

# Backward errors and linearizations for palindromic matrix polynomials

Bibhas Adhikari\*

**Abstract.** The paper is devoted to the backward perturbation analysis of  $*$ -palindromic and  $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. We derive structured backward error of approximate eigenpair of these polynomials and characterize the minimal structured perturbations that achieve it. With the help of structured backward error we detect optimal structured linearizations such that the increment of structured backward error is negligible compared to the unstructured or structured backward error of approximate eigenpair of a given  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomial.

**Keywords.** Structured backward error, palindromic matrix polynomial, structured linearization

**AMS subject classification(2000):** 15A57, 65F35, 15A18.

## 1 Introduction

A triple  $(\lambda, x, y) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n (x \neq 0, y \neq 0)$  is called an eigentriple of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  if and only if

$$P(\lambda)x = 0 \text{ and } y^H P(\lambda) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  denotes the space of matrix polynomials of the form  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j, A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and  $y^H$  is the conjugate transpose of  $y$ . The nonzero vectors  $x$  and  $y$  are called the right and left eigenvectors of  $P$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , respectively. Given a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  and an approximate eigentriple  $(\lambda, x, y)$  of  $P$ , the backward perturbation analysis deals with finding minimal perturbation  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  of  $P$  so that  $(\lambda, x, y)$  becomes an exact eigentriple of  $P + \Delta P$ . If the coefficients of the given polynomial have certain distinctive structure, that is, for a structured polynomial  $P$ , sometimes it is necessary to find the minimal perturbation  $\Delta P$  having the same structure as that of  $P$  to preserve the properties (for example, eigensymmetry) of  $P$  in the resulting polynomial  $P + \Delta P$ . Thus analyzing structured perturbations of a structured polynomial are inadequate to the numeric of structured matrix polynomials.

In this paper we consider only the regular matrix polynomials. We undertake a detailed backward perturbation analysis of  $*$ -palindromic and  $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials defined in section 2. These polynomials arise mainly in the study of rail traffic noise caused by high speed trains, see [25, 22, 26, 18]. A lot of interest has been shown into the development of structured preserving algorithms and the perturbation theory of palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problems, for example see ([2, 9, 10, 20, 18, 23, 25, 26], and the references therein). We denote the set of  $*$ -palindromic and  $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials by  $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ . We equip appropriate norm  $\|\cdot\|_M$  on  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ . Given a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $x^H x = 1$ , we determine the structured backward error  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  of  $(\lambda, x)$  as an approximate right eigenpair of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and construct a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such

---

\*Department of Mathematics, IIT Guwahati, Guwahati-781039, INDIA (bibhas.adhikari@gmail.com).

that  $\|\Delta P\|_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  and  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$ . Moreover, we show that  $\Delta P$  is unique for the Frobenius norm on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  but there are infinitely many such  $\Delta P$  for the spectral norm on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Further, for the spectral norm, we show how to construct all such  $\Delta P$ . A similar study has been carried out in [3], see also [8].

We mention that structured backward error multiplied with the structured condition number provides an approximate upper bound on the errors in the computed eigenlements. A detailed sensitivity analysis including explicit expression of structured condition number of eigenvalues of a variety of structured matrix polynomials including palindromic matrix polynomials has been investigated in [4]. Thus structured backward errors derived in this paper will play an important role in the accuracy assessment of the computed eigenlements of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  using structure preserving algorithms.

Due to the lack of a genuine polynomial eigensolver, the common practice to solve a polynomial eigenvalue problem of degree  $m$  is to solve an equivalent generalized eigenvalue problem of larger size. To be specific, an  $n \times n$  polynomial  $P$  of degree  $m$  is converted into an *equivalent* linear polynomial  $L(\lambda) = \lambda X + Y$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$ ,  $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$  and a numerically backward stable algorithm is employed to compute the eigenlements of  $L$ . It is shown in [22, 24] that a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  can have infinitely many potential linearizations. In fact those linearizations form a vector space of dimension  $m(m-1)n^2 + m$ . Availability of many linearizations gives rise to a problem of choosing an optimal linearizations. Analyzing backward error of approximate eigenpair and condition number of eigenvalues of a matrix polynomial Higham et al. [15, 16] have determined a list of optimal linearizations of a polynomial.

It is well known that  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials have certain eigensymmetry in the spectrum, and in the eigentriple as well. Therefore to solve a palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problem it is very important to preserve those structures in the computed eigenlements. Potential structured linearizations have been constructed in [22, 24] to preserve the eigensymmetry of  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. It has been shown that there are plenty of structured linearizations of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . But each of such linearizations happens to be sensitive to perturbations in its own way. Therefore for computational purposes, it is highly desirable to identify optimal structured linearizations which are as well-conditioned as the matrix polynomial itself. By analyzing the structured condition number, a recipe of optimal structured linearizations of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  has been produced in [4]. Besides, it is worthy to investigate how different structured linearizations affect the accuracy of computed eigenlements. This issue has been investigated recently in [1, 3] for a variety of structured matrix polynomials.

With a view to analyzing accuracy of computed eigenlements of  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials we follow a similar procedure developed in [3] for a variety of structured polynomials including symmetric, skew-symmetric, even and odd. Indeed, we consider structured backward errors  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  of approximate eigenlements  $(\lambda, x)$  of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and structured backward errors  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)$  of approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)$  of widely varying structured linearizations  $L$  of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , where  $\Lambda_{m-1} := [\lambda^{m-1}, \dots, \lambda, 1]^T$  and  $v$  is called the right ansatz vector, see [22]. Further, we identify potential structured linearizations  $L$  of  $P$  for which  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v) \leq \alpha \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ , for some scalar  $\alpha > 0$ . Thus we identify optimal structured linearizations of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . We notice that these optimal linearizations agree with those optimal structured linearizations proposed in [4] by analyzing the structured condition number.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review palindromic matrix polynomials and their spectral symmetries. In section 3, we derive structured backward errors of approximate eigenpairs of palindromic polynomials. In section 4, we analyze structured linearizations of palindromic matrix polynomials and identify optimal structured linearizations.

## 2 Eigensymmetry of palindromic matrix polynomials

A matrix polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  is called  $*$ -palindromic or  $*$ -anti-palindromic if

$$P^*(z) = z^m P(1/z) \text{ or } P^*(z) = -z^m P(1/z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \quad (2)$$

respectively, where  $P^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j^*$  and  $*$   $\in \{T, H\}$ . Note that  $A^T$  denotes the transpose of a matrix  $A$  and the conjugate transpose of a matrix  $A$  is denoted by  $A^H$ . We denote the set of  $*$ -palindromic matrix polynomials by  $\mathbb{S}_p$  and the set of  $*$ -anti-palindromic polynomials by  $\mathbb{S}_{ap}$ . Unless otherwise stated we write  $\mathbb{S}$  for both  $\mathbb{S}_p$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{ap}$ . Due to the structure of the coefficients, the spectrum of a  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic polynomial inherits a spectral symmetry. In fact if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  then  $1/\lambda^*$  is also an eigenvalue of  $P$ . This eigenvalue pairing  $(\lambda, 1/\lambda^*)$  is known as the symplectic eigensymmetry. Table 1 gives the eigensymmetry and structure of eigentriples of  $*$ -palindromic and  $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials.

