Backward errors and linearizations for palindromic matrix polynomials

Bibhas Adhikari*

Abstract. We derive computable expressions of structured backward errors of approximate eigenelements of *-palindromic and *-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. We also characterize minimal structured perturbations such that approximate eigenelements are exact eigenelements of the perturbed polynomials. We detect structure preserving linearizations which have almost no adverse effect on the structured backward errors of approximate eigenelements of the *-palindromic and *-anti-palindromic polynomials.

Keywords. Structured backward error, palindromic matrix polynomial, structured linearization

AMS subject classification(2000): 15A57, 65F35, 15A18.

1 Introduction

A triple $(\lambda, x, y) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n (x \neq 0, y \neq 0)$ is called an eigentriple of a polynomial $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ if

$$P(\lambda)x = 0 \text{ and } y^H P(\lambda) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ denotes the space of matrix polynomials of the form $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$, $A_j \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and y^H is the conjugate transpose of y. The nonzero vectors x and y are called the right and left eigenvectors of P corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , respectively. Given a polynomial P and a pair (λ, x) of P, the backward perturbation analysis deals with finding minimal perturbation $\Delta P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ of P so that (λ, x) becomes an eigenpair of $P + \Delta P$. If the coefficients of the given polynomial have certain distinctive structure sometimes it is necessary to find a minimal perturbation having the same structure as the original polynomial to preserve some properties (for example, eigensymmetry).

In this paper we restrict our attention to regular matrix polynomials. We undertake a detailed backward perturbation analysis of *-palindromic and *-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials which we define in section 2. These polynomials arise in many applications such as in the study of rail traffic noise caused by high speed trains [25, 22, 26, 18]. Lately there has been a lot of interest generated into the development of structured preserving algorithms and the perturbation theory of palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problems [2, 9, 10, 20, 18, 23, 25, 26].

We denote the set of *-palindromic or *-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials by $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n\times n})$. We choose an appropriate norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|_M$ on $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n\times n})$. Given a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{S}$ and $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with $x^H x = 1$, we determine the structured backward error $\eta^{\mathbb{S}}_M(\lambda, x, P)$ of (λ, x) as an approximate right eigenpair of $P \in \mathbb{S}$ and construct a polynomial $\Delta P \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\|\|\Delta P\|\|_M = \eta^{\mathbb{S}}_M(\lambda, x, P)$ and $P(\lambda)x + \Delta P(\lambda)x = 0$. Moreover, we show that ΔP is unique for the Frobenius norm on $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ but there are infinitely many such ΔP for the spectral norm on $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$. Further, for the spectral norm, we show how to construct all such ΔP . A similar analysis undertaken in [3] for certain other structures.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, IIT Guwahati, Guwahati-781039, INDIA (bibhas.adhikari@gmail.com).

We mention that structured backward error multiplied with the structured condition number provides an approximate upper bound on the errors in the computed eigenelements. A detailed sensitivity analysis including explicit expression of structured condition number of eigenvalues of a variety of structured matrix polynomials including palindromic matrix polynomials has been investigated in [4]. Thus structured backward errors derived in this paper will play an important role in the accuracy assessment of eigenelements of a *-palindromic/*anti-palindromic matrix polynomial computed by structure preserving algorithms.

Due to the lack of a genuine polynomial eigensolver, the common practice to solve a polynomial eigenvalue problem of degree m is to solve an equivalent generalized eigenvalue problem of larger size. To be specific, an $n \times n$ polynomial P of degree m is converted into an equivalent linear polynomial $L(\lambda) = \lambda X + Y$, $X \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$, $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$ and a numerically backward stable algorithm is employed to compute the eigenelements of L. It is shown in [22, 24] that a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ can have infinitely many linearizations. In fact these linearizations form a vector space of dimension $m(m-1)n^2 + m$. Analyzing backward error of approximate eigenpair and condition number of eigenvalues of a matrix polynomial Higham et al. [15, 16] have determined potential linearizations of a polynomial.

It is well known that *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials have certain eigensymmetry in the spectrum, and in the eigentriple as well [22, 24, 8]. Therefore to solve a palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problem it is very important to preserve those structures in the computed eigenelements. The structured linearizations which preserve the eigensymmetry of *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials have been constructed in [22, 24]. Therefore computationally it is highly desirable to identify potential structured linearizations which are well-conditioned. By analyzing the structured condition number, a recipe of potential structured linearizations of a given $P \in S$ has been produced in [4].

With a view to analyzing accuracy of computed eigenelements of *-palindromic/*-antipalindromic matrix polynomials we follow a similar procedure as developed in [3] for a variety of structured polynomials including symmetric, skew-symmetric, even and odd. Indeed, we consider structured backward errors $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$ of approximate eigenelements (λ, x) of $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}$ and structured backward errors $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}; v)$ of approximate eigenpair $(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)$ of widely varying structured linearzations \mathbf{L} of \mathbf{P} , where $\Lambda_{m-1} := [\lambda^{m-1}, \ldots, \lambda, 1]^T$ and v is called the right ansatz vector, see [22]. Further, we identify potential structured linearizations \mathbf{L} of \mathbf{P} for which $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}; v) \leq \alpha \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$, for some scalar $\alpha > 0$. Thus we identify structure preserving linearizations which have almost no adverse effect on the structured backward errors of approximate eigenelements of *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic polynomials. We notice that the potential structured linearizations proposed in [4] for *T*-palindromic matrix polynomials.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review palindromic matrix polynomials and their spectral symmetries. In section 3, we derive structured backward errors of approximate eigenpairs of palindromic matrix polynomials. In section 4, we analyze structured linearizations of palindromic matrix polynomials and identify potential structured linearizations.

2 Eigensymmetry of palindromic matrix polynomials

A matrix polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} z^j A_j \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ is called *-palindromic or *-anti-palindromic if

$$\mathbf{P}^*(z) = z^m \mathbf{P}(1/z) \text{ or } \mathbf{P}^*(z) = -z^m \mathbf{P}(1/z) \ \forall z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$$
(2)

respectively, where $P^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j^*$ and $* \in \{T, H\}$. Note that A^T denotes the transpose of a matrix A and the conjugate transpose of a matrix A is denoted by A^H . We denote the set of *-palindromic matrix polynomials by \mathbb{S}_p and the set of *-anti-palindromic polynomials by \mathbb{S}_{ap} . Unless otherwise stated we write \mathbb{S} for both \mathbb{S}_p and \mathbb{S}_{ap} . Due to the structure of the coefficients, the spectrum of a *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic polynomial inherits a spectral symmetry. In fact if λ is an eigenvalue of $P \in S$ then $1/\lambda^*$ is also an eigenvalue of P. This eigenvalue pairing $(\lambda, 1/\lambda^*)$ is known as the symplectic eigensymmetry. Table 1 gives the eigensymmetry and structure of eigentriples of *-palindromic and *-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials.

S	eigenvalue pairing	eigentriple
T-palindromic / T -antipalindromic	$(\lambda, 1/\lambda)$	$(\lambda, x, \overline{y}), (1/\lambda, y, \overline{x})$
H-palindromic/ H -anti-palindromic	$(\lambda, 1/\overline{\lambda})$	$(\lambda, x, y), (1/\overline{\lambda}, y, x)$

Table 1: Eigensymmetry of *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic polynomials.

The results in Table 1 follow from [2, Theorem 2.1] by extending the arguments of matrix pencils to matrix polynomials. Note that if $\lambda = 0$, that is, if 0 is an eigenvalue of $P \in S$ then ∞ is an eigenvalue of P as well. In this paper we consider only finite eigenvalues, although an *infinity* eigenvalue can be analyzed by considering the *reversal* of the polynomial or by considering the homogeneous polynomial, see [5, 22, 27].

We now show that given $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with $||x||_2 = 1$ and $P \in \mathbb{S}$, there always exists a polynomial $\triangle P \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $(P(\lambda) + \triangle P(\lambda))x = 0$, that is, (λ, x) is an eigenpair of $P + \triangle P$. For $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $||x||_2 = 1$, we define the projection $P_x := I - xx^H$. Throughout the paper, we follow the convention that $\triangle P \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ is of the form $\triangle P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j \triangle A_j$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$. Let $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}$ be given by $\mathbf{P}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$. Suppose $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with $||x||_2 = 1$. Set $r := -\mathbf{P}(\lambda)x$ and $\Lambda_m := [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T$. Define

$$\begin{split} \triangle A_j &:= \begin{cases} -(x^T A_j x)\overline{x}x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \left[(\overline{\lambda})^j P_x^* r x^H + \epsilon(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j} \overline{x} r^T P_x \right], & \text{if } * = T \\ -(x^H A_j x) x x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \left[(\overline{\lambda})^j P_x r x^H + \epsilon \lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x \right], & \text{if } * = H \end{cases} \\ \triangle A_{m-j} &:= \begin{cases} \epsilon(\triangle A_j)^*, \, j = 0 : (m-1)/2 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ \epsilon(\triangle A_j)^*, \, j = 0 : m/2 & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where $\epsilon = 1$ if $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$, and $\epsilon = -1$ if $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$. Then $P(\lambda)x + \triangle P(\lambda)x = 0$ and $\triangle P \in \mathbb{S}$.

