

# BACKWARD ERRORS AND LINEARIZATIONS FOR PALINDROMIC MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

BIBHAS ADHIKARI\*

**Abstract.** The paper is devoted to the backward perturbation analysis of palindromic and anti-palindromic polynomials. We derive the structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair of these polynomials and characterize the minimal structured perturbations that achieve it. We detect a palindromic /anti-palindromic linearization with a view to minimizing the structured backward error for a given palindromic/anti-palindromic polynomial. We show that there always exists a palindromic /anti-palindromic linearization depending on the attributes of the approximate eigenvalues such that the increment of structured backward error negligible compared to the unstructured backward error for a palindromic /anti-palindromic polynomial.

**Key words.** structured backward error, pseudospectrum, palindromic matrix polynomial, structured linearization

**AMS subject classifications. (2000):** 15A57, 65F15, 65F35, 15A18.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we undertake a detailed backward perturbation analysis of palindromic and anti-palindromic polynomials. These typical polynomials arise mainly in the study of rail traffic noise caused by high speed trains, see [24, 21, 25, 17]. We denote the space of matrix polynomials of degree  $m$  by  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ . Obviously  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  is a linear space. A matrix polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  is called a palindromic matrix polynomial if  $P^*(z) = z^m P(1/z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and an anti-palindromic polynomial if  $P^*(z) = z^m P(-1/z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $*$  is the transpose or conjugate transpose of a matrix and

$$P^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j^* \text{ whenever } P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j.$$

We consider only the regular matrix polynomials. We denote  $\mathbb{S}$  for the space of regular palindromic or anti-palindromic polynomials. It is shown in [24, 21] that eigen-symmetry occurs for palindromic eigenvalue problems. We know that linearization is used to solve a given polynomial eigenvalue problem. It is also well known that an arbitrary linearization destroys the symmetry in the computed eigen-pairs. Therefore, the first step towards solving a palindromic eigenvalue problem is to convert the given polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  into a linear polynomial  $L$ , call it a structured linearization which has the same eigen-symmetry as that of  $P$ . Mackey et al. [24] have shown that a palindromic or anti-palindromic polynomial has palindromic/ and anti-palindromic linearizations which preserve the eigen-symmetry.

These two types of infinite linearizations give rise a problem of choosing a potential linearization among them. Aiming to find a potential structured linearization we follow a similar procedure as discussed in [2]. Indeed, given an approximate eigen-pair, we derive an explicit expression of the structured backward error under structured perturbation of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . We mention that the technique used to obtain the structured backward error is just a generalization of the technique used in [1] for structured pencils. Note that, structured backward error is always greater than the unstructured backward error. In contrast, we show that it does not greater much and structured backward error is bounded above by a scalar multiple of the unstructured backward

---

\*Department of Mathematics, IIT Guwahati, Guwahati-781039, INDIA (bibhas@iitg.ernet.in).

error for few eigen-pairs. Besides, for each structure, there are certain eigen-pairs for which they are equal.

Then we define the structured backward error of an approximate eigenvalue of a palindromic matrix polynomial and apply it to establish a partial equality between structured and unstructured pseudospectra of  $H$ -palindromic /  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomials.

Further using the expression of structured backward error of approximate eigen-pair for polynomials, we obtain the structured backward error of the corresponding approximate eigen-pair of the widely varying structured linearizations. The structured backward error expressions of variety of structured pencils also can be found in [1]. Then we find a suitable bound of the ratio of structured backward error for an approximate eigen-pair of the structured linearization and the unstructured backward error of the corresponding approximate eigen-pair of the polynomial. Then analyzing these bounds we identify the *optimal* structured linearizations.

Its evident that structure preserving algorithms are required to produce symmetry in the computed eigenvalues. A few structured preserving algorithms have been proposed in the literature to compute the eigenpair of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , see [9, 22, 17]. We mention that the computable expressions of structured backward error obtained in this paper is an utilitarian to examine the backward stability of such algorithms.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we first list the eigen-symmetry and backward error of an approximate eigenpair of palindromic polynomials. In section 3, we obtain the expressions of structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair of palindromic polynomials. In section 4 we explain the structured linearizations of structure polynomials and determine the optimal structured linearizations.

**2. Eigen-symmetry of palindromic polynomials.** A matrix polynomial  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  is called a  $*$ -palindromic or  $*$ -anti-palindromic polynomial if

$$P^*(z) = z^m P(1/z) \text{ or } P^*(z) = z^m P(-1/z),$$

respectively, for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , where  $P^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j^*$  and  $*$   $\in \{T, H\}$ . In Table 2.1 we present the eigen-symmetry for palindromic polynomials.

$\mathbb{S}$	eigenvalue pair	eigen triple
$T$ -palindromic / $T$ -antipalindromic	$(\lambda, 1/\lambda)$	$(\lambda, x, \bar{y}), (1/\lambda, y, \bar{x})$
$H$ -palindromic/ $H$ -anti-palindromic	$(\lambda, 1/\bar{\lambda})$	$(\lambda, x, y), (1/\bar{\lambda}, y, x)$

TABLE 2.1  
*Eigen-symmetry of palindromic polynomials.*

In the following Theorems we show that, for a given  $(\lambda, x)$  and  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , there always exists a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $(P(\lambda) + \Delta P(\lambda))x = 0$ , that is,  $(\lambda, x)$  is an eigen-pair of  $P + \Delta P$ . Given an  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$ , we define the projection  $P_x := I - xx^H$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  be a  $*$ -palindromic matrix polynomial, where*

$*$   $\in \{T, H\}$ . Suppose  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  and set  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ . Then define

$$\Delta A_j := \begin{cases} -\bar{x}x^T A_j x x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\overline{\lambda^{m-j}} \bar{x}r^T P_x + \overline{\lambda^j} P_x^* r x^H], & \text{if } * = T, \\ -xx^H A_j x x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x + \overline{\lambda^j} P_x r x^H], & \text{if } * = H. \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta A_{m-j} := \begin{cases} \Delta A_j^*, j = 0 : (m-1)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ \Delta A_j^*, j = 0 : (m-2)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

If  $m$  is even define

$$\Delta A_{m/2} := \begin{cases} -\bar{x}x^T A_{m/2} x x^H + \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\bar{x}r^T P_x + P_x^* r x^H], & \text{if } * = T, \\ -xx^H A_{m/2} x x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m/2} x r^H P_x + \overline{\lambda^{m/2}} P_x r x^H], & \text{if } * = H, \end{cases}$$

Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  where  $\Delta P$  is a  $*$ -palindromic polynomial.

*Proof.* The proof is computational and is easy to check.  $\square$

Next we consider  $*$ -anti-palindromic polynomials.

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  be a  $*$ -anti-palindromic matrix polynomial, where  $*$   $\in \{T, H\}$ . Suppose  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  and set  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ . Then define

$$\Delta A_j := \begin{cases} -\bar{x}x^T A_j x x^H - \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\overline{\lambda^{m-j}} \bar{x}r^T P_x - \overline{\lambda^j} P_x^* r x^H], & \text{if } * = T, \\ -xx^H A_j x x^H - \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x - \overline{\lambda^j} P_x r x^H], & \text{if } * = H. \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta A_{m-j} := \begin{cases} -\Delta A_j^*, j = 0 : (m-1)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ -\Delta A_j^*, j = 0 : (m-2)/2, & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

If  $m$  is even then define

$$\Delta A_{m/2} := \begin{cases} -\bar{x}x^T A_{m/2} x x^H - \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\bar{x}r^T P_x - P_x^* r x^H], & \text{if } * = T, \\ -xx^H A_{m/2} x x^H - \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m/2} x r^H P_x - \overline{\lambda^{m/2}} P_x r x^H], & \text{if } * = H. \end{cases}$$

Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\Delta P$  is a  $*$ -anti-palindromic polynomial.

