

Dynamics of a map with power-law tail

V. Botella-Soler[†], J.A. Oteo[‡] and J. Ros[†] §

[†]Departament de Física Teòrica and Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Universitat de València, 46100-Burjassot, València, Spain

[‡] Departament de Física Teòrica, Universitat de València, 46100-Burjassot, València, Spain

E-mail: vicente.botella@uv.es, oteo@uv.es, rosj@uv.es

Abstract. We analyze a one-dimensional piecewise continuous discrete model proposed originally in studies on population ecology. The map is composed of a linear part and a power-law decreasing piece, and has three parameters. The system presents both regular and chaotic behavior. We study numerically and, in part, analytically different bifurcation structures. Particularly interesting is the description of the abrupt transition order-to-chaos mediated by an attractor made of an infinite number of limit cycles with only a finite number of different periods. It is shown that the power-law piece in the map is at the origin of this type of bifurcation.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Pq, 05.45.Ac, 02.30.Oz

AMS classification scheme numbers: 37G35, 37M05, 74H99, 37D45

Submitted to: *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*

§ To whom correspondence should be addressed

1. Introduction

Work on population ecology carried out in the 1970's certainly helped chaos to move center stage as a new interdisciplinary subject [1]. One-dimensional discrete-time models are technically among the simplest ones to consider and interpret. They provide an appropriate description of species with non-overlapping generations. The basic example for the evolution of a population X_n at generation n is the linear law $X_{n+1} = rX_n$. Here r represents the growth rate or fecundity, assumed constant. It is, however, too schematic allowing only extinction ($r < 1$), equilibrium ($r = 1$) or infinite growth ($r > 1$). It was soon recognized that more realistic models should be nonlinear:

$$X_{n+1} = X_n F(X_n). \quad (1)$$

Written in this form, F is the dimensionless non-constant fitness function of the population or per-capita growth rate. A sage choice for it should capture the essential features of the system. The crucial point is that once nonlinearity is let in, a huge variety of new phenomena may appear as is now universally recognized. Thus, discrete time population ecology is in close contact with discrete dynamical system theory. For instance, the choice [2, 3]

$$F(X_n) = r(X_n/K)^{-b}, \quad b > 0, \quad (2)$$

renders easy the numerical determination of the parameter values from experimental population data by a linear fit to $\log X_{n+1}$ versus $\log X_n$, which constitutes certainly a salient advantage. In (2) the presence of parameter K , the conventional carrying capacity, ensures the dimensionless character of F . A slight variant of it reads [3, 4]

$$F(X_n) = \begin{cases} r, & X_n \leq C \\ r(X_n/K)^{-b}, & X_n > C \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where C is a threshold population and the fitness parameter $b > 0$ is dimensionless.

For the purposes of the present paper we emphasize that these and similar models can also be used as mathematical instances of dynamical systems to illustrate different features when the ranges of parameters and time variable are enlarged beyond those realistic in population dynamics. In this spirit, we analyze here the one-dimensional discrete model associated with equation (3) which will be referred to as VGH (Varley-Gradwell-Hassell) [5]. In [6] it is briefly explained that the system is chaotic for $b > 2$ and regular for $b < 2$, pointing out that in the order-to-chaos transition no cascade of period doubling emerges. In the present paper we analyze numerically and analytically how such a transition takes place which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been studied in detail in the mathematics or ecology literature.

The system function is continuous for $C = K$ and discontinuous otherwise. Piecewise continuous maps are, by far, less analyzed than continuous ones in the literature. Also, we address our attention to the role of the threshold parameter C , to which no much attention seems to have been given.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the features of the VGH map, present some traits of the associated dynamical system, and briefly introduce the pertinent equations to compute the Lyapunov exponent. In Section 3 the transition order-to-chaos is studied in detail. Some exact results are presented for $b = 2$ and an explanation for the observed bifurcation is propounded. In Section 4 the effect of varying C and r is analyzed. An instance of wrong numerical solutions, originated in the finite precision of the computer, is described and explained in Section 5. In Section 6 we explore the nature of the trajectories for a variety of situations. Finally, Section 7 contains our conclusions. For the sake of completeness, two technical Appendices are included. In particular, Appendix B contains a thorough analytical study of the VGH map in the critical case $b = 2$.

2. Description of the map and its dynamics

2.1. Alternative formulations

We write the VGH map, equation (3), in the form

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n; b, c, r) \quad (4)$$

with

$$f(x; b, c, r) = \begin{cases} rx, & x \leq c \\ rx^{1-b}, & x > c \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

In going from (1) and (3) to (4) and (5) we have used the carrying capacity K as our natural unit to measure populations and correspondingly introduced the dimensionless variable $x_n = X_n/K$, and parameter $c = C/K$. Observe that $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, whereas $X_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The function f in (5) is defined in $\mathbb{R}^4$ although, being a population variable, we take $x \in [0, \infty)$. In the three dimensional parameter space (b, c, r) we consider only the region $b > 1$ to ensure decrease of f with increasing x ; $c, r > 0$ for compatibility with the range for x .

According to this map the population in a given generation is a linear function of that in the previous one as far as the population does not exceed a critical value c . For greater values the population follows a nonlinear power-law. As already mentioned $f(x_n; b, c, r)$ has a discontinuity, unless $c = 1$, located at $x = c$. Figure 1 illustrates the different possibilities and we expect, therefore, differences in the response of the system according to whether the value of c is below or above unity.

For some purposes, we have found it useful to express the VGH map in terms of the new variable z and the new parameter ξ

$$z \equiv 2 \log(x) / \log(r), \quad \xi \equiv 2 \log(c) / \log(r) \quad (6)$$

with $x \neq 0$ and $r > 1$. The numeric factor two in (6) has been introduced for convenience. The inverse transformation reads

$$x = r^{z/2}, \quad c = r^{\xi/2}. \quad (7)$$

This leads from (5) to

$$z_{n+1} = \begin{cases} z_n + 2, & z_n \leq \xi \\ (1-b)z_n + 2, & z_n > \xi \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

now with phase space $(-\infty, \infty)$. This representation of the map has just two effective parameters. From a mathematical point of view this transformed version is piecewise linear, whereas (5) defines a piecewise continuous nonlinear system. Linearization is a standard procedure in the study of dynamical systems. It usually follows from first order approximations. Here, however, the linearization is exact. In Appendix A we collect some results obtained from this version of the dynamical system. It is worth mentioning that dynamical systems of the same type can be found in applications in electronics, robotics and mechanical systems with impacts [8, 7].

2.2. VGH dynamical system

We present here some of the most apparent features of the dynamical system originated by the iteration of the VGH map.

First we can dispose of the case $r < 1$. $x = 0$ is then always an stable fixed point. When $c < r^{1/b}$ there is a second fixed point at $x = r^{1/b}$ which is stable if $1 < b < 2$ and which attracts any initial condition in the interval (c, x^*) with $x^* = (c/r)^{1/(1-b)}$. For $b = 2$, that interval consists of period-2 points, with the exception of the fixed point $\sqrt{r}$. Values $x \leq c$ and $x > x^*$ go to $x = 0$. Observe that here $r < 1$ does not necessarily implies extinction. This is due to the interplay between the different parameters.

