ω_0 be a unitary character of Z_0 and (π, V) a finitely generated H -distinguished admissible ω_0 -representation of G . If the condition (\sharp_P) is satisfied for every σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G , then (π, V) is H -square integrable with respect to any $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$.*

Proof. Let Γ , K_0 , Ξ be as in 2.2 for the data $(\mathbf{S}_0, \mathbf{A}_\emptyset, \Delta)$. Take a non-zero vector $v_0 \in V$ and let V_0 be the finite dimensional subspace of V generated by $\pi(k^{-1}\xi^{-1})v_0$ ($k \in K_0$, $\xi \in \Xi$). Further, let V_1 be the finite dimensional subspace of V generated by $\pi(\gamma^{-1})v$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma$, $v \in V_0$). We take a positive real number $\varepsilon \leq 1$ satisfying

- $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\gamma, I}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all σ -split $I \subset \Delta$ where $\varepsilon_{\gamma, I}$ is such that (3.4.1) is valid for all $v \in V_1$, and
- $\varepsilon \leq c_I$ for all σ -split $I \subset \Delta$ where c_I is the constant given in the proof of Lemma 1.6.

We use a disjoint decomposition

$$S_0^+ = \bigcup_{I \subset \Delta: \sigma\text{-split}} {}_I S_0^+(\varepsilon)$$

obtained from Lemma 1.6 (3) for the number ε as above. Let us put

$$G_{I, \gamma} = \bigcup_{\dot{s} \in {}_I S_0^+(\varepsilon)/Z_0 S_0^1} K_0 \dot{s} \gamma H$$

for each σ -split subset $I \subset \Delta$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then, by (2.2.1) we have

$$G/Z_0 H = \bigcup_{\xi, \gamma, I} \xi G_{I, \gamma}/H.$$

Now we start to evaluate the L^2 -norm of the H -matrix coefficient φ_{λ, v_0} . It is clear that

$$\int_{G/Z_0 H} |\varphi_{\lambda, v_0}(g)|^2 dg \leq \sum_{\xi, \gamma, I} \left(\int_{\xi G_{I, \gamma}/H} |\varphi_{\lambda, v_0}(g)|^2 dg \right).$$

It is enough to study the convergence of

$$\int_{\xi G_{I, \gamma}/H} |\varphi_{\lambda, v_0}(g)|^2 dg = \int_{G_{I, \gamma}/H} |\varphi_{\lambda, \pi(\xi^{-1})v_0}(g)|^2 dg$$

for each ξ , γ and I . So the proof of the proposition is completed once the following claim is shown.

Claim. *If (\sharp_P) is satisfied for $P = \gamma^{-1}P_I\gamma$, then*

$$\int_{G_{I, \gamma}/H} |\varphi_{\lambda, v}(g)|^2 dg < \infty$$

for all $v \in V_0$.

Let us prove this. It is obvious that

$$(4.3.1) \quad \int_{G_{I, \gamma}/H} |\varphi_{\lambda, v}(g)|^2 dg \leq \sum_{\dot{s} \in {}_I S_0^+(\varepsilon)/Z_0 S_0^1} \int_{K_0 \dot{s} \gamma H/H} |\varphi_{\lambda, v}(g)|^2 dg.$$

There is an element $k_0 \in K_0$ such that

$$|\varphi_{\lambda, v}(k \dot{s} \gamma h)|^2 \quad (k \in K_0)$$

attains the maximum at $k = k_0$. We have

$$(4.3.2) \quad \int_{K_0 \dot{s}\gamma H/H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg \leq \text{vol}(K_0 \dot{s}\gamma H/H) \cdot |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(k_0 \dot{s}\gamma h)|^2 \\ \leq C \cdot \delta_{P_0}(\dot{s}) \cdot |\varphi_{\lambda,\pi(k_0^{-1})v}(\dot{s}\gamma)|^2$$

