

OBSERVABLE ACTIONS OF ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

LEX RENNER AND ALVARO RITTATORE

ABSTRACT. Let G be an affine algebraic group and let X be an affine algebraic variety. An action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is called *observable* if for any G -invariant, proper, closed subset Y of X there is a nonzero invariant $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $f|_Y = 0$. We characterize this condition geometrically as follows. The action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is observable if and only if (1) there is a nonempty open subset $U \subseteq X$ consisting of closed orbits, and (2) the field $\mathbb{k}(X)^G$ of G -invariant rational functions on X is equal to the quotient field of $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$. In case G is reductive, we conclude that there exists a unique, maximal, G -stable, closed subset X_{soc} of X such that $G \times X_{\text{soc}} \rightarrow X_{\text{soc}}$ is observable. Furthermore, the canonical map $X_{\text{soc}}//G \rightarrow X//G$ is bijective.

1. INTRODUCTION

A closed subgroup H of the affine algebraic group G is called an *observable subgroup* if the homogeneous space G/H is a quasi-affine variety. Such subgroups have been introduced by Bialynicki-Birula, Hochschild and Mostow in [2], and researched extensively since then, notably by F. Grosshans. See [12] for a survey on this topic, and Theorem 2.3 below for other useful characterizations of observable subgroups.

In this paper we develop further this point of view, and define the notion of an *observable action* of G on the affine variety X . Our main results pertain to actions of non-reductive groups. But we also investigate the situation where G is reductive.

To state our results we first introduce some notation. Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field. We work with affine algebraic varieties X over \mathbb{k} . An algebraic group is assumed to be a smooth, affine, group scheme of finite type over \mathbb{k} . If X is an affine variety over \mathbb{k} we denote by $\mathbb{k}[X]$ the ring of regular functions on X . If $I \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ is an ideal, we denote by $\mathcal{V}(I) = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0 \forall f \in I\}$. If $Y \subset X$ is a subset, we denote by $\mathcal{I}(Y) = \{f \in \mathbb{k}[X] : f(y) = 0 \forall y \in Y\}$. If $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]$, we denote by $X_f = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$. Morphisms $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ between affine varieties correspond to morphisms of algebras $\mathbb{k}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]$, by $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$, $\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi$. If X is irreducible we denote by $\mathbb{k}(X)$ the field of rational functions on X . If A is any integral domain we denote by $[A]$ its quotient field. Thus if X is an affine variety, then $\mathbb{k}(X) = [\mathbb{k}[X]]$. If $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is a regular action of G on X we consider the induced action of G on $\mathbb{k}[X]$, defined as follows. For $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ and $g \in G$ we set $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$. It is well known that G -stable closed subset of X correspond to G -stable radical ideals of $\mathbb{k}[X]$. If $x \in X$ we will denote by $\mathcal{O}(x) = \{g \cdot x : g \in G\}$ the

The first named author was partially supported by a grant from NSERC. The second named author was artially supported by grants from IMU/CDE and NSERC.

G-orbit of x . We say that $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ is *G-invariant* if $g \cdot f = f$ for any $g \in G$. The set of G -invariants $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ forms a \mathbb{k} -subalgebra of $\mathbb{k}[X]$, possibly non-finitely generated.

In this paper we are interested in situations whereby an affine algebraic group acts on an irreducible, affine variety X in such a way that $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = \mathbb{k}(X)^G = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$. When this happens, one can separate the orbits of maximal dimension *generically* with invariant regular functions. See for example [15, Prop. 3.4]. In Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.9 we obtain a generalization of Igusa's criterion (see [13] and [15, §4.5]). We express our results in terms of the *affinized quotient* $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\text{aff } G$, induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{k}[X]^G \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$. This quotient, even when it does not coincide with the categorical quotient, contains enough information about the action to give us control of an open subset of orbits of maximal dimension. See Definition 2.8.

We define a regular action $G \times X \rightarrow X$, to be *observable* if for any nonzero G -stable ideal $I \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ we have $I^G \neq (0)$. A closed subgroup H of G is an observable subgroup, in the sense of Grosshans above, if and only if the action $H \times G \rightarrow G$, by left translations, is an observable action. It turns out that, in this situation, the left action is observable if and only if the right action is so.

If $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is an observable action of G on the affine variety X , then it follows easily that X contains a dense open subset consisting entirely of closed G -orbits. This is a sufficient condition for the action to be observable if G is reductive, but not in general. In Theorem 3.10, the main result of this paper, we provide the following geometric characterization. The action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is observable if and only if (1) $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$ and (2) X contains a dense open subset consisting entirely of closed G -orbits.

It seems natural then to consider the affinized quotient of an observable action. In this case, we show that there exists a nonzero invariant $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that the affinized quotient $\pi : X_f \rightarrow X_f/\text{aff } G$ is a geometric quotient; see Theorem 3.18.

As an application, we consider the case of a reductive group G acting on the irreducible, affine variety X . In this case we prove that there exists a unique, maximal, G -stable, closed subset $X_{\text{soc}} \subset X$ such that the restricted action $G \times X_{\text{soc}} \rightarrow X_{\text{soc}}$ is observable. Furthermore, $\mathbb{k}[X]^G \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X_{\text{soc}}]^G$ is a finite, purely inseparable extension. In particular, the morphism $X_{\text{soc}}//G \rightarrow X//G$ is finite and bijective. See theorems 4.6 and 4.7.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We would like to thank Michel Brion and Walter Ferrer for their useful comments and suggestions.

This paper was written during a stay of the second author at the University of Western Ontario. He would like to thank them for the kind hospitality he received during his stay.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let G be an affine algebraic group and X an algebraic variety. As usual, a (*regular*) *action* of G on X is a morphism $\varphi : G \times X \rightarrow X$, denoted by $\varphi(g, x) = g \cdot x$, such

that $(ab) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$ and $1 \cdot x = x$ for all $a, b \in G$ and $x \in X$. Since all the actions we will work with are regular, we will drop the adjective regular.

Definition 2.1. Let G be an affine algebraic group and $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup. The subgroup H is *observable in G* if and only if for any nonzero H -stable ideal $I \subset \mathbb{k}[G]$ we obtain that $I^H \neq (0)$.

