

Algebraic properties of Manin matrices 1.

A. Chervov¹ G. Falqui² V. Rubtsov³¹ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow - Russia² Università di Milano - Bicocca, Milano - Italy³ Université D'Angers, Angers, France**Abstract**

We study a class of matrices with noncommutative entries, which were first considered by Yu. I. Manin in 1988 in relation with quantum group theory. They are defined as “noncommutative endomorphisms” of a polynomial algebra. More explicitly their defining conditions read: 1) elements in the same column commute; 2) commutators of the cross terms are equal: $[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}]$ (e.g. $[M_{11}, M_{22}] = [M_{21}, M_{12}]$). The basic claim is that despite noncommutativity many theorems of linear algebra hold true for Manin matrices in a form identical to that of the commutative case. Moreover in some examples the converse is also true, that is, Manin matrices are the most general class of matrices such that linear algebra holds true for them. The present paper gives a complete list and detailed proofs of algebraic properties of Manin matrices known up to the moment; many of them are new. In particular we present the formulation of Manin matrices in terms of matrix (Leningrad) notations; provide complete proofs that an inverse to a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix and for the Schur formula for the determinant of a block matrix; we generalize the noncommutative Cauchy-Binet formulas discovered recently [arXiv:0809.3516], which includes the classical Capelli and related identities. We also discuss many other properties, such as the Cramer formula for the inverse matrix, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, Newton and MacMahon-Wronski identities, Plücker relations, Sylvester’s theorem, the Lagrange-Desnanot-Lewis Carroll formula, the Weinstein-Aronszajn formula, some multiplicativity properties for the determinant, relations with quasideterminants, calculation of the determinant via Gauss decomposition, conjugation to the second normal (Frobenius) form, and so on and so forth. Finally several examples and open question are discussed. We refer to [CF07, RST08] for some applications in the realm of quantum integrable systems.

¹E-mail: chervov@itep.ru²E-mail: gregorio.falqui@unimib.it³E-mail: volodya@tonton.univ-angers.fr

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Results and organization of the paper	3
1.2	Context, history and related works	4
1.3	Remarks	6
2	Warm-up 2×2 examples: Manin matrices everywhere	6
2.1	Further properties in 2×2 case	7
3	Manin matrices. Definitions and elementary properties	9
3.1	Definition	9
3.1.1	Poisson version of Manin matrices	10
3.2	Characterization via coaction. Manin's construction	10
3.3	q-analogs and RTT=TTR quantum group matrices	11
3.4	The determinant	13
3.5	The permanent	16
3.6	Elementary properties	16
3.6.1	Some No-Go facts for Manin matrices.	17
3.7	Examples.	18
3.8	Hopf structure	20
4	Inverse of a Manin matrix	21
4.1	Cramer's formula and quasideterminants	21
4.1.1	Relation with quasideterminants	22
4.2	Lagrange-Desnanot-Jacobi-Lewis Carroll formula	24
4.3	The inverse of a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix	25
4.4	On left and right inverses of a matrix	26
5	Schur's complement and Jacobi's ratio theorem	27
5.1	Multiplicativity of the Determinant for special matrices of block form	27
5.2	Block matrices, Schur's formula and Jacobi's ratio theorem	29
5.2.1	Proof of the Schur's complement theorem.	32
5.2.2	Proof 2. (Proof of the Jacobi Ratio Theorem via quasideterminants)	33
5.3	The Weinstein-Aronszajn formula	34
5.4	Sylvester's determinantal identity	35
5.5	Application to numeric matrices	36
6	Cauchy-Binet formulae and Capelli-type identities	37
6.1	Grassman algebra condition for Cauchy-Binet formulae	38
6.2	No correction case and new Manin matrices	42
6.3	Capelli-Caracciolo-Sportiello-Sokal case	43
6.4	Turnbull-Caracciolo-Sportiello-Sokal case	45
6.5	Generalization to permanents	47
6.5.1	Preliminaries	47
6.5.2	Cauchy-Binet type formulas for permanents	49
6.5.3	Toy model	51

7	Further properties	52
7.1	Cayley-Hamilton theorem and the second normal (Frobenius) form	52
7.2	Newton and MacMahon-Wronski identities	54
7.2.1	Newton identities	55
7.2.2	MacMahon-Wronski relations	59
7.2.3	Second Newton identities	60
7.3	Plücker relations	60
7.4	Gauss decomposition and the determinant	61
7.5	Bibliographic notes	62
8	Matrix (Leningrad) form of the defining relations for Manin matrices	63
8.1	A brief account of matrix (Leningrad) notations	64
8.1.1	Matrix (Leningrad) notations in 2×2 case	64
8.2	Manin's relations in the matrix form	65
8.3	Matrix (Leningrad) notations in Poisson case	67
9	Conclusion and open questions	68
9.1	Tridiagonal matrices and duality in Toda system	68
9.2	Fredholm type formulas	69
9.3	Tensor operations, immanants, Schur functions	70
9.4	Other questions	71

1 Introduction

It is well-known that matrices with generically noncommutative elements do not admit a natural construction of the determinant with values in a ground ring and basic theorems of the linear algebra fail to hold true. On the other hand, matrices with noncommutative entries play a basic role in the theory of quantum integrability (see, e.g., [FTS79]), in Manin's theory of "noncommutative symmetries" [Man88], and so on and so forth. Further we prove that many *results of commutative linear algebra* can be applied with minor modifications in the case of "Manin matrices".

We will consider the simplest case of those considered by Manin, namely - in the present paper - we will restrict ourselves to the case of commutators, and not of (super)- q -commutators, etc. Let us mention that Manin matrices are defined, roughly speaking, by **half** of the relations of the corresponding quantum group $Fun_q(GL(n))$ and taking $q = 1$ (see section 3.3 page 11).

Definition 1 *Let M be an $n \times n'$ matrix with elements M_{ij} in (not necessarily commutative) ring \mathcal{R} . We will call M a Manin matrix if the following two conditions hold:*

1. *Elements in the same column commute between themselves.*
2. *Commutators of cross terms of 2×2 submatrices of M are equal:*

$$[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}], \forall i, j, k, l \text{ e. g. } [M_{11}, M_{22}] = [M_{21}, M_{12}]. \quad (1)$$

A more intrinsic definition of Manin matrices via coaction on polynomial and Grassmann algebras will be recalled in Proposition 1 page 10 below. (Roughly speaking variables $\tilde{x}_i = \sum_j M_{ij}x_j$ commute among themselves if and only if M is a Manin matrix, where x_j are commuting variables, also commuting with elements of M).

In the previous paper [CF07] we have shown that Manin matrices have various applications in quantum integrability and outlined some of their basic properties. This paper is devoted solely to algebraic properties providing a complete amount of facts known up to the moment. Quite probably the properties established here can be transferred to some other classes of matrices with noncommutative entries, for example, to super-q-Manin matrices (see [CFRS]) and quantum Lax matrices of most of the integrable systems. Such questions seems to be quite important for quantum integrability, quantum and Lie groups, as well as in the geometric Langlands correspondence theory [CT06, CF07]. But before studying these complicated issues, it seems to be worth to understand the simplest case in depth, this is one of the main motivations for us. The other one is that many statements are so simple and natural extension of the classical results, that can be of some interest just out of curiosity or pedagogical reasons for wide range of mathematicians.

1.1 Results and organization of the paper

The main aim of the paper is to argue the following claim: **linear algebra statements hold true for Manin matrices in a form identical to the commutative case.** Let us give a list of main properties discussed below. Some of these results are new, some - can be found in a previous literature: Yu. Manin has defined the determinant and has proven a Cramer's inversion rule, Laplace formulas, as well as Plücker identities; in (S. Garoufalidis, T. Le, D. Zeilberger) [GLZ03] the MacMahon-Wronski formula was proved; (M. Konvalinka) [Ko07A, Ko07B] found the Sylvester's identity and the Jacobi ratio's theorem, along with partial results on an inverse matrix and block matrices. ⁴ Some other results were announced in [CF07], where applications to integrable systems, quantum and Lie groups can be found.

- Section 3.4: Determinant can be defined in the standard way and it satisfies standard properties e.g. it is completely antisymmetric function of columns and rows:

$$\det M = \det^{\text{column}} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} M_{\sigma(i), i}. \quad (2)$$

- Proposition 4 page 14 : let M be a Manin matrix and N satisfies: $\forall i, j, k, l : [M_{ij}, N_{kl}] = 0$:

$$\det(MN) = \det(M)\det(N). \quad (3)$$

Let N be additionally a Manin matrix, then MN and $M \pm N$ are Manin matrices.

Moreover in case $[M_{ij}, N_{kl}] \neq 0$, but obeys certain conditions we prove (theorem 6 page 39):

$$\det^{\text{col}}(MY + Q \text{diag}(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \det^{\text{col}}(M)\det^{\text{col}}(Y). \quad (4)$$

This generalizes [CSS08] and the classical Capelli identity [Ca1887].

- Section 4.1: Cramer's rule:

$$M^{-1} \text{ is a Manin matrix and } M_{ij}^{-1} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(M)^{-1} \det(\widehat{M}_{ij}). \quad (5)$$

Here as usually \widehat{M}_{lk} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of M obtained removing the l-th row and the k-th column.

⁴These authors actually considered more general classes of matrices

- Section 7.1: the Cayley-Hamilton theorem: $\det(t - M)|_{t=M} = 0$.
- Section 5.2: the formula for the determinant of block matrices:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det(A)\det(D - CA^{-1}B) = \det(D)\det(A - BD^{-1}C). \quad (6)$$

Also, we show that $D - CA^{-1}B$, $A - BD^{-1}C$ are Manin matrices. This is equivalent to the so-called Jacobi ratio theorem, stating that any minor of M^{-1} equals, up to a sign, to the product of $(\det^{col} M)^{-1}$ and the corresponding complementary minor of the transpose of M .

- Section 7.2: the Newton and MacMahon-Wronski identities between $Tr M^k$, coefficients of $\det(1 - tM)$ and $Tr(S^k M)$. Denote by $\sigma(t), S(t), T(t)$ the following generating functions:

$$\sigma(t) = \det(1 - tM), \quad S(t) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k Tr S^k M, \quad T(t) = Tr \frac{M}{1-tM}, \quad (7)$$

$$\textbf{Then:} \quad 1 = \sigma(t)S(t), \quad -\partial_t \sigma(t) = \sigma(t)T(t), \quad \partial_t S(t) = T(t)S(t). \quad (8)$$

- Other facts are also discussed: Plücker relations (section 7.3), Sylvester's theorem (section 5.4), Lagrange-Desnanot-Lewis Carroll formula (section 4.2), Weinstein-Aronszajn formula (section 5.3), calculation of the determinant via Gauss decomposition (section 7.4), conjugation to the second normal (Frobenius) form (section 7.1), some multiplicativity properties for the determinant (proposition 8 page 27), etc.
- Section 8.2: matrix (Leningrad) notations form of the definition:

$$M \text{ is a Manin matrix} \Leftrightarrow \quad (9)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M] = P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M] \Leftrightarrow \quad (10)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{(1-P)}{2}(M \otimes 1)(1 \otimes M) \frac{(1-P)}{2} = \frac{(1-P)}{2}(M \otimes 1)(1 \otimes M). \quad (11)$$

- No-go facts: M^k is not a Manin matrix; elements $Tr(M), \det(M)$, etc. are not central, moreover $[Tr(M), \det(M)] \neq 0$ (section 3.6.1); $\det(e^M) \neq e^{Tr(M)}, \det(1+M) \neq e^{Tr(\ln(1+M))}$ (section 7.2.1).

We also discuss relations with the quantum groups (section 3.3) and mention some examples which are related to integrable systems, Lie algebras and quantum groups (section 3.7).

Organization of the paper: section 3 contains main definitions and properties. This material is crucial for what follows. The other sections can be read in an arbitrary order. We tried to make the exposition in each section independent of the others at least at formulations of the main theorems and notations. Though the proofs sometimes use the results from the previous sections. A short section 2 is a kind of warm-up, which gives some simple examples to get the reader interested and to demonstrate some of results of the paper on the simplest examples. The content of each section can be seen from the table of contents.

1.2 Context, history and related works

Manin matrices appeared first in [Man88], see also [Man87, Man91, Man92, MMDZ], where some basic facts like the determinant, Cramer's rule, Plücker identities etc. were established. The lectures [Man88] is the main source on the subject. Actually Manin's construction defines "noncommutative endomorphisms" of an arbitrary ring (and in principle of any algebraic structure). Here we restrict

ourselves with the simplest case of the commutative polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, its "noncommutative endomorphisms" will be called Manin matrices. Some linear algebra facts were also established for a class of "good" rings (we hope to develop this in future). The main attention and application of the original works were on quantum groups, which are defined by "doubling" the set of the relations. The literature on "quantum matrices" (N. Reshetikhin, L. Takhtadzhyan, L. Faddeev) [FRT90] is enormous - let us only mention [KL94], [DL03] and especially D. Gurevich, A. Isaev, O. Ogievetsky, P. Pyatov, P. Saponov papers [GIOPS95]-[GIOPS05], where related linear algebra facts has been established for various quantum matrices.

Concerning "not-doubled" case let us mention papers (S. Wang) [Wang98], (T. Banica, J. Bichon, B. Collins) [BBC06]. They investigate Manin's construction applied to finite-dimensional algebras for example to \mathbb{C}^n (quantum permutation group). Such algebras appears to be C^* -algebras and are related to various questions in operator algebras. Linear algebra of such matrices is not known, (may be it does not exist).

The simplest case which is considered here was somehow forgotten for many years after Manin's work (see however [RRT02, RRT05]). The situation changed after (S. Garoufalidis, T. Le, D. Zeilberger) [GLZ03], who discovered MacMahon-Wronski relations for (q)-Manin matrices. This result was followed by a flow of papers [EP06, HL06, KPak06, FH06] etc.; let us in particular mention (M. Konvalinka) [Ko07A, Ko07B] which contains Sylvester's identity and Jacobi's ratio theorem, along with partial results on an inverse matrix and block matrices.

We came to this story from the other direction. In [CF07] we observed that some examples of quantum Lax matrices in quantum integrability are exactly examples of Manin matrices. Moreover linear algebra of Manin matrices appears to have various applications in quantum integrability, quantum and Lie group theories. Numerous other quantum integrable systems provide various examples of matrices with noncommutative elements - quantum Lax matrices. This rises the question how to define the proper determinant and to develop linear algebra for such matrices. And more generally proper determinant exists or not? If yes, how to develop the linear algebra? Such questions seems to be quite important for quantum integrability they are related to a "quantum spectral curve" which promises to be the key concept in the theory [CT06], [CM08, CFRy, CFRS, RST08]. Manin's approach applied for more general rings provides classes of matrices where such questions can be possibly resolved, however many examples from integrable systems do not fit in this approach.

From the more general point of view we deal with the question of the linear algebra of matrices with noncommutative entries. It should be remarked that the first appearance of a determinant for matrices with noncommutative entries goes back to A. Cayley. He was the first person who had applied the notion of what we call *a column determinant* in the non-commutative setting. (We are thankful to V. Retakh for this remark). Let us mention the initial significative difference between our situation and the work I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson [GGRW02], where *generic* matrices with noncommutative entries are considered. There is no natural definition of the determinant (n^2 of "quasi-determinants" instead) in the "general non-commutative case" and their analogs of the linear algebra propositions are sometimes quite different from the commutative ones. Nevertheless results of loc.cit. can be fruitfully applied to some questions here. Our approach is also different from the classical theory of J. Dieudonné [Dieud43] (see also [Adj93]), since in this theory the determinant is an element of the $\mathcal{K}^*/[\mathcal{K}^*, \mathcal{K}^*]$, where \mathcal{K} is basic ring, while for Manin matrices the determinant is an element of the ring \mathcal{K} itself.

We provide more detailed bibliographic notes in the text but we would like to add, as a *general disclaimer*, that our bibliographic notes are neither exhaustive nor historically ordered. We simply want to comment those papers and books that are more strongly related to our work.

We refer to "The Theory of Determinants in the Historical Order of Development" [Muir1890]

for the early history of many results, which generalization to the noncommutative case are discussed below.

1.3 Remarks

In [GLZ03, Ko07A, Ko07B] the name "right quantum matrices" was used, in [RRT02, RRT05, LT07] the names "left" and "right quantum group", in [CSS08] the name "row-pseudo-commutative". We prefer to use the name "Manin matrices".

All the considerations below work for an arbitrary field of characteristic not equal to 2, but we prefer to restrict ourselves with \mathbb{C} .

In subsequent papers [CFRS] we plan to generalize the constructions below to the case of the Manin matrices related to the more general quadratic algebras as well as there applications to quantum integrable systems and some open problems.

Acknowledgments G.F. acknowledges support from the ESF programme MISGAM, and the Marie Curie RTN ENIGMA. The work of A.C. has been partially supported by the Russian President Grant MK-5056.2007.1, grant of Support for the Scientific Schools NSh-3035.2008.2, RFBR grant 08-02-00287a, the ANR grant GIMP (Geometry and Integrability in Mathematics and Physics). He acknowledges support and hospitality of Angers and Poitiers Universities. The work of V.R. has been partially supported by the grant of Support for the Scientific Schools NSh-3036.2008.2, RFBR grant 06-02-17382, the ANR grant GIMP (Geometry and Integrability in Mathematics and Physics) and INFN-RFBR project "Einstein". He acknowledges support and hospitality of SISSA (Trieste). The authors are grateful to D. Talalaev, A. Molev, A. Smirnov, A. Silantiev, V. Retakh and D. Gurevich for multiple stimulating discussions, to P. Pyatov for sharing with us his unpublished results, pointing out to the paper [GLZ03] and for multiple stimulating discussions. To Yu. Manin for his interest in this work and stimulating discussions.

2 Warm-up 2×2 examples: Manin matrices everywhere

Here we present some examples of the appearance of the Manin property in various very simple and natural questions concerning 2×2 matrices. The general idea is the following: we consider well-known facts of linear algebra and look how to relax the commutativity assumption for matrix elements such that the results will be still true. The answer is: **if and only if** M is a Manin matrix.

This section is a kind of warm-up, we hope to get the reader interested in the subsequent material and to demonstrate some results in the simplest examples. The expert reader may wish to skip this section.

Let us consider a 2×2 matrix M :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

From Definition (1) M is a "Manin matrix" if the following commutation relations hold true:

- column-commutativity: $[a, c] = 0, [b, d] = 0$;
- equality of commutators of the cross-term: $[a, d] = [c, b]$.

The fact below can be considered as Manin's original idea about the subject.

Observation 1 *Coaction on a plane.* Consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$, and assume that the matrix elements a, b, c, d commute with x_1, x_2 . Define \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 by $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 commute among themselves **iff** M is a Manin matrix.

Proof.

$$[\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2] = [ax_1 + bx_2, cx_1 + dx_2] = [a, c]x_1^2 + [b, d]x_2^2 + ([a, c] + [b, d])x_1x_2. \square \quad (13)$$

Similar fact holds true for Grassman variables (see proposition 1 page 10 below).

Observation 2 *Cramer rule.* The inverse matrix is given by the standard formula

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-cb} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \text{ iff } M \text{ is a Manin matrix.}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} da - bc & db - bd \\ -ca + ac & -cb + ad \end{pmatrix} = \quad (14)$$

$$\text{iff } M \text{ is a Manin matrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ad - cb & 0 \\ 0 & ad - cb \end{pmatrix}. \square \quad (15)$$

Observation 3 *Cayley-Hamilton.* The equality $M^2 - (a + d)M + (ad - cb)1_{2 \times 2} = 0$ holds **iff** M is a Manin matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} & M^2 - (a + d)M + (ad - cb)1_{2 \times 2} = \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + bc & ab + bd \\ ca + dc & cb + d^2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + da & ab + db \\ ac + dc & ad + d^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} ad - cb & 0 \\ 0 & ad - cb \end{pmatrix} = \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} (bc - da) + (ad - cb) & bd - db \\ ca - ac & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} [a, d] - [c, b] & [b, d] \\ [c, a] & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

This vanishes **iff** M is a Manin matrix. \square Let us mention that similar facts can be seen for the Newton identities, but not in such a strict form (see example 12 page 57).

Observation 4 *Multiplicativity of Determinants (Binet Theorem).*

$\det^{\text{column}}(MN) = \det^{\text{column}}(M)\det(N)$ holds true for all \mathbb{C} -valued matrices N **iff** M is a Manin matrix.

$$\det^{\text{col}}(MN) - \det^{\text{col}}(M)\det(N) = [M_{11}, M_{21}]N_{11}N_{12} + [M_{12}, M_{22}]N_{21}N_{22} + ([M_{11}, M_{22}] - [M_{21}, M_{12}])N_{21}N_{12} \quad (17)$$

Here and in the sequel, $\det^{\text{column}}(X)$ or shortly $\det^{\text{col}}(X)$ is: $X_{11}X_{22} - X_{21}X_{12}$, i.e. elements from the first column stand first in each term.

2.1 Further properties in 2×2 case

Let us also present some other properties of 2×2 Manin matrices.

It is well-known that in commutative case a matrix can be conjugated to the so-called Frobenius normal form. Let us show that the same is possible for Manin matrices, see also page 53.

Observation 5 *Frobenius form of a matrix.*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(ad - cb) & a + d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

iff $[d, a] = [b, c]$ and $db = bd$.

So we got two of three Manin's relations, to get the last third relation see example 11 page 54 .

Let us denote the matrix at the right hand side of (11) by M_{Frob} and the first matrix at the left hand side by D . To see that (11) is true we just write the following.

$$D M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ a^2 + bc & ab + bd \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

$$M_{Frob} D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(ad - cb) & a + d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -(ad - cb) + a^2 + da & ab + db \end{pmatrix} = \quad (20)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ a^2 + bc + ([d, a] - [b, c]) & ab + bd + [d, b] \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Observation 6 *Inversion* . The two sided inverse of a Manin matrix M is Manin, and $\det(M^{-1}) = (\det M)^{-1}$.

See theorem 1 page 25 and corollary 2 page 30 .

Let us briefly prove this fact. From the Cramer's rule above, one knows the formula for the left inverse, by assumption it is also right inverse. To prove the theorem one only needs to write explicitly that the right inverse is given by Cramer rule and the desired commutation relations appear automatically. Explicitly, from Cramer's formula (see Observation 2) we see that:

$$\frac{1}{ad - cb} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

One knows that if both left and right inverses exist then associativity guarantees that they coincide: $a_l^{-1} = a_l^{-1}(aa_r^{-1}) = (a_l^{-1}a)a_r^{-1} = a_r^{-1}$. So assuming that the right inverse to A exists, and denoting $ad - cb \equiv \delta$ we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} (\delta^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(\delta)^{-1}d - b(\delta)^{-1}c & -a(\delta)^{-1}b + b(\delta)^{-1}a \\ c(\delta)^{-1}d - d(\delta)^{-1}c & -c(\delta)^{-1}b + d(\delta)^{-1}a \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Let us multiply the identity above by δ^{-1} on the left. We have:

$$(\delta)^{-1} = (\delta)^{-1}a(\delta)^{-1}d - (\delta)^{-1}b(\delta)^{-1}c, \text{ Element (1,1)}, \quad (24)$$

$$(\delta)^{-1} = -(\delta)^{-1}c(\delta)^{-1}b + (\delta)^{-1}d(\delta)^{-1}a, \text{ Element (2,2)}. \quad (25)$$

So we see that $(\det(M))^{-1}$ equals to $\det(M^{-1})$ and the last does not depend on the ordering of columns.

Moreover, equating (24) to (25) yields

$$(\delta)^{-1}a(\delta)^{-1}d - (\delta)^{-1}b(\delta)^{-1}c = -(\delta)^{-1}c(\delta)^{-1}b + (\delta)^{-1}d(\delta)^{-1}a, \quad (26)$$

$$\text{hence: } [(\delta)^{-1}a, (\delta)^{-1}d] = [(\delta)^{-1}b, (\delta)^{-1}c]. \quad (27)$$

So the commutators of the cross-terms of M^{-1} are equal.

From the non-diagonal elements of equality 23 multiplied on the left by δ^{-1} we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta)^{-1}c(\delta)^{-1}d - (\delta)^{-1}d(\delta)^{-1}c = 0, \quad -(\delta)^{-1}a(\delta)^{-1}b + (\delta)^{-1}b(\delta)^{-1}a = 0, \text{ hence} \\ [(\delta)^{-1}c, (\delta)^{-1}d] = 0 \quad [(\delta)^{-1}a, (\delta)^{-1}b] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

So we also have the column commutativity of the elements of M^{-1} . Hence the proposition is proved in 2×2 case. \square

Observation 7 *A puzzle with $\det(M) = 1$.* Let M be a 2×2 Manin matrix, suppose that $\det(M)$ is central element and it is invertible (for example $\det(M) = 1$). Then all elements of M commute among themselves.

From the observation 6 above one gets that

$$M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(M)} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (29)$$

is again a Manin matrix. This gives the commutativity. \square

This is quite a surprising fact that: imposing only one condition we “kill” the three commutators: $[a, b], [a, d] = [c, b], [c, d]$.

In the paper we will consider $n \times n$ Manin matrices and prove that these and other properties of linear algebra work for them.

3 Manin matrices. Definitions and elementary properties

In this section we recall the definition of Manin matrices and give some basic properties. The material is rather simple one, but it is necessary for the sequel. First we will give an explicit definition of Manin matrices (in terms of commutation relations), and then we will provide a more conceptual point of view which defines them by the coaction property on the polynomial and Grassman algebras. (This is the original point of view of Manin). We also explain the relation of Manin matrices with quantum groups. As it was shown by Yu. Manin there exists natural definition of the determinant which satisfies most of the properties of commutative determinants; this will be also recalled below. The main reference for this part is Yu. Manin’s book [Man88], as well as [Man87, Man91, Man92, MMDZ].

3.1 Definition

Definition 2 *Let us call a matrix M with elements in an associative ring \mathcal{K} a “Manin matrix” if the properties below are satisfied:*

- elements which belong to the same column of M commute among themselves
- commutators of cross terms are equal: $\forall p, q, k, l \quad [M_{pq}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kq}, M_{pl}]$,
e.g. $[M_{11}, M_{22}] = [M_{21}, M_{12}], [M_{11}, M_{2k}] = [M_{21}, M_{1k}]$.

Remark 1 The second condition for the case $q = l$ obviously implies the first one. Nevertheless we deem it more convenient for the reader to formulate it explicitly.

The conditions can be restated as: for each 2×2 submatrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a & \dots & b & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & c & \dots & d & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{of } M \text{ it holds} \quad [a, d] = [c, b], \quad [a, c] = 0 = [b, d]. \quad (30)$$

Obviously, by a "submatrix" we mean a matrix obtained as an intersection of two rows (i.e. straight horizontal lines, no decline) and two columns (i.e. straight vertical lines, no decline).

Remark 2 These relations were written by Yu. Manin [Man88] (see chapter 6.1, Formula 1, page 37). Implicitly they are contained in (Yu. Manin) [Man87] – the last sentence on page 198 contains a definition of the algebra $\text{end}(A)$ for an arbitrary quadratic Koszul algebra A . One can show (see the remarks on the page 199 top) that $\text{end}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ is the algebra generated by M_{ij} .

Remark 3 Actually, a matrix M such that M^t is a Manin matrix satisfies the same good properties as Manin matrices do, we will sometimes mention this case explicitly.

3.1.1 Poisson version of Manin matrices

Definition 3 An algebra \mathcal{K} over \mathbb{C} is called a *Poisson algebra*, if it is a commutative algebra, endowed with a bilinear antisymmetric operation, denoted as $\{\ast, \ast\} : R \otimes R \rightarrow R$ and called a *Poisson bracket*, such that the operation satisfies the Leibniz and the Jacobi identities (i.e. $\forall f, g, h \in R : \{fg, h\} = f\{g, h\} + \{f, h\}g$, $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0$).

Definition 4 We call "Poisson-Manin" a matrix M with elements in the Poisson algebra \mathcal{K} , such that $\{M_{ij}, M_{kl}\} = \{M_{kj}, M_{il}\}$.

We briefly discuss Poisson-Manin matrices in section 8.3 page 67 .

3.2 Characterization via coaction. Manin's construction

Here we recall Manin's original definition. It provides a conceptual approach to Manin matrices. Let us mention that the construction below is a specialization of Manin's general considerations. (See (Yu. Manin) [Man87], [Man88, Man91].)

Proposition 1 *Coaction.* Consider a rectangular $n \times m$ -matrix M , the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and the Grassman algebra $\mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i \psi_j = -\psi_j \psi_i$); let x_i and ψ_i commute with $M_{pq} : \forall i, p, q : [x_i, M_{pq}] = 0, [\psi_i, M_{pq}] = 0$. Consider new variables $\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \dots \\ \tilde{x}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_m) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nm} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- M is a Manin matrix
- the variables \tilde{x}_i commute among themselves: $[\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_j] = 0$
- the variables $\tilde{\psi}_i$ anticommute among themselves: $\tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\psi}_j + \tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\psi}_i = 0$.

Remark 4 The conditions $\tilde{\psi}_i^2 = 0$ are equivalent to column commutativity, and $\tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\psi}_j = -\tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\psi}_i$, $i < j$, to the cross term relations.