*Proof.* The proof is computational and is easy to check.  $\square$

**3. Structured backward error of approximate eigenpair.** In this section we derive the structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair  $(\lambda, x)$  of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  whenever  $\lambda$  is finite and  $\|x\|_2 = 1$ . Although for *infinity* eigenvalue the structured backward error can be obtained by considering the *reversal* of the polynomial, for details see [2, 5]. Assume that  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then the backward error of  $(\lambda, x)$  is defined as the smallest, in norm, perturbation  $\Delta P$  of the given  $P$  such that  $(\lambda, x)$  is an eigenpair of  $P + \Delta P$ , that is,  $(P(\lambda) + \Delta P(\lambda))x = 0$ . We make the convention throughout the paper that,  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  is of the form  $\Delta P = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j \Delta A_j$ . We equip a norm on  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  and make it a normed linear space. We define the Frobenius and the spectral norms on  $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  as

$$\|P\|_F := \left( \sum_{j=0}^m \|A_j\|_F^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|P\|_2 := \left( \sum_{j=0}^m \|A_j\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad (3.1)$$

respectively whenever  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$ . Then it is easy to see that, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\|P(\lambda)\| \leq \|P\|_{F,2} \|\Lambda_m\|_2$ , where  $\Lambda_m = [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T$ .

By convention, if  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $x$  is assumed to be nonzero, that is,  $x \neq 0$ . Treating  $(\lambda, x)$  as an approximate eigen-pair of the polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ , we define the backward error of  $(\lambda, x)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_F(\lambda, x, P) &:= \min_{\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})} \{ \|\Delta P\|_F : P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0 \} \\ \eta_2(\lambda, x, P) &:= \min_{\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})} \{ \|\Delta P\|_2 : P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ , we have

$$\eta_F(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|x\|_2 \|\Lambda_m\|_2} = \eta_2(\lambda, x, P), \quad (3.2)$$

for a proof see [2]. Henceforth, we denote the unstructured backward error  $\eta(\lambda, x, P)$  corresponding to both Frobenius and spectral norms.

Next assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then we define the structured backward error of  $(\lambda, x)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) &:= \min_{\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}} \{ \|\Delta P\|_F : P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0 \} \\ \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) &:= \min_{\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}} \{ \|\Delta P\|_2 : P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 it is obvious to see that  $\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) < \infty$  and  $\eta(\lambda, x, P) \leq \eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  for both the Frobenius and the spectral norms. To derive the structured backward error for the spectral norm, we employ Davis-Kahan-Weinberger(DKW) Theorem stated as follows.

**THEOREM 3.1** (Davis-Kahan-Weinberger, [10]). *Let  $A, B, C$  satisfy  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \mu$  and  $\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \|_2 = \mu$ . Then there exists  $D$  such that  $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \mu$ . Indeed, those  $D$  which have this property are exactly those of the form*

$$D = -KA^HL + \mu(I - KK^H)^{1/2}Z(I - L^HL)^{1/2},$$

where  $K^H := (\mu^2 I - A^H A)^{-1/2} B^H$ ,  $L := (\mu^2 I - AA^H)^{-1/2} C$  and  $Z$  is an arbitrary contraction, that is,  $\|Z\|_2 \leq 1$ .

For a structured version of DKW Theorem see [3]. We mention that the minimum backward structured perturbation for spectral norm of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  is obtained in this paper by setting  $Z = 0$ . To make the presentation simple we introduce a projection, call  $i$ -projection  $\pi_i : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ , defined as follows.

$$\pi_i([x_0, x_1, x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}]^T) := [x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0]^T.$$

We denote the flip operator by  $R = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \cdot & \\ & & & \\ 1 & & & \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ .

**Note:** By an approximate eigen-pair  $(\lambda, x)$  of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  we always mean  $\lambda$  is finite and  $\|x\|_2 = 1$  in the rest of the paper.

First we consider  $T$ -palindromic polynomials.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be a space of  $T$ -palindromic polynomials and  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Assume that  $(\lambda, x)$  is an approximate eigen-pair of  $P$  and set  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ . Then we have the following*

1.  $m$  is odd:

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } \lambda = -1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, P) & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let  $E_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} (x^T r) \overline{x} x^H$ ,  $F_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} P_x^T r x^H + \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \overline{x} r^T P_x$ .  
For  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ , define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} F_j, & \lambda = -1 \\ E_j + F_j, & \lambda \neq -1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^T$ . Then we obtain a unique  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Next, define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} F_j, & \text{if } \lambda = -1 \\ E_j + F_j - \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ E_j + F_j - \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{|\lambda^j|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq -1 \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^T$ . Then we obtain a  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

2.  $m$  is even:

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}, & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{(2\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2) |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^4} + 2 \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\|\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^4} |x^T r|^2 + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2) (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1; \\ \sqrt{\frac{\|\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^4} |x^T r|^2 + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2) (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$G_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \overline{x} x^T r x^H + \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} P_x^T r x^H + \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \overline{x} r^T P_x$$

$$H_{m/2} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \overline{x} x^T r x^H + \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} P_x^T r x^H + \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \overline{x} r^T P_x.$$

Now, define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^j P_x^T r x^H + \lambda^{m-j} \bar{x} r^T P_x], & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ G_j, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [(x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + P_x^T r x^H + \bar{x} r^T P_x], & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ H_{m/2}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^T$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Then we obtain a unique  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

Further, define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^j P_x^T r x^H + \lambda^{m-j} \bar{x} r^T P_x] - \frac{\lambda^{m-j} \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ G_j - \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ G_j - \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 |\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq \pm 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [(x^T r) \bar{x} x^H + P_x^T r x^H + \bar{x} r^T P_x] - \frac{\lambda^{m/2} \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1 \\ H_{m/2} - \frac{\lambda^{m/2} \overline{x^T r} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Pi_{(m/2)+1} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1, \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^T$ . Then we obtain a  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

*Proof.* First consider that  $m$  is odd and  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . By Theorem 2.1 there exists a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ . For  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ , consider

$$\widetilde{\Delta A}_j := Q^T \Delta A_j Q = \begin{pmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Delta A_j^T = \Delta A_{m-j},$$

where  $Q := [x, Q_1]$ , is a unitary matrix. Now since  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ , we have,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^T r$  is  $b_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r$ ,  $a_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r$ .

For  $\lambda = -1$ , we have  $x^T r = 0$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$ , is  $a_{jj} = 0$ . So we have  $\Delta A_j$  for  $j = 0 : m$ , as follows:

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (\frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad \Delta A_j^T = \Delta A_{m-j}, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we obtain  $\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}} = \sqrt{2} \eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we obtain the desired result.

Now let  $\lambda \neq -1$ . Notice that  $a_{jj} = a_{m-j, m-j}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ . Then the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$  which implies  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}) a_{jj} = x^T r$ , is

$$a_{jj} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} + \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} + \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & \left( \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r \right)^T \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2$$

$$\Delta A_{m-j} = A_j^T, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Now setting  $X_j = 0, j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  we have,

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}$$

Simplifying the expression of  $\Delta A_j$ ,  $i = 0 : m$  we obtain the desired result.

In particular, when  $\lambda = 1$ , we get  $\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 = m+1$  and  $\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 = 2(m+1)$ , which gives,

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}.$$

Now we consider spectral norm. For  $\lambda = -1$ , by DKW Theorem 3.1 we have  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$  and  $X_j = 0, j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ . This gives  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}} = \eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$ ,  $j = 0 : m$  we obtain the same as we have got for Frobenius norm.

Next let  $\lambda \neq -1$ . For  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ , using DKW Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^4} |x^T r|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^4} |x^T r|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Consequently, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$X_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ -\frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{|\lambda^j|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

This gives,

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Simplifying the expression of  $\Delta A_j$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  we obtain the desired result.

Now if  $\lambda = 1$ , we have  $\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 = m+1$  and  $\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m + R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 = 2(m+1)$ , which gives,

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}} = \eta(\lambda, x, P).$$

Next, consider  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $m$  is even. Note that,  $A_{m/2} = A_{m/2}^T$ . By Theorem 2.1 we know that there exist a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$ . Hence we have,

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{array} \right).$$

The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} = Q_1^T r$  is given by

$$b_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \quad a_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \quad a_{m/2} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r.$$

For  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$  is  $a_{jj} = \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r$ . Hence we have,

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_j \end{array} \right) Q^H, \quad \Delta A_{m/2} = \overline{Q} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_{m/2} \end{array} \right) Q^H,$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^T$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Setting  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we have  $\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}$ . Simplifying  $\Delta A_j$ s for  $j = 0 : m$  we obtain the desired result.

