

# THE BINOMIAL IDEAL OF THE INTERSECTION AXIOM FOR CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES

ALEX FINK<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** The binomial ideal associated with the intersection axiom of conditional probability is shown to be radical and is expressed as an intersection of toric prime ideals. This resolves a conjecture in algebraic statistics due to Cartwright and Engström.

Conditional independence constraints are a family of natural constraints on probability distributions, describing situations in which two random variables are independently distributed given knowledge of a third. Statistical models built around considerations of conditional independence, in particular *graphical models* in which the constraints are encoded in a graph on the random variables, enjoy wide applicability in determining relationships among random variables in statistics and in dealing with uncertainty in artificial intelligence.

One can take a purely combinatorial perspective on the study of conditional independence, as does Studený [9], conceiving of it as a relation on triples of subsets of a set of observables which must satisfy certain axioms. A number of elementary implications among conditional independence statements are recognised as axioms. Among these are the *semi-graphoid axioms*, which are implications of conditional independence statements lacking further hypotheses, and hence are purely combinatorial statements. The *intersection axiom* is also often added to the collection, but unlike the semi-graphoid axioms it is not uniformly true; it is our subject here.

Formally, a conditional independence model  $\mathcal{M}$  is a set of probability distributions characterised by satisfying several conditional independence constraints. We will work in the discrete setting, where a probability distribution  $p$  is a multi-way table of probabilities, and we follow the notational conventions in [1].

Consider the discrete conditional independence model  $\mathcal{M}$  given by

$$\{X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2 \mid X_3, X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_3 \mid X_2\}$$

where  $X_i$  is a random variable taking values in the set  $[r_i]$ . Throughout we assume  $r_1 \geq 2$ . The set of distributions in the model  $\mathcal{M}$  is the variety whose defining ideal  $I_{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq S = \mathbb{C}[p_{ijk}]$  is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{M}} = & (p_{ijk}p_{i'j'k} - p_{ij'k}p_{i'jk} : i, i' \in [r_1], j, j' \in [r_2], k \in [r_3]) \\ & + (p_{ijk}p_{i'jk'} - p_{ijk'}p_{i'jk} : i, i' \in [r_1], j \in [r_2], k, k' \in [r_3]). \end{aligned}$$

The intersection axiom is the axiom whose premises are the statements of  $\mathcal{M}$  and whose conclusion is  $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp (X_2, X_3)$ . This implication requires the further hypothesis that the distribution  $p$  is in the interior of the probability simplex, i.e. that no individual probability  $p_{ijk}$  is zero. It's thus a natural question to ask what can

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, [finka@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:finka@math.berkeley.edu).

be inferred about distributions  $p$  which may lie on the boundary of the probability simplex. In algebraic terms, we are asking for a primary decomposition of  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ .

Our Proposition 1 proves a conjecture of Dustin Cartwright and Alexander Engström, recorded in [1, p. 152], on the primary decomposition of  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ , characterising it in terms of subgraphs of a complete bipartite graph.

In the course of this project the author carried out computations of primary decompositions for the ideal  $\mathcal{M}_I$  for various values of  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , and  $r_3$  with the computer algebra system Singular [3, 4]. Thomas Kahle has recently written dedicated Macaulay 2 code for binomial primary decompositions [5], in which the same computations may be carried out.

Let  $K_{p,q}$  be the complete bipartite graph with bipartitioned vertex set  $[p] \amalg [q]$ . We say that a subgraph  $G$  of  $K_{r_2, r_3}$  is *admissible* if  $G$  has vertex set  $[r_2] \amalg [r_3]$  and all connected components of  $G$  are isomorphic to some complete bipartite graph  $K_{p,q}$  with  $p, q \geq 1$ .