$\mathbb{S}$	eigenvalue pairing	eigentriple
$T$ -palindromic / $T$ -antipalindromic	$(\lambda, 1/\lambda)$	$(\lambda, x, \bar{y}), (1/\lambda, y, \bar{x})$
$H$ -palindromic/ $H$ -anti-palindromic	$(\lambda, 1/\bar{\lambda})$	$(\lambda, x, y), (1/\bar{\lambda}, y, x)$

Table 1: Eigensymmetry of  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic polynomials.

The validity of the Table 1 follows from [2, Theorem 2.1] by extending the arguments of matrix pencils to matrix polynomials. Note that if  $\lambda = 0$ , that is if 0 is an eigenvalue of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  then  $\infty$  is an eigenvalue of  $P$  as well. In this paper we consider the finite eigenvalues only, although the *infinity* eigenvalue can be analyzed by considering the *reversal* of the polynomial or by considering the homogeneous polynomial, see [22, 27]. Its evident that if 1 or  $-1$  is an eigenvalue of any  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  then it is always a multiple eigenvalue of  $P$ . Note also that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| = 1$ , is an eigenvalue of a  $H$ -palindromic/ $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomial  $P$  then it is always a multiple eigenvalue.

We now show that given  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  and  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , there always exists a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $(P(\lambda) + \Delta P(\lambda))x = 0$ , that is,  $(\lambda, x)$  is an eigenpair of  $P + \Delta P$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$ , we define the projection  $P_x := I - xx^H$ . We make the convention throughout the paper that  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  is of the form  $\Delta P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j \Delta A_j$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$ . Let  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  be given by  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$ . Suppose  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$ . Set  $r := -P(\lambda)x$  and  $\Lambda_m := [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T$ . Define*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_j &:= \begin{cases} -(x^T A_j x) \bar{x} x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [(\bar{\lambda})^j P_x^* r x^H + \epsilon (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} \bar{x} r^T P_x], & \text{if } * = T \\ -(x^H A_j x) x x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [(\bar{\lambda})^j P_x r x^H + \epsilon \lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x], & \text{if } * = H \end{cases} \\ \Delta A_{m-j} &:= \begin{cases} \epsilon (\Delta A_j)^*, j = 0 : (m+1)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \epsilon (\Delta A_j)^*, j = 0 : (m-2)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ \Delta A_{m/2} &:= \begin{cases} -(x^T A_{m/2} x) \bar{x} x^H + \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [P_x^* r x^H + \epsilon \bar{x} r^T P_x], & \text{if } * = T, \\ -(x^H A_{m/2} x) x x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2} P_x r x^H + \epsilon \lambda^{m/2} x r^H P_x], & \text{if } * = H, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $m$  is even, where  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ , and  $\epsilon = -1$  if  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ . Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ .

**Proof:** The proof is computational and is easy to check. ■

### 3 Structured backward error of approximate eigenpair

The structured backward perturbation analysis mainly deals with finding the minimal perturbation  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and an approximate eigentriple  $(\lambda, x, y)$ , so that  $(\lambda, x, y)$  becomes an eigentriple of  $P + \Delta P$ . In this paper we consider only the approximate right eigenpair  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , that is  $x$  is an approximate right eigenvector corresponding to an approximate eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Unless otherwise stated throughout the paper we always mean approximate right eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  by approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$ . The similar arguments can be employed to derive the corresponding results for left eigenpair  $(\lambda, y)$ .

In this section we derive structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . The backward error of an approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  is defined as the smallest, in norm, perturbation  $\Delta P$  of  $P$  such that  $(\lambda, x)$  is an eigenpair of  $P + \Delta P$ , that is,  $(P(\lambda) + \Delta P(\lambda))x = 0$ . Hence we need to equip a suitable norm on the space  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ . Given  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  we define norm in the following manner:

$$\|P\|_M := \left( \sum_{j=0}^m \|A_j\|_M^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad M \in \{F, 2\} \quad (3)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_F$  is called the Frobenius norm whenever  $M = F$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is called the spectral norm whenever  $M = 2$ . For a variety of norms on  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  see [5].

By convention, if  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $x$  is assumed to be nonzero, that is,  $x \neq 0$  and  $\lambda$  is finite. Treating  $(\lambda, x)$  as an approximate eigenpair of a regular polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ , we define the backward error of  $(\lambda, x)$  by

$$\eta_M(\lambda, x, P) := \min_{\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})} \{ \|\Delta P\|_M : P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0 \}, \quad M \in \{F, 2\}.$$

Setting  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ , we have the explicit formula [3]

$$\eta_M(\lambda, x, P) = \|r\|_2 / \|x\|_2 \|\Lambda_m\|_2. \quad (4)$$

We mention that an explicit formula of backward error is obtained by Tisseur [27] for a different set up of norms on  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ . See also [8].

Next assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  is a regular polynomial. Then we define the structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  by

$$\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) := \min_{\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}} \{ \|\Delta P\|_M : P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0 \}, \quad M \in \{F, 2\}. \quad (5)$$

Unless otherwise stated, we denote  $\eta_M(\lambda, x, P)$  and  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  for both  $M = F$  and  $M = 2$ . By Theorem 2.1 it is obvious to see that  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) < \infty$  and  $\eta_M(\lambda, x, P) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

To derive  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  corresponding to  $M = 2$  we use Davis-Kahan-Weinberger norm-preserving dilation theorem (DKW in short) stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.1 (Davis-Kahan-Weinberger, [11])** *Let  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  are matrices of appropriate sizes. Let  $A, B, C$  satisfy  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \mu$  and  $\| [A \ C] \|_2 = \mu$ . Then there exists  $D$  such that  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \mu$ . Indeed, those  $D$  which have this property are exactly those of the form*

$$D = -KA^HL + \mu(I - KK^H)^{1/2}Z(I - L^HL)^{1/2}, \quad (6)$$

where  $K^H := (\mu^2 I - A^H A)^{-1/2} B^H$ ,  $L := (\mu^2 I - AA^H)^{-1/2} C$  and  $Z$  is an arbitrary contraction, that is,  $\|Z\|_2 \leq 1$ .

We mention that we will use DKW Theorem in the subsequent development by setting  $Z = 0$  in (6) to avoid complicated calculations.

Let  $\Lambda_m := [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . To determine structured backward error in a convenient manner we use the projection operator  $\Pi_s : \Lambda_m \mapsto \Pi_{\pm}(\Lambda_m)$  which has been introduced in [4] to determine the structured condition number of eigenvalues of  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. Indeed  $\Pi_s, s \in \{+, -\}$  is defined by

$$\Pi_{\pm}(\Lambda_m) := \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{\lambda^m \pm 1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda^{m/2+1} \pm \lambda^{m/2-1}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\lambda^{m/2} \pm \lambda^{m/2}}{2} \right]^T & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \left[ \frac{\lambda^m \pm 1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda^{(m+1)/2} \pm \lambda^{(m-1)/2}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^T, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Now we state some basic properties of  $\Pi_+$  and  $\Pi_-$  that will be used in the subsequent development. It is straightforward to check that the following relations hold.

