

**ON THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR THE KORTEWEG–DE
VRIES EQUATION WITH STEPLIKE FINITE-GAP INITIAL
DATA I. SCHWARTZ-TYPE PERTURBATIONS**

IRYNA EGOROVA, KATRIN GRUNERT, AND GERALD TESCHL

ABSTRACT. We solve the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg–de Vries equation with initial conditions which are steplike Schwartz-type perturbations of finite-gap potentials under the assumption that the respective spectral bands either coincide or are disjoint.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the seminal work of Gardner et al. [22] in 1967 the inverse scattering transform is one of the main tools for solving the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation

$$(1.1) \quad q_t = -q_{xxx} + 6qq_x$$

and numerous articles have been devoted to this subject since then. In particular, the case when the initial condition is asymptotically close to 0 is well understood and we just refer to the monographs by Eckhaus and Van Harten [14], Marchenko [41], Novikov, Manakov, Pitaevskii, and Zakharov [42] or Faddeev and Takhtajan [19]. The same is true for the case of steplike initial conditions which are asymptotically constant (with different constants in different directions), where we refer to Buslaev and Fomin [10], Cohen [11], Cohen and Kappeler [12] and Kappeler [30]. In fact, even the case where the asymptotics are given by some power-like behaviour (including some unbounded initial conditions) were investigated by Bondareva, Kappeler, Perry, Shubin and, Topalov [6], [7], [31]. On the other hand, essentially nothing is known about the Cauchy problem for initial conditions which are asymptotically periodic. The first to consider a periodic background seem to be Kuznetsov and A.V. Mikhailov, [38], who informally treated the Korteweg–de Vries equation with the Weierstraß elliptic function as background solution. The only known results, concerning to the existence of the solution seem to be by Ermakova [17], [18] and Firsova [21] (where the evolution of the scattering data for periodic background was given). However, both works are incomplete from the point of view of a rigorous application of the inverse scattering method. Surprisingly, much more is known about the asymptotical behavior (assuming existence) of such solutions, see for example [1], [3]–[5], [25], [32]–[36], [43]. Finally we mention that in the discrete case (Toda lattice) the same problem was completely solved in [16] (for corresponding long-time asymptotics see [8], [13], [26], [27], [28], [29], [37], [44]).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q53, 37K15; Secondary 37K20, 81U40.
Key words and phrases. KdV, inverse scattering, finite-gap background, steplike.
 Research supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under Grant No. Y330.
 Nonlinearity **22**, 1431–1457 (2009).

Our aim in the present paper is to provide a rigorous treatment of the inverse scattering transform for the KdV equation in the case of initial conditions which are steplike Schwartz-type perturbations of finite-gap solutions. The reason which makes the periodic case much more difficult are the poles of the Baker–Akhiezer functions which reflect the fact that the underlying hyperelliptic Riemann surface is no longer simply connected. In particular, we include a complete discussion of the problems arising from these poles. In order to keep our presentation within reasonable limits and to be able to focus on the novel features of our approach, we have chosen to limit ourselves to the case of Schwartz-type perturbations and the additional assumption that the mutual spectral bands either coincide or are disjoint. While this last assumption excludes the classical case of steplike constant background, it clearly includes the case of short range perturbations of arbitrary finite-gap solutions. The latter being solved to the best of our knowledge for the first time here.

More precisely, we will prove the following result

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p_{\pm}(x, t)$ be a real-valued finite-gap solution of the KdV equation corresponding to the initial condition $p_{\pm}(x) = p_{\pm}(x, 0)$. Suppose that the mutual spectral bands of the one-dimensional Schrödinger operators associated with p_+ and p_- either coincide or are disjoint.*

Let $q(x)$ be a real-valued smooth function such that (the Schwartz class)

$$(1.2) \quad \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \left| \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (q(x) - p_{\pm}(x)) \right| (1 + |x|^m) dx < \infty, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

then there is a unique smooth solution $q(x, t)$ of the KdV equation corresponding to the initial condition $q(x, 0) = q(x)$ and satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} (q(x, t) - p_{\pm}(x, t)) \right| (1 + |x|^m) dx < \infty, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will show how to remove the spectral restriction and how to handle the case where only a finite number of moments, respectively a finite number of derivatives, exist in a follow-up publication [15].

2. SOME GENERAL FACTS ON THE KDV FLOW

Let $q(x, t)$ be a classical solution of the KdV equation, that is, all partial derivatives appearing in equation (1.1) exist and are continuous. Moreover, suppose $q(x, t)$ and $q_x(x, t)$ are bounded with respect to x for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Introduce the Lax pair [39]

$$(2.1) \quad L_q(t) = -\partial_x^2 + q(x, t),$$

$$(2.2) \quad P_q(t) = -4\partial_x^3 + 6q(x, t)\partial_x + 3q_x(x, t).$$

Note that $L_q(t)$ is self-adjoint on $\mathfrak{D}(L_q(t)) = H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $P_q(t)$ is skew-adjoint on $\mathfrak{D}(P_q(t)) = H^3(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the KdV equation is equivalent to the Lax equation

$$\partial_t L_q(t) = [P_q(t), L_q(t)]$$

on $H^5(\mathbb{R})$.

The following result follows from classical theory of ordinary differential equations.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $c(\lambda, x, t)$ and $s(\lambda, x, t)$ be the solutions of the differential equation $L_q(t)u = \lambda u$ corresponding to the initial conditions $c(\lambda, 0, t) = s_x(\lambda, 0, t) = 1$ and $c_x(\lambda, 0, t) = s(\lambda, 0, t) = 0$.*

Then $c(\lambda, x, t)$ and $c_x(\lambda, x, t)$ are holomorphic with respect to $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ (for fixed x and t) and continuously differentiable with respect to t (provided $q(x, t)$ is). Similarly for $s(\lambda, x, t)$ and $s_x(\lambda, x, t)$.

Next, note the following property

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $q(x, t)$ is three times differentiable with respect to x and once with respect to t . If $L_q(t)u = \lambda u$ holds, then*

$$(2.3) \quad (L_q(t) - \lambda)(u_t - P_q(t)u) = -(q_t + q_{xxx} - 6qq_x)u$$

Proof. Suppose $L_q u = \lambda u$, then we have $P_q u = (2(q + 2\lambda)\partial_x - q_x)u$ and thus

$$(L_q(t) - \lambda)P_q(t)u = (q_{xxx} - 6qq_x)u$$

respectively

$$(L_q(t) - \lambda)u_t = -q_t u$$

which proves the claim. \square

Corollary 2.3 ([41], corollary to Lemma 4.1.1'). *Suppose $q(x, t)$ is three times differentiable with respect to x and once with respect to t . The function $q(x, t)$ satisfies the KdV equation (1.1) if and only if the operator*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{A}_q(t) = \partial_t - 2(q(x, t) + 2\lambda)\partial_x + q_x(x, t)$$

transforms solutions of equation $(L_q(t) - \lambda)u = 0$ into solutions of the same equation.

