

# The $L^2$ -strong maximum principle on arbitrary countable networks

Stefano Cardanobile\*

December 21, 2021

## Abstract

We study the strong maximum principle for the heat equation associated with the Dirichlet form on countable networks. We start by analysing the boundedness properties of the incidence operators on a countable network. Subsequently, we prove that the strong maximum principle is equivalent to the underlying graph being connected after deletion of the nodes with infinite degree. Using this result, we prove that the number of connected components of the graph with respect to the heat flow equals the number of maximal invariant ideals of the adjacency matrix.

## 1 Introduction

The study of the heat equation on networks has a long tradition both in the physical and mathematical [1, 2] literature. Beside more concrete motivations, these investigations are of interest in order to understand which properties of the heat equation on domains of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  also hold (or fail to) in more general situations.

Although networks are simple, one-dimensional structures, it turns out that interesting phenomena already arise with respect to this kind of problems. As an example, it has been proved by different authors that there exists non isomorphic graphs such that the Laplace operators on the corresponding networks are isospectral [3, 4]. This solves Kac's conjecture [5] in the case of networks.

A further well-known property of the heat equation on a domain is the strong maximum principle: if a positive initial data  $u(0, \cdot)$  is localized on a subdomain  $\omega \subset \Omega$ , i.e.  $u(0, x) = 0$  for almost every  $x \notin \omega$ , the resulting distribution is strictly positive for all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $t > 0$ . In semigroup theory, this property is known under the name of *irreducibility* and, if  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  is the semigroup solving the heat equation in the sense of [6], then it is said to be *irreducible*.

A possible approach for the analysis of the heat equation of the network is the variational one. In this approach, a suitable Hilbert space is defined, and the Laplace operator is defined as the operator associated with the Dirichlet

---

\*cardanobile@bccn.uni-freiburg.de, Bernstein Center for Computational Neuroscience, Hansastraße 9A, D-79081 Freiburg, Germany

form in this Hilbert space. Subsequently, the heat equation is solved weakly and classical solutions are obtained by regularity results.

We prove that irreducibility fails to hold for the heat equation in a Hilbert space context, if nodes with infinite degree are present. Further, we characterize those networks with nodes with infinite degrees for which the strong maximum principle holds. The heat equation on locally finite networks has been studied by many authors, both in the  $L^2$ -setting [7] and in the  $L^\infty$ -one [8]. The maximum principle for semilinear parabolic network equations has been studied in [9]. Nevertheless, literature on heat equations on networks that are not locally finite are relatively sparse [10, 11]. We also mention that irreducibility for topologically connected networks in the finite case has been proved in [12], and, as a matter of fact, our techniques are an extension to the infinite case of the techniques developed there.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we set up a framework that allows us to deal with infinite networks with infinite degree. In particular, we prove several properties of the incidence matrix of an infinite graph that are needed in the definition of the domain of the Dirichlet form.

In Section 3 we discuss the strong maximum principle of the heat equation on an infinite network, proving that it possibly fails to hold for networks with infinite degree. We finally show that how the notion of connectedness arising from the maximum principle correctly generalizes the theorem relating the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 of the Laplace operator of a graph and the number of connected component of the graph.

We finally mention that the results are partially adapted and refined from [11].

## 2 General results

Intuitively, a countable, oriented graph consists of vertexes  $v \in V$  and oriented edges  $e \in E$  that connect two different vertices. The relations between the vertexes and edges are specified by a mapping  $\partial : E \rightarrow V \times V$  encoding the start and the end of each edge. In fact, an *oriented graph* is any triple  $(V, E, \partial)$ , where  $V, E$  are sets and  $\partial : E \rightarrow V \times V$  is a mapping.

We recall some basic definitions. The *degree* of a node  $v$  is the number of edges  $e$  such that  $v \in \partial e$ . The outbound and inbound degree are defined in an analogous manner. Moreover, we define  $\Gamma^+(v)$  the set of edges ending at  $v$  and  $\Gamma^-(v)$  the set of edges starting at  $v$ . The degree of  $v$  satisfies  $\deg(v) = |\Gamma^+(v)| + |\Gamma^-(v)|$  and the *outbound star* centered at  $v$  is defined as the triple  $(\{v\} \cup \partial_2(\Gamma^-(v)), \Gamma^-(v), \partial|_{\Gamma^-(v)})$ , and it is, in fact, the subgraph induced by the edges outgoing from the vertex  $v$ . The *inbound star* is defined analogously, as well as the *star* centred at  $v$ . Let us formulate explicitly the definition of the incidence matrices.