Again, if  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ , the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$  is

$$a_{jj} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} + \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r, \quad a_{m/2, m/2} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r.$$

Hence we have

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} + \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_j \end{array} \right) Q^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2,$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \overline{Q} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_{m/2} \end{array} \right) Q^H$$

$$\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^T, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we have

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{\frac{(2\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2) |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^4} + 2 \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}.$$



which gives the desired result. Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$  desired result follow.

Next we consider spectral norm. If  $\lambda = \pm 1$ , by DKW Theorem 3.1 we have,  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ . Consequently, we get  $\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}} = \eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Now by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$X_j = -\frac{\lambda^{m-j} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2, \quad X_{m/2} = -\frac{\lambda^{m/2} Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_j &= \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} r x^H + \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \bar{x} r^T P_x - \frac{\lambda^{m-j} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, \\ \Delta A_{m/2} &= \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [r x^H + \bar{x} r^T P_x] - \frac{\lambda^{m/2} P_x^T r r^T P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Again for  $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Delta A_j} &= \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j + \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1 \end{cases} \\ \mu_{\Delta A_{m/2}} &= \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^{m/2}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda^{m/2}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_j &= \begin{cases} -\frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \bar{\lambda}^{m-j} + |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \lambda^j) \bar{x}^T r Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ -\frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \bar{\lambda}^{m-j} + |\lambda^{2m-j}|^2 \lambda^j) \bar{x}^T r Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 |\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1; \end{cases} \\ X_{m/2} &= -\frac{\lambda^{m/2} \bar{x}^T r Q_1^T r (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\|\Lambda_m + R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^4} |x^T r|^2 + \frac{2\|\Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{\|\Lambda_m + R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+2)/2} \Lambda_m + \Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^4} |x^T r|^2 + \frac{2\|\Pi_{m/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Note that if  $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is such that  $Yx = 0$  and  $Y^T x = 0$  then  $Y = (I - xx^H)^T Z (I - xx^H)$  for some matrix  $Z$ . Hence from the proof of Theorem 3.2, we obtain that if  $K$  is a  $T$ -palindromic polynomial such that  $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$  then  $K(z) = \Delta P(z) + (I - xx^H)^T N(z) (I - xx^H)$  for some  $T$ -palindromic polynomial  $N$ , where  $\Delta P$  is given in Theorem 3.2.

**REMARK 3.3.** *If  $|x^T r| = \|r\|_2$ , then  $\|Q_1^T r\|_2 = 0$ . In such a case, considering  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we obtain the desired results.*

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be a space of  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomials and  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Assume that  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  and set  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ . Then we have the following.*

1.  $m$  is odd: we have

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta_2(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$E_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} - \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} (x^T r) \overline{x} x^H - \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\overline{\lambda^{m-j}} \overline{x} r^T P_x - \overline{\lambda^j} P_x^T r x^H]$$

$$F_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} - \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} (x^T r) \overline{x} x^H - \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\overline{\lambda^{m-j}} \overline{x} r^T P_x - \overline{\lambda^j} P_x^T r x^H].$$

For  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ , define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [r x^H - \overline{x} r^T], & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ E_j, & \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -A_j^T$  gives the unique polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Next, define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [r x^H - \overline{x} r^T], & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ E_j + \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x} r^T P_x^T r r^T P_x}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ E_j + \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x} r^T P_x^T r r^T P_x}{|\lambda^j|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -A_j^T$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  gives a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

2.  $m$  is even:

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } \lambda = 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{2|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} R\Lambda_m\|^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{2|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} \Lambda_m\|^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  consider

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [r x^H - \overline{x} r^T], & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ F_j, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}, \quad \Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [r x^H - \overline{x} r^T], & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [r x^H - \overline{x} r^T], & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -A_j^T$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  gives the unique polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Next, define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T], & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ F_j + \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \bar{\lambda}^{m-j} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \lambda^j) \bar{x}^T r P_x^T r r^T P_x}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ F_j + \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \bar{\lambda}^{m-j} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \lambda^j) \bar{x}^T r P_x^T r r^T P_x}{|\lambda^j|^2 \|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T], & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [rx^H - \bar{x}r^T], & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -A_j^T$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  gives a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $m$  is odd. By Theorem 2.2 there exists  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ . For  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ , consider

$$\widetilde{\Delta A}_j := Q^T \Delta A Q = \begin{pmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \Delta A_{m-j} = -\Delta A_j^T, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2,$$

where  $Q = [x, Q_1]$  is a unitary matrix. Since  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ , we have,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^T r$  is given by  $b_j = \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r$ ,  $a_j = -\frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ .

For  $\lambda = 1$ , we have  $x^T r = 0$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$ , is  $a_{jj} = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ . Then we have

$$\Delta A_j = \bar{Q} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\bar{\lambda}^{m-j} (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad A_{m-j} = A_j^T, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$  we have the minimum Frobenius norm of  $\Delta A_j$ . Consequently we obtain,

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2} = \sqrt{2} \eta(\lambda, x, P).$$

Simplifying the expression of  $\Delta A_j$ ,  $j = 0 : m$  we obtain the desired result.

Next suppose that  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Then the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} = x^T r$  is

$$a_{jj} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j - \bar{\lambda}^{m-j}}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Therefore we have

$$\Delta A_j = \bar{Q} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j - \bar{\lambda}^{m-j}}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & -\frac{\bar{\lambda}^{m-j}(Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Taking  $X_j = 0$ , we obtain the minimum Frobenius norm of  $\Delta A_j$  and consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) &= \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} |\lambda^j - \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^4} + \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{(m-1)/2} (|\lambda^{m-i}|^2 + |\lambda^i|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}} \\ &= \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the expression of  $\Delta A_j$  for all  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  we obtain the desired result.

Next we consider the spectral norm. Assume that  $\lambda = 1$ . By DKW Theorem 3.1 we have  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  and consequently  $X_j = 0$ . Therefore we have  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2} = \eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Simplifying the expression of  $\Delta A_j$  we obtain  $\Delta A_j = -\frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\bar{x}r^T - rx^H]$ . Hence the result follows.

Next, suppose that  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Consider

$$\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j - \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j - \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ . Therefore by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$X_j = \begin{cases} \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \bar{\lambda}^{m-j} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \bar{\lambda}^j) \bar{x}^T r (Q_1^T r) (Q_1^T r)^T}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \bar{\lambda}^{m-j} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \bar{\lambda}^j) \bar{x}^T r (Q_1^T r) (Q_1^T r)^T}{|\lambda^j|^2 \|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ .

Hence we have

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} R\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Simplifying the expression of  $\Delta A_j$  for  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  we obtain the desired result.

Next, assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $m$  is even. Note that for given  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j \in \mathbb{S}$ , we have  $A_{m/2}^T = -A_{m/2}$ . By Theorem 2.2 we know that there exists a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(x) = 0$ . This implies,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + a_{m/2} \lambda^{m/2} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $a_{m/2, m/2} = 0$ , since  $A_{m/2}$  is a skew-symmetric matrix. The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + a_{m/2} \lambda^{m/2} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^T r$  is given by

$$b_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad a_j = -\frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad a_{m/2} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2.$$

For  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $x^T r = 0$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $a_{jj} = 0$ , when  $\lambda = 1$ . Consequently, we have,

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{(Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0, j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  we obtain the minimum norm of  $\Delta A_j$  and consequently we have  $\eta_F^S(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{2} \eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Simplifying the expressions we obtain the desired result.

Next, suppose that  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Then the minimum norm solution of

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} = x^T r$$

is given by

$$a_{jj} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} - \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r, \quad a_{m/2, m/2} = 0.$$

Consequently, for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ , we obtain

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} - \overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & -\frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}} (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H,$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \overline{Q} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}} (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} Q^H.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0 = X_{m/2}$ , we get the minimum Frobenius norm of  $\Delta A_j$  and  $\Delta A_{m/2}$  respectively and these give,

$$\eta_F^S(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}$$

Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$  for  $j = 0 : m/2$  we obtain the desired result.