- $\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - \|\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} 2\operatorname{re}((\bar{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j}) + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} 2\operatorname{re}((\bar{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j}), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$
- $\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 + \|\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 = \|\Lambda_m\|_2^2.$
- $2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} |\lambda^{m-j}|^2, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} |\lambda^{m-j}|^2, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$
- $2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} |\lambda^j|^2, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} |\lambda^j|^2, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

### 3.1 $T$ -palindromic and $T$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials

Now we derive structured backward error of approximate eigenpair of  $T$ -palindromic and  $T$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. Recall that a polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$  is  $T$ -palindromic if  $A_j^T = A_{m-j}$ , and  $T$ -anti-palindromic if  $A_j^T = -A_{m-j}$  where  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  when  $m$  is odd, and  $j = 0 : m/2$  when  $m$  is even. The set of  $T$ -palindromic and  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomials is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}_p$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{ap}$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $\mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$ . Let  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  be given by  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$ . Let  $(\lambda, x)$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  be an approximate eigenpair of  $P$ . Set  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ . Then we have*

$$\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = (a_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda) \|r\|_2^2 + b_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda) |x^T r|^2)^{1/2}, \quad M \in \{F, 2\}$$

where  $a_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda)$  and  $b_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda)$  are given by

$m$	$\lambda$	$\mathbb{S}$	$a_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda), M = F$	$b_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda), M = F$
odd	$\lambda \neq -1$	$\mathbb{S}_p$	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	$\frac{1}{\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2} - \frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$
	$\lambda \neq 1$	$\mathbb{S}_{ap}$		
	$\lambda = -1$	$\mathbb{S}_p$	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	0
	$\lambda = 1$	$\mathbb{S}_{ap}$		
even	$\lambda = \pm 1$	$\mathbb{S}_p$	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	$-\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$
	$\lambda \neq \pm 1$	$\mathbb{S}_p$	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	$\frac{4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - 3\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{(2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2)^2} - \frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$
	$\lambda \neq 1$	$\mathbb{S}_{ap}$		

$m$	$\lambda$	$\mathbb{S}$	$a_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda), M = 2$	$b_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda), M = 2$
<i>odd</i>	$ \lambda  > 1$	$\mathbb{S}$	$\frac{4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)+\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4}$	$\frac{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4-4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)+\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}$
	$ \lambda  \leq 1$	$\mathbb{S}$	$\frac{4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)-\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4}$	$\frac{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4-4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)-\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}$
<i>even</i>	$\lambda = \pm 1$	$\mathbb{S}_p$	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	0
	$\lambda = 1$	$\mathbb{S}_{ap}$		
	$ \lambda  > 1$	$\mathbb{S}$	$\frac{4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)+\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2- \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4}$	$\left[ \frac{4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2-3\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{(2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2-\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2)^2} - \frac{4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)+\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2- \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4} \right]$
$ \lambda  \leq 1$	$\mathbb{S}$	$\frac{4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)-\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2- \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4}$	$\left[ \frac{4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2-3\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{(2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2-\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2)^2} - \frac{4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m)-\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2- \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4} \right]$	

where  $s = +, \epsilon = 1$  if  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ , and  $s = -, \epsilon = 0$  if  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ .

**Proof:** First we consider that  $m$  is even. Let  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, note that there always exists a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  which satisfies  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ . Consequently  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) < \infty$ . Let  $Q = [x, Q_1]$  be a unitary matrix, where  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is given and  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-1)}$  is an isometry so that  $Q_1^H x = 0$ .

Let  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ . Define

$$\Delta A_j := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \quad \Delta A_{m/2} := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} a_{(m/2)(m/2)} & a_{m/2}^T \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2 \quad (8)$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^T$ . Now  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$  yields  $\Delta P(\lambda)x = -P(\lambda)x = r$  (say). Therefore by (8) we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{bmatrix}$$

By Lemma A.2 the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} = Q_1^T r$  is given by

$$b_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \quad a_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2, \quad a_{m/2} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r.$$

Further, by Lemma A.1, 4 the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$  is given by

$$a_{jj} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1, \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^T r & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1, \end{cases} \quad a_{(m/2)(m/2)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1, \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} x^T r}{2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Thus we have

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \quad j = 0 : m/2, \quad \Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^T \quad (9)$$

whenever  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , and

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^T r & \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2, \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \overline{Q} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^T r & \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_{m/2} \end{array} \right] Q^H, \Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^T \quad (11)$$

whenever  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ . Setting  $X_j = 0, j = 0 : m$ , and using the fact that

$$\|Q_1^T r\|_2^2 = \|\overline{Q} Q_1^T r\|_2^2 = \|(I - \overline{x} x^T) r\|_2^2 = \|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2, \quad (12)$$

we obtain

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2} & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \|r\|_2^2 + \left( \frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)^2} - \frac{2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \right) |x^T r|^2} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we derive  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P)$ , by using DKW Theorem. If  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , by (9) and Theorem 3.1 we have  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \|\Delta A_j\|_2 = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, j = 0 : m$ , given by

$$X_j = -\frac{\lambda^{m-j} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, X_{m/2} = -\frac{\lambda^{m/2} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)} \quad (13)$$

where  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ , and  $X_{m-j} = X_j^T$ . If  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ , by (10), (11) and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \|\Delta A_j\|_2 = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)^2} + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)^2} + \frac{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{\Delta A_{m/2}} = \|\Delta A_{m/2}\|_2 = \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^{m/2}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)^2} + \frac{|\lambda^{m/2}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}$$

given by

$$X_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\overline{\lambda})^j) \overline{x^T r} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2) |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ -\frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j} + |\lambda^{2m-j}|^2 (\overline{\lambda})^j) \overline{x^T r} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2) |\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1; \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$X_{m/2} = -\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} \overline{x^T r} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2) (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)} \quad (15)$$

where  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Consequently we have  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}}$  if  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , and

$$(\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P))^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4} \|r\|_2^2 + \left[ \frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)^2} \right. \\ \quad \left. - \frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4} \right] |x^T r|^2 \text{ if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4} \|r\|_2^2 + \left[ \frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{(2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)^2} \right. \\ \quad \left. - \frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4} \right] |x^T r|^2 \text{ if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence the desired result follows for  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ .

Next let  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ . Define

$$\Delta A_j := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \Delta A_{m/2} := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_{m/2}^T \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, j = 0 : (m-2)/2 \quad (16)$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -(\Delta A_j)^T$  and  $Q = [x, Q_1]$  is a unitary matrix. Therefore we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + a_{m/2} \lambda^{m/2} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that  $a_{jj} = 0$  whenever  $j = m/2$ , since  $(A_{m/2})^T = -A_{m/2}$ . By Lemma A.1, the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + a_{m/2} \lambda^{m/2} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^T r$  is given by

$$b_j = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad a_j = -\frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad a_{m/2} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2.$$

Also note that  $x^T r = 0$  if  $\lambda = 1$ . Therefore by Lemma A.2, 5, the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} = x^T r$  is given by

$$a_{jj} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j - (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $j = (m-2)/2$ . Therefore by (16) we have

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \bar{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{(Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \bar{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j - (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & -\frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \bar{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2} (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H \text{ if } \lambda \neq 1, \quad (18)$$

where  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^T$ . Now setting  $X_j = 0$ , we obtain the desired result for  $M = F$ . Further, by employing DKW Theorem 3.1 and following a similar arguments as that of  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ , we obtain  $\eta_2^{\text{Sap}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

Next consider  $m$  be odd. Let  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ . Define

$$\widetilde{\Delta A}_j := Q^T \Delta A Q = \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } (\Delta A_j)^T = \Delta A_{m-j}, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2,$$

where  $Q = [x, Q_1], Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-1)}$  is a unitary matrix defined as above. Consequently we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that  $x^T r = 0$  if  $\lambda = -1$ . Then by Lemma A.2 and Lemma A.1, 3 the minimum norm solutions of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^T r$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$  are given by

$$b_j = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \quad a_j = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \quad a_{jj} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = -1 \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j + (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & \text{if } \lambda \neq -1 \end{cases}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \bar{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H & \text{if } \lambda = -1. \\ \bar{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j + (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, & \text{if } \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$



Now setting  $X_j = 0, j = 0 : m$  and by (12) we obtain

$$\eta_{F}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}}, & \text{if } \lambda = -1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \|r\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_-(\lambda)\|_2^2 - \|\Pi_+(\lambda)\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 \|\Pi_+(\lambda)\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq -1 \end{cases}$$

Moreover by DKW Theorem, (19) and proceeding in a similar manner as that of even  $m$ , we obtain

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4} \|r\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4 - 4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 \|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 \|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4} \|r\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4 - 4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 \|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 \|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence we are done whenever  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$  and  $m$  is odd. Following a similar arguments we obtain the desired results for  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ . ■

**Remark 3.3** *If  $|x^T r| = \|r\|_2$ , then  $\|Q_1^T r\|_2 = 0$ . In such a case, considering  $X_j = 0, j = 0 : m$ , we obtain the desired results.*

Let  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Treating  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  as an approximate eigenpair of  $P$ , we now construct a minimal structured perturbation  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  of  $P$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . In order to make the expressions simple and easy to handle with, we proceed as follows. Let  $P_x := I - xx^H$  where  $I$  is the identity matrix of order  $n$  and  $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} E_j &:= \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j + \epsilon(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H, \quad G_j := \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j + \epsilon(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - \alpha|\lambda^{m/2}|^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + F_j \\ F_j &:= \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [(\bar{\lambda})^j P_x^T r x^H + \epsilon(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} \bar{x} r^T P_x], \quad H_{m/2} := \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - \alpha|\lambda^{m/2}|^2} + F_{m/2} \\ K_j &:= \frac{(|\lambda|^j (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} + \epsilon|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\bar{\lambda})^j) \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}, \quad L_j := \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j} \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)} \end{aligned}$$

where  $s \in \{+, -\}, \epsilon \in \{+1, -1\}, \alpha \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ . Recall that  $\Delta P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j \Delta A_j$ .

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $\mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$  and  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Let  $(\lambda, x)$  be an approximate eigenpair of  $P$ . Then the unique structured perturbation  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  when  $M = F$ , and a structured perturbation  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  when  $M = 2$ , of  $P$  for which  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  are given by*

1.  $m$  is odd:

	$\ \cdot\  = \ \cdot\ _F$	$\ \cdot\  = \ \cdot\ _2$
$\Delta A_j$	$F_j$ if $\lambda = -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$F_j$ if $\lambda = -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$
	$E_j + F_j$ if $\lambda \neq -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ or $\lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$E_j + F_j - \frac{ \lambda^{m-j} ^{-2}}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2} K_j$ if $ \lambda  > 1$ and $\mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$
	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T]$ if $\lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$E_j + F_j - \frac{ \lambda^j ^{-2}}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2} K_j$ if $ \lambda  \leq 1$ and $\lambda \neq -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ or $\lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$
		$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T]$ if $\lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$

2.  $m$  is even:

	$\ \cdot\  = \ \cdot\ _F$	$\ \cdot\  = \ \cdot\ _2$
$\Delta A_j$	$\frac{\lambda^j (x^T r)}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} \bar{x} x^H + F_j$ if $\lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$\frac{\lambda^j (x^T r)}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} \bar{x} x^H + F_j - L_j$ if $\lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$
	$G_j$ if $\lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ or $\lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$G_j - \frac{ \lambda^{m-j} ^{-2}}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \alpha \lambda^{m/2} ^2} K_j$ if $ \lambda  > 1, \mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$
	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T]$ if $\lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$G_j - \frac{ \lambda^j ^{-2}}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \alpha \lambda^{m/2} ^2} K_j$ if $ \lambda  \leq 1$ and $\lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ or $\lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ $\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T]$ if $\lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$
$\Delta A_{m/2}$	$\frac{(x^T r)}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} \bar{x} x^H + F_{m/2}$ if $\lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$\frac{(x^T r)}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} \bar{x} x^H + F_{m/2} - L_{m/2}$ if $\lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$
	$H_{m/2}$ if $\lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$H_{m/2} - \frac{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \alpha \lambda^{m/2} ^2} L_{m/2}$ if $\lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$
	$\frac{(\lambda)^{m/2}}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T]$ if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$\frac{(\lambda)^{m/2}}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T]$ if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$

where  $\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p, \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}, \end{cases}$   $s = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p, \\ - & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}, \end{cases}$   $\alpha = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$  and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^T$ .

**Proof:** First consider  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ . Let  $m$  be even. If  $\lambda = \pm 1$  then simplifying (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta A_j &= \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^j \bar{Q}_1 Q_1^T r x^H + \lambda^{m-j} \bar{x} r^T Q_1 Q_1^H] + \bar{Q}_1 X_j Q_1^H \\
&= \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^j P_x^T r x^H + \lambda^{m-j} \bar{x} r^T P_x] + \bar{Q}_1 X_j Q_1^H \\
&= \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + F_j + \bar{Q}_1 X_j Q_1^H,
\end{aligned}$$

and if  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$  then simplifying (10) and (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta A_j &= \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j + (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + F_j + \bar{Q}_1 X_j Q_1^H \\
\Delta A_{m/2} &= \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + F_{m/2} + \bar{Q}_1 X_{m/2} Q_1^H.
\end{aligned}$$

Now setting  $X_j = 0$  for  $M = F$  we obtain the unique polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ , and putting  $X_j$  given in (13)-(15) for  $M = 2$  we obtain  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . The proof is similar when  $m$  is odd,  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ , and also  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ ,  $m$  is either even or odd. ■

Note that if  $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is such that  $Yx = 0$  and  $Y^T x = 0$  then  $Y = (I - xx^H)^T Z (I - xx^H)$  for some matrix  $Z$ . Hence from the proof of Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4 we obtain that if  $K$  is a  $T$ -palindromic (resp.  $T$ -anti-palindromic) polynomial such that  $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$  then  $K(z) = \Delta P(z) + (I - xx^H)^T N(z) (I - xx^H)$  for some  $T$ -palindromic (resp.  $T$ -anti-palindromic) matrix polynomial  $N$ , where  $\Delta P$  is given in Corollary 3.4.