**Definition 1.** *The incoming incidence matrix  $\mathcal{I}^+$  is defined by*

$$t_{ve}^+ := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the edge } e \text{ ends in the node } v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The outgoing incidence matrix  $\mathcal{I}^-$  is defined by

$$t_{\mathbf{ve}}^- := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the edge } \mathbf{e} \text{ starts in the node } \mathbf{v}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

The incidence matrix of the graph  $\mathbf{G}$  is the matrix  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^+ - \mathcal{I}^-$ .

We now fix a graph  $\mathbf{G}$  and associate to each edge a copy of the interval  $[0, 1]$ , such that, defining the Hilbert space

$$L^2(\mathbf{G}) := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}} L^2(0, 1),$$

we provide the graph  $\mathbf{G}$  with a measure-theoretic structure. We consequently call  $L^2(\mathbf{G})$  an *oriented network*. For functions  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbf{G})$  we may and do write  $\psi =: (\psi_{\mathbf{e}})_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}}$ .

**Remark 2** (Assumption on countable graphs). *Our goal is to prove some properties of the heat equation on  $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ . If  $\mathbf{G}$  is not countable, then the space  $L^2(\mathbf{G})$  is not separable, and so it is possible to decompose the space in separable ideals that are invariant under the action of the heat equation. Each of them corresponds to a countable subset of the edges set. So, there is no loss of generality in considering only countable graphs and we assume this in the following.*

**Remark 3** (Assumption on trivial ideals). *We recall that an ideal of the Hilbert lattice  $L^2(\mathbf{G})$  is a subspace of the form  $L^2(\omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is a measurable set. To avoid trivial cases we always assume that  $|\omega| > 0$ .*

Consider the space

$$V_0 := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbf{E}} H^1(0, 1).$$

As a consequence of the boundedness of the trace operator on  $H^1(0, 1)$ , both  $\psi(0)$  and  $\psi(1)$  are in  $\ell^2(\mathbf{E})$ , and so the incidence matrix is a (possibly unbounded) operator from  $\ell^2(\mathbf{E})$  to  $\ell^2(\mathbf{V})$ . If we now define  $V \subset V_0$  by

$$V := \left\{ \psi \in V_0 : \exists d^\psi \in \ell^2(\mathbf{V}) : \begin{cases} (\mathcal{I}^+)^{\top} d^\psi = \psi(0) \\ (\mathcal{I}^-)^{\top} d^\psi = \psi(1) \end{cases} \right\}, \quad (2.3)$$

then Definition 1 implies that all functions in  $V$  are *continuous on the graph*, in the sense that each  $\psi_{\mathbf{e}}$  is continuous and if, e.g.  $\mathbf{e}$  ends and  $\mathbf{e}'$  starts in  $\mathbf{v}$ , it follows that  $\psi_{\mathbf{e}}(1) = \psi_{\mathbf{e}'}(0)$ .

We define the Laplace operator on a network as the operator associated with the Dirichlet form defined on the space  $V$ . To do this, we need to prove that  $V$  is an Hilbert space and that  $V$  is densely defined and continuously embedded in the space  $L^2(\mathbf{G})$ . Since we want to incorporate the possibility of nodes with unbounded degree, we have to clarify in an operator theoretic sense the expressions involving the incidence matrices in Equation (2.3). This is the goal of the present section.

In the Equation (2.3) the existence of a  $d^\psi$  with the required properties has to be understood as the existence of  $d^\psi$  in the domain of the transpose of the incidence matrix, interpreted as an operator from  $\ell^2(\mathbf{V})$  to  $\ell^2(\mathbf{E})$ . Before we turn our attention to these domains, we fix some notations. Assume that  $f : A \rightarrow H$  is a function from a set  $A$  to a vector space  $H$ . If and  $B \subset A$  is a subset, we define  $\pi_B f$  by

$$\pi_B f(a) = \begin{cases} f(a), & a \in B, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that if  $A$  is a measure space,  $B$  is a measurable subset of  $A$ , and  $H$  is a Hilbert space, then  $P_B : f \mapsto \pi_B f$  is the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(A)$  onto the ideal  $L^2(B)$ .

We start by proving that the incidence operators are densely defined. As a consequence, the transpose can be identified with the adjoint.

**Proposition 4.** *Both  $\mathcal{I}^+$  and  $\mathcal{I}^-$  have dense domain as operators from  $\ell^2(\mathbf{E})$  to  $\ell^2(\mathbf{V})$  for every countable graph  $\mathbf{G}$ .*

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is the following: we prove the claim for locally finite graphs and for infinite stars; we conclude combining both results.

Assume that the graph  $\mathbf{G}$  is locally finite, i.e. that each node has finite degree. Then,

$$\mathbf{G} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{G}_n,$$

where  $\mathbf{G}_n$  is the subgraph induced by the subset  $\mathbf{V}_n$  of nodes having degree less than  $n$ .