Remark 2.2. The notion of an observable subgroup was introduced by Bialynicki-Birula, Hochschild and Mostow in [2]. The characterization of observable subgroups by the condition “ $I^H \neq (0)$ ” in Definition 2.1 appears for the first time in [10, Definition 10.2.1].

Theorem 2.3. Let G be an affine algebraic group and $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) The subgroup H is observable in G .
- (2) The homogeneous space G/H is a quasi-affine variety.
- (3) For an arbitrary proper and closed subset $C \subsetneq G/H$, there exists a nonzero invariant regular function $f \in \mathbb{k}[G]^H$ such that $f(C) = 0$.

Moreover, if G is connected the above conditions are equivalent to the condition

$$(4) \quad [\mathbb{k}[G]]^H = [\mathbb{k}[G]^H].$$

Proof. See for example [10, Thm. 10.5.5]. □

Definition 2.4. Let G be an affine algebraic group acting on an affine variety X . We say that the action is *observable*, if for any nonzero G -stable ideal $I \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$, $I^G \neq (0)$.

Example 2.5. (1) Let G be an algebraic group and H a closed subgroup. Then the action of H on G by translations is observable if and only if H is observable in G .

(2) Any action of the unipotent group U on an affine variety is observable, since for any nonzero U -module M , we obtain that $M^U \neq \{0\}$. We will prove in Proposition 2.7 below that this property characterizes unipotent groups.

First, we recall the construction of the *induced space*:

Definition 2.6. Let G be an algebraic group, and let a closed subgroup $H \subset G$ act on an algebraic variety X . The *induced space* $G *_H X$ is defined as the geometric quotient of $G \times X$ under the H -action $h \cdot (g, x) = (gh^{-1}, h \cdot x)$.

Under mild conditions on X (e.g. X normal and covered by quasi-projective H -stable open subsets), this quotient exists. Clearly, $G *_H X$ is a G -variety, for the action induced by $a \cdot (g, x) = (ag, x)$. We will denote the class of (g, x) in $G \times X$ by $[g, x] \in G *_H X$. We refer the reader to [1], where the notion of induced space was introduced by Bialynicki-Birula, and to [18], for a concise survey on this construction and its many properties, and resume in the next paragraphs the properties of the induced space we need in what follows.

It is easy to see that $G *_H X$ is a G -variety, for the action $a \cdot [g, x] = [ag, x]$, $a, g \in G$, $x \in X$.

The projection $G \times X \rightarrow G$ induces a morphism $\rho : G *_H X \rightarrow G/H$, $[g, x] \rightsquigarrow [g]$. The morphism ρ is a G -equivariant fibration, with fiber

$$X = \rho^{-1}([1]) = \{[1, x] : x \in X\} \subset G *_H X.$$

Moreover, the G -stable closed subsets of $G *_H X$ correspond bijectively to H -stable closed subset of X , via the maps

$$G *_H X \supset Z \rightsquigarrow Z \cap X \quad \text{and} \quad X \supset Y \rightsquigarrow GY \subset G *_H X.$$

Proposition 2.7. *Let G be a connected affine algebraic group such that every action of G on an affine algebraic variety is observable. Then G is a unipotent group.*

Proof. We first observe that every G -orbit on an affine G -variety X is closed. Indeed, if $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ is an orbit, then the action of G on the affine variety $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is observable, and hence \mathcal{O} is closed.

The result now follows from the fact that if an algebraic group G acts on any affine algebraic variety with closed orbits, then G is unipotent (see [9]). We give a short proof of this fact, for the sake of completeness. Assume that G contains a multiplicative subgroup \mathbb{k}^* , and consider the induced space $G *_H \mathbb{k}$, where \mathbb{k}^* acts on \mathbb{k} by multiplication. Then $\mathcal{O}([1, 1]) = \{[g, a] : g \in G, a \neq 0\}$ is an open orbit, and this is a contradiction. It follows that G is a unipotent algebraic group. \square

Let G be an affine algebraic group acting on the affine variety X . Then it is well known that the categorical quotient does not necessarily exists, even when $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated. However, if $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated then $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[X]^G)$ satisfies a universal property in the category of affine algebraic varieties.

Definition 2.8. Let G be an affine algebraic group acting on an affine variety X , in such a way that $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated. The *affinized quotient* of the action is the morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow X/_\text{aff} G = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[X]^G)$.

It is clear that π satisfies the following universal property:

Let Z be an affine variety and $f : X \rightarrow Z$ a morphism constant on the G -orbits. Then there exists a unique $\tilde{f} : X/_\text{aff} G \rightarrow Z$ such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \\ X/_\text{aff} G & & \end{array}$$

Indeed, it is clear that the induced morphism $f^* : \mathbb{k}[Z] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]$ factors through $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$.

Notice that the affinized quotient is the affinization of the categorical quotient when the latter exists, and $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated. Indeed, if $X \rightarrow X//G$ is the affine quotient then $X/_\text{aff} G = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(X//G))$.

Remark 2.9. It is clear that the morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow X/_\text{aff} G$ is dominant. However, π is not necessarily surjective. Consider a semisimple group G and its maximal

unipotent subgroup U . Then $G/U = \pi(G/U)$ is known to be a proper open subset of $G/\text{aff } U$.

Let G be an affine group acting on an affine variety X . It is very easy to prove that if $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$, then $(\mathbb{k}[X]^G)_f \cong (\mathbb{k}[X]_f)^G$. This technical remark will allow us, combined with Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 below, to study affinized quotients of observable actions.

Lemma 2.10. *Let G be an affine algebraic group and X an affine G -variety such that $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\text{aff } G$ be the affinized quotient. Then for any $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$, $\pi|_{X_f} : X_f \rightarrow (X/\text{aff } G)_f$ is the affinized quotient.*

Proof. Indeed, $(\mathbb{k}[X]^G)_f \cong (\mathbb{k}[X]_f)^G$. □

3. OBSERVABLE ACTIONS AND AFFINIZED QUOTIENTS

In this section we study observable actions. In Theorem 3.10 we provide a geometric characterization of the observability of an action. This characterization allows us to construct an open subset of X with affine geometric quotient, see Theorem 3.18.