for some positive real constant C which does not depend on \dot{s} by 2.6. We may replace $\pi(k_0^{-1})v \in V_0$ by v . Applying Proposition 3.3 (or 3.4) along $P = \gamma^{-1}P_I\gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\lambda,v}(\dot{s}\gamma) &= \langle \lambda, \pi(\gamma^{-1}\dot{s}^{-1})v \rangle = \langle \lambda, \pi(\gamma^{-1}\dot{s}^{-1}\gamma)\pi(\gamma^{-1})v \rangle \\ &= \delta_P(\gamma^{-1}\dot{s}^{-1}\gamma)^{1/2} \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(\gamma^{-1}\dot{s}^{-1}\gamma)j_P(\pi(\gamma^{-1})v) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

since $\dot{s}^{-1} \in {}_I S_0^-(\varepsilon) \subset S_{0,I}^-(\varepsilon)$ and $\pi(\gamma^{-1})v \in V_1$. Next, we use Lemma 1.6 (2) to write

$$\dot{s}^{-1} = \dot{s}_I \cdot t_i, \quad \dot{s}_I \in S_I^-(\varepsilon')/Z_0 S_I^1,$$

where $\varepsilon' \leq 1$ by our choice of ε . Putting $\pi_P(\gamma^{-1}t_i\gamma)j_P(\pi(\gamma^{-1})v) = \bar{v}$ for simplicity, we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda,v}(\dot{s}\gamma) = \delta_{P_I}(\dot{s}_I t_i)^{-1/2} \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(\gamma^{-1}\dot{s}_I\gamma)\bar{v} \rangle.$$

The function $\langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(\cdot)\bar{v} \rangle$ on $S = \gamma^{-1}S_I\gamma$ is S -finite. Thus it can be written as

$$(4.3.3) \quad \langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(s')\bar{v} \rangle = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{E}x p_S(\pi_P)} \chi(s') \mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s'))$$

for all $s' \in S$ using suitable polynomials \mathcal{P}_χ on \mathfrak{a}_S (see [W, I.2]). Let us write $s'_I = \gamma^{-1}\dot{s}_I\gamma$. Then, returning to (4.3.2), we have a bound for $\int_{K_0 \dot{s}\gamma H/H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & C \cdot \delta_{P_0}(\dot{s}_I t_i) \delta_{P_I}(\dot{s}_I t_i)^{-1} \left| \sum_{\chi} \chi(s'_I) \mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s'_I)) \right|^2 \\ &= C \cdot \delta_{P_0}(t_i) \delta_{P_I}(t_i)^{-1} \left| \sum_{\chi} \chi(s'_I) \mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s'_I)) \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \cdot \delta_{P_0} \delta_{P_I}^{-1}(t_i) \sum_{\chi, \chi'} \left| \chi \chi'(s'_I) \mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s'_I)) \mathcal{P}_{\chi'}(\nu_S(s'_I)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $\delta_{P_0} \equiv \delta_{P_I}$ on S_I . Returning further to (4.3.1), the integral $\int_{G_{I,\gamma}/H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg$ is bounded by

$$\sum_{\dot{s}_I \in S_I^-(\varepsilon')/Z_0 S_I^1} \sum_i \delta_{P_0} \delta_{P_I}^{-1}(t_i) \sum_{\chi, \chi'} \left| \chi \chi'(s'_I) \mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s'_I)) \mathcal{P}_{\chi'}(\nu_S(s'_I)) \right|.$$

Now, we may regard $S_I^-(\varepsilon')/Z_0S_I^1$ as a set of lattice points in a positive cone. Then the infinite sum

$$\sum_{\dot{s}_I \in S_I^-(\varepsilon')/Z_0S_I^1} \left| \chi\chi'(s'_I)\mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s'_I))\mathcal{P}_{\chi'}(\nu_S(s'_I)) \right|$$

is essentially a power series with polynomial coefficients. This series converges if $|\chi(s'_I)| < 1$ for all χ and $s'_I = \gamma^{-1}\dot{s}_I\gamma$ with $\dot{s}_I \in S_I^-(\varepsilon')/Z_0S_I^1$, except for those $\dot{s}_I \in Z_0S_I^1$ which represent the origin in the lattice. Thus the condition (\sharp_P) for $P = \gamma^{-1}P_I\gamma$ is sufficient for the convergence of $\int_{G_{I,\gamma}/H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg$. \square