Furthermore, we obtain

Lemma 2.4. *Let $q(x, t)$ be a classical solution of the KdV equation (1.1). The system of differential equations*

$$(2.5) \quad L_q(t)u = \lambda u,$$

$$(2.6) \quad u_t = P_q(t)u$$

has a unique solution $u(\lambda, x, t)$ for any given initial conditions $u(\lambda, 0, 0) = a_0(\lambda)$ and $u_x(\lambda, 0, 0) = b_0(\lambda)$. It will be continuous with respect to λ if a_0, b_0 are.

Proof. Write

$$u(\lambda, x, t) = a(\lambda, t)c(\lambda, x, t) + b(\lambda, t)s(\lambda, x, t),$$

then clearly $L_q(t)u = \lambda u$ holds by construction, and Lemma 2.2 implies

$$(L_q - \lambda)(u_t - P_q u) = 0.$$

Hence $u_t = P_q u$ will hold if and only if

$$a_t c + a c_t + b_t s + b s_t = a(P_q c) + b(P_q s) = 2(2\lambda + q)(a c_x + b s_x) - q_x(a c + b s)$$

holds together with its x derivative at $x = 0$, that is,

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} a_t(\lambda, 0) &= -a(\lambda, 0)q_x(0, 0) + b(\lambda, 0)(4\lambda + 2q(0, 0)), \\ b_t(\lambda, 0) &= b(\lambda, 0)q_x(0, 0) + a(\lambda, 0)(2(2\lambda + q(0, 0))(q(0, 0) - \lambda) - q_{xx}(0, 0)), \\ a(\lambda, 0) &= a_0(\lambda), \\ b(\lambda, 0) &= b_0(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This is a system of ordinary differential equations for the unknown functions $a(\lambda, t)$, $b(\lambda, t)$ and hence the claim follows. \square

Let $c(\lambda, x, t) + m_{\pm}(\lambda, t)s(\lambda, x, t)$ be a pair of Weyl solutions for operator $L_q(t)$, where $m_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ are the Weyl m -functions associated with L_q .

Lemma 2.5. *The functions*

$$(2.8) \quad u_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = a_{\pm}(\lambda, t)(c(\lambda, x, t) + m_{\pm}(\lambda, t)s(\lambda, x, t)),$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad a_{\pm}(\lambda, t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t (2(q(0, s) + 2\lambda)m_{\pm}(\lambda, s) - q_x(0, s)) ds\right),$$

solve (2.5), (2.6).

Proof. Let u denote one of the Weyl solutions $u_+(\lambda, x, t)$ or $u_-(\lambda, x, t)$ and let \bar{u} be the other one. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that $u_t - P_q u$ is again a solution of $L_q u = \lambda u$. Consequently $u_t - P_q u = \beta u + \gamma \bar{u}$, where $\beta = \beta(\lambda, t)$, $\gamma = \gamma(\lambda, t)$. Since the Weyl solution decays sufficiently fast with respect to x on the corresponding half-axis when $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma$, then $u_t - P_q u$ also decays on the same half-axis. Therefore, $\gamma = 0$ and $u_t - P_q u = \beta u$ and the function $\hat{u}(\lambda, x, t) = \exp(-\int_0^t \beta(\lambda, s) ds)u(\lambda, x, t)$ satisfies the system (2.5), (2.6).

It remains to compute $\beta(\lambda, t)$. Using $u(\lambda, x, t) = c(\lambda, x, t) + m(\lambda, t)s(\lambda, x, t)$, where $m(\lambda, t)$ is the corresponding Weyl function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_t + m_t s + m s_t &= -4c_{xxx} - 4m s_{xxx} + 6q(c_x + m s_x) + 3q_x(c + m s) + \beta(c + m s) \\ &= 4(\lambda c_x - q_x c - c_x q) + 4m(\lambda s_x - q_x s - s_x q) + 6q(c_x + m s_x) \\ &\quad + 3q_x(c + m s) + \beta(c + m s). \end{aligned}$$

For $x = 0$ this equation reads $0 = 2(q(0, t) + 2\lambda)m(\lambda, t) - q_x(0, t) + \beta(\lambda, t)$. \square

Let $W(f, g)(x) = f(x)g'(x) - f'(x)g(x)$ denote the Wronski determinant. The next lemma is a straightforward calculation.

Lemma 2.6. *Let u_1, u_2 be two solutions of (2.5), (2.6), then the Wronskian $W(u_1, u_2)$ does neither depend on x nor on t .*

3. SOME GENERAL FACTS ON FINITE-GAP POTENTIALS

Since we want to study the initial value problem for the KdV equation in the class of initial conditions which asymptotically look like (different) finite-gap solutions, we need to recall some necessary background from finite-gap solutions first. For further information and for the history of finite-gap solutions we refer to, for example, [23], [24], [41], or [42].

Let $L_{\pm}(t) := L_{p_{\pm}}(t)$ be two one-dimensional Schrödinger operators associated with two arbitrary quasi-periodic finite-gap solutions $p_{\pm}(x, t)$ of the KdV equation. We denote by

$$(3.1) \quad \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = c_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) + m_{\pm}(\lambda, t)s_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$$

the corresponding Weyl solutions of $L_{\pm}(t)\psi_{\pm} = \lambda\psi_{\pm}$, normalized according to $\psi_{\pm}(\lambda, 0, t) = 1$ and satisfying $\psi_{\pm}(\lambda, \cdot, t) \in L^2((0, \pm\infty))$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

It is well-known that the spectra $\sigma_{\pm} := \sigma(L_{\pm}(t))$ are t independent and consist of a finite number, say $r_{\pm} + 1$, bands:

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_{\pm} = [E_0^{\pm}, E_1^{\pm}] \cup \cdots \cup [E_{2j-2}^{\pm}, E_{2j-1}^{\pm}] \cup \cdots \cup [E_{2r_{\pm}}^{\pm}, \infty).$$

Then p_{\pm} are uniquely determined by their associated Dirichlet divisors

$$\left\{ (\mu_1^{\pm}(t), \sigma_1^{\pm}(t)), \dots, (\mu_{r_{\pm}}^{\pm}(t), \sigma_{r_{\pm}}^{\pm}(t)) \right\},$$

where $\mu_j^{\pm}(t) \in [E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm}]$ and $\sigma_j^{\pm}(t) \in \{+1, -1\}$.