For $r = 1$ any initial condition in $[0, c]$ remains fixed. If $c < 1$ then $x = 1$ is also fixed. It is stable for $1 < b < 2$ attracting any initial condition in the interval (c, x^*) with $x^* = c^{1/(1-b)}$. If $b = 2$, the interior points of that interval, except $x = 1$, are paired in 2-cycles. Points to the right of x^* are eventually fixed, reaching its limiting fixed value in two steps.

For $r > 1$, $x = 0$ is an unstable fixed point, independently of c . If $c < r^{1/b}$, also $x = r^{1/b}$ is fixed, although it is stable only for $1 < b < 2$.

In the rest of the paper we consider only $r > 1$ which, as we shall see, originates a much richer behavior.

2.3. Lyapunov exponent

The Lyapunov exponent is a measure of the rate at which two initially close trajectories move away. For the one-dimensional discrete map $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ the computation of the Lyapunov exponent λ admits an analytical formula (see for instance [9, 10, 11])

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln |f'(x_k)| \right\} \quad (9)$$

which, in the case (5), leads to

$$\lambda = \ln r + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [\ln |1 - b| - b \ln |x_k|] \theta(x_k - c) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

whereas (8) yields

$$\lambda = \ln |1 - b| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta(z_k - \xi) \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Both formulas are given in terms of Heaviside θ function which selects the iterations that visit $x_n > c$ or $z_n > \xi$ respectively.

Equation (9) makes use of the derivative f' which in the present case is not defined at $x = c$ or $z = \xi$. As it is an isolated point, it does not amount any numerical difficulty.

In practice, one has to take care of discarding transients in order to allow the orbit to enter the attractor. In particular, transients are very long for chaotic trajectories when $b \approx 2$. Besides, it is convenient to average λ over a number of initial seeds.

Since the summation in (10) and (11) is over a large enough number of points on the trajectory, numerical accuracy is a relevant issue. To this end, and following the analysis in [13], we have used the multi-precision Fortran package MPFUN [14, 15] which allows one to efficiently compute with very high number of digits. We concluded that double precision gives here enough computational guaranties as regards (10) and (11). By contrast, it is not the case for the computation of trajectories of (8) with some integer values $b > 2$, an issue that we deal with in Section 5.

We have verified numerically, in long enough runs, that the percent of points $x_n > c$ and $z_n > \xi$ are similar. Eventually, the comparison of the two expression for λ in (10) and (11) yields the following result for the statistics of points $x_k > c$ in the attractor

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln(x_k) \theta(x_k - c) \right] = \frac{\ln r}{b} \quad (12)$$

which tells that the geometric mean of all the points $x_k > c$ in the trajectory equals $r^{1/b}$.

3. Dependence on b : order-to-chaos transition

As already stated in [6], the chaotic regime corresponds to $b > 2$, independently of the values of c and r , without stable regularity windows embedded. This is clear from the positive character of λ in (11) when $b > 2$.

This feature is illustrated by the bifurcation diagrams in parameter b . In Figure 2 the three panels correspond to $c = 1.2, 1, 0.8$, from top to bottom, respectively, with the fixed value $r = 2$. In Figure 3 we plot the bifurcation diagram for $c = 2.5$ and $r = 2$, as well as the Lyapunov exponent λ (upper panel). Notice the irregularities in the curve around the value $b = 2.2$ where the bifurcation diagram exhibits a number of crises.

The aforementioned sudden transition from a regular to a chaotic system, with no period doubling, is apparent. As the negative sign of the computed Lyapunov exponent witnesses, $b < 2$ means regular behavior: every initial seed ends up in a periodic orbit.

For fixed $b < 2$, the attractor consists of coexisting limit cycles. Its cardinal is a piecewise constant function of c . So, there exist some values $c = c_n$ ($n \geq 1$ integer) that punctuate the sequence of the number of points that make up the attractor. The cardinal is given by the heuristic formula $2(n+1)/[3 - (-1)^n]$, ($n \geq 1$), whose first few terms read

$$1, 3, 2, 5, 3, 7, 4, 9, 5, 11, 6, 13, 7, 15, 8, 17, 9, \dots \quad (13)$$

They can be interpreted as forming two intermingled sequences whose terms increase by one and two units respectively. We note in passing that the numerical sequence (13) is documented in [12].

The diagram in Figure 4 gives a perspective of this situation for $b = 1.9$ and $r = 2$, as a function of c .

In the rest of this Section we focus our attention on the case $b = 2$ which separates the regular and chaotic regimes. We present both numerical and analytical results. The reader is referred to Appendix B for details.

3.1. Case $b = 2$.

For $b = 2$ and fixed $r > 1$ the orbit of an arbitrary initial condition $x_0 \in [0, \infty)$, is simple enough to be rigorously described. The behavior is varied and shows an interesting dependence on the parameter c . In particular this permits to appraise the effect of the discontinuity. As detailed below, the general characteristic in this case is that all the initial conditions are fixed, periodic or eventually periodic. There exist an infinity of coexisting limit cycles. Their periods are allowed to take only a restricted set of values, which depend on the initial condition and parameters value through very strict laws. We defer their detailed description to Appendix B where for ease of writing the results are presented in terms of the dynamical variable z and parameter ξ . The reader can find there precise information of the transients length and exact account of the cycles. Here we report only the most salient features in terms of the variable x and threshold parameter c .

If $c \leq 1$, then the closed interval $[c, r/c]$ is invariant under the action of the map. Any interior point $x_0 \neq \sqrt{r}$ belongs to the 2-cycle $\{x_0, r/x_0\}$. $x_0 = \sqrt{r}$ is a fixed point. The extremes $x_0 = c$ and $x_0 = r/c$ as well as all the exterior points are eventually periodic, entering the invariant interval after a transient.

If $c > 1$, then the closed interval $[1/c, rc]$ is invariant under the action of the map. Every exterior point is eventually periodic. Interior points are periodic. For fixed c , the period of the cycles depends on x_0 but can take on only a restricted set of values. For instance, with $c = 2$ one finds a 3-cycle: $\{1/\sqrt{r}, \sqrt{r}, r\sqrt{r}\}$; a 4-cycle: $\{1/r, 1, r, r^2\}$; and the rest of the points in the interval accommodates in an infinity of 6-cycles. All these cycles are the limit cycles for the eventually periodic points. Notice that in this

system the existence of period three does not imply chaos. This is not in conflict with the celebrated Li and Yorke theorem because the VGH map is defined by a discontinuous function.

The basins of attraction of such limit cycles are infinite intermingled sets of zero measure. As a matter of fact, the consecutive points in every basin of attraction are equally separated in the z variable. Figure 5 illustrates, for $b = c = 2$ and $r = 2$ and 3, some of the facts above.