4.4. Exponents with respect to λ .

Let (π, V) be a smooth representation of G and take an H -invariant linear form λ on V . We define

$$\mathcal{E}xp_{Z_0}(\pi, \lambda) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{E}xp_{Z_0}(\pi) \mid \lambda|_{V_{\omega,\infty}} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Assume that (π, V) is finitely generated and admissible. For each σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G with the (σ, F) -split component S , we consider the subset $\mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P, r_P(\lambda))$ of $\mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P)$. As a relation similar to (4.2.2), we have the following.

4.5. Lemma. *Let P_1 and P_2 be σ -split parabolic subgroups of G such that $P_1 \subset P_2$, with the (σ, F) -split components S_1 and S_2 respectively. Then,*

$$\chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_1}(\pi_{P_1}, r_{P_1}(\lambda)) \implies \chi|_{S_2} \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_2}(\pi_{P_2}, r_{P_2}(\lambda)).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_1}(\pi_{P_1}, r_{P_1}(\lambda))$. For each $\bar{v}_1 \in (V_{P_1})_{\chi,\infty}$, we can take a $\bar{v}_2 \in (V_{P_2})_{\chi|_{S_2},\infty}$ such that $j_{M_2 \cap P_1}(\bar{v}_2) = \bar{v}_1$ (in the notation of 4.2) regarding (4.2.2). Applying Proposition 3.3 to the $M_2 \cap H$ -matrix coefficients, we have

$$\langle r_{P_2}(\lambda), \pi_{P_2}(s)\bar{v}_2 \rangle = \delta_{M_2 \cap P_1}(s)^{1/2} \langle r_{M_2 \cap P_1}(r_{P_2}(\lambda)), \pi_{P_1}(s)\bar{v}_1 \rangle$$

at least for some $s \in S_1$. The right hand side is written as

$$\delta_{M_2 \cap P_1}(s)^{1/2} \langle r_{P_1}(\lambda), \pi_{P_1}(s)\bar{v}_1 \rangle$$

by the transitivity result $r_{M_2 \cap P_1} \circ r_{P_2} = r_{P_1}$ given in [KT, Proposition 5.9] (and also [L, Théorème 3]). Hence $r_{P_2}(\lambda)$ cannot be identically zero on $(V_{P_2})_{\chi|_{S_2},\infty}$ provided that $r_{P_1}(\lambda)|_{(V_{P_1})_{\chi,\infty}} \neq 0$. \square

Fix a non-zero $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$. Let us take up the following condition on a σ -split parabolic subgroup P :

$$(\sharp_{P,\lambda}) \quad |\chi(s)| < 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P, r_P(\lambda)) \text{ and all } s \in S^- \setminus Z_0S^1.$$

4.6. Lemma. *If the condition $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ holds for every maximal σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G , then it holds for every σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G .*

Proof. It is enough to derive $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ for a standard $P = P_I$ assuming $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ for all maximal standard P . For a given σ -split subset I of Δ , let us write

$$\overline{\Delta} \setminus \overline{I} = \{\overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_r\}.$$

For each i , let \mathbf{P}_i be the maximal standard σ -split parabolic subgroup corresponding to $[\overline{\Delta} \setminus \{\overline{\alpha}_i\}]$ (see the remark at the end of 1.4). These are maximal σ -split parabolic subgroups containing \mathbf{P}_I . The (σ, F) -split component \mathbf{S}_i of \mathbf{P}_i is given by

$$(4.6.1) \quad \mathbf{S}_i = \mathbf{S}_{\overline{\Delta} \setminus \{\overline{\alpha}_i\}} = \left(\bigcap_{\overline{\alpha} \neq \overline{\alpha}_i} \ker(\overline{\alpha}) \right)^0.$$

