

TWISTED EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY, GROUPOIDS AND PROPER ACTIONS

JOSE CANTARERO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we define twisted equivariant K -theory for actions of Lie groupoids. For a Bredon-compatible Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} , this defines a periodic cohomology theory on the category of finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes with \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles. A classification of these bundles is shown. We also obtain a completion theorem and apply these results to proper actions of groups.

Key words: Twisted K -theory, groupoids, proper actions, completion theorem.
Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 19L47.

1. INTRODUCTION

Complex equivariant K -theory for actions for Lie groupoids was defined in [5] by using a special class of vector bundles, called extendable vector bundles. This defines a cohomology theory on the category of \mathcal{G} -spaces. If we restrict our attention to finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes and Bredon-compatible Lie groupoids, then we have enough extendable vector bundles to obtain equivariant K -theory when the action is given by a Lie group. Moreover, in this case the cohomology theory turns out to be periodic as well.

An interesting feature of these cohomology theories is the invariance under weak equivalence. Since \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes are made out of cells for which the action of the groupoid is equivalent to the action of a compact Lie group on a finite G -CW-complex, we are able to use results of classical equivariant K -theory to prove analogous properties for groupoids.

Atiyah and Segal twist equivariant K -theory for actions of a compact Lie group G using G -stable projective bundles [3]. Since stable projective bundles and sections behave well under weak equivalences, it seems natural to use \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles, which can be defined in an similar way, to twist \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie groupoid and X a \mathcal{G} -space. A \mathcal{G} -projective bundle on X is a \mathcal{G} -space P with a \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $p : P \rightarrow X$ such that there exists an equivariant open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X for which $P|_{U_i} = U_i \times_{\pi} \mathbb{P}(E)$ for some \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle E on G_0 . Moreover, We shall call P a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle if $P \cong P \otimes \pi^* \mathbb{P}(U(\mathcal{G}))$ for some locally universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle $U(\mathcal{G})$ on G_0 .

G -equivariant K -theory can be represented by a space of Fredholm operators on a G -stable Hilbert space. This is used to construct twisted K -theory. For a G -stable projective bundle, we can consider a suitable bundle of Fredholm operators associated to it and define twisted K -theory with the sections of this bundle [3]. For actions of groupoids, we have a representability theorem if we consider not all maps into such a space of Fredholm operators, but only those which are extendable:

Definition 1.2. Let H be a stable representation of \mathcal{G} , that is, a \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on G_0 such that $H \oplus U(\mathcal{G}) = H$. We say that a \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow \text{Fred}'(H)$ is extendable if there is another \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $g : X \rightarrow \text{Fred}'(H)$ such that $gf = v\pi_X$ for some section v of $\text{Fred}'(H) \rightarrow G_0$, where $\pi_X : X \rightarrow G_0$ is the anchor map.

Theorem 1.3. *Let H be a stable representation of a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} . Then:*

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = [X, \text{Fred}'(H)]_{\mathcal{G}}^{\text{ext}}$$

Choosing all sections of the Fredholm bundle corresponds to choosing all vector bundles in the untwisted case. To make these new theories an extension of untwisted K -theory, we need to consider extendable sections. Then we can define twisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory as the group of extendable homotopy classes of extendable sections of a suitable Fredholm bundle, that is homotopy classes where the homotopies run over extendable sections. Extending it to all degrees as in [3], we obtain a cohomology theory:

Theorem 1.4. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, the groups ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X)$ define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded cohomology theory on the category of finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes with \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles, which is a module over untwisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory.*

In the untwisted case, under some conditions, we have a completion theorem that relates the completion of \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory with respect to the augmentation ideal $I_{\mathcal{G}}$ to the non-equivariant K -theory of the Borel construction. The recent completion theorem for twisted equivariant K -theory for actions of compact Lie groups in [15] provides the necessary results to use induction over cells. In the twisted case, however, the completion theorem will relate the completion of twisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory of X with respect to $I_{\mathcal{G}}$ to the twisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory of $X \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}$. Our generalization of the completion theorem in this context is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, X a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex and P a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on X . Then we have an isomorphism of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules:*

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X)_{I_{\mathcal{G}}}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow {}^{P \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G})$$

When S is a Lie group, not necessarily compact, we can define twisted equivariant K -theory for proper actions of S using the groupoid $S \rtimes \underline{E}S$, where $\underline{E}S$ is the universal space for proper actions of S . While in general these actions are not Bredon-compatible, there are some interesting cases in which they are. Some examples are given by proper actions of discrete groups, pro-discrete groups, almost compact groups and matrix groups. They are discussed in more detail in section 5.

The category of \mathcal{G} -orbits behaves similarly to the corresponding category for a compact Lie group. In particular, we are able to use some of these properties to prove an analogue of Elmendorf's construction [7]. This construction is the key to the classification of \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles. In fact, we show that isomorphism classes of \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles over X are classified by $H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(X)$. This is done by constructing a particular model for the space that represents $H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(-)$ which admits a natural \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on it.

2. REPRESENTABLE K -THEORY

In this paper all groupoids are Lie groupoids.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie groupoid and X a \mathcal{G} -space. A \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on X is a \mathcal{G} -space E with an equivariant map $p : E \rightarrow X$ which is also a locally trivial Hilbert bundle with a continuous linear \mathcal{G} -action.

Definition 2.2. A universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on X is a \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle E such that for each Hilbert bundle V on X there exists a \mathcal{G} -equivariant unitary embedding $V \subset E$.

Definition 2.3. A locally universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on X is a \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle E such that there is a countable open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X such that $E|_{U_i}$ is a universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on U_i .

Definition 2.4. A local quotient groupoid is a groupoid \mathcal{G} such that G_0 admits a countable open cover $\{U_i\}$ with the property that $\mathcal{G} \times U_i$ is weakly equivalent to an action groupoid corresponding to the proper action of a compact Lie group G on a finite G -CW-complex.

Corollary 2.5. *A finite Lie groupoid is a local quotient groupoid.*

Proposition 2.6. *If \mathcal{G} is a local quotient groupoid, then there exists a locally universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on G_0 that is unique up to unitary equivalence.*

Proof. See [9]

□

Corollary 2.7. *If \mathcal{G} is a finite Lie groupoid, then there exists a locally universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on G_0 that is unique up to unitary equivalence. We denote it by $U(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proposition 2.8. *Suppose that $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a local equivalence. Then the pullback functor*

$F^* : \{\text{Locally universal } \mathcal{H}\text{-Hilbert bundles on } H_0\} \rightarrow \{\text{Locally universal } \mathcal{G}\text{-Hilbert bundles on } G_0\}$
is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. See [9] □

Let H be a stable representation of \mathcal{G} , that is, a \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle on G_0 such that $H \oplus U(\mathcal{G}) = H$. Consider the associated bundle of Fredholm operators $Fred(H)$ on G_0 , and the subbundle $Fred'(H)$ of operators A for which the action $g \rightarrow gAg^{-1}$ is continuous. See [8] for more details on the correct topology for this space.

Definition 2.9. We say that a \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow Fred'(H)$ is extendable if there is another \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $g : X \rightarrow Fred'(H)$ such that $gf = v\pi_X$ for some section v of $Fred'(H) \rightarrow G_0$, where $\pi_X : X \rightarrow G_0$ is the anchor map.

Definition 2.10. We say that a homotopy $H : X \times I \rightarrow Fred'(H)$ of \mathcal{G} -equivariant maps is extendable if each H_t is an extendable \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $X \rightarrow Fred'(H)$.