Let us cut the complex plane along the spectrum σ_{\pm} and denote the upper and lower sides of the cuts by σ_{\pm}^u and σ_{\pm}^l . The corresponding points on these cuts will be denoted by λ^u and λ^l , respectively. In particular, this means

$$f(\lambda^u) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f(\lambda + i\varepsilon), \quad f(\lambda^l) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f(\lambda - i\varepsilon), \quad \lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}.$$

Set

$$(3.3) \quad Y_{\pm}(\lambda) = - \prod_{j=0}^{2r_{\pm}} (\lambda - E_j^{\pm}),$$

and introduce the functions

$$(3.4) \quad g_{\pm}(\lambda, t) = - \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}} (\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(t))}{2Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)},$$

where the branch of the square root is chosen such that

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{1}{i} g_{\pm}(\lambda^u) = \text{Im}(g_{\pm}(\lambda^u)) > 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}.$$

The functions ψ_{\pm} admit two other well-known representations that will be used later on. The first one is

$$(3.6) \quad \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = u_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) e^{\pm i\theta_{\pm}(\lambda)x} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\pm}$$

where $\theta_{\pm}(\lambda)$ are the quasimoments and the functions $u_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$ are quasiperiodic with respect to x with the same basic frequencies as the potentials $p_{\pm}(x, t)$. The quasimoments are holomorphic for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\pm}$ and normalized according to

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{d\theta_{\pm}}{d\lambda} > 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}^u, \quad \theta_{\pm}(E_0^{\pm}) = 0.$$

This normalization implies (cf. (3.5))

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d\theta_{\pm}}{d\lambda} = \frac{i \prod_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}} (\lambda - \zeta_j^{\pm})}{Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)}, \quad \zeta_j^{\pm} \in (E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm}),$$

and therefore, the quasimoments are real-valued on σ_{\pm} . Note, in the case where $p_{\pm}(x, t) \equiv 0$ we have $\theta_{\pm}(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}$ and $u_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \equiv 1$.

Furthermore, the Weyl solutions possess more complicated properties, for example, they can have poles, as we see from the other representation. Namely, let \mathbb{P}_{\pm} be the Riemann surfaces, associated with the functions $Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)$ and let π_{\pm} be parameters on these surfaces, corresponding to the spectral parameter λ , where π_+ (resp. π_-) is the parameter on the upper (resp., lower) sheet of \mathbb{P}_+ (resp. \mathbb{P}_-). Then

$$(3.9) \quad \psi_{\pm}(\pi_{\pm}, x, t) = \exp \left(\int_0^x m_{\pm}(\pi_{\pm}, y, t) dy \right),$$

where $m_{\pm}(\pi_{\pm}, x, t)$ are shifted Weyl functions (cf. [40]). Note, that the Weyl function $m_+(\lambda, t)$ is the branch, corresponding to values of $m_+(\pi_+, 0, t)$ and $m_-(\lambda, t) = m_-(\pi_-, 0, t)$. Denote the divisor of poles (the Dirichlet divisor) of the shifted Weyl functions by $\sum_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}}(\mu_j^{\pm}(x, t), \sigma_j^{\pm}(x, t))$. Then the functions $\mu_j^{\pm}(x, t)$ satisfy the system of Dubrovin equations ([23, Lem. 1.37])

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial \mu_j^{\pm}(x, t)}{\partial x} = -2\sigma_j^{\pm}(x, t)Y_{\pm, j}(\mu_j^{\pm}(x, t), x, t),$$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial \mu_j^{\pm}(x, t)}{\partial t} = -4\sigma_j^{\pm}(x, t)(p_{\pm}(x, t) + 2\mu_j^{\pm}(x, t))Y_{\pm, j}(\mu_j^{\pm}(x, t), x, t),$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad Y_{\pm, j}(\lambda, x, t) = \frac{Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)(\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(x, t))}{G_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)}$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad G_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \prod_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}}(\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(x, t)).$$

In (3.11) $p_{\pm}(x, t)$ have to be replaced by the trace formulas

$$(3.14) \quad p_{\pm}(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{2r_{\pm}} E_j^{\pm} - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}} \mu_j^{\pm}(x, t).$$

Moreover, the following formula holds ([23, (1.165)])

$$(3.15) \quad m_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \frac{H_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \pm Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)}{G_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)},$$

where

$$(3.16) \quad H_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t).$$

We will also use

$$(3.17) \quad \check{m}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \frac{H_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \mp Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)}{G_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)},$$

to denote the other branches of the Weyl functions on the Riemann surfaces \mathbb{P}_{\pm} , that is, $\check{m}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = m_{\pm}(\pi_{\pm}^*, x, t)$. In addition,

$$(3.18) \quad m_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{m}_{\pm}(\lambda, t) = \frac{\pm 2Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)}{G_{\pm}(\lambda, 0, t)}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The following asymptotic expansion for large λ is valid*

$$(3.19) \quad \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \exp\left(\pm i\sqrt{\lambda}x + \int_0^x \kappa_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) dy\right),$$

where

$$(3.20) \quad \kappa_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\kappa_k^{\pm}(x, t)}{(\pm 2i\sqrt{\lambda})^k},$$

with coefficients defined recursively via

$$(3.21) \quad \kappa_1^\pm(x, t) = p_\pm(x, t), \quad \kappa_{k+1}^\pm(x, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \kappa_k^\pm(x, t) - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \kappa_{k-m}^\pm(x, t) \kappa_m^\pm(x, t).$$

Proof. By (3.15) we conclude that

$$m_\pm(\lambda, x, t) = \pm i\sqrt{\lambda} + \kappa_\pm(\lambda, x, t),$$

where $\kappa_\pm(\lambda, x, t)$ has an asymptotic expansion of the type (3.20). Inserting this expansion into the Riccati equation

$$(3.22) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \kappa_\pm(\lambda, x, t) \pm 2i\sqrt{\lambda} \kappa_\pm(\lambda, x, t) + \kappa_\pm^2(\lambda, x, t) - p_\pm(x, t) = 0$$

and comparing coefficients shows (3.21). \square

As a special case of Lemma 2.5 we obtain

Lemma 3.2. *The functions*

$$(3.23) \quad \hat{\psi}_\pm(\lambda, x, t) = e^{\alpha_\pm(\lambda, t)} \psi_\pm(\lambda, x, t),$$

where

$$(3.24) \quad \alpha_\pm(\lambda, t) := \int_0^t \left(2(p_\pm(0, s) + 2\lambda)m_\pm(\lambda, s) - \frac{\partial p_\pm(0, s)}{\partial x} \right) ds,$$

satisfy the system of equations

$$(3.25) \quad L_\pm(t) \hat{\psi}_\pm = \lambda \hat{\psi}_\pm,$$

$$(3.26) \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\psi}_\pm}{\partial t} = P_\pm(t) \hat{\psi}_\pm,$$

where $P_\pm(t) := P_{p_\pm}(t)$.