The (σ, F) -split component $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_I$ of $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}_I$ can be decomposed as $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_2 \dots \mathbf{S}_r$, so that $S_1 S_2 \dots S_r$ is of finite index in S . Thus for any given $s \in S^-$, there exists an integer m such that $s^m \in S_1 S_2 \dots S_r$. We may write $s^m = s_1 s_2 \dots s_r$ ($s_i \in S_i$). From (4.6.1) it is easy to see that $s_i \in S_i^-$ for each i . Now, for any $\chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P, r_P(\lambda))$, we have $\chi|_{S_i} \in \mathcal{E}xp_{S_i}(\pi_{P_i}, r_{P_i}(\lambda))$ by Lemma 4.5. Therefore, assumptions $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ for all $P = P_i$ imply that

$$|\chi(s)|^m = |\chi(s^m)| = |\chi(s_1)| |\chi(s_2)| \dots |\chi(s_r)| < 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we shall state the main theorem of this paper.

4.7. Theorem. *Let ω_0 be a unitary character of Z_0 and (π, V) a finitely generated H -distinguished admissible ω_0 -representation of G . Then, for a non-zero H -invariant linear form λ on V , the representation (π, V) is H -square integrable with respect to λ if and only if the condition $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ is satisfied for every σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G .*

Proof. Note that only the exponents in $\mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P, r_P(\lambda))$ contribute to the evaluation of $\int_{G/Z_0H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg$ in the proof of Proposition 4.3, specifically at the expression (4.3.3). So the *if part* is already proved in 4.3. To prove the *only if part*, suppose that $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ fails for some σ -split parabolic subgroup P . By Lemma 4.6, we may suppose that P is a maximal one, say, $P = \gamma^{-1} P_I \gamma$ with $I = [\overline{\Delta} \setminus \{\overline{\alpha}\}]$ for some $\overline{\alpha} \in \overline{\Delta}$. Then there exists an exponent $\chi \in \mathcal{E}xp_S(\pi_P, r_P(\lambda))$ and an element $s = \gamma^{-1} s_I \gamma \in S^- \setminus Z_0 S^1$, $s_I \in S_I^- \setminus Z_0 S_I^1$, such that $|\chi(s)| \geq 1$. Take a vector $\bar{v} \in (V_P)_{\chi, \infty}$ with $\langle r_P(\lambda), \bar{v} \rangle \neq 0$ and let $v \in V$ be such that $j_P(\pi(\gamma^{-1})v) = \bar{v}$.

From Proposition 2.3, the union $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} K_0 s_I^{-n} \gamma H$ is disjoint. So it is enough to see that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \int_{K_0 s_I^{-n} \gamma H/H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg$$

is divergent. Take an open compact subgroup $K \subset K_0$ which fixes v . For each n , the function $\varphi_{\lambda,v}$ is constant on $Ks_I^{-n}\gamma H$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{K_0s_I^{-n}\gamma H/H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg &\geq \int_{Ks_I^{-n}\gamma H/H} |\varphi_{\lambda,v}(g)|^2 dg \\
 &= \text{vol}(Ks_I^{-n}\gamma H/H) |\langle \lambda, \pi(\gamma^{-1}s_I^n)v \rangle|^2 \\
 (4.7.1) \qquad &= C \cdot \delta_{P_0}(s_I^{-n}) |\langle \lambda, \pi(\gamma^{-1}s_I^n\gamma)\pi(\gamma^{-1})v \rangle|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant C by Proposition 2.6. Now, let us take $\varepsilon \leq 1$ such that the relation (3.4.1) holds for all $s \in S_I^-(\varepsilon)$. Since $I = [\overline{\Delta} \setminus \{\overline{\alpha}\}]$ here, the set $S_I^-(\varepsilon)$ is described as

$$S_I^-(\varepsilon) = \{s \in S_I \mid |s^{\overline{\alpha}}|_F \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

