arXiv:0812.4586v2 [nlin.CD] 1 Apr 2009 [arXiv:0812.4586v2 \[nlin.CD\] 1 Apr 2009](http://arxiv.org/abs/0812.4586v2)

Detecting barrier to cross-jet Lagrangian transport and its destruction in a meandering flow

M.V. Budyansky, M.Yu. Uleysky, and S.V. Prants Pacific Oceanological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences. 43 Baltiiskaya st., 690041 Vladivostok, Russia

Cross-jet transport of passive s
alars in a kinemati model of the meandering laminar twodimensional incompressible flow which is known to produce chaotic mixing is studied. We develop a method for detecting barriers to cross-jet transport in the phase space which is a physical space for our model. Using tools from theory of nontwist maps, we construct a central invariant curve and compute its characteristics that may serve good indicators of the existence of a central transport barrier, its strength, and topology. Computing fra
tal dimension, length, and winding number of that curve in the parameter space, we study in detail change of its geometry and its destruction that are caused by local bifurcations and a global bifurcation known as reconnection of separatrices of resonan
es. S
enarios of re
onne
tion are dierent for odd and even resonan
es. The entral invariant curves with rational and irrational (noble) values of winding numbers are arranged into hierarchical series which are described in terms of continued fractions. Destruction of central transport barrier is illustrated for two ways in the parameter space: when moving along resonant bifurcation curves with rational values of the winding number and along curves with noble (irrational) values.

PACS numbers: 05.45.-a,05.60.Cd,47.52.+j

I. INTRODUCTION

A meandering jet is a fundamental structure in laboratory and geophysical fluid flows. Strong oceanic and atmospheri jet urrents separate water and air masses with distinct physical properties. For example, the Gulf Stream separates the colder and fresher slope ocean waters from the salty and warmer Sargasso sea ones. Re ently, there has been much interest interest into the sight μ interest in and μ methods from dynami
al systems theory to study mixing and transport in meandering jets. In steady horizontal velocity fields, water (air) parcels move along streamlines in a regular way. When the velocity field changes in time, the motion be
omes mu
h more ompli
ated even if the change is periodic. The phenomenon of *chaotic advection* of passive particles in (quasi)periodically-disturbed fluid flows has been studied theoretically and experimentally $[1, 2, 3, 4].$ $[1, 2, 3, 4].$ $[1, 2, 3, 4].$ $[1, 2, 3, 4].$ $[1, 2, 3, 4].$ $[1, 2, 3, 4].$

In the context of dynamical systems theory, chaotic adve
tion is Hamiltonian haos in two-dimensional in
ompressible flows (for a review of Hamiltonian chaos see, for example, $[5, 6, 7, 8]$ $[5, 6, 7, 8]$ $[5, 6, 7, 8]$ $[5, 6, 7, 8]$ $[5, 6, 7, 8]$ $[5, 6, 7, 8]$. The coordinates x and y of a passive parti
le on the horizontal plane satisfy to simple Lagrangian equations of motion

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = u(x, y, t) = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = v(x, y, t) = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}, \quad (1)
$$

where u and v are zonal and meridional velocities of the particle, and the stream function Ψ plays the role of a Hamiltonian. The phase spa
e of the dynami
al system (1) with one and half degrees of freedom is a configuration spa
e for passive parti
les.

A number of simple kinematic and dynamically consistent model stream functions have been proposed to study large-scale chaotic mixing and transport in geophysical

meandering jet flows [\[9](#page-11-8), [10,](#page-11-9) [11,](#page-11-10) [12,](#page-11-11) [13,](#page-11-12) [14](#page-11-13), [15,](#page-11-14) 16]. Deterministi models do not pretend to quantify transport fluxes in real oceanic and atmospheric currents but they are useful to reveal large-scale space-time structures that spe
ify qualitatively mixing and transport of water and air masses. Whether or not the jet provides an effective barrier to meridional or cross-jet transport, under which onditions the barrier be
omes permeable and to whi
h extent, these are crucial questions in physical oceanography and physi
s of the atmosphere. The problem must be treated from different points of view. In the straightforward numerical approach based on full-physics nonlinear models, the velocity field is generated as an outcome of a basin circulation model and a flux across the jet (if any) an be estimated integrating a large number of tra
ers. The kinematic and linear dynamically consistent models are less realisti
, but they allows to identify and analyze different factors which could enhance or suppress the ross-barrier transport.

As to meandering currents, both the approaches have been applied to study cross-jet Lagrangian transport. A simple kinematic model with the basic streamfunction in the form [\(2\)](#page-2-0) has been shown to reprodu
e some features of the large-s
ale Lagrangian dynami
s of the Gulf Stream water masses $[17]$. The phase portrait of Eqs. (1) with the meandering Bickley jet [\(2\)](#page-2-0) is plotted in Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (a) in the frame moving with the meander phase velocity. Time dependen
e of the meander amplitude or the introduction of a secondary meander, superimposed on the basic flow, may break the boundaries between distinct regions in Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (a) producing chaotic mixing and transport between them $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$ $[9, 10, 13, 14, 18, 19]$. The numerical al
ulations, based on omputing the Melnikov fun
tion [20], have shown that transport across the jet was much weaker than that between the jet (J) , the circulation cells

 (C) , and the peripheral currents (P) in Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (a), i. e. the perturbation mixes the water along ea
h side of the jet more efficiently than across the jet core [9]. An attempt to analytically predict the parameter values for the destruction of the transport barrier was made in Ref. [\[18](#page-11-17)] using the heuristic Chirikov criterion for overlapping resonances [21]. A technique, based on computing the finites
ale Lyapunov exponent, as a fun
tion of initial position ers, has been found useful in the found useful in Ref. (22 to detection in Ref. 22 to detection in Ref. 22 to d presence of cross-jet barriers in the kinematic model [\(2\)](#page-2-0). An analysis of cross-jet transport, based on lobe dynam-ics, has been applied in [\[23](#page-11-22)] to describe how particles can cross the jet from the north to the south and vice versa.

The study of cross-jet transport has been motivated also by a series of laboratory experiments $[24, 25, 26]$ $[24, 25, 26]$ $[24, 25, 26]$ $[24, 25, 26]$ $[24, 25, 26]$ $[24, 25, 26]$ on Rossby waves propagating along an azimuthal jet in a rapidly rotating tank. This flow can be modeled in the linear approximation of the corresponding fluid equations $[27]$ by a stream function which is a superposition of a Bi
kley jet and two neutral modes (Rossby waves). The destruction of a barrier to cross-jet transport has been studied analytically by using the Chirikov criterion in the pendulum approximation and numerically by us-ing Poincaré sections [\[27](#page-11-26)]. It was shown that one needs very large values of the perturbation amplitudes to break the barrier. The analytic model proposed in $[27]$ has been used recently to study Lagrangian dynamics of atmospheri zonal jets and the permeability of the stratospheric polar vortex. Poincaré sections and finite-time Lyapunov exponents revealed a robust transport barrier which can be broken either due to large perturbation amplitudes of the Rossby waves or as a result of an in
rease of their phase velocities [28]. A comparison of properties of ross-jet transport in ad ho kinemati and dynami ally onsistent models of atmospheri zonal jets has been done recently in Ref. [29].