We begin by given some equivalent condition for an action to be observable.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a connected affine algebraic group acting on an affine variety X , and let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i$ be the decomposition of X in irreducible components. Then the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is observable if and only if the restricted actions $G \times X_i \rightarrow X_i$ are observable for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Assume that the action of G on X is observable. We prove without loss of generality that $G \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is an observable action. Let $Y \subsetneq X_1$ be a G -stable closed subset. Then $Z = Y \cup \bigcup_{i=2}^n X_i$ is a G -stable closed subset, $Z \subsetneq X$. Since the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is observable, there exists $f \in (\mathcal{I}(Z)^G \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$. It follows that $f|_{X_1} \neq 0$, and hence $f \in (\mathcal{I}(Y)^G \setminus \{0\}) \subset \mathbb{k}[X_1]$. Thus, the restricted action $G \times X_1 \rightarrow X_1$ is observable.

For the converse, consider the morphism $\pi : \bigsqcup_i X_i \rightarrow X$. Then π induces an injective morphism $A = \mathbb{k}[X] \rightarrow \prod_i \mathbb{k}[X_i] = \prod_i B_i = B$. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X]$ be a nonzero G -ideal. Consider $I_0 = \{f \in I \mid fB \subseteq A\}$. One checks easily that I_0 is a G -ideal of B . Now there is a regular element $r \in A$ such that $A_r \cong B_r = \prod_i (B_i)_{r_i}$, where $r_i = r|_{X_i}$. One checks that

$$(I_0)_r = \{f \in I_r \mid fB_r \subseteq A_r\} = I_r$$

since $A_r = B_r$. Thus there exists $m > 0$ such that $r^m I \subseteq I_0$. In particular, $I_0 \neq (0)$. But $I_0 = \bigoplus_i I_i$, where $I_i \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X_i]$ is a G -ideal. Hence $I_0^G = \bigoplus_i I_i^G \neq (0)$. But then $I^G \neq (0)$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a connected affine algebraic group acting on an affine variety X . Then the following are equivalent*

- (1) *The action is observable.*

- (2) For any nonempty G -stable open subset $U \subset X$, there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $X_f \subset U$.
- (3) For every $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$, the action of G on X_f is observable.
- (4) There exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G \setminus \{0\}$ such that f is not a zero divisor and that the action of G on X_f is observable.
- (5) For every G -stable nonzero prime ideal \mathcal{P} of $\mathbb{k}[X]$, $\mathcal{P}^G \neq (0)$.
- (6) For every nonempty G -stable affine open subset U , the restriction $G \times U \rightarrow U$ is an observable action.

Proof. We prove first the equivalence of conditions (1) – (6) in the case when X is an irreducible variety.

The implication (1) \iff (2) is trivial.

To prove that (1) \implies (3), let $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$, and let $I \subset \mathbb{k}[X]_f$ be a nonzero G -stable ideal. Then $J = I \cap \mathbb{k}[X] \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ is a nonzero G -stable ideal. If we let $h \in J^G \setminus \{0\}$ then $h = h/1 \in I^G$.

The implication (3) \implies (4) is trivial.

In order to prove that (4) \implies (5), let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ be a nonzero prime G -stable ideal. If $f \in \mathcal{P}$ there is nothing to prove. If $f \notin \mathcal{P}$, let $\mathcal{P}_f = \mathcal{P}\mathbb{k}[X]_f$ be the prime ideal generated by \mathcal{P} . Since $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$, it follows that \mathcal{P}_f is G -stable; let $h/f^n \in \mathcal{P}_f^G \setminus \{0\}$. Then $0 \neq h = f^n(h/f^n) \in (\mathcal{P}_f \cap \mathbb{k}[X])^G = \mathcal{P}^G$.

To prove that (5) \implies (1), let $I \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ be a nonzero G -stable ideal. Then $\mathcal{V}(I) \subset X$ is a G -stable variety. Since G is connected, every irreducible component of $\mathcal{V}(I)$ is G -stable, and hence $r(I)$, the radical of I , is an intersection of G -stable prime ideals $r(I) = \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{P}_s$. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{P}_i^G \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, \dots, s$. Then $0 \neq f = \prod f_i \in r(I)^G$, and thus there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $0 \neq f^n \in I^G$.

In order to prove (1) \implies (6), let $U \subset X$ be a nonempty G -stable affine open subset. Then by (2) there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $X_f \subset U$. By (4) it follows that the restriction $G \times X_f \rightarrow X_f$ is observable. Since $U_f = X_f$ it follows that assertion (4) holds for the action of G on U , i.e. that the action on U is observable.

Finally, if (6) holds then the action of G on X_f is observable for every $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$. In particular, assertion (3) holds.

Assume now that X is reduced and let $X = \bigcup_i X_i$ be the decomposition in irreducible components.

The implications (1) \iff (2) and (3) \implies (4) are trivial.

To prove that (1) \implies (3), just observe that $X_f = \bigcup_i (X_i)_{f|_{X_i}}$, and apply Lemma 3.1.

Finally, the remaining implications are proved following the same reasoning as in the irreducible case. \square

We recall now two useful results that we will need in what follows.

Proposition 3.3 (Grosshans). *Let G be an affine algebraic group acting on an affine variety. Then there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(\mathbb{k}[X]_f)^G \cong (\mathbb{k}[X]^G)_f$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. See [11, Thm. 1]. □

Theorem 3.4 (Rosenlicht [16, 17]). *Let G be an algebraic group and X an irreducible G -variety. Then there exists a G -stable open subset $\emptyset \neq X_0 \subset X$ such that the action of G restricted to X_0 has a geometric quotient.*

Proof. See for example [10, §13.5]. □

If $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is an observable action then the field of invariant rational functions is generated by invariant regular functions (see Theorem 3.10 below). In [15] those authors provide some useful sufficient conditions to guarantee that $[\mathbb{k}[X]]^G = [\mathbb{k}[X]^G]$.

The following theorem may be regarded as a generalization of ‘‘Igusa’s criterion’’. See [13] and [15, §4.5].

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be an algebraic group acting on an affine algebraic variety X . Assume that either*

- (a) G^0 , the connected component of 1, is solvable, or
- (b) $\mathbb{k}[X]$ is factorial.