For all  $y \in \ell^2(\mathbf{E})$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y - \pi_{\mathbf{E}_n}(y)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbf{E})} = 0.$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{E}_n$  the set of the edges belonging to  $\mathbf{G}_n$ . The estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}^+ \pi_{\mathbf{E}_n} y\|_{\ell^2(\mathbf{V})}^2 &= \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}_n} \left| \sum_{e \in \Gamma^+(v)} \pi_{\mathbf{E}_n} y_e \right|^2 \\ &\leq M_n \sum_{v \in \mathbf{V}_n} \sum_{e \in \Gamma^+(v)} |\pi_{\mathbf{E}_n} y_e|^2 \\ &= M_n \|\pi_{\mathbf{E}_n} y\|_{\ell^2(\mathbf{E})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

yields that  $\pi_{\mathbf{E}_n} y \in D(\mathcal{I}^+)$  for all  $n$ , and so the claim is proved for a locally finite graph.

If the graph consists of a single inbound infinite star  $S$ , then  $\ell^1(\mathbf{E}) \subset D(\mathcal{I}^+)$ , and so  $D(\mathcal{I}^+)$  is dense in  $\ell^2(\mathbf{E})$ .

To complete the proof, we assume without loss of generality that the nodes with infinite out-degree are labeled  $v_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we decompose the graph as

$$\mathbf{G} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma(v_k) \cup \mathbf{G}_{\text{fin}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{fin}}$  represents the subgraph induced by the node with finite degree, and  $\mathbf{V}_{\text{fin}}, \mathbf{E}_{\text{fin}}$  are the corresponding vertex and edges subsets, respectively. We define for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the approximations

$$\mathbf{G}_n = \bigcup_{k \leq n-1} \Gamma(v_k) \cup \mathbf{G}_{\text{fin}}.$$

We fix an arbitrary  $x \in \ell^2(\mathbf{E})$  and define

$$v_0 := \pi_{\mathbf{E}_{\text{fin}}} x, \quad v_k := \pi_{\Gamma(v_k)} x, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where we have identified  $\Gamma(v_k)$  with its edge set. Since  $\mathbf{G}_{\text{fin}}$  is locally finite, there exists a sequence  $(v_0^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D(\mathcal{I}_{|\mathbf{E}_{\text{fin}}}^+)$  such that the estimate

$$\|v_0^n - v_0\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

holds. In particular, extending  $v_0^n$  by 0 yields a sequence in  $D(\mathcal{I}^+)$ , since  $\mathcal{I}^+ \ell^2(\mathbf{E}_{\text{fin}}) \subset \ell^2(\mathbf{V}_{\text{fin}})$ . We recall that the domain of the incidence operators is dense for infinite stars, too. So, for all  $k \geq 1$  there exists a sequence  $(v_k^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D(\mathcal{I}_{|\Gamma(v_k)}^+)$  such that

$$\|v_k^n - v_k\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+k}}, \quad k \geq 1, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Again by the same arguments as for finite part, extending  $v_k$  by 0 yields a vector  $D(\mathcal{I}^+)$ . With an abuse of notation, we denote the extensions of  $v_k^n$   $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  also by  $v_k^n$ .

Summing up, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a sequence  $(v_k^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in D(\mathcal{I}^+)$  such that

$$\|v_k^n - v_k\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n+k}}, \quad k, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We define  $x^n := \sum_{k \leq n} v_k$  and fix  $\varepsilon < 0$ . Since (2.4) holds, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|x - \pi_{\mathbf{E}_n} x\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . For such an  $n$  we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x^n\| &= \|x - \pi_{\mathbf{E}_n}(x) + \pi_{\mathbf{E}_n}(x) - x^n\| \\ &\leq \|x - \pi_{\mathbf{E}_n}(x)\| + \|\pi_{\mathbf{E}_n}(x) - x^n\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = x$ .

Since now  $x^n$  is a finite linear combination of elements in the domain, we have that  $x^n \in D(\mathcal{I}^+)$ , thus concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5** (Domain of the adjoint). *One could ask whether the adjoints of the incidence operators  $(\mathcal{I}^+)^{\top}, (\mathcal{I}^-)^{\top}$  are themselves densely defined. In fact, this is the case if and only if the graph  $\mathbf{G}$  is locally finite. To see this, assume first that  $\mathbf{G}$  is locally finite and fix a vector  $x \in c_{00}(\mathbf{V})$ . Then  $(\mathcal{I}^+)^{\top} \in c_{00}(\mathbf{E}) \subset \ell^2(\mathbf{E})$ , too.*

This implies  $x \in D((\mathcal{I}^+)^{\top})$  and so the operator is densely defined. Conversely, if  $(\mathcal{I}^+)^{\top}$  is densely defined, then  $\mathbf{1}_v$  has to be in the domain for all  $v \in V$ . Observe that  $(\mathcal{I}^+)^{\top}(\mathbf{1}_v) = \mathbf{1}_{\Gamma^+(v)}$  which is in  $\ell^2$  if and only if  $\Gamma^+(v)$  is finite. As a side remark, observe that this implies that  $V$  is not dense in  $\oplus_{e \in E} H^1(0, 1)$  in the  $H^1$ -norm. However, it is not difficult to prove that both  $V$  and  $\oplus_{e \in E} H^1(0, 1)$  are dense in  $\oplus_{e \in E} L^2(0, 1)$  with respect to the  $L^2$ -norm.