Definition 2.11. Let X be a \mathcal{G} -space, H a stable representation of \mathcal{G} and $n \geq 0$. Define the \mathcal{G} -equivariant representable K -theory groups of X to be

$$RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) = [X, \Omega^n Fred'(H)]_{\mathcal{G}}^{ext}$$

where this notation denotes the extendable homotopy classes of extendable \mathcal{G} -maps. For \mathcal{G} -pairs (X, A) , define

$$RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) = Ker[RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X \cup_A X) \xrightarrow{j_2^*} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X)]$$

where $j_2 : X \rightarrow X \cup_A X$ is one of the maps from X to the pushout.

We could have defined the extendable K -groups as in [17]:

$$RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) = Ker[RK_{\mathcal{G}}(X \times S^n) \xrightarrow{i^*} RK_{\mathcal{G}}(X)]$$

where $RK_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = [X, Fred'(H)]_{\mathcal{G}}^{ext}$ and $i : X \rightarrow X \times S^n$ is the inclusion given by fixing a point in S^n . Both definitions are clearly equivalent.

We will now prove this defines a cohomology theory on the category of \mathcal{G} -spaces. Since the definition is given by homotopy classes of maps, the following follows from the definition.

Corollary 2.12. *If $f_0, f_1 : (X, A) \longrightarrow (Y, B)$ are \mathcal{G} -homotopic \mathcal{G} -maps between \mathcal{G} -pairs, then*

$$f_0^* = f_1^* : RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(Y, B) \longrightarrow RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A)$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

The following lemma follows easily from the definitions:

Lemma 2.13. *Let (X, A) be a \mathcal{G} -pair. Suppose that $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$, the disjoint union of open \mathcal{G} -invariant subspaces X_i and set $A_i = A \cap X_i$. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_i, A_i)$$

Lemma 2.14. *Let $\phi : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a \mathcal{G} -equivariant map, H be a stable representation of \mathcal{G} and let $s : X \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ be a \mathcal{G} -extendable map. Then, there is a \mathcal{G} -extendable map $t : Y \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ such that $s' s = t \phi$ for some \mathcal{G} -extendable map $s' : X \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$.*

Proof. Since s is extendable, there is a \mathcal{G} -extendable map $s' : X \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ such that $s' s = v = \pi_X = v \pi_Y \phi$ for some section $v : G_0 \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$. Choose $t = v \pi_Y$. This is a \mathcal{G} -extendable map for it is the pullback of a \mathcal{G} -extendable map and we have $s' s = t \phi$. \square

Lemma 2.15. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X_1 \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X \end{array}$$

be a pushout square of \mathcal{G} -spaces, H a stable representation of \mathcal{G} and i_1 a cofibration. Let $s_k : X_k \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ be \mathcal{G} -extendable maps for $k = 1, 2$ such that $s_1 i_1$ and $s_2 i_2$ are \mathcal{G} -extendable homotopic maps from A to $Fred'(H)$. Then, there is a \mathcal{G} -extendable map $t : X \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ such that $t j_k$ is \mathcal{G} -extendable homotopic to s_k for $k = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let $F : A \times I \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ be a \mathcal{G} -extendable homotopy with $F_0 = s_1 i_1$ and $F_1 = s_2 i_2$. There is $v_2 : G_0 \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ such that $s'_2 s_2 = v_2 \pi_2$ for some $s'_2 : X_2 \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$. By the previous lemma, there is a \mathcal{G} -extendable homotopy $\bar{F} : G_0 \times I \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$ such that $F' F = \bar{F} \circ (\pi_A \times id)$ for some $F' : A \times I \longrightarrow Fred'(H)$. We can also make it satisfy $F'_1 = s'_2 i_2$ by multiplying by a convenient constant homotopy for G_0 .

Now, since $A \times I \xrightarrow{i_1 \times id} X_1 \times I$ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant cofibration, there are $G, G' : X_1 \times I \rightarrow Fred'(H)$ that extend F and F' respectively. Therefore $G'G$ must be an extension of $\bar{F} \circ (\pi_A \times id)$ to $X_1 \times I$. In fact, by the previous lemma, we can choose G and G' so that $G'G = \bar{F}(\pi_1 \times id)$. Therefore G is a \mathcal{G} -extendable homotopy.

Let $G_0 = s_1$ and $G_1 = \tilde{s}_1$. The extendable \mathcal{G} -homotopy classes of these two maps are equal, and $\tilde{s}_1 i_1 = G_1 i_1 = F_1 = s_2 i_2$. So we can easily extend this to a map $t : X \rightarrow Fred'(H)$ such that $t j_1 = \tilde{s}_1$ and $t j_2 = s_2$. Therefore $t j_k$ is \mathcal{G} -extendable homotopic to s_k for $k = 1, 2$. In fact, it is given by:

$$t(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{s}_1(x_1) & \text{if } x = j_1(x_1) \\ s_2(x_2) & \text{if } x = j_2(x_2) \end{cases}$$

We only need to prove that t is extendable. Let $\tilde{s}'_1 = G'_1$, $s'_2 i_2 = F'_1$. We have $\tilde{s}'_1 i_1 = G'_1 i_1 = F'_1 = s'_2 i_2$. Consider

$$t'(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{s}'_1(x_1) & \text{if } x = j_1(x_1) \\ s'_2(x_2) & \text{if } x = j_2(x_2) \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{F}_1 = v_1$. Then we have $\tilde{s}'_1 i_1 = v_1 \pi_1$. Now consider the map:

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} v_1(\pi_1(x_1)) & \text{if } x = \pi_1(x_1) \\ v_2(\pi_2(x_2)) & \text{if } x = \pi_2(x_2) \end{cases}$$

This map is well defined. If $\pi_1(x_1) = \pi_2(x_2)$, then $x_1 = i_1 a$ and $x_2 = i_2 a$, and $v_1(\pi_1(x_1)) = v_1 \pi_{AA} = \bar{F}_1 \pi_{AA} = F_1 \pi_{AA} = F_1 \pi_2(x_2) = v_2(\pi_2(x_2))$. It is a routine check that $t't = v \pi_X$, thus t is extendable \square

Lemma 2.16. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X_1 \\ \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ X_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X \end{array}$$

be a pushout square of \mathcal{G} -spaces and i_1 a cofibration. Then there is a natural exact sequence, infinite to the left

$$\begin{aligned} \dots & \xrightarrow{d^{-n-1}} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \xrightarrow{j_1^* \oplus j_2^*} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_1) \oplus RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_2) \xrightarrow{i_1^* - i_2^*} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(A) \xrightarrow{d^{-n}} \dots \\ \dots & \longrightarrow RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) \xrightarrow{j_1^* \oplus j_2^*} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X_1) \oplus RK_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X_2) \xrightarrow{i_1^* - i_2^*} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^0(A) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is a consequence of the two previous lemmas, the results in [4] and the proof of lemma 3.8 in [17]. \square

For any stable representation H of \mathcal{G} there is a \mathcal{G} -map $\Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+2} \text{Fred}'(H)$, which therefore induces a Bott map $b(X) : RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \rightarrow RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X)$. By the definition of the relative groups, we also have Bott maps $b(X, A) : RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) \rightarrow RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X, A)$. We will prove that these maps are isomorphisms for finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes.

