

CALCULATIONS OF THE K^+ -NUCLEUS MICROSCOPIC OPTICAL POTENTIAL AND OF THE CORRESPONDING DIFFERENTIAL ELASTIC CROSS SECTIONS

V.K.LUKYANOV^{1†}, E.V.ZEMLYANAYA¹, K.V.LUKYANOV¹, and K.M.HANNA²

(1) *Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Moscow oblast, 141980 Russia*

(2) *Math. and Theor. Phys. Dep., NRC, Atomic Energy Authority, Cairo, Egypt*

† *E-mail: lukyanov@theor.jinr.ru*

Abstract

Calculations are made of the $K^+ + {}^{12}\text{C}$, ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$ differential elastic scattering cross sections at the beam momenta 0.635, 0.715, and 0.8 GeV/c. To this end the microscopic optical potential derived in the high-energy approximation was used where existing data on the kaon-nucleon amplitude and on the point-like density distributions of target-nuclei were utilized. The effect of different methods of relativization was studied and shown to play an important role. A good agreement with the experimental data on differential elastic cross sections was obtained.

1 Introduction and basic formulas

It is known that the K^+ meson scattering can be used as a weak hadronic interacting probe for investigating the neutron density distributions in nuclei, and therefore the experimental data on elastic differential cross sections at intermediate energies [1],[2] are under the permanent attention of theoreticians for years. For example, in [3], the high-energy multiple scattering theory [4] was applied to explain the data of the 0.8 GeV/c kaon scattering on ${}^{12}\text{C}$ and ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$, and conclusions were made that accounting for a number of correlations in the theory did not affect on the ordinary calculations to improve an agreement with experimental data. Later on, in [5], calculations were made using the potential suggested in [6] as a local version of the Kisslinger nonlocal potential [7], early constructed for the pion-nucleus scattering. The agreement with the data was obtained if one increases the K^+N S11 phase shift by about 10-15% . Also, the detailed analysis of the nuclear K^+ scattering was done in [8] in the framework of a phenomenological Woods–Saxon potential, depended on six free parameters. Four sets of parameters was exhibited that minimize χ^2 for each kaon momentum 0.635, 0.715 and 0.8 GeV/c in scattering on the ${}^{12}\text{C}$ and ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$ nuclei.

In the present work, we study the possibility to apply the microscopic optical potential, derived in [9] basing on the the so-called optical limit of the high-energy multiple scattering theory:

$$U^H = V^H + iW^H = -\frac{\hbar c \beta}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\nu=p,n} \bar{\sigma}_K^\nu (\bar{\alpha}_K^\nu + i) \int_0^\infty dq q^2 j_0(qr) \rho_\nu(q) f_K^\nu(q). \quad (1)$$

Here $\rho_n = \rho/2$ and $\rho_p = \rho/2$ are form factors of the bare neutron and proton densities of a target nucleus, and ρ , the corresponding form factor of the point-like charge density distributions of nuclei [10] obtained from the experimental electron scattering nuclear form factors in [11]. Also, the total kaon-nucleon cross section $\bar{\sigma}_K^\nu$ ($\nu = p, n$) and the ratio of the real to imaginary part $\bar{\alpha}_K^\nu$ of the K^+N scattering amplitude at the forward direction together

with its form factor $f_K^V = \exp(-\beta_\nu q^2/2)$ are known from [12]. Therefore, the potential (2) has no free parameters. In the considered processes one should use relativistic kinematics, because of the kinetic energies of kaons are comparable with their rest masses. So, $\beta = k^{lab}/E$ is the laboratory velocity and $E = \sqrt{k^{lab^2} + m_1^2}$ the total energy of the incident particle m_1 with the momentum k^{lab} .