Being motivated by Lagrangian observations of the o
eani urrents, ross-jet transport and mixing have been studied in numeri
al models of meandering jets $[11, 12, 30]$ $[11, 12, 30]$ $[11, 12, 30]$ $[11, 12, 30]$. It has been shown both in barotropic and baro
lini nonlinear numeri
al models, where the meander amplitude an not be made arbitrary large, that cross-jet chaotic transport, resulting from the meandering motions, are maximized at a subsurface level. Since the undisturbed velocity is weaker at deeper levels, the orresponding separatri
es are loser to the jet core. Therefore, separatrix reconnection should occur below some critical depth and transport across the jet should be facilitated.

Independent on the work on cross-jet transport in the geophysi
al ommunity, there have been a number of theoreti
al and numeri
al investigations of haoti transport in soalled area-preserving nontwist maps $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$ $[27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38]$. We mention specially the early study of different reconnection scenario [\[31,](#page-11-30) 36] and the first systematic study of cross-jet transport in nontwist maps $[27, 37, 38]$ $[27, 37, 38]$ $[27, 37, 38]$ $[27, 37, 38]$. These maps locally violate the twist ondition, a map analogue of the nondegenera
y ondition for Hamiltonian systems. Nontwist maps are of interest because many important mathemati
al results, in
luding KAM and Aubry-Mather theory, depend on the twist ondition. Apart from their mathemati
al importan
e, nontwist maps are of a physi
al interest be
ause they are able to model transition to global haos, the term meaning in the mathemati
al ommunity a ross-jet transport. Nontwist maps allow to study different s
enarios for this transition: re
onne
tion of separatri
es, meandering and breakup of invariant tori, and others.

The onset of global chaos in oscillatory Hamiltonian systems, where the eigenfrequency possesses a local extremum as a function of energy, has been studied analyt-ically and numerically in Refs. [\[39,](#page-11-38) 40]. In such systems with two or more separatrices, global chaos may occur at unusually small magnitudes of perturbation due to overlap in the phase spa
e between resonan
es of the same order and their overlap in energy with haoti layers of the orresponding unperturbed separatri
es.

In the present paper we develop a method for detecting a barrier to cross-jet Lagrangian transport (or global haos in a more general ontext), apply it to the kinematic model of a meandering jet flow, study changes in its topology under varying the perturbation parameters, and s
enarios of its destru
tion. In Se
. II we briefly introduce a model streamfunction which is known to produce chaotic advection $[9, 13, 23]$ $[9, 13, 23]$ $[9, 13, 23]$ $[9, 13, 23]$ and compute the amplitude-frequency $\varepsilon \rightarrow \nu$ diagram demonstrating the parameter range for which cross-jet transport exists. Based on the symmetry of the flow, we propose in Sec. IIIa a numerical method to identify a central invariant curve (CIC) which is a diagnostic means to detect the process of destru
tion of ^a entral transport barrier (CTB). The CIC is constructed by successive iterations of so-called in-dicator points [\[33](#page-11-32)]. Computing the fractal dimension of a set of iterations of those points at different values of the parameters, we identify whether the CIC and CTB are broken or not. In Se
. IIIb we study possible geometries of the CIC that may change dramatically with varying ε and ν . Before the total destruction, the CIC experiences ations between the local bifurcations of the complete the contract of the cont meandering curve whose properties can be specified by its length and the winding number w . A structure of the set of CICs is revealed in a continued fraction representation of their winding numbers. The CICs with rational w are arranged in hierarchical series connected with the corresponding resonances. Whereas, the CICs with noble numbers form their own series. Destruction of CTB is studied in Se
. IV for two ways in the parameter space. When moving along a so-called resonant bifurcation curve with a rational value of w , one specifies the values of ε and ν for which the CIC is broken but CTB remains. In ontrary to that, when moving along any curve with noble value of w , a CIC exists providing CTB. The pro
ess of CTB destru
tion in both the ases is illustrated in Se
. IV.

II. THE AMPLITUDE-FREQUENCY DIAGRAM FOR CROSS-JET TRANSPORT IN THE MODEL FLOW

We take the Bickley jet with a running wave imposed as a kinematic model of a meandering shear flow in the o
ean. The respe
tive normalized stream fun
tion in the frame moving with the phase velocity of the meander has the following form $[13]$:

$$
\Psi = -\tanh\left(\frac{y - A\cos x}{L_{\text{jet}}\sqrt{1 + A^2\sin^2 x}}\right) + Cy,\qquad(2)
$$

where the jet's width L_{jet} , meander's amplitude A and its phase velocity C are the control parameters. The phase portrait of the adve
tion equations [\(1\)](#page-0-0) with the streamfunction [\(2\)](#page-2-0), shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (a), consists of three different regions: the central eastward jet J , chains of the northern and southern circulation cells C and the peripheral westward currents P . The flow is steady in the moving frame of referen
e, and passive parti
les follow the streamlines. In Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (b) we plot a frequency map $f(x_0, y_0)$ that shows by nuances of the grey color the value of the frequency f of particles with initial positions (x_0, y_0) in the unperturbed system. The maximal value of the frequency, $f_{\text{max}} = 1.278$, have the particles moving in the entral jet.

As a perturbation, we take the simple periodic modulation of the meander's amplitude

$$
A = A_0 + \varepsilon \cos \nu t. \tag{3}
$$

Under the perturbation, the separatrices, connecting saddle points, are destroyed and transformed into sto
hasti layers. The strength of haos depends strongly on both the perturbation parameters, the perturbation amplitude ε and frequency ν . In the model used the normalized control parameters are onne
ted with the dimensional ones as follows [13]: $A = ak$, $C = c/u_m \lambda k$, and $L_{\text{jet}} = \lambda k$, where a, k , and c are amplitude, wave number, and phase velocity of a meander, respectively, λ and u_m are chara
teristi width and maximal zonal velo
ity in the jet on the surfa
e. All these parameters hange in a wide range in the Gulf Stream [\[9,](#page-11-8) [17](#page-11-16), 18]: $\lambda \simeq 40 \div 100$ km, $a \simeq 50 \div 60$ km, $2\pi/k \simeq 200 \div 400$ km, $c \simeq 0.1 \div 0.5$ m/sec, $u_{\rm m} \simeq 1 \div 1.5$ m/sec. So, we get $L_{\rm jet} \simeq 0.1 \div 3$, $A \simeq 0.7 \div 2$, and $C \simeq 0.02 \div 0.3$. Being motivated by mixing and transport in the Gulf Stream, we took the following normalized values of the ontrol parameters that will be used in all our numerical experiments: $A_0 = 0.785, C = 0.1168$ and $L_{\text{jet}} = 0.628$.

The equations of motion (1) with the stream function [\(2\)](#page-2-0) and the perturbation [\(3\)](#page-2-2) have the symmetry

$$
\hat{S} : \begin{cases} x' = \pi + x, \\ y' = -y \end{cases}
$$
\n(4)

and the time reversal symmetry

$$
\hat{I}_0: \begin{cases} x' = -x, \\ y' = y. \end{cases} \tag{5}
$$

The symmetries [\(4\)](#page-2-3) and [\(5\)](#page-2-4) are involutions, i. e. $\hat{S}^2 = 1$ and $\hat{I}_0^2 = 1$. Due to the symmetry \hat{S} , motion can be considered on the cylinder with $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$. The part of the phase space with $2\pi n \leq x \leq 2\pi(n+1)$, $n =$ $0, \pm 1, \ldots$, is called a frame. It should be stressed that the phase space in two-dimensional incompressible flows is a configuration space for advected particles.