Proposition 2.17. *Suppose that $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a local equivalence. Then the pullback functor induces an homeomorphism:*

$$F^* : \{\text{Sections of a fiber bundle on } \mathcal{H}\} \rightarrow \{\text{Sections of the pullback fiber bundle on } \mathcal{G}\}$$

Proof. Assume we have a local equivalence $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Given a section v of a fibre bundle $P \rightarrow H_0$, we can consider the section $F^*(v) : G_0 \rightarrow F^*(P)$ defined by $F^*(v)(x) = (x, v(F(x)))$. And on the other hand, given a section w of a fibre bundle $Q \rightarrow G_0$, we can consider the section $F_*(w) : H_0 \rightarrow F_*(Q)$ defined by $F_*(w)(x) = (x, w(y))$ where $y \in G_0$ is such that there is $h \in H_1$ that satisfies $F(y) = s(h)$ and $t(h) = x$. \square

Lemma 2.18. *Suppose that $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a local equivalence. Then we have an isomorphism:*

$$F^* : RK_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0) \rightarrow RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$$

Proof. $RK_{\mathcal{H}}^{-n}(H_0) = [H_0, \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H)]_{\mathcal{H}}^{ext} =$

$=$ Extendable \mathcal{H} -homotopy classes of extendable sections of $\Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H) \cong$

\cong Extendable \mathcal{G} -homotopy classes of extendable sections of $F^*(\Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H)) =$

$=$ Extendable \mathcal{G} -homotopy classes of extendable sections of $\Omega^n \text{Fred}'(F^*H) =$

$= [G_0, \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(F^*H)]_{\mathcal{G}}^{ext} =$

$= RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(G_0)$ \square

Corollary 2.19. *If \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are weakly equivalent, we have an isomorphism $RK_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0) \cong RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$*

Corollary 2.20. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid and U is a \mathcal{G} -cell, then $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U) \cong K_G^*(M)$ for some compact Lie group G and a finite G -CW-complex M .*

Proof. Since U is a G -cell, we know that $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ is weakly equivalent to $G \rtimes M$ for some compact Lie group G and a finite G -CW-complex M . Therefore, by the previous corollary:

$$RK_{\mathcal{G} \rtimes U}^*(U) \cong RK_{G \rtimes M}^*(M)$$

Let H be a locally universal representation of \mathcal{G} . We want to see that $\pi_U^*(H) = U \times_\pi H$ is a locally universal $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ -Hilbert bundle. Notice that if U is a \mathcal{G} -cell, so is any open \mathcal{G} -subspace of U . Therefore it is enough to prove the previous assertion with universal Hilbert bundles. So assume H is a universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle.

Now let V be a $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ -vector bundle on U . This a \mathcal{G} -vector bundle on U , and since \mathcal{G} is Bredon-compatible, there is a \mathcal{G} -vector bundle W on G_0 such that $\pi_U^*(W) = V \oplus V'$ for some other \mathcal{G} -vector bundle V' on U . Since H is universal, there is a unitary \mathcal{G} -embedding $W \hookrightarrow H$ and so $\pi_U^*(W) \hookrightarrow \pi_U^*(H) = U \times_\pi H$. Since V is a direct summand of $\pi_U^*(W)$, we have a unitary $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ -embedding $V \hookrightarrow U \times_\pi H$.

Thus, if E is a locally universal Hilbert representation of G , then $E \times M$ is a locally universal $G \rtimes M$ -Hilbert bundle.

$$\begin{aligned} RK_{\mathcal{G} \rtimes U}^{-n}(U) &= [U, \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(U \times_\pi H)]_{\mathcal{G} \rtimes U}^{\text{ext}} = \\ &= [U, U \times_\pi \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H)]_{\mathcal{G} \rtimes U}^{\text{ext}} = \\ &= (\mathcal{G} \rtimes U)\text{-extendable sections of } U \times_\pi \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H) \text{ over } U = \\ &= \mathcal{G}\text{-extendable sections of } U \times_\pi \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H) \text{ over } U = \\ &= [U, \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(H)]_{\mathcal{G}}^{\text{ext}} = RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} RK_{G \rtimes M}^*(M) &= [M, M \times \text{Fred}'(E)]_{G \rtimes M}^{\text{ext}} = \\ &= (G \rtimes M)\text{-extendable sections of } M \times \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(E) \text{ over } M = \\ &= (G \rtimes M)\text{-sections of } M \times \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(E) \text{ over } M = \\ &= [M, \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(E)]_G = K_G^{-n}(M) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U) \cong K_G^{-n}(M)$ \square

Theorem 2.21. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, the Bott homomorphism*

$$b = b(X, A) : RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X, A) \longrightarrow RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X, A)$$

is an isomorphism for any finite \mathcal{G} -CW-pair (X, A) and all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume first that $X = Y \cup_{\phi} (U \times D^m)$ where $U \times D^m$ is a \mathcal{G} -cell. Assume inductively that $b(Y)$ is an isomorphism. Since $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times S^{m-1}) \cong RK_G^{-n}(M \times S^{m-1})$ and $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times D^m) \cong RK_G^{-n}(M \times D^m)$, the Bott homomorphisms $b(U \times S^{m-1})$ and $b(U \times D^m)$ are isomorphisms by the equivariant Bott periodicity theorem for actions of compact Lie groups. The Bott map is natural and compatible with the boundary operators in the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for Y , X , $U \times S^{m-1}$ and $U \times D^m$ and so $b(X)$ is an isomorphism by the 5-lemma. The proof that $b(X, A)$ is an isomorphism follows immediately from the definitions of the relative groups. \square

Based on the Bott isomorphism we just proved, we can now redefine for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$RK_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, A) = \begin{cases} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X, A) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(X, A) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

For any finite \mathcal{G} -CW-pair (X, A) , define the boundary operator $\delta^n : RK_{\mathcal{G}}^n(A) \longrightarrow RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{n+1}(X, A)$ to be $\delta : K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(A) \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X, A)$ if n is odd, and to be the composite

$$RK_{\mathcal{G}}^0(A) \xrightarrow{b} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-2}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta^{-2}} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(X, A)$$

if n is even.

We can collect all the information we have about \mathcal{G} -equivariant representable K -theory in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.22. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, the groups $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X, A)$ define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded multiplicative cohomology theory on the category of finite \mathcal{G} -CW-pairs.*

Note that for a general finite Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} , $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(-)$ is a multiplicative cohomology theory on the category of \mathcal{G} -spaces, but it is not clear whether we have Bott periodicity.

Corollary 2.23. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid and U a \mathcal{G} -cell. Then $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U) \cong RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U)$*

Proof. If U is a \mathcal{G} -cell, then $\mathcal{G} \times U$ is weakly equivalent to $G \times M$ for some compact Lie group G and a finite G -CW-complex M . In [5] it is proved that $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U) \cong K_G^*(M)$. By corollary 2.20, we also have $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U) \cong K_G^*(M)$. \square

Theorem 2.24. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid and X a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex. Then $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \cong RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$*

Proof. Assume first that $X = Y \cup_{\phi} (U \times D^m)$ where $U \times D^m$ is a \mathcal{G} -cell. Assume inductively that we have an isomorphism $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(Y)$. We know that $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U \times S^{m-1}) \cong RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U \times S^{m-1})$ and $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U \times D^m) \cong RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U \times D^m)$ by the previous corollary. In fact, since these last two isomorphism follow from choosing a weak equivalence from the same \mathcal{G} -cell to the action of a compact Lie group on a finite equivariant CW-complex, these isomorphisms are natural with respect to the Mayer-Vietoris sequences for $RK_{\mathcal{G}}^*(-)$ and $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(-)$. Let $RA^{-n} = RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(Y) \oplus RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times D^m)$, $A^{-n} = K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(Y) \oplus K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times D^m)$, $RB^{-n} = RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times S^{m-1})$ and $B^{-n} = K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times S^{m-1})$, then:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 A^{-n-1} & \longrightarrow & B^{-n-1} & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) & \longrightarrow & A^{-n} & \longrightarrow & B^{-n} \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\
 RA^{-n-1} & \longrightarrow & RB^{-n-1} & \longrightarrow & RK_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) & \longrightarrow & RA^{-n} & \longrightarrow & RB^{-n}
 \end{array}$$

And so the result follows by the 5-lemma. \square

In other words, we have just proved that the cohomology theory $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(-)$ is representable by extendable maps.