Proof: The proof is computational and is easy to check.■

3 Structured backward error of approximate eigenpair

In this section we derive structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair (λ, x) of a polynomial $P \in S$. The backward error of an approximate eigenpair (λ, x) is defined as the smallest perturbation by norm, ΔP of P such that (λ, x) is an eigenpair of $P + \Delta P$. Given $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} z^{j} A_{j}$ we define norm in the following manner:

$$||P|||_{M} := \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} ||A_{j}||_{M}^{2}\right)^{1/2}, \ M \in \{F, 2\}$$
(3)

where M = F is the Frobenius norm and M = 2 is the spectral norm. For a variety of norms on $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$ see [5].

Recall that a matrix polynomial P is called regular if $\det(\mathbf{P}(z)) \neq 0$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Treating (λ, x) as an approximate eigenpair of a regular polynomial P we define the backward error of (λ, x) by

$$\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) := \min_{\Delta \mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})} \left\{ \| \Delta \mathbf{P} \| \|_M : \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x + \Delta \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x = 0 \right\}, \ M \in \{F, 2\}.$$

Setting $r := -P(\lambda)x$, we have the explicit formula [3]

$$\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \|r\|_2 / \|x\|_2 \|\Lambda_m\|_2.$$
(4)

An explicit formula of backward error is obtained by Tisseur [27] for a different class of norms on $\mathbb{P}_m(\mathbb{C}^{n \times n})$. See also [8].

Next assume that $P \in S$ is a regular polynomial. Then we define the structured backward error of an approximate eigenpair (λ, x) by

$$\eta_{M}^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) := \min_{\Delta \mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}} \left\{ \| \Delta \mathbf{P} \|_{M} : \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x + \Delta \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x = 0 \right\}, \ M \in \{F, 2\}.$$
(5)

By Theorem 2.1 it is easy to see that $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) < \infty$ and $\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$.

To derive $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$ corresponding to M = 2 we use Davis-Kahan-Weinberger normpreserving dilation theorem (DKW in short) which we state below.

Theorem 3.1 (Davis-Kahan-Weinberger, [11]) Let A, B, C and D are matrices of appropriate sizes. Let A, B, C satisfy $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \mu$ and $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A \\ C \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \mu$. Then there exists D

such that $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix} \right\|_2 = \mu$. All D which have this property are exactly those of the form

$$D = -KA^{H}L + \mu(I - KK^{H})^{1/2}Z(I - L^{H}L)^{1/2},$$
(6)

where $K^H := (\mu^2 \mathbf{I} - A^H A)^{-1/2} B^H$, $L := (\mu^2 \mathbf{I} - AA^H)^{-1/2} C$ and Z is an arbitrary contraction, that is, $\|Z\|_2 \leq 1$.

For a more general version of DKW Theorem see [11]. We use DKW Theorem in the subsequent development by setting Z = 0 in (6) to avoid cumbersome calculations.

Let $\Lambda_m := [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. To determine structured backward error in a convenient manner we use the projection operators Π_s which were introduced in [4] to determine the structured condition number of eigenvalues of *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. $\Pi_s, s \in \{+, -\}$ is defined by

$$\Pi_{\pm}(\Lambda_m) := \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\lambda^m \pm 1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda^{m/2+1} \pm \lambda^{m/2-1}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\lambda^{m/2} \pm \lambda^{m/2}}{2}\right]^T & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ \left[\frac{\lambda^m \pm 1}{\sqrt{2}}, \dots, \frac{\lambda^{(m+1)/2} \pm \lambda^{(m-1)/2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right]^T, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(7)

Now we state some basic properties of Π_+ and Π_- that will be used in the subsequent development. It is straightforward to check that the following relations hold.

•
$$\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - \|\Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} 2\operatorname{re}((\overline{\lambda})^{j}\lambda^{m-j}) + |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} 2\operatorname{re}((\overline{\lambda})^{j}\lambda^{m-j}), & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

•
$$\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} + \|\Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} = \|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}$$

•
$$2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} |\lambda^{m-j}|^{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} |\lambda^{m-j}|^{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

•
$$2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} |\lambda^{j}|^{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} |\lambda^{j}|^{2}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3.1 *T*-palindromic and *T*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials

Now we derive structured backward error of approximate eigenpair of T-palindromic and T-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. Recall that a polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} z^{j} A_{j}$ is T-palindromic if $A_{j}^{T} = A_{m-j}$, and T-anti-palindromic if $A_{j}^{T} = -A_{m-j}$. The set of T-palindromic and T-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials is denoted by \mathbb{S}_{p} and \mathbb{S}_{ap} respectively.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$. Let $P \in \mathbb{S}$ be given by $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$. Let (λ, x) with $||x||_2 = 1$ be an approximate eigenpair of P. Set $r := -P(\lambda)x$. Then we have

$$\eta_{M}^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \left(a_{M}^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda) \|r\|_{2}^{2} + b_{M}^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda) |x^{T}r|^{2}\right)^{1/2}, \ M \in \{F, 2\}$$

where $a_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda)$ and $b_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda)$ are given by

m	λ	S	$a_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda), \ M = F$	$b^{\mathbb{S}}_{M}(\lambda), \ M=F$
odd	$\lambda \neq -1$	\mathbb{S}_p	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	$rac{1}{\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2} - rac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$
	$\lambda \neq 1$	\mathbb{S}_{ap}	_	
	$\lambda = -1$	\mathbb{S}_p	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	0
	$\lambda = 1$	\mathbb{S}_{ap}		
even	$\lambda = \pm 1$	\mathbb{S}_p	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	$-\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$
	$\lambda \neq \pm 1$	\mathbb{S}_p	$\frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	$\frac{4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - 3\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{\left(2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2\right)^2} - \frac{2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$
	$\lambda \neq 1$	\mathbb{S}_{ap}		

m	λ	S	$a_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda), \ M=2$	$b^{\mathbb{S}}_{M}(\lambda), M=2$
odd	$ \lambda > 1$	S	$\frac{4\ \Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}}{\ \Lambda_{m}\ _{2}^{4}}$	$\frac{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4 - 4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m) + \Pi(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 \ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _3^4 \ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}$
	$ \lambda \leq 1$	S	$\frac{4\ \Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}}{\ \Lambda_{m}\ _{2}^{4}}$	$\frac{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4 - 4\ \Pi_+(\Lambda_m) - \Pi(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2}$
even	$\lambda = \pm 1$	\mathbb{S}_p	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}$	0
	$\lambda = 1$	\mathbb{S}_{ap}		
	$ \lambda > 1$	S	$\frac{4\ \Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})+\Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}- \lambda^{m/2} ^{2}}{\ \Lambda_{m}\ _{2}^{4}}$	$\Big[\frac{4\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - 3\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2}{\left(2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^2\right)^2} -$
				$\frac{4\ \Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})+\Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}- \lambda^{m/2} ^{2}}{\ \Lambda_{m}\ _{2}^{4}}\Big]$
	$ \lambda \le 1$	S	$\frac{4\ \Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})-\Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}- \lambda^{m/2} ^{2}}{\ \Lambda_{m}\ _{2}^{4}}$	$\left[\frac{4\ \Pi_{s}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}-3\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^{2}}{\left(2\ \Pi_{s}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}-\epsilon \lambda^{m/2} ^{2}\right)^{2}}-\right]$
				$\frac{4\ \Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})-\Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\ _{2}^{2}- \lambda^{m/2} ^{2}}{\ \Lambda_{m}\ _{2}^{4}}]$

where $s = +, \epsilon = 1$ if $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$, and $s = -, \epsilon = 0$ if $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$.

Proof: First suppose that m is even. Then by Theorem 2.1, note that there aways exists a polynomial $\triangle P \in \mathbb{S}$ which satisfies $\triangle P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$. Consequently $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) < \infty$. Let $Q = [x, Q_1]$ be a unitary matrix, where $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is given and $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-1)}$ is an isometry so that $Q_1^H x = 0$.