Then every rational function $f \in [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$ is the quotient of two semiinvariant regular functions. In other words, there exist $h, g \in \mathbb{k}[X]$ and $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$ such that $f = \frac{g}{h}$, $a \cdot g = \chi(a)g$ and $a \cdot h = \chi(a)h$ for all $a \in G$.

Moreover, if G has no nontrivial character (that is, if $\mathbb{k}[G]^ = \mathbb{k}^*$), then $[\mathbb{k}[X]]^G = [\mathbb{k}[X]^G]$.*

Proof. See [15, Thm. 3.3]. □

Definition 3.6. It is well known that the set of points whose orbit has maximal dimension is an open subset, we denote it by

$$X_{\max} = \{x \in X : \dim \mathcal{O}(x) \geq \dim \mathcal{O}(y) \ \forall y \in X\}.$$

We let

$$\Omega(X) = \{x \in X : \dim \mathcal{O}(x) \text{ is maximal and } \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)} = \mathcal{O}(x)\}.$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be an affine algebraic group acting on an affine variety X , such that $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\!/_{\text{aff}} G$ be the affinized quotient. Assume that*

- (1) $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$.

Then

- (2) *There exists a nonempty open subset $W \subset X/\!/_{\text{aff}} G$ such that $\pi^{-1}(x) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(y)}$, with $y \in X_{\max}$, for all $x \in W$. Moreover, W can be taken of the form $(X/\!/_{\text{aff}} G)_f = X_f/\!/_{\text{aff}} G$, with $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$.*

Conversely, if (2) holds then $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] \subset [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$ is a purely inseparable finite extension. In particular, if $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$.

Proof. In order to prove the implication (1) \implies (2), let $X_0 \subset X$ be as in Rosenlicht's Theorem 3.4, and $\rho : X_0 \rightarrow X_0/G$ the geometric quotient. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X_0/G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X/\text{aff } G \end{array}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{k}(X_0/G) &\cong \mathbb{k}(X_0)^G = \mathbb{k}(X)^G = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G = [\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = \\ &[\mathbb{k}[X/\text{aff } G]] = \mathbb{k}(X/\text{aff } G), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that the geometric quotient X_0/G and the affinized quotient $X/\text{aff } G$ are birationally equivalent via φ . Hence, there exists an open subset $V \subset X_0/G$ such that $\varphi|_V : V \rightarrow X/\text{aff } G$ is an open immersion. Replacing X_0 by $U = \pi^{-1}(V)$ we can assume that φ is an open immersion, and hence $\rho = \pi|_{X_0}$.

Let $V \subset X/\text{aff } G$ be an open subset such that, for each $w \in V$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(w)$ has all irreducible components of maximal dimension (as follows from Chevalley's Theorem, see for example [10, Thm. 1.5.4]). Let $m = \dim X - \dim X/\text{aff } G$ be the dimension of such a fiber. It follows from diagram (1) that m is the maximal dimension of the orbits of G on X . Moreover, if $w \in W = (X_0/G) \cap V \subset X/\text{aff } G$, then there exists a unique irreducible component $Z \subset \pi^{-1}(w)$ such that $Z = \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$, with $x \in X_0$. Let $Y \subset \pi^{-1}(w)$ another irreducible component; then $Y \subset X \setminus X_0$. Let $A \subset W$ be the set of point which fiber is reducible, $A = \{w \in W : \pi^{-1}(w) \neq \overline{\pi^{-1}(w) \cap X_0}\}$. Since $A \subset \pi(X \setminus X_0)$, it follows that if A is dense in X_0 , then $X \setminus X_0$ dominates $X/\text{aff } G$. Hence the maximal dimension of a fiber of $\pi|_{X \setminus X_0}$ is $\dim(X \setminus X_0) - \dim X/\text{aff } G < \dim X - \dim X/\text{aff } G = m$. But for $a \in A$, $\dim(\pi|_{X \setminus X_0}^{-1}(a)) = m$, which is a contradiction. Hence, for all $w \in W \setminus \overline{A} \neq \emptyset$, we have that $\pi^{-1}(w) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$, with $x \in X_0$.

Let now $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $(X/\text{aff } G)_f \subset W \setminus \overline{A}$. Then X_f satisfies assertion (2).

Assume now that (2) holds, with $W = (X/\text{aff } G)_f$, $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$. Then $\pi^{-1}(W) = X_f$, $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]_f^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X_f]^G]$ and $[\mathbb{k}[X]]^G = [\mathbb{k}[X]_f]^G = [\mathbb{k}[X_f]]^G$. Thus, we can assume without loss of generality that X is such that $\pi^{-1}(y) = \overline{G \cdot x}$, with $x \in X_{\max}$, for all $y \in X/\text{aff } G$. Let $X_0 \subset X$ be as in Rosenlicht's Theorem and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \hookrightarrow & X \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X_0/G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X/\text{aff } G \end{array}$$

Let $y, z \in X_0/G$ be such that $\varphi(y) = \varphi(z)$. Then $\rho^{-1}(y)$ and $\rho^{-1}(z)$ are contained in $\pi^{-1}(\varphi(y))$, and hence $\rho^{-1}(y) = \rho^{-1}(z)$. It follows that φ is injective. Since φ is dominant, it follows that φ induces a finite, purely inseparable extension $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] \subset [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$. \square

Remark 3.8. (1) Observe that if G is reductive, then $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated, and thus Theorem 3.7 applies.

(2) Let $G \times X \rightarrow X$ an action satisfying condition (1) of Theorem 3.7, and $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \subset X_{\max} \cap X_f$, with f as in condition (2) of that theorem. Then there exists $h \in \mathbb{k}[X_f/\text{aff } G] = \mathbb{k}[X]_f^G$ such that $f(\pi(\mathcal{O})) = 0$ and $f(\pi(\mathcal{O}')) = 1$. Hence $\mathbb{k}[X]_f^G$, or equivalently the affinized quotient $\pi : X_f \rightarrow X_f/\text{aff } G$, separates orbits in $X_{\max} \cap X_f$.