FIG. 1: (a) Phase portrait of the unperturbed flow in the frame moving with the meander's phase velocity. Streamlines in the circulation cells (C) , jet (J) , and peripheral currents (P) are shown. (b) Frequency map represents by color values of the frequency f of particles with initial positions (x_0, y_0) advected by the unperturbed flow.

The following numeri
al pro
edure has been applied to establish the fact of cross-jet transport in the kinematic model of the meandering jet current. The adve
tion equations [\(1\)](#page-0-0) for given values of the perturbation amplitude ε and frequency ν and with twenty parti
les, released nearby the northern saddle point, have been integrated up to the time instant when one of the particles was detected to cross the straight line $y = y_s$ passing through the southern saddle. If after the time $T_{\text{max}} = 1000 \times 2\pi/\nu$ none of the particles crosses the line $y = y_s$, we assume that for given values of the parameters there is no cross-jet transport. The $\varepsilon-\nu$ diagram in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) shows the values of the parameters for which crossjet chaotic transport exists (white-color zones). There are a number of the frequen
y values for whi
h transport occurs at surprisingly small values of the perturbation amplitude ε . The absolute minimal value of the perturbation amplitude, at whi
h the ross-jet haoti transport occurs, $\varepsilon_{\min} = 0.0218 \approx A_0/36$, corresponds to the frequency $\nu = 1.165$ which is close to the natural frequencies

of the parti
les moving in the entral jet.

FIG. 2: Amplitude-frequency ε - ν diagram showing the parameter values for which cross-jet chaotic transport exists (white zones) or not (bla
k zones).

TOPOLOGY OF A BARRIER TO III. CROSS-JET TRANSPORT AND CENTRAL INVARIANT CURVE

The amplitude-frequency diagram is useful to detect ross-jet transport but its omputation is a time onsuming pro
edure. Moreover, it says nothing about the properties of barrier to transport and me
hanism of its destruction. A fractal-like boundary between the colors in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) reflects an intermittency in appearance and destruction of the cross-jet barrier when varying ε and ν . Further insight into topology of the barrier could be obtained if one would be able to find an indicator of crossjet transport, i. e. an object in the phase space whose form ontains an information about permeability of the barrier.

A. Detecting the central invariant curve

First of all, we need to give definitions of some basic structures specifying a cross-jet barrier and its destruction. The central transport barrier (CTB) is defined as a strip between the southern and northern unperturbed separatrices confined by marginal northern and southern ballistic trajectories (excluding orbits of ballistic resonances in the stochastic layer). All the trajectories inside the CTB are ballisti
, some of them are regular and the other ones are chaotic. The amplitude–frequency diagram in Fig. [2](#page-3-0) demonstrates learly destru
tion of the CTB at some values of the perturbation parameters ε and ν and onset of cross-jet transport.

Our Hamiltonian flow with the streamfunction (2) is degenerate, i. e. it violates the non-degeneracy condition, $\partial f/\partial I \neq 0$, for some values of the natural frequency of passive particles f and their actions I in the unperturbed system. Physically it means that the zonal velocity profile $u(y)$ has a maximum. In theory of nontwist maps the curve, for which the twist condition (analogue of the non-degeneracy condition) is violated, is called a nonmonotonic curve $[32]$. In our model flow (2) it is some value of unperturbed streamfunction along which the fre-quency f is maximal (see Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (b)).

Instead of integrating the adve
tion equations [\(1\)](#page-0-0), we integrate the orresponding Poin
are map, an orbit of which is defined as a set of points $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$ on the phase plane such that $\hat{G}_T(x_i, y_i) = (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1})$, where \hat{G}_t is an evolution operator on a time interval t and $T \equiv$ $2\pi/\nu$ is the period of perturbation. Operator \hat{G}_T can be factorized as a product of two involutions $\hat{G}_T\,=\,\hat{I}_1\hat{I}_0,$ $\hat{G}_T\,=\,\hat{I}_1\hat{I}_0,$ $\hat{G}_T\,=\,\hat{I}_1\hat{I}_0,$ where $\hat{I}_1 = \hat{G}_T \hat{I_0}$ is also a time reversal simmetry [37].

A periodic orbit of period nT $(n = 1, 2, ...)$ is an orbit such that $(x_{i+n}, y_{i+n}) = (x_i + 2\pi m, y_i)$, $\forall i$, where m is an integer. An invariant curve is a curve invariant under the map. The nonmonotonic curve is not an invariant urve under a perturbation. The winding (or rotation) number w of an orbit is defined as the limit $w = \lim_{i \to \infty} [(x_i - x_0)/(2\pi i)]$, when it exists. The winding number is a ratio between the frequency of perturbation ν and the natural frequency f. Periodic orbits have rational winding numbers $w = m/n$. It simply means that a ballistic passive particle in the flow flies m frames before returning to its initial position x_0 (modulo 2π) after n periods of perturbation. Winding numbers of quasiperiodi orbits are irrational.

Now we are ready to introdu
e the important notion of a central invariant curve (CIC). We define CIC as an curve which is invariant under the operators \hat{S} and \hat{G}_T . It can be shown, that two curves invariant under \hat{S} have at least two ommon points. The urves, whi
h are invariant under \hat{G}_T , cannot intersect each other. So, the CIC is a unique curve. Following to Ref. $[35]$, one can show that the CIC corresponds to a local extremum on the winding number profile with an irrational value of w. Such curves are called «shearless curves» in theory of nontwist maps [32]. The significance of a shearless curve is that it acts as a barrier to global transport in the phase space of a nontwist map. The violation of the twist condition leads to existen
e of more than one orbit with the same winding number arising in pairs on both sides of the shearless urve. Those pairs of orbits an ollide and annihilate at ertain parameter values. The ollision of the orbits involves in phenomenon, whi
h was alled as reconnection of invariant manifolds of the corresponding hyperbolic orbits [31].

The CIC should not be thought as the last cross-jet barrier urve in the CTB in the sense that it breaks down under in
reasing the perturbation amplitude in the last turn. Sometimes it is the ase, but sometimes it is not. Nevertheless, the CIC serves a good indicator of the strength of the CTB and its topology.