Calculations are made of the relativistic wave equation obtained from the Klein-Gordon equation where terms quadratic in the potential have been neglected:

$$(\Delta + k^2)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 2\mu\gamma^{(r)}(U + U_C)\psi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (2)$$

This form coincides with the Schrödinger equation, but here k is the relativistic momentum in the center-of-mass system. As to the right hand side of equation, the value $\mu\gamma^{(r)}$ can be regarded as the relativistic reduced energy (or mass) in the c.m. system, while $\mu = m_1m_2/(m_1 + m_2)$. So, we can solve this equation as an non-relativistic one with the effective potential $U_{eff} = \gamma^{(r)}(U^H + U_C)$, where factor $\gamma^{(r)}$ arrives because of relativization. This latter becomes equal to 1 in the non-relativistic limit. In fact, we apply the standard programm DWUCK4 [13] for the Schrödinger equation where one should input the potential U_{eff} and effective kinetic energy $T_{non}^{lab} = [(m_1 + m_2)/m_2]T_{non}^{cm}$ with $T_{non}^{(cm)} = k^2/2\mu$ defined by the relativistic momentum k . In our case we implement the microscopic optical potential $U^H(r)$ (1) and the Coulomb potential $U_C(r)$ for an uniformly charged sphere.

The relativistic momentum of a kaon in c.m. system is as follows

$$k = \frac{m_2 k^{lab}}{\sqrt{(m_1 + m_2)^2 + 2m_2 T^{lab}}}, \quad (3)$$

where $T^{lab} = E - m_1$.

For different methods of relativization, factors $\gamma^{(r)}$ are distinct from each other. In the case when the value $\mu\gamma^{(r)}$ is considered as the reduced energy (see, e.g. [14]), relativization factor has the form

$$\gamma^{(r1)} = \gamma_1^* \cdot \frac{m_1 + m_2}{\gamma_1^* m_1 + m_2}, \quad (4)$$

where the Lorenz-factor in c.m. system

$$\gamma_1^* = \frac{\gamma_1 m_2 + m_1}{\sqrt{2\gamma_1 m_1 m_2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2}}, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{E}{m_1}. \quad (5)$$

In [15], the use is made of

$$\gamma^{(r2)} = \frac{k^2}{(W - m_2)^2 - m_1^2} \frac{W - m_2}{\mu}, \quad (6)$$

where $W = \sqrt{k^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{k^2 + m_2^2}$, the total energy in c.m. system. Also, the form of

$$\gamma^{(r3)} = \frac{k}{\beta} \frac{1}{\mu} \quad (7)$$

was elaborated in [16] and it was argued that, with this factor, the wave equation (2) reproduces the relativistic form of the Rutherford cross section for the Coulomb potential for a

point charge. Also, we apply the factor corresponding to the relativistic equation which has long been derived in [17],

$$\gamma^{(r4)} = \frac{W - m_2}{W} \frac{m_2}{\mu}. \quad (8)$$

Below we utilize the set of gamma factors (4), (6)-(8) to calculate elastic differential cross sections. So, we can distinguish how do different methods of relativization affect differential cross sections of the 0.635, 0.715 and 0.8 GeV/c kaons scattered on the ^{12}C , ^{40}Ca nuclei (see $\gamma^{(r)}$ in Table 1).

Table 1: The relativization $\gamma^{(r)}$ factors in kaon-nucleus scattering

reaction	k^{lab} , MeV	$\gamma^{(r1)}$	$\gamma^{(r2)}$	$\gamma^{(r3)}$	$\gamma^{(r4)}$
$K^+ + ^{12}\text{C}$	800	1.7421	1.7883	1.8381	1.7864
	715	1.6303	1.6679	1.7082	1.6664
	635	1.5269	1.5571	1.5893	1.5560
$K^+ + ^{40}\text{Ca}$	800	1.8496	1.8658	1.8824	1.8656

2 Results and conclusion

First, in Fig. 1 we exhibit as an example the behavior of the real V^H and imaginary W^H microscopic potentials calculated with a help of (1) for the case of momentum 0.8 GeV/c. It is seen the different signs of potentials, repulsive one for the real part and attractive for imaginary one. Multiplying these microscopic potentials by $\gamma^{(r)}$, the form of effective potentials $U_{eff} = \gamma^{(r)}(U^H + U_C)$ are not changed while their strengths are increased by a factor about 2.




Figure 1: The real (solid lines) and imaginary (dashed lines) parts of microscopic optical potentials (1) for kaon-nucleus scattering .