Corollary 3.9. *Let G be an algebraic group acting on an affine algebraic variety, in such a way that $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$. Then there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $\mathbb{k}[X]_f^G$ separates the orbits in $X_{\max} \cap X_f$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, there exists $h \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $\mathbb{k}[X_h] = \mathbb{k}[X]_h$ is finitely generated. Since

$$[\mathbb{k}[X_h]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X_h]]^G$$

it follows from Theorem 3.7 that there exists $g \in \mathbb{k}[X_h]^G = \mathbb{k}[X]_h^G$ such that $\mathbb{k}[(X_h)_g]^G = \mathbb{k}[X]_{gh}^G$ separates the orbits in $X_{\max} \cap X_{hg}$. \square

We now characterize observability in terms of the underlying geometry.

Theorem 3.10. *Let G be an affine group acting on an affine variety X . Then the action is observable if and only if (1) $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$ and (2) $\Omega(X)$ contains a nonempty open subset.*

Proof. Assume that the action is observable and let $Y = X \setminus X_{\max}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{I}(Y)^G \setminus \{0\}$. Then the nonempty affine open subset $X_f \subset X_{\max}$ is G -stable and any point $x \in X_f$ is such that $\dim \mathcal{O}(x)$ is maximal. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset X_f$ be an orbit. Since every orbit is open in its closure, it follows that if $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \neq \mathcal{O}$ then $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Since f is constant on orbit closures, it follows that $f|_{\mathcal{O}} = 0$ and hence we obtain a contradiction. It follows that $\emptyset \neq X_f \subset \Omega(X)$.

Clearly $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] \subset [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$. Let $g \in [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$, and consider the ideal $I = \{f \in \mathbb{k}[X] : fg \in \mathbb{k}[X]\}$. Clearly I is G -invariant, and hence there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $fg \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$.

In order to prove the converse, let $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $\mathbb{k}[X_f]^G$ is finitely generated (see Proposition 3.3). Then, by Proposition 3.2, the action of G on X is observable if and only if the action on X_f is so. Thus, we can assume without loss of generality that $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ is finitely generated. Let $\pi : X \rightarrow X/\text{aff } G$ be the affinized quotient. By Theorem 3.7, there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $\pi^{-1}(y) = \overline{G \cdot x}$ for all $y \in V = (X/\text{aff } G)_f \cong X_f/\text{aff } G$. Moreover, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(X_f)_0 & \hookrightarrow & X_f & \hookrightarrow & X \\
\rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
(X_f)_0/G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X_f/\text{aff } G & \hookrightarrow & X/\text{aff } G
\end{array}$$

where ρ is a geometric quotient. Since $\mathbb{k}((X_f)_0/G) = \mathbb{k}((X_f)_0)^G = \mathbb{k}(X_f)^G$, it follows by hypothesis that $\mathbb{k}((X_f)_0/G) = \mathbb{k}(X_f/\text{aff } G)$. Since ρ and π separate closed orbits, it follows that φ is an open immersion.

Since $\Omega(X)$ contains a nonempty open subset, it follows that $\Omega(X) \cap (X_f)_0 \neq \emptyset$. Let $g \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $(X_f/\text{aff } G)_g \subset (X_f)_0/G$. If $y \in (X_f/\text{aff } G)_g$, then $\pi^{-1}(y) = \overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$, where $x \in \Omega(X) \cap (X_f)_0$, hence $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a closed orbit of maximal dimension. Therefore, $\pi|_{X_{fg}} : X_{fg} \rightarrow (X_f/\text{aff } G)_g \cong X_{fg}/\text{aff } G$ is such that all its fibers are closed orbits. Replacing X by X_{fg} , we can hence assume that all the fibers of the affinized quotient are closed orbits. In this situation, it is clear that any nonzero G -stable ideal $I \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ is such that $I^G \neq (0)$. Indeed, if $Y \subset X$ is a G -stable closed subset such that dominates $X/\text{aff } G$, then $\pi(Y)$ contains an open subset of $X/\text{aff } G$ and hence $Y = \pi^{-1}\pi(Y)$ contains an open subset of X ; that is $Y = X$. It follows that if $Y \subsetneq X$ is a proper G -stable closed subset, then there exists $z \in (X/\text{aff } G) \setminus \overline{\pi(Y)}$. Let $f \in \mathbb{k}[X/\text{aff } G] = \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $f(z) = 1$ and $f(\overline{\pi(Y)}) = 0$. Then $f \in \mathcal{I}(Y)^G \setminus \{0\}$. \square

The following two examples show that, in Theorem 3.10, both conditions

- (1) $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]^G]$, and
- (2) $\Omega(X)$ contains a nonempty open subset

are necessary.

Example 3.11. (1) Consider the action of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})$ on $M_n(\mathbb{k})$ by left translations. Then $[\mathbb{k}[M_n(\mathbb{k})]]^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})} = [\mathbb{k}[M_n(\mathbb{k})]^{\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{k})}]$ while we have that $\Omega(M_n(\mathbb{k})) = \emptyset$.

(2) Let G be a semisimple group, $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup, and consider the B -action on G by left translations. Then $\Omega(G) = G$ while $[\mathbb{k}[G]^B] = \mathbb{k} \subsetneq [\mathbb{k}[G]]^B$.

Remark 3.12. Observe that it follows from the proof of Theorem 3.10, that for any $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $X_f \subset X_{\max}$, then $X_f \subset \Omega(X)$.

With further assumptions, on either the algebraic group G or the affine variety X , there is a simplified characterization of the observability of the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $G = L \times U$ be a direct product of a reductive group with a unipotent group, and let X be a affine G -variety such that $\Omega(X)$ contains a nonempty open subset. Then $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] = [\mathbb{k}[X]]^G$; that is, the action $G \times X \rightarrow X$ is observable.*

Proof. Let $I \subsetneq \mathbb{k}[X]$ be a nonzero G -stable ideal. Then $\mathcal{V}(I) \neq \emptyset$ is a proper closed subset. Since there exists a open subset of closed orbits, then there exists a closed orbit Z such that $Z \cap \mathcal{V}(I) = \emptyset$. Since L is reductive and that Z is L -stable, it follows that there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^L$ such that $f \in I$, $f|_Z = 1$. Hence, $I^L \neq (0)$.