The CIC can be constructed by successive iterations

of so-called indicator points $[33]$. In our model flow (2) points $(x_j^{(k)}, y_j^{(k)})$, $k = 1, 2$, which are solutions of the equations

$$
\hat{I}_0(x_j^{(1)}, y_j^{(1)}) = \hat{S}(x_j^{(1)}, y_j^{(1)}),\tag{6}
$$

or

$$
\hat{I}_1(x_j^{(2)}, y_j^{(2)}) = \hat{S}(x_j^{(2)}, y_j^{(2)}),\tag{7}
$$

where index j numerates the points. The equation (6) gives a pair of indicator points: $(x_1^{(1)} = \pi/2, y_1^{(1)} = 0)$ and $(x_2^{(1)} = 3\pi/2, y_2^{(1)} = 0)$. Instead of solving Eq. [\(7\)](#page-4-1) we solve the equation of the e

$$
\hat{G}_T(x, y) = \hat{I}_0 \hat{S}(x, y) \equiv (\pi - x, -y). \tag{8}
$$

If some (x, y) is a solution of [\(8\)](#page-4-2), then $\hat{I}_0(x, y)$ is a solution of [\(7\)](#page-4-1). The equation [\(8\)](#page-4-2) cannot be solved analitically, so we apply the numerical method based on computing a minimum of the function $r(x, y) = ||\hat{G}_T(x, y) (\pi - x, -y)$, where $|| \cdot ||$ is a norm on the cylinder. Since $r(x, y) \geq 0$ for any (x, y) , the points with $r(x, y) = 0$ are minima of the function $r(x, y)$. Thus, solution of Eq. [\(8\)](#page-4-2) reduces to searching for a local minimum of the function $r(x, y)$ with the additional condition $r(x, y) = 0$ at the point of the minimum. There are a number of numeri
al methods for doing that job. We prefer to use the downhill simplex method. In our problem the function $r(x, y)$ always has two minima, i. e. two indicator points transforming to ea
h other under a
tion of the operator $S₁$

Next, we study iterations, i. e. Poincaré mapping of one of the indicator points (x_0, y_0) . If the iterations $(x_i, y_i) = \hat{G}_T^i(x_0, y_0)$ are confined between invariant urves in a bounded region, the following three ases are possible in dependen
e on the dimension d of the set (x_i, y_i) :

1) The iterations lie on a curve on the phase plane with $d = 1$ which is a CIC.

2) The iterations is an organized set of points with $d = 0$. It means that they constitute either a central periodi orbit or a entral almost periodi orbit, an orbit that ould not form a smooth urve on the phase plane for a limited integration time.

3) The iterations form a entral sto
hasti layer with $d=2$.

If the iterations are not confined by any invariant curves in a bounded region, i. e. they occupy all the acessible phase plane to the south and north from the entral jet, then there exists global haoti transport. Thus, the type of motion of indicator points provides an indicator of global haos and the absen
e of barriers to ross-jet transport.

Possible topologies of the orresponding CTB at the fixed frequency $\nu = 1.2$ and with increasing values of the perturbation amplitude ε are illustrated in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) plotting iterations of the indi
ator points omputed by the above-mentioned method. The panel (a) illustrates the case when those iterations form a CIC. Another typical situation is shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) (b) where the iterations fall in small segments filling at $\tau \to \infty$ a continuous curve which is a central almost periodic orbit. If the iterations fill up not a curve but a bounded region between invariant curves, then there appears a central stochastic layer preventing cross-jet transport (Fig. [3](#page-5-0) (c)). When iterations of the indicator points occupy a region that is not confined by any invariant curves, it means destruction of the CTB and onset of global haos, i. e., haos in a large region of the phase space accompanied by cross-jet transport.

The indi
ator points have been found with the help of the above-mentioned numeri
al pro
edure, and their iterations have been omputed in the following range of the control parameters: $\nu \in [0.95:1.5]$ and $\varepsilon \in [0.01:1]$. We assume that the iterations are bounded, if their coordinates do not ross the unperturbed separatri
es after 5×10^4 iterations. The dimension d of the set of those iterations is omputed by the boxounting method, where the value of d for the box size $e_k = (1/2)^k$ is defined as

$$
d_k = \log_2 \frac{N_{k+1}}{N_k},\tag{9}
$$

where N_k is a number of boxes of the size e_k containing set points. The dimension d_k goes to zero with decreasing e_k , and one cannot distinguish in this limit between the central almost periodic orbit and the central stochastic layer at large k. Comparing the values of d_k at different values of k, we were able to find the empirical value $k = 4$ which is enough to make the difference.

The results of computation of the dimension $d_4(\varepsilon,\nu)$ for a set of iterations of the indicator points are shown in the bird-wing diagram in Fig. [4.](#page-5-1) That one and the other bird-wing diagrams in the parameter spa
e show the properties of CTB and CIC in the range of omparatively small values of the perturbation amplitude (0.01 \leq $\varepsilon \leq 0.1$) and the frequency $(1.15 \leq \nu \leq 1.5)$ corresponding to parti
les moving in the entral jet (Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (b)). White color corresponds to the regime of global chaotic transport with unbounded motion of iterations of the indi
ator points. Otherwise, the CTB exists but its topology is different. Grey color means that there exists a CIC with $0.95 \leq d_4 \leq 1.05$ in the corresponding range of the parameters. White re
tangles, whi
h are hardly visible in the main panel (see their magnification on the inset of the figure), means existence of a central almost periodic orbit with $d_4 < 0.95$ and black strips $-$ a central stochastic layer with $d_4 > 1.05$.

Geometry of the central invariant curve and its **B.** bifur
ations

To quantify complexity of the CIC we define its length L as a sum of the distances between the iterations of the

indicator points $\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$ ordered on the phase plane in the following way:

- 1. The first step. A point B_0 , belonging to a set of iterations of the Poincaré map (x_i, y_i) , is marked.
- 2. The $(j+1)$ -th step. We find and mark among all the unmarked points that one, B_{j+1} , which minimizes the Euclidean distance $D_j = D(B_j, B_{j+1})$ between B_i and B_{i+1} .
- 3. The pro
edure is repeated unless all the points will be marked.

FIG. 3: Poincaré mapping of indicator points. In the first three panels the orbit of these points is bounded and there exists a entral transport barrier (CTB) with (a) CIC $(\varepsilon = 0.01, \nu = 1.2)$, (b) central almost periodic orbit $(\varepsilon = 1.2)$ 0.011997277, $\nu = 1.2$, and (c) central stochastic layer at $(\varepsilon = 0.01177, \nu = 1.2)$ with the inset demonstrating a magnification of a small region. (d) Destruction of CTB and onset of global haoti transport as a result of unbounded iterations of indicator points ($\varepsilon = 0.041, \nu = 1.2$).

As an output we have an ordered set of points B_i constituting a CIC. The accuracy is controlled by the quantity max D_j . Large values of this quantity mean that the points are ordered in a wrong way or a set of points is haoti
. To in
rease the number of points we use in addition to the original points (x_i, y_i) their "images" $(x_i + \pi, -y_i)$ as well. To minimize the computation time the points are sorted in accordance with their x coordinates.

Figure [5](#page-6-0) illustrates metamorphosis of the CIC as the perturbation amplitude in
reases. We start with the CIC, shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-0) (a), whi
h we all a nonmeandering CIC. At the critical value $\varepsilon \approx 0.011758$, invariant manifolds of hyperbolic orbits of two chains of the 1:1 resonance islands on both sides of the CIC connect, and after that the CIC becomes a meandering curve of the first or-der (Fig. [5](#page-6-0) (b)) and period T. The period of CIC's meandering is simply a period of nearby main islands $[35, 41]$ $[35, 41]$.