The element $s_I \in S_I \setminus Z_0S_I^1$ satisfies $|(s_I)^{\overline{\alpha}}|_F < 1$. Thus we can take an integer N such that $s_I^n \in S_I^-(\varepsilon)$ for all $n \geq N$. As a result, (4.7.1) continues as

$$\begin{aligned}
 C \cdot \delta_{P_0}(s_I^{-n}) \delta_{P_I}(s_I^n) |\langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(\gamma^{-1}s_I^n\gamma)j_P(\pi(\gamma^{-1})v) \rangle|^2 \\
 = C \cdot |\langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(s^n)\bar{v} \rangle|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $n \geq N$. Since $\bar{v} \in (V_P)_{\chi,\infty}$, the function $\langle r_P(\lambda), \pi_P(\cdot)\bar{v} \rangle$ on S is written just as $\chi(\cdot)\mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(\cdot))$ by a single polynomial \mathcal{P}_χ on \mathfrak{a}_S . Finally, the series

$$\sum_{n \geq N} |\chi(s^n)\mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s^n))|^2 = \sum_{n \geq N} |\chi(s)|^{2n} |\mathcal{P}_\chi(\nu_S(s^n))|^2$$

is obviously divergent, hence the proof is completed. \square

4.8. *Remark.* We have actually shown that the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (π, V) is H -square integrable with respect to λ .
- (ii) $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ is satisfied for every σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G .
- (iii) $(\sharp_{P,\lambda})$ is satisfied for every maximal σ -split parabolic subgroup P of G .

See [W, III.1.1] for a similar statement on the usual square integrability.

4.9. H -square integrability and the usual square integrability.

Let $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{V})$ be the contragredient of (π, V) . For $v \in V$ and $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$, the usual matrix coefficient $c_{\tilde{v},v}$ is defined by

$$c_{\tilde{v},v}(g) = \langle \tilde{v}, \pi(g^{-1})v \rangle.$$

Let ω be a unitary character of the F -split component Z of G . A smooth ω -representation (π, V) of G is said to be square integrable if the functions $|c_{\tilde{v},v}(\cdot)|$ are square integrable on G/Z for all v and \tilde{v} . For the F -split component $A = A_I$ of a parabolic subgroup $P = P_I$ of G , the dominant part A^- of A is defined by

$$A^- = \{a \in A \mid |a^\alpha| \leq 1 (\alpha \in \Delta \setminus I)\}.$$

There is a well-known criterion for square integrability due to Casselman ([C, 4.4.6]). In terms of normalized Jacquet modules, it is stated as follows.

Casselman's criterion. *A finitely generated admissible ω -representation (π, V) of G is square integrable if and only if for every parabolic subgroup P , $|\chi(a)| < 1$ holds for all $\chi \in \text{Exp}_A(\pi_P)$ and $a \in A^- \setminus ZA^1$.*

Although the usual square integrability and H -square integrability are different notions, we have the following relation.

4.10. Proposition. *Let ω be a unitary character of Z and (π, V) a finitely generated admissible ω -representation of G . If (π, V) is square integrable and is H -distinguished, then it is H -square integrable for all $\lambda \in (V^*)^H$.*

Proof. This is immediate from Casselman's criterion and Proposition 4.3, in view of the surjectivity of (4.2.1). \square

An example of square integrable H -distinguished representations can be found in [H, §7] for the symmetric space $\text{GL}_2(E)/\text{GL}_2(F)$ where E/F is a quadratic extension.

5. EXAMPLES OF H -SQUARE INTEGRABLE REPRESENTATIONS

We shall give two simple examples of H -square integrable representations which are not square integrable. In both cases, there are constructions ([D] and [HR]) of an H -distinguished representation $\pi(\rho)$ attached to a representation ρ of $\text{GL}_2(F)$. We have observed in [KT, §8] that $\pi(\rho)$ is H -relatively cuspidal if ρ is cuspidal. In what follows, we shall observe that $\pi(\rho)$ is H -square integrable if ρ is square integrable.