Next consider spectral norm. Suppose that  $\lambda = 1$ . Consider  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2}$  and consequently by DKW Theorem 3.1 we have  $X_j = 0$ . Therefore we have  $\eta_2^S(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2} = \eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Simplifying the expression of  $\Delta A_j$  we obtain  $\Delta A_j = -\frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\overline{x} r^T - r x^H]$  for  $j = 0 : m/2$ . Hence the result follows.

Next, suppose  $\lambda \neq 1$ . Consider  $\mu_{\Delta A_{m/2}} = \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^m|^2(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}$ . Then we have  $X_{m/2} = 0$ . Now for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  consider

$$\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j - \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^j - \lambda^{m-j}|^2 |x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, by DKW Theorem 3.1 we have

$$X_j = \begin{cases} \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} (Q_1^T r) (Q_1^T r)^T}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \frac{(|\lambda^j|^2 \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} - |\lambda^{m-j}|^2 \overline{\lambda^j}) \overline{x^T r} (Q_1^T r) (Q_1^T r)^T}{|\lambda^j|^2 \|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Therefore we obtain,

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2}R\Lambda_m\|^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{2|x^T r|^2}{\|\Pi_{m/2}(\Lambda_m - R\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2}\Lambda_m\|^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$  for  $j = 0 : m/2$  we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Analogous to the  $T$ -palindromic polynomial we can conclude that if  $K$  is a  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomial such that  $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$  then  $K(z) = \Delta P(z) + (I - xx^H)^T N(z)(I - xx^H)$  for some  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomial  $N$ , where  $\Delta P$  is given in Theorem 3.4.

**REMARK 3.5.** *If  $|x^T r| = \|r\|_2$ , then  $\|Q_1^T r\|_2 = 0$ . In such a case, considering  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we obtain the desired results.*

Next we consider  $H$ -palindromic/  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomials. To make the presentation simple we introduce the following.

Let  $z = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$ . Define a map  $\text{vec} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\text{vec}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(z) \\ \text{im}(z) \end{pmatrix}$ . Further define a map  $M : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  as  $M(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(z) & -\text{im}(z) \\ \text{im}(z) & \text{re}(z) \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the following hold:

- $\text{vec}(\bar{z}) = \Sigma \text{vec}(z)$  where  $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- $\text{vec}(z_1 z_2) = M(z_1) \text{vec}(z_2)$ ,  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- $M(\bar{z}) = M(z)^T$ .

Assume that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $a_{jj} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then if we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^i a_{jj} = x^H r \quad (3.3)$$

then applying the map  $\text{vec}$  both sides we obtain  $\text{vec}(\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^i a_{jj}) = \text{vec}(x^H r) \Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^m M(\lambda^i) \text{vec}(a_{jj}) = \text{vec}(x^H r)$ .

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the space of  $H$ -palindromic polynomials. Assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Setting  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ , we have the following.*

1. Let  $m$  be odd. Then

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-1)/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}, j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Let  $E_j = \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x + \bar{\lambda}^j P_x r x^H]$ . For  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + E_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + E_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^H$ . Consider the unique polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Next define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + E_j - \frac{x^H r \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + E_j - \frac{e_j^T \hat{r} \bar{\lambda}^j \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + E_j - \frac{e_j^T \hat{r} \bar{\lambda}^j \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  with  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^H$ . Consider the polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ .

Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

2. Let  $m$  be even. Then

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + 2 \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, P) & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $\widehat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-2)/2} \ H_{m/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}, j = 0 : (m-2)/2,$$

$$H_{m/2} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $F_j = \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x + \overline{\lambda^j} P_x r x^H]$  and  $G_{m/2} = \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m/2} x r^H P_x + \overline{\lambda^{m/2}} P_x r x^H]$ . Then for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ , define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + F_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \widehat{r} x x^H + F_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1 \end{cases}, \Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + G_{m/2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r} x x^H + G_{m/2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^H$ . Consider the unique polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Next define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x x^H r x^H + F_j - \frac{\lambda^{m-j} r^H x P_x r r^H P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \widehat{r} x x^H + F_j - \frac{e_j^T \widehat{r} \overline{\lambda^j} \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ e_j^T \widehat{r} x x^H + F_j - \frac{e_j^T \widehat{r} \overline{\lambda^j} \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x x^H r x^H + G_{m/2} - \frac{\lambda^{m/2} r^H x P_x r r^H P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r} x x^H + G_{m/2} - \frac{e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r} P_x r r^H P_x}{(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^H$ . Consider the matrix polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  given by  $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$ . First consider that  $m$  is odd. By Theorem 2.2 there exists  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ , such that  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ . Define

$$\Delta A_j := Q \begin{pmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^H \\ b_j & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad X_{m-j} = X_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2,$$

where  $Q = [x, Q_1]$  is a unitary matrix. Since  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^H r \\ Q_1^H r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^H r$  is given by

$$b_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r, \quad a_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$



Note that for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we have  $\overline{x^H r} = \overline{\lambda^m} x^H r$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$  is  $a_{jj} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ . Therefore we have

$$\Delta A_j = Q \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad i := 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

$$\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2$$

which gives,  $\eta_F^S(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}$  by setting  $X_j = 0$ . Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.

Next, let  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . The value of  $a_{jj}$  for the equation  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  is achieved after employing the condition  $\bar{a}_{jj} = a_{m-j, m-j}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  in equation (3.3) which becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (\mathbf{M}(\lambda^j) + \mathbf{M}(\lambda^{m-j})\Sigma) \text{vec}(a_{jj}) = \text{vec}(x^H r)$$

and the solution is given by  $a_{jj} = e_j^T \hat{r}$  where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \quad H_1 \quad \dots \quad H_{(m-1)/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus we obtain,

$$\Delta A_j = Q \begin{pmatrix} e_j^T \hat{r} & \lambda^{m-j} \frac{(Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} Q_1^H r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad i := 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0$ , we obtain  $\eta_F^S(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}$ . Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.

Next we consider spectral norm. If  $|\lambda| = 1$  by DKW Theorem 3.1 we have  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ . Consequently, we have

$$X_j = -\frac{x^H r \lambda^{m-j} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

This gives,  $\eta_2^S(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}} = \eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.

Further, for  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ , we consider,

$$\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{|e_j^T \hat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{|e_j^T \hat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^i|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ . Consequently, we have

$$X_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{\overline{e_j^T \hat{r}} \overline{\lambda^j} \lambda^{m-j} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ -\frac{\overline{e_j^T \hat{r}} \overline{\lambda^i} \lambda^{m-j} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{|\lambda^i|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

This gives

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} R \Lambda_m\|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} \Lambda_m\|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$  for all  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  we obtain the desired result.

Now consider  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $m$  is even. Notice that  $A_{m/2} = A_{m/2}^H$ . By Theorem 2.2 there exists a polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_j &:= Q \begin{pmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^H \\ b_j & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad X_{m-j} = X_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2, \\ \Delta A_{m/2} &:= Q \begin{pmatrix} a_{m/2, m/2} & a_{m/2}^H \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad X_{m/2} = X_{m/2}^H. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^H r \\ Q_1^H r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} = Q_1^H r$  is given by

$$b_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad a_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \quad a_{m/2} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}.$$

Note that for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we have  $\overline{x^H r} = \overline{\lambda^m} x^H r$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$  is  $a_{jj} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_j &= Q \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad i := 0 : (m-2)/2. \\ \Delta A_{m/2} &= Q \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \\ \Delta A_{m-j} &= \Delta A_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2 \end{aligned}$$

which gives,  $\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}$ .

Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$  for  $j = 0 : m/2$  we obtain the desired result.

Further let  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . Then the value of  $a_{jj}$  for the equation  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  is achieved after employing the condition  $\overline{a_{jj}} = a_{m-j, m-j}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  and  $a_{m/2, m/2} \in \mathbb{R}$  in equation (3.3) which becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} (\mathbf{M}(\lambda^j) + \mathbf{M}(\lambda^{m-j})\Sigma) \text{vec}(a_{jj}) + \mathbf{M}(\lambda^{m/2}) \text{vec}(a_{m/2, m/2}) = \text{vec}(x^H r)$$

and the solution is given by  $a_{jj} = e_j^T \widehat{r}$ ,  $j = 0 : m/2$  where

$\widehat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-2)/2} \ H_{m/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2,$$

$$H_{m/2} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re} \lambda^{m/2} \\ \text{im} \lambda^{m/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore we obtain,

$$\Delta A_j = Q \begin{pmatrix} e_j^T \widehat{r} & \lambda^{m-j} \frac{(Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j} Q_1^H r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad i := 0 : (m-2)/2$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = Q \begin{pmatrix} e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r} & \lambda^{m/2} \frac{(Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}} Q_1^H r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} Q^H,$$

$$\Delta A_{m-j} = \Delta A_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0 = X_{m/2}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  we obtain

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{(2\|\widehat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r}|^2) + 2 \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}.$$

Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.