We note that ([23, (1.148)])

$$(3.27) \quad \alpha_\pm(\lambda, t) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{G_\pm(\lambda, 0, t)}{G_\pm(\lambda, 0, 0)} \right) \pm 2Y_\pm^{1/2}(\lambda) \int_0^t \frac{p_\pm(0, s) + 2\lambda}{G_\pm(\lambda, 0, s)} ds$$

and corresponding to $\check{m}_\pm(\lambda, t)$ we also introduce

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \check{\alpha}_\pm(\lambda, t) &:= \int_0^t \left((2p_\pm(0, s) + 4\lambda)\check{m}_\pm(\lambda, s) - \frac{\partial p_\pm(0, s)}{\partial x} \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{G_\pm(\lambda, 0, t)}{G_\pm(\lambda, 0, 0)} \right) \mp 2Y_\pm^{1/2}(\lambda) \int_0^t \frac{p_\pm(0, s) + 2\lambda}{G_\pm(\lambda, 0, s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$(3.29) \quad \overline{\alpha_\pm(\lambda, t)} = \check{\alpha}_\pm(\lambda, t), \quad \lambda \in \sigma_\pm.$$

In order to remove the singularities of the functions $\psi_\pm(\lambda, x, t)$ we set

$$(3.30) \quad \begin{aligned} M_\pm(t) &= \{ \mu_j^\pm(t) \mid \mu_j^\pm(t) \in (E_{2j-1}, E_{2j}) \text{ and } m_\pm(\lambda, t) \text{ has a simple pole} \}, \\ \hat{M}_\pm(t) &= \{ \mu_j^\pm(t) \mid \mu_j^\pm(t) \in \{E_{2j-1}, E_{2j}\} \}, \end{aligned}$$

and introduce the functions

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_{\pm}(\lambda, t) &:= \prod_{\mu_j^{\pm}(t) \in M_{\pm}(t)} (\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(t)), \\ \hat{\delta}_{\pm}(\lambda, t) &:= \prod_{\mu_j^{\pm}(t) \in M_{\pm}(t)} (\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(t)) \prod_{\mu_j^{\pm}(t) \in \hat{M}_{\pm}(t)} \sqrt{\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\prod = 1$ if the index set is empty.

Lemma 3.3. *For each $t \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\pm}$ the functions $\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ possess the properties*

$$(3.32) \quad \exp(\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) + \check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)) = \frac{G_{\pm}(\lambda, 0, t)}{G_{\pm}(\lambda, 0, 0)},$$

$$(3.33) \quad \exp(\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)) = \frac{\hat{\delta}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)}{\hat{\delta}_{\pm}(\lambda, 0)} f_{\pm}(\lambda, t),$$

where the functions $f_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ are holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\pm}$, continuous up to the boundary and $f_{\pm}(\lambda, t) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Furthermore, let $E \in \{E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm}\}$, then

$$(3.34) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} (\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)) = \begin{cases} 0, & \mu_j^{\pm}(t) \neq E, \mu_j^{\pm}(0) \neq E, \\ 0, & \mu_j^{\pm}(t) = E, \mu_j^{\pm}(0) = E, \\ i\pi, & \mu_j^{\pm}(t) = E, \mu_j^{\pm}(0) \neq E, \\ i\pi, & \mu_j^{\pm}(t) \neq E, \mu_j^{\pm}(0) = E, \end{cases} \pmod{2\pi i}.$$

Proof. To shorten notations let us denote the derivative with respect to t by a dot and the derivative with respect to x by a prime. Equations (3.24) and (3.27) immediately give (3.32) and

$$(3.35) \quad \alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t) = \pm 4Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda) \int_0^t \frac{p_{\pm}(0, s) + 2\lambda}{G_{\pm}(\lambda, s)} ds,$$

where we have abbreviated

$$G_{\pm}(\lambda, t) := G_{\pm}(\lambda, 0, t).$$

This function is well-defined on the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \cup_{j=1}^r [E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm}]$, but may have singularities inside gaps. Note, that

$$(3.36) \quad \alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_{\pm}.$$

Consider the behavior of this function in the j th gap. By splitting the integral \int_0^t in the definition of $\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ (resp. $\check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$) into a sum of smaller integrals $\int_{t_0}^{t_1}$ it suffices to consider the cases where $\mu_j^{\pm}(s) \notin \{E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm}\}$ for $s \in [t_0, t_1]$ or $s \in (t_0, t_1]$. We will only investigate the first case (the other being completely analogous) and assume $t_0 = 0$ without loss of generality. In other words, it suffices to consider the case where $\mu_j^{\pm}(0) \in (E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm})$ and the time $t > 0$ is so small, that $\sigma_j^{\pm}(s) = \sigma_j^{\pm}(0)$ for $s \leq t$. Consequently, $\mu_j^{\pm}(t) \in (E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm})$ and there exists some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(t)$ such that

$$(3.37) \quad \mu_j^{\pm}(s) \in (E_{2j-1}^{\pm} + 2\varepsilon, E_{2j}^{\pm} - 2\varepsilon), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

Consider (e.g.) the case where the point $\mu_j^\pm(s)$ moves to the right, that is $\mu_j^\pm(0) < \mu_j^\pm(t)$. If $\lambda \notin (\mu_j^\pm(0) - \varepsilon, \mu_j^\pm(t) + \varepsilon)$, then the integral (3.35) is well-defined and by definition (3.3) the first case of (3.34) is fulfilled. Now let

$$(3.38) \quad \lambda \in (\mu_j^\pm(0) - \varepsilon, \mu_j^\pm(t) + \varepsilon).$$

From equation (3.11) we have

$$(3.39) \quad \dot{\mu}_j^\pm(s) = -\sigma_j^\pm(s) \tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\mu_j^\pm(s), s),$$

where

$$(3.40) \quad \tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s) = 4(p_\pm(s) + 2\lambda)Y_{\pm,j}(\lambda, 0, s)$$

and the functions $Y_{\pm,j}(\lambda, 0, s)$ are defined by (3.12). Recall that $\sigma_j^\pm(s) = \text{const}$. Thus