5.1. The symmetric space $\text{GL}_3(F)/(\text{GL}_2(F) \times \text{GL}_1(F))$.

Let G be the group $\text{GL}_3(F)$ and σ the inner involution $\sigma = \text{Int}(\epsilon)$ defined by the anti-diagonal permutation matrix $\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$. Then the σ -fixed point subgroup H is isomorphic to $\text{GL}_2(F) \times \text{GL}_1(F)$. For this symmetric space, all the irreducible H -distinguished representations were determined by D. Prasad [P]. We shall use notation in [KT, 8.2] for the case $n = 3$.

Let $Q = LU_Q$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of G of type $(1, 2)$. Thus $L \simeq \text{GL}_1(F) \times \text{GL}_2(F)$. Let ρ be an infinite dimensional irreducible admissible representation of $\text{GL}_2(F)$ with trivial central character and form the normalized induction

$$\pi(\rho) = \text{Ind}_Q^G(1_{\text{GL}_1(F)} \otimes \rho)$$

where $1 = 1_{\text{GL}_1(F)}$ denotes the trivial character of $\text{GL}_1(F) = F^\times$. Then $\pi(\rho)$ is irreducible and H -distinguished ([P, Theorem 2 (2)]).

We take a maximal (σ, F) -split torus S_0 as the one consisting of diagonal matrices of the form $\text{diag}(s, 1, s^{-1})$ with $s \in F^\times$, and a maximal F -split torus A_\emptyset of all diagonal matrices. The (σ, F) -split component Z_0 of G is trivial. As a minimal σ -split parabolic subgroup P_0 , we may take the Borel

subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices. In this case, P_0 is the only proper σ -split parabolic of G up to H -conjugacy. Let us determine the exponents of $\pi(\rho)$ along P_0 .

By the Geometric Lemma of [BZ, 2.12], we have

$$(\pi(\rho))_{P_0}^{\text{s.s}} = (\text{Ind}_Q^G(1 \otimes \rho))_{P_0}^{\text{s.s}} \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in [W_L \backslash W]} \mathcal{F}_w(1 \otimes \rho)^{\text{s.s}}$$

where W and W_L denote the Weyl group of A_\emptyset in G and L respectively, $[W_L \backslash W]$ as in [C, 1.1.3], $(\dots)^{\text{s.s}}$ denotes the semisimplified form, and

$$\mathcal{F}_w(1 \otimes \rho) = \text{Ind}_{M_0 \cap wQw^{-1}}^{M_0}(w \cdot (1_{\text{GL}_1(F)} \otimes \rho)_{L \cap w^{-1}P_0w}).$$

The set $[W_L \backslash W]$ consists of three elements. In forms of permutation matrices, those are

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to compute the $M_0 = A_\emptyset$ -module $\mathcal{F}_w(1 \otimes \rho)$ for each w :

$$\mathcal{F}_w(1 \otimes \rho) = w \cdot (1 \otimes \rho_{B_2})$$

where B_2 denotes the Borel subgroup consisting of upper triangular matrices of $\text{GL}_2(F)$.

Now take ρ to be the Steinberg representation St_2 of $\text{GL}_2(F)$. We shall observe that *the irreducible H -distinguished representation $\pi(\text{St}_2)$ is H -square integrable*. As is well-known, $(\text{St}_2)_{B_2}$ is given by the one-dimensional character $\delta_{B_2}^{1/2}$. So the set $\text{Exp}_{A_\emptyset}(\pi(\text{St}_2)_{P_0})$ of exponents of $\pi(\text{St}_2)$ along P_0 consists of three characters χ_1, χ_2, χ_3 respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & a_2 & \\ & & a_3 \end{pmatrix} &= |a_2|_F |a_3|_F^{-1}, & \chi_2 \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & a_2 & \\ & & a_3 \end{pmatrix} &= |a_1|_F |a_3|_F^{-1}, \\ \chi_3 \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & a_2 & \\ & & a_3 \end{pmatrix} &= |a_1|_F |a_2|_F^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since S_0^- (resp. S_0^1) consists of $\text{diag}(s, 1, s^{-1})$ with $|s|_F \leq 1$ (resp. $|s|_F = 1$), we may conclude that the restriction to S_0 of each exponent χ_i satisfies $|\chi_i(s)| < 1$ for all $s \in S_0^- \setminus S_0^1$. Hence the claim follows from Proposition 4.3.