Next we consider spectral norm. Note that for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we have  $\overline{x^H r} = \overline{\lambda^m x^H r}$ . Consequently,  $\lambda^j r^H x = \overline{\lambda^{m-j}} x^H r$ . Consider  $\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ . By DKW Theorem 3.1 we have

$$X_j = -\frac{\lambda^{m-j} r^H x Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}, \quad X_{m/2} = -\frac{\lambda^{m/2} r^H x Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}$$

which gives  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}}$ . Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.

If  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  consider

$$\mu_{\Delta A_j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{|e_j^T \widehat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{|e_j^T \widehat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{\Delta A_{m/2}} = \sqrt{|e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^{m/2}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Then by DKW Theorem 3.1 we have

$$X_j = \begin{cases} -\frac{\overline{e_j^T \widehat{r}} \overline{\lambda^j} \lambda^{m-j} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ -\frac{e_j^T \widehat{r} \lambda^j \lambda^{m-j} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$X_{m/2} = -\frac{e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r} Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m - 2)/2$ .

This gives

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Simplifying the expressions of  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

It is evident from the proof of the above Theorem 3.6 that, if  $K$  is a  $H$ -palindromic polynomial such that  $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$  then  $K(z) = \Delta P(z) + (I - xx^H)^T N(z)(I - xx^H)$  for some  $H$ -palindromic polynomial  $N$ , where  $\Delta P$  is given in Theorem 3.6.

REMARK 3.7. *If  $|x^H r| = \|r\|_2$ , then  $\|Q_1^H r\|_2 = 0$ . In such a case, considering  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we obtain the desired results.*

THEOREM 3.8. *Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the space of  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomials. Assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  and  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Setting  $r := -P(\lambda)x$ , we have the following.*

1.  $m$  be odd:

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, P), & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_{(m+1)/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-1)/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) - \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) - \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $E_j = \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\bar{\lambda}^j P_x r x^H - \lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x]$ . Then for  $j = 0 : (m - 1)/2$  define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + E_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + E_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -\Delta A_j^H$ . Consider the unique polynomial  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Next define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{\lambda}^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + E_j + \frac{x^H r \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + E_j + \frac{\bar{e}_j^T \hat{r} \bar{\lambda}^j \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + E_j + \frac{\bar{e}_j^T \hat{r} \bar{\lambda}^j \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda^i|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1 \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0 : (m - 1)/2$  with  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -\Delta A_j^H$ . Consider the polynomial  $\Delta P$ . Then  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ ,  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

2.  $m$  is even:

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + 2 \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, P) & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} R \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}|^2) + \frac{(2\|\Pi_{m/2} \Lambda_m\|_2^2 + |\lambda^{m/2}|^2)(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-2)/2} \ H_{m/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2,$$

$$H_{m/2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\text{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \text{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $F_j = \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\overline{\lambda^j} P_x r x^H - \lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x]$  and  $G_{m/2} = \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\overline{\lambda^{m/2}} P_x r x^H - \lambda^{m/2} x r^H P_x]$ . Then for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ , define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + F_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + F_j, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1 \end{cases}, \quad \Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x r^H x x^H + G_{m/2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ i e_{m/2}^T \hat{r} x x^H + G_{m/2}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -\Delta A_j^H$ . Consider the unique polynomial  $\Delta P$ . Then  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ ,  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_F = \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ . Next define

$$\Delta A_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x x^H r x^H + F_j + \frac{\lambda^{m-j} r^H x P_x r r^H P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + F_j + \frac{\overline{e_j^T \hat{r}} \overline{\lambda^j} \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ e_j^T \hat{r} x x^H + F_j + \frac{\overline{e_j^T \hat{r}} \overline{\lambda^j} \lambda^{m-j} P_x r r^H P_x}{|\lambda^j|^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta A_{m/2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x x^H r x^H + G_{m/2} + \frac{\lambda^{m/2} r^H x P_x r r^H P_x}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 (\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1 \\ i e_{m/2}^T \hat{r} x x^H + G_{m/2} + \frac{\overline{e_{m/2}^T \hat{r}} P_x r r^H P_x}{(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

and  $\Delta A_{m-j} = -\Delta A_j^H$  for  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Consider the polynomial  $\Delta P$ .

Then  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ ,  $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$  and  $\|\Delta P\|_2 = \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $m$  is odd. By Theorem 2.2 we know that there exists  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ , such that  $\Delta P(z)x + P(z)x = 0$ . Define

$$\Delta A_j := Q \begin{pmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^H \\ b_j & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad A_{m-j} = -A_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Since  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j a_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^H r \\ Q_1^H r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^H r$  is given by  $b_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ ,  $a_j = -\frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ .

Note that for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we have  $\overline{x^H r} = -\overline{\lambda^m} x^H r$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$  is  $a_{jj} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ . Thus we have

$$\Delta A_j = Q \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & -\frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad i := 0 : (m-1)/2$$

$$\Delta A_{m-j} = -\Delta A_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

which gives,  $\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}$ . Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$  for  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  we obtain the desired result.

Now suppose that  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . The value of  $a_{jj}$  from  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$ , is achieved after employing the condition  $\overline{a_{jj}} = -a_{m-j, m-j}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  in equation (3.3) which becomes

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (\mathbb{M}(\lambda^j) - \mathbb{M}(\lambda^{m-j})\Sigma) \text{vec}(a_{jj}) = \text{vec}(x^H r)$$

is given by  $a_{jj} = e_j^T \hat{r}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-1)/2$  where  $\hat{r} = [H_0 \quad H_1 \quad \dots \quad H_{(m-1)/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$ ,

$$H_j = \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) - \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) - \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently we have

$$\Delta A_j = Q \begin{pmatrix} e_j^T \hat{r} & -\frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad \Delta A_{m-j} = -\Delta A_j^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-1)/2.$$

Setting  $X_j = 0$  we obtain the minimum Frobenius norm of  $\Delta A_j$  and consequently we obtain

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 + \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}.$$

Simplifying the expression of  $A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.

Next consider spectral norm. From the construction of  $\Delta A_j$  it is easily seen that the  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  will be same as that of the Theorem 3.6 case and desired results follow similarly.

Secondly assume that  $m$  is even. By Theorem 2.2 we know that there exists  $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ . For  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  define

$$\Delta A_j := Q \begin{pmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^H \\ b_j & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad \Delta A_{m/2} = Q \begin{pmatrix} a_{m/2, m/2} & -a_{m/2}^H \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} Q^H.$$

Since  $\Delta P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^H r \\ Q_1^H r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} = Q_1^H r$  is

$$b_j = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r, \quad a_j = -\frac{\overline{\lambda^{m-j}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r, \quad a_{m/2} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r.$$

Note that for  $|\lambda| = 1$ , we have  $\overline{x^H r} = -\overline{\lambda^m} x^H r$ . Hence the minimum norm solution of  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$  is  $a_{jj} = \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$ . Note that  $a_{m/2, m/2} \in i\mathbb{R}$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_j &= Q \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & -\frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \quad i := 0 : (m-2)/2. \\ \Delta A_{m/2} &= Q \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r & -\frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \\ \Delta A_j &= -\Delta A_{m-j}^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives  $\eta_F^S(\lambda, x, P) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}$  if  $|\lambda| = 1$ . Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$ s we obtain the desired result.