The issue whether an infinite matrix defines a (bounded) operator in a Hilbert space is known at least since Halmos [13] to have no “elegant and useful answer”. In the context of graphs, Mohar [14] has investigated the boundedness of the adjacency matrix, proving that boundedness is equivalent to the graph being uniformly locally finite (in short *ULF*). In the next result we investigate the boundedness of the incidence matrices.

**Proposition 6.** *Consider a countable graph  $G$ . Then:*

- a) *The incidence matrices  $\mathcal{I}^+, \mathcal{I}^-$  are bounded operators from  $\ell^2(E)$  to  $\ell^2(V)$  if and only if the graph  $G$  is uniformly locally finite.*
- b) *The incidence matrices  $\mathcal{I}^+, \mathcal{I}^-$  are bounded operators from  $\ell^\infty(E)$  to  $\ell^\infty(V)$  if and only if the graph  $G$  is uniformly locally finite.*
- c) *The operators  $\mathcal{I}^+, \mathcal{I}^-$  are contractive from  $\ell^1(E)$  to  $\ell^\infty(V)$ .*

*Proof.* We start proving a). We assume that the graph  $G$  is *ULF* with maximal degree  $D$ , fix  $x \in \ell^2(E)$  and compute, again using the same symbol for a star and its edges set

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}^+ x\|_{\ell^2(V)}^2 &= \sum_{v \in V} \left| \sum_{e \in \Gamma^+(v)} x_e \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in V} \|(x_e)_{e \in \Gamma^+(v)}\|_{\ell^1(\Gamma^+(v))}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in V} \deg^+(v) \|(x_e)_{e \in \Gamma^+(v)}\|_{\ell^2(\Gamma^+(v))}^2 \\ &\leq D \|x\|_{\ell^2(E)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, if the graph is locally finite, but it is not *ULF*, then there exists a sequence of nodes  $(v_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \deg(v_\ell) = \infty$ . Consider the vectors  $x_\ell := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\deg^+(v_\ell)}} \mathbf{1}_{I(v_\ell)}$ . Then  $\|x_\ell\|_{\ell^2(E)} = 1$ , but  $\|\mathcal{I}^+ x_\ell\|_{\ell^2(V)} = \deg^+(v_\ell)$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{I}^+$  is not bounded.

If, finally, there exists a node  $v$  such that  $\deg^+(v) = \infty$ , it suffices to observe that for the inbound star  $\Gamma^+(v)$  all vectors  $0 \leq x \in \ell^2(\Gamma^+(v)) \setminus \ell^1(\Gamma^+(v))$  are not in the domain of  $\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma^+(v)}^+$ . Extending one of these vectors by 0 yields the claim.

To see that b) holds we observe that the operator  $\mathcal{I}^+$  is a positive matrix. Thus, it is sufficient to compute  $\mathcal{I}^+ \mathbf{1}_e = (\deg^+(v))_{v \in V}$ .

Again, since  $\mathcal{I}^+$  is a positive matrix to see that  $c)$  holds, we compute for arbitrary  $x \in \ell^1$

$$\|\mathcal{I}^+ x\|_{\ell^\infty(V)} \leq \|\mathcal{I}^+ x\|_{\ell^1(V)} = \|x\|_{\ell^1(E)}.$$

□

In this section we have established some fundamental properties of the incidence matrices appearing in the definition of the space  $V$ . In the next section, we will prove some irreducibility properties for the Laplace operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ .

### 3 Irreducibility for the heat semigroup

We introduce the *Laplace operator on a network* as the operator associated with the symmetric, bilinear form

$$a(f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{G}} f'(x) \overline{g'(x)} dx$$

with form domain  $D(a) := V$ . We call the semigroup  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$  generated by the Laplace operator the *heat semigroup*. Due to a Theorem of Ouhabaz [15] it is possible to characterize the invariance under the action of  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  of closed, convex subsets  $C$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{G})$ , i.e. the property

$$f \in C \Rightarrow [\forall t \geq 0 : e^{t\Delta} f \in C].$$

The aforementioned Theorem takes a particularly simple form if  $C$  is a linear subspace. Since we will use this simplified version several times, we formulate it explicitly for the sake of readability.