FIG. 4: Bird-wing diagram of the boxounting dimension $d_4(\varepsilon,\nu)$. White color: regime with global chaotic transport with unbounded motion of indicator points (see Fig. [3](#page-5-0) (d)). If the motion of indi
ator points is bounded, then there exists a CTB but its topology may differ. Grey color $(0.95 \leq d_4 \leq$ 1.05): regime with a CIC (see Fig. [3](#page-5-0) (a)). Small white regions which are hardly visible inside the grey "wing" $(d_4 < 0.95)$: regime with a central almost periodic orbit (see Fig. [3](#page-5-0) (b)). Black color $(d_4 > 1.05)$: regime with a central stochastic layer (see Fig. [3](#page-5-0) (c)). Inset shows magnification of a small region in the parameter spa
e with visible white and bla
k regions.

At the next critical value $\varepsilon = 0.01178721$, reconnection of invariant manifolds of se
ondary resonan
e islands takes place. The corresponding second-order meandering CIC with period $79T$ is shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-0) (c). Highly meandering CICs of higher orders appear with further increasing the perturbation amplitude (Fig. [5](#page-6-0) (d)).

Some smooth invariant urves inside the CTB break down under the perturbation [\(3\)](#page-2-2), and chains of ballistic resonan
e islands appear at their pla
e. Those islands appear in pairs to the north and south from a CIC due to the flow symmetries (4) and (5) (see Fig. [5](#page-6-0) (a) and (b)). Geometry of the CIC, size and number of the islands, and topology of their invariant manifolds hange with variation of the perturbation amplitude ε and frequency ν in a very complicated way.

In Fig. [6](#page-6-1) we plot in the parameter spa
e the values of the CIC length L coding it by nuances of the grey color. White color corresponds to those values of the parameters ε and ν for which cross-jet transport exists due to destruction of the CTB. Black color codes the regime with a broken CIC but a remaining CTB that prevents cross-jet transport $(d_4 > 1.05)$. Dotted and dashed lines on the plot are the resonant bifur
ation urves along whi
h the CIC winding number w is rational. The m/n resonant

FIG. 5: Metamorphosis of the central invariant curve (CIC). (a) Nonmeandering CIC $(\nu = 1.2, \varepsilon = 0.01174929)$. (b) Meandering CIC of the first order and period $T(\nu = 1.2,$ $\varepsilon = 0.01178721$. (c) Meandering CIC of the second order and period 79T ($\nu = 1.2$, $\varepsilon = 0.01179027$). (d) Meandering CIC of a higher order $(\nu = 1.2, \varepsilon = 0.01179339)$.

bifurcation curve is the set of values of the control parameters for which a reconnection of invariant manifolds of the $n : m$ resonances takes place. The dotted lines correspond to even resonances with $w = (2k - 1) / 2k$ and the dashed lines are odd resonances with $w = 2k/(2k+1)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ All those curves end up in the dips of the bird-wing diagram.

In order to analyze a fractal-like boundary of the bird-wing diagram in Fig. [6,](#page-6-1) we cross it horizontally at the frequency $\nu = 1.2$ and consider the plot $L(\varepsilon)$ in the range of interest of ε (Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a)). The perturbation frequency $\nu = 1.2$ is close to the maximal frequency f_{max} of particles in the middle of the jet in the unperturbed flow (see Fig. [1](#page-2-1) (b)). The plot $L(\varepsilon)$ consists of a number of spikes with different height and width. In the range of small values of the perturbation amplitude ($\varepsilon < 0.011756$), the length of the CIC is approximately the same $L \approx 7.35$ (a small fragment of the function $L(\varepsilon)$ is shown in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a) just to the left from the vertical line $1/1$). In that range, the CIC is a nonmeandering curve (see Fig. 5 (a)) surrounded by smooth invariant curves and 1 : 1 resonance islands with a hetero
lini topology. The size of those islands is omparable with the frame size. The width of the CTB, filled by invariant curves around the CIC, decreases with increasing the perturbation amplitude ε .

The CIC winding number w hanges under a variation of the perturbation amplitude. At $\varepsilon \simeq 0.011756$, invariant manifolds of the 1:1 resonance connect, and a entral sto
hasti layer appears at the pla
e of the CIC. This layer exists up to $\varepsilon \simeq 0.011785$ (see a random set of points in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a) in that range of ε). At $\varepsilon > 0.011785$, the CIC appears again. Now it is a meandering curve of the first order (see Fig. [5](#page-6-0) (b)) whose length is larger

FIG. 6: Bird-wing diagram showing the length L of the CIC by nuances of the grey color in the parameter space (ε, ν) . White zone: regime with a broken CTB and cross jet transport. Bla
k olor: regime with a broken CIC but a remaining CTB preventing cross-jet transport. Resonant bifurcation curves, along which the CIC winding numbers w are rational, end up in the dips of "the wing". Dotted and dashed lines orrespond to even and odd resonan
es, respe
tively.

due to reconnection of the 1:1 resonance islands. As ε increases further, the CIC length L changes in a wide range. Smooth fragments with approximately the same value of $L \approx 20$ alternate with spikes of different height and width. The spikes are ondensed, when approa
hing to the value $w = 1/1$, and overlap in the range $\varepsilon \simeq [0.011756:0.011785]$.

The arrangement of the spikes in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a) can be explained using a representation of rational numbers by continued fractions. A continued fraction is the expression

$$
c = [a_0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots] = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}, (10)
$$

where a_0 is an integer number and the other a_n are natural numbers. Any rational (irrational) number an be represented by a continued fraction with a finite (infinite) number of elements. The spikes in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a) are arranged in onvergent series in su
h a way that ea
h spike in a series generates a series of spikes of the next order. For example, the series of the integer $n:1$ resonance has the winding numbers equal to $1/n$ or $[0; n]$ in

FIG. 7: Dependen
e of the length of CIC L on the perturbation amplitude at the fixed frequency $\nu = 1.2$. (a) General view of the dependence $L(\varepsilon)$ in the range of interest $\varepsilon = [0.01175 : 0.012]$. Vertical dotted lines correspond to rational values of the CIC winding number w . The resonance 1/1 appears at $\varepsilon = 0.11756$. The arrangement of the spikes is explained in the text. (b) Magnification of one of the wide spikes in panel (a). Solid line is a winding number profile $w(\varepsilon)$ with the value $w = 62/63$ shown by the dashed line.

FIG. 8: Poincaré sections at $\nu = 1.2$ and increasing values of ε in the range corresponding to the wide spike with $w = 62/63$ in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (b). (a) Meandering CIC surrounded by meandering invariant curves ($\varepsilon = 0.011931$). (b) CIC meandering between the odd 63 : 62 islands born as a result of a saddle center bifurcation ($\varepsilon_{\rm sc} = 0.011934$). (c) CIC destruction due to onne
tion of invariant manifolds of the 63 : 62 islands $(\varepsilon = 0.01193511)$. A narrow stochastic layer appears at the place of the CIC. (d) CIC appears again ($\varepsilon = 0.0119352$).