Let $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$. Define

$$\Delta A_j := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \ \Delta A_{m/2} := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} a_{(m/2)(m/2)} & a_{m/2}^T \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \ j = 0 : (m-2)/2$$
(8)

and $\triangle A_{m-j} = (\triangle A_j)^T$. Now $\triangle P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$ yields $\triangle P(\lambda)x = -P(\lambda)x = r(\text{say})$. Therefore by (8) we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j} a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{j} b_{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{j} + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^{T} r \\ Q_{1}^{T} r \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Lemma A.2 the minimum norm solution of $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j + \lambda^{m/2} a_{m/2} = Q_1^T r$ is given by

$$b_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \ a_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r, \ j = 0: (m-2)/2, \ a_{m/2} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r.$$

Further, by Lemma A.1, 4 the minimum norm solution of $\sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j} a_{jj} = x^{T} r$ is given by

$$a_{jj} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{j} x^{T} r}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} x^{T} r & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1, \\ \frac{(\lambda)^{j} + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}} x^{T} r & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1, \end{cases} a_{(m/2)(m/2)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} x^{T} r & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1, \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} x^{T} r}{2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}} \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1, \end{cases}$$

where j = 0 : (m - 2)/2. Thus we have

$$\Delta A_j = \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^T r & \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, j = 0 : m/2, \Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^T$$
(9)

whenever $\lambda = \pm 1$, and

$$\Delta A_{j} = \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j} + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}} x^{T} r & \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} (Q_{1}^{T} r)^{T} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{T} r & X_{j} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H}, \ j = 0 : (m-2)/2,$$
(10)

$$\triangle A_{m/2} = \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{2\|\Pi_+(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2 - |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^T r & \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (Q_1^T r)^T \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} Q_1^T r & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \ \triangle A_{m-j} = (\triangle A_j)^T$$
(11)

whenever $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. Setting $X_j = 0, j = 0 : m$, and using the fact that

$$\|Q_1^T r\|_2^2 = \|\overline{Q}Q_1^T r\|_2^2 = \|(I - \overline{x}x^T)r\|_2^2 = \|r\|_2^2 - |x^T r|^2,$$
(12)

we obtain

$$\eta_{F}^{\mathbb{S}_{p}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2}} & \text{if } \lambda = \pm 1\\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} + \left(\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{\left(2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}\right)^{2}} - \frac{2}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}}\right) |x^{T}r|^{2} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we derive $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$, by using DKW Theorem. If $\lambda = \pm 1$, by (9) and Theorem 3.1 we have $\mu_{\triangle A_j} = \|\triangle A_j\|_2 = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}$, j = 0: m, given by

$$X_{j} = -\frac{\lambda^{m-j} \overline{x^{T}r} \ Q_{1}^{T} r(Q_{1}^{T}r)^{T}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2})}, \ X_{m/2} = -\frac{\lambda^{m/2} \overline{x^{T}r} \ Q_{1}^{T} r(Q_{1}^{T}r)^{T}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2})}$$
(13)

where j = 0: (m - 2)/2, and $X_{m-j} = X_j^T$. If $\lambda \neq \pm 1$, by (10), (11) and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\mu_{\Delta A_{j}} = \|\Delta A_{j}\|_{2} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^{j} + \lambda^{m-j}|^{2} |x^{T}r|^{2}}{\left(2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1\\ \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^{j} + \lambda^{m-j}|^{2} |x^{T}r|^{2}}{\left(2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{|\lambda^{j}|^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \le 1 \end{cases}$$
$$\mu_{\Delta A_{m/2}} = \|\Delta A_{m/2}\|_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2} |x^{T}r|^{2}}{\left(2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}\right)^{2}} + \frac{|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}}$$

given by

$$X_{j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{(|\lambda^{j}|^{2}(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}+|\lambda^{m-j}|^{2}(\overline{\lambda})^{j} \overline{x^{Tr}} Q_{1}^{T}r(Q_{1}^{T}r)^{T}}{(2||\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})||_{2}^{2}-|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}) |\lambda^{m-j}|^{2} (||r||_{2}^{2}-|x^{T}r|^{2})}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ -\frac{(|\lambda^{j}|^{2}(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}+|\lambda^{2m-j}|^{2}(\overline{\lambda})^{j} \overline{x^{Tr}} Q_{1}^{T}r(Q_{1}^{T}r)^{T}}{(2||\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})||_{2}^{2}-|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}) |\lambda^{j}|^{2} (||r||_{2}^{2}-|x^{T}r|^{2})}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \le 1, \end{cases}$$

$$X_{m/2} = -\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} \overline{x^{Tr}} Q_{1}^{T}r(Q_{1}^{T}r)^{T}}{(2||\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})||_{2}^{2}-|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}) (||r||_{2}^{2}-|x^{T}r|^{2})}, \qquad (15)$$

where j = 0: (m-2)/2. Consequently we have $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}}$ if $\lambda = \pm 1$, and

$$\left(\eta_{2}^{\mathbb{S}_{p}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})\right)^{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} + \left[\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{(2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}\right]^{2} \\ -\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} + \left[\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{(2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}\right]^{2} \\ -\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} + \left[\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - 3|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{(2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}\right]^{2} \\ -\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} \|r\|_{2}^{2} \|r\|_{2}^{2} \|r\|_{2}^{2} \|\lambda\| \leq 1, \lambda \neq \pm 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $|x^T r| = ||r||_2$, then $||Q_1^T r||_2 = 0$. In such a case, considering $X_j = 0$ we obtain the desired results.

Next let $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$. Define

$$\Delta A_j := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \ \Delta A_{m/2} := \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a_{m/2}^T \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \ j = 0 : (m-2)/2$$
(16)

and $\triangle A_{m-j} = -(\triangle A_j)^T$ and $Q = [x, Q_1]$ is a unitary matrix. Therefore we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + a_{m/2} \lambda^{m/2} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $a_{jj} = 0$ whenever j = m/2, since $(A_{m/2})^T = -A_{m/2}$. By Lemma A.2, the minimum norm solution of $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + a_{m/2} \lambda^{m/2} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^T r$ is given by

$$b_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \ a_j = -\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, \ a_{m/2} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}, j = 0: (m-2)/2.$$

Also note that $x^T r = 0$ if $\lambda = 1$. Therefore by Lemma A.1, 5, the minimum norm solution of $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j a_{jj} - \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_{jj} = x^T r$ is given by

$$a_{jj} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 1\\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j - (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_-(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^T r & \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

where j = (m-2)/2. Therefore by (16) we have

$$\Delta A_{j} = \begin{cases} \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{(Q_{1}^{T}r)^{T}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} \\ \frac{Q_{1}^{T}r}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} & X_{j} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H} & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j} - (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}} x^{T}r & -\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}(Q_{1}^{T}r)^{T}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}Q_{1}r}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} & X_{j} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H} \text{if } \lambda \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$(17)$$

$$\triangle A_{m/2} = \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} (Q_1^T r)^T}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} Q_1^T r}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H \text{ if } \lambda \neq 1,$$
(18)

where j = 0: (m-2)/2 and $\triangle A_{m-j} = (\triangle A_j)^T$. Now setting $X_j = 0$, we obtain the desired result for M = F. Further, by employing DKW Theorem 3.1 and following a similar arguments as that in the case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$, we obtain $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$. Next consider *m* be odd. Let $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$. Define

$$\widetilde{\triangle A}_j := Q^T \triangle AQ = \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^T \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } (\triangle A_j)^T = \triangle A_{m-j}, j = 0 : (m-1)/2,$$

where $Q = [x, Q_1], Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-1)}$ is a unitary matrix defined as above. Consequently we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^T r \\ Q_1^T r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $x^T r = 0$ if $\lambda = -1$. Then by Lemma A.2 and Lemma A.1, 3 the minimum norm solutions of $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^T r$ and $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^T r$ are given by

$$b_{j} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{T} r, a_{j} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{T} r, a_{jj} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda = -1, \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j} + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}} x^{T} r & \text{if } \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\Delta A_{j} = \begin{cases} \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{T} r)^{T} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{T} r & X_{j} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H} & \text{if } \lambda = -1. \\ \overline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j} + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}} x^{T} r & (\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{T} r)^{T} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{T} r & X_{j} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H}, \text{ if } \lambda \neq -1. \end{cases}$$
(19)

Now setting $X_j = 0, j = 0 : m$ and by (12) we obtain

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}}, & \text{if } \lambda = -1\\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}} \|r\|_2^2 + \frac{\|\Pi_-(\lambda)\|_2^2 - \|\Pi_+(\lambda)\|_2^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2 \|\Pi_+(\lambda)\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2, & \text{if } \lambda \neq -1 \end{cases}$$

Moreover by DKW Theorem, (19) and following a similar technique used for even m, we obtain

$$\eta_{2}^{\mathbb{S}_{p}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4} - 4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}} |x^{T}r|^{2}, \\ \frac{if|\lambda| > 1}{\sqrt{\frac{4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4} - 4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}} |x^{T}r|^{2}, \\ \frac{if|\lambda| > 1}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}} \|r\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4} - 4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}} |x^{T}r|^{2}, \\ \frac{if|\lambda| > 1}{\|\lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}} \|r\|_{2}^{4} + \frac{if|\lambda| > 1}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{$$

Hence the result follows when $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$ and m is odd. Following a similar arguments we obtain the desired results for $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$.