Corollary 2.25. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, X a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex and H a stable representation of \mathcal{G} , then:*

$$K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X) = \begin{cases} [X, \text{Fred}'(H)]_{\mathcal{G}}^{\text{ext}} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ [X, \Omega \text{Fred}'(H)]_{\mathcal{G}}^{\text{ext}} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

We would like to make two observations:

- (1) Note that all constructions and results in this sections remain true if we relax the condition of \mathcal{G} being finite to \mathcal{G} having a locally universal Hilbert representation $U(\mathcal{G})$.
- (2) This cohomology theory should not be confused with the \mathcal{G} -equivariant representable K -theory defined in [8]. In their paper, they define \mathcal{G} -equivariant representable K -theory of X as the KK -groups associated to $C_0(X)$ and show that this is actually representable (by all \mathcal{G} -equivariant continuous maps) by a corresponding Fredholm

bundle. Note that in our case only a special class of maps are considered to have a correspondence with extendable vector bundles, but the Fredholm bundles in both cases are equivalent.

3. TWISTED EQUIVARIANT K -THEORY

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{G} be a Lie groupoid and X a \mathcal{G} -space. A \mathcal{G} -projective bundle on X is a \mathcal{G} -space P with a \mathcal{G} -equivariant map $p : P \rightarrow X$ such that there exists an equivariant open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X for which $P|_{U_i} = U_i \times_{\pi} \mathbb{P}(E)$ for some \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle E on G_0 . Moreover, We shall call P a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle if $P \cong P \otimes \pi^* \mathbb{P}(U(\mathcal{G}))$ for some locally universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle $U(\mathcal{G})$ on G_0 .

Let X be a \mathcal{G} -space and $P \rightarrow X$ a \mathcal{G} -projective bundle on X . We can then construct the bundle $End(P)$ on X whose fibre at x is the vector space $End(H_x)$ of endomorphisms of a Hilbert space H_x such that $P_x = \mathbb{P}(H_x)$. Similarly, we can replace $End(H_x)$ by $Fred(H_x)$, the space of Fredholm operators from H_x to H_x , and define in this way a bundle $Fred(P) \rightarrow X$. Now consider the subbundle $Fred'(P)$ of Fredholm operators A such that $g \rightarrow gAg^{-1}$ is continuous for all $g \in G_1$ for which the expression makes sense.

Definition 3.2. We say that a \mathcal{G} -equivariant section s of $Fred'(P) \rightarrow X$ is extendable if there is another \mathcal{G} -equivariant section t such that $ts = v\pi_X$ for some section v of $Fred'(P) \rightarrow G_0$.

Definition 3.3. We say that a homotopy $H : X \times I \rightarrow Fred'(P)$ of \mathcal{G} -equivariant sections is extendable if each H_t is an extendable \mathcal{G} -equivariant section of $Fred'(P) \rightarrow X$.

Definition 3.4. Let P be a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle and X a \mathcal{G} -space. We define the \mathcal{G} -equivariant twisted K -theory of X with twisting P to be the group of extendable homotopy classes of extendable \mathcal{G} -equivariant sections of $Fred'(P)$ and we denote it by ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$

In order to define the rest of the twisted K -groups, we need to introduce the fibrewise iterated loop-space $\Omega_X^n Fred'(P)$, which is a \mathcal{G} -bundle on X whose fibre at x is $\Omega^n Fred'(H_x)$.

Definition 3.5. The extendable homotopy classes of sections of this bundle will be denoted by ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X)$.

The groups ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X)$ are functorially associated to the pair (X, P) and so an isomorphism $P \rightarrow P'$ of \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles on X induces an isomorphism ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \rightarrow {}^{P'} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X)$ for all $n \geq 0$

Corollary 3.6. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid and P is a trivial \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex X , then ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \cong K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$.*

Proof. It follows from the representability of \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory, that is, corollary 2.25. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let P be a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on Y . If $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$ are \mathcal{G} -homotopic \mathcal{G} -maps between \mathcal{G} -spaces, then $f_0^*(P)$ is isomorphic to $f_1^*(P)$ and we have a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(Y) & \xrightarrow{f_0^*} & f_0^*(P) K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \\
 \searrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
 & & f_1^*(P) K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X)
 \end{array}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.8. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{i_1} & X_1 \\
 \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow j_1 \\
 X_2 & \xrightarrow{j_2} & X
 \end{array}$$

be a pushout square of \mathcal{G} -spaces and P a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on X . Let $P_k = j_k^*(P)$ for $k = 1, 2$ and $P_A = (i_2)^*(P_2)$. Then there is a natural exact sequence, infinite to the left

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dots & \xrightarrow{d^{-n-1}} {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \xrightarrow{j_1^* \oplus j_2^*} P_1 K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_1) \oplus P_2 K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X_2) \xrightarrow{i_1^* - i_2^*} P_A K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(A) \xrightarrow{d^{-n}} \dots \\
 \dots & \longrightarrow P_A K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(A) \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) \xrightarrow{j_1^* \oplus j_2^*} P_1 K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X_1) \oplus P_2 K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X_2) \xrightarrow{i_1^* - i_2^*} P_A K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(A)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of lemma 2.16. \square

There is also a multiplication:

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \otimes {}^{P'} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-m}(X) \longrightarrow {}^{P \otimes P'} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-m}(X)$$

coming from the map $(A, A') \rightarrow A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes A'$. This extends the multiplication in untwisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory and makes ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ into a $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ -module.

Just like in the case of representable K -theory, for any \mathcal{G} -stable Hilbert bundle there is a \mathcal{G} -map $\Omega_X^n \text{Fred}'(H) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{n+2} \text{Fred}'(H)$. Therefore, for any \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle P on X there is a Bott map:

$$b(X, P) : {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \longrightarrow {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X)$$

We do not know if this map is an isomorphism in general. Now we will prove that $b(X, P)$ is an isomorphism when X is a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex using a similar argument to the one used for untwisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory [5].

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a local equivalence. Then the pullback functor*

$$F^* : \{\text{Fiber bundles on } \mathcal{H}\} \longrightarrow \{\text{Fiber bundles on } \mathcal{G}\}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. See [9] □

Proposition 3.10. *Let $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a local equivalence, and P a \mathcal{H} -stable projective bundle on H_0 . Then we have an isomorphism $F^* : {}^P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0) \rightarrow {}^{F^*(P)} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$*

Proof. First of all, $F^*(P)$ is a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle by proposition 2.8 and the previous proposition. Since these groups are defined using sections, the result follows from proposition 2.17. □

Corollary 3.11. *If \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are weakly equivalent and P a \mathcal{H} -stable projective bundle on H_0 , then ${}^P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0) \rightarrow {}^{F^*(P)} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$*

Corollary 3.12. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, U is a \mathcal{G} -cell and P is a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on U , then ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U) \cong {}^Q K_G^*(M)$ for some compact Lie group G , some finite G -CW-complex M and some G -stable projective bundle Q on M .*

Proof. Since U is a G -cell, we know that $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ is weakly equivalent to $G \rtimes M$ for some compact Lie group G and a finite G -CW-complex M . Therefore, by the previous corollary:

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G} \rtimes U}^*(U) \cong {}^Q K_{G \rtimes M}^*(M)$$

for some $G \rtimes M$ -stable projective bundle Q on M . In the proof of corollary 2.20, we saw that if H is a locally universal representation of \mathcal{G} , then $U \times_{\pi} H$ is a locally universal $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ -Hilbert

bundle. And also that if E is a locally universal Hilbert representation of G , then $E \times M$ is a locally universal $G \rtimes M$ -Hilbert bundle. It follows that if P is a $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ -stable projective bundle on U , then P is a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on U . Similarly, if Q is a $G \rtimes M$ -stable projective bundle on M , then Q is a G -stable projective bundle on M .