Let  $p_{ijk}$  be the unknown probability  $P(X_1 = i, X_2 = j, X_3 = k)$  in a distribution from the model  $\mathcal{M}$ . Given a subgraph  $G$  with edge set  $E(G)$ , the prime  $P_G$  to which it corresponds is defined to be

$$(1) \quad P_G = P_G^{(0)} + P_G^{(1)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_G^{(0)} &= (p_{ijk} : i \in [r_1], (j, k) \notin E(G)), \\ P_G^{(1)} &= (p_{i_1 j k} p_{i_2 j' k'} - p_{i_1 j' k'} p_{i_2 j k} : i, i' \in [r_1], \\ &\quad (j, k), (j, k'), (j', k), (j', k') \in E(G)). \end{aligned}$$

That is, for  $(p_{ijk})$  on the variety  $V(P_G)$ ,  $p_{ijk} = 0$  for  $(j, k) \notin E(G)$ , and any pair of vectors  $p_{\cdot j k}$  and  $p_{\cdot j' k'}$  for  $(j, k)$  and  $(j', k')$  two edges in  $E(G)$  incident to a common vertex are proportional. Clearly it follows that this proportionality holds of any two edges in the same connected component of  $E(G)$ . Later we will also want to refer to the individual summands  $P_C$  of  $P_G^{(1)}$ , where  $P_C$  includes only the generators  $\{p_{ijk} : (j, k) \in C\}$  arising from edges in the connected component  $C$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The set of minimal primes of the ideal  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$  is*

$$\{P_G : G \text{ an admissible graph on } [r_2] \amalg [r_3]\}.$$

In particular, the value of  $r_1$  is irrelevant to the combinatorial nature of the primary decomposition.

Proposition 1 was the original conjecture of Cartwright and Engström. It is a purely set-theoretic assertion, and is equivalent to the fact that

$$(2) \quad V(I_{\mathcal{M}}) = \bigcup_G V(P_G)$$

as sets, where the union is over admissible graphs  $G$ . The ideas of a proof of Proposition 1 were anticipated in part 4 of the problem stated in [1, §6.6] which was framed for the prime corresponding to the subgraph  $G$ , the case where the conclusion of the intersection axiom is valid; they extend without great difficulty to the general case. See also [6].

We will prove a stronger ideal-theoretic result. Let  $\prec_{\text{dp}}$  be the revlex term order on  $S$  over the lexicographic variable order on subscripts.

**Theorem 2.** *The primary decomposition*

$$(3) \quad I_{\mathcal{M}} = \bigcap_G P_G$$

holds and is an irredundant decomposition, where the union is over admissible graphs  $G$  on  $[r_2] \amalg [r_3]$ . We moreover have

$$\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} I_{\mathcal{M}} = \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} \bigcap_G P_G = \bigcap_G \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} P_G.$$

Furthermore, each primary component of each  $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} P_G$  is squarefree, so  $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} I_{\mathcal{M}}$  and hence  $I_{\mathcal{M}}$  are radical ideals.

Let  $G$  be an admissible graph. For each connected component  $C \subseteq G$  and fixed  $i$ , the generators of  $P_G^C$  are the determinantal ideal of  $2 \times 2$  minors of the matrix with  $r_1$  rows and columns indexed by  $E(C)$ , whose  $i, (j, k)$  entry is  $p_{ijk}$ . The ideal  $P_G^C$  is prime, and all the other variables appearing individually as generators of  $P_G^{(0)}$ . So  $P_G$  is the sum of a collection of prime ideals generated in disjoint subsets of the unknowns  $p_{ijk}$ , and therefore  $P_G$  is prime.

The irredundance in Theorem 2 is the assertion that for  $G$  and  $G'$  distinct admissible graphs,  $P_G$  does not contain  $P_{G'}$ . As we noted above, thinking of the 3-tensor  $(p_{ijk})$  as a size  $r_2 \times r_3$  table whose entries are vectors  $(p_{\cdot jk})$  of length  $r_1$ , then if  $(p_{ijk}) \in V(P_G)$  all nonzero vectors in each subtable determined by  $G$  are proportional, while vectors outside of any subtable must be the zero vector. So, either  $G$  contains an edge  $(j, k)$  that  $G'$  doesn't, in which case the vector  $(p_{\cdot jk})$  is zero on  $V(G')$  but generically nonzero on  $V(G)$ , or  $G \subseteq G'$  but two edges  $(j, k), (j', k')$  in different components of  $G$  are in the same component of  $G'$ , in which case the vectors  $(p_{\cdot jk})$  and  $(p_{\cdot j'k'})$  are linearly dependent on  $V(G')$  but generically linearly independent on  $V(G)$ . This proves irredundance.