To properly value these results, a comment is in order. In Figures 2 and 3 one can neatly observe a vertical segment located at $b = 2$. It is worth noticing that it comprises all the coexisting limit cycles. In a numerical simulation, it is only filled provided enough initial conditions are considered for the construction of the bifurcation diagram. If just a few initial points are taken into account, then one simply sees in $b = 2$ the few points belonging to the limit cycles corresponding to the starting conditions considered. In no case this continuous segment has to be taken as a sign of chaos.

3.2. An explanation of the observed bifurcation

Next we develop an heuristic explanation for the origin of the order-to-chaos bifurcation observed at $b = 2$. To this end, use will be made of the cobweb plot of the iterated VGH function. Figure 6 shows an instance of it for values $b = r = 2$ and $c = 0.8$. There, the initial seed $x_0 = 2.3$ belongs to a period-2 orbit. Instead, the seed $x_0 = 0.3$ enters a period-2 orbit after a transient.

As is well known, period-2 points are fixed points of the second iterate $f^{[2]}$ of the map. The cobweb plot of $f^{[2]}$ is shown in Figure 7. The relevant element in this plot is the piece of $f^{[2]}$ which is co-linear with the bisectrix, because it conveys the existence of a continuous interval of fixed points. Leaving apart the fixed point of f , these points pair themselves to form the alluded period-2 orbits. By the cobweb construction the rest of the points eventually land in the piece on the bisectrix.

Whenever an infinite set of trajectories of period $n > 2$ is observed, with $b = 2$, it is because this value of the parameter forces $f^{[n]}$ to have one or more segments co-linear with the bisectrix too. The question is then: Which are the conditions for the map f to allow iterates $f^{[n]}$ to have pieces co-linear with the bisectrix?

Our answer is that the phenomenon may take place whenever the piecewise continuous map f has a piece defined by a power-law function. To buttress this answer let us write the general expression of a term of $f^{[n]}$ as

$$r^{p(b)} x^{(1-b)^m} \tag{14}$$

where $p(b)$ stands for a polynomial of b and $m \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $b > 1$, the conditions for (14) to be co-linear with the bisectrix are: even m , $b = 2$ and $p(2) = 0$.

To further illustrate this let us focus on one part of the second iterate of (5), namely

$$f^{[2]}(x) = \begin{cases} \cdots, & \cdots \\ r^{2-b} x^{(1-b)^2}, & c < x < r/c, c < \sqrt{r} \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

where the dots stand for the three remaining terms and intervals in the definition of the second iterate. The explicit term of $f^{[2]}$ written down in (15) is co-linear with the bisectrix in the cobweb plot if and only if $b = 2$, as expected, provided $c < \sqrt{r}$. If $c \geq \sqrt{r}$ the extremes of the interval collapse to a single point and that particular piece disappears in the second iterate.

In principle, several iterates can have co-linear terms simultaneously for the same value of c . This gives rise to coexisting cycles of different periods, a fact that is illustrated in the bifurcation diagram of Figure 8. There we have coded in color (gray tone) the periods of the trajectories, with fixed values $b = r = 2$. The representation is given in terms of $\{z, \xi\}$, which endows the plot with more symmetric structure. The intermingling of the colored rhombuses accounts for the coexistence of limit cycles with various periods. In addition, horizontal dashed (color) segments stand for cycles whose periods are indicated by circled numbers and have been taken from Table B1. Framed numbers indicate the corresponding period of orbits in rhombuses. As Figure 8 shows, in our case of study only two different iterates can have co-linear terms for the same value of c . Moreover, as c increases, more co-linear terms of successively higher iterates emerge whereas the co-linear terms of the previous iterates collapse and disappear (see Appendix B).

In other words, the presence of the rx^{1-b} power-law piece in the definition of the VGH model is at the origin of the bifurcation at $b = 2$. More generally, any one-dimensional map defined as a piecewise function, with a power-law piece in its definition, is a candidate to exhibit a bifurcation of the present type.

3.3. Case $b = 2$. When $x_0 = c$: Harter's boundaries

As is well known, for a continuous unimodal uniparametric map with its maximum at $x = x^*$, the plot of the successive iterates of the initial seed $x_0 = x^*$ versus the map parameter generates the so-called Harter boundaries [17, 18]. In the logistic map [1, 11, 19, 20], for instance, they correspond to the sharp cusps observed in the invariant density. These boundaries are, to some extent, the skeletal system of the bifurcation diagram where they are readily observed provided it is built up using color or grey tones. Harter lines cross at unstable equilibrium points and, in the case of the logistic map, the set of crossing points conforms itself a reversed bifurcation cascade. Further interesting information, like the U-sequence of periods of super-stable cycles, may also be obtained from the Harter curves.

The VGH map is not differentiable at $x = c$, although it is still unimodal in the sense of being monotonically increasing for $x \leq c$ and decreasing for $x > c$. A similar study to the one described for the logistic map leads to interesting results. To be precise, Harter lines correspond to the color boundaries visible in Figures 2 and 3. They can be directly established from the form (5) of the map. It is nevertheless simpler to use instead (8) and the results gathered in Appendix A. For $b = 2$, the seed $x_0 = c$ ends up in a cycle whose period $P(r, c)$ depends on r and c . The expression for P and the cycle

elements may be explicitly written down.

For $c < 1$ the 2-cycle $\{rc, 1/c\}$ is reached just after one iteration. For $c \geq 1$, $x = c$ is always a periodic point. Obviously $c = 1$ belongs to the 2-cycle $\{1, r\}$. When $c > 1$ there is a unique integer $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c \in [r^{M/2}, r^{(M+1)/2}) . \quad (16)$$

with

$$M = \left\lfloor 2 \frac{\log c}{\log r} \right\rfloor = \lfloor \xi \rfloor \quad (17)$$

in terms of the integer part or floor function. Then, for $c > 1$ we get two cases

$$P(r, c) = M + 2, \text{ if } c = r^{M/2} \quad (18)$$

$$P(r, c) = 2(M + 2), \text{ if } c \in (r^{M/2}, r^{(M+1)/2}) \quad (19)$$

The $2(M + 2)$ -points of the cycle for the case (19) read

$$\left\{ \frac{c}{r^M}, \frac{c}{r^{M-1}}, \dots, \frac{c}{r}, c, rc, \frac{1}{c}, \frac{r}{c}, \frac{r^2}{c}, \frac{r^{M+1}}{c} \right\} \quad (20)$$

which in turn, for $c = r^{M/2}$ (*i.e.*, case (18)) contract to the $(M + 2)$ -points cycle

$$\{r^{-M/2}, r^{-M/2+1}, \dots, r^{M/2-1}, r^{M/2}, r^{M/2+1}\} \quad (21)$$

It is interesting to observe that (20) can be obtained recurrently from $\{rc, 1/c\}$ by a simple procedure. In going from M to $M + 1$ two new elements are added to the cycle: the one in the leftmost position is obtained by dividing by r the first element in the previous cycle. The other, which goes at the rightmost position, is obtained by multiplying by r the last element of the previous cycle.