### 3.2 $H$ -palindromic and $H$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials

We now consider the set of  $H$ -palindromic and  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomials denoted by  $\mathbb{S}_p$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{ap}$ , respectively. To derive the structured backward error of approximate eigenpair

of a polynomial  $P \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$  we proceed as follows. Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let us define the maps  $\text{vec} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $M : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  by

$$\text{vec}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(z) \\ \text{im}(z) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } M(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(z) & -\text{im}(z) \\ \text{im}(z) & \text{re}(z) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Then we have the following Lemma.

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following hold.*

1.  $\text{vec}(\bar{z}) = \Sigma \text{vec}(z)$ , where  $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
2.  $\text{vec}(z_1 z_2) = M(z_1) \text{vec}(z_2)$ ,  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .
3.  $M(\bar{z}) = M(z)^T$ .

**Proof:** The proof is obvious. ■

Note that a matrix polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  is an  $H$ -palindromic polynomial if and only if  $iP, i := \sqrt{-1}$  is an  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomial. Thus the map  $H$ -palindromic  $\mapsto H$ -anti-palindromic is an isometric isomorphism. Also observe that  $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \eta_M^{i\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, iP)$  where  $i\mathbb{S} := \{iP : P \in \mathbb{S}\}$ . Thus the structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}_{ap}$  can be determined by the the structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$  and vice-versa. Therefore we consider only  $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$  and similar results can be obtained when  $P \in \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ . We denote the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a matrix  $A$  by  $A^\dagger$ .

**Theorem 3.6** *Let  $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$  be given by  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$ . Let  $(\lambda, x)$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  be an approximate eigenpair of  $P$ . Set  $r := -P(\lambda)x$  and  $\Lambda_m = [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T$ . Then we have*

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2} \leq \sqrt{2}\eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + 2\frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{\eta(\lambda, x, P)}{\sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - \epsilon|\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \frac{\eta(\lambda, x, P)}{\sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_+(\lambda) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - \epsilon|\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \frac{\eta(\lambda, x, P)}{\sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_+(\lambda) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - \epsilon|\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $\epsilon = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$   $\hat{r} = \begin{cases} [H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-1)/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ [H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-2)/2} & H_{m/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$

$$H_j = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{bmatrix}, j = \begin{cases} 0 : (m-1)/2 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ 0 : (m-2)/2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

and  $H_{m/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{bmatrix}$  whenever  $m$  is even.

**Proof:** First consider that  $m$  is even. By Theorem 2.1 its evident that there exists a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}_p$  for which  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ . Let  $Q = [x, Q_1]$  be a unitary matrix where  $x$  is given and  $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-1)}$  is an isometry such that  $Q_1^H x = 0$ . Define

$$\Delta A_j := Q \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^H \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \Delta A_{m/2} := Q \begin{bmatrix} a_{(m/2)(m/2)} & a_{m/2}^H \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, j = 0 : m/2 \quad (21)$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^H$ . Since  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^H r \\ Q_1^H r \end{bmatrix}.$$

The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^H r$  is given by  $b_j = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ ,  $a_j = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ . Note that for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we have  $\overline{x^H r} = (\bar{\lambda})^m x^H r$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$  is given by  $a_{jj} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ . Therefore we have

$$\Delta A_j = Q \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \Delta A_{m/2} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \quad (22)$$

$\Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^j$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ , which gives,  $\eta_{F^p}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}$ .

If  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ , by Lemma A.1, 7, the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$  is given by  $a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}$ ,  $j = 0 : m/2$  where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-2)/2} \ H_{m/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$H_j = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{bmatrix}, j = 0 : (m-2)/2, H_{m/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\Delta A_j = Q \begin{bmatrix} e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} & \lambda^{m-j} \frac{(Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j Q_1^H r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \Delta A_{m/2} = Q \begin{bmatrix} e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r} & \lambda^{m/2} \frac{(Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2} Q_1^H r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H \quad (23)$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^H$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Setting  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m/2$  we obtain

$$\eta_{F^p}^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + 2 \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}.$$

Next we derive the result for spectral norm. Note that for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , by (22) and Theorem 3.1 we have  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$  and

$$X_j = -\frac{\lambda^{m-j} r^H x Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}, X_{m/2} = -\frac{\lambda^{m/2} r^H x Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}. \quad (24)$$

Thus we have  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}}$ . If  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  by (23) and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{|e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \sqrt{|e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^i|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases}$$

$\mu_{\Delta A_{m/2}} = \sqrt{|e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^m|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}$  and

$$X_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} (\bar{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ -\frac{e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} (\bar{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{|\lambda^i|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

$$X_{m/2} = -\frac{e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)} \quad (26)$$

for  $j = 0 : (m - 2)/2$ . This gives

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m) - \Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence we are done for even  $m$ . Following similar arguments the desired result can be obtained whenever  $m$  is odd. ■

**Remark 3.7** *If  $|x^H r| = \|r\|_2$ , then  $\|Q_1^H r\|_2 = 0$ . In such a case, considering  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we obtain the desired results.*

Let  $(\lambda, x)$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  be an approximate eigenpair of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$ . Now we construct the minimal structured perturbation  $\Delta P$  of  $P$  so that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P)$ . We proceed as follows. Let  $\tilde{E}_j := \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H$ ,  $\tilde{F}_j := \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x + (\bar{\lambda})^j P_x r x^H]$  and  $\tilde{K} = \frac{P_x r r^H P_x}{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}$ .

**Corollary 3.8** *Let  $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$  and  $(\lambda, x)$  be an approximate eigenpair of  $P$ . Then the unique structured perturbation  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  when  $M = F$ , and a structured perturbation  $\Delta P$  when  $M = 2$ , of  $P$  for which  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P)$  is given by*

	$\ \cdot\  = \ \cdot\ _F$	$\ \cdot\  = \ \cdot\ _2$
$\Delta A_j$	$\tilde{E}_j + \tilde{F}_j$ if $ \lambda  = 1$ $e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} x x^H + \tilde{F}_j$ if $ \lambda  \neq 1$	$\tilde{E}_j + \tilde{F}_j - x^H r \lambda^{m-j} \ \Lambda_m\ _2^{-2} \tilde{K}$ if $ \lambda  = 1$ $e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} x x^H + \tilde{F}_j - e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} (\bar{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j}  \lambda^{m-j} ^{-2} \tilde{K}$ if $ \lambda  > 1$ $e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} x x^H + \tilde{F}_j - e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} (\bar{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j}  \lambda^j ^{-2} \tilde{K}$ if $ \lambda  < 1$

where  $j = 0 : (m - 1)/2$  if  $m$  is odd, and  $j = 0 : m/2$  if  $m$  is even.

**Proof:** Setting  $X_j = 0$  when  $M = F$  and putting  $X_j$  given in (24)-(26) when  $M = 2$  the proof follows by simplifying (22). ■

It is evident from the proof of Theorem 3.6 and by Corollary 3.8 that, if  $K$  is a  $H$ -palindromic matrix polynomial such that  $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$  then  $K(z) = \Delta P(z) + (I - x x^H) N(z) (I - x x^H)$  for some  $H$ -palindromic polynomial  $N$ , where  $\Delta P$  is given in Corollary 3.8.