Let  $p = (p_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{C}^{r_1 r_2 r_3}$ , and define  $G(p)$  to be the bipartite graph on  $[r_2] \amalg [r_3]$  with edge set  $\{(j, k) : u_{ijk} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i\}$ . Then the components  $V(P_G)$  containing  $(p_{ijk})$  are exactly those for which  $G$  can be obtained from  $G(p)$  by adding edges which don't unite two connected components of the latter containing respective edges  $(j, k)$  and  $(j', k')$  such that  $p_{\cdot jk}$  and  $p_{\cdot j'k'}$  are not proportional. If  $p$  is generic for its value of  $G(p)$ , then these are exactly those for which  $G$  adds only edges which don't unite two connected components of  $G(p)$ , neither of which is a point.

It is noted in [1, §6.6] that the number  $\eta(p, q)$  of admissible graphs  $G$  on  $[p] \amalg [q]$  is given by the generating function

$$(4) \quad \exp((e^x - 1)(e^y - 1)) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} \eta(p, q) \frac{x^p y^q}{p! q!}.$$

which in that reference is said to follow from manipulations of Stirling numbers. This equation (4) can also be obtained as a direct consequence of a bivariate form of the exponential formula for exponential generating functions [8, §5.1], using the observation that

$$(e^x - 1)(e^y - 1) = \sum_{p, q \geq 1} \frac{x^p y^q}{p! q!}$$

is the exponential generating function for complete bipartite graphs with  $p, q \geq 1$ , and these are the possible connected components of admissible graphs.

We now review some standard facts on binomial and toric ideals [2]. Let  $I$  be a binomial ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , generated by binomials of the form  $x^v - x^w$  with  $v, w \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . There is a lattice  $L_I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that the localisation  $I_{x_1 \dots x_n} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  has the form  $(x^v - 1 : v \in L_I)$ , provided that this localisation is a proper ideal, i.e.  $I$  contains no monomial. If  $\phi_I : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map whose kernel is  $L_I$ , then  $\phi_I$  provides a multigrading with respect to which  $I$  is homogeneous. In statistical terms  $\phi_I$  computes the *minimal sufficient statistics* for the statistical model associated to  $I$ .

Given a multivariate Laurent polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ ,  $f$  lies in  $I_{x_1 \dots x_n}$  if and only if, for each fiber  $F$  of  $\phi_I$ , the sum of the coefficients on all monomials  $x^v$  with  $v \in F$  is zero. With respect to  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  a modified statement holds, as follows. For each fiber  $F$ , consider the graph  $G_F$  with  $V(G_F)$  the set of vectors in  $F$  with all entries nonnegative, and  $E(G_F) = \{(v, w) : x^v - x^w \text{ is a monomial multiple of a generator of } I\}$ . In the statistical context these edges are known as *moves*. Then  $f$  lies in  $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  if and only if, for each connected component  $C$  of each  $G_F$ , the sum of the coefficients on all monomials  $x^v$  with  $v \in C$  is zero.

Viewing  $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  as the ideal of a toric variety associated to the lattice polytope  $A$ , Sturmfels in [7] shows that the radicals of the monomial initial ideals of  $I$  are exactly the Stanley-Reisner ideals of regular triangulations of  $A$ . The *Stanley-Reisner ideal*  $I_\Delta$  of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on a set  $T$  is the monomial ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[x_t : t \in T]$  generated by the products of variables  $x_{t_1} \dots x_{t_k}$  for which  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  is not a face of  $\Delta$ . Every squarefree monomial ideal is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of some simplicial complex, and primary decompositions of Stanley-Reisner ideals are easily described:  $I_\Delta$  is the intersection of the ideals  $(x_t : t \notin F)$  over all facets  $F$  of  $\Delta$ .

Sturmfels also treats explicitly the ideal  $I$  of  $2 \times 2$  minors of an  $r \times s$  matrix  $Y = (y_{ij})$ , of which  $P_K := P_{K_{r_2 r_3}}$  is a particular case. In this case the polytope  $A$  is the product of two simplices,  $\Delta_{r-1} \times \Delta_{s-1}$ .