**Theorem 7** (Invariance of linear subspaces). *Consider a densely defined, continuous, elliptic sesquilinear form  $(a, V)$  on the Hilbert space  $H$  and fix a closed linear subspace  $Y \subset H$ . Then  $Y$  is invariant under the semigroup generated by  $a$  if and only if  $P_Y V \subset V$  and*

$$a(f, g) = 0, \quad f \in V \cap Y, g \in V \cap Y^\perp.$$

In particular, the theorem can be used to characterize irreducibility, if the underlying Hilbert space is of the form  $L^2(\Omega)$ . We recall that a semigroup is irreducible if and only if whenever  $L^2(\omega)$  is invariant under the action of the semigroup, it follows that either  $|\Omega \setminus \omega| = 0$  or  $|\omega| = 0$ . In the context of graphs, Theorem 7 implies that irreducibility is equivalent to the invariance of continuity under the projection on  $L^2(\omega)$ . This observation has been used in [12] to prove that irreducibility is equivalent to the graph being connected, in the case of a finite graph. The same arguments of [12] fail to hold for infinite graphs, and, as a matter of fact, the equivalence does not hold, as proved in [11].

The key observation is that on nodes with infinite degree Dirichlet boundary conditions are automatically imposed, and so an initial data localised on a side of such nodes cannot propagate to the other side. Thus, irreducibility

in connected, infinite graphs is equivalent to the graph being connected after deletion of nodes with infinite degree. We start proving the result concerning the boundary conditions imposed nodes with infinite degree.

**Lemma 8.** *For all countable graphs with vertex set  $V$  and all  $v \in V$  the following assertions are equivalent.*

- a)  $\deg(v) < \infty$
- b)  $\exists \psi \in V : \pi_v(d^\psi) \neq 0$

*Proof.* Recall that  $H^1(0, 1) \hookrightarrow C[0, 1]$  and so  $\|\psi\|_{H^1(0,1)} \geq M\|\psi\|_\infty$  and fix an arbitrary node  $v \in V$ .

We first prove b)  $\Rightarrow$  a). If there exists  $\psi \in V$ ,  $\psi(v) \neq 0$ , then

$$\|\psi\|_V^2 = \sum_{e \in E} \|\psi_e\|_{V_e}^2 \geq M \sum_{e \in \Gamma(v)} \|\psi_e\|_\infty^2 \geq M \sum_{e \in \Gamma(v)} |\psi(v)|^2,$$

and so  $\Gamma(v)$  has to be finite.

Conversely, choose  $C \ni \lambda \neq 0$  and set

$$\psi(v') = \begin{cases} \lambda, & v' = v, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For all  $x \in G \setminus V$  interpolate  $\psi$  by affine functions. Then  $\psi_e \in H^1(0, 1)$  for all  $e \in E$  and moreover

$$\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 = \deg(v) \frac{|\lambda|^2}{3}, \quad \|\psi\|_{H^1}^2 = \deg(v) \frac{4|\lambda|^2}{3}.$$

Finally,  $\psi$  is continuous in the nodes. So,  $\psi \in V$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

For a subset of nodes  $V'$  we call the *subgraph induced by  $V'$*  the subgraph of  $G$  containing all edges that are only incident to nodes of  $V'$ . The *boundary of  $G'$*  consists of the nodes of  $G'$  that are adjacent to nodes of  $G \setminus G'$ .

**Proposition 9.** *Consider the heat semigroup  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  on a network. For all subgraphs  $G' \subset G$  induced by a set of nodes  $V'$  the following assertions are equivalent.*

- a) *The ideal  $L^2(G')$  is invariant under the action of the semigroup  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ .*
- b) *If  $v \in \partial G'$ , then  $\deg(v) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We preliminarily observe that the orthogonal projection  $P$  onto  $L^2(G')$  acts on a function  $\psi$  by

$$P\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \psi(x), & x \in G', \\ 0, & x \in G \setminus G'. \end{cases}$$



To see that *b*) implies *a*), we have to prove that the conditions in Theorem 7 hold. The second condition is clear, since  $P\psi$  and  $(\text{id} - P)\psi$  have disjoint support. So, we only prove that  $PV \subset V$ , i.e., that the continuity in the nodes is preserved under the action of  $P$ .

On all internal nodes of  $G'$ ,  $P\psi$  is continuous since the projection acts as the identity in a full neighbourhood of the node, and on all internal node of  $G \setminus G'$   $P\psi$  is continuous since  $P\psi \equiv 0$  in a full neighbourhood of the node.