Now suppose that  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . The value of  $a_{jj}$  for the equation  $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  is achieved after employing the condition  $\overline{a_{jj}} = -a_{m-j, m-j}$ ,  $j = 0 : (m-2)/2$  and  $a_{m/2, m/2} \in i\mathbb{R}$  in equation (3.3) is given by  $a_{jj} = e_j^T \text{vec}(\widehat{r})$ ,  $j = 0 : m/2$  where  $\widehat{r} = [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_{(m-2)/2} \ H_{m/2}]^\dagger \text{vec}(x^H r)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} H_j &= \begin{pmatrix} \text{re}(\lambda^j) + \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \text{im}(\lambda^j) + \text{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \text{re}(\lambda^j) - \text{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2, \\ H_{m/2} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\text{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \text{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta A_j &= Q \begin{pmatrix} e_j^T \widehat{r} & -\frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_j \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \\ \Delta A_{m/2} &= Q \begin{pmatrix} i e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r} & -\frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^H r)^H \\ \frac{\overline{\lambda^{m/2}}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^H r & X_{m/2} \end{pmatrix} Q^H, \\ \Delta A_j &= -\Delta A_{m-j}^H, \quad j = 0 : (m-2)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $X_j = 0 = X_{m/2}$  we obtain

$$\eta_F^S(\lambda, x, P) = \sqrt{(2\|\widehat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{m/2}^T \widehat{r}|^2) + 2 \frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}.$$

Simplifying the expressions for  $\Delta A_j$ 's we obtain the desired result.

Next consider spectral norm. From the construction of  $\Delta A_j$  it is easily seen that  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  will be same as that of Theorem 3.6 and others follow similarly.  $\square$

It follows from the proof of the above Theorem 3.6 that, if  $K$  is a  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomial such that  $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$  then  $K(z) = \Delta P(z) + (I - xx^H)^T N(z)(I - xx^H)$  for some  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomial  $N$ , where  $\Delta P$  is given in Theorem 3.8.

REMARK 3.9. *If  $|x^H r| = \|r\|_2$ , then  $\|Q_1^H r\|_2 = 0$ . In such a case, considering  $X_j = 0$ ,  $j = 0 : m$ , we obtain the desired results.*

**3.1. Pseudospectra for palindromic polynomials.** Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , the backward error of  $\lambda$  as an approximate eigenvalue of  $P$  is given by

$$\eta(\lambda, P) := \min\{\eta(\lambda, x, L) : x \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ and } \|x\|_2 = 1\}.$$

Since  $\eta(\lambda, x, P) = \|r\|/\|\Lambda_m\|_2$ , it follows that for the spectral as well as for the Frobenius norms on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , we have  $\eta(\lambda, P) := \sigma_{\min}(P(\lambda))/\|\Lambda_m\|_2$ , where  $\sigma_{\min}$  denotes the minimum singular value. Similarly, we define structured backward error of an approximate eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  by

$$\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, P) := \min\{\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) : x \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ and } \|x\|_2 = 1\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that backward errors of approximate eigenvalues and pseudospectra of a polynomial are closely related. For  $\epsilon > 0$ , the unstructured  $\epsilon$ -pseudospectrum of  $P$ , denoted by  $\sigma_{\epsilon}(P)$ , is given by [5]

$$\sigma_{\epsilon}(P) = \bigcup_{\|\Delta P\| \leq \epsilon} \sigma(P + \Delta P)$$

where  $\sigma(P) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \det(P(\lambda)) = 0\}$  is the spectrum of  $P$ . Obviously, we have  $\sigma_{\epsilon}(P) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \eta(z, P) \leq \epsilon\}$ , assuming, for simplicity, that  $\infty \notin \sigma_{\epsilon}(P)$ .

Similarly, when  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , we define the structured  $\epsilon$ -pseudospectrum of  $P$ , denoted by  $\Lambda_{\epsilon}^{\mathbb{S}}(P)$ , by

$$\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\mathbb{S}}(P) := \bigcup_{\|\Delta P\| \leq \epsilon} \{\Lambda(P + \Delta P) : \Delta P \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

Then it follows that  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\mathbb{S}}(P) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, P) \leq \epsilon\}$ . For further details see [2].

Now we present a Table 3.1 that illustrates the following: for  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  there always exist certain approximate eigenvalues for which  $\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, P) \leq \alpha \eta(\lambda, P)$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The validity of the Table 3.1 is followed by (3.4), Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8. Let  $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . Then we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.10. *Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the space of  $H$ -palindromic or  $H$ -antipalindromic matrix polynomials of degree  $m$  and  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . Then for the spectral and the Frobenius norms on  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , we have  $\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, P) = \eta(\lambda, P)$  and hence  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{\mathbb{S}}(P) \cap \mathbb{T} = \sigma_{\epsilon}(P) \cap \mathbb{T}$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  be an  $H$ -palindromic polynomial. Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . We have  $P(\lambda)^H = \overline{\lambda}^m P(\lambda)$ . This shows that  $P(\lambda)$  is a normal matrix. Let  $(\mu, u)$  be an eigen-pair of  $\overline{\lambda}^m P(\lambda)$  such that  $|\mu| = \sigma_{\min}(\overline{\lambda}^m P(\lambda)) = \sigma_{\min}(P(\lambda))$ . Define  $\Delta A_j := -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^j \mu u u^H$  and consider the polynomial  $\Delta P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j \Delta A_j$ . Noting the



$\mathbb{S}$	m	$\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, P) \leq \alpha \eta(\lambda, P)$ $\ \cdot\  \equiv \ \cdot\ _F$	$\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, P) \leq \alpha \eta(\lambda, P)$ $\ \cdot\  \equiv \ \cdot\ _2$
T-palindromic	odd	$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ for $\lambda = \pm 1$	$\alpha = 1$ for $\lambda = \pm 1$
	even	$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ for $\lambda = \pm 1$	$\alpha = 1$ for $\lambda = \pm 1$
T-anti-palindromic	odd	$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ for $\lambda = 1$	$\alpha = 1$ for $\lambda = 1$
	even	$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ for $\lambda = 1$	$\alpha = 1$ for $\lambda = 1$
H-palindromic/ H-anti-palindromic	odd/even	$\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ for $ \lambda  = 1$	$\alpha = 1$ for $ \lambda  = 1$

TABLE 3.1

Partial equality of structured backward errors

fact that  $\bar{\lambda}^m P(\lambda)u = \mu u$  and  $\bar{\mu}u = (\bar{\lambda}^m P(\lambda))^H u = \mu u$ , we have  $P(\lambda)u + \Delta P(\lambda)u = \lambda^m \mu u - \bar{\lambda}^m \mu u = 0$ . Further, we have

$$\|\Delta P\| = \frac{\sigma_{\min}(P(\lambda))}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2} = \eta(\lambda, P).$$

Hence the results follow.  $\square$

**4. Comparison of backward error of eigen-pair of a polynomial and its structured linearization.** The task of choosing a potential linearization is crucial for palindromic polynomials due to the fact that, an arbitrary linearization destroys the eigen-symmetry of the polynomial. In [24], Mackey et al. showed that, a \*-palindromic or \*-anti-palindromic polynomial  $P$  can have both \*-palindromic and \*-anti-palindromic linearization  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$ , for  $*$  =  $T/H$  that preserve the eigen-symmetry of  $P$ . To be specific, for  $*$  =  $H$  there always exist a structured linearization and for  $*$  =  $T$ , it does only when either  $1 \notin \sigma(P)$  or  $-1 \notin \sigma(P)$ .

Since a plenty of palindromic / anti-palindromic linearizations can be constructed for a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , it is necessary to detect those linearizations  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  which will produce much more accurate eigenvalues. That is to say, they will provide the smallest structured backward error for an arbitrary approximate eigenpair, compared to other structured linearizations. Keeping this in mind we first derive the structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair of the possible variety of structured linearizations for a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then we compare it with the unstructured backward error of the corresponding eigenpair of the given polynomial, by using the following relation.

**THEOREM 4.1.** (*Eigenvector recovery from pencils in  $\mathbb{L}_1(P)$ , [21]*). *Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  be a regular matrix polynomial and let  $L(\lambda) \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  with non-zero right ansatz vector  $v$ . Then  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is an eigenvector for  $P$  corresponding to the finite eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  if and only if  $\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x$  is an eigenvector for  $L(\lambda)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . In fact, every right eigenvector of  $L$  with finite eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is of the form  $\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x$  for some eigenvector  $x$  of  $P$ . Here  $\Lambda_{m-1} = [\lambda^{m-1}, \lambda^{m-2}, \dots, \lambda, 1]^T$ .*

We use the following Lemma extensively to derive the results in this section.