**Theorem 3** ([7]). *Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $2 \times 2$  minors of an  $r \times s$  matrix of indeterminates. For any term order  $\prec$ ,  $\text{in}_\prec I$  is a squarefree monomial ideal.*

This immediately yields the radicality claim of Theorem 2: the  $\text{in}_\prec P_G$  are square-free monomial ideals, so their associated primes are generated by subsets of the variables  $\{p_{ijk}\}$ .

We repeat from [7] one especially describable example of an initial ideal of this ideal  $I$ , namely  $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} I$ , corresponding to the case that  $\Delta$  is the so-called staircase triangulation. Then the vertices of the simplices of  $\Delta$  correspond to those sets  $\pi$  of entries of the matrix  $Y$  which form paths through  $Y$  starting at the upper-left corner, taking only steps right and down, and terminating at the lower left corner. Hence to each such  $\pi$  corresponds one primary component  $Q_{G, \pi}$ , generated by all  $(r-1)(s-1)$  indeterminates not lying on  $\pi$ . Alternatively, these primes  $Q_{G, \pi}$  are generated by exactly the minimal subsets of the indeterminates  $x_{ij}$  which include at least one of  $x_{ij'}$  and  $x_{i'j}$  whenever  $i < i'$  and  $j < j'$ .

This framework suffices to understand the primary decomposition of  $\text{in}_\prec P_G$  for an arbitrary admissible graph  $G$ . Let the connected components of  $G$  be  $C_1, \dots, C_l$ , so that, from (1),  $\text{in}_\prec P_G$  is the sum of the ideal  $\text{in}_\prec P_G^{(0)} = P_G^{(0)}$  and the various ideals  $\text{in}_\prec P_{C_i}$ , and moreover the summands are in disjoint sets of variables. Suppose

that  $\text{in}_{\prec} P_{C_i} = \bigcap_j Q_{C_i,j}$  are primary decompositions of the  $\text{in}_{\prec} P_{C_i}$ . Then we have the primary decomposition

$$\text{in}_{\prec} P_G = \bigcap_{\mathbf{j}} \left( P_G^{(0)} + \sum_{i=1}^l \text{in}_{\prec} Q_{C_i, j_i} \right)$$

where  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$  ranges over the Cartesian product of the index sets of the primary decompositions of the  $\text{in}_{\prec} P_{C_i}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Write  $I = I_{\mathcal{M}}$ . It is apparent that  $I \subseteq P_G$  for each  $G$ : given a generator  $f$  of  $I$ , without loss of generality of the shape  $f = p_{ijk}p_{i'j'k} - p_{ij'k}p_{i'jk}$ , either both edges  $(j, k)$  and  $(j', k)$  lie in  $E(G)$ , in which  $f$  is a generator of  $P_G^{(1)}$ , or one of these edges is not in  $E(G)$ , in which case  $f \in P_G^{(0)}$ . Therefore the containments

$$\text{in}_{\prec} I \subseteq \text{in}_{\prec} \bigcap_G P_G \subseteq \bigcap_G \text{in}_{\prec} P_G$$

hold. It now suffices to show an equality of Hilbert functions

$$(5) \quad H(S/\text{in}_{\prec} I) = H(S/\bigcap_G \text{in}_{\prec} P_G).$$

The lattice  $L_I$  associated to  $I$  is generated by all vectors of the forms  $e_{ijk} + e_{i'j'k} - e_{ij'k} - e_{i'jk}$  and  $e_{ijk} + e_{i'jk'} - e_{ijk'} - e_{i'jk}$ . The theorem on Markov bases of models from decomposable simplicial complexes [1, Theorem 1.2.15] implies that the map  $\phi_I : \mathbb{Z}^{r_1 r_2 r_3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + r_2 r_3}$  sending  $(u_{ijk})$  to

$$\left( \sum_{(j,k)} u_{1jk}, \dots, \sum_{(j,k)} u_{r_1jk}, \sum_i u_{i11}, \dots, \sum_i u_{ir_2r_3} \right)$$

has kernel  $L_I$  and thus induces a multigrading on  $S$  making  $I$  homogeneous. Note that  $\phi_I(u_{ijk})$  is componentwise nonnegative if  $u_{ijk}$  is. In fact the equality (5) holds of the multigraded Hilbert functions for this multigrading, and we prove it in this form.

Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + r_2 r_3}$  be a multidegree, and let  $u \in (\mathbb{N}_{\geq 0})^{r_1 r_2 r_3}$  be the exponent vector of a monomial  $p^u \in S$  with multidegree  $d$ . Construct the bipartite graph  $G(d)$  with

$$V(G(d)) = [r_2] \amalg [r_3], \quad E(G(d)) = \{(j, k) : u_{ijk} \neq 0 \text{ for some } i\}.$$

Observe that  $G(d)$  depends only on  $d$ .

*The left side of (5).* We have  $H(I) = H(\text{in } I)$ , so we want to compute the dimensions of the multigraded components of  $S/I$ . Given any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + r_2 r_3}$ , the images of monomials in  $S/I$  in multidegree  $d$  are in bijection with the connected components of the graph  $G_F$  on the nonnegative part of the fiber  $F = \phi_I^{-1}(d)$ , by the discussion preceding Theorem 3.

Given a bipartite graph  $C$ , let

$$s_{C,i}(u) = \sum_{(j,k) \in E(C)} u_{ijk}.$$

For any connected component  $C$  of  $G(d)$  and any  $i \in [r_1]$ ,  $s_{C,i}(u)$  is constant on connected components of  $G_F$ . The sums  $\sum_{i \in [r_1]} u_{ijk}$  are also constant on  $G_F$ , since  $d$  captures this information.

We claim that any two exponent vectors  $u, u'$  supported on  $i \times E(C)$  such that  $s_{C,i}(u) = s_{C,i}(u')$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum_{i \in [r_1]} u_{ijk} = \sum_{i \in [r_1]} u'_{ijk}$  for all  $j, k$  lie in the same connected component of  $G_F$ . We show inductively that given such  $u$  and  $u'$ , there exists a sequence of moves carrying  $u'$  to  $u$ . The induction proceeds on the size of a set  $E$  of edges  $(j, k) \in E(C)$ , which can be chosen to be any connected set of edges such that  $u_{jk}$  and  $u'_{jk}$  are equal for  $(j, k) \notin E$ .

If  $E$  is nonempty let  $(j, k)$  be an edge of  $E$  whose removal does not disconnect  $E$ . By assumption there exists an index  $i$  such that  $u'_{ijk} < u_{ijk}$ . Let  $j', k'$  be any indices such that  $u'_{ij'k'}$  is positive. By connectedness, there is a path of edges  $e_0 = (j', k'), e_1, \dots, e_l = (j, k)$  of  $E$  such that  $e_i$  and  $e_{i+1}$  share a vertex for each  $i$ . Corresponding to this path there exists a sequence of moves  $(M_m)_{m=0, \dots, l-1}$ , say  $M_m = p^{u_m} - p^{u_{m+1}}$ , where  $u_0 = u'$ , and where  $M_m$  is a monomial multiple of

$$p_{i, e_m} p_{i_m, e_{m+1}} - p_{i_m, e_m} p_{i, e_{m+1}}$$

for some  $i_m \in [r_1]$ . Then  $u_l$  is an exponent vector in the same connected component of  $G_F$  as  $u'$ , with  $(u_l)_{ijk} > u'_{ijk}$ , and  $(u_l)_{ij'k''} = u'_{ij'k''}$  if  $(j'', k'') \notin E(C)$ . Replacing  $u'$  with  $u_l$  and repeating this procedure for various  $i$  as often as necessary, we can reach a vector  $u''$  with  $u''_{i,j,k} = u_{i,j,k}$  for each  $i$ , and still  $u''_{ij'k''} = u'_{ij'k''}$  if  $(j'', k'') \notin E(C)$ . Then induction completes the argument, replacing  $E$  by  $E \setminus \{j, k\}$ .

We have shown that each connected component of  $G_F$  contains exactly those monomials  $u$  with given fixed values of  $s_{C,i}(u)$  for each  $i$  and  $C$  and of  $\sum_i u_{ijk}$  for each  $(j, k)$ . Therefore the number of connected components of  $G_F$  is the number of possible lists of values of these sums consistent with the multidegree of  $p^u$  being  $d$ . This number equals  $H(S/\text{in } I)(d)$ .