In Figure 9 we plot $P(r, c)$ as given by (18) and (19) versus $2 \log(c)/\log(r)$. It is particularly striking the period-halving when $c = r^{M/2}$ just mentioned.

4. Dependence on c : Discontinuity location

The dependence of the system with respect to the parameter c with r fixed, or equivalently ξ , exhibits a large variety of features. We commence by describing the system near the bifurcation point $b = 2$, in the chaotic regime; and next for higher b .

4.1. Near $b = 2$

In Figure 10 we plot the bifurcation diagram as a function of c , computed with $b = 2.01$ and $r = 4$. For $c < 1$ the trajectories x_n wander in two relatively large chaotic bands in contrast with the extremely narrow ones for $c > 1$.

The upper panel in the figure gives the Lyapunov exponent. It is everywhere positive, as it must be for a chaotic regime. As a function of c , its value changes suddenly at every band merging crisis observed in the bifurcation diagram.

To study the diagram with further detail we present in Figures 11 – 13 magnifications of small areas in Figure 10.

The region with $c < 1$, near $b = 2$, has further interesting features. Thus, what in Figure 10 appears as two dense chaotic bands does have structure when minutely examined. Figure 11, witnesses this feature. The grid structure in the bifurcation diagrams as a function of c (or ξ) fades as c decreases. The Lyapunov exponent in the upper panels exhibits jumps associated with chaotic bands merging crises.

The bifurcation diagram in Figure 11 exhibits a further type of crisis. Thus, the inset presents an enlargement of the interval $1.14 < c < 1.15$ (indicated by the arrow on the bifurcation diagram) which shows a plateau in the λ profile. This is not associated to a band merging crisis. Here, every chaotic band shrinks into a set of very narrow chaotic bands for a short interval of the parameter at the end of which the original bands restore suddenly their width.

This phenomenon is more clearly observed in Figure 12 where the shrinking of the thin chaotic band into five thinner bands is apparent. The upper panel allows one to better appreciate the plateau shape of λ . To the leftmost part of this bifurcation diagram ($1.14 < c < 1.1405$) one can hint a crisis of the very same kind. As we will show, the constancy of the Lyapunov exponent in those windows holds only near the critical point $b = 2$. Notice also in Figure 11 that the interval $1 < c < 1.15$ is plagued by such crises and, as a matter of fact, successive zooms of the λ curve exhibit the same kind of plateaus.

Figure 13 corresponds to a zoom in the left and uppermost part of the bifurcation diagram in Figure 11. The Lyapunov exponent in the upper panel shows oscillations at band merging crises and, once again, a plateau (only visible in the inset) at the crisis located at $c < 0.964$. In the Section 6 we will establish a relationship between these types of crises and the nature of the trajectories.

For $c > 1$ and close to the critical point $b = 2$, the crises take place at integer values of ξ . This feature is illustrated in Figure 14. The left panel shows λ as a function of c for three different values of r and $b = 2.01$. The right panel corresponds to the same data expressed with respect to ξ : All three curves collapse onto a master curve. Furthermore, the value of the Lyapunov exponent is invariant also in magnitude. This property fades as far as we move toward higher values of b , namely far from the critical point. All this buttresses the existence of universality in the system near the transition order-to-chaos.

Bifurcation diagrams of the VGH system always collapse when expressed in terms of $2 \log x / \log r$ versus $2 \log c / \log r$. This is true even far from $b = 2$, in contrast with the Lyapunov exponent diagrams.

4.2. Far from $b = 2$

The lower panel of Figure 15 gives a view of the bifurcation diagram near $c = 1$, with $r = 4$ and $b = 2.1$, i.e. leaving the critical point. The upper panel shows an enlargement of the region located by the arrow below. This is a clear instance of the latter type of crisis mentioned, namely where the attractor shrinks. Concomitantly, the uppermost

panel shows how the Lyapunov exponent varies. At variance with Figures 11–13, here λ exhibits neither jumps nor plateaus across the crisis.

In the bifurcation diagram of Figure 16, with $b = 2.2$ and $r = 2$, the band merging phenomenon is more involved than in Figure 10. The corresponding Lyapunov exponent in the upper panel exhibits large variations at crises too. However, these do not take place any longer at integer values of ξ .

Eventually, in Figure 17 we observe that the Lyapunov exponent presents a large plateau whereas in the corresponding bifurcation diagram no chaotic band merging or shrinking occurs. This in contrast to the plateaus, for instance, in Figure 12.

5. A numerical flaw

Version (8) of the map presents a worth mentioning numerical nuisance. In general, if one generates two trajectories, the first one from (5) with initial condition x_0 and the second one from (8) starting from the transformed value $z_0 = 2 \log(x_0) / \log(r)$ then, after (say) less than one hundred iterations, the values z_n and $2 \log(x_n) / \log(r)$ do not match each other any longer in the chaotic regime. However, globally, both sets of points are faithful representations of the chaotic attractor, a result that uses to be justified by shadowing theorems [16], except for some integer values of $b > 2$ which lead to erroneous generation of trajectories.

The dynamics for real z may be viewed as follows. For an initial point $z_0 < \xi$, every iteration conveys a shift to the right by two units until the condition $z_n > \xi$ is fulfilled. The second line in (8) may be read as: $\{(1-b)\lfloor z_n \rfloor + 2\} + (1-b)(z_n \bmod 1)$. Thus, for integer $b > 2$ the quantity in brackets is an integer and hence, its successive iteration conveys just a shift, as above. The key point is that, for finite machine precision, the last term dramatically looses precision in each iteration for some particular integer values of $b > 2$.

This effect may be understood on the basis of a generalization of the Bernoulli or binary shift map: $z_{n+1} = 2z_n \pmod{1}$. To this end, let us use the binary representation of the number $z_n \bmod 1$. Multiplication in base 2 is very simple in some cases. For instance, when $|1-b| = 2^k$ we get the binary representation of the product $2^k(z_n \bmod 1)$ simply by shifting $k-1$ places to the left every bit and (due to the finite precision of the computer) adding simultaneously $k-1$ zeros to the right of the number. Henceforth this number gets shifted by two units in every iteration till it reaches $z_n > \xi$ when the mod operator acts again. This way, the piece in bracket is preserved as an integer under the action of the floor function, whereas the term $2^k(z_n \bmod 1)$ looses significant digits before going through the loop again. Eventually it stops when, after a number of iterations, $2^k(z_n \bmod 1) = 0$. At this point the iteration reduces to a periodic orbit with elements located at integer numbers. The full output is then a set of limit cycles whose elements are always integers. This misleading result is just consequence of the computer finite precision.

The systems with integer b in (8) offer instances where the numerical trajectories

cannot *shadow* the exact ones.

6. Types of trajectories

In this Section we gather some illustrative examples concerning the behavior of trajectories. Cases of two types will be described, namely, intermittency and bursts.

In the course of our numerical work, we have observed that the population of this system mimics, for some parameter values, intermittency. The term intermittency is coined for a phenomenon that occurs near a bifurcation leading to a regularity window. It refers to the emergence of a relatively large number of iterations during which the system seems to evolve according to an almost periodic trajectory, whereas the system remains in a chaotic regime. The lasting of these quasi-regular episodes is erratic.