## 4 Structured backward error and palindromic linearizations

The classical way to solve polynomial eigenvalue problem is to convert the polynomial  $P$  into an equivalent linear polynomial  $L$ , called a linearization of  $P$ . Then a backward stable algorithm is employed to compute the eigenelements of  $L$ . It is well known that, for a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  the set of potential linearizations form a vector space  $\mathbb{L}_1(P)$  defined by [22]

$$\mathbb{L}_1(P) := \{L(\lambda) : L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes I) = v \otimes P(\lambda)\}, v \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (27)$$

where  $v$  is called the right ansatz vector,  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product,  $\Lambda_{m-1} := [\lambda^{m-1}, \dots, \lambda, 1]^T$  and  $L$  is of the form  $L(\lambda) = \lambda X + Y$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$ ,  $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$ . It is also known that the eigenelements of  $P$  can easily be retrieved from the eigenelements of  $L$ , see [24, 15, 16].

But an arbitrary linearization  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  can destroy the eigensymmetry of a structured polynomial  $P$ . Hence to solve a structured polynomial eigenvalue problem one needs to opt a linearization which preserves the eigensymmetry of the polynomial. These linearizations are called structured linearizations.

Of late, Mackey et al. have shown that a  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomial can have both  $*$ -palindromic and  $*$ -anti-palindromic linearizations that preserve the eigensymmetry of the polynomial. To be specific, for  $*$  =  $H$  there always exists a structured linearization and for  $*$  =  $T$ , it does only when either 1 or  $-1$  is an eigenvalue of the given polynomial. However selection of structured linearizations from  $\mathbb{L}_1(P)$  put a restriction on the choice of right ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Table 2 gives the structure of ansatz vectors for

structured linearizations, see [22, 25]. We denote  $R = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & \end{bmatrix}$ .

$\mathbb{S}$	Structured Linearization	ansatz vector
$T$ -palindromic	$T$ -palindromic	$Rv = v$
	$T$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = -v$
$T$ -anti-palindromic	$T$ -palindromic	$Rv = -v$
	$T$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = v$
$H$ -palindromic	$H$ -palindromic	$Rv = \bar{v}$
	$H$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = -\bar{v}$
$H$ -anti-palindromic	$H$ -palindromic	$Rv = -\bar{v}$
	$H$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = \bar{v}$

Table 2: Table of the admissible ansatz vectors for palindromic polynomials.

Observe that a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  admits plenty of structured linearizations [25]. Note also that choice of each linearization can have its own effect into computations. Thus to solve palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problem, the prime task is to detect optimal structured linearizations that behave well during computations. That is to say, it should be well conditioned as that of the polynomial.

Analyzing sensitivity of eigenvalue of  $*$ -polynomial/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials a list of optimal structured linearizations have been produced in [3]. With a view to analyze accuracy of approximate eigenelements, in this section we identify the optimal structured linearizations that minimize the structured backward error of approximate eigenelements of the linearizations compared to that of the polynomial. We mention that a similar study has been carried out in [3] for a variety of structured polynomials. Lastly we show that these optimal structured linearizations match well with those obtained in [4].

We first review some basic results available in the literature. Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  be a regular polynomial. Let  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  be a linearization of  $P$  corresponding to the right ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Then the relationship between the eigenelements of  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  with that of its linearization  $L$  is given by [15, 16]

- $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a right eigenvector for  $P$  corresponding to the finite eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  if and only if  $\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x$  is an eigenvector for  $L(\lambda)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Treating  $(\lambda, x)$  as an approximate eigenpair of  $P$  the relations

$$\|L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2 = \|v\|_2 \|P(\lambda)x\|_2, \quad (28)$$

$$|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^T L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)| = |\Lambda_{m-1}^T v| |x^T P(\lambda)x|, \quad (29)$$

$$|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^H L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)| = |\Lambda_{m-1}^H v| |x^H P(\lambda)x| \quad (30)$$

have been derived in [15]. In view of (28)-(30), without loss of generality we make the convention that the right ansatz vector  $v$  is of unit norm, that is  $\|v\|_2 = 1$ . We use the inequality

$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(\lambda, 1)\|_2} \leq 1 \quad (31)$$

which is derived in [16, Lemma A.1].

We denote the backward error of  $(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)$  by  $\eta(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)$  to highlight the dependence, if any, of the backward error on the ansatz vector  $v$ . Comparing  $\eta_M(\lambda, x, P)$  with  $\eta_M(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)$  the inequality

$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_M(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, P)} \leq 1 \quad (32)$$

has been proved in [3, Theorem 4.1]. This shows that there is almost no effect on backward error of approximate eigenelements due to potential linearizations from  $\mathbb{L}_1(P)$ . Now recall that  $\eta_M(\lambda, x, P) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Hence for any structured linearization  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , we have  $\eta_M(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)$  where the ansatz vector  $v$  is of the form described in Table 2. Thus by [3, Lemma 4.2] we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, P)}, M \in \{F, 2\}. \quad (33)$$

In the sequel we use the inequality

$$0 < \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^* v|}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2} \leq 1, * \in \{T, H\} \quad (34)$$

where  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$  with  $\|v\|_2 = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $P$  be a  $T$ -palindromic matrix polynomial. Let  $\mathbb{S}_p \subset \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  and  $\mathbb{S}_{ap} \subset \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  be the set of  $T$ -palindromic and  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearizations of  $P$  respectively. Suppose  $L_p \in \mathbb{S}_p$  and  $L_{ap} \in \mathbb{S}_{ap}$  are the  $T$ -palindromic linearization and  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization of  $P$  corresponding to the ansatz  $Rv = v$  and  $Rv = -v$  respectively. If  $(\lambda, x)$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  is an approximate right eigenpair of  $P$  then we have*

- $M = F$  :

$$1. \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0 : \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|\lambda+1|^2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m}} \leq \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L_p; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2}$$

$$2. \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0 : \sqrt{1 + \frac{2\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|\lambda-1|^2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m}} \leq \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, L_{ap}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

- $M = 2$  :

$$1. \lambda \neq -1 : \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, L_p; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|\lambda+1|^2}}$$

$$2. \lambda \neq 1 : \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, L_{ap}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|\lambda-1|^2}}.$$

**Proof:** Let  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ . First consider  $M = F$ . By in Theorem 3.2 and using (28)-(29) we have

$$\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, L_p; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, P)} = \sqrt{2} \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2 \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 - 2 \frac{\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|\lambda+1|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2}}{\|r\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2}$$

if  $\lambda \neq -1$ , and

$$\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, L_{ap}; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, P)} = \sqrt{2} \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2 \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 + 2 \frac{\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|\lambda-1|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2}}{\|r\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2}$$

if  $\lambda \neq 1$ . It is easy to verify that  $\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2}} \|r\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 - 2 \frac{\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2} \leq \|r\|_2$  if  $\lambda \neq -1$ , and  $\sqrt{1 + \frac{2\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1-\lambda|^2}} \|r\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 + 2 \frac{\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1-\lambda|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2} \leq \|r\|_2$  if  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Thus the desired result follows from  $M = F$  by (34),(31) and (33).

Now consider  $M = 2$ . If  $|\lambda| > 1$  then by Theorem 3.2, (28)-(29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}^p}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}_p; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \|\Lambda_m\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1 + \lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|1 + \lambda|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

by (34) and (31). Hence the results follow by (33). Similarly if  $|\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq 1$ , by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}^{ap}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|1 - \lambda|^2}}.$$

Hence the result follows by (33). ■

Note that  $|1 - \lambda| \leq |1 + \lambda|$  when  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$  and  $|1 + \lambda| \leq |1 - \lambda|$  when  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ .

**Remark 4.2** Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomial. Then the similar bounds can be achieved when the role of  $\mathbf{L}_p$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{ap}$  get exchanged in Theorem 4.1, that is,  $\mathbf{L}_p$  is a  $T$ -palindromic linearization corresponding to the ansatz vector  $Rv = -v$  and  $\mathbf{L}_{ap}$  is a  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization corresponding to the ansatz vector  $Rv = v$ .

**Corollary 4.3** Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4.5 hold. Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the set of  $T$ -palindromic polynomials. Then we have

- $M = F$  :  $\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}^p}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}_p; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2}$  if  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$ ,  $\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}^{ap}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2}$  if  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ .
- $M = 2$  :  $\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}^p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{L}_p; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2}$  if  $\lambda \neq -1$ ,  $\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}^{ap}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2}$  if  $\lambda \neq 1$ .

**Proof:** The proof is followed by the fact that  $\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$  and Theorem 4.1. ■

**Remark 4.4** Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the set of  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomials and  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then the similar bounds can be obtained for  $T$ -palindromic and  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearizations but the role of  $T$ -palindromic linearizations and  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearizations get exchanged in Corollary 4.3.

The morale of the Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3 is as follows. For a  $T$ -palindromic polynomial the bounds derived above advice to choose  $T$ -palindromic linearization when  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$ , and pick  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization when  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ . Note that in such a case the effect of structured linearization on the structured backward error of approximate eigenlements at most  $\sqrt{2}$  times the structured backward error of approximate eigenpair of the polynomial. Similarly for  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomials we conclude that pick  $T$ -palindromic linearization when  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ , and pick  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization when  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$ . Observe that our choice of structured linearizations is compatible with that given in [4] by analyzing structured condition number of eigenvalues.

Now we consider  $H$ -palindromic/ $H$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials.

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $\mathbb{S}_p$  be the set of  $H$ -palindromic matrix polynomials and  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}_p$ . Let  $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{L}_1(\mathbf{P})$  be the set of  $H$ -palindromic or  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearizations of  $\mathbf{P}$  respectively. Suppose  $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{S}$  is a  $H$ -palindromic linearization or  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization of  $\mathbf{P}$



corresponding to the ansatz  $Rv = \bar{v}$  or  $Rv = -\bar{v}$  respectively. If  $(\lambda, x)$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  is an approximate right eigenpair of  $P$  and  $|\lambda| = 1$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} &\leq \frac{\eta_F^S(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta_F(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} &\leq \frac{\eta_2^S(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta_2(\lambda, x, P)} = \frac{\eta_2^S(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta_2^{Sp}(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Let  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ . If  $|\lambda| = 1$ , by Theorem 3.6 we have

$$\frac{\eta_F^S(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} = \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2 \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2 |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}}{\|r\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $\|r\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2 |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}} \leq \sqrt{2}\|r\|_2$ . Hence the desired result follows by (33). For the spectral norm we obtain the desired result by noting that  $\eta^S(\lambda, x, P) = \eta(\lambda, x, P)$  by Theorem 3.6. ■

This shows that there is almost no effect of a structured linearization of a  $H$ -palindromic matrix polynomial on the backward errors of approximate eigenelements when the approximate eigenvalues are on the unit disk. On the other hand, if  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ , a little calculation gives the following bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta_F^S(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\|\widehat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2}} \text{ if } |\lambda| \neq 1 \\ \frac{\eta_2^S(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} &\leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\widehat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda^{-1})\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\widehat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widehat{r} := \widehat{r}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & \operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \\ \operatorname{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \end{bmatrix}$  for  $H$ -palindromic linearization and  $\widehat{r} := \widehat{r}_{ap} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \\ \operatorname{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \end{bmatrix}$  for  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization.

Therefore the morale for  $H$ -palindromic/ $H$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials is as follows. If eigenvalues are on the unit disk then it does not matter whether we choose  $H$ -palindromic or  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization. However, for eigenvalues not on the unit disk, it may be a good idea to solve both  $H$ -palindromic or  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearizations and pick an eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  from  $H$ -palindromic or  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization according as  $r_p \leq r_{ap}$  or  $r_{ap} \leq r_p$ .

## 5 Conclusion

We have derived computable expression of structured backward errors of approximate eigenpairs of  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. We mention that these expressions have an important role to play in analyzing stability of structured preserving algorithms. Finally structured backward errors have been used to determine optimal structured linearizations among the potential structured linearizations of  $*$ -palindromic/ $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomials.

## 6 Acknowledgments

This work was completed when the author was a PhD student in IIT Guwahati. The author thanks his supervisor Rafikul Alam for his stimulating comments and discussions that have significantly improved the quality of the results. Thanks are also due to Daniel Kressner for inspiring discussions on palindromic eigenvalue problems.

## References

- [1] B. ADHIKARI, *Backward perturbation and sensitivity analysis of structured polynomial eigenvalue problem*, PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, IIT Guwahati, India, December 2008.
- [2] B. ADHIKARI AND R. ALAM, *Structured backward errors and pseudospectra of structured matrix pencils*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 31(2009), pp. 331-359.
- [3] B. ADHIKARI AND R. ALAM, *On backward errors of structured polynomial eigenproblems solved by structure preserving linearizations*, Preprint arXiv:0907.2545v1 [math.NA], submitted.
- [4] B. ADHIKARI, R. ALAM, AND D. KRESSNER, *Structured eigenvalue condition numbers and linearizations for matrix polynomials*, Technical report 2009-01, Seminar for applied mathematics, ETH Zurich, January 2009.
- [5] S. S. AHMAD AND R. ALAM, *Pseudospectra, critical points and multiple eigenvalues of matrix polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl., 430 (2008), pp. 1171-1195.
- [6] P. BENNER, V. MEHRMANN AND H. XU, *A numerically stable structure preserving method for computing the eigenvalues of real Hamiltonian or symplectic pencils*, Numer. Math., 78(1998), pp.329-358.
- [7] P. BENNER, V. MEHRMANN AND H. XU, *A note on the numerical solution of complex Hamiltonian and skew-Hamiltonian eigenvalue problems*, Elect. Trans. Numer. Anal., 8(1999), pp. 115-126.
- [8] S. BORA, *Structured eigenvalue conditioning and backward error of a class of polynomial eigenvalue problems*, To appear in SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl..
- [9] E. CHU, T. HWANG, W. LIN AND C. WU, *Vibration of fast trains, palindromic eigenvalue problems and structure-preserving doubling algorithms*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 219(2008), pp. 237-252.
- [10] E. K.-W. CHU, W.-W. LIN AND C.-S. WANG, *Perturbation results related to palindromic eigenvalue problems*, ANZIAM 50(2008), pp. 87-100.
- [11] C. DAVIS, W. M. KAHAN AND H.F. WEINBERGER, *Norm-preserving dilations and their applications to optimal error bounds*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 19(1982) 445-469.
- [12] J.-P. DEDIEU AND F. TISSEUR, *Perturbation theory for homogeneous polynomial eigenvalue problems*, Linear Algebra Appl., 358(2003), pp.71-94
- [13] I. GOHBERG, P. LANCASTER, AND L. RODMAN, *Matrix Polynomials*, Academic Press, 1982.
- [14] D. J. HIGHAM AND N. J. HIGHAM, *Structured backward error and condition of generalized eigenvalue problems*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 20(1998), pp.493-512.