5.2. The symmetric space $\text{GL}_4(F)/\text{Sp}_2(F)$.

Let G be the group $\text{GL}_4(F)$ and σ the involution on G defined by

$$\sigma(g) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} t g^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \quad (g \in G).$$

Then the σ -fixed point subgroup H is the symplectic group $\text{Sp}_2(F)$. For this symmetric space, H -distinguished representations were studied by Heumos-Rallis [HR]. See also [KT, 8.3].

We take

$$S_0 = \{\text{diag}(s_1, s_1, s_2, s_2) \mid s_1, s_2 \in F^\times\}$$

as a maximal (σ, F) -split torus of G . The (σ, F) -split component Z_0 of G consists of all the scalar matrices of G in this case. Let $P_0 = M_0U_0$ be the standard parabolic subgroup of G of type $(2, 2)$. This is the only proper σ -split parabolic subgroup of G up to H -conjugacy. Note that

$$(5.2.1) \quad M_0 \simeq \mathrm{GL}_2(F) \times \mathrm{GL}_2(F), \quad M_0 \cap H \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(F).$$

Let ρ be an irreducible admissible representation of $G_2 := \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ and let us form the normalized induction

$$I(\rho) = \mathrm{Ind}_{P_0}^G (\rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{1/2} \otimes \rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{-1/2}).$$

Then $I(\rho)$ is H -distinguished ([HR, 11.1(a)]). If further ρ is square integrable, then $I(\rho)$ has the unique irreducible quotient $\pi(\rho)$ which also is H -distinguished ([HR, 11.1(b)]).

We shall investigate the M_0 -module $(I(\rho))_{P_0}$ and $M_0 \cap H$ -distinguished components therein. Note that irreducible $M_0 \cap H$ -distinguished M_0 -modules have to be one dimensional according to (5.2.1).

Let W (resp. W_{M_0}) be the Weyl group of (G, A_\emptyset) (resp. of (M_0, A_\emptyset)). Following the definition of [C, 1.1.3], we can give the coset representatives $[W_{M_0} \backslash W / W_{M_0}]$ as

$$e, \quad \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use the abbreviation $[\rho] = \rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{1/2} \otimes \rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{-1/2}$. The Geometric Lemma [BZ, 2.12] asserts that

$$(I(\rho))_{P_0}^{\mathrm{s.s}} \simeq \bigoplus_{w \in [W_{M_0} \backslash W / W_{M_0}]} \mathcal{F}_w([\rho])^{\mathrm{s.s}}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_w([\rho]) = \mathrm{Ind}_{M_0 \cap wP_0w^{-1}}^{M_0} ({}^w([\rho])_{M_0 \cap w^{-1}P_0w}).$$

It is easy to determine the three pieces $\mathcal{F}_w([\rho])$ for each w .

(i) $w = e$: Nothing but

$$\mathcal{F}_w([\rho]) = [\rho],$$

which is not $M_0 \cap H$ -distinguished unless ρ is one dimensional.

(ii) $w = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$: In this case, we have

$$M_0 \cap wP_0w^{-1} = M_0 \cap w^{-1}P_0w = M_0,$$

so that

$$\mathcal{F}_w([\rho]) = {}^w[\rho] = \rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{-1/2} \otimes \rho \cdot |\det(\cdot)|_F^{1/2}.$$

Again this cannot be $M_0 \cap H$ -distinguished unless ρ is one dimensional.

(iii) $w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$: We have

$$M_0 \cap wP_0w^{-1} = M_0 \cap w^{-1}P_0w = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \right\} \simeq B_2 \times B_2$$

where B_2 is as in 5.1. So we may write

$$\mathcal{F}_w([\rho]) = \text{Ind}_{B_2 \times B_2}^{G_2 \times G_2}(w([\rho]_{B_2 \times B_2}))$$

in this case.