3.3 q-analogs and RTT=TTR quantum group matrices

One can define q-analogs of Manin matrices and characterize their relation to quantum group theory. Actually q-Manin matrices are defined by half of the relations of the corresponding quantum group $Fun_q(GL_n)$ ⁵ ([FRT90]). The remaining half consists of relations insuring that also M^t is a q-Manin matrix.

Definition 5 *Let us call an $n \times n'$ matrix M by q-Manin matrix, if the following conditions hold true. For any 2×2 submatrix $(M_{ij,kl})$, consisting of rows i and k , and columns j and l (where $1 \leq i < k \leq n$, and $1 \leq j < l \leq n'$):*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & M_{ij} & \dots & M_{il} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & M_{kj} & \dots & M_{kl} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a & \dots & b & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & c & \dots & d & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

the following commutation relations hold:

$$ca = qac, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a column}) \quad (33)$$

$$db = qbd, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a column}) \quad (34)$$

$$ad - da = +q^{-1}cb - qbc, \quad (\text{cross commutation relation}). \quad (35)$$

In terms of M_{ij} this reads ($i < k, j < l$):

$$M_{kj}M_{ij} = qM_{ij}M_{kj}, \quad M_{ij}M_{kl} - M_{kl}M_{ij} = q^{-1}M_{kj}M_{il} - qM_{il}M_{kj}. \quad (36)$$

For $q = 1$ this definition reduces to the definition 2 page 9 of Manin matrices.

Definition 6 *An $n \times n$ matrix T belongs to the quantum group $Fun_q(GL_n)$ if the following conditions hold true. For any 2×2 submatrix $(T_{ij,kl})$, consisting of rows i and k , and columns j and l (where $1 \leq i < k \leq n$, and $1 \leq j < l \leq n$):*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & T_{ij} & \dots & T_{il} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & T_{kj} & \dots & T_{kl} & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a & \dots & b & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & c & \dots & d & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix} \quad (37)$$

the following commutation relations hold:

$$ca = qac, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a column}) \quad (38)$$

$$db = qbd, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a column}) \quad (39)$$

$$ba = qab, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a row}) \quad (40)$$

$$dc = qcd, \quad (q\text{-commutation of the entries in a row}) \quad (41)$$

$$ad - da = +q^{-1}cb - qbc, \quad (\text{cross commutation relation 1}) \quad (42)$$

$$bc = cb, \quad (\text{cross commutation relation 2}). \quad (43)$$

As quantum groups are usually defined within the so-called matrix (Leningrad) formalism, let us briefly recall it. (We will further discuss this issue in section 8 page 63).

⁵More precisely we should write $Fun_q(Mat_n)$, since we do not localize the q-determinant.

Lemma 1 *The commutation relations for quantum group matrices can be described in matrix (Leningrad) notations as follows:*

$$R(T \otimes 1)(1 \otimes T) = (1 \otimes T)(T \otimes 1)R, \quad (44)$$

where R -matrix can be given, for example, by the formula:

$$R = q^{-1} \sum_{i=1, \dots, n} E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i,j=1, \dots, n; i \neq j} E_{ii} \otimes E_{jj} + (q^{-1} - q) \sum_{i,j=1, \dots, n; i > j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}, \quad (45)$$

where E_{ij} are standard matrix units - zeroes everywhere except 1 in the intersection of the i -th row with the j -th column. For example in 2×2 case the R -matrix is:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} - q & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (46)$$

Remark 5 This R -matrix differs by the change q to q^{-1} from the one in [FRT90] formula 1.5, page 185.

The relation between q -Manin matrices and quantum groups consists in the following simple proposition:

Proposition 2 *A matrix T is a matrix in the quantum group $\text{Fun}_q(GL_n)$ if and only if T and simultaneously the transpose matrix T^t are q -Manin matrices.*

So one sees that Manin matrices can be seen as characterized by a "half" of the conditions that characterize the corresponding quantum matrix group.

q -Manin matrices can be characterized by the coaction on a q -polynomial and a q -Grassman algebra in the same way as in $q = 1$ case. Here is the analogue of proposition 1 page 10 .

Proposition 3 *Consider a rectangular $n \times m$ -matrix M , the q -polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, where $\forall i < j : x_i x_j = q^{-1} x_j x_i$, i.e. $\forall i, j : x_i x_j = q^{\text{sgn}(i-j)} x_j x_i$ and the q -Grassmann algebra $\mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i \psi_j = -q \psi_j \psi_i$, for $i < j$; i.e. $\forall i, j : \psi_i \psi_j = -q^{-\text{sgn}(i-j)} \psi_j \psi_i$); suppose x_i and ψ_i commute with the matrix elements M_{pq} . Consider the variables $\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{\psi}_i$ defined by:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \dots \\ \tilde{x}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nm} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \dots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_m) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (47)$$

that is the new variables are obtained via left action (in the polynomial case) and right action (in the Grassmann case) of M on the old ones. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

- The matrix M is a q -Manin matrix.
- The variables \tilde{x}_i q -commute among themselves: $\forall i < j : \tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}_j = q^{-1} \tilde{x}_j \tilde{x}_i$, i.e. $\forall i, j : \tilde{x}_i \tilde{x}_j = q^{\text{sgn}(i-j)} \tilde{x}_j \tilde{x}_i$.
- The variables $\tilde{\psi}_i$ q -anticommute among themselves: $\tilde{\psi}_i^2 = 0, \tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\psi}_j = -q \tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\psi}_i$, for $i < j$; i.e. $\forall i, j : \tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\psi}_j = -q^{-\text{sgn}(i-j)} \tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\psi}_i$.

The conditions $\tilde{\psi}_i^2 = 0$ are equivalent to the relations (33), (34), and the conditions $\tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\psi}_j = -q \tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\psi}_i, i < j$, are equivalent to the relations (35).

We plan to discuss q -Manin matrices and some of their applications in the theory of integrability in a subsequent publications (see [CFRS]).

3.4 The determinant

Here we recall a definition of the determinant following Manin's ideas. It is well-known that, for generic matrices over a noncommutative ring (possibly, algebra), one cannot develop a full theory of determinants with values in the same ring. However, for some specific matrices there may exist a "good" notion of determinant. In particular for Manin matrices one can define the determinant just taking the column expansion as a definition. Despite its simplicity such a definition is actually a good one. It satisfies almost all the properties of the determinants in the commutative case and is consistent with the other concepts of noncommutative determinants (quasideterminants of I. Gelfand, V. Retakh [GR97] (see section 4.1.1 page 22) and Dieudonné [Dieud43] determinant). Lemma 2 provides a more conceptual approach to the notion of determinant. It states that the determinant equals to a coefficient of the action on the top form, exactly in the same as in the commutative case.

Definition 7 *Let M be a Manin matrix. Define the determinant of M by column expansion:*

$$\det M = \det^{\text{column}} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=1, \dots, n}^{\curvearrowright} M_{\sigma(i)i}, \quad (48)$$

where S_n is the group of permutations of n letters, and the symbol \curvearrowright means that in the product $\prod_{i=1, \dots, n} M_{\sigma(i), i}$ one writes at first the elements from the first column, then from the second column and so on and so forth.

Example 1 For the case $n=2$, we have

$$\det^{\text{col}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ad - cb \stackrel{\text{lemma 3 below}}{=} da - bc. \quad (49)$$

The second equality is a restatement of the second condition of Definition 2.

Let us now recall the setting of section 3.2 page 10. Consider a Grassman algebra $\mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i \psi_j = -\psi_j \psi_i$); let ψ_i commute with M_{pq} : $\forall i, p, q : [\psi_i, M_{pq}] = 0$. Consider the new variables $\tilde{\psi}_i$:

$$(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ \dots & & \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nn} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (50)$$

$$\text{By proposition 1, page 10 we have that } \tilde{\psi}_i \tilde{\psi}_j = -\tilde{\psi}_j \tilde{\psi}_i. \quad (51)$$

Lemma 2 *For an arbitrary matrix M (not necessarily Manin matrix) it holds:*

$$\det^{\text{column}}(M) \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n = \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n, \quad (52)$$

if M is a Manin matrix, it is true that:

$$\forall p \in S_n \quad \det^{\text{column}}(M) \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(p)} \tilde{\psi}_{p(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_{p(n)}. \quad (53)$$

The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 3 *The exchange of any two columns in a Manin matrix changes only the sign of the determinant. More generally: an arbitrary permutation p of columns changes the determinant only by multiplication on $(-1)^{\text{sgn}(p)}$, that is, the determinant is a fully antisymmetric function of columns of Manin matrices.*

This property is specific for Manin matrices. For generic matrices the column determinant is defined, but it does not satisfy this basic property.

Proof. Let us denote a Manin matrix by M and by M^p the matrix obtained by the permutation of columns with respect to the permutation $p \in S_n$. It is quite easy to see that for an arbitrary matrix M , (not necessarily Manin matrix) it is true that:

$$\tilde{\psi}_{p(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_{p(n)} = \det^{\text{column}}(M^p) \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n. \quad (54)$$

For Manin matrices due to anticommutativity of $\tilde{\psi}_i$ (which is guaranteed by proposition 1, page 10) $\tilde{\psi}_{p(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_{p(n)} = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(p)} \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n$ which equals to $(-1)^p \det(M) \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n$ by lemma 2. So we conclude that $\det(M^p) = (-1)^{\text{sgn}(p)} \det(M)$, for an arbitrary permutation $p \in S_n$.

□ Another proof of this fact goes as follows. Since any permutation can be presented as a product of transpositions of neighbors $(i, i+1)$ it is enough to prove the proposition for such transpositions. But for them it follows from the equality of the commutators of cross elements (formula 1).

Lemma 4 *The exchange of two rows in an arbitrary matrix (not necessarily Manin matrix) changes only the sign of the \det^{column} . Also if two rows in a matrix M coincide, then $\det^{\text{column}}(M) = 0$. Also, one can add any row to another row and this does not change the determinant. More generally one can add a row multiplied by a scalar (or more generally multiplied by an element which commutes with M_{ij}) and the same is true. The proof of these statements is immediate. Let us stress that no conditions of commutativity is necessary.*

The lemmas above imply the following:

Corollary 1

1. Assume that two columns or two rows in a Manin matrix M coincide, then $\det(M) = 0$.
2. One can add any column of a Manin matrix to another column and this does change the determinant. More generally one can add a column multiplied by a constant (or more generally multiplied by an element which commutes with M_{ij}) and the same is true.
3. One can easily see that any submatrix of a Manin matrix is a Manin matrix. So one has natural definition of minors and again one can choose an arbitrary order of columns (rows) to define minors.
4. The determinant of a Manin matrix does not depend on the order of columns in the column expansion, i.e.,

$$\forall p \in S_n \quad \det^{\text{column}} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=1, \dots, n}^{\widehat{}} M_{\sigma(p(i)) p(i)}. \quad (55)$$

Proposition 4 Multiplicativity. *Let A be a Manin matrix and A' a generic matrix with elements in the same ring \mathcal{K} . Suppose that all elements of A' commute with all elements of A , i.e. $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, A'_{kl}] = 0$. Then $\det^{\text{column}}(AA') = \det^{\text{column}}(A) \det^{\text{column}}(A')$. If A' is also a Manin matrix, then AA' is a Manin matrix.*

Remark 6 If A, B are the matrices such that $\forall i, j, k, l [A_{ij}, B_{kl}] = 0$, (for example B - \mathbb{C} -valued matrix), it nevertheless does not follow that $\det^{column}(AB) = \det^{column}(A)\det^{column}(B)$, even in 2×2 case.

Proof. The proposition is a direct consequence of the coaction characterization of Manin matrices (proposition 1, page 10). The details are as follows.

Consider the Grassman algebra $\Lambda[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ and introduce $\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n, \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_n$ as

$$(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & A_{1n} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ A_{n1} & \dots & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_1, \dots, \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_n) = (\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n) \begin{pmatrix} A'_{11} & \dots & A'_{1m} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ A'_{n1} & \dots & A'_{nm} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (56)$$

It is easy to see that (see lemma 2 page 13):

$$\tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n = \det^{col}(A) \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n. \quad (57)$$

This equality does not require anything except anticommutativity of ψ_i and $[A_{ij}, \psi_l] = 0$. (In particular we do not need A to be a Manin matrix). However, when A is a Manin matrix, by proposition 1, page 10 $\tilde{\psi}_i$ also anticommute; since we require that $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, A'_{kl}] = 0$ and $[\psi_k, A'_{kl}] = 0$, we can use the same lemma again:

$$\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_n = \det^{col}(A') \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n, \quad (58)$$

$$\text{Using 57 : } \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_n = \det^{col}(A') \det^{col}(A) \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n. \quad (59)$$

On the other hand one can apply lemma 2 page 13 directly to the product $(A'A)$:

$$\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_n = \det^{col}(A'A) \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n. \quad (60)$$

Combining the equalities one gets $\det^{col}(A'A) = \det^{col}(A') \det^{col}(A)$, which is equal to $\det^{col}(A) \det^{col}(A')$ since $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, A'_{kl}] = 0$. So the first part of the proposition is proved.

Whenever A' is a Manin matrix as well, one sees that $\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_i$ are again Grassman variables (proposition 1, page 10). On the other hand $\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_i = \sum_l \psi_l (AA')_{li}$. So by the same proposition AA' is a Manin matrix. \square

We can also argue $\det(AA') = \det(A) \det(A')$ in more direct way. One should observe that *all* elements of $\det(A) \det(A')$ are contained in $\det(AA')$, but generally written in different order - the property that $\det(A)$ does not depend on the order of column expansion provides that one can reorder in an appropriate way. The property of the column commutativity of elements of A provides that unwanted terms in $\det(AA')$ cancel each other.

We have already seen (observation 4 page 7) that in 2×2 case $\det(AA') = \det(A) \det(A')$ for any A' implies that A is a Manin matrix. The straightforward generalization is not true in $n \times n$ case. Indeed, consider a matrix A such that all elements in some row are equal to zero. Then, clearly $\det^{col}(A) = 0 = \det^{col}(AA')$ for any matrix A' . In 2×2 case any matrix with row of zeroes is a Manin matrix, however this is clearly not true for 3×3 matrices, etc. However for generic enough matrices A , such that $\det^{col}(A) = \det^{col}(AA')$ for any \mathbb{C} -valued A' , it is true that A is a Manin matrix. This can be seen considering A' to be transposition matrices and matrices $1 + E_{ii+1}$, where as usually E_{ij} matrix unit with zeroes everywhere except 1 at position (ij) . However we do not see how this "generality condition" can be formulated in a compact form.

Remark 7 Since $\det^{column} M^t = \det^{row} M$, where $\det^{row} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} M_{i \sigma(i)}$, the statements above can be easily reformulated for the case M^t is a Manin matrix.

3.5 The permanent

The permanent of a matrix is a polylinear function of its columns and rows, similar to the determinant, without sign factors $(-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)}$ in its definition. We will make use of it in section 7.2.2 below.

Definition 8 Let M be a Manin matrix. We define its permanent by **row** expansion ⁶ as

$$\text{perm}M = \text{perm}^{\text{row}}M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1, \dots, n}^{\widehat{}} M_{i\sigma(i)}, \quad (61)$$

Lemma 5 The permanent of a Manin matrix does not depend on the order of rows in the row expansion:

$$\forall p \in S_n \quad \text{perm}^{\text{row}}M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1, \dots, n}^{\widehat{}} M_{p(i)\sigma(p(i))}, \quad (62)$$

or, in the other words, the permanent of a Manin matrix does not change after arbitrary permutation of rows in a matrix M :

$$\forall p \in S_n \quad \text{perm}^{\text{row}}M = \text{perm}^{\text{row}}M^p, \quad (63)$$

where M^p is a matrix obtained by the p -permutation of rows in matrix M .

Proof. Since any permutation can be presented as a product of transpositions of neighbors $(i, i + 1)$ it is enough to prove the proposition for such transpositions. But for them it follows from the equality of the commutators of cross elements (formula 1). \square

Example 2

$$\text{perm}^{\text{row}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ad + bc = da + cb, \quad (64)$$

where the last equation follows both from the Lemma above and from the very definition of Manin matrix.

Remark 8 It is easy to see that the row-permanent of an arbitrary matrix M (even without conditions of commutativity) does not change under any permutation of columns.

3.6 Elementary properties

Let us herewith collect some properties described above, that are simple consequences of the definition of Manin matrix.

1. Any matrix with commuting elements is a Manin matrix.
2. Any submatrix of a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix.
3. If A, B are Manin matrices and $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, B_{kl}] = 0$, then $A + B$ is again a Manin matrix.
4. If A is a Manin matrix, c is constant, then cA is a Manin matrix.

⁶Remark the difference with the definition of the determinant, where one uses column expansion

5. If A is a Manin matrix, C is constant matrix, then CA and AC are Manin matrices and $\det(CA) = \det(AC) = \det(C)\det(A)$.
6. If A, B are Manin matrices and $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, B_{kl}] = 0$, then AB is a Manin matrix and $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$. (Proposition 4 page 14).
7. If A is a Manin matrix, then one can exchange the i -th and the j -th columns(rows); one can put i -th column(row) on j -th place (erasing j -th column(row)); one can add new column(row) to matrix A which is equal to one of the columns(rows) of the matrix A ; one can add the i -th column(row) multiplied by any constant to the j -th column(row); in all cases the resulting matrix will be again a Manin matrix.
8. If A and simultaneously A^t are Manin matrices, then all elements A_{ij} commute with each other. (A q -analog of this lemma says that if A and simultaneously A^t are q -Manin , then A is quantum matrix: " $RA\overset{1}{A} = \overset{2}{A}AR$ " (See Yu. Manin [Man87], [Man88])).
9. The exchange of two columns in a Manin matrix changes the sign of the determinant. If two columns or two rows in a Manin matrix M coincide, then $\det(M) = 0$.

This has been already discussed in corollary 1 page 14.

3.6.1 Some No-Go facts for Manin matrices.

Let M be a Manin matrix with elements in the associative ring \mathcal{K} .

Fact In general $\det(M)$ is not a central element of \mathcal{K} .

Remark 9 This should be compared with the quantum matrix group $Fun_q(GL_n)$, where \det_q is central. The reason why this property does not hold for Manin matrices is that their defining relations are half of those of quantum matrix groups.

Fact In general $[TrM^k, TrM^m] \neq 0$, $[TrM, \det(M)] \neq 0$.

Remark 10 Taking traces of powers of Lax matrices is the standard procedure for obtaining commuting integrals of motion for integrable systems. Indeed, Manin's conditions (or their q -analogs) do not imply commutativity of traces. Although the concept of Manin matrix is related with (quantum) integrable systems, for this commutativity property one needs stronger conditions like the Yang-Baxter relation $R\overset{1}{T}\overset{2}{T} = \overset{2}{T}\overset{1}{T}R$.

Fact In general $M^k, k = 2, \dots$, is not a Manin matrix. We will prove however that M^{-1} is again a Manin matrix.

Remark 11 For [FRT90] "quantum matrices" such that $T: R_q\overset{1}{T}\overset{2}{T} = \overset{2}{T}\overset{1}{T}R_q$, with R_q a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation it is true that T^k satisfies $R_{\tilde{q}}\overset{1}{T}\overset{2}{T} = \overset{2}{T}\overset{1}{T}R_{\tilde{q}}$, for $\tilde{q} = q^k$ (see e.g. [Man91] section 4.2.9 pages 132-133).

Fact Let M be a Manin matrix; then in general $\det(e^M) \neq e^{Tr(M)}$, $\log(\det(M)) \neq Tr(\log(M))$ (see page 58).

3.7 Examples.

Definition 9 A matrix A with the elements in \mathcal{K} is called a Cartier-Foata (see [CF69, Fo79]) matrix if elements from different rows commute with each other.

Proposition 5 Any Cartier-Foata matrix is a Manin matrix.

Proof. Clear from the definitions. \square

Consider arbitrary elements r_1, \dots, r_n in a ring \mathcal{K} , then the matrix below is obviously a Manin matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 & \dots & r_n \\ r_1 & r_2 & \dots & r_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ r_1 & r_2 & \dots & r_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (r_1 \ r_2 \ \dots \ r_n). \quad (65)$$

Consider arbitrary Manin matrix M , consider elements c_{jk}^i such that they commute with each other and with all elements M (for example c_{jk}^i are scalars), then:

$$C^1 M C^2 + C^3, \quad - \text{ is a Manin matrix,} \quad (66)$$

where $(C^i)_{jk} = c_{jk}^i$.

Inverse (if it exists) of a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix (under natural conditions - see theorem 1 page 25). Also recall that any submatrix of a Manin matrix is again Manin matrix, so

$$\text{submatrix of } (C^1 M C^2 + C^3)^{-1} \quad - \text{ is a Manin matrix.} \quad (67)$$

However if M is of the form (65), consideration of inverses and submatrices does not give something really new - such examples will be close to ones defined by (65).

Observation 8 Let M be a Manin matrix over some ring \mathcal{K} , consider arbitrary $x \in R$, then the matrix $(\tilde{M})_{ij} = x M_{ij} x^{-1}$ is also obviously a Manin matrix.

Examples related to Lie algebras and integrable systems Let us give some remarkable examples of Manin matrices. They are related to integrable systems and Lie algebras (see [CF07] section 3 for further information).

Let x_{ij}, y_{ij} be commutative variables. Let X, Y be $n \times k$ matrices with matrix elements $(X)_{ij} = x_{ij}, (Y)_{ij} = y_{ij}$. Let us denote by ∂_X, ∂_Y the $n \times k$ matrices with matrix elements $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}}$. Let z be a variable commuting with y_{ij} .

i) The following $2n \times 2k, (n+k) \times (n+k)$ matrices are Manin matrices (the second one is related to the Capelli identities (see [CF07], section 4.2.2)):

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & \partial_Y \\ Y & \partial_X \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} z \ 1_{k \times k} & (\partial_Y)^t \\ Y & \partial_z \ 1_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (68)$$

ii) Let K^1, K^2 be $n \times n, k \times k$ matrices with elements in \mathbb{C} , one can see that the following matrix is actually a Manin matrix:

$$\partial_z 1_{n \times n} + K^1 - Y(z 1_{k \times k} + K^2)^{-1} (\partial_Y)^t. \quad (69)$$

For the sake of concreteness, we notice that, in the case $n = 2$, $k = 1$ the formula above yields the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_z & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} K_{11}^1 & K_{12}^1 \\ K_{21}^1 & K_{22}^1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y_1 \partial_{y_1}}{z-k} & \frac{y_1 \partial_{y_2}}{z-k} \\ \frac{y_2 \partial_{y_1}}{z-k} & \frac{y_2 \partial_{y_2}}{z-k} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (70)$$

iii) Consider the standard matrix units e_{ij} (i.e. $n \times n$ matrices defined as $(e_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$ ⁷) Consider a variable z and the operator ∂_z . These elements commute with e_{ij} and satisfy $[\partial_z, z] = 1$, $e^{-\partial_z} f(z) = f(z-1)e^{-\partial_z}$.

$$\partial_z \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} - \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & \dots & e_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n1} & \dots & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(z) e^{-\partial_z} \left(\mathbf{1}_{n \times n} + \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & \dots & e_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ e_{n1} & \dots & e_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right). \quad (71)$$

are Manin matrices, where $f(z)$ is an arbitrary function.

To check that the matrices in (68) are indeed Manin matrices, one only needs to use the standard commutation relations $[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}, x_{kl}] = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}$, $[x_{ij}, x_{kl}] = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kl}}] = 0$, (the same for y_{ij} and z , while it is understood that operators referring to different "letters" commute, e.g. $[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ij}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{kl}}] = 0$). Similarly, for the matrix (70).

The matrices in (71) read, in the 2×2 and $f(z) = 1$ case:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_z - \frac{1}{z}e_{11} & -\frac{1}{z}e_{12} \\ -\frac{1}{z}e_{21} & \partial_z - \frac{1}{z}e_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\partial_z}(1 + \frac{1}{z}e_{11}) & e^{-\partial_z}\frac{1}{z}e_{12} \\ e^{-\partial_z}\frac{1}{z}e_{21} & e^{-\partial_z}(1 + \frac{1}{z}e_{22}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (72)$$

Let us check the Manin relations for the leftmost of these matrices: Column 1 commutativity reads⁸:

$$[\partial_z - \frac{1}{z}e_{11}, -\frac{1}{z}e_{21}] = -[\partial_z, \frac{1}{z}]e_{21} + \frac{1}{z^2}[e_{11}, e_{21}] = \frac{1}{z^2}e_{21} + \frac{1}{z^2}(-e_{21}) = 0. \quad (73)$$

The cross-term relation $[M_{11}, M_{22}] = [M_{21}, M_{12}]$ is:

$$[M_{11}, M_{22}] = [\partial_z - \frac{1}{z}e_{11}, \partial_z - \frac{1}{z}e_{22}] = -[\partial_z, \frac{1}{z}]e_{22} - e_{11}[\frac{1}{z}, \partial_z] = \frac{1}{z^2}e_{22} - \frac{1}{z^2}e_{11}, \quad (74)$$

$$[M_{21}, M_{12}] = [-\frac{1}{z}e_{21}, -\frac{1}{z}e_{12}] = \frac{1}{z^2}(e_{22} - e_{12}). \quad (75)$$

Notice that the matrices above are matrices with elements in $Diff(z) \otimes Mat_n$, i.e. they belong to $Mat_n[Diff(z) \otimes Mat_n]$, where $Diff(z)$ is an algebra of differential operators in z . Actually one only needs that elements e_{ij} satisfy the commutation relations $[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = e_{il}\delta_{kj} - e_{kj}\delta_{il}$. For example, if one regards the e_{ij} as elements of the universal enveloping algebra of gl_n (or their images in an arbitrary representation), then the matrices (71) will still be Manin matrices. We should stress that in the examples above we consider $n \times n$ matrices with elements in $Mat_n \otimes Diff(z)$, and *not* $n^2 \times n^2$ matrices with elements in $Diff(z)$. Indeed, in the second case, they do not satisfy the Manin properties.

⁷In other words, e_{ij} has 1 in the position i, j and 0 everywhere else.

⁸Column 2 commutativity is completely analogous.

Remark 12 The examples above are intimately related to the Gaudin and XXX-Heisenberg integrable systems (see [CF07] and also [CFRy]). According to a specialization of the remarkable result of D. Talalaev [Ta04] the differential operators $H_i(z)$ defined as $\det(M) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} H_k(z) \partial_z^k$ (where M is defined by 69) commute among themselves (i.e. $\forall i, j : [H_i(z), H_j(w)] = 0$). The operators $H_i(z)$ provide a full set of quantum commuting integrals of motion for the Gaudin integrable system. The gl_n Gaudin system was introduced in [Ga76], but the full set of quantum integrals of motion (the so-called "higher Gaudin Hamiltonians"), whose existence was proved in [FFR94] and whose commutativity proved in [ER95] was not explicitly constructed before [Ta04]. This construction has far-reaching applications to Bethe ansatz and separation of variables (see [CT06, CF07]). Similar constructions also play an important role in the Langlands correspondence and Kac-Moody algebra theory (explicit description of the center of $U(\widehat{gl_n})$ ([CT06, CF07, CM08])). Further considerations concerning q- and elliptic analogs will appear in [CFRS], [RST08].

3.8 Hopf structure

Let us consider the algebra over \mathbb{C} generated by M_{ij} $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with relations: $[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}]$. One can see that it is a bialgebra with the coproduct $\Delta(M_{ij}) = \sum_k M_{ik} \otimes M_{kj}$. This is usually denoted as follows:

$$\Delta(M) = M \dot{\otimes} M. \tag{76}$$

Remark 13 It is easy to see that this coproduct is coassociative (i.e. $(\Delta \otimes 1) \otimes \Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta) \otimes \Delta$) and also (from proposition 4 page 14), that it holds $\Delta(\det(M)) = \det(M) \otimes \det(M)$.

The natural antipode for this bialgebra should be $S(M) = M^{-1}$. So it exists only in some "field of fractions" for the algebra generated by M_{ij} .

Remark 14 Let us frame the above property within the notions of noncommutative geometry (see e.g. [Man88] for an introduction).

Consider a group G , and denote by $Fun(G)$ the algebras of functions on G . The multiplication map:

$$m : G \times G \rightarrow Fun(G), \tag{77}$$

clearly induces the dual map:

$$\Delta : Fun(G) \rightarrow Fun(G) \times Fun(G), \tag{78}$$

by the rule $\Delta(f)[g_1, g_2] = f(g_1 g_2)$. Associativity of the multiplication clearly induces coassociativity of the comultiplication: $(\Delta \otimes 1) \otimes \Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta) \otimes \Delta$.

So, according to the noncommutative geometry point of view, one should think of bialgebras as some kind of "functions" on "noncommutative spaces" which are not just spaces, but groups (more precisely semi-groups, since we have not discussed inversion operation, which corresponds to the antipode). So the algebra generated by matrix elements M_{ij} of a Manin matrix can be thought as the algebra of functions on some noncommutative (semi)group-space. Moreover this analogy can be continued. Consider a group G acting on some set V , and denote by $Fun(G), Fun(V)$ the algebras of functions on F and, respectively, V . The action of the group G on V defines a dual morphism of commutative algebras:

$$\phi : Fun(V) \rightarrow Fun(G) \otimes Fun(V), \quad \phi(f)[g, v] = f(gv). \tag{79}$$

The condition of action: $g_1(g_2v) = (g_1g_2)v$, implies:

$$(\Delta \otimes 1)(\phi) = (1 \otimes \phi)(\phi). \quad (80)$$

Which is now reformulated only in terms of ϕ, Δ , so makes sense for an arbitrary bialgebra. The "coaction"-proposition 1 page 10 implies that there exists morphisms of algebras:

$$\phi_1 : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \langle M_{ij} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m], \quad \phi_1(x_i) = \sum_k M_{ik} x_k, \quad (81)$$

$$\phi_2 : \mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \langle M_{ij} \rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n], \quad \phi_2(\psi_i) = \sum_k M_{ki} \psi_k. \quad (82)$$

One can check that both of the maps satisfy the condition: $(\Delta \otimes 1)(\phi_i) = (1 \otimes \phi_i)(\phi_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

So one can consider the maps ϕ_i as "coactions" of Manin matrices on a the space \mathbb{C}^n and its super version.