- [15] N. J. HIGHAM, R. LI, AND F. TISSEUR, *Backward error of polynomial eigenproblems solved by linearization*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 29(2007), pp. 1218 - 1241.
- [16] N. J. HIGHAM, D. S. MACKEY, AND F. TISSEUR, *The conditioning of linearizations of matrix polynomials*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp. 1005 - 1028.
- [17] N. J. HIGHAM AND F. TISSEUR, *More on pseudospectra for polynomial eigenvalue problems and applications in control theory*, Linear Algebra Appl., 351-352 (2002), pp. 435-453.
- [18] A. HILLIGES, C. MEHL, AND V. MEHRMANN, *On the solution of palindromic eigenvalue problems*, In Proceedings of ECCOMAS, Jyväskylä, Finland, 2004.
- [19] T.-M. HWANG, W.-W. LIN AND V. MEHRMANN, *Numerical solution of quadratic eigenvalue problems with structure-preserving methods*, SIAM J. Scientific Comp., 24(2003), pp.1283-1302.
- [20] D. KRESSNER, C. SCHRÖDER AND D. S. WATKINS, *Implicit QR algorithms for palindromic and even eigenvalue problems*, Preprint 432, DFG Research Center Matheon, Mathematics for key technologies in Berlin, TU Berlin, Germany, January 2008.
- [21] XIN-GUO LIU AND ZE-XI WANG, *A note on the backward errors for Hermite eigenvalue problems*, Applied Mathematics And Computation, 165(2005), pp.405-417.
- [22] D. S. MACKEY, *Structured linearizations for matrix polynomials*, PhD thesis, School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, UK, 2006.
- [23] D. S. MACKEY, N. MACKEY, C. MEHL AND V. MEHRMANN, *Numerical methods for palindromic eigenvalue problems: computing the anti-triangular Schur form*, Numer. Linear Algebra Appl., 16(2009) pp. 63-86.
- [24] D. S. MACKEY, N. MACKEY, C. MEHL AND V. MEHRMANN,, *Vector spaces of linearizations for matrix polynomials*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp.971-1004.
- [25] D. S. MACKEY, N. MACKEY, C. MEHL AND V. MEHRMANN,, *Palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problems: good vibrations from good linearizations*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp.1029-1051.
- [26] C. SCHRÖDER, *Palindromic and even eigenvalue problems - analysis and numerical methods*, PhD thesis, Technical University Berlin, Germany, 2008.
- [27] F. TISSEUR, *Backward error and condition of polynomial eigenvalue problems*, Linear Algebra Appl., 309(2000) p. 339-361.
- [28] F. TISSEUR AND N. J. HIGHAM, *Structured pseudospectra for polynomial eigenvalue problems, with applications*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 23(2001), pp.187-208.

## A Appendix

The following Lemma summarizes few auxiliary results required to prove the Theorems in section 3.

**Lemma A.1** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\Lambda_m = [\lambda^m, \lambda^{m-1}, \dots, 1]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ . Let  $x, r \in \mathbb{C}^n, \epsilon \in \{+1, -1\}$  and  $s \in \{+, -\}$ . Then the solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m a_{jj} \lambda^j = x^* r, a_{jj} \in \mathbb{C}, * \in \{T, H\}$  that minimizes  $\sum_{j=0}^m |a_{jj}|^2$  is given by*

1.  $a_{jj} = 0$  if  $x^* r = 0$ .

2.  $a_{jj} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^* r$ .
3. If  $m$  is odd,  $a_{jj} = \epsilon a_{(m-j)(m-j)}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  then  $a_{jj} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j + \epsilon(\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^* r$ , whenever  $s = +$  if  $\epsilon = 1$ , and  $s = -$  if  $\epsilon = -1$ .
4. If  $m$  is even,  $a_{jj} = a_{(m-j)(m-j)}$ ,  $j = 0 : m/2$  then  $a_{jj} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j + (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^* r$  and  $a_{(m/2)(m/2)} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^{m/2}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^* r$ .
5. If  $m$  is even,  $a_{jj} = -a_{(m-j)(m-j)}$ ,  $j = 0 : m/2$  then  $a_{jj} = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j - (\bar{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^* r$  and  $a_{(m/2)(m/2)} = 0$ .
6. If  $m$  is odd,  $\bar{a}_{jj} = \epsilon a_{m-j, m-j}$   $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  and  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  then  $a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}$  where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-1)/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^* r)$ ,  $H_j = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \epsilon \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \epsilon \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \epsilon \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \epsilon \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $e_j$  is the  $j$ -th column of the identity matrix and  $\text{vec}$  is defined in (20).
7. If  $m$  is even,  $\bar{a}_{jj} = \epsilon a_{m-j, m-j}$   $j = 0 : m/2$  and  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  then  $a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}$  where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-1)/2} \ H_{m/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^* r)$ ,  $H_{m/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{bmatrix}$  if  $\epsilon = 1$ ,  $H_{m/2} = J \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{bmatrix}$  if  $\epsilon = -1$ ,  $H_j; j = 0 : (m-2)/2, e_j, \text{vec}$  are same as that given in 6 and  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

where  $\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)$  is defined in (7).

**Proof:** The proof of 1 and 2 are obvious. Now consider 3. Let  $m$  be odd. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^m a_{jj} \lambda^j = x^* r \Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (\lambda^j + \epsilon \lambda^{m-j}) a_{jj} = x^* r.$$

Hence the result follows by 2. The proof is similar for 4 and 5. To prove 6 we proceed as follows. Let  $\epsilon = 1$ . Apply the map  $\text{vec}$  at both sides of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^i a_{jj} = x^* r$ . This yields

$$\text{vec}\left(\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^i a_{jj}\right) = \text{vec}(x^* r) \Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^m \mathbf{M}(\lambda^i) \text{vec}(a_{jj}) = \text{vec}(x^* r) \quad (35)$$

where  $\text{vec}$  and  $\mathbf{M}$  are defined in (20). Employing the condition  $\bar{a}_{jj} = a_{m-j, m-j}$   $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  on (35) we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (\mathbf{M}(\lambda^j) + \mathbf{M}(\lambda^{m-j}) \Sigma) \text{vec}(a_{jj}) = \text{vec}(x^* r)$$

where  $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus we have  $a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}$  where

$$\hat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-1)/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^* r), \ H_j = \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$e_j$  is the  $j$ -th column of the identity matrix. The proof The proof is similar for  $\epsilon = -1$  and 7. ■

**Lemma A.2** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x_j \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ . Then the solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j x_j = y$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  that minimizes  $\sum_{j=0}^m \|x_j\|_2^2$  is given by  $x_j = \frac{(\bar{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} y$  where  $\Lambda_m = [\lambda^m, \lambda^{m-1}, \dots, 1]^T$ .

**Proof:** The proof follows by using Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of  $\Lambda_m$ . ■