**LEMMA 4.2.** *Let  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  be a linearization of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ , corresponding to the ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Then for any  $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , we have the following*

1.  $\|L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2 = \|v\|_2 \|P(\lambda)x\|_2$ .
2.  $|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^T L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)| = |\Lambda_{m-1}^T v| |x^T P(\lambda)x|$ .
3.  $|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^H L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)| = |\Lambda_{m-1}^H v| |x^H P(\lambda)x|$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  is a linearization associated to the ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$  of the given polynomial  $P$ . Then we have  $L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes I_n) = v \otimes P(\lambda)$ . Postmultiplying both sides by  $(1 \otimes x)$  and then applying norm both sides we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes I_n)(1 \otimes x) &= (v \otimes P(\lambda))(1 \otimes x) \\ \Rightarrow L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x) &= v \otimes P(\lambda)x \\ \Rightarrow \|L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2 &= \|v \otimes P(\lambda)x\|_2 = \|v\|_2 \|P(\lambda)x\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves 1.

Further, by theorem 4.1 we know that if  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a right eigenvector of  $P$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$  if and only if  $\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x$  is a right eigenvector of  $L$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^T L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x) &= (\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^T (v \otimes P(\lambda)x) \\ &= (\Lambda_{m-1}^T \otimes x^T)(v \otimes P(\lambda)x) \\ &= \Lambda_{m-1}^T v \otimes x^T P(\lambda)x = \Lambda_{m-1}^T v \cdot x^T P(\lambda)x. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking modulus in both sides we obtain the desired result 2. In a similar fashion 3 can be proved.  $\square$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2} \leq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

Without loss of generality we assume the ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$  is of unit norm, that is,  $\|v\|_2 = 1$ . Assuming  $(\lambda, x)$  is an approximate eigen-pair of  $P$  we have the following Theorem.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$  be a regular matrix polynomial and  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  be a linearization of  $P$  corresponding to the unit right ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Assume that  $(\lambda, x)$  is an approximate eigenpair of  $P$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{\eta(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq 1$$

where  $\eta(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L; v)$  denotes the backward error of  $(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)$  as an approximate eigenpair of  $L$ .

*Proof.* By (3.2) and Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L; v) &= \frac{\|L(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2}{\|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2 \|(\lambda, 1)\|_2} = \frac{\|v\|_2 \|P(\lambda)x\|_2}{\|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2 \|(\lambda, 1)\|_2} \\ &= \frac{\|v\|_2 \|\Lambda_m\|_2 \|x\|_2}{\|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2 \|(\lambda, 1)\|_2} \eta(\lambda, x, P) \\ &= \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(\lambda, 1)\|_2} \eta(\lambda, x, P). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.1) we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Now we present the Table 4.1 which describes the possible structured linearizations corresponding to structured ansatz vectors of a given polynomial  $P$ , as given in [24].

Assume that  $L$  is a structured linearization corresponding to an ansatz vector  $v$  of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Then for an approximate eigenvalue  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  of  $P$  we know that

$\mathbb{S}$	Structured Linearization	ansatz vector
$T$ -palindromic	$T$ -palindromic	$Rv = v$
	$T$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = -v$
$T$ -anti-palindromic	$T$ -palindromic	$Rv = -v$
	$T$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = v$
$H$ -palindromic	$H$ -palindromic	$Rv = \bar{v}$
	$H$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = -\bar{v}$
$H$ -anti-palindromic	$H$ -palindromic	$Rv = -\bar{v}$
	$H$ -anti-palindromic	$Rv = \bar{v}$

TABLE 4.1

Table for the admissible ansatz vectors for palindromic polynomials.

$\eta(\lambda, x, P) \leq \eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$  for both Frobenius and spectral norms. Hence for the structured linearization  $L$  we have  $\eta(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v) \leq \eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)$ , where the ansatz vector  $v$  is of the form as described in the Table 4.1 for different structures. Hence we have the following Lemma.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Suppose  $L$  is a structured linearization of  $P$  corresponding to the ansatz vector  $v$ . Then for both Frobenius and spectral norms we have*

$$\frac{\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, L; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3 we have  $\kappa(\lambda, L; v) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta(\lambda, x, P)$ . Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{\kappa^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, L; v)}{\kappa(\lambda, x, P)} \geq \frac{\eta(\lambda, L; v)}{\kappa(\lambda, x, P)} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

□

Now we attempt to identify the optimal linearizations for a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . First consider  $T$ -palindromic /  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomials.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the space of  $T$ -palindromic matrix polynomials. Assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Suppose  $L_{pal} \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  and  $L_{apal} \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  are the  $T$ -palindromic linearization and  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization of  $P$  corresponding to the ansatz  $Rv = v$  and  $Rv = -v$  respectively, with respect to the ansatz vector  $v$ . If  $(\lambda, x)$  is an approximate right eigenpair of  $P$  then we have*

- $\|\cdot\| \equiv \|\cdot\|_F$  :
  1.  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$  :  $\sqrt{1 - \frac{2 \operatorname{re}(\lambda) |\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1 + \lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}} \leq \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L_{pal}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2}$
  2.  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$  :  $\sqrt{1 + \frac{2 \operatorname{re}(\lambda) |\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1 - \lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}} \leq \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{apal}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2}$ .
- $\|\cdot\| \equiv \|\cdot\|_2$  :
  1.  $\lambda \neq -1$  :  $\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{pal}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1 + \lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}$
  2.  $\lambda \neq 1$  :  $\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{apal}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1 - \lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $L_{pal}$  is a  $T$ -palindromic linearization of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  corresponding to the ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$ . Then by Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{pal}; v) &= \sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\|L_{pal}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2^2}{\|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2^2} - 2 \frac{\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2} \frac{|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^T L_{pal}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)|^2}{\|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2^4}}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2} \\ &= \sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{\|P(\lambda)x\|_2^2 - 2 \frac{\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T P(\lambda)x|^2}}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \|\Lambda_m\|_2}{\|(\lambda, 1)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2} \eta(\lambda, x, P). \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $|x^T P(\lambda)x| \leq \|P(\lambda)x\|$  we obtain the desired result by (4.1). Further if  $|\lambda| > 1$  then by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{pal}; v) &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^T L_{pal}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda|^2 \|L_{pal}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2^2}{\|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_2^2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2^4}} \\ &= \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2 |x^T P(\lambda)x|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda|^2 \|r\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2^4}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for  $|\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq -1$  we obtain

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{pal}; v) \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P) \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P).$$

Next consider  $L_{apal}$  is a  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ . Then by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{apal}; v) = \sqrt{2} \frac{\sqrt{\|P(\lambda)x\|_2^2 + 2 \frac{\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1-\lambda|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T P(\lambda)x|^2}}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2}.$$

We obtain the desired result similarly as that is obtained for  $T$ -palindromic linearization. Furthermore for the spectral norm we obtain the following in a similar fashion as described for  $T$ -palindromic linearization. If  $|\lambda| > 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{apal}; v) &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1-\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1-\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P). \end{aligned}$$

If  $|\lambda| \leq 1, \lambda \neq 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, L_{\text{apal}}; v) &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1-\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1-\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result follows.  $\square$

We mention that the same bounds can be achieved by considering  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  when  $\mathbb{S}$  is the space of  $T$ -anti-palindromic polynomials,  $L_{\text{pal}}$  is the  $T$ -palindromic linearization corresponding to the ansatzvector  $Rv = -v$  and  $L_{\text{apal}}$  is the  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization corresponding to the ansatzvector  $Rv = v$ .

The morale of the Theorem 4.5 is as follows. For  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_F$ , choose  $T$ -palindromic linearization when  $\text{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$  and for  $\text{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$  pick  $T$ -anti-palindromic linearization. Further notice that  $|1-\lambda| \leq |1+\lambda|$  if  $\text{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$  and  $|1+\lambda| \leq |1-\lambda|$  when  $\text{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$ . Therefore the bounds obtained in Theorem 4.5 for  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$ , advise the same conclusion as that for the Frobenius norm.