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^P K_{\mathcal{G} \rtimes U}^*(U) &= \\
 &= (\mathcal{G} \rtimes U)\text{-extendable sections of } \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(P) \text{ over } U = \\
 &= \mathcal{G}\text{-extendable sections of } \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(P) \text{ over } U = \\
 &= {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}^Q K_{G \rtimes M}^*(M) &= \\
 &= (G \rtimes M)\text{-extendable sections of } \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(Q) \text{ over } M = \\
 &= G\text{-sections of } \Omega^n \text{Fred}'(Q) \text{ over } M = \\
 &= {}^Q K_G^*(M)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U) \cong {}^Q K_G^*(M)$

□

Theorem 3.13. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, the Bott homomorphism*

$$b = b(X, P) : {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(X) \longrightarrow {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n-2}(X)$$

is an isomorphism for any finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex X , all \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles on X and all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume that $X = Y \cup_{\phi} (U \times D^m)$ where $U \times D^m$ is a \mathcal{G} -cell. Let P be a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle. Assume inductively that $b(Y, P|_Y)$ is an isomorphism. Since ${}^{P|(U \times D^m)} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times D^m) \cong {}^Q K_G^{-n}(M \times D^m)$ and ${}^{P|(U \times S^{m-1})} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-n}(U \times S^{m-1}) \cong {}^Q K_G^{-n}(M \times S^{m-1})$, the Bott homomorphisms $b(U \times S^{m-1}, P|_{(U \times S^{m-1})})$ and $b(U \times D^m, P|_{(U \times D^m)})$ are isomorphisms by the Bott periodicity theorem in twisted equivariant K -theory for actions of compact Lie groups [3]. The Bott map is natural and compatible with the boundary operators in the

Mayer-Vietoris sequence for Y , X , $U \times S^{m-1}$ and $U \times D^m$ and so $b(X, P)$ is an isomorphism by the 5-lemma. \square

Based on the Bott isomorphism we just proved, we can now redefine for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X) = \begin{cases} {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^0(X) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(X) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

We can collect all the information we have so far about \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.14. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, the groups ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X)$ define a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded cohomology theory on the category of finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes with \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles, which is a module over untwisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory.*

Note that for a general Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} , $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(-)$ is a cohomology theory on the category of \mathcal{G} -spaces, but it is not clear whether we have Bott periodicity.

All constructions and results in this section are true if we relax the condition of \mathcal{G} finite to \mathcal{G} admitting a locally universal \mathcal{G} -representation.

4. THE COMPLETION THEOREM

For any \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle P on a \mathcal{G} -space X , consider the \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle $P \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}$ on $X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}$. The following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Therefore we have a map:

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \longrightarrow {}^{P \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G})$$

${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)$ is a module over $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ and ${}^{P \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G})$ is a module over $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(E^n \mathcal{G})$. In fact we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) & \longrightarrow & K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(E^n \mathcal{G}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) & \longrightarrow & {}^{P \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}) \end{array}$$

From [5], we know that the last map factors through $I_{\mathcal{G}}^n$ and therefore, by naturality we have a map:

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) / I_{\mathcal{G}}^n {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \longrightarrow {}^{P \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G})$$

We can also look at these maps as a map of pro- $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules:

$$\{ {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) / I_{\mathcal{G}}^n {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X) \} \longrightarrow \{ {}^{P \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E^n \mathcal{G}) \}$$

Taking limits we obtain a map of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules:

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)_{I_G}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow {}^{P \times_{\pi} E \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E \mathcal{G})$$

Conjecture 4.1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a finite Lie groupoid, X a \mathcal{G} -space and P a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle. Then we have an isomorphism of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules:*

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X)_{I_G}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow {}^{P \times_{\pi} E \mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X \times_{\pi} E \mathcal{G})$$

If a groupoid \mathcal{G} satisfies this conjecture for $X = G_0$ and all \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles on G_0 , we will say \mathcal{G} satisfies the twisted completion theorem.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{G} = G \rtimes X$, where G is a compact Lie group and X is a compact G -space such that $K_G^*(X)$ is finite over $R(G)$. Then \mathcal{G} satisfies the twisted completion theorem.*

Proof. It follows from lemma 5.1 in [5] and the completion theorem for twisted equivariant K -theory for actions of compact Lie groups [15] \square

Lemma 4.3. *If \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are locally equivalent, then \mathcal{G} satisfies the twisted completion theorem if and only if \mathcal{H} does.*

Proof. Let P be a \mathcal{H} -stable projective bundle on H_0 . Then, $F^*(P)$ is \mathcal{G} -stable. If \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are locally equivalent by a local equivalence $F : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$, then we have an isomorphism

$f : F^*P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) \xrightarrow{\cong} P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)$. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F^*P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0) \end{array}$$

By lemma 5.2 in [5], the topologies induced by $I_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ are the same and therefore we have an isomorphism of pro-rings $\{F^*P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^{F^*P} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)\} \cong \{P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)/I_{\mathcal{H}}^P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)\}$.

The local equivalence also induces a local equivalence between the groupoids $\mathcal{G} \rtimes E\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H} \rtimes E\mathcal{H}$ and it takes $E^n\mathcal{H}$ to $E^n\mathcal{G}$. Hence we have an homomorphism of pro-rings $\{F^*(P \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{G}) K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(E^n\mathcal{G})\} \cong \{P \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{H} K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(E^n\mathcal{H})\}$, which is an isomorphism in the limit. We also have $F^*(P \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{H}) = F^*(P) \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{G}$. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{F^*P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)/I_{\mathcal{G}}^{F^*P} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)\} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \{P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)/I_{\mathcal{H}}^P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{F^*(P) \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{G} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(E^n\mathcal{G})\} & \longrightarrow & \{P \times_{\pi} E^n\mathcal{H} K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(E^n\mathcal{H})\} \end{array}$$

The lemma follows then by looking at the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^*P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)_{I_{\mathcal{G}}}^{\wedge} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & P K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(H_0)_{I_{\mathcal{H}}}^{\wedge} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F^*(P) \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G} K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(E\mathcal{G}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & P \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{H} K_{\mathcal{H}}^*(E\mathcal{H}) \quad \square \end{array}$$

From the previous lemma, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *If \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} are weakly equivalent, then \mathcal{G} satisfies the twisted completion theorem if and only if \mathcal{H} does.*

Now from this theorem, lemma 4.2 and the results in [15], we obtain this corollary:

Corollary 4.5. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, U is a \mathcal{G} -cell and P is a stable \mathcal{G} -projective bundle on U , ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U)$ is a finitely generated abelian group and the groupoid $\mathcal{G} \times U$ satisfies the completion theorem.*

This corollary tells us that the twisted completion theorem is true for \mathcal{G} -cells. Now we move on to prove this for finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes.

Let X be a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex and P a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on X . Consider the spectral sequence for the maps $f : X \rightarrow X/\mathcal{G}$ in twisted \mathcal{G} -equivariant K -theory with twisting given by the restrictions of P :

$$E_1^{pq} = \prod_{i \in I_p} f^*(P_i) K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(f^{-1}U_i) \implies {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X)$$

The spectral sequence E is a spectral sequence of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules. Assume \mathcal{G} is a finite groupoid [5] so that $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ is a Noetherian ring. All elements in these spectral sequences are finitely generated. The functor taking a $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -module M to the $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -module $M_{I_{\mathcal{G}}}^{\wedge}$ is exact [17] and so we can form the following spectral sequence of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules.

$$F_1^{pq} = \prod_{i \in I_p} Q_i K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(f^{-1}U_i)_{I_{\mathcal{G}}}^{\wedge} \implies {}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X)_{I_{\mathcal{G}}}^{\wedge}$$

where $Q_i = f^*(P_i)$. Similarly consider the map $h : X \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow X/\mathcal{G}$. It gives us another spectral sequence of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules:

$$\bar{F}_1^{pq} = \prod_{i \in I_p} Q_i \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G} K_{\mathcal{G}}^q(h^{-1}U_i) \implies {}^{P \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G})$$

since $h^*(P_i) = Q_i \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}$. We have $h^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(U) \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}$ so there is a map of spectral sequences $F \rightarrow \bar{F}$ induced by the projections $f^{-1}(U) \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G} \rightarrow f^{-1}(U)$. Let us denote

If \mathcal{G} is Bredon-compatible, the groupoids $\mathcal{G} \times f^{-1}(U_i)$ satisfy the twisted completion theorem for all i . From [5], we know that the topologies determined by the groupoid \mathcal{G} and $\mathcal{G} \times U_i$ on $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(f^{-1}U_i)$ are the same and therefore they are the same on $Q_i K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(f^{-1}(U_i))$.