Remark 15 Let us also mention that there exists another coproduct. To motivate it let us give another look on the algebra generated by M_{ij} . The defining relations for M_{ij} are written entirely in terms of commutators, so the associative algebra generated by M_{ij} is the universal enveloping algebra to the Lie algebra defined by the relations $[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}]$. For an arbitrary universal enveloping algebra the coproduct can be defined by the formula $\Delta(M_{ij}) = M_{ij} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_{ij}$. It is easy to see directly (or conclude from the general properties of Lie algebras) that this coproduct is compatible with the defining relations, coassociative and there exist an antipode $S(M_{ij}) = -M_{ij}$ and counit $\epsilon(M_{ij}) = 0, \epsilon(1) = 1$. However this coproduct is not natural in Manin's framework (it is not compatible with the coaction on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ described above).

4 Inverse of a Manin matrix

In this section we discuss several facts about the inverse of a Manin matrix. The first one is Cramer's rule which states that an inverse matrix can be calculated by the same formula with minors as in the commutative case; the second one is that inverse matrix is actually again a Manin matrix under natural conditions - this fact is related to a formula which goes back to Lagrange, Desnanot, Jacobi and Lewis Carroll in the commutative case. These should be considered among the main results of the paper⁹.

4.1 Cramer's formula and quasideterminants

Proposition 6 [Man88] *Let M be a Manin matrix and denote by M^{adj} the adjoint matrix defined in the standard way, (i.e. $M_{kl}^{adj} = (-1)^{k+l} \det^{column}(\widehat{M}_{lk})$) where \widehat{M}_{lk} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of M obtained removing the l -th row and the k -th column. Then the same formula as in the commutative case holds true, that is,*

$$M^{adj} M = \det^{column}(M) 1_{n \times n}. \quad (83)$$

Here $1_{n \times n}$ is the identity matrix of size n . If M^t is a Manin matrix, then M^{adj} is defined by row-determinants and $M M^{adj} = \det^{column}(M^t) 1_{n \times n} = \det^{row}(M) 1_{n \times n}$.

Proof. One can see that the equality $\forall i : (M^{adj} M)_{ii} = \det^{col}(M)$, follows from the fact that

⁹These results, together with sketchy proofs, were announced in [CF07]

$\det^{col}(M)$ does not depend on the order of the column expansion in the determinant. This independence was proved above (corollary 1 page 14). Let us introduce a matrix \tilde{M} as follows. Take the matrix M and set the i -th column equal to the j -th column; denote the resulting matrix by \tilde{M} . Note that $\det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ precisely gives $(M^{adj}M)_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. To prove that $\det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$ we argue as follows. Clearly \tilde{M} is a Manin matrix. Lemma 3 page 14 allows to calculate the determinant taking the elements first from i -th column, then j -th, then other elements from the other columns. This yields that $\det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$, since it is the sum of the elements of the form $(xy - yx)(z) = 0$, where x, y are the elements from the i -th and j -th of \tilde{M} , so from j -th column of M . By column commutativity of a Manin matrix, $xy - yx = 0$, so $\det^{col}(\tilde{M}) = 0$. \square

Remark 16 The only difference with the commutative case is that, in the equality (83) the order of the products of M^{adj} and M has to be kept in mind.

Remark 17 In the works by Manin (see, e.g., [Man88]) one can find wider classes of matrices with noncommutative entries with properly defined determinants and versions of the Cramer rule.

The question how far and whether the property $M^{adj}M = \det^{column}(M) 1_{n \times n}$ characterizes is open. Observation 2 page 7 shows that it is indeed the case for 2×2 matrices. Since in higher rank case the relations coming from the Cramer rule are of order n , while Manin's relations are always quadratic, it is not obvious at all how to settle the matter.

4.1.1 Relation with quasideterminants

We will herewith recall a few constructions from the theory of quasideterminants of I. Gelfand and V. Retakh, R. Wilson and discuss their counterparts in the case of Manin matrices. It is fair to say that the general theoretical set-up of quasideterminants (I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson) [GR91], [GR97], [GGRW02] can be briefly presented as follows: *many facts of linear algebra can be reformulated with the only use of an inverse matrix*. Thus it can be extended to the noncommutative setup and can be applied, for example, to some questions considered here. We must stress the difference between our set-up and that of [GGRW02]: we consider *a special class* of matrices with noncommutative entries (the Manin matrices), and for this class we can extend many facts of linear algebra basically in the same form as in the commutative case, (in particular, as we have seen, there exists a well-defined notion of determinant). On the other hand, in [GGRW02] *generic matrices* are considered; thus there is no natural notion of the determinant, and facts of linear algebra are not exactly given in the same form as in the commutative case.

Let us recall ([GGRW02] definition 1.2.2 page 9) that the (p, q) -th quasideterminant $|A|_{pq}$ of an invertible matrix A is defined as $|A|_{pq} = (A_{qp}^{-1})^{-1}$, i.e. the inverse to the (q, p) -element of the matrix inverse to A . It is also denoted by:

$$|A|_{pq} = \begin{vmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & \dots & \dots & A_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \boxed{A_{pq}} & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{vmatrix}, \quad (84)$$

From the Cramer rule we have

Lemma 6

$$|M|_{pq} = (-1)^{p+q} \det(\widehat{M}_{pq})^{-1} \det(M), \quad (85)$$

\widehat{M}_{pq} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of M obtained by removing the p -th row and the q -th column. Also, from Lemma 12 page 31 below, one can deduce the

Lemma 7

$$|A|_{pq} = A_{pq} - A_{p*}(\widehat{A}_{pq})^{-1}A_{*q}, \quad (86)$$

where \widehat{A}_{pq} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of A obtained removing the p -th row and the q -th column, A_{p*} is p -th row of A without the element A_{pq} and A_{*q} is q -th column of A without the element A_{pq} . This is contained [GGRW02] proposition 1.2.6 page 10.

Example 3 For $n = 2$ there are four quasi-determinants:

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} \boxed{a_{11}} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{array} \right| = a_{11} - a_{12} a_{22}^{-1} a_{21}, \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} a_{11} & \boxed{a_{12}} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{array} \right| = a_{12} - a_{11} a_{21}^{-1} a_{22}, \quad (87)$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ \boxed{a_{21}} & a_{22} \end{array} \right| = a_{21} - a_{22} a_{12}^{-1} a_{11}, \quad \left| \begin{array}{cc} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & \boxed{a_{22}} \end{array} \right| = a_{22} - a_{21} a_{11}^{-1} a_{12}. \quad (88)$$

The following lemma is often useful in applications of quasideterminants to determinants [GGRW02]. It holds thanks to the Cramer rule for Manin matrices.

Lemma 8

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & \dots & M_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n1} & M_{n2} & \dots & M_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \quad (89)$$

$$= M_{nn} \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{M_{n-1n-1}} & M_{n-1n} \\ M_{nn-1} & M_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \dots \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{M_{22}} & \dots & M_{2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n2} & \dots & M_{nn} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{M_{11}} & M_{12} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & \dots & M_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n1} & M_{n2} & \dots & M_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \quad (90)$$

$$= M_{11} \begin{vmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & \boxed{M_{22}} \end{vmatrix} \dots \begin{vmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n-1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n-11} & \dots & \boxed{M_{n-1n-1}} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & \dots & M_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n1} & M_{n2} & \dots & \boxed{M_{nn}} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (91)$$

Example 4 For 2×2 Manin matrices:

$$ad - cb = \det^{col} \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = a \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & \boxed{d} \end{vmatrix} = d \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{a} & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = da - bc. \quad (92)$$

4.2 Lagrange-Desnanot-Jacobi-Lewis Carroll formula

Below we present two identities for Manin matrices. The first of the identities is trivial in the commutative case, while the second has a long story: according to (D. Bressoud) [Bres99] page 111 (remarks after theorem 3.12) Lagrange found this identity for $n = 3$, Desnanot proved it for $n \leq 6$, Jacobi proved the general theorem (see theorem 3 page 30 here), C. L. Dodgson – better known as Lewis Carroll – used it to derive an algorithm for calculating determinants that required only 2×2 determinants (“Dodgson’s condensation” method [D1866]). It is quite surprising how widely such a simple identity appears in various fields of mathematics [DI].

The proof of the both identities consists in a small extension and rephrasing of the arguments in the proof of Lemma 1 page 5 (O. Babelon, M. Talon) [BT02].

Proposition 7 Let M be a Manin matrix and assume that a two sided inverse matrix M^{-1} exists (i.e. $M^{-1}M = MM^{-1} = 1$). Then:

1. column commutativity for M^{-1} holds:

$$(M^{-1})_{ij}(M^{-1})_{kj} - (M^{-1})_{kj}(M^{-1})_{ij} = 0. \quad (93)$$

2. The Lagrange-Desnanot-Jacobi-Lewis Carroll formula holds for Manin matrices, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} & (M^{-1})_{ij}(M^{-1})_{kl} - (M^{-1})_{kj}(M^{-1})_{il} = \\ & = (-1)^{i+j+k+l} (\det(M))^{-1} \det^{col}(\hat{M}_{jl,ik}), \quad j \neq l, i \neq k \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

where we use the notation

$$\hat{M}_{jl,ik} := M_{\text{without } j\text{-th and } l\text{-th rows}; i\text{-th and } k\text{-th columns}},$$

and, in the case $n = 2$ we set by definition $\det(\hat{M}_{12,12}) = 1$.

Remark 18 The standard formulation of the Lagrange-Desnanot-Jacobi-Lewis Carroll formula:

$$\det(M^{ji})\det(M^{lk}) - \det(M^{jk})\det(M^{li}) = (\det(M))\det(\hat{M}^{jl;ik}), \quad (95)$$

can be retrieved (assuming that $\det(M)$ commutes with principal minors) multiplying the identity 94 by $\det(M)^2$ and with the help of the Cramer rule. As usual, we denoted by M^{ij} the submatrix without i -row and j -th column, and with $\hat{M}^{jl;ik}$ the $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ submatrix obtained removing the j -th and l -th rows and the i -th and k -th columns of M .

Proof. Let us denote by $\Delta = \det^{col}(M)$, and $\Delta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det^{col}(M_{\text{without } i\text{-th row, } j\text{-th column}})$ the cofactor of M_{ij} in $\det(M)$. Consider the Grassman algebra $\mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i\psi_j = -\psi_j\psi_i$); let ψ_i commute with M_{pq} , i.e., $\forall i, p, q : [\psi_i, M_{pq}] = 0$. Consider the new variables $\tilde{\psi}_i$:

$$(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n) \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ \dots & & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nn} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (96)$$

By proposition 1, page 10 it holds $\tilde{\psi}_i\tilde{\psi}_j = -\tilde{\psi}_j\tilde{\psi}_i$

We have the equalities

$$\Delta \cdot \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n \stackrel{def}{=} \det(M) \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n \stackrel{lem 2}{=} \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n, \quad (97)$$

$$\Delta_{ij} \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n = (-1)^{j+1} \psi_i \wedge \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\tilde{\psi}_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n \text{ (here } \tilde{\psi}_j \text{ is omitted),} \quad (98)$$

$$= \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{j\text{-th place}}{\psi_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n. \quad (99)$$

It is easy to see that the equalities (93, 94) can be reformulated as follows:

$$\Delta_{ji} M_{kl}^{-1} \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n = \quad (100)$$

$$\Delta_{jk} M_{il}^{-1} \psi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \psi_n + \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{i\text{-th place}}{\psi_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{k\text{-th place}}{\psi_l} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n.$$

Here we obviously assume $i \neq k$, since for $i = k$ (7) is tautological: $\Delta_{ji} M_{il}^{-1} = \Delta_{ji} M_{il}^{-1}$. Let us prove 100. The definition of $\tilde{\psi}_i$ is $(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)M$. Multiplying this relation by M^{-1} on the right we get

$$(\tilde{\psi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\psi}_n)M^{-1} = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n), \text{ that is, } \sum_v \tilde{\psi}_v M_{vl}^{-1} = \psi_l.$$

Multiplying $\tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{i\text{-th place}}{\psi_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{k\text{-th place}}{\text{empty}} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n$ and using the Grassmann relations $\tilde{\psi}_m^2 = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ we get

$$(\tilde{\psi}_i M_{il}^{-1} + \tilde{\psi}_k M_{kl}^{-1} - \psi_l) \tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{i\text{-th place}}{\psi_j} \wedge \dots \wedge \overset{k\text{-th place}}{\text{empty}} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\psi}_n = 0.$$

By 98 it gives 100 and hence proposition 7 is proved. \square

4.3 The inverse of a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix

Theorem 1 *Let M be a Manin matrix, assume that two sided inverse matrix M^{-1} exists (i.e. $\exists M^{-1} : M^{-1}M = MM^{-1} = 1$); then M^{-1} is again a Manin matrix.*

Remark 19 We will discuss in section 4.4 page 26, that for a reasonable class of rings (which includes main examples) left invertibility of a matrix (not necessarily Manin) implies right invertibility, and hence for Manin matrices invertibility is implied by the invertibility of the determinant. Let us also remark that an analogue of the theorem above holds true for Poisson-Manin matrices (see section 8.3 page 67).

Proof. This statement follows from proposition 7 page 24¹⁰. Column commutativity for M^{-1} is just formula (93) - so it is already established.

For the cross-term relation we notice that

$$[(M^{-1})_{ij}, (M^{-1})_{kl}] = [(M^{-1})_{kj}, (M^{-1})_{il}], \quad \text{can be rewritten as:} \quad (101)$$

$$(M^{-1})_{ij}(M^{-1})_{kl} - (M^{-1})_{kj}(M^{-1})_{il} = (M^{-1})_{kl}(M^{-1})_{ij} - (M^{-1})_{il}(M^{-1})_{kj}, \quad (102)$$

according to (94) both sides of (102) are equal to

$$(\det(M))^{-1} (-1)^{i+j+k+l} \det^{col}(M_{\text{without } j\text{-th and } l\text{-th rows}; i\text{-th and } k\text{-th columns}}). \quad (103)$$

The Theorem 1 is proved. \square

¹⁰So we provide a slightly different proof with respect to that of [CF07].

Remark 20 We will derive the formula $\det(M)^{-1} = (\det M)^{-1}$ for the $n \times n$ in the next Section.

Remark 21 As it is was remarked in [CF07] (section 4.2.1 page 17) this theorem implies a result by B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov [ER01] (theorem 1.1 page 2) and O. Babelon, M. Talon [BT02] (theorem 2 page 4) about "commutativity" of quantum Hamiltonians satisfying separation relations, which has important applications in the theory of quantum integrable systems.

4.4 On left and right inverses of a matrix

The main theorems on the inverse of a Manin matrix (theorem 1 page 25) and on the Schur complement (theorem 2 page 29) are formulated under the condition that left and right inverse matrices exist. The lemma below shows that for a reasonable class of rings (which includes main examples) left invertibility of a matrix (not necessarily Manin) implies right invertibility, and hence for Manin matrices invertibility is implied by the invertibility of the determinant.

Lemma 9 *Assume that ring \mathcal{K} is a noncommutative field (i.e. $r \neq 0 \in \mathcal{K} : \exists r^{-1} : r^{-1}r = rr^{-1} = 1$), then for any matrix $X \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{K})$ for any n , if the left (right) inverse exists, then the right (respectively left) inverse exists also and they coincide.*

If both left and right inverses exist then for any ring associativity guarantees that they coincide: $a_l^{-1} = a_l^{-1}(aa_r^{-1}) = (a_l^{-1}a)a_r^{-1} = a_r^{-1}$.

Proof. Let us prove by induction by the size n of matrix X . For $n = 1$ the lemma is obviously true. Consider general n . At least one element in first column of X is nonzero, otherwise X is not left invertible. Assume it is the element X_{11} , otherwise multiplying by the permutation matrix we put non-zero element to the position (11).

Denote the corresponding blocks of the matrix X as B, C, D as follows:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & B_{1 \times n-1} \\ C_{n-1 \times 1} & D_{n-1 \times n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (104)$$

Clearly using the only condition of invertibility of X_{11} , one can write:

$$X \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -CX_{11}^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & B \\ 0 & D - CX_{11}^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (105)$$

Matrix X is invertible from the left by the assumption of the lemma, the other matrix at the left hand side of the formula above is obviously two-sided invertible, so left hand side in the formula above is left invertible. So the right hand side is left invertible and it clearly implies that $n - 1 \times n - 1$ matrix $D - CX_{11}^{-1}B$ is left invertible. So by the induction it is right invertible also. Clearly:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & B \\ 0 & D - CX_{11}^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11}^{-1} & -X_{11}^{-1}B(D - CX_{11}^{-1}B)^{-1} \\ 0 & (D - CX_{11}^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (106)$$

moreover it is two-sided inverse, since element X_{11} is two-sided invertible as any element in \mathcal{K} and $(D - CX_{11}^{-1}B)$ is two-sided invertible by induction. Hence we can present matrix X itself as a product of two-sided invertible matrices:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ CX_{11}^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & B \\ 0 & D - CX_{11}^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (107)$$

hence X is two-sided invertible. \square

5 Schur's complement and Jacobi's ratio theorem

The main result of this section is a formula for the determinant of a Manin matrix in terms of the determinant of a submatrix and the determinant of the so-called "Schur complement". This theorem is equivalent to the Jacobi's ratio theorem, which expresses a minor of an inverse matrix in term of a complementary minor of matrix itself. The formulations of the both results are exactly the same as in the commutative case. We start with some result on multiplicativity property of the determinant for Manin matrices, which is actually a key point in the proofs of the main theorems. We also show that the so-called Weinstein-Aronszajn and Sylvester formulas hold true for Manin matrices and actually follow from the main theorems.

5.1 Multiplicativity of the Determinant for special matrices of block form

The proposition(s) below is instrumental in the proof of the Schur formula for the determinant of a block matrix to be discussed in the next subsection. It can be proven in a more general form than that strictly needed for the Schur formula, and, in our opinion, is of some interest on its own.

Proposition 8 *Let M be an $n \times n$ Manin matrix, with elements in an associative ring \mathcal{K} . Let X be a $k \times (n - k)$ matrix, ($k < n$), with arbitrary matrix elements in \mathcal{K} . Then*

$$\det^{\text{column}} \left(M \begin{pmatrix} 1_{k \times k} & X_{k \times n-k} \\ 0_{n-k \times k} & 1_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \det^{\text{column}} M. \quad (108)$$

Pay attention that elements of X do not need to commute with elements of M - they are absolutely arbitrary and so the matrix at the left hand side is not a Manin matrix in general.

Proof. Let us first state the following simple lemmas.

Lemma 10 *Consider elements a_i such that $[a_i, a_j] = 0$. Consider a matrix with the only condition that elements in some columns i and $i + 1$ have a form below, then*

$$\det^{\text{column}} \begin{pmatrix} \dots & a_1 & b_1 + a_1 x & \dots \\ \dots & a_2 & b_2 + a_2 x & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a_n & b_n + a_n x & \dots \end{pmatrix} = \det^{\text{column}} \begin{pmatrix} \dots & a_1 & b_1 & \dots \\ \dots & a_2 & b_2 & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & a_n & b_n & \dots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (109)$$

Using the property that one can exchange columns of any Manin matrix, changing only the sign of the column-determinant, column commutativity of the elements of Manin matrices and applying the lemma above, one arrives to the following lemma:

Lemma 11 *Assume M is $n \times k$, $k < n$ Manin matrix, $n \times (n - k - 1)$ matrix C is absolutely arbitrary, as well as $n \times 1$ column b and $k \times 1$ column x , then*

$$\det^{\text{column}} (M \ b + Mx \ C) = \det^{\text{column}} (M \ b \ C). \quad (110)$$

Where we have used the notation:

$$(M \ b + Mx \ C) = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1k} & b_1 + \sum_j M_{1j} x_j & C_{11} & \dots & C_{1(n-k-1)} \\ M_{21} & \dots & M_{2k} & b_2 + \sum_j M_{2j} x_j & C_{21} & \dots & C_{2(n-k-1)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nk} & b_n + \sum_j M_{nj} x_j & C_{n1} & \dots & C_{n(n-k-1)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (111)$$

The proofs of the lemmas are trivial.

Let us also remind that without any conditions on the blocks X, Y it is true that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X+Y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (112)$$

Recall that we need to prove the following, for a Manin matrix M :

$$\det^{\text{column}}(M \begin{pmatrix} 1_{k \times k} & X_{k \times n-k} \\ 0_{n-k \times k} & 1_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix}) = \det^{\text{column}} M. \quad (113)$$

Let us decompose the matrix X as a sum of its columns:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & X_1 & \dots & n-k \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & X_2 & \dots & n-k \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & X_k & \dots & n-k \end{pmatrix} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ X_{21} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{k1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (114)$$

Let us denote this decomposition as:

$$X = X_{(n-k)} + \dots + X_{(1)}. \quad (115)$$

According to formula 112 let us write corresponding multiplicative decomposition¹¹ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(n-k)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \dots \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(1)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (116)$$

After these preliminaries the proof of the proposition follows immediately. Observe the following:

$$M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(n-k)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \dots \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(n-k-l+1)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1(n-l)} & * & \dots & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{n(n-l)} & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}. \quad (117)$$

This means that first $n-l$ columns have not been changed, so they satisfy Manin's properties.

Now we can apply lemma 11:

$$\begin{aligned} \det^{\text{column}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n-l} & * & \dots & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nn-l} & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{n-k-l} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \\ = \det^{\text{column}} \left(\begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n-l} & * & \dots & * \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nn-l} & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

Applying this equality for $l = 1, \dots, k$, one finishes the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \det^{\text{column}}(M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(n-k)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \dots \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(1)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \dots = \\ = \det^{\text{column}}(M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(n-k)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \times \dots \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & X_{(n-k-l+1)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) = \dots = \det^{\text{column}} M. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

The proposition is proven. \square

In the same manner one can prove the following

¹¹In our inductive argument, the chosen order is crucial.

Proposition 9 • For M^t a Manin matrix, and an arbitrary block X :

$$\det^{\text{row}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1_{k \times k} & X_{k \times n-k} \\ 0_{n-k \times k} & 1_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix} M\right) = \det^{\text{row}} M. \quad (120)$$

• Defining $\det^{\text{column reverse order}} C = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 1} C_{\sigma(i), i}$, e.g. $\det^{\text{col reverse order}} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = da - bc$, and analogously for $\det^{\text{row reverse order}}$, it holds:

$$\det^{\text{column reverse order}}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1_{k \times k} & 0_{k \times n-k} \\ X_{n-k \times k}^t & 1_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix} M\right) = \det^{\text{column}} M, \quad (121)$$

for M Manin, and

$$\det^{\text{row reverse order}}\left(M \begin{pmatrix} 1_{k \times k} & 0_{k \times n-k} \\ X_{n-k \times k}^t & 1_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix} M\right) = \det^{\text{row}} M, \quad (122)$$

$$(123)$$

for M^t Manin.

5.2 Block matrices, Schur's formula and Jacobi's ratio theorem

Here we prove a formula for the determinant of a block Manin matrix in terms of the determinant of a submatrix and the determinant of the Schur complement. It is one of the principal results of the paper. This fact can be equivalently reformulated as Jacobi's ratio theorem which expresses minors of an inverse matrix in terms of minors of the original matrix. The formulations of the theorems are exactly the same as in the commutative case.

In our argument, we prefer to formulate the theorems, their corollaries, and discuss their equivalence first. However, we will provide proofs of each of the two theorems, (see pages 32, 33 respectively) since these are quite different in flavor.

Theorem 2 Consider an $n \times n$ Manin matrix M . Let us denote by A, B, C, D its submatrices defined by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k \times k} & B_{k \times n-k} \\ C_{n-k \times k} & D_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (124)$$

where $k < n$. Assume that M, A, D are invertible on both sides, i.e. $\exists M^{-1}, A^{-1}, \exists D^{-1}$:

$$M^{-1}M = MM^{-1} = 1, \quad A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = 1, \quad D^{-1}D = DD^{-1} = 1.$$

Then:

• It holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \det^{\text{column}}(M) &= \det^{\text{column}} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \det^{\text{column}}(A) \det^{\text{column}}(D - CA^{-1}B) = \det^{\text{column}}(D) \det^{\text{column}}(A - BD^{-1}C). \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

• The matrices $(A - BD^{-1}C)$ and $(D - CA^{-1}B)$ are Manin matrices.

Remark 22 Matrices $(D - CA^{-1}B)$, $(A - BD^{-1}C)$ are called "Schur's complements". Also, notice that Schur's formula is exactly that of the usual commutative case.

Proposition 10 *The following more detailed statements hold, as it can be deduced from the proof of Theorem 2.*

$$\begin{aligned} \det^{\text{column}}(M) &= \\ &= \det^{\text{column}}(A)\det^{\text{column}}(D - CA^{-1}B), \quad \text{if } \exists A^{-1} : AA^{-1} = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

$$= \det^{\text{column}}(D)\det^{\text{column}}(A - BD^{-1}C), \quad \text{if } \exists D^{-1} : DD^{-1} = 1, \quad (127)$$

$$= \det^{\text{column reverse order}}(D - CA^{-1}B)\det^{\text{column}}(A), \quad \text{if } \exists A^{-1} : A^{-1}A = 1, \quad (128)$$

$$= \det^{\text{column reverse order}}(A - BD^{-1}C)\det^{\text{column}}(D), \quad \text{if } \exists D^{-1} : D^{-1}D = 1. \quad (129)$$

We recall that $\det^{\text{column reverse order}}C = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^\sigma \prod_{i=n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 1} C_{\sigma(i) i}$, while \det^{col} is defined via the natural order: $\prod_{i=1, 2, \dots, n}$.

Corollary 2 *Assume M is a Manin matrix, then:*

$$\det^{\text{column}}(M)\det^{\text{column}}(M^{-1}) = 1, \quad \text{if } \exists M^{-1} : MM^{-1} = 1, \quad (130)$$

$$\det^{\text{column reverse order}}(M^{-1})\det^{\text{column}}(M) = 1, \quad \text{if } \exists M^{-1} : M^{-1}M = 1. \quad (131)$$

And so if M is two-sided invertible, then $\det(M)$ is two-sided invertible and

$$\det(M)^{-1} = \det(M^{-1}). \quad (132)$$

Proof of the Corollary. Assume that $\exists M^{-1} : MM^{-1} = 1$, consider the $2n \times 2n$ matrix below and apply formula 126:

$$(-1)^{n^2} = \det^{\text{column}} \begin{pmatrix} M & 1_{n \times n} \\ 1_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{by (125)}}{=} \det^{\text{column}}(M)\det^{\text{column}}(-M^{-1}). \quad (133)$$

From this one concludes the first statement. Similar arguments prove the second. \square

Theorem 2 can be reformulated in the form called "Jacobi's ratio theorem"¹² :

Theorem 3 *Consider a Manin matrix M that admits a left and right inverse M^{-1} . Let $\det^{\text{col}}(M_{I,J}^{-1})$ be the minor of M^{-1} indexed by $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$; then*

$$\det^{\text{col}}(M_{I,J}^{-1}) = (-1)^{\sum_i i_i + \sum_i j_i} (\det^{\text{col}}(M))^{-1} \det^{\text{col}}(M_{(1, \dots, n) \setminus J, (1, \dots, n) \setminus I}), \quad (134)$$

where $\det^{\text{col}}(M_{(1, \dots, n) \setminus J, (1, \dots, n) \setminus I})$ is the minor of the matrix M indexed by the complementary set of indices.

In other words: any minor of M^{-1} equals, up to a sign, to the product of $(\det^{\text{col}} M)^{-1}$ and the corresponding complementary minor of the transpose of M ¹³.

Equivalence of Schur's and Jacobi ratio theorems. The two theorems are actually equivalent. To see this we need to recall the following standard lemma, that holds without any assumptions on commutativity of the matrix elements and matrix blocks involved.

¹² main formula (134) has been also proved for Manin matrices of the form $1 - tM$, t is a formal parameter, in the remarkable paper by M. Konvalinka [Ko07A] (see theorem 5.2 page 13). His proof is based on combinatorics.

¹³One should pay attention to the "transposition" of indexes: the minor of M^{-1} is indexed by I, J , but the minor of M is indexed by $(1, \dots, n) \setminus J$ then $(1, \dots, n) \setminus I$.