That type of crisis, namely chaos-to-periodicity, does not occur in the present system. However, when occasionally the chaotic bands of the attractor become suddenly very narrow then an intermittent-like behavior may be observed. Figure 18 witnesses such a situation. There, two trajectories are plotted for slightly different values of c . The empty square symbols stand for a trajectory in a regime where the attractor is still large. The intermittent-like behavior is clearly observed. The solid (red) dots represent a trajectory at first sight compatible with period-8, in a regime where the attractor shrunk. However, the inset allows us to illustrate the non-periodic character as it corresponds to a zoom of just one single band. Furthermore, the hops between bands do not follow a periodic pattern.

A different type of behavior in trajectories, featured by bursts, is presented in Figure 19. The population oscillates around a fixed value with growing amplitude. The episode ends with a jump. After that, the systems carries out a reset and this type of sequence restarts.

In Figure 20 we present a more extreme situation of this kind. Here every population jump is multiple and has a huge relative amplitude. As in the preceding case, there is a threshold in the number of oscillations before the jump takes place: The trajectory needs to reach a critical value prior to jump. The waiting time statistics between multiple jumps agrees to a shifted exponential probability density.

Eventually, an intermediate behavior of population filed between intermittency and bursts is shown in both snapshots of upper panel in Figure 21. At first glance the regime could look regular. However, the episodes between the large amplitudes alternate between one and two points, without a fixed pattern.

The main purpose of Figure 21 is rather to illustrate the relationship between λ -plateau crises and the evolution of trajectories. Let $c = c^*$ be the value where the attractor shrinks to thinner bands and the intermittent-like phenomenon takes place. The nature of trajectories is visibly different according to whether b is close to the critical value or not, as shown in Figure 21. In the upper panel we plot two trajectories for two values of c just before and after $c^* \sim 1.455$, for $b = 2.01$, *i.e.* close to the critical point. In the lower panel, a similar plot is given for $b = 2.2$, namely far from the critical point,

with $c^* \sim 10.9678$. The value $r = 4$ holds for all cases. Notice how the highest peaks distribute differently in both situations. Near $b = 2$ (upper panel) the two patterns are more similar which is consistent with the constancy of the computed value of λ .

7. Discussion and conclusions

We have revisited a one-dimensional population model, proposed as an instance of density dependent dynamics. The fitness parameter b controls the onset of chaos. Values $b > 2$ convey chaos. Otherwise the system is regular. On the critical point $b = 2$ the attractor is made of an infinity of limit cycles that share a finite number of different periods. Hence, the transition order-to-chaos takes place through three steps: i) Finite number of limit cycles at $b < 2$; ii) Infinite number of limit cycles with finite number of different periods at $b = 2$; and iii) Chaos at $b > 2$. No period doubling occurs in this route to chaos. At $b = 2$, we have given an exact description of the system. We have checked that such regular attractors have been sometimes plotted in bifurcation diagrams in the literature. However we have not found the concurrent descriptions of the attractor. Moreover, bifurcation diagrams may be found in the literature where this attractor lacks, most likely because too few initial seeds were used in the computation.

The behavior of continuous piecewise linear maps, like (8) with $\xi = 0$ ($c = 1$), has been studied in terms of the so-called border collision bifurcations. Thus, a thorough classification of the different kinds of bifurcations, in the parameter space, is given in [21]. The study explicitly excludes the borderline systems where a slope equals ± 1 . Interestingly, the VGH map in its version (8) with $\xi = 0$ ($c = 1$), falls just into this category. A study of discontinuous maps [22], namely with $\xi \neq 0$ (or $c \neq 1$), is flawed by the same restriction. Hence, the VGH map corresponds to a family of functions that are, by definition, out of those analyses. Moreover, in view of the results given in Section 3.2, this type of bifurcation may endow that classification with further structure.

A well studied feature of piecewise smooth maps refers to the so-called cycles of chaotic intervals [23]. In the chaotic region, when the bifurcation diagram has chaotic bands, the trajectories may jump in a cyclic way from one band to another, a phenomenon referred to as cyclic chaotic attractor, cyclic chaotic intervals or chaotic bands. It is worth noticing that this cyclic jumping of trajectories among chaotic bands does occur also in differentiable systems like the logistic map [24]. The continuous VGH model ($c = 1$) exhibits indeed this phenomenon. The conditions for this phenomenon to be present in the discontinuous case ($c \neq 1$) require further study.

An interesting phenomenon occurs close to the critical value, i.e. for $b \simeq 2$. There, the Lyapunov exponent of the VGH model exhibits renormalization features when expressed as a function of the variable ξ . In turn, the bifurcation diagrams as a function of c collapse for all r and b when expressed as $\{z_n, \xi\}$.

We have also observed plateaus in the Lyapunov exponent, when represented as a function of c , near intermittent-like crises. Notice that to observe these phenomena one has to resource to a high numerical resolution in the bifurcation parameter. The

maximal Lyapunov exponent of simple dynamical systems near attractor-widening and attractor-merging crises is known to show abrupt variation in its value (former case) or in its slope (later case) [25]. The study of such variations in the cases of plateaus may be certainly of interest.

We think that the the presence of a power-law piece in maps like the VGH model studied here originates worth knowing properties. We do hope that the variety of results gathered in this work may stimulate further work.

Acknowledgments. This work has been partially supported by contracts MCyT/FEDER, Spain (Grant No. FIS2004-0912) and Generalitat Valenciana, Spain (Grant No. ACOMP07/03). VBS thanks Generalitat Valenciana for financial support.

Appendix A. Exact piecewise linearization

Although by no means necessary, version (8) of the VGH dynamical system is extremely useful for analytic purposes and has a simple mathematical interpretation: it corresponds to two coupled affine dynamical systems. Precisely the new parameter ξ introduced acts as coupling constant.

For the general affine discrete dynamical system

$$x_{n+1} = Ax_n + B \tag{A.1}$$

the general solution with initial condition x_0 is

$$x_n = \begin{cases} x_0 + Bn, & \text{if } A = 1 \\ \left(x_0 + \frac{B}{A-1}\right) A^n - \frac{B}{A-1}, & \text{if } A \neq 1 \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

By itself system (A.1) has a rather dull dynamics. The evolution tends to the fixed point $B/(1-A)$ if $|A| < 1$, escapes to infinity if $|A| > 1$ or $A = 1$. For $A = -1$ any initial condition x_0 except the fixed point $B/2$ belongs to the 2-cycle $\{x_0, -x_0 + B\}$.

For the system (8), the coupling parameter ξ divides the phase space for our variable z in two regions: $z \leq \xi$ (Region I) and $z > \xi$ (Region II). In I (A.1) holds with $A = 1$, whereas $A = 1 - b < 0$ in region II. All over the phase space $B = 2$. The previous equation (A.2) is instrumental in discussing the transitions to and fro between both regions. These transitions explain the more complicated dynamics of the coupled system (8).