FIG. 9: Poincaré sections at $\varepsilon = 0.015$ and increasing values of ν . (a) CIC between islands of the even 2:1 resonance $(\nu = 2.51 \, < 2f_{\text{max}} = 2.556)$. (b) Reconnection of invariant manifolds of that resonan
e and a formation of a vortex pair with a narrow sto
hasti layer shown by bold urves ($\nu = 2.55$). (c) The vortex size decreases with increasing ν ($\nu = 2.555$). (d) Past some critical value of ν , the vortex pair disappears and CIC appears again ($\nu = 2.56$).

the ontinued-fra
tion representation. Ea
h spike in that series generates a series of resonan
e spikes of the next order onverging to the parent spike. Winding numbers of those resonances are $[0; n, i]$, $i = 2, 3, 4...$ (at $i = 1$, one gets a spike in the main series be
ause of the identity $[a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n, 1] \equiv [a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n + 1]$. The spikes with $[0; 1, i] = i/(i + 1)$ converge to the spike of the 1:1 resonan
e. That is learly seen in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a). The dire
tion of onvergen
e of the spikes in a series alternate with the series order: the winding number increases in the series of the first order, decreases in the series of the second order, and increases again in the series of the third order. That is why a chaotic region in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a) is situated to the right from the 1 : 1 resonan
e, i. e. in the range of smaller values of w . Whereas, it is to the left for the series of the se
ond order, i. e. in the range of larger values of w. There also exists an additional hierarchical structure with fractional $1:n$ resonances, whose frequencies are below the 1:1 resonance frequency, and a series with resonan
es orresponding to the spikes below $[0; 1, i, (1)]$, for example, a clearly visible series of spikes below $[0; 1, 4, 1]$ converging to the spike $5/6$ in the L diagram (see Fig. [6\)](#page-6-1).

Unfortunately, we ould not identify series of the third and a higher order be
ause numeri
al errors in identifying the winding numbers are greater than the distan
e between the spikes of higher-order series. Unresolved regions on the plot $L(\varepsilon)$ in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a) appear because of a de
rease of the distan
e between the spikes in the same series with increasing series number, a process resembling Chirikov's overlapping of resonan
es.

A magni
ation of one of the wide spikes is shown in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (b). We plot the winding number profile $w(\varepsilon)$ together with the function $L(\varepsilon)$ for the spike. To illustrate what happens with the CIC and its surrounding with in creasing ε we plot the corresponding Poincaré section in Fig. [8.](#page-7-1) In the range $\varepsilon \simeq [0.0119:0.01192]$ the lengths of the CIC and surrounding invariant curves increase slowly due to small hanges in their geometry (Fig. [8](#page-7-1) (a)). After a saddle-center bifurcation at $\varepsilon_{\rm sc} \simeq 0.011934$, there appear two hains of homo
lini
ally onne
ted 63 : 62 islands separated by a meandering CIC (Fig. [8](#page-7-1) (b)). The amplitude of the CIC meanders increases with further increasing ε in the range $\varepsilon \simeq [0.011934 : 0.011935]$. In that range the CIC disappears and appears again in a random-like manner (see the orresponding fragment on the plot $L(\varepsilon)$ in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (b)) due to overlapping of higher-order resonances and reconnection of their invariant manifolds. The example of su
h a re
onne
tion for the 63 : 62 resonance at $\varepsilon = 0.01193511$ is shown in Fig. [8](#page-7-1) (c) where a stochastic layer appears at the place of the CIC. As ε increases further, the CIC appears again but with a smaller number of meanders (Fig. [8](#page-7-1) (d)). Animation of the orresponding patterns is available at http://dynalab.poi.dvo.ru/papers/cic.avi.

The other wide spikes in the plot $L(\varepsilon)$ with a similar structure are caused by another odd resonances between the external perturbation and parti
le's motion along the CIC. Under a CIC resonan
e with the winding number $w = m/n$, we mean reconnection of invariant manifolds of the resonance $n : m$ and onset of a local stochastic layer. The narrow spikes, situated between the wide ones in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) (a), correspond to reconnection of even resonances. They are hardly resolved on the plot. Even resonan
es of higher orders have a smaller effect on CIC geometry then odd resonan
es. As an example, we illustrate in Fig. [9](#page-7-2) metamorphosis of the CIC with the winding number $w = 1/2$. The perturbation amplitude is fixed at a rather small value $\varepsilon = 0.015$ and the frequency increases in the range $2.51 < \nu < 2.556 = 2f_{\text{max}}$. At $\nu = 2.51$ there are islands of the even 2 : 1 resonan
e separated by a CIC (Fig. [9](#page-7-2) (a)). At some critical value of ν invariant manifolds of the 2 : 1 resonance connect and the islands form a tight vortex-pair structure surrounded by a nar-row stochastic layer (see Fig. [9](#page-7-2) (b) at $\nu = 2.55$). The size of the pair decreases gradually with further increasing ν , and the corresponding hyperbolic orbits approach each other (see Fig. [9](#page-7-2) (c) at $\nu = 2.555$). At some critical value of ν , hyperbolic and elliptic orbits of the resonance collide and annihilate, and CIC appears again (see Fig. [9](#page-7-2) (d) at $\nu = 2.56$). Vortex pairs of the other even resonances are formed in a similar way. The higher is the order of the resonan
e, the smaller is the vortex size.

We conclude this section by computing winding numbers w of the CIC in the parameter space. The result is shown in the bird-wing diagram in Fig. [10.](#page-8-0) The CIC does not exist in the white region where the CTB is broken and cross-jet transport takes place. The curves, which end up on the tips of the "feathers of the wing", have

winding numbers w with the following continued-fraction representation: $[a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n, (1)]$. These are so-called noble numbers whi
h are known to be the numbers that annot be approximated by ontinued-fra
tion sequen
es to better accuracy than the so-called Diophantine condition (see, for example, $[42]$). The CICs with noble winding numbers are in a sense the most structurally robust invariant curves, i. e. they may survive under a comparatively large perturbation preventing ross-jet transport. The noble curves are arranged in series like the resonant bifurcation curves with rational winding numbers which end up in the dips of "the wing" in the bird-wing dia-gram in Fig. [6.](#page-6-1) For example, the noble series $[0; 1, i, (1)]$ in Fig. [10](#page-8-0) corresponds to the resonance series $[0; 1, i]$ in Fig. [6.](#page-6-1) In the w diagram we show a few representatives of the noble series $[0; 1, i, (1)]$ and series of the next order $[0; 1, i, j, (1)]$ (see Fig. [10](#page-8-0) with $j = 2, 3$).

FIG. 10: Bird-wing diagram $w(\varepsilon, \nu)$ in the parameter space showing values of the winding number w of the CIC by nuan
es of the grey olor. White zone: regime with broken CTB and cross-jet transport. The curves with irrational winding numbers end up on the tips of the "feathers of the wing" (some of them are marked by the orresponding noble numbers), whereas the curves with rational winding numbers (shown in Fig. 6) end up in the dips of "the wing".

IV. BREAKDOWN OF CENTRAL TRANSPORT BARRIER

We have studied in the preceding section properties of the CIC whi
h has been shown to be a diagnosti means

FIG. 11: Destruction of central transport barrier upon moving in the parameter space along a resonant bifurcation curve with the rational winding number $w = 8/9$. (a) Narrow stochastic layer on the Poincaré section is confined between invariant urves whi
h provide a transport barrier. The perturbation parameters ($\varepsilon = 0.04889$, $\nu = 1.31625$) are chosen on the curve with $w = 8/9$ nearby its right edge (see Fig. [6\)](#page-6-1). (b) Onset of cross-jet transport at the values of parameters ($\varepsilon =$ 0.054, $\nu = 1.285$ chosen in the white zone of that dip.