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{\pm 4(p_\pm(s) + 2\lambda)Y_{\pm}^{1/2}(\lambda)}{G_\pm(\lambda, s)} ds &= \pm \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s)}{\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(s)} ds \\ &= \pm \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\mu_j^\pm(s), s)}{\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(s)} ds \pm \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s) \Big|_{\lambda=\xi_j^\pm(s)} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_j^\pm(s) \in (E_{2j-1}^\pm + \varepsilon, E_{2j}^\pm - \varepsilon)$. Therefore $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s)$ is bounded here. But

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\mu_j^\pm(s), s)}{\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(s)} ds &= \mp \sigma_j^\pm(0) \int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mu}_j^\pm(s)}{\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(s)} ds \\ &= \pm \sigma_j^\pm(0) \log \frac{\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(t)}{\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the case under consideration we have

$$(3.42) \quad \alpha_\pm(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_\pm(\lambda, t) = \log \frac{(\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(t))^{\pm \sigma_j^\pm(t)}}{(\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(0))^{\pm \sigma_j^\pm(0)}} + \tilde{f}_\pm(\lambda, \varepsilon),$$

where $\tilde{f}_\pm(\lambda, \varepsilon)$ is a smooth function, bounded by virtue of (3.38). Combining this formula with (3.32) we arrive at the following representation:

$$(3.43) \quad \exp(2\alpha_\pm(\lambda, t)) = \frac{(\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(t))^{\pm \sigma_j^\pm(t)+1}}{(\lambda - \mu_j^\pm(0))^{\pm \sigma_j^\pm(0)+1}} f_\pm^{(1)}(\lambda, t), \quad f_\pm^{(1)}(\lambda, t) \neq 0,$$

which is valid provided (3.37) and (3.38) hold. According to our notations $\mu_j^\pm(s) \in M_\pm(s)$ iff $\pm \sigma_j^\pm(s) = 1$. Thus, if $\mu_j^\pm(t) \in M_\pm(t)$ (resp. $\mu_j^\pm(0) \in M_\pm(0)$), then the function $\exp(\alpha_\pm(\lambda, t))$ has a first order zero (resp. pole) at such a point and does not have any other poles or zeros inside the gap $(E_{2j-1}^\pm, E_{2j}^\pm)$. But if $\pm \sigma_j^\pm(t) = -1$ (resp. $\pm \sigma_j^\pm(0) = -1$), then the function $\exp(\alpha_\pm(\lambda, t))$ has no zero (resp. pole) at this point.

Now let us turn to the case $\mu_j^\pm(t)$ or $\mu_j^\pm(0) \in \{E_{2j-1}^\pm, E_{2j}^\pm\}$. Here we cannot use the decomposition (3.41) since the function $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s)$ is not bounded at the edges of the spectrum σ_\pm . Suppose, that $\mu_j^\pm(0) \in (E_{2j-1}^\pm, E_{2j}^\pm)$, the point $\mu_j^\pm(s)$ moves to the right, and the time $t > 0$ is such, that $\sigma_j^\pm(s) = \sigma_j^\pm(0)$ for $s < t$ and $\mu_j^\pm(t) = E_{2j}^\pm$. Set $\varepsilon < 1/2(\mu_j^\pm(0) - E_{2j-1}^\pm)$ and let λ be such that

$$E_{2j-1}^\pm + \varepsilon < \lambda < E_{2j}^\pm + \varepsilon < E_{2j+1}^\pm.$$

Represent the function $\tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s)$, defined by (3.40), as

$$(3.44) \quad \tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s) = \sqrt{\lambda - E_{2j}^{\pm}} \check{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s),$$

with

$$(3.45) \quad \check{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s) = \check{Y}_{\pm,j}(\mu_j^{\pm}(s), s) + (\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(s)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \check{Y}_{\pm,j}(\zeta_j^{\pm}(s), s)$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \check{Y}_{\pm,j}$ is evidently bounded. From (3.39) it follows that

$$\check{Y}_{\pm,j}(\mu_j^{\pm}(s), s) = -\frac{\sigma_j^{\pm}(0) \dot{\mu}_j^{\pm}(s)}{\sqrt{\mu_j^{\pm}(s) - E_{2j}^{\pm}}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq t,$$

and

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s)}{\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(s)} ds &= -\sigma_j^{\pm}(0) \sqrt{\lambda - E_{2j}^{\pm}} \left(\int_0^t \frac{\dot{\mu}_j^{\pm}(s)}{\sqrt{\mu_j^{\pm}(s) - E_{2j}^{\pm}} (\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(s))} ds + f_j^{\pm}(t, \varepsilon) \right) \\ &= -\sigma_j^{\pm} \sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \lambda} \left(\int_{\mu_j^{\pm}(0)}^{E_{2j}^{\pm}} \frac{d\tau}{(\lambda - \tau) \sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \tau}} + f_j^{\pm}(t, \varepsilon) \right) = \\ &= \sigma_j^{\pm} \sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \lambda} \int_{\sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)}}^0 \frac{2dy}{y^2 + \lambda - E_{2j}^{\pm}} + O\left(\sqrt{\lambda - E_{2j}^{\pm}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

To compute the first summand in (3.46) we will distinguish two cases. First let $\lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}$, that is, $\lambda > E_{2j}^{\pm}$. Then the first summand in (3.46) is equal to

$$-2\sigma_j^{\pm}(0) i \arctan \frac{\sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)}}{\sqrt{\lambda - E_{2j}^{\pm}}} \rightarrow -\sigma_j^{\pm}(0) i \pi, \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow E_{2j}^{\pm}, \quad \lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}.$$

This proves the two lower cases in (3.34). Next, consider the case when $\lambda \in (\mu_j^{\pm}(0), E_{2j}^{\pm})$. Then

$$(3.47) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sigma_j^{\pm}(0) \sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \lambda} \int_{\sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)}}^0 \frac{2dy}{y^2 + \lambda - E_{2j}^{\pm}} = \\ &= \sigma_j^{\pm}(0) \left(-\log \frac{\sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)} - \sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \lambda}}{\sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)} + \sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \lambda}} + \log(-1) \right) = \\ &= -\sigma_j^{\pm}(0) \log \frac{\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)}{\left(\sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)} + \sqrt{E_{2j}^{\pm} - \lambda}\right)^2} + \sigma_j^{\pm}(0) i \pi. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \rightarrow E_{2j}^{\pm}$, then the first summand in (3.47) vanishes, and we arrive again at (3.34). If λ is in a small vicinity of $\mu_j^{\pm}(0)$, then

$$\pm \int_0^t \frac{\tilde{Y}_{\pm,j}(\lambda, s)}{\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(s)} ds = \mp \sigma_j^{\pm}(0) \log(\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(0)) + O(1),$$

that confirm (3.33) for the case under consideration. \square

4. SCATTERING THEORY

First we collect some facts from scattering theory for Schrödinger operators with step-like finite-gap potentials (cf. [9]). To shorten notations we omit the dependence on t throughout this section.