Transitions $I \rightarrow II$ from a point $z_0 \leq \xi$ will necessarily take place after N iterations with

$$N = \left\lfloor \frac{\xi - z_0}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \tag{A.3}$$

independently of the value of parameter b . Furthermore the orbit of $z_0 \leq \xi$ will land in II always with $z_N \in (\xi, \xi + 2]$.

In contrast, if $z_0 > \xi$ transitions $II \rightarrow I$ are not always possible and, when they actually occur, follow a more complicated pattern depending on b, ξ and z_0 . Concerning

parameter b it is convenient to distinguish two possibilities:

$$\begin{aligned} A: & \quad 1 < b \leq 2 \\ B: & \quad b > 2. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

As ξ and z_0 are concerned we set apart three cases:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a:} & \quad \xi < z_0 \leq 0 \\ \text{b:} & \quad \xi \leq 0 < z_0 \\ \text{c:} & \quad 0 < \xi < z_0. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

We have the following variants for case A in (A.4):

Aa: transitions $II \rightarrow I$ are forbidden.

Ab: transitions allowed only if $z_0 > (\xi - 2)/(1 - b)$ and then $N = 1$

Ac: transitions allowed for any z_0 if $\xi > 2/b$ or if $\xi < 2/b < z_0$ and $z_0 > (\xi - 2)/(1 - b)$.

In any case $N = 1$.

To discuss case B in (A.4) we introduce

$$\rho = \frac{\ln |(b\xi - 2)/(bz_0 - 2)|}{\ln(b - 1)}. \tag{A.6}$$

We have the following variants:

Ba: transitions are always allowed and $N = 2\lceil \rho/2 \rceil$, in terms of the ceiling function.

Bb: If $z_0 < 2/b$ then $N = 2\lceil \rho/2 \rceil$. For $z_0 > 2/b$, if $z_0 < 4/b - \xi$ then $N = \lfloor \rho \rfloor + 1$ or $N = \lfloor \rho \rfloor + 2$ according to $\lfloor \rho \rfloor$ being even or odd. If $z_0 > 2/b$ and $z_0 > 4/b - \xi$ then $N = 1$.

Bc: the trajectory always crosses to region I with varying N according to the following scheme:

- (a) For $\xi < z_0 < 2/b$, $N = 2\lceil \rho/2 \rceil$
- (b) For $\xi < (2/b) < z_0 < (4/b - \xi)$, then $N = \lfloor \rho \rfloor + 1$ if $\lfloor \rho \rfloor$ is even, or $N = \lfloor \rho \rfloor + 2$ if $\lfloor \rho \rfloor$ is odd.
- (c) For $\xi < 2/b < 4/b - \xi < z_0$, $N = 1$
- (d) For $2/b < \xi$, $N = 1$, independently of $z_0 > \xi$

Appendix B. Detailed study of the system $b = 2$

Here we obtain the basins of attraction, periods, cycles and transients for the VGH map with $b = 2$ and arbitrary ξ and x_0 .

We analyze separately the cases $\xi < 0$ ($c < 1$), $\xi = 0$ ($c = 1$) and $\xi > 0$ ($c > 1$) with fixed $b = 2$. To facilitate the notation, in this Appendix we will use the z -version of the map, namely

$$z_{n+1} = \begin{cases} z_n + 2, & z_n \leq \xi \\ -z_n + 2, & z_n > \xi \end{cases} \tag{B.1}$$

Appendix B.1. $\xi < 0$ (or $c < 1$)

The first general feature of the system is that the interval $[\xi, 2 - \xi]$ is invariant under the action of the map. This is readily appreciated on the bifurcation diagrams in figures 2 and 3. Every initial z_0 in the invariant interval belongs to a cycle of period two, with the exception $z_0 = 1$ which is a fixed point. The point $x_0 = 0$ (or equivalently $z_0 = -\infty$) is fixed $\forall c$ (respectively $\forall \xi$). The remaining points z_0 are eventually periodic and, after a transient, they enter the interval $[\xi, 2 - \xi]$. The z -phase space get partitioned as

$$(-\infty, \infty) = (-\infty, \xi] \bigcup (\xi, 2 - \xi) \bigcup [2 - \xi, \infty), \quad (\text{B.2})$$

which corresponds to the original x -phase space partition

$$(0, \infty) = (0, c] \bigcup (c, \frac{r}{c}) \bigcup [\frac{r}{c}, \infty). \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The dynamics of the map encompasses three cases, defined by the value of ξ :

- (i) $z_0 \leq \xi$ (or $x_0 \leq c$). Define $N \equiv \lfloor (\xi - z_0)/2 \rfloor$. Transient: $N + 1$. Limit cycle: $\{2(N + 1) + z_0, -2N - z_0\}$.
- (ii) $\xi < z_0 < 2 - \xi$ (or $c < x_0 < r/c$). No transient. Cycle: $\{z_0, 2 - z_0\}$. $z_0 = 1$ fixed point.
- (iii) $2 - \xi \geq z_0$ (or $r/c \geq x_0$). Define $N \equiv \lfloor (\xi + z_0)/2 \rfloor$. Transient: $N + 1$. Limit cycle: $\{2(N + 1) - z_0, -2N + z_0\}$.

Appendix B.2. $\xi = 0$ (or $c = 1$)

The invariant interval under the action of the map is $[0, 2]$, and their points belong to cycles of period two, with the exception $z_0 = 1$ which is a fixed point. Points $z_0 \notin [0, 2]$ are eventually periodic. The partition of the z -phase space is

$$(-\infty, \infty) = (-\infty, 0) \bigcup [0, 2] \bigcup (2, \infty), \quad (\text{B.4})$$

which corresponds to the original x -phase space partition

$$(0, \infty) = (0, 1) \bigcup [1, r] \bigcup (r, \infty). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

We distinguish four cases:

- (i) $z_0 < 0$ (or $x_0 < c = 1$). Define $N \equiv -\lfloor z_0/2 \rfloor$. Transient: N . Cycle: $\{2N + z_0, -2(N + 1) - z_0\}$.
- (ii) $z_0 = 0$ and $z_0 = 2$ constitute the cycle: $\{0, 2\}$.
- (iii) $0 < z_0 < 2$ with $z_0 \neq 1$. Cycle: $\{z_0, 2 - z_0\}$.
- (iv) $z_0 > 2$ (or $x_0 > r$). Define $N \equiv \lfloor z_0/2 \rfloor$. Transient: $N + 1$. Limit cycle: $\{2(N + 1) - z_0, z_0 - 2N\}$.