Lemma 12 Assume that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible from both sides, as well as its submatrices A and D . Then the matrices $(A - BD^{-1}C), (D - CA^{-1}B)$ are also invertible from both sides, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & -A^{-1}B(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (135)$$

Sketch of the Proof. The Lemma follows from the factorization formulas below:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ CA^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix} = \quad (136)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A - BD^{-1}C & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ D^{-1}C & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (137)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ 0 & Z \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{-1} & -X^{-1}YZ^{-1} \\ 0 & Z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (138)$$

The lemma is proved. \square

The equivalence of the two theorems can be given as follows. Consider the set of indexes I, J in Jacobi's ratio theorem to be $I = (1, 2, \dots, k), J = (1, 2, \dots, k)$. Denote the corresponding submatrices $M_{I,J} = A, M_{(1,2,\dots,n)\setminus I, (1,2,\dots,n)\setminus J} = D$, and so on and so forth, i.e., write

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A_{k \times k} & B_{k \times n-k} \\ C_{n-k \times k} & D_{n-k \times n-k} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (139)$$

Assume Jacobi's ratio theorem holds true. This in particular means that M^{-1} is a Manin matrix if M is one. According to formula (135), $M_{I,J}^{-1} = (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}$, so we conclude that $(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}$ is a Manin matrix, since it is a submatrix of the Manin matrix M^{-1} . Hence $(A - BD^{-1}C)$ is a Manin matrix as well by the first claim of Jacobi ratio theorem. Similarly, $(D - CA^{-1}B)$ is a Manin matrix. So the second conclusion of theorem 2 is derived from Jacobi's ratio theorem.

In order to derive formulas (125) in theorem 2 from Jacobi's ratio theorem we only observe the following. For the case $I = (1, 2, \dots, k), J = (1, 2, \dots, k)$ it is true that $M_{I,J}^{-1} = (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}$ by (135). So Jacobi's ratio formula (134) reads, in this case

$$\det((A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}) = (\det(M))^{-1} \det(D).$$

Since $(A - BD^{-1}C)$ is a Manin matrix, $\det((A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}) = (\det(A - BD^{-1}C))^{-1}$ we arrive at the first claim in Theorem 2.

Thus we have derived Schur's complement theorem 2 from Jacobi's ratio theorem.

Let us do the converse. Assume that the Schur's complement theorem 2 is true for a Manin matrix M , with a (right and left) inverse M^{-1} . Construct the $2n \times 2n$ block Manin matrix:

$$M^{ext} = \begin{pmatrix} M & 1_{n \times n} \\ 1_{n \times n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (140)$$

its Schur's complement $D - CA^{-1}B$ is precisely $-M^{-1}$. So from theorem 2 we conclude that M^{-1} is a Manin matrix. Also, we can see that $\det(M^{-1}) = (\det(M))^{-1}$, indeed $(-1)^{n^2} = \det(M^{ext}) \stackrel{\text{by (134)}}{=} \det(M^{-1}) \det(M)$.

$\det(M)\det(-M^{-1})$, and $(-1)^{n^2} = (-1)^n$. So the first part of Jacobi's ratio theorem holds. To derive the second claim for the case $I = (1, 2, \dots, k)$, $J = (1, 2, \dots, k)$ one uses the same arguments as in the discussion after formula (139). The statement for arbitrary sets of indexes follows from this special case by changing the order of rows and columns, and taking into account that changing the order of rows in M implies change of order of columns in M^{-1} , and vice versa.

Equivalence of Schur's and Jacobi ratio theorems is established. \square

5.2.1 Proof of the Schur's complement theorem.

Proof 1 Let us prove the theorem 2 page 29. Namely, we first prove the formula (126) and (127) page 30 which are the more refined statements of the first claim (formula 125) in theorem 2. The proof uses the same idea as in the commutative case and proposition 8 page 27.

Let us consider the standard decomposition¹⁴

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -A^{-1}B \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}. \quad (141)$$

By proposition 8 page 27 one gets the first equality: $\det^{\text{col}} M = \det^{\text{col}}(A)\det^{\text{col}}(D - CA^{-1}B)$. This is desired formula 126 page 30.

To prove the second equality ($\det(M) = \det^{\text{column}}(D)\det^{\text{column}}(A - BD^{-1}C)$ formula 127 page 30) one observes that, since M is Manin,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{nk} \det \begin{pmatrix} B & A \\ D & C \end{pmatrix}. \quad (142)$$

by column transposition.

Now we can change the order of rows, (which is possible for the column-determinant of any matrix) to get

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} B & A \\ D & C \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{nk} \det \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}. \quad (143)$$

So we one gets:

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} = \det^{\text{column}}(D)\det^{\text{column}}(A - BD^{-1}C). \quad (144)$$

The last equality holds to a factorization analogous to the one of eq. (141).

To prove the remaining formulas 128, 129 page 30 one uses the same arguments as above for the decomposition:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -CA^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D - CA^{-1}B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (145)$$

where now A^{-1} is a left inverse of A .

We are left with proving that the Schur's complements $(A - BD^{-1}C)$, $(D - CA^{-1}B)$ are Manin matrices. To do this we recall the Lemma 12 page 31:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & -A^{-1}B(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \\ -D^{-1}C(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1} & (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (146)$$

¹⁴Observe that there is no need in any commutativity constrains, but only existence of the (right) inverse of the upper left block A .

From theorem 1 page 25 we know that M^{-1} is a Manin matrix, if M is a Manin matrix and M is two sided invertible. Trivially any submatrix of a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix. So we conclude that $(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}$ and $(D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1}$ are Manin matrices. Applying theorem 1 again we conclude that $(A - BD^{-1}C)$ and $(D - CA^{-1}B)$ are Manin matrices. \square

5.2.2 Proof 2. (Proof of the Jacobi Ratio Theorem via quasideterminants)

Let us now give a proof of Jacobi's ratio theorem 3 page 30 . Our proof is quite simple and non-computational, the arguments being borrowed from: (D. Krob, B. Leclerc) [KL94] theorem 3.2 page 17, and the theory of quasideterminants [GGRW02]. Roughly speaking it goes as follows: one represents the determinants appearing in Jacobi's formula (3) as a product of quasiminors by lemma 8 page 23 (essentially by Cramer's rule). Using lemma 13 (to be proven below) below, the result then follows from Cramer's rule. Of course, we treat (and use) the fact that M^{-1} is also a Manin matrix as already established (theorem 1 page 25).

Proof. First, let us recall the following lemma, which is called noncommutative Jacobi's ratio theorem ([GR91], [GR97] theorem 1.3.3 page 8, [KL94] theorem 2.4 page 8) or inversion law for quasiminors ([GGRW02] theorem 1.5.4 page 19):

Lemma 13 *For an arbitrary invertible matrix A (not necessarily a Manin matrix), it is true that the (ji) -th quasiminor of A^{-1} is the inverse of the "almost" complementary (ij) -th quasiminor of M . Here by almost complementary we mean the complementary united with the i -th row and j -th column.*

$$|A_{P,Q}^{-1}|_{ij} = |A_{\{1\dots n\}-P \cup i, \{1\dots n\}-Q \cup j}|_{ji}^{-1}, \quad (147)$$

where $A_{I,J}$ is a submatrix indexed by index sets I, J .

In particular for $P = Q = 1, \dots, k$ and $i = j = k$:

$$\begin{vmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & \dots & A_{1k}^{-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{k1}^{-1} & \dots & \boxed{A_{kk}^{-1}} \end{vmatrix}_{kk} = \begin{vmatrix} \boxed{A_{kk}} & \dots & A_{kn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{nk} & \dots & A_{nn} \end{vmatrix}_{kk}^{-1}. \quad (148)$$

The proof of this lemma quite readily follows from lemma 12 page 31 \square .

Coming back to the Jacobi ratio theorem, we need to prove:

$$\det^{col}(M_{I,J}^{-1}) = (-1)^{\sum_i i_i + \sum_i j_i} (\det^{col}(M))^{-1} \det^{col}(M_{(1,\dots,n)-J, (1,\dots,n)-I}), \quad (149)$$

Possibly changing the order of rows and columns (which is possible for M and M^{-1} since both are Manin matrices (theorem 1 page 25)) we reduce this identity to the case $I = J = \{1, \dots, k\}$:

$$\det^{col}(M_k^{-1}) = (\det^{col}(M))^{-1} \det^{col}(M_{\setminus k}), \quad (150)$$

where M_k^{-1} is the submatrix of M^{-1} made of the first k rows and columns, and $M_{\setminus k}$ is the submatrix of M made of the last $(n - k)$ rows and columns. One should pay attention to the fact that changing the order of rows implies changing the order of columns of the inverse matrix; this implies a possible sign factor and explains the signs and transposition of index sets in formula (149).

By Theorem 1 page 25 M^{-1} is also a Manin matrix, so we can use Cramer's rule 6 page 21 for it, as well as for M itself.

$$\det^{col}(M_k^{-1}) = \tag{151}$$

$$\text{Let us multiply this by } 1 = \prod_{i=1, \dots, k-1} \det(M_i^{-1}) / \det(M_i^{-1}) \tag{152}$$

$$= M_{11}^{-1} (M_{11}^{-1} \det(M_2^{-1})) (\det(M_2^{-1})^{-1} \det(M_3^{-1})) \dots (\det(M_{k-1}^{-1})^{-1} \det(M_k^{-1})) = \tag{153}$$

$$\text{By Cramer's rule: } |M_k^{-1}|_{kk} = \det(M_{k-1}^{-1})^{-1} \det(M_k^{-1}) \tag{154}$$

$$= M_{11}^{-1} |M_2^{-1}|_{22} |M_3^{-1}|_{33} \dots |M_k^{-1}|_{kk} = \tag{155}$$

by Lemma 13

$$= |M|_{11}^{-1} |M|_{\setminus 1|22}^{-1} |M|_{\setminus 2|33}^{-1} \dots |M|_{\setminus k-1|kk}^{-1} = \tag{156}$$

by Cramer's rule for M

$$= (\det^{col}(M)^{-1} \det^{col}(M_{\setminus 1})) (\det^{col}(M_{\setminus 1})^{-1} \det^{col}(M_{\setminus 2})) \dots (\det^{col}(M_{\setminus k-1})^{-1} \det^{col}(M_{\setminus k})) = \tag{157}$$

by chain cancelation:

$$= \det^{col}(M)^{-1} \det^{col}(M_{\setminus k}). \tag{158}$$

Formula (150) is proved, hence theorem is proved. \square

5.3 The Weinstein-Aronszajn formula

Proposition 11 *Let A, B be $n \times k$ and $k \times n$ Manin matrices with pairwise commuting elements: $\forall i, j, k, l : [A_{ij}, B_{kl}] = 0$, then*

$$\det^{col}(\mathbf{1}_{n \times n} - AB) = \det^{col}(\mathbf{1}_{k \times k} - BA). \tag{159}$$

Proof. Consider the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{k \times k} & B \\ A & \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{160}$$

it is clearly a Manin matrix. Applying formula 125 page 29 one obtains the result. \square

Remark 23 . The name Weinstein-Aronszajn formula comes from [Kato] Chapter 4, section 6, in the analysis of finite rank perturbation of operators in (infinite dimensional) Hilbert spaces. The formula is used in the theory of integrable systems (H. Flaschka, J. Millson [FM01] page 23 section 6.1, K. Takasaki [Tak02] page 10), as follows. One considers the matrix

$$M_n := \mathbf{1}_{n \times n} - \sum_{\alpha=1}^k x_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha, \quad x_\alpha, y_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

It can be considered as a perturbation of the identity operator by means of the k rank 1 operators $x_\alpha \otimes y_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, k$. The Weinstein- Aronszajn formula reads

$$\det(M_n) = \det(\mathbf{1}_{k \times k} - S_k)$$

where S_k is the $k \times k$ matrix whose element $S_{\alpha, \beta}$ is the "scalar" product (x_α, y_β) .

To get this form from our result, one simply sets B to be the matrix whose α -th row is x_α , and A is the matrix whose β -th column is y_β in the expression (160).

Thus Proposition (11) holds for this case of Manin matrices as well.

5.4 Sylvester's determinantal identity

Sylvester's identity is a classical determinantal identity (e.g. I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson [GGRW02] theorem 1.5.3 page 18). Using combinatorial methods it has been generalized for Manin matrices of the form $1 + M$ and their's q -analogs by (M. Konvalinka) [Ko07B]. Here (following the classical paper by E. H. Bareiss [Ba68]) we show that the identity easily follows from the Schur formula above (theorem 2 page 29).

Let us first recall the commutative case:

Theorem 4 (*Commutative Sylvester's identity.*) *Let A be a matrix $(a_{ij})_{m \times m}$; take $n < i, j \leq m$; denote:*

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_{i*} = (a_{i1} \ a_{i2} \ \cdots \ a_{in}), \quad a_{*j} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1j} \\ a_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{nj} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (161)$$

Define the $(m - n) \times (m - n)$ matrix B as follows:

$$B_{ij} = \det \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & a_{*j} \\ a_{i*} & a_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = (B_{ij})_{n+1 \leq i, j \leq m}. \quad (162)$$

Then

$$\det B = \det A \cdot (\det A_0)^{m-n-1}. \quad (163)$$

Theorem 5 (*Sylvester's identity for Manin matrices.*) *Let M be $m \times m$ a Manin matrix with right and left inverse; take $n < i, j \leq m$ and denote:*

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} & \cdots & M_{1n} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} & \cdots & M_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n1} & M_{n2} & \cdots & M_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{i*} = (M_{i1} \ M_{i2} \ \cdots \ M_{in}), \quad M_{*j} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1j} \\ M_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ M_{nj} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (164)$$

Define the $(m - n) \times (m - n)$ matrix B as follows:

$$B_{ij} = (\det(M_0))^{-1} \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} M_0 & M_{*j} \\ M_{i*} & M_{ij} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = (B_{ij})_{n+1 \leq i, j \leq m}. \quad (165)$$

Then the matrix B is a Manin matrix and

$$\det B = (\det M_0)^{-1} \cdot \det M. \quad (166)$$

Remark 24 Formula (166) reduces to (163) in the commutative case. In the noncommutative case, the Sylvester identity holds in the form (166).

Proof. Once chosen M_0 , we consider the resulting block decomposition of M ,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_0 & M_1 \\ M_2 & M_3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (167)$$

The key observation is the following:

Lemma 14 *The matrix B defined by (165) equals to the Schur complement matrix: $M_0 - M_2(M_3)^{-1}M_1$.*

To see this, we need to use Schur complement theorem 2 page 29 again:

$$B_{ij} = (\det(M_0))^{-1} \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} M_0 & M_{*j} \\ M_{i*} & M_{ij} \end{pmatrix} = (\det(M_0))^{-1} \cdot ((\det(M_0))(M_{ij} - M_{i*}M_0^{-1}M_{*j})) = \quad (168)$$

$$(M_{ij} - M_{i*}M_0^{-1}M_{*j}) = (M_0 - M_2(M_3)^{-1}M_1)_{ij}. \quad (169)$$

In particular, we used the Schur formula $\det(M) = \det(A)\det(D - CA^{-1}B)$ for blocks $M_0 = A$, $M_{*j} = B$, $M_{i*} = C$, $M_{ij} = D$, the last being a 1×1 matrix. So the lemma is proved.

The theorem follows from the lemma immediately; indeed, $B = (M_0 - M_2(M_3)^{-1}M_1)$ is a Manin matrix, since a Schur complement is a Manin matrix by theorem 2 page 29. $\det B = (\det M_0)^{-1} \cdot \det M$ follows from the formula for determinant of the Schur complements. \square

Remark 25 *Bibliographical notes.* Sylvester's identity for quasi-determinants has been found in [GR91] (see also (I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson) [GGRW02] theorem 1.5.2 page 18). The generalization to quantum matrices in (D. Krob, B. Leclerc) [KL94] theorem 3.5 page 18. Using combinatorial methods it has been generalized for Manin matrices of the form $1 + M$ and their's q-analogs in (M. Konvalinka) [Ko07B]. The identity for Yangians and q-affine algebras can be found in section 2.12 page 18 (A. I. Molev) [Mol02], section 1.12 [MBook07] and section 3 page 8 (M.J. Hopkins, A.I. Molev) [MH06] respectively; for twisted Yangians in section 3 page 15 (A.I. Molev) [Mol04], section 2.14 [MBook07]. These facts are used in the so-called centralizer construction for the corresponding algebras and have some other applications. Commutative version of the identity is discussed in various texts (e.g. [MG85]), we followed (E. H. Bareiss) [Ba68].

5.5 Application to numeric matrices

Let us discuss a corollary on a calculation of the usual determinants of specific numeric matrices, which in principle might provide faster algorithm for calculating the determinant of such matrices.

Proposition 12 *Consider $nm \times nm$ matrix \tilde{M} with elements in a commutative ring K . Divide it into $m \times m$ square blocks. Denote by M an $n \times n$ matrix over $Mat_m(K)$, which matrix elements are corresponding blocks of \tilde{M} . Assume M is an $n \times n$ Manin matrix over $Mat_m(K)$. Then the determinant of \tilde{M} can be calculated in two steps: first one calculates $n \times n$ -column-determinant of a corresponding $n \times n$ -matrix M over $Mat_m(K)$, this determinant is itself an $m \times m$ matrix B over K , second one calculates the determinant of B in the usual sense:*

$$\det_{nm \times nm}(\tilde{M}) = \det_{m \times m}(\det_{n \times n}^{col}(M)), \quad (170)$$

we denoted by $\det_{r \times r}$ determinants of $r \times r$ -matrices.

Clearly such a formula is not true in general without assumption that M is a Manin matrix.

Example 5 *Let $n = 2$, so we consider $2m \times 2m$ matrix over K which is divided into 4 blocks of size $m \times m$, and it is a 2×2 Manin matrix over $Mat_m(K)$ (i.e. $[a, c] = [b, d] = 0$, $[a, d] = [c, b]$), then:*

$$\det_{2m \times 2m} \begin{pmatrix} a_{m \times m} & b_{m \times m} \\ c_{m \times m} & d_{m \times m} \end{pmatrix} = \det_{m \times m}(a_{m \times m}d_{m \times m} - c_{m \times m}b_{m \times m}). \quad (171)$$

Proof. Let us fix m and prove by induction in n . For $n = 1$ the statement is tautology.

Consider general n . Let us assume that $\det_{nm \times nm}(\tilde{M}) \neq 0$ and so it is two-sided invertible, otherwise it is quite easy to see that the proposition is true.

Let us denote by B, C, D blocks of the matrix M :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (172)$$

here M_{ij} are themselves $m \times m$ matrices over K . We may assume that M_{11} is invertible, otherwise one should make a permutation of rows or columns. The Schur complement formula (125) page 29 can be applied for matrices over commutative rings:

$$\det_{nm \times nm}(\tilde{M}) = \det_{m \times m}(M_{11}) \det_{(n-1)m \times (n-1)m}(D - CM_{11}^{-1}B), \quad (173)$$

on the other hand M is a Manin matrix over $Mat_m(K)$, so we can use the Schur complement formula in the following way:

$$\det_{n \times n}^{col}(M) = M_{11} \det_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}^{col}(D - CM_{11}^{-1}B), \quad (174)$$

so

$$\det_{m \times m}(\det_{n \times n}^{col}(M)) = \det_{m \times m}(M_{11} \det_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}^{col}(D - CM_{11}^{-1}B)) = \quad (175)$$

$$= \det_{m \times m}(M_{11}) \det_{m \times m}(\det_{(n-1) \times (n-1)}^{col}(D - CM_{11}^{-1}B)) = \quad (176)$$

by theorem 2 page 29 on Schur complements for Manin matrices one knows that $(D - CM_{11}^{-1}B)$ is a Manin matrix, so by the induction:

$$= \det_{m \times m}(M_{11}) \det_{(n-1)m \times (n-1)m}(D - CM_{11}^{-1}B). \quad (177)$$

This coincides with (173), so the proposition is proved. \square

Remark 26 Taking $R = Mat_m(K)$ and considering examples 66 page 18 one obtains examples of matrices of the form required in the proposition. It is however still unclear to us whether such block-Manin matrices may appear in practical numerical applications.

6 Cauchy-Binet formulae and Capelli-type identities

We have already discussed (proposition 4 page 14) that $\det^{col}(MY) = \det^{col}(M)\det^{col}(Y)$ if $[M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = 0$ and M is a Manin matrix, and actually one can prove in the same way, the Cauchy-Binet formulae: $\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ}) = \sum_L \det^{col}(M_{IL})\det^{col}(Y_{LJ})$. In a recent remarkable paper S. Caracciolo, A. Sportiello, A. Sokal [CSS08] found an unexpected noncommutative analogue of the Cauchy-Binet formulae for Manin matrices, for the case $[M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] \neq 0$ – but subjected to obey certain conditions. Remark that the left hand side of their formulæ contains a correction: $\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ} + H)$. As a particular case of their identity one obtains the classical Capelli and related identities. This section is based on our interpretation of [CSS08]; we shall give a generalization of their results and provide a different and, according to us, more transparent proofs. Also, we obtain similar formulas for permanents. Our main tool is use of the Grassman algebra for calculations with the determinants and respectively polynomial algebra for the case of the permanents. The condition

found in [CSS08] has a natural reformulation in terms of these algebras and implies that certain expressions (anti)commute, as if it would be a commutative case (see lemmas 19,20 page 44 and lemma 24 page 50).

Here and below we use the following quite standard notations.

Notation 1 Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix. Consider multi-indexes $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{r_1})$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_{r_2})$. We denote by A_{IJ} the following $r_1 \times r_2$ matrix:

$$(A_{IJ})_{ab} = \begin{cases} A_{i_a j_b}, & i_a \leq n, j_b \leq m \\ 0, & i_a > n \text{ or } j_b > m \end{cases} \quad (178)$$

Note that I, J are not assumed to be ordered and any number α may occur several times in sequences I, J .

Example 6 Even if A is 1×1 matrix, we can construct $2 \times 2, \dots$ matrices from it:

$$A_{(11)(11)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11} \\ A_{11} & A_{11} \end{pmatrix}, A_{(12)(11)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (179)$$

Notation 2 Consider some elements ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n and an $n \times o$ matrix A , for brevity we denote by ψ_i^A the element $\sum_{k=1, \dots, n} \psi_k A_{ki}$ i.e. just the application of the matrix A to row-vector (ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n) :

$$(\psi_1^A, \dots, \psi_m^A) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)A. \quad (180)$$

Vice versa: if we have some elements ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n and $\psi_1^A, \dots, \psi_m^A$ we denote by A , such a matrix that $(\psi_1^A, \dots, \psi_m^A) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)A$.

6.1 Grassman algebra condition for Cauchy-Binet formulae

Here we prove Cauchy-Binet formulae under certain conditions on matrices; furthermore we will derive results of [CSS08] as particular cases.

Consider an $n \times m$ matrix M and an $m \times s$ matrix Y with elements in some ring \mathcal{K} . Consider the Grassman algebra $\Lambda[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$ (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i \psi_j = -\psi_j \psi_i$), recall that we denote by $\psi_i^M = \sum_k \psi_k M_{ki} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \Lambda[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n]$. We will be interested in the situation where the matrices M, Y satisfy the following two conditions.

Condition 1.

$$\forall p, j \exists \psi_j^Q \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \Lambda[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n] : \quad \sum_{l=1, \dots, m} \psi_l^M [Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \psi_p^M \psi_j^Q. \quad (181)$$

Notice that we require that ψ_j^Q does not depend on p .

As usually we denote by Q the $n \times s$ matrix corresponding to the elements ψ_i^Q :

$$(\psi_1^Q, \dots, \psi_s^Q) = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)Q \quad (182)$$

Condition 2. ψ_i^M and ψ_j^Q anticommute:

$$\forall i, j : \quad \psi_i^M \psi_j^Q = -\psi_j^Q \psi_i^M. \quad (183)$$

Theorem 6 Assume that M is an $n \times m$ Manin matrix, Y is an $m \times s$ matrix (not necessarily Manin), and matrices M and Y satisfy conditions (181),(183) above. If $n = m = s$, then:

$$\det^{col}(MY + Q \operatorname{diag}(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \det^{col}(M)\det^{col}(Y), \quad (184)$$

where Q is a matrix corresponding to the elements ψ_i^Q according to formula (182) and the elements ψ_i^Q arise in the condition 1 above; by $\operatorname{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ we denote the matrix with a_i on the diagonal and 0's elsewhere.

More generally the following Cauchy-Binet formulae holds. Let $I = (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$; ¹⁵ be two multi-indexes $i_a \leq n, j_a \leq s, r \leq n, s$ then:

$$\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ} + Q_{IJ} \operatorname{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r \leq m)} \det^{col}(M_{IL})\det^{col}(Y_{LJ}), \quad (185)$$

here A_{IJ} is a matrix such that $(A_{IJ})_{ab} = A_{i_a j_b}$, (see the notation 1 page 38).

Before giving the proof of this formula, let us present some of its corollaries.

Corollary 3 Consider the case $m < n, s$,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & \dots & \dots & \dots & Y_{1s} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ Y_{m1} & \dots & \dots & \dots & Y_{ms} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (186)$$

then for any $r > m$:

$$\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ} + Q_{IJ} \operatorname{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = 0. \quad (187)$$

Indeed, for $r > m$ there is no such L that $(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r \leq m)$, and so there is no terms in the sum at the right hand side. It is the same as in the commutative case, where rank of MY is not more than m and so all minors of the size $r > m$ are zeros.

Corollary 4 Assume that the matrix Y is also a Manin matrix. Consider the matrix $(MY)^\sigma$ obtained as an arbitrary permutation σ of columns of MY , then

$$\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ}^\sigma + Q_{IJ}^\sigma \operatorname{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \quad (188)$$

$$= (-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)} \det^{col}((MY)_{IJ} + Q_{IJ} \operatorname{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)). \quad (189)$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that matrices M and Y^σ satisfy conditions 1 and 2 with the matrix Q^σ and $(MY)^\sigma = M(Y^\sigma)$, so using formulae (185) in the main theorem we obtain from the left hand side of (188) sum of terms $\det(M_{IL})\det(Y_{LJ}^\sigma)$, and from the right hand side we obtain $\det(M_{IL})\det(Y_{LJ})$, since Y is a Manin matrix, we see that they are equal up to $(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)}$.

Now, let us prove the theorem.

¹⁵conditions $j_a < j_b, j_a \neq j_b$ are not required

Proof.¹⁶ The equality (185) in the theorem can be reformulated (with the help of (192) below) in terms of the Grassman algebra as follows:

$$(\psi_{j_1}^{MY} + (r-1)\psi_{j_1}^Q)(\psi_{j_2}^{MY} + (r-2)\psi_{j_2}^Q)\dots(\psi_{j_r}^{MY}) = \quad (190)$$

$$= \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \sum_{I=(i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots < i_r)} \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_r} \det^{col}(M_{IL}) \det^{col}(Y_{LJ}). \quad (191)$$

The following formulas are well-known and obvious in the commutative case and extend to the noncommutative case without any problem.

Lemma 15 Consider Grassman variables ψ_i and an $n \times m$ matrix A ; multi-index $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)$ is arbitrary (i.e. it is not assumed that $j_a \neq j_b$, nor $j_a < j_b$).

Assume that ψ_i commute with A_{kl} , then:

$$\psi_{j_1}^A \psi_{j_2}^A \dots \psi_{j_r}^A = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r \leq n)} \psi_{l_1} \psi_{l_2} \dots \psi_{l_r} \det^{col}(A_{LJ}). \quad (192)$$

Without assumption $[\psi_i, A_{kl}] = 0$ we can write the same in the following way:

$$\sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_r: 1 \leq i_a \leq m)} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \dots \psi_{i_r} A_{i_1 j_1} A_{i_2 j_2} \dots A_{i_r j_r} = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r \leq n)} \psi_{l_1} \psi_{l_2} \dots \psi_{l_r} \det^{col}(A_{LJ}). \quad (193)$$

The expansion of the column determinant with respect to the first column implies the following:

$$\sum_{l_1=1, \dots, n} \psi_{l_1} \sum_{L^-(l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r \leq n)} \psi_{l_2} \dots \psi_{l_r} A_{l_1 j_1} \det^{col}(A_{L^- J^-}) = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r \leq n)} \psi_{l_1} \psi_{l_2} \dots \psi_{l_r} \det^{col}(A_{LJ}) \quad (194)$$

where $J^- = (j_2, j_3, \dots, j_r)$.