*The right side of (5).* Let  $C$  be any connected bipartite graph with  $V(C) \subseteq [r_2] \amalg [r_3]$ . Given any  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{r_1 + r_2 r_3}$  whose components corresponding to edges not in  $E(C)$  are zero, there's a monomial  $p^u \in S$  with  $\phi_I(u) = d$  such that  $p^u$  is not divisible by any  $p_{ij'k'} p_{i'jk}$  for any  $i < i'$  and  $(j, k) < (j', k')$  lexicographically. Indeed,  $p^u$  is the least monomial with its multidegree  $d = \phi_I(u)$  with respect to  $\prec_{\text{dp}}$ . By definition of  $\prec_{\text{dp}}$ , any monomial  $m \in (p_{ij'k'} p_{i'jk})$  is greater than  $m p_{ijk} p_{i'j'k'}/p_{ij'k'} p_{i'jk}$ . So the minimal monomial  $p^u$  has no factors  $p_{ij'k'} p_{i'jk}$ . On the other hand, in any monomial  $p^{u'}$  that is not minimal under  $\prec_{\text{dp}}$  among monomials of multidegree  $d$ , we must have  $u'_{i'j'k'} < u_{i'j'k'}$  where  $i', j', k'$  are the lexicographically last indices at which these exponents differ; then, since  $\phi_I(u) = \phi_I(u')$ , there are indices  $i < i'$ ,  $(j, k) < (j', k')$  with  $u'_{ijk} > u_{ijk} \geq 0$  and  $u'_{ij'k'} > u_{ij'k'} \geq 0$ . In particular  $p^{u'} p_{ijk} p_{i'j'k'}/p_{ij'k'} p_{i'jk}$  is a monomial less than  $p^{u'}$ .

So, choose a multidegree  $d$ . If  $p^u$  is a monomial of multidegree  $d$  lying in  $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} P_G(d)$ , then it's divisible by some  $p_{ij'k'} p_{i'jk}$  with  $i < i'$  in  $[r_1]$  and  $(j, k) < (j', k')$  two edges lying in the same connected component of  $G(d)$ , and one can check that  $p^u$  is contained in every  $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} P_G$ . So a monomial  $p^u$  of multidegree  $d$  is not contained in  $\bigcap_G \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} P_G$  if and only if it is not a multiple of any such  $p_{ij'k'} p_{i'jk}$ . Given the values of  $s_{C,i}(u)$  for each  $i$  and  $C$  and of  $\sum_i u_{ijk}$  for each  $(j, k)$ , there is exactly one such  $p^u$ , by the previous paragraph. Therefore, the monomials of multidegree  $d$  not in  $\bigcap_G \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} P_G$  are in bijection with the possible lists of values of  $s_{C,i}$  and  $\sum_i u_{ijk}$  compatible with  $d$ . This determines  $H(S/\bigcap_G \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{dp}}} P_G)(d)$ , as the answer to the same counting problem as is  $H(S/\text{in } I)(d)$ . So (5) is established.  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Bernd Sturmfels and an anonymous referee with the *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* for careful readings and for several helpful suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Drton, B. Sturmfels and S. Sullivant, *Lectures on Algebraic Statistics*, Oberwolfach Seminars vol. 39, Springer, 2009.
- [2] D. Eisenbud and B. Sturmfels, Binomial ideals, *Duke Math. J.* **84** (1996), 1–45.
- [3] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, H. Schönemann, SINGULAR 3.0 — A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations. In M. Kerber and M. Kohlhase: *Symbolic Computation and Automated Reasoning, The Calculemus-2000 Symposium* (2001), 227–233.
- [4] G.-M. Greuel and G. Pfister, `primdec.lib`, a SINGULAR 3.0 library for computing the primary decomposition and radical of ideals (2005).
- [5] T. Kahle, `Binomials.m2`, code for binomial primary decomposition in Macaulay 2, <http://personal-homepages.mis.mpg.de/kahle/bpd/index.html>.
- [6] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, University Lecture Series, vol. 8, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [7] B. Sturmfels, Gröbner bases of toric varieties, *Tōhoku Math. J.*, **43** (1991), 249–261.
- [8] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics* vol. 2, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [9] M. Studený, *Probabilistic Conditional Independence Structures*, Information Science and Statistics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.