Let L_{\pm} be two Schrödinger operators with real-valued finite-gap potentials $p_{\pm}(x)$, corresponding to the spectra (3.2) and the Dirichlet divisors $\sum_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}}(\mu_j^{\pm}, \sigma_j^{\pm})$, where $\mu_j^{\pm} \in [E_{2j-1}^{\pm}, E_{2j}^{\pm}]$ and $\sigma_j^{\pm} \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Let $q(x)$ be a real-valued smooth function satisfying condition (1.2). The case $m = 2$ and $n = 0$ was rigorously studied in [9]. In this section we point out the necessary modifications for the Schwartz case. Let

$$(4.1) \quad L_q := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

be the ‘‘perturbed’’ operator with a potential $q(x)$, satisfying (1.2). The spectrum of L_q consists of a purely absolutely continuous part $\sigma := \sigma_+ \cup \sigma_-$ plus a finite number of eigenvalues situated in the gaps, $\sigma_d \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma$. We will use the notation $\text{int}(\sigma_{\pm})$ for the interior of the spectrum, that is, $\text{int}(\sigma_{\pm}) := \sigma_{\pm} \setminus \partial\sigma_{\pm}$. The set $\sigma^{(2)} := \sigma_+ \cap \sigma_-$ is the spectrum of multiplicity two, and $\sigma_+^{(1)} \cup \sigma_-^{(1)}$ with $\sigma_{\pm}^{(1)} = \text{clos}(\sigma_{\pm} \setminus \sigma_{\mp})$ is the spectrum multiplicity one.

The Jost solutions of the equation

$$(4.2) \quad \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)\right) y(x) = \lambda y(x), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

that are asymptotically close to the Weyl solutions of the background operators as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$, can be represented with the help of the transformation operators as

$$(4.3) \quad \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x) = \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x) \pm \int_x^{\pm\infty} K_{\pm}(x, y) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, y) dy,$$

where $K_{\pm}(x, y)$ are real-valued functions, that satisfy the integral equations

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{\pm}(x, y) &= -2 \int_{\frac{x+y}{2}}^{\pm\infty} (q(s) - p_{\pm}(s)) D_{\pm}(x, s, s, y) ds \\ &\mp 2 \int_x^{\pm\infty} ds \int_{y \pm x \mp s}^{y \pm s \mp x} D_{\pm}(x, s, r, y) K_{\pm}(s, r) (q(s) - p_{\pm}(s)) dr, \quad \pm y > \pm x, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad D_{\pm}(x, y, r, s) = \mp \frac{1}{4} \sum_{E \in \partial\sigma_{\pm}} \frac{f_{\pm}(E, x, y) f_{\pm}(E, r, s)}{\frac{d}{d\lambda} Y_{\pm}(E)},$$

with

$$(4.6) \quad f_{\pm}(E, x, y) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}} (\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}) \right) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x) \check{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, y).$$

In particular,

$$(4.7) \quad K_{\pm}(x, x) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \int_x^{\pm\infty} (q(s) - p_{\pm}(s)) ds.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial^{n+l}}{\partial x^l \partial y^n} f_{\pm}(E, x, y) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}),$$

condition (1.2) and the method of successive approximations imply smoothness of the kernels for the transformation operators and the following estimate

$$(4.8) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{n+l}}{\partial x^n \partial y^l} K_{\pm}(x, y) \right| < \frac{C_{\pm}(n, l, m)}{|x+y|^m}, \quad x, y \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad m, n, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},$$

where $C_{\pm}(n, l, m)$ are positive constants (cf [9]).

Representation (4.3) shows, that the Jost solutions inherit all singularities of the background Weyl m -functions $m_{\pm}(\lambda)$. Hence we set (recall (3.31))

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x) = \delta_{\pm}(\lambda) \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x)$$

such that the functions $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x)$ have no poles in the interior of the gaps of the spectrum σ . Let

$$\sigma_d = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p\} \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma$$

be the set of eigenvalues of the operator L_q . For every eigenvalue we introduce the corresponding norming constants

$$(4.10) \quad (\gamma_k^{\pm})^{-2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\phi}_{\pm}^2(\lambda_k, x) dx.$$

Furthermore, introduce the scattering relations

$$(4.11) \quad T_{\mp}(\lambda) \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x) = \overline{\phi_{\mp}(\lambda, x)} + R_{\mp}(\lambda) \phi_{\mp}(\lambda, x), \quad \lambda \in \sigma_{\mp}^{u,1},$$

where the transmission and reflection coefficients are defined as usual,

$$(4.12) \quad T_{\pm}(\lambda) := \frac{\overline{W(\phi_{\pm}(\lambda), \phi_{\pm}(\lambda))}}{W(\phi_{\mp}(\lambda), \phi_{\pm}(\lambda))}, \quad R_{\pm}(\lambda) := -\frac{W(\phi_{\mp}(\lambda), \overline{\phi_{\pm}(\lambda)})}{W(\phi_{\mp}(\lambda), \phi_{\pm}(\lambda))}, \quad \lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}^{u,1}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose (4.27). Then the scattering data*

$$(4.13) \quad \mathcal{S} := \left\{ R_+(\lambda), T_+(\lambda), \lambda \in \sigma_+^{u,1}; R_-(\lambda), T_-(\lambda), \lambda \in \sigma_-^{u,1}; \right. \\ \left. \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma, \gamma_1^{\pm}, \dots, \gamma_p^{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\}$$

have the following properties:

- I. (a) $T_{\pm}(\lambda^u) = \overline{T_{\pm}(\lambda^l)}$ for $\lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}$.
 $R_{\pm}(\lambda^u) = R_{\pm}(\lambda^l)$ for $\lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}$.
 - (b) $\frac{T_{\pm}(\lambda)}{T_{\pm}(\lambda)} = R_{\pm}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}^{(1)}$.
 - (c) $1 - |R_{\pm}(\lambda)|^2 = \frac{g_{\pm}(\lambda)}{g_{\mp}(\lambda)} |T_{\pm}(\lambda)|^2$ for $\lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}$ with $g_{\pm}(\lambda)$ from (3.4).
 - (d) $\overline{R_{\pm}(\lambda)} T_{\pm}(\lambda) + R_{\mp}(\lambda) \overline{T_{\pm}(\lambda)} = 0$ for $\lambda \in \sigma^{(2)}$.
 - (e) $T_{\pm}(\lambda) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)$ for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.
 - (f) $R_{\pm}(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^{n+1}}\right)$ for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- II. The functions $T_{\pm}(\lambda)$ can be extended as meromorphic functions into the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma$ and satisfy