By (192) the right hand side of equality (190) can be also rewritten as:

$$\sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \psi_{l_1}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M \det^{col}(Y_{LJ}). \quad (195)$$

Transform the left hand side of (190):

$$(\psi_{j_1}^{MY} + (r-1)\psi_{j_1}^Q)(\psi_{j_2}^{MY} + \psi_{j_2}^Q)\dots(\psi_{j_r}^{MY} + \psi_{j_r}^Q) \stackrel{\text{induction and (195)}}{=} \quad (196)$$

$$= (\psi_{j_1}^{MY} + (r-1)\psi_{j_1}^Q) \left(\sum_{L^-(l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M \det^{col}(Y_{L^- J^-}) \right) =, \quad (197)$$

$\psi_{j_1}^{MY} = \sum_{l_1} \psi_{l_1}^M Y_{l_1 j_1}$, commuting $Y_{l_1 j_1}$ and $\psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M$, we get:

$$= \sum_{l_1} \sum_{L^-(l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \psi_{l_1}^M \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M Y_{l_1 j_1} \det^{col}(Y_{L^- J^-}) + \quad (198)$$

$$+ \sum_{L^-(l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \left(\sum_{l_1} \psi_{l_1}^M [Y_{l_1 j_1}, \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M] + (r-1)\psi_{j_1}^Q \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M \right) \det^{col}(Y_{L^- J^-}), \quad (199)$$

¹⁶ Proposition 14 page 51 is a toy model of the theorem above its extremely simple proof is actually a good illustration for the proof below

Lemma 16 *Conditions (181), (183) in the theorem above guarantee that*

$$\sum_{l_1} \psi_{l_1}^M [Y_{l_1 j_1}, \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M] + (r-1) \psi_{j_1}^Q \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M = 0. \quad (200)$$

Proof of the lemma. Let us transform the first term:

$$\sum_{l_1} \psi_{l_1}^M [Y_{l_1 j_1}, \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M] = \quad (201)$$

by the Leibniz rule:

$$= \sum_{l_1} \psi_{l_1}^M \sum_{p=2, \dots, r} \psi_{l_2}^M \dots [Y_{l_1 j_1}, \psi_{l_p}^M] \dots \psi_{l_r}^M = \quad (202)$$

using Manin's property (proposition 1 page 10) we know that ψ_i^M anticommute among themselves so we can move $\psi_{l_1}^M$ in front of $[Y_{l_1 j_1}, \psi_{l_p}^M]$ (gaining $(-1)^{p-2}$). Using condition (181) (i.e. $\sum_l \psi_l^M [Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \psi_p^M \psi_j^Q$) we get:

$$= (-1)^{p-2} \sum_{p=2, \dots, r} \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_p}^M \psi_{j_1}^Q \dots \psi_{l_r}^M = \quad (203)$$

using anticommutativity of ψ^M and ψ^Q (condition (183)), we put $\psi_{j_1}^Q$ in front of the expression and gain $(-1) = (-1)^{p-2}(-1)^{p-1}$:

$$= (-1) \psi_{j_1}^Q \sum_{p=2, \dots, r} \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_p}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M = \quad (204)$$

so in the sum $\sum_{p=2, \dots, r}$ we see all the terms are identically the same, so we have:

$$= (-1)(r-1) \psi_{j_1}^Q \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M. \quad (205)$$

Which is exactly the right hand side in the lemma. Lemma is proved. \square

So we continue (198):

$$= \sum_{l_1} \sum_{L^-(l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \psi_{l_1}^M \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M Y_{l_1 j_1} \det^{col}(Y_{L^- J^-}) + \quad (206)$$

$$+ 0 = \quad (207)$$

by formula (194) (i.e. column expansion of the determinant) we have:

$$= \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \psi_{l_1}^M \psi_{l_2}^M \dots \psi_{l_r}^M \det^{col}(Y_{LJ}) = \quad (208)$$

by (192)

$$= \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r)} \sum_{I=(i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots < i_r)} \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_r} \det^{col}(M_{IL}) \det^{col}(Y_{LJ}). \quad (209)$$

So we transformed the left hand side (190) to the right hand side of (190). Equality (190) is equivalent to desired formula (185) in the theorem. Hence the theorem is proved. \square

Question 1 Consider two Manin matrices M, Y , such that they satisfy conditions 1,2 above. Can one develop some linear algebra (Cramer rule, Cayley-Hamilton theorem, etc.) for MY (or $MY + Q$) ? We will see below that if Q is zero then MY is a Manin matrix, so the answer is affirmative. Also note that for the Capelli case (i.e. $M_{ij} = x_{ij}, Y_{ij} = \partial_{ji}$) it is also true.

6.2 No correction case and new Manin matrices

The conditions given above are easy to check for concrete pairs M, Y ; however it is not so clear how to parameterize all the solutions in a simple way. Let briefly discuss the simplest case.

The Theorem above has the following corollary:

Corollary 5 *Assume that M is a Manin matrix, and Y is such that the following is true:*

$$\forall p, j : \quad \sum_{l=1, \dots, m} \psi_l^M [Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = 0, \quad (210)$$

then:

$$\det^{col}(MY) = \det^{col}(M)\det^{col}(Y), \quad (211)$$

$$\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ}) = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots < l_r), l_r \leq m} \det^{col}(M_{IL})\det^{col}(Y_{LJ}). \quad (212)$$

Moreover if additionally Y is also a Manin matrix, then MY is a Manin matrix.

Proof. The requirement (210) implies that condition 1 page 38 is true for $\psi_i^Q = 0$. Zero anticommutes with everything, so condition 2 page 38 holds true as well. So we apply the theorem with the matrix Q equal to zero and obtain (211) and (212).

To prove that MY is a Manin matrix, it is enough to prove that ψ_j^{MY} anticommute. Indeed this is guaranteed by Manin's property (proposition 1 page 10).

$$\psi_{j_1}^{MY} \psi_{j_2}^{MY} \stackrel{\text{by (192)}}{=} \sum_{i_1, i_2} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \det^{col}((MY)_{(i_1 i_2)(j_1 j_2)}) = \quad (213)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by (212)}}{=} \sum_{i_1, i_2} \sum_{l_1, l_2} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \det^{col}((M)_{(i_1 i_2)(l_1 l_2)}) \det^{col}((Y)_{(l_1 l_2)(j_1 j_2)}) = \quad (214)$$

Y is a Manin matrix, so the determinant changes the sign after interchange of columns:

$$= - \sum_{i_1, i_2} \sum_{l_1, l_2} \psi_{i_1} \psi_{i_2} \det^{col}((M)_{(i_1 i_2)(l_1 l_2)}) \det^{col}((Y)_{(l_1 l_2)(j_2 j_1)}) \quad (215)$$

making the transformations in the reverse order we come to:

$$= -\psi_{j_2}^{MY} \psi_{j_1}^{MY}. \quad (216)$$

So $\psi_{j_2}^{MY}$ anticommute, so by Manin's property (proposition 1 page 10) MY is a Manin matrix. \square

Let us reformulate condition (210) in several ways.

It is easy to see that (210) is equivalent to:

$$\sum_{l=1, \dots, m} M_{al} [Y_{lj}, M_{bp}] - M_{bl} [Y_{lj}, M_{ap}] = 0. \quad (217)$$

Lemma 17 *Assume that we can find such matrices A_{**}^{pj} , that:*

$$\forall l, p, j : \quad [Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \sum_v \psi_v^M A_{vl}^{pj}, \quad (218)$$

then it is straight-forward to see that condition (210) is equivalent to:

$$\forall p, j : \quad A_{vl}^{pj} = A_{lv}^{pj}, \quad (219)$$

i.e. $\forall p, j$ matrix A_{**}^{pj} is symmetric. This is quite transparent condition, however some non-explicitness is hidden in the matrices A^{pj} .

The simplest is the following:

Lemma 18 Assume that we can find elements f_{lpj} , such that

$$\forall l, p, j : \quad [Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \psi_l^M f_{lpj}, \quad (220)$$

then condition (210) is obviously satisfied.

This case corresponds to the previous with A^{pj} being some diagonal matrices.

Example 7 Consider $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}]$, the matrix M : $M_{ij} = x_{ij}$, and the the operators $R_{lp} = \sum_k x_{kl} \partial_{kp}$. One can easily see that $[R_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \delta_{pj} \psi_l^M$. Consider the matrices M, Y :

$$M_{ij} = x_{ij}, Y_{lj} = \sum_p f_{lpj}(x_{ij}) R_{lp}, \quad (221)$$

then one can see that they satisfy the condition 220 above. So $\det(MY) = \det(M)\det(Y)$ and more generally Cauchy-Binet formulae holds true.

6.3 Capelli-Caracciolo-Sportiello-Sokal case

Let first recall the Capelli identity [Ca1887], then its remarkable generalization (S. Caracciolo, A. Sportiello, A. Sokal) [CSS08] and explain how it can be naturally derived within our formalism.

Consider the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}]$, and the matrices:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n1} & \dots & x_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{11}} & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{s1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1m}} & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{sm}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (222)$$

Theorem 7 (A. Capelli [Ca1887]) If $n = m = s$, then:

$$\det^{col}(MY + \text{diag}(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \det^{col}(M)\det^{col}(Y). \quad (223)$$

And more generally (n, m, s are arbitrary) the following Cauchy-Binet formulae holds true. Let $I = (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, be two multi-indices $i_a \leq n, j_a \leq s, r \leq n, s$ then:

$$\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ} + \text{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_r)} \det^{col}(M_{IL})\det^{col}(Y_{LJ}). \quad (224)$$

Recently the following unexpected and general result which includes the Capelli identity as a particular case has been obtained. It concerns matrices satisfying certain commutation condition:

Definition 10 Let us say that two matrices M, Y of sizes $n \times m$ and $m \times s$ respectively satisfy the Caracciolo-Sportiello-Sokal condition (CSS-condition for brevity), if the following is true:

$$[M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = -\delta_{jk} Q_{il}, \quad (225)$$

for some elements Q_{il} .

Or "in words": elements in j -th column of M commute with elements in k -th row of Y unless $j = k$, and in this case commutator of the elements M_{ik} and Y_{kl} depends only on i, l , but does not depend on k . (See [CSS08] formula (1.14) page 4, our Y is *transpose* to their B).

Theorem 8 ([CSS08] proposition 1.2' page 4.) Assume M is $n \times m$ Manin matrix, Y is $m \times s$ matrix (not necessarily Manin), and matrices M and Y satisfy CSS-condition, then.

If $n = m = s$:

$$\det^{col}(MY + Q \text{diag}(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \det^{col}(M)\det^{col}(Y), \quad (226)$$

where matrix Q matrix with elements Q_{ij} .

More generally for arbitrary n, m, s the following Cauchy-Binet formulae holds true. Let $I = (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, be two multi-indexes $i_a \leq n, j_a \leq s, r \leq n, s$ then:

$$\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ} + Q_{IJ} \text{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_r)} \det^{col}(M_{IL})\det^{col}(Y_{LJ}). \quad (227)$$

Proof. Let us show that CSS-theorem naturally arises from our theorem 6 page 39 . To do this we need to check conditions 1 and 2 page 38. Let us recall the notations: ψ_i are Grassman variables (i.e. $\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i \psi_j = -\psi_j \psi_i$); ψ_i commute with M_{ij} and Y_{kl} . By ψ_i^M we denote $\sum_k \psi_k M_{ki}$ (see notation 2 page 38).

Condition 1 page 38 reads:

$$\forall p, j \exists \psi_j^Q \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \Lambda[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n] : \sum_{l=1, \dots, m} \psi_l^M [Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \psi_p^M \psi_j^Q. \quad (228)$$

What is the simplest and most natural way to obtain that $\sum_l \psi_l^M A_l$ be proportional to ψ_p^M ? The answer is clear: $A_l = \delta_{lp} B_l$, so let us require, that there exists ψ_j^Q such that:

$$[Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \delta_{lp} \psi_j^Q. \quad (229)$$

Lemma 19 It is easy to see that condition (229) is exactly equivalent to CSS-condition (225).

So CSS-condition implies that condition 1 page 38 is satisfied for matrices M, Y .

Now, an unexpected fact holds true:

Lemma 20 The CSS-condition automatically implies that condition 2 page 38 is also satisfied. (i.e. ψ_i^M and ψ_j^Q anticommute).

Proof of the lemma. If $n = 1$, then $0 = \psi_i^M \psi_j^Q = -\psi_j^Q \psi_i^M$, so anticommutativity holds by trivial reasons. Assume $n > 1$, take: $l \neq i$ (it is possibly since $n > 1$), use (229): $\psi_i^Q = [Y_{lj}, \psi_l^M]$:

$$\psi_i^M \psi_j^h + \psi_j^h \psi_i^M = \psi_i^M [Y_{lj}, \psi_l^M] + [Y_{lj}, \psi_l^M] \psi_i^M = \quad (230)$$

$$= \psi_i^M Y_{lj} \psi_l^M - \psi_i^M \psi_l^M Y_{lj} + Y_{lj} \psi_l^M \psi_i^M - \psi_l^M Y_{lj} \psi_i^M = \quad \text{use (229): } [\psi_i^M, Y_{lj}] = 0 \quad (231)$$

$$= Y_{lj} \psi_i^M \psi_l^M - \psi_i^M \psi_l^M Y_{lj} + Y_{lj} \psi_l^M \psi_i^M - \psi_l^M Y_{lj} \psi_i^M = \quad (232)$$

by proposition 1 page 10 ψ_i^M anticommute, so cancel $Y_{lj}\psi_i^M\psi_l^M + Y_{lj}\psi_l^M\psi_i^M$:

$$= -\psi_i^M\psi_l^MY_{lj} - \psi_l^MY_{lj}\psi_i^M = \tag{233}$$

by Manin's property (proposition 1 page 10) ψ_i^M anticommute, so:

$$= \psi_l^M\psi_i^MY_{lj} - \psi_l^MY_{lj}\psi_i^M = \psi_l^M[\psi_i^M, Y_{lj}] \stackrel{\text{by (229)}}{=} 0. \tag{234}$$

Lemma is proved. \square

So CSS-condition implies both conditions in our theorem 6 page 39. So the CSS theorem follows from theorem 6 above. \square

Example 8 It is easy to see that for arbitrary functions $f_{ij}(x_{11}, \dots, x_{nm})$ the matrices below satisfy the CSS-condition:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n1} & \dots & x_{nm} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{11}} + f_{11}(x_{ij}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n1}} + f_{n1}(x_{ij}) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1m}} + f_{1m}(x_{ij}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{nm}} + f_{nm}(x_{ij}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{235}$$

and so we can apply the theorem for them.

Remark 27 It is obvious that the Capelli identity is a particular case of the CSS-theorem. The first one has been widely studied and generalized (see (R. Howe and T. Umeda) [HU91] for classical reference on the subject, and [CSS08] for quite a complete list of references), however, all the generalizations have been related with the Lie algebras, super algebras, quantum groups. It seems it was widely believed that such an identity is intimately related to these in a sense exceptional structures. CSS-theorem shows that it is not true, it is actually a particular case of the more general statement about noncommutative matrices, which actually has nothing to do with Lie algebras or whatever. Let us also remark that [CF07] section 4.3.1 page 19 contains a very simple proof of the Capelli identity and actually of its generalization (E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko) [MTV06] based on the Schur complement theorem for Manin matrices.

Remark 28 The CSS conditions can also be reformulated ¹⁷ with the help of matrix notations:

$$[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes Y] = -(Q \otimes 1)P = -P(1 \otimes Q), \tag{236}$$

where P is a permutation operator: $P(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. However, we will not use it. Matrix (or Leningrad) notations are discussed in section 8 page 63.

6.4 Turnbull-Caracciolo-Sportiello-Sokal case

In 1948 Turnbull [Tu48] proved a Capelli-type identity for symmetric matrices, D. Foata and D. Zeilberger [FZ93] page 6 gave a combinatorial proof of this identity. S. Caracciolo, A. Sportiello, A. Sokal [CSS08] proposition 1.4 page 7 proposed a generalization of this result as well. Here we will deduce it from our theorem 6 page 39.

¹⁷ $[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes Y] = \sum_{ijkl} [M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} = -\sum_{ijkl} Q_{il} \delta_{jk} E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} = -\sum_{ijl} Q_{il} E_{ij} \otimes E_{jl}$ and $-(Q \otimes 1)P = -(\sum_{il} Q_{il} E_{il} \otimes 1)(\sum_{jk} E_{kj} \otimes E_{jk}) = -(\sum_{ij} Q_{il} \sum_{jk} \delta_{lk} E_{ij} \otimes E_{jk}) = -(\sum_{ijl} Q_{il} E_{ij} \otimes E_{jl})$.

Consider the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_{ij}]$, and the *symmetric* matrices:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \cdots & x_{1n} \\ x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \cdots & x_{2n} \\ x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} & \cdots & x_{3n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{1n} & x_{2n} & x_{3n} & \cdots & x_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{11}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{12}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{13}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1n}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{12}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{22}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{23}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{13}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{23}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{33}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3n}} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1n}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2n}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{nn}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (237)$$

Theorem 9 (*H. W. Turnbull [Tu48]*)

$$\det^{col}(MY + \text{diag}(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \det^{col}(M)\det^{col}(Y). \quad (238)$$

Definition 11 *Let us say that matrices M and Y satisfy the Turnbull-Caracciolo-Sportiello-Sokal condition (TCSS-condition for brevity), if the following is true:*

$$[M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = -h(\delta_{jk}\delta_{il} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}), \quad (239)$$

for some element h .

Or "in words": element M_{ij} commute with all elements of Y except elements Y_{ik} and Y_{ki} , and this non-zero commutator is equal to $-h$, for some element h . (See [CSS08] formula (1.25) page 7, our M is their A and our Y is their B).

Lemma 21 *Assume $n > 1$. Assume M is a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with commuting entries (i.e. $\forall i, j, k, l : [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = 0$), M and Y satisfy TCSS-condition above, then:*

$$\forall i, j : [M_{ij}, h] = 0. \quad (240)$$

Indeed, consider M_{ij} , take M_{ab} such that $(ij) \neq (ab)$ and $(ij) \neq (ba)$ (this is possible since $n > 1$, then $h = [Y_{ab}, M_{ab}]$, by TCSS-condition $[M_{ij}, Y_{ab}] = 0$ and by assumption $[M_{ij}, M_{ab}] = 0$, so $[M_{ij}, h] = [M_{ij}, [Y_{ab}, M_{ab}]] = 0$.

Theorem 10 (*[CSS08] proposition 1.4 page 7.*) *Assume M is $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with commuting entries (i.e. $\forall i, j, k, l : [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = 0$)¹⁸, Y is $n \times o$ matrix (not necessarily Manin), and matrices M and Y satisfy TCSS-condition, then.*

If $n = o$:

$$\det^{col}(MY + h \text{diag}(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \det^{col}(M)\det^{col}(Y). \quad (241)$$

For arbitrary n, o , more generally the following Cauchy-Binet formulae holds true. Let $I = (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, be two multi-indexes $i_a \leq n, j_a \leq n, r \leq n, o$ then:

$$\det^{col}((MY)_{IJ} + h \text{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \sum_{L=(l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_r)} \det^{col}(M_{IL})\det^{col}(Y_{LJ}). \quad (242)$$

Proof. We will deduce the theorem above from our theorem 6 page 39 . To do this we need to check conditions 1 and 2 page 38. Let us recall the notations: ψ_i are Grassman variables (i.e.

¹⁸we can require M to be a Manin matrix, but for the field of characteristic not equal to 2, symmetric Manin matrix is matrix with commuting entries

$\psi_i^2 = 0, \psi_i \psi_j = -\psi_j \psi_i$) and ψ_i commute with M_{ij} and Y_{kl} . By ψ_i^M we denote $\sum_k \psi_k M_{ki}$ (see notation 2 page 38).

From the TCSS-condition above we see that:

$$[Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \delta_{lp} h \psi_j + \delta_{jp} h \psi_l. \quad (243)$$

Let us look at the our condition 1 page 38:

$$\sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \psi_l^M [Y_{lj}, \psi_p^M] = \sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \psi_l^M (\delta_{lp} h \psi_j + \delta_{jp} h \psi_l) \quad (244)$$

Lemma 22 *Obviously for any symmetric matrix M (not necessarily Manin matrix):*

$$\sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \psi_l \psi_l^M = 0. \quad (245)$$

Indeed, $\sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \psi_l \psi_l^M = \sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \sum_{j=1, \dots, n} \psi_l \psi_j M_{jl} = \sum_{l < j} \psi_j \psi_l (M_{jl} - M_{lj}) = 0$.

In our case ψ_i and ψ_j^M anticommute so the sum $\sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \psi_l^M \delta_{jp} h \psi_l$ is zero. And so we continue (244):

$$= \sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \psi_l^M \delta_{lp} h \psi_j = \psi_p^M h \psi_j. \quad (246)$$

Hence condition 1 page 38 is satisfied for $\psi_j^Q = h \psi_j$.

Condition 2 page 38 requires that $\psi_p^M, h \psi_j$. Assume that $n > 1$, then by lemma 21 above we know that h , commute with M_{ij} , by definition $\psi_p^M = \sum_k \psi_k M_{kp}$, where ψ_i commute with M_{kl} . These implies the condition 2, for $n > 1$.

Hence our conditions 1,2 are satisfied so applying theorem 6 page 39 we obtain the theorem above for $n > 1$. For $n = 1$ the theorem above is absolutely tautological identity: $M_{11} Y_{11} = M_{11} Y_{11}$. So theorem is proved. \square

6.5 Generalization to permanents

We have seen that the identity above has a natural formulation and proof in terms of the Grassman algebra, so we can also look for the similar result for the algebra of polynomials, since both algebras play an equal role in the definition of Manin matrices. Here we will briefly discuss analogs for permanents of the theorems above. Since proofs are absolutely similar we will give only the formulations and some key comments. One can actually consider the case of super-Manin matrices and then both cases of determinants and permanents are the particular cases of it, but we do not want to overload the text going into the super-theory.

6.5.1 Preliminaries

Definition 12 *Let us recall that the column permanent of a square $n \times n$ matrix A is:*

$$\text{per}^{\text{col}} A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} A_{\sigma(1)1} A_{\sigma(2)2} \dots A_{\sigma(n)n}, \quad (247)$$

i.e. in the product $A_{\sigma(1)1} A_{\sigma(2)2} \dots A_{\sigma(n)n}$ we first take elements from the first column, than second ... Here S_n is the permutation group.

The definition is absolutely similar to the determinant, but sign of the permutation does not contribute.

Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix. Consider multi-indexes $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{r_1})$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_{r_2})$. Let us recall (notation 1 page 38) that we denote by A_{IJ} the following $r \times r$ matrix:

$$(A_{IJ})_{ab} = A_{i_a j_b}. \quad (248)$$

In formulas below it will be necessary to use the following normalized version of permanents.

Definition 13 Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix over some (not necessarily commutative ring), let $I = (i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, $\forall a : j_a \leq m$ (we do not require ordering nor $j_a \neq j_b$). Let us call by the normalized column permanent $perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{IJ})$ the following:

$$perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{IJ}) = \frac{1}{(2!)^{n_2}(3!)^{n_3} \dots} perm^{col}(A_{IJ}), \quad (249)$$

where n_p is defined as follows: $n_p = v$, means that some numbers a_1, \dots, a_v enter the sequence I with multiplicity exactly p .

For example for $I = (i_1, i_1, i_2, i_2, i_3, i_3, i_3)$ the factor will be $(2!)^2(3!)$. Note that multiplicity in J does not contribute.

Example 9

$$perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{(11)(11)}) = \frac{1}{2!} perm^{col} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11} \\ A_{11} & A_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{11}A_{11} + A_{11}A_{11}) = (A_{11})^2, \quad (250)$$

$$\text{more generally: } perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{(aa..a)(bb..b)}) = (A_{ab})^r. \quad (251)$$

Notation 3 Consider some elements x_1, \dots, x_n and an $n \times o$ matrix A , for brevity we denote by x_i^A the element $\sum_{k=1, \dots, n} x_k A_{ki}$ i.e. just the application of the matrix A to row-vector (x_1, \dots, x_n) :

$$(x_1^A, \dots, x_m^A) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)A. \quad (252)$$

The lemma below is quite obvious. It is an analogue of lemma 15 page 40 for Grassman variables and determinants.

Lemma 23 Consider commuting variables x_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and an $n \times m$ matrix A ; multi-index $J = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)$ is arbitrary (i.e. it is not assumed that $j_a \neq j_b$, nor $j_a < j_b$).

Assume that x_i commute with A_{kl} , then:

$$x_{j_1}^A x_{j_2}^A \dots x_{j_r}^A = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq \dots \leq l_r \leq n)} x_{l_1} x_{l_2} \dots x_{l_r} perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{LJ}). \quad (253)$$

Without assumption $[x_i, A_{kl}] = 0$ we can write the same in the following way:

$$\sum_{I=(i_1, \dots, i_r: 1 \leq i_a \leq m)} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r} A_{i_1 j_1} A_{i_2 j_2} \dots A_{i_r j_r} = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq \dots \leq l_r \leq n)} x_{l_1} x_{l_2} \dots x_{l_r} perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{LJ}). \quad (254)$$

It implies the formula for the expansion of the column permanent with respect to the first column:

$$\sum_{l_1=1, \dots, n} x_{l_1} \sum_{L^-= (l_2 \leq l_3 \leq \dots \leq l_r \leq n)} x_{l_2} \dots x_{l_r} A_{l_1 j_1} perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{L^- J^-}) = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq \dots \leq l_r \leq n)} x_{l_1} x_{l_2} \dots x_{l_r} perm_{norm}^{col}(A_{LJ}) \quad (255)$$

where $J^- = (j_2, j_3, \dots, j_r)$.

6.5.2 Cauchy-Binet type formulas for permanents

Proposition 13 (*Easy Cauchy-Binet formula for permanents*). Consider an $m \times n$ Manin matrix M and an arbitrary $m \times o$ matrix Y , such that $[M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = 0$, consider an arbitrary multi-index $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$ and ordered multi-index $I = (i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r)$, $i_a \leq n$, then:

$$\text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t Y)_{IJ}) = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_r), l_a \leq m} \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t)_{IL}) \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((Y)_{LJ}). \quad (256)$$

Proof. It is quite obvious let us nevertheless write it up. Consider $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, such that $[x_i, M_{kl}] = 0$ and $[x_i, Y_{kl}] = 0$. By (254) :

$$x_{j_1}^{M^t Y} x_{j_2}^{M^t Y} \dots x_{j_r}^{M^t Y} = \sum_{I=(i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dots \leq i_r \leq n)} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r} \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t Y)_{IJ}), \quad (257)$$

on the other hand, $x_j^{M^t Y} = \sum_k x_k^{M^t} Y_{kj}$, and $x_j^{M^t}$ commute by Manin's property (proposition 1 page 10), so again by (254):

$$x_{j_1}^{M^t Y} x_{j_2}^{M^t Y} \dots x_{j_r}^{M^t Y} = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq \dots \leq l_r), l_r \leq m} x_{l_1}^{M^t} x_{l_2}^{M^t} \dots x_{l_r}^{M^t} \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((Y)_{LJ}) = \quad (258)$$

and again by (254):

$$= \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq l_3 \leq \dots \leq l_r), l_r \leq m} \sum_{I=(i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dots \leq i_r \leq n)} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_r} \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t)_{IL}) \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((Y)_{LJ}). \quad (259)$$

Comparing (257) and (259) we come to the desired proposition. \square

Condition 1.

$$\forall p, j \exists x_j^Q \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : \sum_{l=1, \dots, m} x_l^M [Y_{lj}, x_p^M] = x_p^M x_j^Q, \quad (260)$$

note that x_j^Q does not depend on p .

As usually we denote by Q an $n \times s$ matrix corresponding to elements x_i^Q :

$$(x_1^Q, \dots, x_s^Q) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) Q \quad (261)$$

Condition 2. x_i^M and x_j^Q commute:

$$\forall i, j : x_i^M x_j^Q = x_j^Q x_i^M. \quad (262)$$

Theorem 11 Assume M is $m \times n$ Manin matrix, Y is $m \times s$ matrix (not necessarily Manin), and matrices M^t and Y satisfy conditions (260, 262) above. Then the following Cauchy-Binet formulae holds. Let $I = (i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$,¹⁹ be two multi-indices $i_a \leq n$, $j_a \leq s$, $r \leq n$, s then:

$$\text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t Y)_{IJ} - Q_{IJ} \text{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_r), l_r \leq m} \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t)_{IL}) \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}(Y_{LJ}) \quad (263)$$

¹⁹conditions $j_a < j_b$, $j_a \neq j_b$ are not required

here A_{IJ} is a matrix such that $(A_{IJ})_{ab} = A_{i_a j_b}$, (see notation 1 page 38); where Q is a matrix corresponding to elements x_i^Q by formula (261) and elements x_i^Q arise in the condition 1 above; by $\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots)$ we denote diagonal matrix with a_i on the diagonal.

Let us recall definition 10 page 44 that two matrices M, Y of sizes $n \times m$ and $m \times o$ respectively satisfy the Caracciolo-Sportiello-Sokal condition (CSS-condition for brevity), if the following is true:

$$[M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = -\delta_{jk} Q_{il}, \quad (264)$$

for some elements Q_{il} .

Or "in words": elements in j -th column of M commute with elements in k -th row of Y unless $j = k$, and in this case commutator of the elements M_{ik} and Y_{kl} depends only on i, l , but does not depend on k . (See [CSS08] formula (1.14) page 4, our Y is *transpose* to their B).

Theorem 12 Assume $n > 1$. Assume M is $m \times n$ Manin matrix, Y is $m \times s$ matrix (not necessarily Manin), and matrices M^t and Y satisfy CSS-condition above, then the following Cauchy-Binet formulae holds true. Let $I = (i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, be two multi-indexes $i_a \leq n, j_a \leq s, r \leq n, s$ then:

$$\text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t Y)_{IJ} - Q_{IJ} \text{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_r), l_r \leq m} \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((M^t)_{IL}) \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}(Y_{LJ}) \quad (265)$$

where matrix Q matrix with elements Q_{ij} .

Let us also give an analogue of lemma 24 page 50 :

Lemma 24 For $n > 1$ CSS-condition automatically implies x_i^M and x_j^Q commute (and hence that condition 2 page 49 is satisfied).

Remark 29 Theorem above holds true for $n = 1$ under additional requirement $[M_{11}, [M_{11}, Y_{1i}]] = 0$.

Let us modify TCSS-condition (239) for antisymmetric matrix M . We impose the following condition:

$$[M_{ij}, Y_{kl}] = -h(\delta_{jk} \delta_{il} - \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}), \quad (266)$$

for some element h .

Or "in words": element M_{ij} commute all elements of Y except elements Y_{ik} and Y_{ki} , and these non-zero commutators are equal to $\pm h$ respectively, for some element h .