Appendix B.3. $\xi > 0$ (or $c > 1$)

This is the most involved situation. Here the invariant interval under the action of the map is $[-\xi, 2 + \xi]$. The remaining points are eventually periodic. The convenient partition of the z -phase space is

$$(-\infty, \infty) = (-\infty, -\xi) \bigcup [-\xi, 2 + \xi] \bigcup (2 + \xi, \infty). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

In the original x -phase space we have the partition

$$(0, \infty) = (0, \frac{1}{c}) \bigcup [\frac{1}{c}, rc] \bigcup (rc, \infty). \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Next we describe the features of the various intervals:

- (i) $z_0 < -\xi$ (or $x_0 < 1/c$). Transient: $\lfloor -(\xi + z_0)/2 \rfloor + 1$.
- (ii) $z_0 > 2 + \xi$ (or $x_0 > rc$). Transient: $\lfloor (z_0 - \xi)/2 \rfloor + 1$.
- (iii) $-\xi \leq z_0 \leq 2 + \xi$ (or $1/c \leq x_0 \leq rc$). This case embraces five possibilities according to the value of ξ :

- (a) $0 < \xi < 1$. The convenient partition of the z -phase space is

$$\begin{aligned} [-\xi, 2 + \xi] &= \\ &[-\xi, 0) \bigcup [0, \xi] \bigcup (\xi, 2 - \xi) \\ &\bigcup [2 - \xi, 2) \bigcup [2, 2 + \xi] \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A} \bigcup \mathcal{B} \bigcup \mathcal{I} \bigcup \mathcal{C} \bigcup \mathcal{D}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The subinterval $\mathcal{I} = (\xi, 2 - \xi)$ is, in turn, invariant under the action of the map. $z_0 = 1$ is a fixed point. Else, orbits are the period-2 cycles: $\{z_0, 2 - z_0\}$. The other four subintervals together form also an invariant subset. Their points hop in period-4 trajectories following the symbolic cyclic sequence: $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

- (b) $\xi = 1$. The interval under scrutiny is now $(-1, 3)$. There exist the cycles: $\{-1, 1, 3\}$ and $\{0, 2\}$. Points $z_0 \neq -1, 0, 1, 2, 3$ are in cycles of period four.
- (c) $1 < \xi < 2$. The convenient partition here reads:

$$\begin{aligned} [-\xi, 2 + \xi] &= \\ &[-\xi, \xi - 2] \bigcup (\xi - 2, 0) \bigcup \{0\} \\ &\bigcup (0, 2 - \xi) \bigcup [2 - \xi, \xi] \bigcup (\xi, 2) \\ &\bigcup \{2\} \bigcup (2, 4 - \xi) \bigcup [4 - \xi, 2 + \xi] \\ &\equiv \mathcal{A} \bigcup \mathcal{B} \bigcup \mathcal{C} \bigcup \mathcal{D} \bigcup \mathcal{E} \bigcup \mathcal{F} \bigcup \mathcal{G}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

There is no invariant subinterval under the map action. Four different types of periodic orbits exist. One period-2 trajectory which is the cycle $\{0, 2\}$. One period-3 trajectory which is the cycle $\{-1, 1, 3\}$. Period-4 orbits are, symbolically: $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, cyclic. Similarly, period-6 orbits follow the pattern:

- $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, cyclic. Notice that every one of the three subintervals is visited twice in one cycle.
- (d) $\xi = 2$. One period-3 cycle: $\{-1, 1, 3\}$. One period-4 cycle: $\{-2, 0, 2, 4\}$. The remaining orbits are period-6.
- (e) $\xi > 2$. This is by far the most involved situation. We define the following quantities: $N \equiv \lfloor \xi/2 \rfloor$ and $\alpha \equiv \xi - 2N$. The convenient partition now depends on N and α :

$$\begin{aligned}
 [-\xi, 2 + \xi] = & \quad (B.10) \\
 & J_N^- \bigcup H_{N-1}^- \bigcup J_{N-1}^- \bigcup \dots \bigcup H_0^- \\
 & \bigcup J_0^- \bigcup J_0^+ \bigcup H_0^+ \bigcup J_1^+ \bigcup H_1^+ \\
 & \bigcup \dots \bigcup J_N^+ \bigcup H_N^+ \bigcup J_{N+1}^+ \\
 & \bigcup \mathcal{A} \bigcup \mathcal{B} \bigcup \mathcal{C} \bigcup \mathcal{D}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The length of the subintervals J 's and H 's is α and $2 - \alpha$ respectively. The super-index tells whether the interval is located either to the left ($-$) or to the right ($+$) of $z = 0$. The sub-index codes the borders location of the interval according to

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_k^+ &= (2k, 2k + \alpha), \\
 H_k^+ &= (2k + \alpha, 2(k + 1)), \\
 J_k^- &= (-2k - \alpha, -2k), \\
 H_k^- &= (-2(k + 1), -2k - \alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

Besides

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A} &= \{2k + \alpha ; k = 0 \dots N + 1\}, \\
 \mathcal{B} &= \{-2k - \alpha ; k = 0 \dots N\}, \\
 \mathcal{C} &= \{2k ; k = -N \dots N + 1\}, \\
 \mathcal{D} &= \{2k + 1 ; k = -N \dots N\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The period of any trajectory starting in $[-\xi, 2 + \xi]$ is given in Table B1, where we have defined an auxiliary quantity η when appropriate.

Table B1. Period of the trajectories according to the initial point $z_0 \in [-\xi, 2 + \xi]$, with $b = 2$ and $\xi > 2$, in equation (8).

Initial point	η	Period	Condition
$z_0 \in J_k^\pm$ and $\notin \mathcal{D}$	$-2k \pm z_0$	$4N + 6$	$\eta + \alpha > 2$
		$4N + 4$	$\eta + \alpha < 2$
$z_0 \in H_k^\pm$ and $\notin \mathcal{D}$	$2(k + 1) \mp z_0$	$4N + 2$	$\eta > \alpha$
		$4N + 4$	$\eta < \alpha$
$z_0 \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$		$4N + 6$	$\alpha > 1$
		$4N + 4$	$\alpha < 1$
$z_0 \in \mathcal{D}$		$2N + 3$	$\alpha > 1$
		$2N + 1$	$\alpha < 1$
$z_0 \in \mathcal{C}$		$2N + 2$	$\forall \alpha$