FIG. 12: Destruction of central transport barrier upon moving in the parameter space along a curve with the noble value of the CIC's winding number $w = [0; 1, 5, 1, (1)]$. When approaching a tip of the corresponding "feather of the wing" in Fig. [10,](#page-8-0) one observes on the Poincaré section a decrease in the width of transport barrier with a CIC (bold curves) inside. (a) $\varepsilon = 0.07017$, $\nu = 1.367875$. (b) $\varepsilon = 0.07416$, $\nu = 1.350375.$ (c) $\varepsilon = 0.0796067, \nu = 1.325875.$ (d) Onset of cross-jet transport at the values of parameters ($\varepsilon = 0.08$, $\nu = 1.3142$) chosen beyond a tip of that "feather" in the white zone in Fig. [10.](#page-8-0)

to hara
terize CTB and its destru
tion. CTB separates water masses to the south and the north from the central jet and prevents their mixing. It is not a homogeneous jet-like layer but onsists of hains of ballisti islands, narrow sto
hasti layers, and meandering invariant curves of different orders and periods (including a CIC) to be confined by invariant curves from the south and the north. Those urves break down one after another when increasing the perturbation amplitude ε , producing sto
hasti layers at their pla
e on both sides of the entral jet, until the sto
hasti layers merge with one another and with sto
hasti layers around the southern and northern circulation cells producing a global stochastic layer and onset of cross-jet transport.

Upon moving along any resonant bifurcation curve with a rational value of the winding number w in the bird-wing diagram in Fig. [6,](#page-6-1) we have those values of the perturbation amplitude ε and frequency ν at which the orresponding CIC is broken due to re
onne
tion of invariant manifolds. It does not mean that CTB is broken as well. That is the ase only if we are at the dips of the "wing". The process of CTB destruction for this type of movement in the parameter spa
e is illustrated in Fig. [11.](#page-9-0) We fix a point ($\varepsilon = 0.04889$, $\nu = 1.31625$) on the resonant bifurcation curve with $w = 8/9$ nearby its right edge in Fig. [6](#page-6-1) and plot the corresponding Poincaré section. A narrow stochastic layer, confined between invariant urves providing a transport barrier, appears on the Poincaré section in panel (a) at the place of a broken CIC. The barrier will be broken if one would hoose the values of parameters in the white zone in Fig. [6.](#page-6-1) Merging of southern and northern sto
hasti layers and onset of cross-jet transport are shown in panel (b) at $\varepsilon = 0.054$, $\nu = 1.285$.

Upon moving along any curve with a noble value of the winding number w in the bird-wing diagram in Fig. [10,](#page-8-0) we have those values of the perturbation amplitude ε and frequency ν at which a CIC with the corresponding noble number exists. The process of CTB destruction for the motion in the parameter space along the noble curve with $w = [0; 1, 5, 1, (1)]$ is illustrated in Fig. [12.](#page-9-1) When moving to the tip of the corresponding "feather of the wing" in Fig. [10,](#page-8-0) one observes progressive destruction of invariant urves and de
rease of the width of the transport barrier (panels (a) and (b)) unless a single CIC remains as the last barrier to cross-jet transport (panel (c)). Onset of ross-jet transport (panel (d)) happens at the values of parameters chosen beyond a tip of that "feather" in the white zone in Fig[.10.](#page-8-0)

Upon moving along any resonant bifurcation curve to the orresponding dip of the bird-wing diagram in Fig. [6,](#page-6-1) we find cross-jet transport at smaller values of the perturbation amplitude as ompared to the ase with irrational winding numbers because in order to provide cross-jet transport in the first case it is enough to destruct all the KAM urves. Whereas, CICs with irrational and espe ially noble values of the winding number may deform in a complicated way but still survive under increasing ε up

to omparatively large values.

V. CONCLUSION

Being motivated by the problem of cross-jet transport in geophysical flows in the ocean and atmosphere, we have studied in detail topology of a entral transport barrier (CTB) and its destruction in a simple kinematic model of a meandering current with chaotic advection of passive parti
les (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-1) that belong to the lass of nondegenera
y Hamiltonian systems. Dire
t omputation of the amplitude–frequency diagram (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0) demonstrated onset of ross-jet transport at surprisingly small values of the perturbation amplitude ε provided that the perturbation frequency ν was sufficiently large. As an indicator of the strength of the CTB and its topology, we used a entral invariant urve (CIC) whi
h was onstru
ted by iterating indicator points by a numerical procedure borrowed from theory of nontwist maps. The CTB has been shown to exist provided a set of the iterations was bounded. Otherwise, ross-jet transport has been observed (Fig. [3\)](#page-5-0). The results were presented as a diagram of the boxounting dimension of those sets of iterations in Fig. [4.](#page-5-1)

Geometry of the CIC has been shown to be highly sensitive to small variations in the parameters near a fractal-like boundary of the diagram (Fig. [5\)](#page-6-0). Quantifying complexity of the CIC's form by its length L , we computed the orresponding L diagram looking like a bird wing with a fractal-like boundary (Fig. [6\)](#page-6-1). Resonant bifurcation curves with rational winding numbers m/n end up in the dips of the boundary. Along those urves in the parameter spa
e, invariant manifolds of the orresponding $n : m$ resonances connect providing a destruction of the CIC. Scenarios of the reconnection are different for odd (Fig. [8\)](#page-7-1) and even (Fig. [9\)](#page-7-2) resonan
es. Animation of the process at http://dynalab.poi.dvo.ru/papers/cic.avi provides a visual demonstration of omplexity of topology of the CTB and its destru
tion. Computing the winding number w of the CIC, we have got an information about those values of the perturbation parameters at whi
h the CTB is strong or weak. Using representation of the values of winding numbers by ontinued fra
tions, we were able to order spikes with rational values of w in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) into hierarchical series of the corresponding CIC resonances. The curve, which end up on the tips of "feathers of the wing" in the winding-number diagram in Fig. [10,](#page-8-0) have noble winding numbers whi
h are so irrational that the orresponding CICs break down in the last turn when varying the perturbation parameters. The noble urves have been found to be arranged in series like the resonant bifurcation curves with rational values of w . Destruction of CTB is illustrated for two ways in the parameter spa
e: upon moving along resonant bifurcation curves with rational values of w (Fig. [11\)](#page-9-0) and along curves with noble values of w (Fig. [12\)](#page-9-1).

In conclusion we address two points that may be important in possible aplications of the results abtained. Molecular diffusion in laboratory experiment and turbulent diffusion in geophysical flows are expected to wash out ideal fractal-like structures caused by chaotic advection after a characteristic time scale. The question is where we there is the start of the original complete the one that is the origin the diffusion time-scale L^2/D is very large since the diffusion coefficient is of the order of $D\simeq 10^{-5}~{\rm cm^2/sec}$ and L is of a kilometer scale. The scale of molecular diffusion in laboratory tanks is, of ourse, mu
h smaller. However, some fra
tal-like stru
tures have been observed in real laboratory experiments (see, for example, Ref. [\[43](#page-11-42)] and the book [\[16](#page-11-15)] for a recent review of experiments). Modelling of a combined effect of chaotic advection and turbulent diffusion in the ocean is a hard problem deserving a special consideration. Any kind of diffusion is expe
ted to intensify ross-jet transport.