Remark 3.3 Observe from the above proof that $\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P})$ is obtained by the only choice $X_j = 0$. For $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P})$, by DKW Theorem, the choice of X_j is infinite. Therefore the minimal structured perturbation is unique for Frobenious norm and in contrast we have infinitely many minimal structured perturbations for spectral norm.

Let $P \in S$. Treating $(\lambda, x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with $||x||_2 = 1$ as an approximate eigenpair of P, we now construct a minimal structured perturbation $\triangle P$ by simplifying the expressions of $\triangle A_j$ given in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $P_x := I - xx^H$ where I is the identity matrix of order n and $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Define

$$\begin{split} E_{j} &:= \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j} + \epsilon(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{s}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2}} (x^{T}r)\overline{x}x^{H}, \ G_{j} := \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j} + \epsilon(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{s}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - \alpha|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}} (x^{T}r)\overline{x}x^{H} + F_{j} \\ F_{j} &:= \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} \left[(\overline{\lambda})^{j} P_{x}^{T} r x^{H} + \epsilon(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j} \overline{x}r^{T} P_{x} \right], \ H_{m/2} := \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2} (x^{T}r) \overline{x}x^{H}}{2\|\Pi_{s}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - \alpha|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}} + F_{m/2} \\ K_{j} &:= \frac{(|\lambda^{j}|^{2} (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j} + \epsilon|\lambda^{m-j}|^{2} (\overline{\lambda})^{j}) \overline{x^{T}r} P_{x}^{T} r r^{T} P_{x}}{\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2}}, \ L_{j} := \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j} \overline{x^{T}r} P_{x}^{T} r r^{T} P_{x}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{T}r|^{2})} \end{split}$$

where $s \in \{+, -\}, \epsilon \in \{+1, -1\}, \alpha \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$.

Corollary 3.4 Let $\mathbb{S} \in {\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}}$ and $\mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{S}$. Let (λ, x) be an approximate eigenpair of \mathbb{P} . Then the unique structured perturbation $\triangle \mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{S}$ when M = F, and a structured perturbation $\triangle \mathbb{P} \in \mathbb{S}$ when M = 2, of \mathbb{P} for which $\mathbb{P}(\lambda)x + \triangle \mathbb{P}(\lambda)x = 0$ and $\||\triangle \mathbb{P}\||_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P})$ are given by

1. m is odd:

	$\ \cdot\ = \ \cdot\ _F$	$\ \cdot\ = \ \cdot\ _2$
$\triangle A_j$	$F_j \ \textit{if} \ \lambda = -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$F_j \ \textit{if} \ \lambda = -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$
	$E_j + F_j \text{ if } \lambda \neq -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p \text{ or}$	$E_j + F_j - \frac{ \lambda^{m-j} ^{-2}}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2} K_j \ if \ \lambda > 1$
	$\lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$and \mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$
		$E_j + F_j - \frac{ \lambda^j ^{-2}}{2 \Pi_s(\Lambda_m) _2^2} K_j \text{ if } \lambda \le 1 \text{ and}$
	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \overline{x}r^T]$	$\lambda \neq -1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p \text{ or } \lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$
	$if \ \lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \overline{x}r^T] \text{ if } \lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$

2. m is even:

	$\ \! \! \! =\ \! \! \! \! \! _F$	$\ \! \! \cdot \! \! =\ \! \! \cdot \! \! _2$	
$\triangle A_j$	$\frac{\lambda^{j}(x^{T}r)}{\ \Lambda_{m}\ _{2}^{2}}\overline{x}x^{H} + F_{j} if$	$\frac{\lambda^j (x^T r)}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} \overline{x} x^H + F_j - L_j \ if$	
	$\lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$\lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	
	$G_j \text{ if } \lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$G_j - rac{ \lambda^{m-j} ^{-2}}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \alpha \lambda^{m/2} ^2} K_j$	
	or $\lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$if \lambda > 1, \mathbb{S} \in \{\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}\}$	
		$G_j - \frac{ \lambda^j ^{-2}}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - \alpha \lambda^m/^2 ^2} K_j \ if \ \lambda \le 1 \ and$	
	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \overline{x}r^T]$	$\lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p \text{ or } \lambda \neq 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	
	if $\lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$\frac{1}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \overline{x}r^T] \text{ if } \lambda = 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	
$\triangle A_{m/2}$	$\frac{(x^T r)}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} \overline{x} x^H + F_{m/2}$	$\frac{(x^T r)}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} \overline{x} x^H + F_{m/2} - L_{m/2}$	
	$if \ \lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$if \ \lambda = \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	
	$H_{m/2}$ if $\lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	$H_{m/2} - rac{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}{2\ \Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\ _2^2 - lpha \lambda^{m/2} ^2} L_{m/2}$	
		$if \ \lambda \neq \pm 1, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$	
	$\frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2} [rx^H - \overline{x}r^T] $ if	$rac{(\lambda)^{m/2}}{\ \Lambda_m\ _2^2}[rx^H-\overline{x}r^T]$ if	
	$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}$	

where $\epsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p, \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}, \end{cases}$ $s = \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p, \\ - & \text{if } \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}, \end{cases}$ $\alpha = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$ and $\triangle A_{m-j} = \epsilon(\triangle A_j)^T$.

Proof: First consider $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$. Let *m* be even. If $\lambda = \pm 1$ then simplifying (9) we have

$$\begin{split} \triangle A_j &= \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \overline{x} x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^j \overline{Q}_1 Q_1^T r x^H + \lambda^{m-j} \overline{x} r^T Q_1 Q_1^H] + \overline{Q}_1 X_j Q_1^H \\ &= \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \overline{x} x^H + \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^j P_x^T r x^H + \lambda^{m-j} \overline{x} r^T P_x] + \overline{Q}_1 X_j Q_1^H \\ &= \frac{\lambda^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (x^T r) \overline{x} x^H + F_j + \overline{Q}_1 X_j Q_1^H, \end{split}$$

and if $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ then simplifying (10) and (11) we have

$$\Delta A_{j} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j} + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_{s}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}} (x^{T}r)\overline{x}x^{H} + F_{j} + \overline{Q}_{1}X_{j}Q_{1}^{H} \Delta A_{m/2} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{2\|\Pi_{s}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2}} (x^{T}r)\overline{x}x^{H} + F_{m/2} + \overline{Q}_{1}X_{m/2}Q_{1}^{H}.$$

Now setting $X_j = 0$ for M = F we obtain the unique polynomial $\triangle P \in \mathbb{S}$, and putting X_j given in (13)-(15) for M = 2 we obtain $\triangle P \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $P(\lambda)x + \triangle P(\lambda)x = 0$ and $\||\triangle P||_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$. The proof is similar when m is odd, $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_p$, and $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{ap}, m$ is either even or odd.

Note that if $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is such that Yx = 0 and $Y^Tx = 0$ then $Y = (I - xx^H)^T Z (I - xx^H)$ for some matrix Z. Hence from the proof of Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.4 we obtain that if K is a T-palindromic (resp. T-anti-palindromic) polynomial such that $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$ then $K(z) = \Delta P(z) + (I - xx^H)^T N(z)(I - xx^H)$ for some T-palindromic (resp. T-anti-palindromic) matrix polynomial N, where ΔP is given in Corollary 3.4.

3.2 *H*-palindromic and *H*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials

We now consider the set of *H*-palindromic and *H*-anti-palindromic polynomials denoted by \mathbb{S}_p and \mathbb{S}_{ap} , respectively. To derive the structured backward error of approximate eigenpair of a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{S}$, where $\mathbb{S} \in {\mathbb{S}_p, \mathbb{S}_{ap}}$, we proceed as follows. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us define the maps vec : $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{M} : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}^{2\times 2}$ by

$$\operatorname{vec}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(z) \\ \operatorname{im}(z) \end{bmatrix} \operatorname{and} \mathbb{M}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(z) & -\operatorname{im}(z) \\ \operatorname{im}(z) & \operatorname{re}(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(20)

Then we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.5 Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then the following hold. (i) $\operatorname{vec}(\overline{z}) = \Sigma \operatorname{vec}(z)$. (ii) $\operatorname{vec}(z_1 z_2) = M(z_1) \operatorname{vec}(z_2)$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. (iii) $M(\overline{z}) = M(z)^T$.