Now, take ρ to be the Steinberg representation St_2 of G_2 . We claim that *the irreducible H -distinguished representation $\pi(\text{St}_2)$ is H -square integrable.* It is enough to look at the exponents coming from $M_0 \cap H$ -distinguished components in $\text{I}(\rho)_{P_0}$. So we may look at only the case (iii) above. Put $T_2 = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}) \in B_2\}$. Under this notation, the $T_2 \times T_2$ -module $[\rho]_{B_2 \times B_2}$ is given by

$$\rho_{B_2}|\det(\cdot)|_F^{1/2} \otimes \rho_{B_2}|\det(\cdot)|_F^{-1/2} = \delta_{B_2}^{1/2}|\det(\cdot)|_F^{1/2} \otimes \delta_{B_2}^{1/2}|\det(\cdot)|_F^{-1/2}.$$

This is a character of $T_2 \times T_2$ written as

$$((t_1, t_2), (t_3, t_4)) \mapsto |t_1|_F \cdot |t_4|_F^{-1}.$$

Applying w and inducing up to $G_2 \times G_2$, we have

$$(5.2.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_w([\text{St}_2]) = \text{Ind}_{B_2}^{G_2}(|\cdot|_F \otimes 1) \otimes \text{Ind}_{B_2}^{G_2}(1 \otimes |\cdot|_F^{-1}).$$

This is a reducible principal series having one dimensional quotient. So the only possible element of $\mathcal{E}xp_{S_0}(\pi(\text{St}_2)_{P_0}, r_{P_0}(\lambda))$ (for any $\lambda \in (\pi(\rho)^*)^H$) is the restriction of the central character of (5.2.2), which is given by

$$\chi(\text{diag}(s_1, s_1, s_2, s_2)) = |s_1|_F \cdot |s_2|_F^{-1}.$$

We may conclude that $|\chi(s)| < 1$ for all $s \in S_0^- \setminus Z_0 S_0^1$, hence the claim follows from Theorem 4.7.

REFERENCES

- [BO] Y. Benoist and H. Oh, *Polar decomposition for p -adic symmetric spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2007**, no. 24, Art. ID rnm121, 20pp.
- [BZ] I.N. Bernstein and A.V. Zelevinsky, *Induced representations of p -adic groups.I*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **10** (1977), 441–472.
- [C] W. Casselman, *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups*, Unpublished manuscript, 1974, available at <http://www.math.ubc.ca/people/faculty/cass/research.html>
- [D] P. Delorme, *Constant term of smooth H_ψ -spherical functions on a reductive p -adic group: Application to finiteness theorems*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [DS] P. Delorme and V. Sécherre, *An analogue of the Cartan decomposition for p -adic reductive symmetric spaces*, preprint (2006), arXiv:math.RT/0612545.
- [H] J. Hakim, *Distinguished p -adic representations*, Duke Math. J. **62(1)** (1991), 1–22.
- [HH] A.G. Helminck and G.F. Helminck, *A class of parabolic k -subgroups associated with symmetric k -varieties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 4669–4691.
- [HR] M. J. Heumos and S. Rallis, *Symplectic-Whittaker models for Gl_n* , Pacific J. Math. **146** (1990), 247–279.
- [KT] S. Kato and K. Takano, *Subrepresentation theorem for p -adic symmetric spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2008**, no. 11, Art. ID rnn028, 40pp.
- [L] N. Lagier, *Terme constant de fonctions sur un espace symétrique réductif p -adique*, J. Funct. Anal. **254(4)** (2008), 1088–1145.

- [P] D. Prasad, *On the decomposition of a representation of $GL(3)$ restricted to $GL(2)$ over a p -adic field*, Duke Math. J. **69(1)** (1993), 167–177.
- [W] J-L. Waldspurger, *La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes p -adiques, d'après Harish-Chandra*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2(2)** (2003), 235–333.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606–8502 JAPAN

E-mail address: `skato@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, AKASHI NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, 679-3 NISHIOKA, UOZUMI-CHO, AKASHI 674-8501 JAPAN

E-mail address: `takano@akashi.ac.jp`