It remains to prove continuity in the nodes on the boundary of  $G'$ . We arbitrarily choose a node  $v \in \partial G'$  and consider the star centred in  $v$   $\Gamma(v)$ . On each  $e \in \Gamma(v) \cap G \setminus G'$ ,  $\psi_e \equiv 0$  and so  $\psi_e(v) = 0$ . On the other side,  $\psi(v) = 0$  since  $\deg(v) = \infty$ , and so for each  $e \in \Gamma(v) \cap G'$   $\psi_e(v) = 0$ . As a consequence, defining

$$d^{P\psi} = \begin{cases} d_v^\psi & v \in V', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

proves the continuity of  $P\psi$ .

To prove the converse implication, observe that the boundary space  $\partial V \subset \ell^2(V)$  satisfies

$$\partial V := \{d^\psi : \psi \in V\} \subset \{(x_v)_{v \in V} \in \ell^2(V) : [\deg(v') = \infty \Rightarrow x_{v'} = 0]\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Assume that  $L^2(G')$  is invariant. By Theorem 7  $P\psi$  is continuous in the nodes whenever  $\psi$  is continuous in the nodes. In particular,  $P\psi$  has to be continuous in all nodes  $v \in G \setminus G'$  that are adjacent to  $G'$  and for these nodes  $P\psi(v) = 0$ . So, we arbitrarily choose a neighbourhood  $N$  of  $v$  and  $\psi \in V$ . On each point  $x \in (N \cap G') \setminus \{v\} =: N'$  the projection  $P$  acts as the identity, i.e  $P\psi(x) = \psi(x)$ . Further, the ideal  $I$  is invariant and so  $P\psi$  is a continuous function. We compute

$$0 = \lim_{N' \ni x \rightarrow v} P\psi(x) = \lim_{N' \ni x \rightarrow v} \psi(x) = \psi(v).$$

Since the choice of  $\psi$  is arbitrary,  $\deg(v) = \infty$  follows from Lemma 8.  $\square$

Proposition 9 allows us to characterize the irreducibility of  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  in terms of the connectedness of the graph  $G$ . For finite graphs, irreducibility is known to be equivalent to the graph  $G$  being connected by paths. Before proving similar results for infinite graphs, we prove the easy result that pathwise connectedness is equivalent to the topological one. The result is probably known, but we were not able to find a reference in the literature.

**Proposition 10.** *The following assertions are equivalent.*

- a) *The graph  $G$  is pathwise connected: for every two nodes  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  there exists a finite path connecting  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .*
- b) *The graph  $G$  is topologically connected, i.e., if  $\emptyset \neq V_1, V_2 \subset V$  are sets such that*

$$V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset, \quad \text{and} \quad V_1 \cup V_2 = V,$$

*then there exists  $e \in E$  such that  $e \sim V_1, e \sim V_2$ .*

*Proof.* We assume that *a*) holds and fix a decomposition  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ . Since the graph is pathwise connected, for every  $v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$  there exists a path  $P = [e_1, \dots, e_\ell]$  of finite length  $\ell$  connecting  $v_1$  to  $v_2$ . The index

$$i_0 := \max_{i=1, \dots, \ell} \{i : e_i \in E_1\}$$

an edge  $e_{i_0}$  that is adjacent to both  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ .

Conversely, assume that *b*) holds. Fix two nodes  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  and define

$$V_1 := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{v' \in V : d(v_1, v') = n\}, \quad V_2 := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{v' \in V : d(v_2, v') = n\}.$$

If  $V_1 = V_2$  there is nothing to prove. If  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  then there exists by assumption an edge connecting both vertex sets. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

In order to characterize irreducibility, we define the *finite span*  $S_{\text{fin}}(e) \subset E$  of the edge  $e$  as the subgraph induced by the set of edges

$$E_{\text{fin}} := \{e' \in E : \text{there is a path from } e \text{ to } e' \text{ containing no infinite stars}\}.$$

We say that the paths that have the above property have *finite weight*. Paths with *infinite weight* are defined analogously. We are now in the position of stating the main theorem of this Section.

**Theorem 11.** *For a countable graph  $G$  the following assertions are equivalent.*

- a) The semigroup  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  is irreducible.*
- b)  $S_{\text{fin}}(e) = G$  for one  $e \in E$ .*
- c)  $S_{\text{fin}}(e) = G$  for all  $e \in E$ .*

**Corollary 12.** *If  $G$  is a connected, locally finite network, then  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  is irreducible.*

**Corollary 13.** *The number of non trivial, minimal invariant ideals of  $L^2(G)$  is the number of the different  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  contained in the graph.*

We split the proof of Theorem 11 in several steps. The idea is to prove that the invariant ideals of the semigroup  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$  are of the form  $\cup_i S_{\text{fin}}(e_i)$  for some family  $\{e_i\} \subset E$ . As a preliminary remark, we observe that the only possible invariant ideals are of the form  $L^2(G')$ , where  $G'$  is some subgraph of  $G$  induced by a subset of the node set. To see this, recall that all ideals of  $L^2(G)$  have the form  $L^2(\omega)$ , where  $\omega = \bigoplus_{e \in E} \omega_e \subset \bigoplus_{e \in E} [0, 1]$ . Thus, we are claiming that if  $L^2(\omega)$  is invariant, then  $|\omega_e| \in \{0, 1\}$ , but this is a consequence of the irreducibility of the heat semigroup on  $L^2[0, 1]$ . We now show that ideals of the form  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  are invariant.