Proof: The proof is obvious.

Note that P is *H*-palindromic polynomial if and only if $iP, i := \sqrt{-1}$ is *H*-anti-palindromic polynomial. Thus the map *H*-palindromic \mapsto *H*-anti-palindromic is an isometric isomorphism. Also observe that $\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P) = \eta_M^{i\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, iP)$ where $i\mathbb{S} := \{iP : P \in \mathbb{S}\}$. We denote the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a matrix A by A^{\dagger} .

Theorem 3.6 Let $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$ be given by $P(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m z^j A_j$. Let (λ, x) with $||x||_2 = 1$ be an approximate eigenpair of P. Set $r := -P(\lambda)x$ and $\Lambda_m = [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \eta_{F}^{\mathbb{S}_{p}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |r^{H}x|^{2}} \leq \sqrt{2}\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}), & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1\\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_{2}^{2} - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^{T}\hat{r}|^{2}) + 2\frac{\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| \neq 1. \end{cases} \\ \eta_{2}^{\mathbb{S}_{p}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) &= \begin{cases} \eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) & \text{if } |\lambda| = 1\\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_{2}^{2} - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^{T}\hat{r}|^{2}) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - \epsilon|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2})(\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}, \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_{2}^{2} - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^{T}\hat{r}|^{2}) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_{+}(\lambda) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - \epsilon|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2})(\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}, \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_{2}^{2} - \epsilon|e_{(m/2)+1}^{T}\hat{r}|^{2}) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_{+}(\lambda) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - \epsilon|\lambda^{m/2}|^{2})(\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

 $where \ \epsilon = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & if \ m \ is \ odd, \\ 1 & if \ m \ is \ even, \end{array} \right. \widehat{r} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left[H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-1)/2} \right]^{\dagger} \operatorname{vec}(x^H r) & if \ m \ is \ odd, \\ \left[H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-2)/2} & H_{m/2} \right]^{\dagger} \operatorname{vec}(x^H r) \ if \ m \ is \ even, \end{array} \right.$ $H_j = \left[\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) - \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{array} \right], \ j = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 : (m-1)/2 & if \ m \ is \ odd, \\ 0 : (m-2)/2 & if \ m \ is \ even, \end{array} \right. \\H_{m/2} = \left[\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{array} \right] \ whenever \ m \ is \ even, \ and \ e_j \ is \ the \ j \ th \ column \ of \ the \ identity \ matrix. \end{array} \right.$

Proof: First suppose that m is even. By Theorem 2.1 it is evident that there exists a polynomial $\triangle P \in \mathbb{S}_p$ for which $\triangle P(\lambda)x + P(\lambda)x = 0$. Let $Q = [x, Q_1]$ be a unitary matrix where x is given and $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times (n-1)}$ is an isometry such that $Q_1^H x = 0$. Define

$$\Delta A_j := Q \begin{bmatrix} a_{jj} & a_j^H \\ b_j & X_j \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \ \Delta A_{m/2} := Q \begin{bmatrix} a_{(m/2)(m/2)} & a_{m/2}^H \\ a_{m/2} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^H, \ j = 0 : (m-2)/2 \quad (21)$$

and $\triangle A_{m-j} = (\triangle A_j)^H$. Since $\triangle \mathbf{P}(\lambda)x + \mathbf{P}(\lambda)x = 0$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^j a_{jj} \\ \sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x^H r \\ Q_1^H r \end{bmatrix}.$$

The minimum norm solution of $\sum_{j=0}^{(m-2)/2} \lambda^j b_j + \sum_{j=0}^{m/2} \lambda^{m-j} a_j = Q_1^H r$ is given by $b_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$, $a_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$. Note that for $|\lambda| = 1$, we have $\overline{x^H r} = (\overline{\lambda})^m x^H r$. Hence the minimum norm solution of $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$ is given by $a_{jj} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^H r$, j = 0 : m. Therefore we have

$$\Delta A_{j} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} x^{H}r & \frac{\lambda^{m-j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} (Q_{1}^{H}r)^{H} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{H}r & X_{j} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H}, \\ \Delta A_{m/2} = Q \begin{bmatrix} \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} x^{H}r & \frac{\lambda^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} (Q_{1}^{H}r)^{H} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} Q_{1}^{H}r & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H},$$

$$(22)$$

 $\Delta A_{m-j} = (\Delta A_j)^j, \ j = 0: (m-2)/2, \text{ which gives, } \eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - |r^H x|^2}.$ If $|\lambda| \neq 1$, by Lemma A.1, 7, the minimum norm solution of $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j a_{jj} = x^H r$ is given

by $a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}, \ j = 0: m/2$ where $\hat{r} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-2)/2} & H_{m/2} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \operatorname{vec}(x^H r)$ and

$$H_j = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) - \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{bmatrix}, j = 0 : (m-2)/2, H_{m/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\Delta A_{j} = Q \begin{bmatrix} e_{j+1}^{T} \hat{r} & \lambda^{m-j} \frac{(Q_{1}^{H}r)^{H}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{j}Q_{1}^{H}r}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} & X_{j} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H}, \Delta A_{m/2} = Q \begin{bmatrix} e_{(m/2)+1}^{T} \hat{r} & \lambda^{m/2} \frac{(Q_{1}^{H}r)^{H}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} \\ \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}Q_{1}^{H}r}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2}} & X_{m/2} \end{bmatrix} Q^{H}$$
(23)

and $\triangle A_{m-j} = (\triangle A_j)^H$, j = 0 : (m-2)/2. Setting $X_j = 0, j = 0 : m/2$ we obtain

$$\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_2^2 - |e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}|^2) + 2\frac{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}}$$

Next we derive the result for spectral norm. Note that for $|\lambda| = 1$, by (22) and Theorem 3.1 we have $\mu_{\triangle A_j} = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2}$ and

$$X_{j} = -\frac{\lambda^{m-j} r^{H} x Q_{1}^{H} r(Q_{1}^{H} r)^{H}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |r^{H} x|^{2})}, \quad X_{m/2} = -\frac{\lambda^{m/2} r^{H} x Q_{1}^{H} r(Q_{1}^{H} r)^{H}}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{2} (\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |r^{H} x|^{2})}.$$
(24)

Thus we have $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \frac{\|r\|_2}{\sqrt{m+1}}$. If $|\lambda| \neq 1$ by (23) and Theorem 3.1 we have

$$\mu_{\triangle A_j} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{|e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^{m-j}|^2 (||r||_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1, \\ \sqrt{|e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}|^2 + \frac{|\lambda^i|^2 (||r||_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^4}}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{\Delta A_{m/2}} = \sqrt{|e_{(m/2)+1}^{T}\hat{r}|^{2} + \frac{|\lambda^{m}|^{2} (||r||_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}} \text{ given by}$$

$$X_{j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\overline{e_{j+1}^{T}\hat{r}}(\overline{\lambda})^{j} \lambda^{m-j} Q_{1}^{H}r(Q_{1}^{H}r)^{H}}{|\lambda^{m-j}|^{2} (||r||_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}, & \text{if } |\lambda| > 1\\ -\frac{\overline{e_{j+1}^{T}\hat{r}}(\overline{\lambda})^{j} \lambda^{m-j} Q_{1}^{H}r(Q_{1}^{H}r)^{H}}{|\lambda^{i}|^{2} (||r||_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}, & \text{if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(25)$$

$$X_{m/2} = -\frac{(e_{(m/2)+1}^T \hat{r}) \ Q_1^H r (Q_1^H r)^H}{(\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2)}$$
(26)

for j = 0 : (m - 2)/2. This gives

$$\eta_{2}^{\mathbb{S}_{p}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_{2}^{2} - |e_{(m/2)+1}^{T}\hat{r}|^{2}) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) + \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2})(\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}, \\ \sqrt{(2\|\hat{r}\|_{2}^{2} - |e_{(m/2)+1}^{T}\hat{r}|^{2}) + \frac{(4\|\Pi_{+}(\Lambda_{m}) - \Pi_{-}(\Lambda_{m})\|_{2}^{2} - |\lambda^{m/2}|^{2})(\|r\|_{2}^{2} - |x^{H}r|^{2})}{\|\Lambda_{m}\|_{2}^{4}}, \\ if|\lambda| < 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $|x^H r| = ||r||_2$, then $||Q_1^H r||_2 = 0$. In such a case, considering $X_j = 0$ we obtain the desired results. Hence we are done for even m. Following similar arguments the desired result can be obtained whenever m is odd.