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{1}{T_+(\lambda)g_+(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{T_-(\lambda)g_-(\lambda)} =: -W(\lambda),$$

where the function $W(\lambda)$ possesses the following properties:

- (a) The function $\tilde{W}(\lambda) = \delta_+(\lambda)\delta_-(\lambda)W(\lambda)$, where $\delta_\pm(\lambda)$ is defined by (3.31), is holomorphic in the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma$, with simple zeros at the points λ_k , where

$$(4.15) \quad \left(\frac{d\tilde{W}}{d\lambda}(\lambda_k) \right)^2 = (\gamma_k^+ \gamma_k^-)^{-2}.$$

In addition, it satisfies

$$(4.16) \quad \overline{\tilde{W}(\lambda^u)} = \tilde{W}(\lambda^l), \quad \lambda \in \sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{W}(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma.$$

- (b) The function $\hat{W}(\lambda) = \hat{\delta}_+(\lambda)\hat{\delta}_-(\lambda)W(\lambda)$, where $\hat{\delta}_\pm(\lambda)$ is defined by (3.31), is continuous on the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma$ up to the boundary $\sigma^u \cup \sigma^l$. Moreover, the function $\hat{W}(\lambda)$ is infinitely many times differentiable with respect to λ on the set $(\sigma^u \cup \sigma^l) \setminus \partial\sigma$ and continuously differentiable with respect to the local variable $\sqrt{\lambda - E}$ for $E \in \partial\sigma$. It can have zeros on the set $\partial\sigma$ and does not vanish at the other points of the set σ . If $\hat{W}(E) = 0$ as $E \in \partial\sigma$, then $\hat{W}(\lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda - E}(C(E) + o(1))$, $C(E) \neq 0$.

- III. (a) The reflection coefficients $R_\pm(\lambda)$ are continuously differentiable infinitely many time functions on the sets $\text{int}(\sigma_\pm^{u,l})$.

- (b) If $E \in \partial\sigma$ and $\hat{W}(E) \neq 0$ then the functions $R_\pm(\lambda)$ are also continuous at E . Moreover, in this case

$$(4.17) \quad R_\pm(E) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } E \notin \hat{M}_\pm, \\ 1 & \text{for } E \in \hat{M}_\pm. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For the case $m = 2$ and $n = 0$ this lemma was proven in [9]. In particular, except for the differentiability properties of the scattering data and item I.(f) everything follows from Lemma 3.3 in [9].

Differentiability of $\hat{W}(\lambda)$ and $R_\pm(\lambda)$ is a direct consequence of differentiability of the Jost solutions. In fact, since $\frac{\partial^l \psi_\pm(\lambda, y)}{\partial \lambda^l} = O(|y|^l)$ for $\lambda \in \text{int} \sigma_\pm$ as $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$, equations (4.3), (4.8), and (1.2) imply, that $\phi_\pm(\lambda, x)$ are continuously differentiable infinitely many times with respect to $\lambda \in \text{int} \sigma_\pm$ since $\psi_\pm(\lambda, x)$ are. Moreover, note, that at the points E_j^\pm these solutions are continuously differentiable with respect to the local parameter $\sqrt{\lambda - E_j^\pm}$ since this holds for $\psi_\pm(\lambda, x)$. Furthermore, since $\text{Im} \theta_\pm(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_\pm$, we infer that $\psi_\pm(\lambda, y)$ are exponentially decaying together with all derivatives as $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$ if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma_\pm$.

It remains to show I.(f). To this end, represent the Jost solutions in the form

$$(4.18) \quad \phi_\pm(\lambda, x) = \psi_\pm(\lambda, x) \exp \left(- \int_x^{\pm\infty} \tilde{\kappa}_\pm(\lambda, y) dy \right),$$

where

$$(4.19) \quad \tilde{\kappa}_\pm(\lambda, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_k^\pm(x)}{(\pm 2i\sqrt{\lambda})^k}.$$

To derive a differential equation for $\tilde{\kappa}_\pm(\lambda, x)$ we substitute (4.18) into (4.2) and use (3.19) and (3.22). This yields the differential equations

$$(4.20) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{\kappa}_\pm(\lambda, x) + \tilde{\kappa}_\pm^2(\lambda, x) \pm 2(i\sqrt{\lambda} + \kappa_\pm(\lambda, x))\tilde{\kappa}_\pm(\lambda, x) + p_\pm(x) - q(x) = 0,$$

from which we obtain the recurrence formulas

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{\kappa}_1^\pm(x) = q(x) - p_\pm(x), \quad \tilde{\kappa}_{k+1}^\pm(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{\kappa}_k^\pm(x) - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \tilde{\kappa}_{k-m}^\pm(x) (\tilde{\kappa}_m^\pm(x) + 2\kappa_m^\pm(x)).$$

Using (4.12) we now derive an asymptotic formula for $R_+(\lambda)$ (for R_- the considerations are analogous). By (4.18) and (4.19)

$$(4.22) \quad W(\phi_-(\lambda), \phi_+(\lambda)) = \phi_-(\lambda, 0) \phi_+(\lambda, 0) \left(2i\sqrt{\lambda} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right) \right) = 2i\sqrt{\lambda}(1 + o(1))$$

and

$$(4.23) \quad W(\phi_-(\lambda), \overline{\phi_+(\lambda)}) = \phi_-(\lambda, 0) \overline{\phi_+(\lambda, 0)} \left(\overline{y_+(\lambda, 0)} - y_-(\lambda, 0) \right),$$

where we have set $y_\pm(\lambda, x) := \tilde{\kappa}_\pm(\lambda, x) + \kappa_\pm(\lambda, x)$. Equations (3.22) and (4.20) imply

$$(4.24) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} y_\pm(\lambda, x) \pm 2i\sqrt{\lambda} y_\pm(\lambda, x) + y_\pm^2(\lambda, x) - q(x) = 0.$$