Theorem 13 Assume $n > 2$. Assume M is $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrix with commuting entries (i.e. $\forall i, j, k, l : [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = 0$)²⁰, Y is $n \times s$ matrix (not necessarily Manin), and matrices M and Y satisfy TCSS-condition for antisymmetric matrices, then the following Cauchy-Binet formulae holds true. Let $I = (i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r)$, $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$, be two multi-indexes $i_a \leq n, j_a \leq n, r \leq n, s$ then:

$$\text{perm}_{norm}^{col}((MY)_{IJ} - h \text{diag}(r-1, r-2, \dots, 1, 0)) = \sum_{L=(l_1 \leq l_2 \leq \dots \leq l_r)} \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}(M_{IL}) \text{perm}_{norm}^{col}(Y_{LJ}) \quad (267)$$

An analogue of lemma 21 page 46 is the following:

²⁰we can require M to be a Manin matrix, but for the field of characteristic not equal to 2, antisymmetric Manin matrix is matrix with commuting entries

Lemma 25 Assume $n > 2$. Assume M is a antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix with commuting entries (i.e. $\forall i, j, k, l : [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = 0$), M and Y satisfy TCSS-condition above, then:

$$\forall i, j : [M_{ij}, h] = 0. \quad (268)$$

Remark 30 Theorem above holds true for $n = 2$ also under additional requirement $[M_{12}, h] = 0$.

An analogue of lemma 22 page 47 is the following:

Lemma 26 Obviously for any antisymmetric matrix M (not necessarily Manin matrix):

$$\sum_{l=1, \dots, n} x_l x_l^M = 0. \quad (269)$$

6.5.3 Toy model

Let us provide some toy model for the identities above, which is actually a particular case of the theorem 12 page 50 (the case $n = 1$). The proof is extremely simple and it is actually a good illustration of the proof of the main theorem 6 page 39 .

Proposition 14 Assume elements M and Y satisfy the following condition: $[M, [M, Y]] = 0$, denote by $Q = [M, Y]$, then for any r :

$$(MY - (r - 1)Q)(MY - (r - 2)Q) \dots (MY - Q)(MY) = (M)^r (Y)^r. \quad (270)$$

Example 10

$$(\partial_z z - (r - 1))(\partial_z z - (r - 2)) \dots (\partial_z z - 1)(\partial_z z) = \partial_z^r z^r. \quad (271)$$

Proof. For $r = 1$ it is a tautology. Consider the left hand side of the equality and by induction:

$$(MY - (r - 1)Q)(MY - (r - 2)Q) \dots (MY - Q)(MY) = (MY - (r - 1)Q)(M^{r-1}Y^{r-1}) = \quad (272)$$

(formula above is analogous to (197) in the proof of the main theorem)

$$= MM^{r-1}YY^{r-1} + M[Y, M^{r-1}]Y^{r-1} - (r - 1)Q(M^{r-1}Y^{r-1}) = \quad (273)$$

(formula above is analogous to (198) in the proof of the main theorem)

Since $[M, Q] = 0$:

$$[Y, M^{r-1}] = (r - 1)QM^{r-1}, \quad (274)$$

(formula above is analogous to lemma 16 page 41 in the proof of the main theorem)

So we continue (273):

$$= MM^{r-1}YY^{r-1} + M(r - 1)QM^{r-1}Y^{r-1} - (r - 1)Q(M^{r-1}Y^{r-1}) = MM^{r-1}YY^{r-1}. \quad (275)$$

It is right hand side of the desired equality. Proposition is proved. \square

Remark 31 Capelli identities related to permanents can be also found in (S. Caracciolo, A. Sportiello, A. Sokal) [CSS08] proposition 1.5 page 9 (due to Turnbull), (M. Nazarov) [Na91], and (A. Okounkov) [Ok96B].²¹ Our result is clearly different from the first mentioned result and relations with the others are not clear.

7 Further properties

In this section we discuss other properties of commutative matrices which can be extended to the case of Manin matrices. Some of them are new like the multiplicativity property of the determinant, the relation of the determinant and the Gauss decomposition, conjugation to the second normal (also called Frobenius e.g. (Wilkinson) [WBook] page 15) form. Others can be already found, somewhat scattered, in the literature. We include them in order to provide a complete list of properties established at the moment so far Manin matrices and to add some details, comments or different proofs of these results.

7.1 Cayley-Hamilton theorem and the second normal (Frobenius) form

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem can be considered one of the basic results in linear algebra. It was generalized in [CF07] (theorem 3) to the case of Manin matrices. Let us recall it and present some corollary about conjugation to the second normal (Frobenius) form. Some bibliographic notes are in section 7.5 page 62 .

Theorem 14 *Let M be $n \times n$ Manin matrix. Denote by σ_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$ the coefficients of its characteristic polynomial: $\det^{column}(t - M) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \sigma_i t^{n-i}$, then:*

$$\sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \sigma_i M^{n-i} = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \det^{column}(t - M)|_{t=M}^{right \ substitute} = 0. \quad (276)$$

If M^t is a Manin matrix, then one can obtain a similar result, using left substitution and the row determinant:

$$\det^{row}(t - M)|_{t=M}^{left \ substitute} = 0.$$

Remark 32 In the commutative case σ_i is the i -th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues ($\sigma_i = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_i \leq n} \lambda_{j_1} \lambda_{j_2} \dots \lambda_{j_i}$). In general: $\sigma_1 = Tr(M)$, $\sigma_n = det(M)$, $\sigma_k = Tr \Lambda^k M$.

$$\det^{column}(t - M) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \sigma_i t^{n-i} = \quad (277)$$

$$= t^n + t^{n-1}(-1)\sigma_1 + t^{n-2}(+1)\sigma_2 + \dots + t(-1)^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1} + (-1)^n\sigma_n. \quad (278)$$

Proof. Proposition 6 shows that $t - M$ admits a classical (left) adjoint matrix $(M - t \mathbf{1}_{n \times n})^{adj}$, such that

$$(M - t \mathbf{1}_{n \times n})^{adj}(M - t \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}) = det(M - t \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}) \mathbf{1}_{n \times n}, \quad (279)$$

²¹A. Okounkov considers immanants i.e. $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1)1} A_{\sigma(2)2} \dots A_{\sigma(n)n}$, where χ is some character of the symmetric group. Permanent and determinant are particular cases of the immanant for $\chi = 1$ and $\chi = (-1)^{sgn(\sigma)}$ respectively.

where, as usual, we denote by $1_{n \times n}$ the identity matrix of size n . The standard idea of proof is very simple: we want to substitute M where t stands; the LHS of this equality vanishes manifestly, hence we obtain the desired equality $\det(M - t 1_{n \times n})|_{t=M} = 0$. The only issue we need to clarify is *how* to substitute M into the equation and why the substitution preserves the equality.

Let us denote by $Adj_k(M)$ the matrices defined by: $\sum_{k=0, \dots, n-1} Adj_k(M)t^k = (M - t 1_{n \times n})^{adj}$. The equality above is an equality of polynomials in the variable t :

$$\left(\sum_k Adj_k(M)t^k \right) (M - t 1_{n \times n}) = \sum_k Adj_k(M)Mt^k - \sum_k Adj_k(M)t^{k+1} = \quad (280)$$

$$= \det(M - t 1_{n \times n}) = (-1)^n \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \sigma_i t^{n-i}. \quad (281)$$

This means that the coefficients of t^i of both sides of the relation coincide. Hence we can substitute $t = M$ in the equality, substituting "from the right":

$$\sum_k Adj_k M M^k - \sum_k Adj_k M^{k+1} = (-1)^n \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \sigma_i t^{n-i} \Big|_{t=M}. \quad (282)$$

The left hand side is manifestly zero, so we obtain the desired equality: $\sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \sigma_i M^{n-i} = 0$. \square

Let us present a corollary on conjugation of a Manin matrix to the so-called Frobenius normal form (e.g. (Wilkinson) [WBook] page 15), also called the second normal form (e.g. [Kir00]).

Corollary 6 *Let M be a $n \times n$ Manin matrix with elements in an associative ring \mathcal{K} , and let σ_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$ be the coefficients of its characteristic polynomial, that is, $\det^{column}(t - M) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-1)^i \sigma_i t^{n-i}$.*

Let $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be a vector with elements in \mathcal{K} such that $\forall k, l, v_k$ commutes with σ_l , (this happens, for instance, if $v_k \in \mathbb{C}$). Let, finally,

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ vM \\ vM^2 \\ \dots \\ vM^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & \dots & v_n \\ \sum_i v_i M_{i1} & \dots & \sum_i v_i M_{in} \\ \sum_{i,j} v_i M_{ij} M_{j1} & \dots & \sum_{i,j} v_i M_{ij} M_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}. \quad (283)$$

Then it holds

$$D M = M_{Frob} D, \quad \text{where } M_{Frob} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ (-1)^{n+1} \sigma_n & (-1)^n \sigma_{n-1} & (-1)^{n-1} \sigma_{n-2} & \dots & -\sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (284)$$

Remark 33 In words, this Corollary says that, under the commutativity conditions $[v_k, \sigma_j] = 0$, a Manin matrix M can be conjugated to its normal Frobenius form. Before giving the proof of the corollary let us remark the following. It is easy to see that an arbitrary matrix over a noncommutative ring \mathcal{K} which is embeddable in a noncommutative field can be conjugated in the form above. (Indeed, this is equivalent to the fact that $n + 1$ vectors in a n dimensional vector space are linearly

dependent over an arbitrary field (no need of commutativity) and applying this fact to the vectors $v, Mv, M^2v, \dots, M^n v$ one gets the claim. The coefficients of linear dependence precisely appear in the last row of the matrix M_{Frob} .) However, in general the coefficients of the linear dependence will depend on the vector v and they are rational (not polynomial) functions of the matrix entries. (I.e. they belong to the field of fractions of \mathcal{K} , but not to the original ring.) We notice that for Manin matrices, the theorem holds in the same form as in the case of ordinary matrices.

Proof. By definition, the i -th ($i = 1, \dots, n$) row DM equals vM^i . The same is true for the first $n - 1$ rows of $M_{Frob}D$ equals to vM^i . One only needs to check the equality of the n -th row. It equals to vM^n for DM and the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, together with the condition $[v_k, \sigma_l] = 0$ precisely provide that the same expression appears in $M_{Frob}D$. Indeed the n -th row of $M_{Frob}D$ equals $\sum_{l=0, \dots, n-1} (-1)^{n-l+1} \sigma_{n-l} vM^l$; thanks to the commutativity condition $[v_k, \sigma_l] = 0$ we can rewrite it as $v(\sum_{l=0, \dots, n-1} (-1)^{n-l+1} \sigma_{n-l} M^l)$. By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem this equals to (vM^n) . The corollary is proved. \square

Example 11

Consider the 2×2 case, $v = (0, 1)$, denote $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_{Frob} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(ad - cb) & a + d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (285)$$

$$DM = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ ca + dc & cb + d^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (286)$$

$$M_{Frob}D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(ad - cb) & a + d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ ac + dc & -(ad - cb) + ad + d^2 \end{pmatrix} = \quad (287)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ ac + dc & cb + d^2 \end{pmatrix} = \quad (\text{use: } ac=ca) \quad = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ ca + dc & cb + d^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (288)$$

7.2 Newton and MacMahon-Wronski identities

The aim of this section is to discuss a generalization of the Newton and MacMahon-Wronski identities to the case of Manin matrices. As we shall see, they hold true exactly in the same form as in the commutative case, which we herewith recall.

There are three basic families of symmetric functions in n variables:

1. $\sigma_k = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{p=1, \dots, k} \lambda_{i_p}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$; they are called the *elementary* symmetric functions.
2. $S_k = \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n: i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \prod_{p=1, \dots, n} \lambda_p^{i_p}$, $k > 0$ - the so-called *complete*.
3. $T_k = \sum_{p=1, \dots, n} \lambda_p^k$, $k > 0$ - the so-called power sums.

They can be rewritten in the matrix language as follows:

$$\sigma_k = Tr \Lambda^k M, \quad \left(\sum_{k=0, \dots, n} (-t)^k \sigma_k = \det(1 - tM) \right), \quad (289)$$

$$S_k = Tr S^k M, \quad (290)$$

$$T_k = Tr(M^k). \quad (291)$$

Here M is a matrix with entries in \mathbb{C} with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. $S^k M$ is the symmetric tensor power of M while $\Lambda^k M$ is the antisymmetric power.

Theorem. σ_k, S_k, T_k are related by the following set of identities for all $k > 0$:

$$\text{MacMahon-Wronski:} \quad 0 = \sum_{l=0, \dots, k} (-1)^l S_l \sigma_{k-l} = \sum_{l=0, \dots, k} (-1)^l \sigma_{k-l} S_l, \quad (292)$$

$$\text{Newton:} \quad -(-1)^k k \sigma_k = \sum_{i=0, \dots, k-1} (-1)^i \sigma_i T_{k-i}, \quad (293)$$

$$\text{Second Newton:} \quad k S_k = \sum_{i=0, \dots, k-1} T_{k-i} S_i. \quad (294)$$

Our main goal is to explain the following:

Claim. *The formulas above hold true when M is a Manin matrix.*

Remark 34 In the case of Manin matrices the order in products in the formulas above is important. The MacMahon-Wronski identity has been first obtained in (S. Garoufalidis, T. Le, D. Zeilberger) [GLZ03], the Newton one in [CF07]. Here we will collect these results.

The identities above can be easily reformulated in terms of generating functions:

Corollary. *Let M be a Manin matrix. Denote by $\sigma(t), S(t), T(t)$ the following generating functions:*

$$\sigma(t) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, n} (-t)^k \sigma_k = \det(1 - tM), \quad (295)$$

$$S(t) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k S_k = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k \text{Tr} S^k M, \quad (296)$$

$$T(t) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k T_{k+1} = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k \text{Tr}(M^{k+1}) = \text{Tr} \frac{M}{1 - tM}. \quad (297)$$

Then the relations between σ_l, S_l, T_l can be written as follows:

$$\text{MacMahon-Wronski:} \quad 1 = \sigma(t)S(t) = S(t)\sigma(t), \quad (298)$$

$$\text{Newton:} \quad -\partial_t \sigma(t) = \sigma(t)T(t), \quad (299)$$

$$\text{Second Newton:} \quad \partial_t S(t) = T(t)S(t). \quad (300)$$

Remark 35 In the commutative case the Newton identities can be reformulated in the other forms. This might not be the case for Manin matrices, since, as we shall see in the sequel, for generic Manin matrices we have:

$$\det(e^M) \neq e^{\text{Tr}(M)}, \text{ and } (\det(1 + M)) \neq e^{\text{Tr}(\ln(1+M))}.$$

7.2.1 Newton identities

Let us recall the result of [CF07] giving a detailed proof. Some bibliographic notes are in section 7.5 page 62 .

Theorem 15 Let M be $n \times n$ Manin matrix. Denote by σ_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$ coefficients of its characteristic polynomial: $\det^{\text{column}}(1 - tM) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-t)^i \sigma_i$, conventionally, let $\sigma_k = 0$, for $k > n$; **then:**

$$- \partial_t \det^{\text{column}}(1 - tM) = (\det^{\text{column}}(1 - tM)) \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k \text{Tr}(M^{k+1}), \quad (301)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall k \geq 0 : -(-1)^k k \sigma_k = \sum_{i=0, \dots, k-1} (-1)^i \sigma_i \text{Tr}(M^{k-i}). \quad (302)$$

If M^t is a Manin matrix, then: $\partial_t \det^{\text{row}}(1 - tM) = \left(1/t \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k \text{Tr}(M^{k+1})\right) (\det^{\text{row}}(1 - tM))$.

Remark 36 Using the generating functions $\sigma(t) = \det(1 - tM)$, $T(t) = \text{Tr} \frac{M}{1-tM}$, one rewrites:

$$- \partial_t \sigma(t) = \sigma(t) T(t). \quad (303)$$

One can also rewrite as: $\partial_t \det^{\text{column}}(t - M) = \frac{1}{t} (\det^{\text{column}}(t - M)) \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} \text{Tr}(M/t)^k$.

Remark 37 In the commutative case σ_i is i -th elementary symmetric function of the eigenvalues $(\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_i} \prod \lambda_{j_k})$. In general: $\sigma_1 = \text{Tr}(M)$, $\sigma_n = \det(M)$, $\sigma_k = \text{Tr} \Lambda^k M$.

$$\det^{\text{column}}(1 - tM) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n} (-t)^i \sigma_i = \quad (304)$$

$$= 1 + (-1)t\sigma_1 + (+1)t^2\sigma_2 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}t^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1} + (-1)^n t^n \sigma_n. \quad (305)$$

Formulas are identical in the Manin case, provided one pays attention to the order of terms: $\sigma_i \text{Tr} M^p$ if M is a Manin matrix ($\text{Tr} M^p \sigma_i$ if M^t is a Manin matrix).

Proof. The proof of the Theorem is somewhat a standard one. First we need the following simple lemma:

Lemma 27 Consider an arbitrary matrix M (i.e., not necessarily a Manin matrix), and define its adjoint matrix M^{adj} in the standard way as follows: $M_{kl}^{\text{adj}} = (-1)^{k+l} \det^{\text{column}}(\widehat{M}_{lk})$ where \widehat{M}_{lk} is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of M obtained removing the l -th row and the k -th column. **Then:**

$$\text{Tr}(t + M)^{\text{adj}} = \partial_t \det^{\text{column}}(t + M).$$

Proof of the Lemma. The proof is trivial, but let us nevertheless write it up.

$$\partial_t \det^{\text{column}}(t + M) = \sum_{i=0, \dots, n-1} (n-i)t^{n-1-i} \sum_{\text{principal } i \times i \text{ submatrices } K \subset M} \det^{\text{column}}(K), \quad (306)$$

where a principal submatrix is the one formed by the elements obtained on the intersection of the rows and columns labeled by the same set of indices j_1, \dots, j_i .

$$\text{Tr}(t + M)^{\text{adj}} = \sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \det^{\text{column}}(\widehat{t + M})_{ll} = \quad (307)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1, \dots, n} \sum_{i=0, \dots, n-1} t^{n-1-i} \sum_{\text{principal } i \times i \text{ submatrices } K \text{ of } \widehat{M}_{ll}} \det^{\text{column}}(K). \quad (308)$$

Clearly, any principal submatrix of \widehat{M}_l is a principal submatrix of M , so one has the same terms in both sums. Moreover submatrices of size i appear as coefficients of t^{n-1-i} in both sums.

So we only need to observe that $\det^{\text{columnn}}(K)$ for an $i \times i$ submatrix K enters with the same multiplicity in both sums. In the first sum the multiplicity is manifestly $n-i$. Let us look at the second sum. The principal submatrix of size i clearly is a submatrix of $(n-i)$ principal submatrices $(\widehat{t+M})_l$, for example submatrix of size 1, say M_{11} , is a submatrix of $(\widehat{t+M})_{22}, (\widehat{t+M})_{33}, \dots, (\widehat{t+M})_{nn}$. So we get that the desired coefficient is $n-i$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Remark 38 The same arguments can be applied when dealing with the row-determinant, as well, symmetrized determinant, and so on and so forth.

Let us finalize the proof of the theorem. We have the following chain of relations:

$$\begin{aligned} 1/t \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} \text{Tr}((-M/t)^k) &= \text{Tr} \frac{1}{t+M} \stackrel{\text{Cramer}}{=} \text{Tr} \left((\det^{\text{columnn}}(t+M))^{-1} (t+M)^{\text{adj}} \right) = \\ &= (\det^{\text{columnn}}(t+M))^{-1} \text{Tr}(t+M)^{\text{adj}} \stackrel{\text{Lemma}}{=} \stackrel{27}{=} (\det^{\text{columnn}}(t+M))^{-1} \partial_t \det^{\text{columnn}}(t+M). \end{aligned} \quad (309)$$

This identity gives the identities (302), i.e.,

$$\forall k \geq 0 : -(-1)^k k \sigma_k = \sum_{i=0, \dots, k-1} (-1)^i \sigma_i \text{Tr}(M^{k-i}), \quad (310)$$

which in turn is equivalent ²² to formula (301):

$$-\partial_t \det^{\text{columnn}}(1-tM) = (\det^{\text{columnn}}(1-tM)) \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k \text{Tr}(M^{k+1}). \quad (311)$$

Theorem 15 on the Newton identities is thus proved. \square .

Remark 39 The case in which M^t is a Manin matrix can be treated in a similar way.

Example 12 It is instructive to explicitly perform the computation in the 2×2 case. Let

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where M is a generic matrix (i.e., not necessarily a Manin matrix). We have:

$$\text{Tr}(M^2) - \sigma_1 \text{Tr}(M) + 2\sigma_2 = \quad (312)$$

$$\text{Tr} \begin{pmatrix} a^2 + bc & ab + bd \\ ca + dc & cb + d^2 \end{pmatrix} - (a+d)^2 + 2(ad - cb) = \quad (313)$$

$$= (a^2 + bc + cb + d^2) - (a^2 + ad + da + d^2) + 2(ad - cb) = \quad (314)$$

$$= (bc) - (da) + (ad - cb) = [a, d] + [b, c], \quad (315)$$

and, similarly,

$$\text{Tr}(M^3) - \sigma_1 \text{Tr}(M^2) + \sigma_2 \text{Tr}(M) = ([a, d] + [b, c])a + [c, a]b + [b, d]c.$$

Notice that $-3\sigma_3$ does not appear in the last formula since $\sigma_k = 0$, for $k > 2$ for 2×2 matrices. We see that Manin's relations imply that the expressions above are zeroes.

²²In the commutative case one can pass from (309) to (311) as follows. Substitute $M = N^{-1}$ in (309) and use that $\det(t + N^{-1}) = \det(Nt + 1)\det(N^{-1})$, one gets: $\text{Tr} \frac{1}{Nt+1} = (\det^{\text{columnn}}(Nt+1))^{-1} \det(N) \det(N^{-1}) \partial_t \det^{\text{columnn}}(Nt+1) = (\det^{\text{columnn}}(Nt+1))^{-1} \partial_t \det^{\text{columnn}}(Nt+1)$ changing N to $-N$ one gets (311). The open question is whether $\det(t + N^{-1}) = \det(Nt + 1)\det(N^{-1})$ for Manin matrices as well.

A No-go fact In the commutative case there is the well-known identity $\det(e^N) = e^{\text{Tr}N}$, which can be readily seen by diagonalization of N . Substituting $N = \log(1 - tM)$ one obtains: $(\det(1 - tM)) = e^{\text{Tr}(\ln(1-tM))}$. Here we show that these identities do not hold in the case of Manin matrices; actually, they do not hold even in more restrictive case of Cartier-Foata matrices.

Let us remark that in the commutative case the Newton identity

$$-\partial_t \det^{\text{column}}(1 - tM) = (\det^{\text{column}}(1 - tM)) \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} \text{Trt}(Mt)^k$$

easily follows from the identities above. Indeed, deriving the identity $(\det(1 - tM)) = e^{\text{Tr}(\ln(1-tM))}$, with respect to t , one obtains:

$$\partial_t(\det(1 - tM)) = \text{Tr}(\ln'(1 - tM))e^{\text{Tr}(\ln(1-tM))} = \text{Tr}(\ln'(1 - tM))(\det(1 - tM)). \quad (316)$$

Using $\text{Tr}(\ln'(1 - tM)) = -\text{Tr}(M(1 - tM)^{-1})$, one arrives to $\partial_t(\det(1 - tM)) = -\text{Tr}(M(t - M)^{-1})(\det(1 - tM))$, which is the Newton identity from theorem 15 above.

One may try to argue in the opposite direction, but the crucial point is the commutativity which is absent for Manin matrices, and was used in the first equality of (316). So it is not guaranteed that the exponential relations hold true in the general case of a Manin matrix, as indeed it is proven by the next two counterexamples.

Counter Example 1 Consider a 2×2 matrix M ,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad (317)$$

and assume it is a Cartier-Foata matrix, that is, elements from different rows commute. Introduce a formal scalar variable ϵ ; clearly enough, $1_{2 \times 2} + \epsilon M$ is again a Cartier-Foata matrix.

Fact.

$$\det(\exp(1 + \epsilon M)) \neq \exp(\text{Tr}(\ln(1 + \epsilon M))). \quad (318)$$

Actually, the equality holds up to order 2, but not at order 3.

Proof. The coefficient of ϵ^3 in the left hand side is equal to:

$$\frac{1}{6}(a^3 + bca + abc + bdc + cab + dcb + cbd + d^3 + \quad (319)$$

$$+ 3acb + 3ad^2 + 3a^2d + 3bcd - 3cab - 3cbd - 3cab - 3dcb), \quad (320)$$

while that on the right hand side is $\frac{1}{6}(a + d)^3$. In the Cartier-Foata case $[a, d] = 0$, so the difference is given by:

$$\frac{1}{6}(bca + abc + bdc + cab + dcb + cbd + \quad (321)$$

$$+ 3acb + 3bcd - 3cab - 3cbd - 3cab - 3dcb) = \quad (322)$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}(bac + bcd - abc - bdc) = \frac{1}{6}([b, a]c + b[c, d]). \quad (323)$$

Since pairs of elements a, b and c, d may be taken to generate free associative algebras and arranged to give a Cartier-Foata matrix, we see that this does not vanish. \square

Counter Example 2 Consider M, ϵ as above; then

$$\det(1 + \epsilon M) \neq e^{\text{Tr}(\ln(1 + \epsilon M))}. \quad (324)$$

The equality holds up to order 2.

The proof of this fact goes exactly as that of Counterexample 1.

7.2.2 MacMahon-Wronski relations

For the sake of completeness let us briefly discuss the so-called MacMahon-Wronski formula for Manin matrices. It was first obtained in [GLZ03]. In the language of symmetric functions this identity relates the elementary and the complete symmetric functions. We will provide some more detailed bibliographic notes in section 7.5 page 62 .

For an $n \times n$ -matrix M over a commutative ring the MacMahon - Wronski identity reads

$$1/\det(1 - M) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} TrS^k M, \quad (325)$$

where $S^k M$ is k -th symmetric power of M . It can be easily verified by diagonalizing the matrix M . As it was mentioned before, $TrS^k M$ is the *complete* symmetric function of the eigenvalues λ_i of M , i.e. $S_k = \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n: i_1 + \dots + i_n = k} \prod_{p=1, \dots, n} \lambda_p^{i_p}$.

Theorem [GLZ03] *The MacMahon-Wronski identity holds true for Manin matrices ²³ provided one defines*

$$TrS^k M = 1/k! \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_k: 1 \leq l_i \leq n} perm^{row} \begin{pmatrix} M_{l_1 l_1} & M_{l_1 l_2} & \dots & M_{l_1 l_k} \\ M_{l_2 l_1} & M_{l_2 l_2} & \dots & M_{l_2 l_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{l_k l_1} & M_{l_k l_2} & \dots & M_{l_k l_k} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (326)$$

We remark that the range of summation in this formula allows repeated indexes: $l_{i_1} = l_{i_3} = \dots$. The permanent of a Manin matrix was defined by formula 61 page 16 as follows:

$$perm M = perm^{row} M = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1, \dots, n} M_{i \sigma(i)}. \quad (327)$$

Due to the property that the permanent of a Manin matrix does not change under any permutation of columns, one can rewrite the formula above with the summation without repeated indexes:

$$TrS^k M = 1/k! \sum_{1 \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_k \leq n} n_1! n_2! \dots n_l! perm^{row} \begin{pmatrix} M_{l_1 l_1} & M_{l_1 l_2} & \dots & M_{l_1 l_k} \\ M_{l_2 l_1} & M_{l_2 l_2} & \dots & M_{l_2 l_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ M_{l_k l_1} & M_{l_k l_2} & \dots & M_{l_k l_k} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (328)$$

where n_i is the set of multiplicities of the set (l_1, \dots, l_k) , i.e. n_i is multiplicity of the number i in the set (l_1, \dots, l_k) .

One sees that the definition of traces of symmetric powers is the same as in the commutative case, with the proviso in mind to use row permanents.

As an immediate consequence of formula 325 we get

Corollary 7 *For all $p > 0$ it holds*

$$0 = \sum_{l=0, \dots, p} (-1)^l S_l \sigma_{p-l} = \sum_{l=0, \dots, p} (-1)^l \sigma_{p-l} S_l, \quad (329)$$

where $S_k = TrS^k M$ and σ_k are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $\det(1 - tM) = \sum_{l=0, \dots, n} (-1)^l t^l \sigma_l$.

²³ one can find in [GLZ03] more general case of q-Manin matrices

Example 13 Let us explicitly write the relation: $S_2 - S_1\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = 0$, on a 2×2 matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

We have:

$$S_1 = a + d, \quad \sigma_1 = a + d, \quad \sigma_2 = ad - cb, \quad (330)$$

while

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{pmatrix} + \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} + \text{perm} \begin{pmatrix} d & d \\ d & d \end{pmatrix}) = \quad (331)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(2a^2 + ad + bc + da + cb + 2d^2) = \quad (332)$$

using Manin's relation: $[a, d] = [c, b]$:

$$= (a^2 + ad + bc + d^2). \quad (333)$$

Thus:

$$S_2 - S_1\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 = (a^2 + ad + bc + d^2) - (a + d)^2 + ad - cb \quad (334)$$

$$= (a^2 + ad + bc + d^2) - (a^2 + ad + da + d^2) + ad - cb = (bc) - (da) + ad - cb = [a, d] + [b, c] = 0 \quad (335)$$

7.2.3 Second Newton identities

Theorem 16 Let M be a Manin matrix. Let $S_k = \text{Tr} S^k M$ be defined by 326 page 59, $S(t) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k S_k$, and $T(t) = \sum_{k=0, \dots, \infty} t^k \text{Tr}(M^{k+1}) = \text{Tr} \frac{M}{1-tM}$. Then the following identities hold:

$$\partial_t S(t) = T(t)S(t), \Leftrightarrow \forall k > 0 : kS_k = \sum_{i=0, \dots, k-1} \text{Tr}(M^{k-i})S_i.$$

If M^t is a Manin matrix, similar formulas hold with the reverse $\partial_t S(t) = S(t)T(t)$, and the use of column-permanents in formula 326 page 59.