This proves ϕ is an isomorphism when restricted to any particular element F^{ij} and therefore, it is an isomorphism of spectral sequences. In particular, we have ${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X)_{I_{\mathcal{G}}}^{\wedge} \cong {}^{P \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+q}(X \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G})$

Theorem 4.6. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid, X a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex and P a \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on X . Then we have an isomorphism of $K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G_0)$ -modules:*

$${}^P K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X)_{I_{\mathcal{G}}}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow {}^{P \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G}} K_{\mathcal{G}}^n(X \times_{\pi} E\mathcal{G})$$

5. PROPER ACTIONS

Throughout this whole section S will be a Lie group, but not necessarily compact. To study proper actions of S , we can consider the groupoid $\mathcal{G} = S \rtimes \underline{E}S$, where $\underline{E}S$ is the universal space for proper actions of S as defined in [16]. This space is a proper S -CW-complex such that $\underline{E}S^G$ is contractible for all compact Lie subgroups G of S . The existence of $\underline{E}S$ is shown in [16]. It is also shown there that every proper S -CW-complex has an S -map to $\underline{E}S$ and this map is unique up to S -homotopy. Some immediate consequences follow:

- Proper S -CW-complexes are \mathcal{G} -CW-complexes.
- \mathcal{G} is finite if and only if $\underline{E}S$ is a finite proper S -CW-complex.
- Extendable \mathcal{G} -sections on a proper S -CW-complex X are extendable S -sections for any S -map $X \rightarrow \underline{E}S$, since all of them are S -homotopic.
- If H is a locally universal S -Hilbert representation, then $\underline{E}S \times H$ is a locally universal \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle.
- Stable \mathcal{G} -projective bundles on X are stable S -projective bundles on X .

By abuse of language, we say that proper actions of S are Bredon-compatible if the corresponding groupoid $\mathcal{G} = S \rtimes \underline{E}S$ is Bredon-compatible.

Example 5.1. Twisted K -theory for actions of finite groups and compact Lie groups was defined and studied in [3]. It is a well-known fact that for these actions, \mathcal{G} is Bredon-compatible [26]. A completion theorem was recently proven in [15].

Example 5.2. Twisted K -theory for actions of discrete groups for some particular twistings was defined in [6]. These actions are also Bredon-compatible, as shown in [17]. Therefore the constructions in this paper provide a model for twisted K -theory for actions of discrete groups for any possible twisting, and a new completion theorem.

Example 5.3. In general, vector bundles may not be enough to construct an interesting equivariant cohomology theory for proper actions of second countable locally compact groups [21], but they suffice for two important families, almost compact groups and matrix groups [22]. Actions of these two families of groups are Bredon-compatible [22]. Using the associated groupoids, we now have twisted K -theory for actions of these groups and a completion theorem.

Example 5.4. Proper actions of totally disconnected groups that are projective limits of discrete groups are shown to be Bredon-compatible in [23]. The results in this paper show a way of defining twisted K -theory and a corresponding completion theorem.

Example 5.5. In general \mathcal{G} need not be a Bredon-compatible groupoid. When \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible groupoid we must have $Vect_{\mathcal{G}}(S/G) = Vect_G(pt)$ for a compact subgroup G of S . Let S be a Kac-Moody group and T its maximal torus. Note that S is not a Lie group, but all constructions generalize.

There is an S -map $S/T \rightarrow \underline{E}S$ which is unique, up to homotopy. Given an S -vector bundle V on $\underline{E}S$, the pullback to S/T is given by a finite-dimensional representation of T invariant under the Weyl group. This representation gives rise to a finite-dimensional representation of S . But all finite-dimensional representations of Kac-Moody groups are trivial, therefore V must be trivial. In particular, extendable S -vector bundles on S/T only come from trivial representations of T .

In order to deal with these groups, it is more convenient to use dominant K -theory, which was developed in [14]. Kac-Moody groups possess an important class of representations called dominant representations. A dominant representation of a Kac-Moody group in a Hilbert space is one that decomposes into a sum of highest weight representations. Equivariant K -theory for proper actions of Kac-Moody groups is defined as the representable equivariant cohomology theory modeled on the space of Fredholm operators on a Hilbert space which is a maximal dominant representation of the group. It is expected that twisted dominant K -theory can be defined in the same way using a corresponding Fredholm bundle over a projective bundle which is stable with respect to a suitable Hilbert space of dominant representations.

6. THE CATEGORY OF \mathcal{G} -ORBITS

Recall from [5] that a \mathcal{G} -orbit is a \mathcal{G} -space U for which the groupoid $\mathcal{G} \times U$ is weakly equivalent to the action of a compact Lie group G on a finite G -CW-complex.

The category of \mathcal{G} -orbits, written $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a topological category with discrete object space formed by the \mathcal{G} -orbits. The morphisms are the \mathcal{G} -maps, with a topology such that the evaluation maps $Hom_{\mathcal{G}}(U, V) \times U \rightarrow V$ are continuous for all \mathcal{G} -orbits U, V . By an $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ -space we shall mean a continuous contravariant functor from $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ to the category of topological spaces.

Definition 6.1. Let X be a \mathcal{G} -space. The fixed point set system of X , written ΦX , is an $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ -space defined by $\Phi X(U) = Map_{\mathcal{G}}(U, X)$ and given $\Theta : U \rightarrow V$, $\Phi X(\Theta)(f) = f\Theta$. We also denote $X^U = Map_{\mathcal{G}}(U, X)$.

Definition 6.2. A CW- $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ -space is an $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ -space T such that each space $T(U)$ is a CW-complex and each structure map $T(U) \rightarrow T(V)$ is cellular. We will call T regular if it is homotopy equivalent (in the sense detailed below) to a CW- $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ -space.

Theorem 6.3. *There is a functor $C : O_{\mathcal{G}}\text{-spaces} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\text{-spaces}$ and a natural transformation $\eta : \Phi C \rightarrow Id$ such that for each $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ -space T and each U , $\eta : (CT)^U \rightarrow T(U)$ is a homotopy equivalence (it is actually a strong deformation retraction). If T is regular, then T has the \mathcal{G} -homotopy type of a \mathcal{G} -CW-complex.*

Proof. We first construct the \mathcal{G} -space CT . Let O_T denote the topological category whose objects are triples (U, s, y) where U is a \mathcal{G} -orbit, $s \in J(U) \equiv U$ and $y \in T(U)$. Let us consider the nerve of this category as a topological simplicial space. This is the bar complex $B_*(T, O_{\mathcal{G}}, J)$, where $J : O_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow Top$ is the covariant functor which forgets the \mathcal{G} -action.

Then $B_n(T, O_{\mathcal{G}}, J)$ consist of $(n + 2)$ -tuples $(y, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s)$ where the $f_i : U_i \rightarrow U_{i-1}$ are composable arrows in $O_{\mathcal{G}}$, $s \in J(U_n) \equiv U_n$ and $y \in T(U_0)$. The boundary maps are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0(y, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) &= (f_1^*(y), f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n, s) \\ \partial_n(y, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) &= (y, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1}, (f_n)_*(s)) \\ \partial_i(y, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, s) &= (y, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{i-1}, f_i f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, \dots, f_n, s) \end{aligned}$$

Degeneracies are the insertion of identity maps in the appropriate spots. The groupoid \mathcal{G} acts simplicially on $B_*(T, O_{\mathcal{G}}, J)$ and consequently the geometric realization $B(T, O_{\mathcal{G}}, J)$ is a \mathcal{G} -space. We define $CT = B(T, O_{\mathcal{G}}, J)$.