Remark 3.7 Observe from the above proof that $\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P})$ is obtained by the only choice $X_j = 0$. For $\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P})$, by DKW Theorem, the choice of X_j is infinite. Therefore the minimal structured perturbation is unique for Frobenious norm and in contrast we have infinitely many minimal structured perturbations for spectral norm.

Let (λ, x) with $||x||_2 = 1$ be an approximate eigenpair of a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$. Now we construct a minimal structured perturbation $\triangle P$ by simplifying $\triangle A_j$ given in the proof of Theorem 3.6. We proceed as follows. Let $\tilde{E}_j := \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} (r^H x) x x^H$, $\tilde{F}_j := \frac{1}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} [\lambda^{m-j} x r^H P_x + (\overline{\lambda})^j P_x r x^H]$ and $\tilde{K} = \frac{P_x r r^H P_x}{\|r\|_2^2 - |x^H r|^2}$.

Corollary 3.8 Let $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$ and (λ, x) be an approximate eigenpair of P. Then the unique structured perturbation $\triangle P \in \mathbb{S}$ when M = F, and a structured perturbation $\triangle P$ when M = 2, of P for which $P(\lambda)x + \triangle P(\lambda)x = 0$ and $||| \triangle P |||_M = \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, P)$ are given by

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\| \cdot \| = \| \cdot \|_F}{ \Delta A_j \quad \tilde{E}_j + \tilde{F}_j \quad if \ |\lambda| = 1 \quad \tilde{E}_j + \tilde{F}_j - x^H r \lambda^{m-j} \|\Lambda_m\|_2^{-2} \tilde{K} \quad if \ |\lambda| = 1 \\ e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} x x^H + \tilde{F}_j \quad if \ |\lambda| \neq 1 \quad e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} x x^H + \tilde{F}_j - e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} (\overline{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j} |\lambda^{m-j}|^{-2} \tilde{K} \quad if \ |\lambda| > 1 \\ e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} x x^H + \tilde{F}_j - e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} (\overline{\lambda})^j \lambda^{m-j} |\lambda^j|^{-2} \tilde{K} \quad if \ |\lambda| < 1 \end{split}$$

where j = 0: (m-1)/2 if m is odd, and j = 0: m/2 if m is even.

Proof: Setting $X_j = 0$ when M = F and putting X_j given in (24)-(26) when M = 2 the proof follows by simplifying (22).

It is evident from the proof of Theorem 3.6 and by Corollary 3.8 that, if K is a *H*-palindromic matrix polynomial such that $P(\lambda)x + K(\lambda)x = 0$ then $K(z) = \triangle P(z) + (I - xx^H)N(z)(I - xx^H)$ for some *H*-palindromic polynomial N, where $\triangle P$ is given in Corollary 3.8.

4 Structured backward error and palindromic linearizations

The classical way to solve polynomial eigenvalue problem is to convert the polynomial P into an equivalent linear polynomial L, called a linearization of P, and compute the eigenelements of L. For a polynomial P the set of linearizations form a vector space $\mathbb{L}_1(P)$ defined by [22]

$$\mathbb{L}_1(\mathbf{P}) := \{ \mathbf{L}(\lambda) : \mathbf{L}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes I) = v \otimes \mathbf{P}(\lambda) \}, v \in \mathbb{C}^m,$$
(27)

where v is called the right ansatz vector, \otimes is the Kronecker product, $\Lambda_{m-1} := [\lambda^{m-1}, \ldots, \lambda, 1]^T$ and L is of the form $L(\lambda) = \lambda X + Y, X \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{mn \times mn}$. But an arbitrary linearizaton $L \in \mathbb{L}_1(P)$ can destroy the eigensymmetry of a structured polynomial P [22]. Hence to solve a structured polynomial eigenvalue problem one needs to choose a linearization which preserves the eigensymmetry of the polynomial. These linearizations are called structured linearizations.

Mackey et al. [25] have shown that a *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomial can have both *-palindromic and *-anti-palindromic linearizations that preserve the eigensymmetry of the polynomial. Table 2 gives the structure of ansatz vectors for structured

S	Structured Linearization	ansatz vector
T-palindromic	T-palindromic	Rv = v
	T-anti-palindromic	Rv = -v
T-anti-palindromic	T-palindromic	Rv = -v
	T-anti-palindromic	Rv = v
H-palindromic	H-palindromic	$Rv = \overline{v}$
	H-anti-palindromic	$Rv = -\overline{v}$
$H ext{-anti-palindromic}$	H-palindromic	$Rv = -\overline{v}$
	H-anti-palindromic	$Rv = \overline{v}$

linearizations, where
$$R = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
, for details see [22, 25].

Table 2: Table of the admissible ansatz vectors for palindromic polynomials.

Note that to solve palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problem, the prime task is to detect potential structured linearizations that behave well during computations. Analyzing sensitivity of eigenvalues, potential structured linearizations have been produced in [3] for T-polynomial/T-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. With a view to analyze accuracy of approximate eigenelements, in this section, we identify the potential structured linearizations of a *-polynomial/*-anti-palindromic polynomial.

We first review some basic results available in the literature. Let P be a regular polynomial. Let $L \in L_1(P)$ be a linearization of P corresponding to the right ansatz vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Then the relationship between the eigenelements of P with that of its linearization L is given in [15, 16]

• $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is a right eigenvector for P corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x$ is an eigenvector for $L(\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

Treating (λ, x) as an approximate eigenpair of P the relations

$$\|\mathbf{L}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)\|_{2} = \|v\|_{2} \|\mathbf{P}(\lambda)x\|_{2},$$
(28)

$$|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^T \mathcal{L}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)| = |\Lambda_{m-1}^T v| |x^T \mathcal{P}(\lambda)x|, \qquad (29)$$

$$|(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)^H \mathcal{L}(\lambda)(\Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)| = |\Lambda_{m-1}^H v| |x^H \mathcal{P}(\lambda)x|$$
(30)

have been derived in [15]. In view of (28)-(30), without loss of generality we assume that the right ansatz vector v is of unit norm. Note the inequality

$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \le \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(\lambda, 1)\|_2} \le 1$$
(31)

given in [16, Lemma A.1].

We denote the backward error of $(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x)$ by $\eta(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)$. Comparing $\eta_M(\lambda, x, P)$ with $\eta_M(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, L; v)$ the inequality

$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \le \frac{\eta_M(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \le 1$$
(32)

has been proved in [3, Theorem 4.1]. Now recall that $\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$. Hence for any structured linearization $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{L}_1(\mathbf{P})$ of a given $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{S}$, we have $\eta_M(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}; v) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}; v)$ where the ansatz vector v is given in Table 2. Thus by [3, Lemma 4.2] we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \le \frac{\eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})}, M \in \{F, 2\}.$$
(33)

In the sequel we use the inequality

$$0 < \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^* v|}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2} \le 1, * \in \{T, H\}$$
(34)

where $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with $||v||_2 = 1$.

Theorem 4.1 Let P be a T-palindromic matrix polynomial. Let $\mathbb{S}_p \subset \mathbb{L}_1(P)$ and $\mathbb{S}_{ap} \subset \mathbb{L}_1(P)$ be the set of T-palindromic and T-anti-palindromic linearizations of P, respectively. Suppose $L_p \in \mathbb{S}_p$ and $L_{ap} \in \mathbb{S}_{ap}$ are the T-palindromic linearization and T-anti-palindromic linearization of P corresponding to the ansatz Rv = v and Rv = -v, respectively. If (λ, x) with $\|x\|_2 = 1$ is an approximate right eigenpair of P then we have

•
$$M = F$$
:
1. $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \ge 0$: $\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m}} \le \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}_p; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \le \sqrt{2}$
2. $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \le 0$: $\sqrt{1 + \frac{2\operatorname{re}(\lambda)}{|1-\lambda|^2}} \sqrt{\frac{m+1}{m}} \le \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \le \sqrt{2}.$

• M = 2:

1.
$$\lambda \neq -1$$
: $\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{L}_p; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P})} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|1+\lambda|^2}}$
2. $\lambda \neq 1$: $\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, \mathbb{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbb{P})} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|1-\lambda|^2}}.$

Proof: Let $r := -P(\lambda)x$. First consider M = F. By Theorem 3.2 and using (28)-(29) we have

$$\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{L}_p; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} = \sqrt{2} \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2 \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 - 2\frac{\mathsf{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2}}{\|r\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2}$$

if $\lambda \neq -1$, and

$$\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, \mathcal{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathcal{P})} = \sqrt{2} \ \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2 \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 + 2\frac{\mathsf{re}(\lambda)}{|1-\lambda|^2} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} |x^T r|^2}}{\|r\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2}$$

if $\lambda \neq 1$. It is easy to verify that $\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\text{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2}} \|r\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 - 2\frac{\text{re}(\lambda)}{|1+\lambda|^2}} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|\Lambda_{m-1}||_2^2} |x^T r|^2 \leq \|r\|_2$ if $\lambda \neq -1$, and $\sqrt{1 + \frac{2\text{re}(\lambda)}{|1-\lambda|^2}} \|r\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\|r\|_2^2 + 2\frac{\text{re}(\lambda)}{|1-\lambda|^2}} \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|\Lambda_{m-1}||_2^2} |x^T r|^2 \leq \|r\|_2$ if $\lambda \neq 1$. Thus by (34),(31) and (33) the desired result follows for M = F.