Proof. The proof follows from the Newton and MacMahon-Wronski identities above. Indeed, with the definition $\sigma(t) = \det(1 - tM)$, the MacMahon-Wronski identities read

$$\sigma(t) = S(t)^{-1},$$

while the Newton identities read $-\partial_t \sigma(t) = \sigma(t)T(t)$. Substituting $-\partial_t \sigma(t) = -\partial_t S(t)^{-1} = +S(t)^{-1}(\partial_t S(t))S(t)^{-1}$, we get

$$S(t)^{-1}(\partial_t S(t))S(t)^{-1} = S(t)^{-1}T(t),$$

and hence $\partial_t S(t) = T(t)S(t)$. \square

7.3 Plücker relations

Here we recall the simplest version of the Plücker identities for Manin matrices (see [Man88]). They actually follow immediately from the coaction characterization of Manin matrices (proposition 1, page 10). We will provide some bibliographic notes on various noncommutative Plücker coordinates in section 7.5 page 62.

Proposition 15 Consider a 2×4 matrix A , assume A^t is a Manin matrix, let π_{ij} be minors made from i -th and j -th columns (minors are calculated as row-determinants, since A^t is a Manin matrix, not A):

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a'_1 & a'_2 & a'_3 & a'_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad (336)$$

$$\text{Then: } (\pi_{12}\pi_{34} + \pi_{34}\pi_{12}) - (\pi_{13}\pi_{24} + \pi_{24}\pi_{13}) + (\pi_{14}\pi_{23} + \pi_{23}\pi_{14}) = 0 \quad (337)$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in the commutative case. Consider the Grassman algebra $\mathbb{C}[\psi_1, \dots, \psi_4]$, and the variables $\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2$ defined as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\psi}_1 \\ \tilde{\psi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (338)$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2 = \sum_{i < j} \pi_{ij} \psi_i \wedge \psi_j$ By proposition 1 page 10 $\tilde{\psi}_1, \tilde{\psi}_2$ are again Grassman variables, so $(\tilde{\psi}_1 \wedge \tilde{\psi}_2)^2 = 0$. Writing this equation explicitly one arrives to the Plücker relations. \square

7.4 Gauss decomposition and the determinant

Here we show that the determinant of a Manin matrix can be expressed via the diagonal part of the Gauss decomposition exactly in the same way as in the commutative case.

Proposition 16 Let M be a Manin matrix, assume it can be factorized into Gauss form:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & x_{\alpha\beta} \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & y_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ z_{\beta\alpha} & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (339)$$

then

$$\det(M) = y_n \dots y_1. \quad (340)$$

Analogously for

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ x'_{\alpha\beta} & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y'_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & y'_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & z'_{\beta\alpha} \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (341)$$

it is true:

$$\det(M) = y'_1 \dots y'_n. \quad (342)$$

Example 14

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & bd^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a - bd^{-1}c & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d^{-1}c & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (343)$$

$$\det(M) = ad - cb = d(a - bd^{-1}c), \quad (344)$$

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d - ca^{-1}b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (345)$$

$$\det(M) = ad - cb = a(d - ca^{-1}b). \quad (346)$$

Proof. According to I. Gelfand, V. Retakh [GR97] page 14, theorem 2.2.5 for any noncommutative M it is true that $y_k = |M_{(k)}|_{kk}$, where $M_{(k)}$ is submatrix of M with $k, k+1, k+2, \dots, n$ rows and columns, and $|N|_{kk}$ is quasideterminant, which is by definition inverse to a corresponding element of N^{-1} . By Cramer's formula (see proposition 6, page 21) $|M_{(k)}|_{kk} = (\det^{col}(M_{(k)})^{-1} \det^{col}(M_{(k+1)}))^{-1}$, hence straightforward multiplication and cancellation gives the desired result.

The same arguments for the second equality (see I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson [GGRW02] page 41 theorem 4.9.7). \square

Remark 40 Though we do not discuss applications to integrability in this paper, but let us mention that the result applied to the Yangian generating matrix $e^{-\partial_z} T(z)$ (which is a Manin matrix) gives the useful fact relating the $qdet(T(z))$ and the diagonal of the Gauss decomposition.

7.5 Bibliographic notes

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem. The Cayley-Hamilton-like theorems for noncommutative matrices are an object of numerous papers. Enough to say that "Cayley-Hamilton" occurs 179 times during the MathSciNet search. There are also some similar theorems in non-matrix settings. A. J. Bracken and H. S. Green [BG71, Green71] found the first examples of related identities for classical semisimple Lie algebras. The subject was further developed in subsequent papers by Australian group (see e.g. [BCC77, Gould85]). This type of identities plays an important role in applications (e.g. [JM95],[MP99]). M. Gould, R. Zhang, A. Bracken [GZB91a] (see formula 29 page 2300) extended results to $U_q(g)$ for semisimple g , see also [GZB91b]. H. Ewen, O. Ogievetsky, and J. Wess [EOW91] section 4 lemma 4.1 contains CH identity for $Fun(GL_{p,q}(2))$. M. Nazarov and V. Tarasov [NT94] (see also [Mol02] section 4.3 page 37, [MBook07]) found new approach to Bracken-Green type identities via the Yangian and relation with the Capelli determinant was understood. A. Kirillov [Kir00] (see also [R05]) generalized the CH identities related to $U(gl(n))$. T. Umeda [U98] section 3, page 3174 and M. Itoh [Itoh2] gave another more direct approach to CH theorem for semisimple Lie algebras and generalizations. I. Kantor, I. Trishin [KT99] (see also [UM94]) considered the case of super-matrices. A comprehensive study of Cayley-Hamilton and related identities was attempted in D. Gurevich, A. Isaev, O. Ogievetsky, P. Pyatov, P. Saponov papers [GIOPS95]-[GIOPS05]. A non-trivial character of these identities (in general) is that instead of the matrix power M^k one considers the so-called quantum matrix powers introduced first by J.-M. Maillet [Ma90]. This quantum powers are important from the point of view of quantum integrable systems since their traces provide the commuting elements (integrals of motion), while the traces of usual powers do not commute in general. In all these papers the CH theorem states the linear dependence of power (or quantum powers) of matrices where coefficients of linear dependence are elements from the basic ring. Another version of CH theorem was proposed by J. J. Zhang [Zh93] for the case of quantum group $Fun_q(GL_n)$. In this paper the coefficients of linear dependence are diagonal matrices, with different (in general) elements on the diagonal, but they are not elements of the ground ring like in theorems above.

A quasideterminant version of the CH theorem and the Capelli formula has appeared in [GR92] (for matrices with coefficients in an arbitrary ring). gl_n - case was detailed in [GKLLRT94] section 8.6 page 96. The paper by O. Ogievetsky, A. Vahlas [OV03] compares the two formulations of the CH theorem (for quantum and for usual powers). The first formulation is more useful from the point of view of integrable systems.

There are also works of more ring theoretic spirit. C. Procesi [P87] proves that an appropriate version of CH identity in ring \mathcal{K} with a trace is necessary and sufficient condition for existence of embedding of \mathcal{K} into matrices $Mat(C)$, where C is commutative ring. The paper J. Szegedi

[Sz97] discusses another generalization of CH theorem for rings \mathcal{K} such that $\exists n : \forall x_1, \dots, x_n : [x_1[x_2 \dots [x_{n-1}, x_n] \dots]] = 0$, his result states that there exists a polynomials χ_n such that $\chi_n(A) = 0$ for any matrix A over \mathcal{K} . (See also M. Domokos [Do96] for developments and concrete examples). In [Sz06] the generalization to the case of matrices with values in $[R, R]$ is discussed.

The Newton identities. The identities for Manin matrices seems to appear first in [CF07]. For some other classes of matrices with noncommutative entries they can be found in (I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. S. Retakh and J.-Y. Thibon) [GKLLRT94], D. Gurevich, A. Isaev, O. Ogievetsky, P. Pyatov, P. Saponov papers [GIOPS95]-[GIOPS05], (T. Umeda) [U98], (M. Ito) [Ito98], see also (A. Molev) [Mol02] section 4.1 page 36 and bibliographic notes on page 43, [MBook07] section 7.1, for quantum matrices see (M. Domokos, T. H. Lenagan) [DL03]. The Perelomov-Popov formulas [PP66] can also seen as a form of the Newton identities. Applying the Newton identities above to the example defined by formula 71a page 19 one can probably derive these results.

The MacMahon-Wronski identity. As we have mention the identity for q-Manin matrices has been discovered in (S. Garoufalidis, T. Le, D. Zeilberger) [GLZ03], (see also [EP06, KPak06, FH06]). The most natural and simple proof based on Koszul duality has been obtained in (Phung Hai, M. Lorenz) [HL06]. For [FRT90] quantum group matrices $RTT = TTR$ and some more general algebras the identity has been already known in some form (A. Isaev, O. Ogievetsky, P. Pyatov) [GIOPS98] page 9, (see also [GIOPS95]-[GIOPS05]). For some other noncommutative matrices the identity is discussed in (T. Umeda) [U03], where similar ideas on the Koszul duality are used.

The Plücker coordinates. For generic noncommutative matrices quasi-Plücker coordinates were studied by I. Gelfand, V. Retakh [GR97] section 2.1 page 9, (I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson [GGRW02] section 4 page 33). Note that in the commutative case they are not the standard Plücker coordinates, but their ratios. Quasi-Plücker coordinates were further studied in . (A. Lauve) [Lauve06]. It would be interesting to clarify relations between Plücker coordinates above and quasi-Plücker ones.

Due to relations (A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky) [BZ93] for the dual canonical (crystal) basis, the quantum minors and relations between them for [FRT90] quantum group matrices $RTT = TTR$ are widely studied: [LZ98, Skoda05, Goodearl05, Rita03, CM05, Scott00, KLR02]. But these important results are specific to $RTT = TTR$ matrices, one cannot expect that they hold true for more general class of Manin matrices. It might be natural to ask whether any of the properties of q-Plücker coordinates survives in a "twicely" wider class of (q)-Manin matrices? (Surely not all of them do).

8 Matrix (Leningrad) form of the defining relations for Manin matrices

In this section we present the defining relations for Manin matrices in the matrix (Leningrad) notations as well as some applications. Such notations are an almost universally used tool in the theory of quantum groups and of quantum integrable system. We shall herewith frame the definition and the main properties of Manin matrices within this formalism. The main benefit is that some formulas (e.g., the commutation relations between the generators) will be most compactly written. Also, we will show how some of our statements can be conveniently translated and used in this formalism.

At first we shall collect some notions coming from the "Leningrad school"'s approach to these issues. Then we shall consider the case of Manin matrices and finally give a few applications.

8.1 A brief account of matrix (Leningrad) notations

The notations are briefly discussed in various texts, let us only mention (L. Faddeev, L. Takhtajan) [FT87], (V. Chari, A. Pressley) [CP94] section 7.1C page 222. Here we provide definitions and examples.

Let \mathcal{K} be an associative algebra over \mathbb{C} . An $n \times n$ matrix $A \in Mat_n[\mathcal{K}]$ with entries A_{ij} in a non-commutative algebra \mathcal{K} can be considered as an element:

$$A = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n, \quad (347)$$

where E_{ij} are standard "matrix units", i.e. those matrices whose (i, j) -th element is 1, and all the others are zero. Mat_n is an associative algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} .

One considers the tensor product²⁴ $\mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$ and introduces the following notations:

$$\overset{1}{A} = A \otimes 1 = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} \otimes 1_{n \times n} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n \otimes Mat_n, \quad (348)$$

$$\overset{2}{B} = 1 \otimes B = \sum_{ij} B_{ij} \otimes 1_{n \times n} \otimes E_{ij} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n \otimes Mat_n, \quad (349)$$

where $1_{n \times n}$ is identity matrix of size $n \times n$. For further use, we notice that the permutation matrix $P \in Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$, defined by

$$P(e_i \otimes e_j) = e_j \otimes e_i. \quad (350)$$

can be written as $P = \sum_{ij} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}$, and satisfies the properties:

$$P^2 = 1, \quad (351)$$

$$(A \otimes 1)P = P(1 \otimes A), \quad (1 \otimes A)P = P(A \otimes 1). \quad (352)$$

A crucial observation is that, in general $(A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B) \neq (1 \otimes B)(A \otimes 1)$, and all n^4 commutators between the elements A_{ij} and B_{kl} are encoded in the expression $[A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes B]$. Indeed,

$$[A \otimes 1, 1 \otimes B] = \sum_{ijkl} [A_{ij}, B_{kl}] \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n \otimes Mat_n, \quad (353)$$

$$(A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B) = \sum_{ijkl} A_{ij} B_{kl} \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n \otimes Mat_n, \quad (354)$$

$$(1 \otimes B)(A \otimes 1) = \sum_{ijkl} B_{kl} A_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n \otimes Mat_n. \quad (355)$$

8.1.1 Matrix (Leningrad) notations in 2×2 case

It is useful to exemplify the matrix (Leningrad) notations by 2×2 examples. Although these notions are standard, it might be convenient for the reader to reproduce them here.

²⁴All tensor products are taken over \mathbb{C} .

$Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$ can be identified with Mat_{n^2} , provided an order of basis elements $e_i \otimes e_j \in \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^n$ is chosen. As it is customary, we order the basis in the tensor product $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ as $e_1 \otimes e_1, e_1 \otimes e_2, e_2 \otimes e_1, e_2 \otimes e_2$. Thus

$$A \otimes 1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & A_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{11} & 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & 0 & A_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{21} & 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ B_{21} & B_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_{11} & B_{12} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (356)$$

$$(A \otimes 1)(1 \otimes B) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B & A_{12}B \\ A_{21}B & A_{22}B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}B_{11} & A_{11}B_{12} & A_{12}B_{11} & A_{12}B_{12} \\ A_{11}B_{21} & A_{11}B_{22} & A_{12}B_{21} & A_{12}B_{22} \\ A_{21}B_{11} & A_{21}B_{12} & A_{22}B_{11} & A_{22}B_{12} \\ A_{21}B_{21} & A_{21}B_{22} & A_{22}B_{21} & A_{22}B_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (357)$$

$$(1 \otimes B)(A \otimes 1) = \begin{pmatrix} BA_{11} & BA_{12} \\ BA_{21} & BA_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11}A_{11} & B_{12}A_{11} & B_{11}A_{12} & B_{12}A_{12} \\ B_{21}A_{11} & B_{22}A_{11} & B_{21}A_{12} & B_{22}A_{12} \\ B_{11}A_{21} & B_{12}A_{21} & B_{11}A_{22} & B_{12}A_{22} \\ B_{21}A_{21} & B_{22}A_{21} & B_{21}A_{22} & B_{22}A_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (358)$$

The permutation matrix $P = E_{11} \otimes E_{11} + E_{12} \otimes E_{21} + E_{21} \otimes E_{12} + E_{22} \otimes E_{22}$ reads

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (359)$$

8.2 Manin's relations in the matrix form

Here we present a basic lemma which encodes the definition of Manin matrices in matrix notations. All the commutation relations between M_{ij} are encoded in one equation, whose form does not depend on the size n of matrices. The lemma has been suggested to us by P. Pyatov.

Let M be a $n \times n$ matrix with the elements in an associative algebra \mathcal{K} over \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 28 *A matrix M is a Manin matrix iff any of the following equivalent formulas hold:*

$$[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M] = P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M], \quad (360)$$

$$\frac{(1-P)}{2}(M \otimes 1)(1 \otimes M) \frac{(1-P)}{2} = \frac{(1-P)}{2}(M \otimes 1)(1 \otimes M), \quad (361)$$

$$\frac{(1+P)}{2}(1 \otimes M)(M \otimes 1) \frac{(1+P)}{2} = (M \otimes 1)(1 \otimes M) \frac{(1+P)}{2}, \quad (362)$$

$$(1-P)(1 \otimes M)(M \otimes 1)(1+P) = 0. \quad (363)$$

It should perhaps be noticed that $(1-P)/2$ and $(1+P)/2$ are two orthogonal idempotents, namely the antisymmetrizer and the symmetrizer.

Here we allowed ourselves some abuse of notations, denoting by 1 the identity matrix in Mat_n (e.g., $1 \otimes M$) as well as the identity matrix in $Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$ (e.g., $1 \pm P$).

Letting $P \in Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$ be the permutation matrix $P(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$, the formulas above are equalities in the associative algebra $\mathcal{K} \otimes Mat_n \otimes Mat_n$. Namely $M \otimes 1$ is a shorthand notation for $\sum_{i,j} M_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes M$ is $\sum_{i,j} M_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes E_{ij}$ where E_{ij} are standard "matrix units".

Proof. Let us prove (1) \Leftrightarrow (M is a Manin matrix).

$$[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M] = \sum_{i,j,k,l} [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}, \quad (364)$$

$$P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M] = \left(\sum_{a,b} E_{ab} \otimes E_{ba} \right) \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l} [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \right) = \quad (365)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{a,b} \sum_{i,j,k,l} [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] \otimes E_{ab} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ba} E_{kl} \right) = \left(\sum_{a,b} \sum_{i,j,k,l} [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] \otimes E_{aj} \delta_{bi} \otimes E_{bl} \delta_{ak} \right) = \quad (366)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l} [M_{ij}, M_{kl}] \otimes E_{kj} \otimes E_{il} \right) = \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l} [M_{kj}, M_{il}] \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl} \right). \quad (367)$$

Thus $[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M] = P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M]$ is equivalent to $[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}]$, which is the definition of Manin matrices, and (1) \Leftrightarrow (M is a Manin matrix) is proved.

To derive that the properties 1,2,3,4 are equivalent to each other is trivial use of the properties: $(M \otimes 1)P = P(1 \otimes M)$, $(1 \otimes M)P = P(M \otimes 1)$. \square

Corollary 8 *Let M or M^t be a Manin matrix, then:*

$$[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M]^2 = 0. \quad (368)$$

Proof. Let us consider M is a Manin matrix, the other case being similar.

$$[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M] = P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M], \text{ let us square this equality:} \quad (369)$$

$$[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M]^2 = P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M]P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M], \quad (370)$$

$$P[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M]P = [PM \otimes 1P, P1 \otimes MP] = [1 \otimes M, M \otimes 1] = -[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M], \quad (371)$$

$$\text{So: } [M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M]^2 = -[M \otimes 1, 1 \otimes M]^2. \quad (372)$$

\square

We will show below see corollary 30, page 67:

Proposition 17 *Let M be a two-sided invertible Manin matrix, then*

$$0 = P[M^{-1}, M^{-1}][M, M] = P[M, M]M^{-1}M^{-1}[M, M]. \quad (373)$$

It is actually equivalent to the theorem that the inverse to a two-sided invertible Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix.

The following generalization of lemma 28 has been discovered by A.Silantiev:

Proposition 18 *Let M be an $n \times n$ Manin matrix. Then $\forall m$:*

$$A_m M^1 M^2 \cdots M^m = A_m M^1 M^2 \cdots M^m A_m, \quad (374)$$

$$M^1 M^2 \cdots M^m S_m = S_m M^1 M^2 \cdots M^m S_m, \quad (375)$$

where we consider the tensor product $\mathcal{K} \otimes \text{Mat}_n^{\otimes m}$, M^k is $\sum_{ij} M_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes E_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes \dots \otimes 1$, where E_{ij} stands on k -th position. $S_m, (A_m)$ is (anti)-symmetrizer in $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$. I.e. permutation group S_m naturally acts on $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$ and A_m is an image of $\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^\sigma \sigma$ and S_m is an image of $\sum_{\sigma \in S_m} \sigma$ under this action.

The proof will be provided in [CFRS].

8.3 Matrix (Leningrad) notations in Poisson case

Recall (see subsection 3.1.1 page 10) that by a Poisson-Manin we call a matrix with entries in some Poisson algebra, such that $\{M_{ij}, M_{kl}\} = \{M_{kj}, M_{il}\}$.

Let us also give a Poisson version of lemma 28 page 65 :

Lemma 29 *Matrix M is a Poisson-Manin matrix iff:*

$$\{M^1 \otimes M^2\} = P\{M^1 \otimes M^2\}. \quad (376)$$

Matrix M^t is a Poisson-Manin matrix iff:

$$\{M^1 \otimes M^2\} = \{M^1 \otimes M^2\}P. \quad (377)$$

Where we have used matrix (Leningrad) notation $\{A^1 \otimes B^2\}$ for the Poisson case, which is defined as follows:

$$\{A^1 \otimes B^2\} \stackrel{def}{=} \{A_{ij}, B_{kl}\} \otimes E_{ij} \otimes E_{kl}. \quad (378)$$

We have proved above (theorem 1 page 25) that inverse to a Manin matrix is again a Manin matrix, under the condition that M is two sided invertible. Let us give a Poisson version of this theorem, its proof demonstrates efficiency of matrix notations in calculations.

Theorem 17 *Assume that M is invertible Poisson-Manin matrix then M^{-1} is again Poisson-Manin matrix.*

Proof. Due to the lemma 29 page 67 above it is enough to prove that:

$$\{M^{-1} \otimes M^{-1}\} = P\{M^{-1} \otimes M^{-1}\}. \quad (379)$$

This can be achieved by the straightforward calculation:

$$\{M^{-1} \otimes M^{-1}\} = -M^2{}^{-1}\{M^{-1} \otimes M^{-1}\}M^2{}^{-1} = M^2{}^{-1}M^1{}^{-1}\{M^1 \otimes M^2\}M^1{}^{-1}M^2{}^{-1} = \quad (380)$$

$$\text{use "Pyatov's lemma" 29 : } \{M^1 \otimes M^2\} = P\{M^1 \otimes M^2\} \quad \text{so we get:} \quad (381)$$

$$= M^2{}^{-1}M^1{}^{-1}P\{M^1 \otimes M^2\}M^1{}^{-1}M^2{}^{-1} = \quad (382)$$

$$\text{let us use that } \forall \text{ matrices } A : \quad \overset{1}{A}P = P\overset{2}{A}, \overset{2}{A}P = P\overset{1}{A} \quad \text{so we get:} \quad (383)$$

$$= PM^1{}^{-1}M^2{}^{-1}\{M^1 \otimes M^2\}M^1{}^{-1}M^2{}^{-1} = \quad (384)$$

$$\text{let us use } \overset{1}{A}\overset{2}{B} = \overset{2}{B}\overset{1}{A}$$

(It is true for matrices with commutative elements).

(This is the only point in arguments which does not work in the noncommutative case).

$$= PM^2{}^{-1}M^1{}^{-1}\{M^1 \otimes M^2\}M^1{}^{-1}M^2{}^{-1} = P\{M^1{}^{-1} \otimes M^2{}^{-1}\}. \quad (385)$$

□

Let us mention a curious corollary, which arises if one uses the same arguments as above in the noncommutative case (not a Poisson one) and also uses the established fact that M^{-1} is again a Manin matrix.

Lemma 30 *Let M be two-sided invertible Manin matrix, then:*

$$0 = P[M^1{}^{-1}, M^2{}^{-1}][M^1, M^2] = P[M^1, M^2]M^1{}^{-1}M^2{}^{-1}[M^1, M^2]. \quad (386)$$

9 Conclusion and open questions

Let us make concluding remarks and mention open problems.

In the present paper we considered a class of matrices with noncommutative entries and demonstrated that most of the linear algebra properties can be transferred to this class. We refer to [CF07, CFRS, CM08], [CFRy], [RST08, CSS08] for applications and related issues.

The natural question whether one can extend such theory to the other classes of noncommutative matrices. We already have positive results on q -analogs [CFRS] and more general matrices appearing in Manin's framework, we hope to publish this in some future. However what seems to be unclear - what can be applications of the "noncommutative endomorphisms" of general algebras, what it can give for studies of Sklyanin algebras or Calabi-Yau algebras [Gi06]?

Manin's framework is quite general, nevertheless it does not seem to cover all the examples of noncommutative matrices which appear in applications and for which some sporadic interesting results has been already obtained.

First class of examples comes from the theory of quantum integrability - almost all integrable systems have Lax matrices, and so their quantum versions provides matrices with noncommutative entries. We expect that linear algebra can be developed in all these cases and it will have important applications [CT06], however it is not so clear how to attack this problem.

Second: related questions appear in quantum group and Lie algebra theory: $gl(n)$ -related matrices in the non-vector representations [Kir00], [R05]; quantum groups for non- $gl(n)$ -case; twisted current algebras and twisted Yangians (e.g. [MR07]); reflection equation and more general quadratic algebras (L. Freidel and J. M. Maillet) [FM91]. Let us mention quite an interesting matrix related to symmetric group S_n appearing in (M. Gould) [Go87] page 1 and (P. Biane) [Bi00] page 3 from completely different points of view, [Go87] also contains generalizations to the more general finite groups.

The diversity of interesting noncommutative matrices suggest that it might natural not to work case by case, but rather ask a general question: given a matrix with noncommutative entries is it possible to understand whether its proper determinant exists or not? If yes, how to develop the linear algebra?

So we see that there is a field for the further research which concerns generalization of Manin matrices. Let us also mention some more concrete questions related to Manin matrices themselves.

9.1 Tridiagonal matrices and duality in Toda system

Let us recall a matrix identity for tridiagonal matrices and rise a question on its extension to Manin matrices. The identity is very well-known in integrability theory, it implies that Toda classical integrable system has two different Lax representations one by $n \times n$ matrices and another by 2×2 matrices. In the language of integrability theory our question is about quantization of this identity.

Let us consider commutative variables x_i and p_i ; consider the following matrices:

$$\mathcal{L}_{n \times n}(v) = \begin{pmatrix} -p_1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & v^{-1}e^{x_{1n}} \\ e^{x_{21}} & -p_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -p_{n-1} & 1 \\ v & 0 & \dots & e^{x_{n,n-1}} & -p_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_{jk} \equiv x_j - x_k. \quad (387)$$

$$L_{2 \times 2}(u) = \begin{pmatrix} u + p_n & -e^{x_n} \\ e^{-x_n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u + p_{n-1} & -e^{x_{n-1}} \\ e^{-x_{n-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} u + p_1 & -e^{x_1} \\ e^{-x_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (388)$$

The following identity is well-known in integrability theory (e.g. (E.K. Sklyanin) [Sk00] section 2.5 page 13 formula (46)). It is also known in the other fields of mathematical physics (L. Molinari) [Mo07] theorem 1 page 5 (here its generalization for block tridiagonal matrices has been found).

Lemma 31 *The following is true :*

$$(-1)^{n-1} \det(u - \mathcal{L}_{n \times n}(v)) = v^2 \det(1 - v^{-1} L_{2 \times 2}(u)). \quad (389)$$

Now consider the quantum case. I.e. consider noncommuting variables \hat{p}_i and \hat{x}_i , such that they satisfy the following commutation relations: $[\hat{p}_i, \hat{x}_j] = \delta_{ij}$, $[\hat{p}_i, \hat{p}_j] = [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0$. And consider matrices $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n \times n}(u)$, $\widehat{L}_{2 \times 2}(u)$ defined by the same formulas as above substituting \hat{x}_i for x_i and respectively \hat{p}_i for p_i .

One can see directly or look at [CF07] section 3.2 page 14, that:

$$e^{-\partial_u} \widehat{L}_{2 \times 2}(u) \quad - \text{ is a Manin matrix.} \quad (390)$$

Question. Is it possible to find an appropriate definition for " $\det(u - \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n \times n}(e^{\partial_u}))$ " such that:

$$(-1)^{n-1} \det(u - \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n \times n}(e^{\partial_u})) = e^{2\partial_u} \det^{col}(1 - e^{-\partial_u} \widehat{L}_{2 \times 2}(u)) \quad ? \quad (391)$$

The matrix $u - \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n \times n}(e^{\partial_u})$ does not seem to be related to Manin matrices at least in any simple way. So solution to this problem may lead to the development of linear algebra for noncommutative matrices beyond Manin's case, which is highly desired.

Remark 41 For readers who are not familiar with Lax matrices let us make the following remark (see also [CF07] section 3 page 9). Lax matrices $L(z)$ should satisfy several properties, one of them is: the characteristic polynomial $\det(\lambda - L(z)) = \sum_{ij} H_{ij} z^i \lambda^j$ should produce all Liouville integrals of motion, i.e. $\{H_{ij}, H_{kl}\} = 0$ and any integral of motion is a function of H_{ij} . Lax matrices exist for majority of integrable systems. One integrable system may have several Lax matrices. Matrices $L_{2 \times 2}(u)$, $\mathcal{L}_{n \times n}(u)$ are such two examples of Lax matrices for the one system - Toda classical integrable system. Moreover in quantum case it is quite natural to look for a kind of determinantal formula: " $\det(\hat{\lambda} - L(\hat{z}))$ " to produce all quantum integrals of motion: $[\hat{H}_{ij}, \hat{H}_{kl}] = 0$, and, possibly, to satisfy other important properties (see [CT06]). Formula $\det^{col}(1 - e^{-\partial_u} \widehat{L}_{2 \times 2}(u))$ is such a formula for quantum Toda system.