We now require the homotopy equivalence $\eta : (CT)^U \rightarrow T(U)$ for each \mathcal{G} -orbit U , natural in U . We have:

$$(CT)^U = B(T, O_{\mathcal{G}}, J)^U = B(T, O_{\mathcal{G}}, Hom_{\mathcal{G}}(U, -))$$

The second equality follows from the fact that \mathcal{G} acts on the last coordinate only. Now it is a general property of the bar construction that for any topological category C , contravariant functor $F : C \rightarrow Top$ and object A of C , there is a natural map

$$\eta : B(F, C, Hom_C(A, -)) \longrightarrow F(A)$$

which is a strong deformation retraction. This map is induced by a simplicial map

$$\eta_* : B_*(F, C, Hom_C(A, -)) \longrightarrow F(A)_*$$

where $F(A)_*$ is the simplicial space all of whose components are $F(A)$ and all whose face and degeneracy maps are the identity. In our case, η_* is given by the formula:

$$\eta_n(y, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, f) = (f_1 \circ \dots \circ f_n \circ f)^*(y)$$

Now f is an element of $Hom_{O_{\mathcal{G}}}(U, U_n)$. The proof that η is a strong deformation retraction is a standard simplicial argument contained in [18]. \square

7. TWISTINGS FOR GROUPOID ACTIONS

Now we follow [3] closely. Let us write $\text{Pic}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ for the group of isomorphism classes of complex \mathcal{G} -line bundles on X (or equivalently, of principal S^1 -bundles on X with \mathcal{G} -action), and $\text{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ for the group of isomorphism classes of \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundles. Applying the Borel construction to line bundles and projective bundles gives us homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pic}_{\mathcal{G}}(X) &\longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \\ \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(X) &\longrightarrow \text{Proj}(X_{\mathcal{G}}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

which we shall show are bijective.

Definition 7.1. A topological abelian \mathcal{G} -module is a \mathcal{G} -space such that each of the fibres of its anchor map is a topological abelian group and the action is linear.

Example 7.2. Given any topological abelian group B , $G_0 \times B$ is a \mathcal{G} -module with the anchor map given by projection on the first coordinate. When there is no danger of confusion we will denote it by B .

Let us introduce groups $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X; A)$ defined for any abelian \mathcal{G} -module A . These are the hypercohomology groups of a simplicial space χ whose realization is the space $X_{\mathcal{G}}$. Whenever a Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} acts on a space X we can define the action groupoid whose space of objects is X and space of morphisms is $G_1 \times_{\pi} X$. Let χ be the nerve of this groupoid regarded as a simplicial space, that is, $\chi_p = G_p \times_{\pi} X$, where G_p is the space of composable p -tuples of arrows in \mathcal{G} .

For any simplicial space with an action of a Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} and any topological abelian \mathcal{G} -module A we can define the hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^*(\chi; sh(A))$ with coefficients in the sheaf of continuous equivariant A -valued functions. It is the cohomology of a double complex C^{\cdot} , where, for each $p \geq 0$, the cochain complex $C^{p \cdot}$ calculates $H^*(\chi_p; sh(A))$.

Definition 7.3. $H_{\mathcal{G}}^*(X; A) = \mathbb{H}^*(\chi; sh(A))$

These groups are the abutment of a spectral sequence with $E_1^{pq} = H^q(G_p \times_{\pi} X; sh(A))$.

Lemma 7.4. *If \mathcal{G} is a Bredon-compatible Lie groupoid and X is a finite \mathcal{G} -CW-complex, $H_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{\mathcal{G}}^p(X; S^1)$ for any $p > 0$.*

Proof. If we compare the spectral sequences for the \mathcal{G} -CW-structure of X with respect to the cohomology theories $H_{\mathcal{G}}^{p+1}(-; \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{\mathcal{G}}^p(-; S^1)$, we notice that we have an isomorphism in each cell by the similar result in [3]. \square

Proposition 7.5. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Bredon-compatible finite Lie groupoid and X a \mathcal{G} -space. Then we have:*

- (1) $H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, G_0 \times S^1)$
- (2) $H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}(\mathcal{G}, G_0 \times S^1)$, the group of central extensions $1 \rightarrow G_0 \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 1$.
- (3) $H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Pic}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$
- (4) $H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$

Proof. (1) When $X = G_0$, we have $E_1^{0q} = H^q(G_0; sh(G_0 \times S^1)) \cong H^q(pt; sh(S^1)) = 0$ and in the previous lemma we have seen that $E_2^{p0} = H_{c.c.}^p(\mathcal{G}; S_1)$ is the cohomology of \mathcal{G} defined by continuous Eilenberg-MacLane cochains. So

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(G_0; \mathbb{Z}) = H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(G_0; S^1) \cong E_2^{10} \cong H_{c.c.}^1(\mathcal{G}; S_1) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, G_0 \times S^1)$$

- (2) In this case the spectral sequence gives us an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_2^{20} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(G_0; S^1) \rightarrow E_2^{11} \rightarrow E_2^{30}$$

that is,

$$0 \rightarrow H_{c.c.}^2(\mathcal{G}; S_1) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(G_0; S^1) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G})_{\text{prim}} \rightarrow H_{c.c.}^3(\mathcal{G}; S_1)$$

for $E_1^{11} = H^1(\mathcal{G}; sh(G_0 \times S^1)) = \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G})$, and E_2^{11} is the subgroup of primitive elements, that is, of circle bundles \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{G} such that $m^*\mathcal{H} \cong pr_1^*\mathcal{H} \otimes pr_2^*\mathcal{H}$, where $pr_1, pr_2, m : G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \rightarrow G_1$ are the obvious maps. Equivalently, $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{G})_{\text{prim}}$ consists of circle bundles \mathcal{H} on \mathcal{G} equipped with bundle maps $\tilde{m} : H_1 \times_{G_0} H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ covering the multiplication in \mathcal{G} . It is easy to see that the composite

$$\text{Ext}(\mathcal{G}, G_0 \times S^1) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(G_0; G_0 \times S^1) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G})$$

takes an extension to its class as a circle bundle. On the other hand $H_{c.c.}^2(\mathcal{G}; S^1)$ is plainly the group of extensions $G_0 \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ which as circle bundles admit a continuous section, so its image in $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{G}, G_0 \times S^1)$ is precisely the kernel of this composite. It remains only to show that the image of $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{G}, G_0 \times S^1)$ in $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{G})_{\text{prim}}$ is the kernel of $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{G})_{\text{prim}} \rightarrow H_{c.c.}^3(\mathcal{G}; S_1)$. This map associates to a bundle \mathcal{H} with a bundle map \tilde{m} as above precisely the obstruction to changing \tilde{m} by a bundle map $G_1 \times_{G_0} G_1 \rightarrow S^1$ to make it an associative product on \mathcal{H} .

- (3) The spectral sequence gives

$$0 \rightarrow E_2^{10} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(X; S^1) \rightarrow E_2^{01} \rightarrow E_2^{20}$$

Now $E_1^{01} = Pic(X)$, and E_2^{01} is the subgroup of circle bundles $S \rightarrow X$ which admit a bundle map $\tilde{m} : G_1 \times_{G_0} S \rightarrow S$ covering the \mathcal{G} -action on X . As before, \tilde{m} can be made into a \mathcal{G} -action on S if and only if an obstruction in $H_{c.c.}^2(\mathcal{G}; Map(X, G_0 \times S^1))$ vanishes. Finally, the kernel of $Pic_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \rightarrow Pic(X)$ is the group of \mathcal{G} -actions on $X \times S^1$, and this is just $E_2^{10} = H_{c.c.}^1(\mathcal{G}; Map(X, G_0 \times S^1))$.