Figures 2 and 3 demonstrate differential cross sections calculated for elastic scattering of K^+ on the ^{12}C and ^{40}Ca nuclei. One can see that cross sections coincide very close for each




Figure 2: Differential cross sections of the elastic scattering $K^+ + {}^{12}\text{C}$ at different energies. Long-dashed lines correspond to $\gamma^{(r)} = 1$; short-dashed lines – $\gamma^{(r1)}$; solid lines – $\gamma^{(r2)}$; dash-pointed – $\gamma^{(r3)}$; pointed – $\gamma^{(r4)}$. Experimental points from [1, 2].

other in the considered models of relativization, and agree with the corresponding experimental data. The exception is for $\gamma^{(r)} = 1$ which can be called the non-relativistic case. In fact, this is not too right to name it so, because of we have to input the relativistic momentum k (3) into the equation (2). Nevertheless, one can conclude that the relativization effects are very important when considering the kaon-nuclear scattering at intermediate energies.

The last item is connected with predictions of total reaction cross sections. Here we compare our calculations with the data presented in [18] where σ_R were estimated with a help of optical model analysis of the measured attenuation cross sections of the K^+ interactions with ${}^{12}\text{C}$ and ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$ at momenta from 0.488 to 0.714 GeV/c. The data on σ_R at 0.635 and 0.715 GeV/c for a kaon interaction with ${}^{12}\text{C}$ were estimated in [18] as about 140 and 150 mb/sr , while our calculations give the corresponding values in limits of 121-125 and 126-128 mb/sr . These latter magnitudes are in about 10-15% less than the measured values. It is interesting to mention that in calculations [5] where the local potential (equivalent to the nonlocal Kisslinger potential) was used, the data of [18] were explained in a good condition if one increases the S11 phase shift of the K^+N scattering by about 10-15%, too. So, the problem retained to get the common experimental measurements and theoretical description




Figure 3: The same as in Fig. 2, but for the target nucleus ^{40}Ca .

of the K^+ -nucleus differential elastic scattering and total reaction cross sections.

References

- [1] D. Marlow et al., Phys. Rev. **C 25**, 2619 (1982).
- [2] R.E. Chrien et al., Nucl. Phys. **A 625**, 251 (1997).
- [3] Y. Abgrall and J. Labarsouque, Nucl. Phys. **A 426**, 431 (1984).
- [4] R.J. Glauber, Lectures in Theoretical Physics. N.Y.: Interscience, 1959. P.315.
- [5] A.A. Ebrahim and S.A.E. Khallaf, Phys. Rev. **C 66** 044614 (2002).
- [6] M.B. Jonhson and G.R. Satchler, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **248** 134 (1996).
- [7] L.S. Kisslinger, Phys. Rev. **98** 761 (1955).
- [8] A.A. Ebrahim and S.A.E. Khallaf, J. Phys. **G 30** 83 (2004).
- [9] V.K. Lukyanov, E.V. Zemlyanaya, K.V. Lukyanov, Phys. At. Nucl. **69**, 240 (2006).
- [10] V.K. Lukyanov, E.V. Zemlyanaya, B. Słowiński, Phys. At. Nucl. **67**, 1282 (2004).
- [11] V.V. Burov, D.N. Kadrev, V.K. Lukyanov, Yu.S. Pol', Phys. At. Nucl. **61**, 525 (1998).
- [12] K. Yamaguchi K., Y. Sakamoto, Nuovo Cim. **A 108**, 893 (1995).
- [13] P.D. Kunz P.D., E. Rost, Computational Nuclear Physics Vol.2 (Eds: Langanke K. et al.) Springer Verlag, 1993. P.88.
- [14] G.R. Satchler, Nucl. Phys. **A 540**, 533 (1992).
- [15] A. Ingemarsson, Phys. Scripta **9**, 156 (1974).
- [16] G. Fäldt, A. Ingemarsson, J. Mahalanabis, Phys. Rev. **C 46**, 1974 (1992).
- [17] M.L. Goldberger, K.M. Watson, Collision Theory,(John Willey & Sons,INC., New York-London- Sidney), 1964.
- [18] L.S. Friedman et al., Phys. Rev. **C 55**, 1304 (1997).