Moreover its evident that each linearization for a given polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  is sensitive to perturbations in its own way. For computational purposes, it is highly desirable to identify linearizations which are as well-conditioned as the matrix polynomial itself. In a view to minimizing the structured condition number of a simple eigenvalue of a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ , a list of optimal structured linearizations is provided in [4]. We see that our choice of structured linearizations is compatible with that given in [4].

Now we consider  $H$ -palindromic /  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomials.

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be the space of  $H$ -palindromic matrix polynomials. Assume that  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . Suppose  $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$  is the  $H$ -palindromic linearization or  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization of  $P$  with respect to the ansatz vector  $Rv = \bar{v}$  or  $Rv = -\bar{v}$  respectively. If  $(\lambda, x)$  is an approximate right eigenpair of  $P$  then we have the following: if  $|\lambda| = 1$  we have*

$$\frac{\sqrt{2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq 1.$$

Next assume that  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2}} \\ \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} &\leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda^{-1})\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \text{re}(\lambda) & \text{im}(\lambda) \\ \text{im}(\lambda) & 1 - \text{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \\ \text{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \end{bmatrix}$  for  $H$ -palindromic linearization and  $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_{\text{ap}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \text{re}(\lambda) & -\text{im}(\lambda) \\ -\text{im}(\lambda) & 1 + \text{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \text{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \\ \text{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \end{bmatrix}$  for  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization.

*Proof.* Assume that  $L$  is an  $H$ -palindromic or  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization of  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  corresponding to some ansatz vector  $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . If  $|\lambda| = 1$ , by Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8 we have the following for  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_F$ :

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v) = \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2} \sqrt{2\|P(\lambda)x\|_2^2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2 |x^H P(\lambda)x|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}.$$

Hence by (4.1) we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

Similarly, for the spectral norm we have  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, P)} \leq 1$ .

Next consider  $|\lambda| \neq 1$ . Then by Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8, we have the following for  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_F$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v) &= \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^4} + \frac{\|P(\lambda)x\|_2^2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2 |x^H P(\lambda)x|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{\|r\|_2^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}}, \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2}}, \text{ since } \|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \leq \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P). \end{aligned}$$

Next, for  $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$  we have the following by Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.8. If  $|\lambda| > 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v) &= \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^4} + \frac{|\lambda|^2 \|r\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2^4}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $|\lambda| < 1$ , we have

$$\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; L, v) \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \eta(\lambda, x, P)$$

where  $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & \operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \\ \operatorname{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \end{bmatrix}$  for  $H$ -palindromic linearization and  $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_{ap} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \\ \operatorname{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v x^H P(\lambda)x) \end{bmatrix}$  for  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization. Hence the desired results follow.  $\square$

We mention that the same bounds can be achieved by considering  $P \in \mathbb{S}$  when  $\mathbb{S}$  is the space of  $H$ -anti-palindromic polynomials,  $L$  is the  $H$ -palindromic linearization corresponding to the ansatzvector  $Rv = -\bar{v}$  or  $L$  is the  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization corresponding to the ansatzvector  $Rv = \bar{v}$ .

The morale of the Theorem 4.6 goes as follows. For  $|\lambda| = 1$ , it does not really make any difference for the choice of  $H$ -palindromic or  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization of a given  $P \in \mathbb{S}$ . In contrast, for  $|\lambda| \neq 1$  two cases arise. If  $\|\hat{r}_p\|_2 \leq \|\hat{r}_{ap}\|_2$  then it is advisable to choose  $H$ -palindromic linearization and pick  $H$ -anti-palindromic linearization otherwise.

**5. Acknowledgements.** The author thanks Rafikul Alam for his stimulating comments and discussions that have significantly improved the quality of the results. Thanks are also due to Daniel Kressner for inspiring discussions on palindromic eigenvalue problems.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. ADHIKARI AND R. ALAM, *Structured backward errors and pseudospectra of structured matrix pencils*, to appear in SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl..
- [2] ———, *Structured backward errors and pseudospectra of structured matrix polynomials*, to be submitted.
- [3] ———, *Structured mapping problems for linearly structured matrices*, to be submitted.
- [4] B. ADHIKARI, R. ALAM, AND D. KRESSNER, *Structured eigenvalue condition numbers and linearizations for matrix polynomials*, preprint.
- [5] S. S. AHMAD AND R. ALAM, *Pseudospectra, critical points and multiple eigenvalues of matrix polynomials*, Linear Algebra Appl. (2008), doi:10.1016/j.laa.2008.10.019.
- [6] P. BENNER, V. MEHRMANN AND H. XU, *A numerically stable structure preserving method for computing the eigenvalues of real Hamiltonian or symplectic pencils*, Numer. Math., 78(1998), pp.329-358.
- [7] ———, *A note on the numerical solution of complex Hamiltonian and skew-Hamiltonian eigenvalue problems*, Elect. Trans. Numer. Anal., 8(1999), pp. 115-126.
- [8] S. BORA, *Structured eigenvalue conditioning and backward error of a class of polynomial eigenvalue problems*, Preprint 417-2007, Institute of Mathematics, Technische Universität Berlin, 2007.
- [9] E. CHU, T. HWANG, W. LIN AND C. WU, *Vibration of fast trains, palindromic eigenvalue problems and structure-preserving doubling algorithms*, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 219(2008), pp. 237-252.
- [10] C. DAVIS, W. M. KAHAN AND H.F. WEINBERGER, *Norm-preserving dilatations and their applications to optimal error bounds*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 19(1982) 445-469.
- [11] J.-P. DEDIEU AND F. TISSEUR, *Perturbation theory for homogeneous polynomial eigenvalue problems*, Linear Algebra Appl., 358(2003), pp.71-94
- [12] I. GOHBERG, P. LANCASTER, AND L. RODMAN, *Matrix Polynomials*, Academic Press, 1982.
- [13] D. J. HIGHAM AND N. J. HIGHAM, *Structured backward error and condition of generalized eigenvalue problems*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 20(1998), pp.493-512.
- [14] N. J. HIGHAM, R. LI, AND F. TISSEUR, *Backard error of polynomial eigenproblems solved by linearization*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 29(2007), pp. 1218 - 1241.
- [15] N. J. HIGHAM, D. S. MACKEY, AND F. TISSEUR, *The conditioning of linearizations of matrix polynomials*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp. 1005 - 1028.
- [16] N. J. HIGHAM AND F. TISSEUR, *More on pseudospectra for polynomial eigenvalue problems and applications in control theory*, Linear Algebra Appl., 351-352 (2002), pp. 435-453.
- [17] A. HILLIGES, C. MEHL, AND V. MEHRMANN, *On the solution of palindromic eigenvalue problems*, In Proceedings of ECCOMAS, Jyväskylä, Finland, 2004.
- [18] T.-M. HWANG, W.-W. LIN AND V. MEHRMANN, *Numerical solution of quadratic eigenvalue problems with structure-preserving methods*, SIAM J. Scientific Comp., 24(2003), pp.1283-1302.
- [19] D. KRESSNER, C. SCHRÖDER AND D. S. WATKINS, *Implicit QR algorithms for palindromic and even eigenvalue problems*, Preprint 432, DFG Research Center Matheon, Mathematics for key technologies in Berlin, TU Berlin, Germany, January 2008.

- [20] XIN-GUO LIU AND ZE-XI WANG, *A note on the backward errors for Hermite eigenvalue problems*, Applied Mathematics And Computation, 165(2005), pp.405-417.
- [21] D. S. MACKEY, *Structured linearizations for matrix polynomials*, PhD thesis, School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, UK, 2006.
- [22] D. S. MACKEY, N. MACKEY, C. MEHL AND V. MEHRMANN, *Numerical methods for palindromic eigenvalue problems: computing the anti-triangular Schur form*, To appear in Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.
- [23] ———, *Vector spaces of linearizations for matrix polynomials*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp.971-1004.
- [24] ———, *Palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problems: good vibrations from good linearizations*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp.1029-1051.
- [25] C. SCHRÖDER, *Palindromic and even eigenvalue problems - analysis and numerical methods*, PhD thesis, Technical University Berlin, Germany, 2008.
- [26] F. TISSEUR AND N. J. HIGHAM, *Structured pseudospectra for polynomial eigenvalue problems, with applications*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 23(2001), pp.187-208.