(4) First we shall prove that the map $Proj_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is injective.

Consider the filtration

$$Proj_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \supseteq Proj^{(1)} \supseteq Proj^{(0)}$$

Here $Proj^{(1)}$ consists of the stable projective bundles which are trivial when the \mathcal{G} -action is forgotten, that is, those that can be described by cocycles $\alpha : G_1 \times_{G_0} X \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\alpha(g_2, g_1 x) \alpha(g_1, x) = \alpha(g_2 g_1, x)$.

$Proj^{(0)}$ consists of those projective bundles for which α lifts to $\bar{\alpha} : G_1 \times_{G_0} X \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying $\alpha(g_2, g_1 x) \alpha(g_1, x) = c(g_2, g_1, x) \alpha(g_2 g_1, x)$ for some $c : G_2 \times_{\pi} X \rightarrow S^1$

We shall compare the filtration of $Proj_{\mathcal{G}}(X)$ with the filtration

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(X; S^1) \supset H^{(1)} \supset H^{(0)}$$

defined by the spectral sequence. By definition $H^{(1)}$ is the kernel of $H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(X; S^1) \rightarrow E_1^{02} = H^2(X; sh(S_1)) = Proj(X)$, and the composite $Proj_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(X; S^1) \rightarrow Proj(X)$ is clearly the map which forgets the \mathcal{G} -action. Thus $Proj_{\mathcal{G}}(X)/Proj^{(1)}$ maps injectively to $H_{\mathcal{G}}^2(X, S^1)/H^{(1)}$

Now let us consider the map $Proj^{(1)} \rightarrow H^{(1)}$. The subgroup $H^{(0)}$ is the kernel of $H^{(1)} \rightarrow E_2^{11}$, while $E_1^{11} = Pic(G_1 \times_{G_0} X)$. We readily check that an element of $Proj^{(1)}$ defined by the cocycle α maps to the element of $Pic(G_1 \times_{G_0} X)$ which is the pullback of the circle bundle $U(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow PU(\mathcal{H})$, and can conclude that α maps to zero in E_2^{11} if and only if it defines an element of $Proj^{(0)}$. Thus $Proj^{(1)}/Proj^{(0)}$ injects into $H^{(1)}/H^{(0)}$. Finally, assigning to an element α of $Proj^{(0)}$ the class in $E_2^{20} = H_{c.c.}^2(\mathcal{G}; Map(X, G_0 \times S^1))$ of the cocycle c , we see that if this class vanishes, then the projective bundle comes from a \mathcal{G} -Hilbert bundle, which is necessarily trivial, as we have already explained. So $Proj^{(0)}$ injects into $H^{(0)}$.

Now we will construct a universal \mathcal{G} -space $C(P)$ with a natural \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle on it and show that the composite map

$$[X, C(P)]_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow Proj_{\mathcal{G}}(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

Let U be a \mathcal{G} -CW-cell and consider the spaces

$$P(U) = \coprod_{H \in \text{Ext}(U, S^1)} BPU(H)^U$$

where we represent an element of $\text{Ext}(U, S^1)$ by the essentially unique Hilbert bundle H with a stable projective representation of $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ inducing the extension.

In fact, P is an $O_{\mathcal{G}}$ -space. Now, we can use theorem 6.3 to construct the \mathcal{G} -space $C(P)$. This space satisfies $C(P)^U \simeq P(U)$ for every \mathcal{G} -orbit U . Also, it carries a tautological \mathcal{G} -stable projective bundle, and so we have a \mathcal{G} -map $C(P) \rightarrow \text{Map}(E\mathcal{G}, BPU(H))$ into the space that represents the functor $X \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$. This map induces an isomorphism

$$[X, C(P)]_{\mathcal{G}} \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{G}}^3(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

it is enough to check the cases $X = U \times S^i$, where U is a \mathcal{G} -orbit. In fact, since finite G -CW-complexes are built out of spaces of the form $G/H \times S^i$, where H is a closed subgroup of G , it is enough to check this for the cases $X = U \times S^i$, where $\mathcal{G} \rtimes U$ is weakly equivalent to $G \rtimes G/H$. But this reduces to proving the isomorphism $\pi_i(P(U)) \cong H^{3-i}(B(\mathcal{G} \rtimes U), \mathbb{Z})$, which follows from the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_i(P(U)) & \longrightarrow & H^{3-i}(B(\mathcal{G} \rtimes U), \mathbb{Z}) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \cong \downarrow \\ \pi_i(P_H) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{3-i}(BH, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

where the map in the bottom row is an isomorphism by the results in [3].

□

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Adem, J. Leida and Y. Ruan, *Orbifolds and stringy topology*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Volume 171, 2007.
- [2] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *Equivariant K-theory and completion*, J. Diff. Geom. 3, 1969, 1-18.
- [3] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, *Twisted K-theory*, Ukr. Mat. Visn. 1, 2004, no. 3, 287-330.
- [4] E.H. Brown, *Cohomology theories*, Ann. of Math. Vol. 75, No. 3, May 1962, 467-484.
- [5] J. Cantarero, *Equivariant K-theory, groupoids and proper actions*, arXiv:0803.3244, 2008.
- [6] C. Dwyer, *Twisted equivariant K-theory for proper actions of discrete groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2005.
- [7] A. D. Elmendorf, *Systems of fixed point sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 275-284.
- [8] H. Emerson, R. Meyer, *Equivariant representable K-theory*, arXiv:0710.1410v1, 2007.

- [9] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, *Twisted K-theory and Loop Group Representations*, arXiv:math/0312155, 2003.
- [10] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, *Loop groups and twisted K-theory II*, arXiv:math/0511232, 2005.
- [11] D. Freed, M. Hopkins, C. Teleman, *Twisted equivariant K-theory with complex coefficients*, J. Topol. 1, 2008, no. 1, 16–44.
- [12] D. Gepner and A. Henriques, *Homotopy theory of orbispaces*, arXiv:math.AT/0701916, 2007.
- [13] S. Jackowski, *Families of subgroups and completions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 37, 1985, 167-179.
- [14] N. Kitchloo, *Dominant K-theory and integrable highest weight representations of Kac-Moody groups*, arXiv:math/0710.0167v1, 2007.
- [15] A. Lahtinen, *The Atiyah-Segal completion theorem in twisted K-theory*, arXiv:0809.1273, 2009.
- [16] W. Luck, *Survey on classifying spaces for families of subgroups*, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects, 269–322, Progr. Math., 248, Birkhuser, Basel, 2005.
- [17] W. Luck and B. Oliver, *The completion theorem in K-theory for proper actions of a discrete group*, Topology 40, 2001, 585-616.
- [18] J.P. May, *Classifying spaces and fibrations*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 155, 1975.
- [19] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrcun, *Introduction to foliations and Lie groupoids*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [20] Alan L.T. Paterson, *Groupoids, inverse semigroups, and their operator algebras*, Progress in mathematics, vol. 170, Birkhauser, Boston, 1998.
- [21] N.C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions*, Pitman research notes in mathematics, vol. 178, 1989.
- [22] N.C. Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions II: Some cases in which finite dimensional bundles suffice*, Index theory of elliptic operators, foliations and operator algebras, Contem. Math. 70, 1988, 205-227.
- [23] J. Sauer, *K-theory for proper smooth actions of totally disconnected groups*, High-dimensional manifold topology, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003, 427-448.
- [24] G. Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1968, no. 34, 105-112.
- [25] G. Segal, *The representation ring of a compact Lie group*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1968, no. 34, 113-128.
- [26] G. Segal, *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 1968, no. 34, 129-151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA
E-mail address: cantarar@math.ubc.ca