Now consider M = 2. If $|\lambda| > 1$ then by Theorem 3.2, (28)-(29) we have

$$\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathbf{L}_p; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2} \|\Lambda_m\|_2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2} \sqrt{\frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^T v|^2}{|1+\lambda|^2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}} \\ \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|1+\lambda|^2}}$$

by (34) and (31). Hence the results follow by (33). Similarly if $|\lambda| \le 1, \lambda \ne 1$, by Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathcal{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta_M(\lambda, x, \mathcal{P})} \le \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{|1 - \lambda|^2}}.$$

Hence the result follows by (33).

Note that $|1 - \lambda| \le |1 + \lambda|$ when $\mathsf{re}(\lambda) \ge 0$ and $|1 + \lambda| \le |1 - \lambda|$ when $\mathsf{re}(\lambda) \le 0$.

Remark 4.2 Let P be a T-anti-palindromic polynomial. Then we obtain similar bounds from Theorem 4.1 by interchanging the role of L_p and L_{ap} .

Theorem 4.3 Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 hold. Let S be the set of T-palindromic polynomials. Then we have

•
$$M = F : \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x, \mathcal{L}_p; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathcal{P})} \le \sqrt{2} \text{ if } \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \ge 0, \quad \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, \mathcal{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathcal{P})} \le \sqrt{2} \text{ if } \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \le 0.$$

•
$$M = 2: \quad \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{L}_p; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2} \text{ if } \lambda \neq -1, \quad \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_{ap}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{L}_{ap}; v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2} \text{ if } \lambda \neq 1.$$

Proof: The proof is followed from the fact that $\eta_M(\lambda, x, P) \leq \eta_M^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, P)$ and Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.4 Let S be the set of T-anti-palindromic polynomials and $P \in S$. Then the similar bounds hold for T-palindromic and T-anti-palindromic linearizations but the role of T-palindromic linearizations and T-anti-palindromic linearizations get exchanged in Corollary 4.3.

The moral of the Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3 is as follows. For a *T*-palindromic polynomial the bounds derived above advice to choose *T*-palindromic linearization when $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \geq 0$, and choose *T*-anti-palindromic linearization when $\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \leq 0$. Observe that our choice of structured linearizations is compatible with that given in [4] by analyzing structured condition number.

Now we consider H-palindromic/H-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials.

Theorem 4.5 Let \mathbb{S}_p be the set of *H*-palindromic matrix polynomials and $P \in \mathbb{S}_p$. Let $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{L}_1(P)$ be the set of *H*-palindromic or *H*-anti-palindromic linearizations of P. Suppose $L \in \mathbb{S}$ is an *H*-palindromic linearization or *H*-anti-palindromic linearization of P corresponding to the ansatz $Rv = \overline{v}$ or $Rv = -\overline{v}$, respectively. If (λ, x) with $||x||_2 = 1$ is an approximate right eigenpair of P and $|\lambda| = 1$ then we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; \mathbf{L}, v)}{\eta_F(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2} \text{ and } \frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; \mathbf{L}, v)}{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2}$$
$$\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; \mathbf{L}, v)}{\eta_2(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} = \frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; \mathbf{L}, v)}{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}_p}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

Proof: Let $r := -P(\lambda)x$. If $|\lambda| = 1$, by Theorem 3.6 we have

$$\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; \mathbf{L}, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} = \frac{\|\Lambda_m\|_2 \sqrt{2\|r\|_2^2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2 |x^H r|^2}{\|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2^2}}}{\|r\|_2 \|(1, \lambda)\|_2 \|\Lambda_{m-1}\|_2}$$

It is easy to verify that $||r||_2 \leq \sqrt{2||r||_2^2 - \frac{|\Lambda_{m-1}^H v|^2 |x^H r|^2}{||\Lambda_{m-1}||_2^2}} \leq \sqrt{2}||r||_2$. Hence the desired result follows by (33). For the spectral norm we obtain the desired result by noting that $\eta^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P}) = \eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})$ by Theorem 3.6.

This shows that there is almost no adverse effect of structured linearization of a *H*-palindromic matrix polynomial on the backward errors of approximate eigenelements when the approximate eigenvalues are on the unit disk. On the other hand, if $|\lambda| \neq 1$, a little calculation gives the following bounds:

$$\frac{\eta_F^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; \mathbf{L}, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2}} \text{ if } |\lambda| \neq 1$$

$$\frac{\eta_2^{\mathbb{S}}(\lambda, \Lambda_{m-1} \otimes x; \mathbf{L}, v)}{\eta(\lambda, x, \mathbf{P})} \leq \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda^{-1})\|_2^2}}, & \text{ if } |\lambda| > 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\frac{\|\hat{r}\|_2^2}{\|r\|_2^2} + \frac{1}{\|(1, \lambda)\|_2^2}}, & \text{ if } |\lambda| < 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & \operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \\ \operatorname{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix}$ for *H*-palindromic linearization and $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_{ap} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \\ \operatorname{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix}$ for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_{ap} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \\ \operatorname{im}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix}$ for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_{ap} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) & 1 + \operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \\ \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix}$ for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and $\hat{r} := \hat{r}_{ap} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \operatorname{re}(\lambda) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda) \\ -\operatorname{re}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \\ \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix}$ for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *P* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *H* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *H* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-anti-palindromic linearization and *H* = 1 + \operatorname{re}(\Lambda_{m-1}^H v \, x^H \mathbf{P}(\lambda) x) \end{bmatrix} for *H*-ant

linearization

Therefore the moral for *H*-palindromic/*H*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials is as follows. If eigenvalues are on the unit disk then it does not matter whether we choose *H*palindromic or *H*-anti-palindromic linearization. However, for eigenvalues not on the unit disk, it may be a good idea to solve both *H*-palindromic or *H*-anti-palindromic linearizations and pick an eigenpair (λ, x) from *H*-palindromic or *H*-anti-palindromic linearization according as $r_p \leq r_{ap}$ or $r_{ap} \leq r_p$.

5 Conclusion

We have derived computable expression of structured backward errors of approximate eigenpairs of *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomials. We mention that these expressions have an important role to play in analyzing stability of structured preserving algorithms. Finally structured backward errors have been used to determine potential structured linearizations of a *-palindromic/*-anti-palindromic matrix polynomial.

6 Acknowledgments

This work was done while the author was a PhD student at IIT Guwahati. The author thanks his supervisor Rafikul Alam for his stimulating comments and discussions that have significantly improved the quality of the results. The author would like to thank the reviewers for their comments that help improve the manuscript. Thanks are also due to Daniel Kressner for inspiring discussions on palindromic eigenvalue problems. Finally, thanks are also due to Harish K. Pillai for his comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript.

References

- B. ADHIKARI, Backward perturbation and sensitivity analysis of structured polynomial eigenvalue problem, PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, IIT Guwahati, India, December 2008.
- [2] B. ADHIKARI AND R. ALAM, Structured backward errors and pseudospectra of structured matrix pencils, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 31(2009), pp. 331-359.