The advantage of the kinemati approa
h is its ability to identify different factors that may enhance or suppress ross-jet transport. However, the results obtained with our simplified kinematic model should be taken with aution to des
ribe ross-jet transport in real geophysi
al flows. In any kinematic model the velocity field is postulated based on known features of the current while in dynami models it should obey dynami
al equations following from the conservation of potential vorticity $[44, 45]$ $[44, 45]$. It is very difficult to formulate an analytic and dynamially onsistent model with haoti adve
tion (for a dis cussion and examples of such models see $[15, 27, 29, 46]$ $[15, 27, 29, 46]$ $[15, 27, 29, 46]$ $[15, 27, 29, 46]$ $[15, 27, 29, 46]$ $[15, 27, 29, 46]$. One approach is to seek solutions of the fluid dynamics equations that are self-consistent to linear order [47]. Some aspects of cross-jet transport in a linearized model with a zonal Bickley jet current and two Rossby waves have been studied in Refs. $[27, 28, 48]$ $[27, 28, 48]$ $[27, 28, 48]$ $[27, 28, 48]$ $[27, 28, 48]$ $[27, 28, 48]$. Potential vorticity is not exa
tly onserved within the linear approximation but models that are selfonsistent to linear order provide a compromise between the self-consistency demands and the fruitfulness of Hamiltonian models.

The question, how predictions of kinematic models in destruction of barriers to cross-jet transport carry over to more realisti dynami
al models, remains open. We plan in the future to apply the methods developed in the present paper to a dynami
ally onsistent model of a meandering current with Rossby waves.

A
knowledgments

The work was supported partially by the Program "Fundamental Problems of Nonlinear Dynamics" of the Russian A
ademy of S
ien
es and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 09-05-98520).

- [1] V. I. Arnold, C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci. 261, 17 (1965).
- $[2]$ H. Aref, J. Fluid Mech. 143, 1 (1984) .
- [3] H. Aref, Phys. Fluids 14 , 1315 (2002) .
- [4] J. M. Ottino, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 22, 207 (1990).
- [5] V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov, and A. I. Neishtadt, Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics. Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).
- [6] A. J. Lichtenberg and M. A. Lieberman, Regular and Sto
hasti Motion (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983).
- [7] E. Ott, Chaos in Dynamical Systems (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [8] G. M. Zaslavsky, Hamiltonian Chaos and Fractional Dynamics (University Press, Oxford, 2005).
- [9] R. M. Samelson, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 22, 431 (1992).
- [10] J. Q. Duan and S. Wiggins, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 26, 1176 (1996) . (1996).
- [11] P. D. Miller, C. K. R. T. Jones, A. M. Rogerson, and L. J. Pratt, Physi
a D 110, 105 (1997).
- $[12]$ G. C. Yuan, L. J. Pratt, and C. K. R. T. Jones, Dyn. Atmos. O
eans. 35, 41 (2002).
- [13] S. V. Prants, M. V. Budyansky, M. Yu. Uleysky, and G. M. Zaslavsky, Chaos 16, 033117 (2006).
- [14] M. Yu. Uleysky, M. V. Budyansky, and S. V. Prants, Chaos 17, 024703 (2007).
- [15] K. V. Koshel and S. V. Prants, Physics-Uspekhi 49, 1151 $(2006).$
- [16] K. V. Koshel and S. V. Prants, *Chaotic Advection in the* Ocean (Institute for Computer Science, Moscow-Izhevsk, 2008) $\left[\text{in Russian}\right]$.
- [17] A. S. Bower, J. Phys. Oceanogr. $21, 173$ (1991).
- [18] S. D. Meyers, J. Phys. Oceanogr. 24, 1641 (1994).
- [19] M. Yu. Uleysky, M. V. Budyansky, and S. V. Prants, Journal of Physi
s A: Math. Theor. 41, 215102 (2008).
- [20] V. K. Melnikov, Trudi Moskovskogo Obschestva 12, 3 (1963) [Trans. Moscow. Math. Soc.12, 1(1963)].
- [21] B. V. Chirikov, Phys. Rep. 52, 265 (1979).
- [22] G. Boffetta, G. Lacorata, G. Redaelli, and A. Vulpiani, Physi
a D. 159, 58 (2001).
- [23] F. Raynal and S. Wiggins, Physica D 223 , 7 (2006).
- [24] J. Sommeria, S. D. Meyers, and H. L. Swinney, Nature 337, 58 (1989).
- [25] R. P. Behringer, S. D. Meyers, and H. L. Swinney, Phys. Fluids A 3, 1243 (1991).
- [26] T. H. Solomon, W. J. Holloway, and H. L. Swinney, Phys.

Fluids A 5, 1971 (1993).

- [27] D. Del-Castillo-Negrete and P. J. Morrison, Phys. Fluids A 5, 948 (1993).
- [28] I. I. Rypina, M. G. Brown, F. J. Beron-Vera, H. Kozak, M. J. Olas
oaga, and I. A. Udovyd
henkov, J. Atmos. Sci. 64, 3595 (2007).
- [29] P. H. Haynes, D. A. Poet, and E. F. Shuckburgh, J. Atmos. Sci. **64**, 3640 (2007).
- [30] G. C. Yuan, L. J. Pratt, and C. K. R. T. Jones, J. Phys. O
eanogr. 34, 1991 (2004).
- [31] J. E. Howard and S. M. Hohs, Phys. Rev. A. 29, 418 (1984).
- [32] A. Wurm, A. Apte, K. Fuchss, and P. J. Morrison, Chaos 15, 023108 (2005).
- [33] S. Shinohara and Y. Aizawa, Progr. of Theor. Phys. 100, 219 (1998).
- [34] A. Wurm, A. Apte, and P. J. Morrison, Brazilian Journal of Physi
s 34, 1700 (2004).
- [35] S. Shinohara and Y. Aizawa, Progr. of Theor. Phys. 97 , 379 (1997).
- [36] J. P. van der Weele, T. P. Valkering, H. W. Capel, and T. Post, Physi
a A 153, 283 (1998).
- [37] D. del-Castillo-Negrete, J. M. Greene, and P. J. Morrison, Physica D **91**, 1 (1996).
- [38] D. del-Castillo-Negrete, J. M. Greene, and P. J. Morrison, Physi
a D 100, 311 (1997).
- [39] S. M. Soskin, O. M. Yevtushenko, and R. Mannella, Phys. Rev. Let. 90, 174101 (2003).
- [40] S. M. Soskin, R. Mannella, and O. M. Yevtushenko, Phys. Rev. E. 77, 036221 (2008).
- [41] C. Simo, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics 3, 180 (1998).
- [42] A.M. Ozorio de Almeida, Hamiltonian Systems: Chaos and Quantization (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988).
- [43] J. C. Sommerer, H.-C. Ku, H. E. Gilreath, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5055 (1996).
- [44] J. Pedlosky, *Geophysical fluid dynamics* (Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1987).
- [45] R. T. Pierrehumbert, Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn. 58, 285 (1991).
- [46] K.V. Koshel, M.A. Sokolovskiy, and P.A. Davies, Fluid Dynami
s Resear
h, 40, 695 (2008).
- [47] F. B. Lipps, Monthly Weather Review 98, 122 (1970).
- [48] L. J. Pratt, M. S. Lozier, and N. Beliakova, J. Phys. O
eanogr. 25, 1451 (1995).