- [1] May R M 1976 Simple mathematical-models with very complicated dynamics *Nature* **261** 459–67
- [2] May R M, Conway G R, Hassell M P and Southwood T R E 1974 Time delays, density-dependence and single-species oscillations *J. Anim. Ecol.* **43** 747–70
- [3] Hassell M P 1975 Density-dependence in single-species populations *J. Anim. Ecol.* **44** 283–95
- [4] Varley G C, Gradwell G R and Hassell M P 1973 *Insect population ecology: an analytical approach* (Oxford: Blackwell)
- [5] May R M and Oster G F 1976 Bifurcations and dynamic complexity in simple ecological models *Am. Nat.* **110** 573–99
- [6] May R M 1975 Biological populations obeying difference equations: Stable points, stable cycles, and chaos *J. theor. Biol.* **51** 511–24
- [7] Hogan S J, Higham L and Griffin T C L 2007 Dynamics of a piecewise linear map with a gap *Proc. R. Soc. A* **463** 49–65
- [8] Banerjee S and Verghese G C 2001 *Nonlinear phenomena in power electronics: Attractors, bifurcations, chaos and nonlinear control* (New York: IEEE Press)
- [9] Hilborn R C 2000 *Chaos and nonlinear dynamics* (Oxford University Press)
- [10] Strogatz S H 1994 *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos* (Cambridge MA: Perseus Books)
- [11] Collet P and Eckmann J P 1980 *Iterated Maps on the Interval as Dynamical Systems* (Birkhäuser)
- [12] *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>, see sequence: A026741
- [13] Oteo J A and Ros J 2007 Double precision errors in the logistic map: Statistical study and dynamical interpretation *Phys. Rev. E* **76** 036214–22
- [14] Bailey D H 1993 Algorithm 719: Multiprecision translation and execution of Fortran programs *ACM Trans. Math. Software* **19** 288–319
- [15] Bailey D H 2005 High-precision floating-point arithmetic in scientific computation *Comput. Sci. Eng.* **7** 54–61
- [16] Grebogi C, Hammel S M, Yorke J A and Sauer T 1990 Shadowing of physical trajectories in chaotic dynamics: Containment and refinement *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** 1527–30
- [17] Jensen R V and Myers C R 1985 Images of the critical points of nonlinear maps *Phys. Rev. A* **32** 1222–4
- [18] Eidson J, Flynn S, Holm C, Weeks D and Fox R F 1986 Elementary explanation of boundary shading in chaotic-attractor plots for the Feigenbaum map and the circle map *Phys. Rev. A* **33** 2809–12
- [19] Feigenbaum M J 1978 Quantitative universality for a class of non-linear transformations *J. Stat.*

- Phys.* **19** 25–52
- [20] Feigenbaum M J 1979 Universal metric properties of non-linear transformations *J. Stat. Phys.* **21** 669–706
- [21] Banerjee S, Karthik M S, Yuan G and Yorke J A 2000 Bifurcations in one-dimensional piecewise smoth maps: Theory and applications in switching circuits *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.-I: Fund. Theory Appl.* **47** 389–94
- [22] Avrutin V and Schanz M 2006 On multi-parametric bifurcations in a scalar piecewise linear map *Nonlinearity* **19** 531–52
- [23] Maistrenko Yu L, Maistrenko V L and Chua L O 1993 Cycles in chaotic intervals in a time-delayed Chua’s circuit *Int. J. Bifurcation and Chaos* **3** 1557–72
- [24] Beck C and Schlögl F 1993 *Thermodynamics of chaotic systems* (Cambridge University Press)
- [25] Mehra V and Ramaswamy R 1996 Maximal Lyapunov exponent at crises *Phys. Rev. E* **53** 3420–4




Figure 1. Shape of the map (5) for $b = 2.5$, $r = 2$ and three different values $c = 0.7, 1, 1.3$. The curves have been vertically shifted for the sake of clarity.




Figure 2. (Color online) Bifurcation diagrams as a function of b , for $r = 2$ and three different values of c . The color scale is logarithmic and it stands for the frequency the point is visited with. This holds for the rest of color figures, unless otherwise stated.




Figure 3. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram as a function of b , for $r = 2$, $c = 2.5$. The corresponding Lyapunov exponent is in the upper panel.




Figure 4. (Color online) Regular orbits. Bifurcation diagram as a function of c , with $b = 1.9$ and $r = 2$. The period of cycles is coded by the color scale (gray tone).




Figure 5. Limit cycles, namely x_n with $n \gg 1$, as a function of the initial condition x_0 , for $b = c = 2$ and two different values of r




Figure 6. (Color online) Cobweb plot for f (thick red line). The two seeds are $x_0 = 0.3, 2.3$. Parameter values: $b = r = 2, c = 0.8$.




Figure 7. (Color online) Cobweb plot for $f^{[2]}$ (thick red line). The three seeds are $x_0 = 0.11, 0.7, 2.6$. Parameter values: $b = r = 2, c = 0.8$.




Figure 8. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram for $r = b = 2$. The color (gray tone) codes the period of the cycle which is also given by the framed numbers for trajectories falling in rhombuses. The circled numbers stand for the periods of the cycles falling on dashed lines.




Figure 9. Period of the orbits whose initial seed is $x_0 = c$ as a function of $\xi = 2 \log(c)/\log(r)$, for $b = 2$.




Figure 10. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram (bottom panel) and Lyapunov exponent (upper panel) obtained using $b = 2.01$ and $r = 4$.




Figure 11. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram (bottom panel) and Lyapunov exponent (upper panel) obtained using $b = 2.01$ and $r = 4$. This plot presents a zoom of Figure 10. The inset in the upper panel allows to appreciate the plateau in λ which is correlated with an intermittency-like crisis in the bifurcation diagram.




Figure 12. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram (bottom panel) and Lyapunov exponent (upper panel) obtained using $b = 2.01$ and $r = 4$. This plot presents a zoom of Figure 11.




Figure 13. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram (bottom panel) and Lyapunov exponent (upper panel) obtained using $b = 2.01$ and $r = 4$. This plot presents a zoom of Figure 11. The inset in the upper panel allows to appreciate the plateau in λ which is correlated with an intermittency-like crisis in the bifurcation diagram.




Figure 14. (Color online) Left panel: Lyapunov exponent as a function of c for three values of b . Right panel: Collapse of the same three curves as a function of ξ




Figure 15. (Color online) Bottom panel: Bifurcation diagram as a function of c , with $b = 2.1$ and $r = 4$. The arrow locates the narrow window zoomed in the upper panel where λ is also shown.




Figure 16. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram and Lyapunov exponent as a function of c . This particular plot was obtained using $b = 2.2$ and $r = 2$. The system is not close to the critical point $b = 2$.




Figure 17. (Color online) Bifurcation diagram and Lyapunov exponent as a function of c , with $b = 3$ and $r = 2$.




Figure 18. (Color online) Intermittency-like phenomenon with $r = 4$ and $b = 2.2$. Two trajectories from both sides of a crisis are compared. Solid dots (red) correspond to a trajectory with $c = 0.8845$, where the invariant density splits in narrow bands. Empty squares (black) correspond to $c = 0.8840$, namely next to the crisis point in the larger region for the invariant density. The inset is a zoom of the points close to $x = 2.5$ and allows to illustrate the non-regular character of these trajectories.




Figure 19. Lower panel: Trajectory exhibiting a sequence of bursts with $r = 2$, $b = 2.01$ and $c = 0.3$. Upper panel: The non-periodic character of bursts is made apparent with this magnification of maxima.




Figure 20. Panel A: Trajectory exhibiting a sequence of spikes, obtained with $r = 2$, $b = 2.01$ and $c = 1.4116823$. The dotted rectangle is the area zoomed in panel B. The area in the rectangle is zoomed, in turn, in panel C.




Figure 21. Upper panel: Trajectories with $r = 4$, $b = 2.01$ showing intermittent-like behavior for two systems close to the value $c = 1.455$, near the critical point. Lower panel: Trajectories with $r = 4$, $b = 2.2$ showing intermittent-like behavior for two systems close to the value $c = 10.9678$, far away from the critical point.