Since U normalizes L , it follows that $I \cap \mathbb{k}[X]^L \neq (0)$ is an U -submodule, and hence $I^G = (I^L)^U \neq (0)$. \square

Definition 3.14. Let $\mu : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be an action of G on the affine variety X . We denote

$$E_G(X) = \{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(G) : \text{there is a nonzero } \chi\text{-semiinvariant } f \in \mathbb{k}[X]\}.$$

Corollary 3.15. Let $\mu : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be an action of G on the factorial affine variety X . Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) μ is observable.
- (2) (a) $\Omega(X)$ contains a dense, open subset of closed G -orbits.
(b) $E_G(X)$ is a group.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds. Then by Theorem 3.10, $\Omega(X)$ contains a dense, open subset of closed orbits. Let f be a nonzero semiinvariant for $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(G)$. If $\chi \in E_G(X)$ is not a unit in this monoid then the nonzero G -ideal $f\mathbb{k}[X] \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X]$ has no nonzero G -invariant, and thus μ is not observable.

Conversely, assume that (2) holds, and let I be a nonzero G -ideal of $\mathbb{k}[X]$. Let G_{uni} be the closed normal subgroup of G generated by its unipotent elements. Since G_{uni} is normal in G , any closed G -orbit of X consists of closed G_{uni} -orbits. Thus, by Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.10, $J = I^{G_{\text{uni}}}$ is a nonzero ideal of $\mathbb{k}[X]^{G_{\text{uni}}}$. Now G_{uni} acts trivially on J , so that G acts on J through the torus $S = G/G_{\text{uni}}$. Let $f \in J$ be a nonzero χ -semiinvariant for this action. Since $E_G(X)$ is a group there is a nonzero χ^{-1} -semiinvariant $g \in \mathbb{k}[X]$. Thus $fg \in I \cap \mathbb{k}[X]^G = I^G$ is the desired invariant. \square

Corollary 3.16. Let $\mu : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be an action of G on the affine variety X and assume that G is solvable. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) μ is observable.
- (2) $E_G(X)$ is a group.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 3.15, taking into account the fact that, in this case, G_{uni} is a unipotent group, which is always observable. \square

The next result shows that observability is well behaved under *induction* of actions (see Definition 2.6).

Proposition 3.17. Let G be an affine algebraic group and $H \subset G$ a closed subgroup, such that G/H is affine. Let $H \times X \rightarrow X$ be an action of H on the affine variety X . Then the action of H is observable if and only if the induced action of G on the affine variety $G *_H X$ is observable.

Proof. First of all, observe that since G/H is affine, it follows that $G *_H X$ is an affine G -variety affine. Hence, $\mathbb{k}[G *_H X] = (\mathbb{k}[G] \otimes \mathbb{k}[X])^H$, where if $f \otimes g \in \mathbb{k}[G] \otimes \mathbb{k}[X]$, then $(a \cdot (f \otimes g))(b, x) = f(ba)g(a^{-1} \cdot x)$, for all $a \in H$, $b \in G$, $x \in X$. A simple calculation shows then that $\mathbb{k}[G *_H X]^G = \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathbb{k}[X]^H \cong \mathbb{k}[X]^H$.

Assume now that the action $H \times X \rightarrow X$ is observable and let $Z \subset G *_H X$ be a nonempty G -stable closed subset. If $\rho : G *_H X \rightarrow G/H$ is the canonical

projection, then $Z \cap \rho^{-1}(1H) \neq \emptyset$ is a H -stable closed subset. It follows that there exists $f \in \mathcal{I}(Z \cap \rho^{-1}(0))^H \setminus \{0\}$. Then $1 \otimes f \in \mathcal{I}(Z)^G \setminus \{0\}$.

Assume now that the action $G \rightarrow G *_H X$ is observable, and let $Y \subset X$ be a H -stable closed subset. Then $GY \subset G *_H X$ is a G -stable closed subset, and hence there exists $1 \otimes f \in \mathbb{k} \otimes \mathbb{k}[X]^H = \mathbb{k}[G *_H X]^G$ such that $(1 \otimes f)(Z) = 0$. It follows that $f(Y) = f(Z \cap \rho^{-1}(0)) = 0$. \square

As the final result of this section we show that, if the action is observable, there is a refinement in the construction of the open set $X_0 \subseteq X$ of Rosenlicht's Theorem 3.4. In this case, there exists a G -stable *principal* affine open subset $X_f \subseteq X$ with affine geometric quotient.

Theorem 3.18. *Let G an affine algebraic group acting on an affine variety X , such that the action is observable. Then there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathbb{k}[X]_f^G$ is a finitely generated \mathbb{k} -algebra and $X_f \rightarrow X_f /_{\text{aff}} G$ is a geometric quotient.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\mathbb{k}[X]_f^G$ is a finitely generated algebra. Let $X_0 \subset X$ be an open subset as in Rosenlicht's Theorem. Then by Proposition 3.2 there exists $g \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G \setminus \{0\}$ such that $X_g \subset X_0$. It follows that $X_{fg} \subset X_0$ is such that $(\mathbb{k}[X]_{fg})^G \cong (\mathbb{k}[X]_f^G)_g$ is finitely generated.

Let $h = fg$ and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_h & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_h /_{\text{aff}} G \\ \rho \downarrow & \nearrow \varphi & \\ X_h / G & & \end{array}$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{k}(X_h / G) \cong \mathbb{k}(X_h)^G = [\mathbb{k}[X_h]]^G \cong [\mathbb{k}[X]_h]^G = [\mathbb{k}[X]_h^G].$$

It follows that φ is a birational morphism.

Let $q \in \mathbb{k}[X]_f^G$ such that φ restricted to $\varphi^{-1}((X_h /_{\text{aff}} G)_q)$ is an isomorphism over $(X_h /_{\text{aff}} G)_q$. Since $\pi^{-1}((X_h /_{\text{aff}} G)_q) = X_{hq}$, it follows that $\rho|_{X_{hq}} : X_{hq} \rightarrow \varphi^{-1}((X_h /_{\text{aff}} G)_q)$ is the geometric quotient. \square

4. THE REDUCTIVE CASE

In what follows we apply the results of the previous section to the situation of a reductive group G acting on the affine variety X . We prove in Theorem 4.7 that there exists a unique, maximal, G -stable closed subset $X_{\text{soc}} \subset X$ such that the restricted action $G \times X_{\text{soc}} \rightarrow X_{\text{soc}}$ is observable. Furthermore, the categorical quotient $X_{\text{soc}} // G$ exists. See Theorem 4.6.