- [3] B. ADHIKARI AND R. ALAM, On backward errors of structured polynomial eigenproblems solved by structure preserving linearizations, Preprint arXiv:0907.2545v1 [math.NA], submitted.
- [4] B. ADHIKARI, R. ALAM, AND D. KRESSNER, Structured eigenvalue condition numbers and linearizations for matrix polynomials, Technical report 2009-01, Seminar for applied mathematics, ETH Zurich, January 2009.
- [5] S. S. AHMAD AND R. ALAM, Pseudospectra, critical points and multiple eigenvalues of matrix polynomials, Linear Algebra Appl., 430 (2009), pp. 1171-1195.
- [6] P. BENNER, V. MEHRMANN AND H. XU, A numerically stable structure preserving method for computing the eigenvalues of real Hamiltonian or symplectic pencils, Numer. Math., 78(1998), pp.329-358.
- [7] P. BENNER, V. MEHRMANN AND H. XU, A note on the numerical solution of complex Hamiltonian and skew-Hamiltonian eigenvalue problems, Elect. Trans. Numer. Anal., 8(1999), pp. 115-126.
- [8] S. BORA, Structured eigenvalue condition number and backward error of a class of polynomial eigenvalue problems, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. Volume 31(2009) pp.900-917.
- [9] E. CHU, T. HWANG, W. LIN AND C. WU, Vibration of fast trains, palindromic eigenvalue problems and structure-preserving doubling algorithms, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 219(2008), pp. 237-252.
- [10] E. K.-W. CHU, W.-W. LIN AND C.-S. WANG, Perturbation results related to palindromic eigenvalue problems, ANZIAM 50(2008), pp. 87-100.
- [11] C. DAVIS, W. M. KAHAN AND H.F. WEINBERGER, Norm-preserving dilations and their applications to optimal error bounds, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 19(1982) 445-469.
- [12] J.-P. DEDIEU AND F. TISSEUR, Perturbation theory for homogeneous polynomial eigenvalue problems, Linear Algebra Appl., 358(2003), pp.71-94.
- [13] I. GOHBERB, P. LANCASTER, AND L. RODMAN, *Matrix Polynomials*, Academic Press, 1982.
- [14] D. J. HIGHAM AND N. J. HIGHAM, Structured backward error and condition of generalized eigenvalue problems, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 20(1998), pp.493-512.
- [15] N. J. HIGHAM, R. LI, AND F. TISSEUR, Backward error of polynomial eigenproblems solved by linearization, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 29(2007), pp. 1218 - 1241.
- [16] N. J. HIGHAM, D. S. MACKEY, AND F. TISSEUR, The conditioning of linearizations of matrix polynomials, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp. 1005 - 1028.
- [17] N. J. HIGHAM AND F. TISSEUR, More on pseudospectra for polynomial eigenvalue problems and applications in control theory, Linear Algebra Appl., 351-352 (2002), pp. 435– 453.
- [18] A. HILLIGES, C. MEHL, AND V. MEHRMANN, On the solution of palindromic eigenvalue problems, In Proceedings of ECCOMAS, Jyväskylä, Finland, 2004.
- [19] T.-M. HWANG, W.-W. LIN AND V. MEHRMANN, Numerical solution of quadratic eigenvalue problems with structure-preserving methods, SIAM J. Scientific Comp., 24(2003), pp.1283-1302.

- [20] D. KRESSNER, C. SCHRÖDER AND D. S. WATKINS, Implicit QR algorithms for palindromic and even eigenvalue problems, Preprint 432, DFG Research Center Matheon, Mathematics for key technologies in Berlin, TU Berlin, Germany, January 2008.
- [21] XIN-GUO LIU AND ZE-XI WANG, A note on the backward errors for Hermite eigenvalue problems, Applied Mathematics And Computation, 165(2005), pp.405-417.
- [22] D. S. MACKEY, Structured linearizations for matrix polynomials, PhD thesis, School of Mathematics, The University of Manchester, UK, 2006.
- [23] D. S. MACKEY, N. MACKEY, C. MEHL AND V. MEHRMANN, Numerical methods for palindromic eigenvalue problems: computing the anti-triangular Schur form, Numer. Linear Algebra Appl., 16(2009) pp. 63-86.
- [24] D. S. MACKEY, N. MACKEY, C. MEHL AND V. MEHRMANN, Vector spaces of linearizations for matrix polynomials, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp.971-1004.
- [25] D. S. MACKEY, N. MACKEY, C. MEHL AND V. MEHRMANN, Palindromic polynomial eigenvalue problems: good vibrations from good linearizations, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28(2006), pp.1029-1051.
- [26] C. SCHRÖDER, Palindromic and even eigenvalue problems analysis and numerical methods, PhD thesis, Technical University Berlin, Germany, 2008.
- [27] F. TISSEUR, Backward error and condition of polynomial eigenvalue problems, Linear Algebra Appl., 309(2000) p. 339–361.
- [28] F. TISSEUR AND N. J. HIGHAM, Structured pseudospectra for polynomial eigenvalue problems, with applications, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 23(2001), pp.187-208.

A Appendix

The following Lemma summarizes few auxiliary results required to prove the Theorems in section 3.

Lemma A.1 Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\Lambda_m = [1, \lambda, ..., \lambda^m]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$. Let $x, r \in \mathbb{C}^n, \epsilon \in \{+1, -1\}$ and $s \in \{+, -\}$. Then the solution of $\sum_{j=0}^m a_{jj}\lambda^j = x^*r, a_{jj} \in \mathbb{C}, * \in \{T, H\}$ that minimizes $\sum_{j=0}^m |a_{jj}|^2$ is given by

- 1. $a_{jj} = 0$ if $x^*r = 0$.
- 2. $a_{jj} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} x^* r.$
- 3. If m is odd, $a_{jj} = \epsilon a_{(m-j)(m-j)}, j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ then $a_{jj} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j + \epsilon(\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^* r$, whenever s = + if $\epsilon = 1$, and s = if $\epsilon = -1$.
- 4. If m is even, $a_{jj} = a_{(m-j)(m-j)}, j = 0 : m/2$ then $a_{jj} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j + (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2||\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)||_2^2 |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^* r$ and $a_{(m/2)(m/2)} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^{m/2}}{2||\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)||_2^2 |\lambda^{m/2}|^2} x^* r.$
- 5. If m is even, $a_{jj} = -a_{(m-j)(m-j)}, j = 0 : m/2$ then $a_{jj} = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j (\overline{\lambda})^{m-j}}{2\|\Pi (\Lambda_m)\|_2^2} x^* r$ and $a_{(m/2)(m/2)} = 0$.
- 6. If m is odd, $\overline{a}_{jj} = \epsilon a_{m-j,m-j} j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ and $|\lambda| \neq 1$ then $a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}$ where

$$\widehat{r} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-1)/2} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \operatorname{vec}(x^*r), \ H_j = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) + \epsilon \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \epsilon \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \epsilon \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) - \epsilon \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{bmatrix}$$

 e_j is the j-th column of the identity matrix and vec is defined in (20).

7. If m is even, $\overline{a}_{jj} = \epsilon a_{m-j,m-j} j = 0 : m/2 \text{ and } |\lambda| \neq 1 \text{ then } a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r} \text{ where } a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}$

$$\widehat{r} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-1)/2} & H_{m/2} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \operatorname{vec}(x^*r),$$

$$H_{m/2} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } \epsilon = 1, \ H_{m/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m/2}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m/2}) \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } \epsilon = -1, \ and \ H_j, j = 0 :$$

$$(m-2)/2 \text{ is same as that given in } 6.$$

where $\Pi_s(\Lambda_m)$ is defined in (7).

Proof: The proof of 1 and 2 are obvious. Now consider 3. Let m be odd. Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} a_{jj} \lambda^j = x^* r \Rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (\lambda^j + \epsilon \lambda^{m-j}) a_{jj} = x^* r.$$

Hence the result follows by 2. The proof is similar for 4 and 5. To prove 6 we proceed as follows. Let $\epsilon = 1$. Apply the map vec at both sides of $\sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{i} a_{jj} = x^{*}r$. This yields

$$\operatorname{vec}(\sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{i} a_{jj}) = \operatorname{vec}(x^{*}r) \implies \sum_{j=0}^{m} \operatorname{M}(\lambda^{i})\operatorname{vec}(a_{jj}) = \operatorname{vec}(x^{*}r)$$
(35)

where vec and M are defined in (20). Employing the condition $\overline{a}_{jj} = a_{m-j,m-j} j = 0 : (m-1)/2$ on (35) we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{(m-1)/2} (\mathsf{M}(\lambda^j) + \mathsf{M}(\lambda^{m-j})\Sigma) \mathrm{vec}(a_{jj}) = \mathrm{vec}(x^*r)$$

where $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus we have $a_{jj} = e_{j+1}^T \hat{r}$ where $\hat{r} = \begin{bmatrix} H_0 & H_1 & \dots & H_{(m-1)/2} \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} \operatorname{vec}(x^*r), H_j = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) & -\operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) \\ \operatorname{im}(\lambda^j) + \operatorname{im}(\lambda^{m-j}) & \operatorname{re}(\lambda^j) - \operatorname{re}(\lambda^{m-j}) \end{bmatrix},$

 e_j is the *j*-th column of the identity matrix. The proof is similar for $\epsilon = -1$ and 7.

Lemma A.2 Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x_j \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, j = 0 : m$. Then the solution of $\sum_{j=0}^m \lambda^j x_j = y, y \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ that minimizes $\sum_{j=0}^m \|x_j\|_2^2$ is given by $x_j = \frac{(\overline{\lambda})^j}{\|\Lambda_m\|_2^2} y$ where $\Lambda_m = [1, \lambda, \dots, \lambda^m]^T$.

Proof: The proof follows by using Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of Λ_m .