**Lemma 14.** *Consider a connected graph  $G$  and  $e \in E$ . Then  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  is invariant under the action of  $(e^{t\Delta})_{t \geq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* We use Theorem 7. To prove that both conditions hold, we arbitrarily choose  $e \in E$  and denote by  $P$  the projection onto  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$ . Observe that  $P\psi(x) = 1_{S_{\text{fin}}(e)}(x)\psi(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . So, the first condition of Theorem 7 holds since  $P\psi$  and  $(I - P)\psi$  have disjoint support.

We prove that  $PV \subset V$ . Recall that the boundary of  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  consists of those nodes that are adjacent to  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  and to its complement. So, one only has to prove continuity in the nodes  $v \in \partial S_{\text{fin}}(e)$ , and indeed it suffices to show that all the nodes on the boundary of  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  have infinite degree. But this is clear as for, if  $v$  is on the boundary of  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  and has finite degree, all edges incident onto  $v$  are in  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  by definition, and so  $v$  is internal to  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$ .  $\square$

The next step is to identify the subgraphs of the form  $S_{\text{fin}}(e)$ .

**Lemma 15.** *Consider a connected graph  $G$  and a connected subgraph  $G'$ . Consider the following assertions.*

- a)  $\deg(v) = \infty$  for all nodes in  $\partial G'$ .
- b) There exists a path with finite weight between every  $e, e' \in G'$ .
- c) The subgraph  $G'$  is the finite span  $S_{\text{fin}}(e')$  of each of its edges.

Then

- a)  $[1. \wedge 2.] \Leftrightarrow [3.]$  and
- b)  $[1.] \Rightarrow [\forall e \in G' : S_{\text{fin}}(e) \subset G']$ .

*Proof.* To prove the first direction of a) we fix a subgraph with the required properties. We first observe that 1. implies  $G' \subset S_{\text{fin}}(e)$  for all  $e \in G'$ . Assume now that  $\exists e' \in S_{\text{fin}}(e) \setminus G'$ . Without loss of generality, let  $e' \sim G'$ , i.e.,  $e' \sim v, v \in G'$  and assume that the boundary  $\partial G'$  only consists of  $v$ . By hypothesis  $\deg(v) = \infty$  and so there is no path with finite weight between  $e$  and  $e'$ , which is a contradiction.

Conversely, if  $G'$  is the finite span of each of its edges then 2. is trivially true and 1. follows from the fact that  $G'$  is a finite span. For if one node on the boundary would have finite degree, then all adjacent nodes would belong to the same finite span and hence to  $G'$ . But this means that the node is internal to  $G'$ , hence it does not belong to the boundary.

b) We arbitrarily choose  $e \in G', e' \notin G'$ , and a path  $P$  between  $e$  and  $e'$ . By definition of  $\partial G'$ , there exists  $v \in P \cap \partial G'$ . As a consequence  $P$  has infinite weight and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The following is a straightforward consequence of the above lemma.

**Proposition 16.** *Consider a connected graph  $G$ . Then there exists  $E' \subset E$  such that*

$$\bigcup_{e \in E'} S_{\text{fin}}(e) = G,$$

and

$$\partial S_{\text{fin}}(e) = S_{\text{fin}}(e) \cap S_{\text{fin}}(e') = \partial S_{\text{fin}}(e'), \quad e, e' \in E'.$$

Now we can characterise irreducibility.

*Proof of Theorem 11.* Observe that, as a consequence of Lemma 8,  $\psi(v) = 0$  for all  $\psi \in V$  if and only if  $\deg(v) = \infty$ . Also recall that irreducibility is equivalent to the fact that the only invariant ideal is  $L^2(G)$ . In order to see that b) and c) are equivalent observe that if  $e' \in S_{\text{fin}}(e)$ , then  $S_{\text{fin}}(e) = S_{\text{fin}}(e')$ .