Definition 4.1. Let G be an affine algebraic group acting on an algebraic variety X . We define the *socle* of the action to be

$$X_{\text{soc}} = \overline{\bigcup_{\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}=\mathcal{O}(x)} \mathcal{O}(x)}.$$

Remark 4.2. Observe that $(X_{\text{soc}})_{\text{soc}} = X_{\text{soc}}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X , and $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ the categorical quotient. Then

$$\Omega(X) = \pi^{-1}((X//G) \setminus \pi(X \setminus X_{\text{max}})).$$

In particular, $\Omega(X)$ is a (possibly empty) G -stable open subset.

Proof. It is clear that $\Omega(X) \subset \pi^{-1}((X//G) \setminus \pi(X \setminus X_{\text{max}}))$. Since $X \setminus X_{\text{max}}$ is a G -stable closed subset, it follows that $\pi(X \setminus X_{\text{max}})$ is closed in $X//G$. Let $x \in X \setminus \Omega(X)$; then $\overline{\mathcal{O}(x)}$ contains an unique closed orbit \mathcal{O}' , with $\dim \mathcal{O}' < \dim \mathcal{O}$. It follows that $\pi(x) = \pi(\mathcal{O}') \in \pi(X \setminus X_{\text{max}})$; that is $x \notin \pi^{-1}((X//G) \setminus \pi(X \setminus X_{\text{max}}))$. \square

Proposition 4.4 (Popov, [14]). Let G be reductive group acting on an affine algebraic variety X , is such a way that $\Omega(X) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Omega(X)$ is an open subset of X .

Proof. See [14, Theorem 4] or [10, Theorem 13.3.13]. \square

Next we rephrase the contents of Proposition 4.4 in a obvious but useful characterization of condition (2) of Theorem 3.10, namely that $\Omega(X)$ contains a nonempty open subset.

Lemma 4.5. Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X , and $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G$ the categorical quotient. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) $\pi|_{X \setminus X_{\text{max}}} : X \setminus X_{\text{max}} \rightarrow X//G$ is dominant.
- (2) $\pi|_{X \setminus X_{\text{max}}} : X \setminus X_{\text{max}} \rightarrow X//G$ is surjective.
- (3) X_{max} does not contain any closed orbit.
- (4) $\Omega(X) = \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 4.6. Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X , and $Z \subset X$ a G -stable closed subset such that $\pi|_Z : Z \rightarrow X//G$ is dominant. Then the unique morphism $\varphi : Z//G \rightarrow X//G$, that fits into the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & X \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z//G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X//G \end{array}$$

is a bijective, purely inseparable morphism. That is, φ is a bijective morphism, and $\varphi^* : \mathbb{k}[X]^G \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[Z]^G$ induces a purely inseparable field extension $[\mathbb{k}[X]^G] \subset [\mathbb{k}[Z]^G]$.

Moreover, $X_{\text{soc}} \subset Z$, X_{soc} is irreducible, and $\Omega(X_{\text{soc}}) \neq \emptyset$.

In particular, if $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then $\pi|_Z : Z \rightarrow X//G$ is the categorical quotient and $\varphi : X_{\text{soc}}//G \rightarrow X//G$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $Z \subset X$ is closed, it follows that $\pi(Z) \subset X//G$ is closed, and hence $\pi(Z) = X//G$. In particular, if $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ is a closed orbit, it follows that $\pi(\mathcal{O}) \in \pi(Z) = X$, and hence there exists an orbit $\mathcal{O}' \in Z$ such that $\pi(\mathcal{O}') = \pi(\mathcal{O})$. Therefore, since π separates closed orbits, it follows that $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O} \subset Z$ and hence $X_{\text{soc}} \subset Z$.

Since $\varphi \circ \rho$ is dominant, it follows that φ is dominant. Since π and ρ separate closed orbits, then φ is injective. Thus, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{k}[X]^G & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{k}[X] & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathbb{k}[Z] \\ & & & & \uparrow \\ & & & \searrow^{\varphi^*} & \mathbb{k}[Z]^G \end{array}$$

Since G is reductive, $\mathbb{k}[Z]^G$ is finitely generated and there exists $n \geq 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathbb{k}[Z]^G$, there exists $g \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$, with $\varphi(g) = f^{p^n}$ (see for example [10, §9.2]). Hence $\pi|_Z : Z \rightarrow X//G$ is a purely inseparable morphism. It follows that if $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = 0$, then $X//G \cong Z//G$.

Since $\pi|_{X_{\text{soc}}} : X_{\text{soc}} \rightarrow X//G$ is surjective, it follows that $\varphi : X_{\text{soc}}//G \rightarrow X//G$ is a purely inseparable morphism.

Let $Z \subset X_{\text{soc}}$ an irreducible component such that $\pi|_Z$ is dominant. Then $X_{\text{soc}} \subset Z$, and hence X_{soc} is irreducible.

Consider $Z = X_{\text{soc}} \setminus (X_{\text{soc}})_{\text{max}}$. If Z dominates $X_{\text{soc}}//G$, it follows that $X_{\text{soc}} = (X_{\text{soc}})_{\text{soc}} \subset Z$, that is a contradiction. Hence, by Lemma 4.5, it follows that $\Omega(X_{\text{soc}}) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let G be reductive group acting on an affine algebraic variety X . Then the action is observable if and only if $\Omega(X) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, X_{soc} is the maximum G -stable closed subset $Z \subset X$ such that the restricted action $G \times Z \rightarrow Z$ is observable.*

Proof. If the action is observable, it follows from Theorem 3.10 that $\Omega(X) \neq \emptyset$. Assume now that $\Omega(X) \neq \emptyset$ and let $Z \subsetneq X$ be a G -stable closed subset. If $\Omega(X) \subset Z$ it follows that $Z = X$; hence $\Omega(X) \setminus Z \neq \emptyset$. Recall that the categorical quotient $\pi : X \rightarrow X//G = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{k}[X]^G)$ separates closed orbits. It follows that $\Omega(X) \setminus \pi^{-1}(\pi(Z)) \neq \emptyset$, since the closed orbits belonging to Z and $\pi^{-1}(\pi(Z))$ are the same. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega(X) \setminus Z$ be a closed orbit. Then $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\mathcal{O})) = \mathcal{O}$, again because π separates closed orbits. Since π also separates saturated closed subsets, it follows that there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $f \in \mathcal{I}(\pi^{-1}(\pi(Z))) \subset \mathcal{I}(Z)$ and $f(\mathcal{O}) = 1$; in particular, $f \in \mathcal{I}(Z)^G \setminus \{0\}$.