9.2 Fredholm type formulas

In the commutative case the following formulas can be found in [C97] (page 1). Fredholm's formulas for the solution of an integral equation is a particular case of them ([C97] section 4, page 10.)

Let $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the algebra of polynomials. Let $\mathbf{C}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ be the operator of multiplication on a polynomial $C(x_1, x_2, \dots)$; let $\mathbf{A}(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots)$ be a polynomial of operators $\partial_{x_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, $\partial_{x_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots$

Conjecture 1 *Consider $n \times n$ Manin matrix M , assume that $[M_{ij}, x_k] = 0$ and define its action on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ in a standard way: $x_i \rightarrow \sum_j M_{ij} x_j$ and $M(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) = M(x_{i_1}) \dots M(x_{i_k})$, then:*

$$Tr_{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]} (M \mathbf{A}(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots) \mathbf{C}(x_1, x_2, \dots)) = (Tr_{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]} M) \left\langle A(\partial_{x_1}, \partial_{x_2}, \dots) \left| \frac{1}{1 - M} C(x_1, x_2, \dots) \right. \right\rangle \quad (392)$$

Here pairing $\langle \dots | \dots \rangle$ is defined by the formula: $\langle (\partial_{x_1})^{i_1} \dots (\partial_{x_n})^{i_n} | (x_1)^{j_1} \dots (x_n)^{j_n} \rangle = \delta_{i_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{i_n}^{j_n} i_1! \dots i_n!$. The trace of the operator $M: \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots]$ is the sum of diagonal elements in the natural basis $(x_1)^{i_1} (x_2)^{i_2} \dots (x_k)^{i_k}$.

Analogously for the anticommuting variables $\xi_i \xi_j = -\xi_j \xi_i$:

Conjecture 2

$$Tr_{\Lambda[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]} (MA(\partial_{\xi_1}, \partial_{\xi_2}, \dots) \mathbf{C}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)) = (Tr_{\Lambda[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]} M) \left\langle A(\partial_{\xi_1}, \partial_{\xi_2}, \dots) \left| \frac{1}{1+M} \mathbf{C}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \right. \right\rangle \quad (393)$$

Corollary 9 Let $\mathbf{v} = \sum_k v_k x_k$, $\mathbf{w} = \sum_k w_k \partial_{x_k}$, $C(e^{\mathbf{v}}): \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ operator of multiplication on $(e^{\mathbf{v}})$ and $A(e^{\mathbf{w}}): \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ exponential of differentiation operator, then

$$\frac{Tr_{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]} (MA(e^{\mathbf{w}})C(e^{\mathbf{v}}))}{Tr_{\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]} (M)} = e^{\langle \mathbf{w} | \frac{1}{1-M} \mathbf{v} \rangle} \quad (394)$$

(See [C97] 3.4 page 9).

9.3 Tensor operations, immanants, Schur functions ...

In the commutative case one can consider tensor powers V^μ of $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ and corresponding tensor powers M^μ of any matrix M , indexed by a Young diagram μ . Can one extend this to the case of Manin matrices?

The obvious problem is the following: symmetric powers of a Manin matrix M can be defined by the right action on x_i , while antisymmetric powers by the left action on ψ_i . So it is not clear whether the natural way to mix left and right actions exists or not.

Schur functions $S(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ can be considered as traces $Tr(M^\mu)$, where λ_i are eigenvalues of M . They satisfy plenty of relations. Can one generalize them to the case of Manin matrices? $Tr(M^\mu)$ are written explicitly in terms of sum of principal μ -immanants of M ($\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mu(\sigma) \prod M_{i_p, \sigma(i_p)}$, where $\mu(\sigma)$ is a character of irrep of S_N corresponding to Young diagram μ). The determinant and permanent are particular cases of immanants. The problem above show up itself again: the permanent was defined by the row-expansion, while the determinant via column expansion. One can try to consider symmetrized immanants. (For Manin matrices symmetrized-determinant equals to column-determinant and symmetrized-permanent equals to row-permanent, since determinant (permanent) behaves well under permutations of columns (rows)).

Progress in this question may be applied to quantum immanants theory by A. Okounkov, G. Olshansky [Ok96, Ok96B, OO96], (see also [MBook07]) and to the related so-called "fusion" procedure in quantum integrable systems theory (e.g. (V. Kazakov, A. Sorin, A. Zabrodin) [KSZ07], (D. Gurevich, P. Pyatov, P. Saponov) [GIOPS05]) via applications to examples of Manin matrices considered in [CF07].

Noncommutative Schur functions were proposed in (I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. S. Retakh and J.-Y. Thibon) [GKLLRT94]. Is this recipe related to symmetrized (or whatever) immanants of Manin matrices? Can one relate these Schur functions to quantum immanants from (A. Okounkov) [Ok96] via specialization to a Manin matrix defined by formula 71a page 19 ?

These questions seems to us quite important, but we have not analyzed them yet. One of the reasons is lack of time, experience, etc... while another is that we have not seen any suggestion for the optimism, yet.

Question 2 Is there something interesting about the co-product of $\sigma_k, S_k, Tr(M^k)$? (Hopf algebra structures are useful in the symmetric function theory ([GKLLRT94] and references therein), but co-product natural for Manin matrices is different from used therein).

9.4 Other questions

Let us consider algebra generated by Manin's relations $[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}]$, consider the moduli space of all its k -dimensional representations (i.e. just the set of $k \times k$ matrices A_{ij} satisfying the relations above, modula conjugation). It is some manifold (orbifold). What can be said about it? That question might be of some interest since in a particular case it includes the "commuting variety": $A, B : [A, B] = 0$, which is a subject of intensive research.

Concerning the algebra generated by M_{ij} with the only relations $[M_{ij}, M_{kl}] = [M_{kj}, M_{il}]$, it seems also little to be known. Are their left or right zero divisors? If no - can one embed it into some field of fractions? What is its Poincarè series with respect to the natural grading?

Recently some non-linear algebra of multi-index multi-matrices began to emerge [ADMS], where appropriate resultants play role of the determinant, it might be interesting to obtain some noncommutative generalizations of their results in the spirit of the present paper.

One may also try to study some properties of random Manin matrices.

References

- [ADMS] E.T.Akhmedov, V.Dolotin, A.Morozov, *Comment on the Surface Exponential for Tensor Fields*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0504160>
- V. Dolotin, A. Morozov, *Introduction to Non-Linear Algebra*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007, 269 pp. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0609022>
- A.Morozov, Sh.Shakirov, *Analogue of the identity Log Det = Trace Log for resultants*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.4632>
- [Adj93] Adjamagbo K., *Panorama de la theorie des determinants sur un anneau non commutatif*, Bulletin des sciences mathematiques, 1993, vol. 117, no3, pp. 401-420
- [BBC06] Teodor Banica, Julien Bichon, Benoit Collins, *Quantum permutation groups: a survey*, "Noncommutative harmonic analysis with applications to probability", 13-34, Banach Center Publ., 78, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2007. arXiv:math/0612724
- [BCC77] O'Brien, D. M.; Cant, A.; Carey, A. L. *On characteristic identities for Lie algebras.*, Ann. de l'Inst. Henri Poincare (A) Physique theorique, **26** no. 4 (1977), p. 405-429 [http://www.numdam.org/...](http://www.numdam.org/)
- [Bi00] P. Biane, *Approximate factorization and concentration for characters of symmetric groups*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 4, 179-192. [arXiv:math/0006111]
- [BG71] A. J. Bracken, H. S. Green, *Vector operators and a polynomial identity for SO(n)*, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1971), 2099-2106. <http://link.aip.org/link/?JMAPAQ/12/2099/1>
- [BT02] Babelon, O. Talon, M., *Riemann surfaces, separation of variables and classical and quantum integrability*, Phys. Lett. A, **312** (2003), no. 1-2, 71-77. hep-th/0209071

- [BZ93] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, *String bases for quantum groups of type A_r* , I. M. Gelfand Seminar, 51–89, Adv. Soviet Math., 16, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
<http://math.uoregon.edu/~arkadiy/andrei.ps>
- [Ba68] E. H. Bareiss, *Sylvester’s identity and multistep integer-preserving Gaussian elimination*, Math. Comput. **22** (1968), 565–578 <http://www.jstor.org/pss/2004533>
- [Bres99] D. Bressoud, *Proofs and Confirmations: The Story of the Alternating Sign Matrix Conjecture*, Cambridge University Press, 1999
- [C97] A. Chervov, *Traces of creation-annihilation operators and Fredholm’s formulas*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **32**, (1998), no. 1, 71–74 q-alg/9703017
- [CF07] A. Chervov, G. Falqui, *Manin matrices and Talalaev’s formula*, [arxiv.org:0711.2236](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.2236) J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008) 194006
- [CF69] P. Cartier, D. Foata, *Problemes combinatoires de commutation et rearrangements*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 85, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1969.
- [CFRS] A. Chervov, G. Falqui, V. Rubtsov, A. Silantiev, to appear
- [CFRy] A. Chervov, G. Falqui, L. Rybnikov, *Limits of Gaudin algebras, quantization of bending flows, Jucys–Murphy elements and Gelfand–Tsetlin bases*, [arXiv:0710.4971](http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.4971)
Limits of Gaudin systems: classical and quantum cases, Submitted to SIGMA
- [CM05] P. Caldero, R. Marsh, *A multiplicative property of quantum flag minors II*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **69** (2004), no. 3, 608–622. math.RT/0301280
- [CM08] A. Chervov, A. Molev, *On higher order Sugawara operators*, [arXiv:0808.1947](http://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1947)
- [CP94] Chari, Vyjayanthi; Pressley, Andrew *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. [http://books.google.com/...](http://books.google.com/)
- [CSS08] Sergio Caracciolo, Andrea Sportiello, Alan D. Sokal, *Noncommutative determinants, Cauchy–Binet formulae, and Capelli-type identities. I. Generalizations of the Capelli and Turnbull identities*, [arXiv:0809.3516](http://arxiv.org/abs/0809.3516)
- [CT06] A. Chervov, D. Talalaev *Quantum spectral curves, quantum integrable systems and the geometric Langlands correspondence*, [hep-th/0604128](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0604128)
- [Ca1887] A. Capelli, *Über die Zurückführung der Cayley’schen Operation Ω auf gewöhnliche Polaroperationen*, Math. Annalen, **29**, 331–338 (1887). [http://gdz.sub.uni-goettingen.de/...](http://gdz.sub.uni-goettingen.de/)
- [D1866] C.L. Dodgson, *Condensation of Determinants*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London **15**, (1866), 150–155. <http://www.jstor.org/pss/112607>
- [DI] Doron Zeilberger, *Reverend Charles to the aid of Major Percy and Fields-Medalist Enrico*, Amer. Math. Monthly **103**, (1996), no. 6, 501–502. [arXiv:math/9507220](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9507220)
Doron Zeilberger *Dodgson’s Determinant-Evaluation Rule proved by Two-Timing Men and Women*, [arXiv:math/9808079](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/9808079)

- Hone, Andrew N. W. *Dodgson condensation, alternating signs and square ice*, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **364**, (2006), no. 1849, 3183–3198. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2006.1887>
- Richard Evan Schwartz *Discrete Monodromy, Pentagrams, and the Method of Condensation*, arXiv:0709.1264
- Kouachi Said, Abdelmalek Salem, Rebiai Belgacem *A Mathematical Proof of Dodgson's Algorithm*, arXiv:0712.0362
- [DL03] M. Domokos, T. H. Lenagan, *The traces of quantum powers commute*, arXiv:math.QA/0302063
- [Dieud43] J. Dieudonné, *Les determinantes sur un corps non-commutatif*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **71**, (1943), 27-45.
- [Do96] M. Domokos, *Cayley-Hamilton theorem for 2×2 matrices over the Grassmann algebra*, Ring theory (Miskolc, 1996). J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **133** (1998), no. 1-2, 69–81. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049\(97\)00184-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(97)00184-9)
- [EOW91] H. Ewen, O. Ogievetsky, and J. Wess *Quantum matrices in two dimensions*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, **22**, Number 4 / August, 1991
<http://www.springerlink.com/content/h11t67772521q615/>
- [EP06] Etingof, Pavel; Pak, Igor, *An algebraic extension of the MacMahon Master Theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136**, (2008), no. 7, 2279–2288. math/0608005
- [ER01] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, *Commuting families in skew fields and quantization of Beauville's fibration*, Duke Math. J. **119** (2003), no. 2, 197–219. math.AG/0112276
- [ER95] B. Enriquez, V. Rubtsov, *Hitchin systems, higher Gaudin operators and r-matrices*. Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996) 343-357. alg-geom/9503010
- [FFR94] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, *Gaudin model, Bethe Ansatz and critical level*. Comm. Math. Phys., **166**, (1994), pp. 27-62.
- [FH06] D Foata, GN Han, *A basis for the right quantum algebra and the "1 = q" principle*, J. Algebraic Combin. **27** (2008), no. 2, 163–172. math/0603463
- [FM91] L. Freidel and J. M. Maillet, *Quadratic algebras and integrable systems*, Physics Letters B, **262**, no. 2-3, 20 June 1991, Pages 278-284 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(91\)91566-E](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(91)91566-E)
- [FM01] Hermann Flaschka, John Millson *Bending flows for sums of rank one matrices*, Canad. J. Math. **57** (2005), no. 1, 114–158. arXiv:math/0108191v2
- [FRT90] Reshetikhin, N. Yu.; Takhtadzhyan, L. A.; Faddeev, L. D. Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras. (English. Russian original) [J] Leningr. Math. J. **1**, No.1, 193-225 (1990); translation from Algebra Anal. **1**, No.1, 178-206 (1989).
- [FT87] Faddeev, L. D.; Takhtajan, L. A. *Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. x+592 pp.

- [FTS79] L.D.Faddeev, L.A.Takhdadjan, E.K.Sklyanin, *Quantum inverse problem method I*, Theor.Math.Phys. **40**, (1979), no.2. [http://www.springerlink.com/...](http://www.springerlink.com/)
- [FZ93] D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, *Combinatorial proofs of Capelli's and Turnbull's identities from classical invariant theory*, Electron. J. Combin. **1**, R1 (1994). arXiv:math.CO/9309212
- [Fo79] D. Foata, *A Noncommutative Version of the Matrix Inversion Formula*, Adv. Math., **31**, No 3, 330-349. (1979)
- [GGRW02] I. Gelfand, S. Gelfand, V. Retakh, R. Wilson, *Quasideterminants*, Advances in Mathematics, **193**, Issue 1, 1 May 2005, Pages 56-141 math.QA/0208146
- [Gi06] V. Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras*, arXiv:math/0612139
- [GIOPS05] Dimitri Gurevich, Pavel Pyatov, Pavel Saponov, *The Cayley-Hamilton theorem for quantum matrix algebras of $GL(m|n)$ type.*, St. Petersburg Math. J., **17** (2006), no. 1, 119–135 math.QA/0508506
- [GIOPS95] P. Pyatov, P. Saponov, *Characteristic Relations for Quantum Matrices*, J. Phys. A, **28** (1995), no. 15, 4415–4421. q-alg/9502012
- [GIOPS98] A. Isaev, O. Ogievetsky, P. Pyatov, *On quantum matrix algebras satisfying the Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities*, J. Phys. A, **32** (1999), no. 9, L115–L121 math/9809170
- [GIOPS99] A. P. Isaev, O. V. Ogievetsky, P. N. Pyatov, *Cayley-Hamilton-Newton identities and quasitriangular Hopf algebras*, math.QA/9912197
- [GKLLRT94] I. M. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. S. Retakh and J.-Y. Thibon, *Noncommutative symmetric functions*, Adv. Math., **112** (1995), 218–348. <http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9407124>
- [GLZ03] Stavros Garoufalidis, Thang TQ. Le, Doron Zeilberger, *The quantum MacMahon Master Theorem*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **103** (2006), no. 38, 13928–13931 (electronic) math.QA/0303319
- [GR91] I. M. Gelfand, V. S. Retakh, *Determinants of matrices over non commutative rings*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **25** (1991), 91–102.
- [GR92] I. M. Gelfand, V. S. Retakh, V. S. , *Theory of noncommutative determinants, and characteristic functions of graphs.*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **26** (1992), , 231–246.
- [GR97] I. Gelfand, V. Retakh *Quasideterminants, I*, Selecta Math., **3**, no. 4, 517-546, (1997). q-alg/9705026
- [Go87] M. Gould, *Identities and characters for finite groups*, J. Phys. A , **20** (1987), no. 10, 2657–2665. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/20/10/009>
- [GZB91a] Gould, M. D.; Zhang, R. B.; Bracken, A. J. *Generalized Gelfand invariants and characteristic identities for quantum groups*, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991), no. 9, 2298–2303. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.529152>
- [GZB91b] Gould, M. D.; Zhang, R. B.; Bracken, A. J. *Generalized Gelfand invariants of quantum groups*, J. Phys. A , **24** (1991), no. 5, 937–943. <http://stacks.iop.org/0305-4470/24/937>

- [Ga76] M. Gaudin, *Diagonalisation d' une classe d' hamiltoniens de spin*, J. de Physique, **37**, 1087-1098 (1976).
- [Goodearl05] K. R. Goodearl, *Commutation relations for arbitrary quantum minors*, math.QA/0502331
- [Gould85] M. D. Gould, *Characteristic identities for semi-simple Lie algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. B., **26**, (1985), 257–283.
- [Green71] H. S. Green, *Characteristic identities for generators of $GL(n)$; $O(n)$ and $SP(n)$* , J. Math. Phys, **12** (1971), 2106–2113. <http://link.aip.org/link/?JMAPAQ/12/2106/1>
- [HL06] Hai, Phung Ho; Lorenz, Martin, *Koszul algebras and the quantum MacMahon Master Theorem* Bull. Lond. Math. Soc., **39** (2007), no. 4, 667–676. math/0603169
- [HU91] R. Howe, *Remarks on classical invariant theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **313**, 539-570 (1989) and erratum 318, 823 (1990).
R. Howe and T. Umeda, *The Capelli identity, the double commutant theorem, and multiplicity-free actions*, Math. Ann. **290**, 565-619 (1991). <http://gdz.sub.uni-goettingen.de/...>
- [Ito98] Itoh, Minoru *Explicit Newton's Formulas for gln* J. of Algebra, **208**, no. 2, (1998), pp. 687-697 <http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/els/00218693/1998/00000208/00000002>
- [Itoh2] M. Itoh, *A Cayley-Hamilton theorem for the skew Capelli elements*, J. Algebra, **242** (2001), no. 2, 740–761 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jabr.2001.8824>
M. Itoh, *Capelli elements for the orthogonal Lie algebras*, J. Lie Theory, **10**, (2000), no. 2, 463–489.
- [JM95] Jarvis, P. D.; McAnally, D. S. *Generalized characteristic identities and applications*, Confronting the infinite (Adelaide, 1994), 215–224, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1995.
- [KL94] D. Krob and B. Leclerc, *Minor identities for quasi-determinants and quantum determinants*, Comm. Math. Phys. **169**, issue 1, 1995, pages 1–23, q-alg/9411194
- [KLR02] A. C. Kelly, T. H. Lenagan, L. Rigal, *Ring theoretic properties of quantum grassmannians*, J. Algebra Appl. , **3**, (2004), no. 1, 9–30. math.QA/0208152
- [KPak06] Konvalinka, Matjaz; Pak, Igor, *Non-commutative extensions of the MacMahon Master Theorem*, Advances in Mathematics, **193**, no.1, (2005), pp.56-141 math/0607737
- [KSZ07] V. Kazakov, A. Sorin and A. Zabrodin, *Supersymmetric Bethe Ansatz and Baxter Equations from Discrete Hirota Dynamics*, Nuclear Phys. B, **790**, (2008), no. 3, 345–413. arXiv:hep-th/0703147
- [KT99] I. Kantor, I. Trishin, *On the Cayley-Hamilton equation in the supercase*, Comm. Algebra, **27**, (1999), no. 1, 233–259. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927879908826430>
I. Trishin, *On representations of the Cayley-Hamilton equation in the supercase*, Comm. Algebra, **27** (1999), no. 1, 261–287.
- [Kato] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 132, Springer Verlag.

- [Kir00] A.A. Kirillov, *Introduction to family algebras*, Mosc. Math. J. **1** (2001), no. 1, 49–63. <http://www.ams.org/distribution/mmj/vol1-1-2001/kirillov.pdf>
- [Ko07A] Matjaz Konvalinka, *A generalization of Foata's fundamental transformation and its applications to the right-quantum algebra*, math.CO/0703203
- [Ko07B] Matjaz Konvalinka, *Non-commutative Sylvester's determinantal identity*, Electron. J. Combin. **14** (2007), no. 1, Research Paper 42, 29 pp. (electronic). math.CO/0703213
- [LT07] A. Lauve and E.J. Taft, *A class of left quantum groups modeled after $SL_q(r)$* , Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **208**, Issue 3, March 2007, Pages 797-803 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2006.03.017>
- [LZ98] B. Leclerc, A. Zelevinsky *Quasicommuting families of quantum Plücker coordinates*, - Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.(2), 1998 <http://www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de/axel/papers/leclerc...>
- [Lauve06] A. Lauve, *Quantum- and quasi-Plücker coordinates.*, J. Algebra, **296** (2006), no. 2, 440–461. math.QA/0602448
- [MBook07] A. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 143. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007, <http://www.ams.org/bookstore/...>
- [MG85] G. Muhlbach and M. Gasca, *A Generalization of Sylvester's Identity on Determinants and Some Applications*, Linear Algebra Appl. **66** (1985), 221–234
- [MH06] M.J. Hopkins, A. I. Molev, *A q -Analogue of the Centralizer Construction and Skew Representations of the Quantum Affine Algebra*, arXiv:math.QA/0606121
- [MNO94] A. Molev, M. Nazarov, G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Surveys, **51** (1996), no. 2, 205–282 math.QA/0211288
- [MP99] A. J. Macfarlane, H. Pfeiffer (Cambridge), *On characteristic equations, trace identities and Casimir operators of simple Lie algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **41** (2000), no. 5, 3192–3225. *Erratum:* J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001), no. 2, 977. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/9907024>
- [MR07] A. I. Molev, E. Ragoucy, *Symmetries and invariants of twisted quantum algebras and associated Poisson algebras*, Rev. Math. Phys. **20** (2008), no. 2, 173–198. arXiv:math/0701902
- [MTV05] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *The B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real algebraic geometry and the Bethe ansatz*, math.AG/0512299
- [MTV06] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko, *A generalization of the Capelli identity*, math.QA/0610799
- [Ma90] J.-M. Maillet, *Jean-Michel Lax equations and quantum groups*, Phys. Lett. B **245**, (1990), no. 3-4, 480–486. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(90\)90677-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(90)90677-X)
- [Man87] Y. E. Manin, *Some remarks on Koszul algebras and quantum groups* Ann. de l'Inst. Fourier, **37**, no. 4 (1987), p. 191-205 <http://www.numdam.org/...>

- [Man88] Y. Manin, *Quantum Groups and Non Commutative Geometry*, Universit de Montral, Centre de Recherches Mathmatiques, Montreal, QC, 1988, 91 pp.
- [Man91] Y. Manin, *Topics in Non Commutative Geometry*, M. B. Porter Lectures. Princeton University Press, 1991; 164 pp.
- [Man92] Y. Manin, *Notes on Quantum Groups and Quantum de Rham Complexes*, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, **92**, Number 3 / September, 1992 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/uv1q60145574t428/>
- [MMDZ] E.E. Demidov, Yu. I. Manin, E.E. Mukhin, D.V. Zhdanovich, *Non-Standard Quantum Deformations of $GL(n)$ and Constant Solutions of the Yang-Baxter Equation*, Progress of theoretical physics. Supplement **102** (19910329) pp. 203-218 <http://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/110001207947/en/>
- [Mo07] Luca G. Molinari, *Determinants of Block Tridiagonal Matrices*, **429** (2008), no. 8-9, 2221–2226. arXiv:0712.0681
- [Mol02] A. I. Molev, *Yangians and their applications*, "Handbook of algebra", Vol. 3, 907–959, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2003. math.QA/0211288
- [Mol04] A. I. Molev, *Skew representations of twisted Yangians*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **12** (2006), 1–38, arXiv:math.QA/0408303
- [Muir1890] T. Muir, *The Theory of Determinants in the Historical Order of Development*, <http://books.google.com/books?id=lfnyeEv4O1wC>
- [NT94] Nazarov, Maxim; Tarasov, Vitaly *Yangians and Gelfand-Zetlin bases*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), no. 3, 459–478. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2977/prims/1195165907>
- [Na91] M. Nazarov, *Quantum Berezinian and the classical capelli identity*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, **21**, Number 2 / February, 1991 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/rt5r3313732p48j7>
- [OO96] A. Okounkov, G. Olshansky, *Shifted Schur Functions*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **9**, (1998), no. 2 q-alg/9605042
- [OV03] O. Ogievetsky, A. Vahlas, *The relation between two types of characteristic equations for quantum matrices*, Lett. Math. Phys. **65** (2003), no. 1, 49–57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1027316209695>
- [Ok96] Andrei Okounkov, *Quantum Immanants and Higher Capelli Identities*, Transform. Groups, **1** (1996), no. 1-2, 99–126. q-alg/9602028
- [Ok96B] A. Okounkov, *Young basis, Wick formula and higher Capelli identities*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **17**, (1996), 817–839. q-alg/9602027
- [P87] C. Procesi, *A formal inverse to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem*, J. Algebra **107** (1987), no. 1, 63–74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(87\)90073-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(87)90073-1)
- [PP66] A. M. Perelomov and V. S. Popov, *Casimir operators for $U(n)$ and $SU(n)$* , Soviet J. Nucl. Phys. **3** (1966), 676–680.

- [R05] N. Rozhkovskaya, *Braided central elements*,
arXiv:math/0510226v1
- [RRT02] Suemi Rodriguez-Romo, Earl Taft, *Some quantum-like Hopf algebras which remain noncommutative when $q = 1$* , Lett. Math. Phys. **61** (2002), 4150.
<http://www.springerlink.com/content/m6842k7r0u0q461u>
- [RRT05] Suemi Rodriguez-Romo, Earl Taft, *A left quantum group*, J. Algebra **286** (2005), 154–160.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2005.01.002>
- [Rita03] F. Rita, *Commutation relations among generic quantum minors in $\mathcal{O}_q(M_n(k))$* ,
math.QA/0312057
- [RST08] V. Rubtsov, A. Silantiev, D. Talalaev, *Quantum gl_n elliptic Gaudin model revisited*, to appear
- [Scott00] J. S. Scott, *Quasi-Commuting Families of Quantum Minors*, J. Algebra **290** (2005), no. 1, 204–220. math.QA/0008100
- [Sk00] E.K. Sklyanin, *Baecklund transformations and Baxter’s Q -operator*, ”Integrable systems: from classical to quantum” (Montral, QC, 1999), 227–250, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000. arXiv:nlin/0009009
- [Skoda05] Z. Skoda, *Included-row exchange principle for quantum minors*, math.QA/0510512
- [Sz06] J. Szigeti, *Cayley-Hamilton theorem for matrices over an arbitrary ring*, Serdica Math. J. **32** (2006), no. 2-3, 269–276. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-97-03868-9>
- [Sz97] J. Szigeti, *New determinants and the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for matrices over Lie nilpotent rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 8, 2245–2254.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-97-03868-9>
- [Ta04] D. Talalaev, *Quantization of the Gaudin system*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **40** No. 1 pp.86-91 (2006)
hep-th/0404153
- [Tak02] K. Takasaki *Integrable systems whose spectral curve is the graph of a function*, ”Superintegrability in classical and quantum systems”, 211–222, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004. arXiv:nlin/0211021
- [Tu48] H.W. Turnbull, *Symmetric determinants and the Cayley and Capelli operators*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)8, 76-86 (1948).
- [U03] T. Umeda, *Application of Koszul complex to Wronski relations for $U(gl_n)$* , Comm. Math. Helvetici, **78** (2003), 4, 663-680 <http://www.springerlink.com/content/2l45hauppwnjc6be/>
- [U98] T. Umeda, *Newton’s formula for $gl(n)$* , Proc. AMS, **126**; (1998), n. 11, pp. 3169-3176
<http://www.ams.org/proc/1998-126-11/S0002-9939-98-04557-2/home.html>
- [UM94] L. Urrutia, N. Morales *The Cayley-Hamilton theorem for supermatrices*, J. Phys. A **27** (1994), no. 6, 1981–1997. arXiv:gr-qc/9304036
- D.E.Berenstein, L.F.Urrutia *The equivalence between the Mandelstam and the Cayley-Hamilton identities.*, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994), no. 4, 1922–1930. arXiv:hep-th/9305156

- [Wang98] S. Wang, *Quantum symmetry groups of finite spaces*, Comm. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 195-211. arXiv:math/9807091
- [WBook] J.H. Wilkinson, *The algebraic eigenvalue problem*, Oxford University Press, Inc. New York, NY, USA <http://www.dleex.com/details/?7443>
- [Zh93] J. J. Zhang, *The quantum Cayley-Hamilton theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **129** (1998), no. 1, 101–109. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049\(97\)00039-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4049(97)00039-X)