Assume that a) holds. Then the only invariant ideal is  $L^2(G')$ . Since by Lemma 14  $L^2(S_{\text{fin}}(e))$  is invariant for all  $e \in E$ , c) follows. Conversely, assume that c) holds and that  $L^2(G')$  is invariant. We observe that the projection  $P\psi$  of a function  $\psi$  on  $L^2(G')$  vanishes in all points of  $G \setminus G'$  and so, by continuity it vanishes in all points of the boundary of  $G'$ . Since on  $L^2(G')$   $P$  coincides with the identity, we deduce that each function  $\psi \in V$  also has to vanish on all points of the boundary of  $G'$  and so we conclude by Lemma 8, that all those points  $v'$  satisfy  $\deg(v') = \infty$ . So, for all  $e \in G'$ ,  $S_{\text{fin}}(e) \subset G'$ , hence  $G' = G$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

This theorem helps to establish a relation to the well-known result connecting the eigenvalues of the Laplace matrix of a graph to the number of connected components. We start by a definition.

**Definition 17.** *A graph is  $\Delta$ -connected, if the heat equation on the corresponding network is irreducible in  $L^2(G)$ . The number of  $\Delta$ -connected components is the number of non trivial, minimal invariant ideals of the corresponding heat equation.*

For finite graphs  $\Delta$ -connectedness and topological connectedness, as well as number of invariant ideals of the Laplacian and multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 coincide and this is reflected in a well-known elementary theorem from basic graph theory.

**Theorem 18** (Connected components and multiplicity of  $\lambda_0$ ). *For a finite graph, the number of connected components of a graph  $G$  is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 0 of the Laplace matrix of the graph.*

For the  $\ell^2$ -Laplacian matrix on non finite networks, the value 0 does not need to be an eigenvalue since the constant vector  $\mathbf{1}$  is not part of  $\ell^2(V)$ , see [16] for a detailed discussion of spectral properties of the adjacency matrix.

However, Corollary 13 shows that the theorem carries over to the new situation if topological connectedness is replaced by  $\Delta$ -connectedness and the multiplicity of the eigenvalues is replaced by the number of invariant ideals. So, we reformulate Corollary 13 in the following form.

**Theorem 19** (Connected components and invariant ideals). *For a countable graph, the number of  $\Delta$ -connected components of a graph  $G$  equals the number of maximal invariant ideals of heat equation on the corresponding network.*

## 4 Acknowledgements

A major part of this work was accomplished as the author was in the “Graduate School for Mathematical Analysis of Evolution, Information and Complexity” of the University of Ulm. Delio Mugnolo and Robin Nittka are gratefully acknowledged for discussion and valuable help.

## References

- [1] Linus Pauling. The diamagnetic anisotropy of aromatic molecules. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 4(10):673–677, 1936.
- [2] Günter Lumer. Connecting of local operators and evolution equations on networks. In F. Hirsch, editor, *Potential Theory (Proc. Copenhagen 1979)*, pages 230–243, Berlin, 1980. Springer-Verlag.
- [3] Joachim von Below. Can one hear the shape of a network? In F. Ali Mehmeti, J. von Below, and S. Nicaise, editors, *Partial Differential Equations on Multistructures (Proc. Luminy 1999)*, volume 219 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 19–36, New York, 2001. Marcel Dekker.
- [4] Ram Band, Talia Shapira, and Uzy Smilansky. Nodal domains on isospectral quantum graphs: the resolution of isospectrality? *Journal of Physics A Mathematical General*, 39:13999–14014, November 2006.
- [5] Mark Kac. Can one hear the shape of a drum? *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 73:1–23, 1966.
- [6] Tosio Kato. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [7] Carla Cattaneo. The spread of the potential on a weighted graph. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino*, 57:221–230, 1999. Freely available on <http://www.emis.ams.org/journals/RSMT/57-4/221.pdf>.
- [8] Joachim von Below and Jose Antonio Lubary. Harmonic functions on locally finite networks. *Result. Math.*, 45(1-2):1–20, 2004.
- [9] Joachim von Below. A maximum principle for semilinear parabolic network equations. In *Differential equations with applications in biology, physics, and engineering (Leibnitz, 1989)*, volume 133 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 37–45. Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [10] Sean McGuinness. Recurrent networks and a theorem of Nash-Williams. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 4(1):87–100, 1991.
- [11] Stefano Cardanobile. *Diffusion systems and heat equations on networks*. SVH, 2008.

- [12] Marjeta Kramar Fijavž, Delio Mugnolo, and Eszter Sikolya. Variational and semigroup methods for waves and diffusion in networks. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 55:219–240, 2007.
- [13] Paul Richard Halmos. *A Hilbert space problem book*, volume 19 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1982. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 17.
- [14] Bojan Mohar. The spectrum of an infinite graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 48:245–256, 1982.
- [15] El-Maati Ouhabaz. *Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains*, volume 30 of *LMS Monograph Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2005.
- [16] Joachim von Below. An index theory for uniformly locally finite graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431(1-2):1–19, 2009.