It follows by the very definition of X_{soc} (e.g. from Proposition 4.4) that $\Omega(X_{\text{soc}}) \neq \emptyset$. Let now Z be a G -stable irreducible closed subset such that the restricted action is observable; then $\Omega(Z)$ is a nonempty open subset of Z , consisting of closed orbits in Z , and hence in X . It follows that $Z = \overline{\Omega(Z)} \subset X_{\text{soc}}$. If Y is any G -stable closed subset, it follows by Lemma 3.1 that the restriction of the action to any irreducible component Z is observable, and hence $Y \subset X_{\text{soc}}$. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X . Then $\mathcal{I}(X_{\text{soc}})$ is the maximum G -stable ideal such that $I^G = (0)$.*

Proof. Let $I = \sum_{J^G=(0)} J$ be the sum of all G -stable ideals such that $J^G = (0)$, and consider the canonical G -morphism $\varphi : \bigoplus_{J^G=(0)} J \rightarrow I$. Since φ is surjective, it follows that for every $f \in I^G$ there exist $n \geq 0$ and $h \in (\bigoplus_{J^G=(0)} J)^G$ such that $\varphi(h) = f^{p^n}$, where $\text{char } \mathbb{k} = p$. But $(\bigoplus_{J^G=(0)} J)^G = (0)$. Hence, $I^G = (0)$.

Let $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ be a closed orbit and assume that $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{V}(I) = \emptyset$. Since $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ separates G -stable closed subsets, it follows that there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[X]^G$ such that $f|_{\mathcal{O}} = 1$ and $f|_{\mathcal{V}(I)} = 0$, hence $I^G \neq (0)$ and we get a contradiction. Therefore, $X_{\text{soc}} \subset \mathcal{V}(I)$.

Observe that if $f \in r(I)^G$ is such that $f^n \in I$, it follows that for any $a \in G$, then $a \cdot (f^n) = f^n \in I$, and hence $f = 0$. Thus, $r(I)^G = (0)$ and by maximality then $I = r(I)$. By Theorem 4.7, if we prove that the action $G \times \mathcal{V}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(I)$ is observable, then $X_{\text{soc}} = \mathcal{V}(I)$. But $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{V}(I)] \cong \mathbb{k}[X]/I$, and hence G -stable ideals of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{V}(I)]$ are of the form J/I , where $J \subset \mathbb{k}[X]$ is a G -stable ideal containing I . Then if $J/I \neq (0)$ it follows that $I \subsetneq J$ and hence, by maximality of I , $J^G \neq (0)$. Thus, $(J/I)^G \neq (0)$, since $\mathbb{k}[X]^G$ injects in $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bialynicki-Birula, *On induced actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. de l'Inst. Fourier, 43 no. 2 (1993), 365-368.
- [2] A. Bialynicki-Birula, G. Hochschild, G.D. Mostow, *Extensions of representations of algebraic linear groups*, Amer. J. Math. 85 (1963), 131-144.
- [3] M. Brion, *Log homogeneous varieties*, Actas del XVI Coloquio Latinoamericano de Álgebra, 1-39, Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid, 2007. arXiv: math.AG/069669.
- [4] M. Brion, A. Rittatore, *The structure of normal algebraic monoids*, Semigroup Forum 74 (2007), no. 3, 410-422. arXiv:math/0610351 [math.AG].
- [5] M. Brion, *The local structure of algebraic monoids*, preprint, arXiv:0709.1255 [math.AG].
- [6] M. Brion, *Anti-affine algebraic monoids*, preprint, arXiv:0710.5211 [math.AG].
- [7] B. Conrad, *A modern proof of Chevalley's theorem on algebraic groups*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **17** (2002), 1-18.
- [8] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.
- [9] W. Ferrer Santos *Closed conjugacy classes, closed orbits and structure of algebraic groups*, Comm. Algebra 19 (1991), no. 12, 3241-3248.
- [10] W. Ferrer-Santos, A. Rittatore, *Actions and Invariants of Algebraic Groups*. Series: Pure and Applied Math., 268, Dekker-CRC Press, Florida, (2005).
- [11] F. Grosshans, *Localization and Invariant Theory*, Adv. in Math. Vol. 21, No. 1 (1976) 50-60.
- [12] F. Grosshans, *Observable groups and Hilbert's fourteenth problem*, Amer. J. Math. **95** (1973), 229-253.
- [13] J. Igusa, *Geometry of absolutely admissible representations*. In Number theory, algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, in honor of Yasuo Akizuki, pp. 373-452. Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1973.
- [14] V.L. Popov, *Stability criterion for the action of a semisimple group on a factorial manifold*, Math. USSR Izv., 1970, 4 (3), 527-535.
- [15] V.L. Popov, E.B. Vinberg, *Invariant theory*, Algebraic geometry. IV, Encyclopædia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 55, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, Linear algebraic groups. Invariant theory, A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, eds.

- [16] M. Rosenlicht, *Some basic theorems on algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 401–443.
- [17] M. Rosenlicht, *A remark on quotient spaces*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. **35** (1963), 487–489.
- [18] D. A. Timashev, *Homogeneous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, to appear in the Encyclopædia of Mathematical Sciences, subseries Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups; arXiv: math.AG/0602228.

LEX RENNER
University of Western Ontario
London, N6A 5B7, Canada
lex@uwo.ca

ALVARO RITTATORE
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de la República
Iguá 4225
11400 Montevideo, Uruguay
alvaro@cmat.edu.uy