Therefore, the functions $\tilde{y}_+(\lambda, x) := \overline{y_+(\lambda, x)}$ and $\tilde{y}_-(\lambda, x) := y_-(\lambda, x)$ satisfy one and the same equation. Moreover, $\kappa_1^\pm(x) + \tilde{\kappa}_1^\pm(x) = q(x)$. Hence, since $q(x)$ is smooth, the functions \tilde{y}_\pm admit asymptotic expansions

$$\tilde{y}_\pm(\lambda, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{y}_k^\pm(x)}{(-2i\sqrt{\lambda})^k},$$

where $\tilde{y}_k^+(x)$ and $\tilde{y}_k^-(x)$ satisfy the same recurrence equations

$$(4.25) \quad \tilde{y}_1^\pm(x) = q(x), \quad \tilde{y}_{k+1}^\pm(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{y}_k^\pm(x) - \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \tilde{y}_{k-l}^\pm(x) \tilde{y}_l^\pm(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\overline{y_+(\lambda, 0)} - y_-(\lambda, 0) = O(\lambda^{-n/2})$$

for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the same is true for $R_+(\lambda)$ by (4.22) and (4.23). \square

To complete the characterization of scattering data \mathcal{S} , consider the associated Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko (GLM) equations.

Lemma 4.2. *The kernels $K_\pm(x, y)$ of the transformation operators satisfy the Gelfand-Levitan-Marchenko equations*

$$(4.26) \quad K_\pm(x, y) + F_\pm(x, y) \pm \int_x^{\pm\infty} K_\pm(x, s) F_\pm(s, y) ds = 0, \quad \pm y > \pm x,$$

where ¹

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{\pm}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\sigma_{\pm}} R_{\pm}(\lambda) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, y) g_{\pm}(\lambda) d\lambda + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_{\mp}^{(1), u}} |T_{\mp}(\lambda)|^2 \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, y) g_{\mp}(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^p (\gamma_k^{\pm})^2 \tilde{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x) \tilde{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, y). \end{aligned}$$

IV. The functions $F_{\pm}(x, y)$ are differentiable infinitely many times with respect to both variables and satisfy

$$(4.28) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{l+n}}{\partial x^l \partial y^n} F_{\pm}(x, y) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\pm}(m, n, l)}{|x+y|^m} \quad \text{as } x, y \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad m, l, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Formulas (4.26) and (4.27) are obtained in [9], estimate (4.28) follows directly from (4.26) and (4.8). \square

Properties **I–IV** from above are characteristic for the scattering data \mathcal{S} , that is

Theorem 4.3 (characterization, [9]). *Properties **I–IV** are necessary and sufficient for a set \mathcal{S} to be the set of scattering data for operator L with a potential $q(x)$ from the class (1.2).*

In addition, we will now describe a procedure of solving of the inverse scattering problem.

Let L_{\pm} be two one-dimensional finite-gap Schrödinger operators associated with the potentials $p_{\pm}(x)$. Let \mathcal{S} be given scattering data (4.13) satisfying **I–IV** and define corresponding kernels $F_{\pm}(x, y)$ via (4.27). As it shown in [9], condition **IV** the GLM equations (4.26) have unique smooth real-valued solutions $K_{\pm}(x, y)$, satisfying estimate of type (4.8), possibly with some other constants C_{\pm} , than in (4.28). In particular,

$$(4.29) \quad \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} (1 + |x|^m) \left| \frac{d^n}{dx^n} K_{\pm}(x, x) \right| dx < \infty, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now introduce the functions

$$(4.30) \quad q_{\pm}(x) = \mp 2 \frac{d}{dx} K_{\pm}(x, x) + p_{\pm}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

and note that the estimate (4.29) reads

$$(4.31) \quad \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \left| \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (q_{\pm}(x) - p_{\pm}(x)) \right| (1 + |x|^m) dx < \infty, \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Moreover, define functions $\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x)$ by formula (4.3), where $K_{\pm}(x, y)$ are the solutions of (4.26). Then these functions solve the equations

$$(4.32) \quad \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q_{\pm}(x) \right) \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x) = \lambda \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x).$$

The only remaining difficulty is to show that in fact $q_{-}(x) = q_{+}(x)$:

¹Here we have used the notation $\oint_{\sigma_{\pm}} f(\lambda) d\lambda := \int_{\sigma_{\pm}^u} f(\lambda) d\lambda - \int_{\sigma_{\pm}^l} f(\lambda) d\lambda$.

Theorem 4.4 ([9]). *Let the scattering data \mathcal{S} , defined as in (4.13), satisfy the properties I–IV. Then the functions $q_{\pm}(x)$, defined by (4.30) coincide, $q_{-}(x) \equiv q_{+}(x) =: q(x)$. Moreover, the data \mathcal{S} are the scattering data for the Schrödinger operator with potential $q(x)$ from the class (1.2).*

5. THE INVERSE SCATTERING TRANSFORM

As our next step we show how to use the solution of the inverse scattering problem found in the previous section to give a formal scheme for solving the initial-value problem for the KdV equation with initial data from the class (1.2).

Suppose first that our initial-value problem has a solution $q(x, t)$ satisfying (1.3) for each $t > 0$. Then all considerations from the previous section apply to the operator $L_q(t)$ if we consider t as an additional parameter. In particular, there are time-dependent transformation operators with kernels $K_{\pm}(x, y, t)$ satisfying the estimates

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{l+n}}{\partial x^l \partial y^n} K_{\pm}(x, y, t) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\pm}(m, n, l, t)}{|x+y|^m}, \quad x, y \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad l, n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{n+l+1}}{\partial x^n \partial y^l \partial t} K_{\pm}(x, y, t) \right| \leq \frac{C_{\pm}(m, n, l, t)}{|x+y|^m}, \quad x, y \rightarrow \pm\infty, \quad l, n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

These estimates follows from the fact that the kernels $D_{\pm}(x, y, s, r, t)$ of the time-dependent equations (4.4) are smooth with respect to all variables, and each partial derivative is uniformly bounded with respect to $x, y, s, r, t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, the Jost solutions

$$(5.3) \quad \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \pm \int_x^{\pm\infty} K_{\pm}(x, y, t) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) dy,$$

are also differentiable with respect to t and satisfy

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty,$$

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

By Lemma 2.2 we know that the functions $P_q(t)\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$ solves the equation $L_q(t)u = \lambda u$. Asymptotics (5.4) and (5.5) show, that

$$P_q(t)\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t)\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t),$$

where $\beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ is the same factor as in $P_{\pm}(t)\psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t)\psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$. From Lemma 2.5 we obtain then

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ be defined by (3.24) and let $q(x, t)$ be a solution of the KdV equation satisfying (1.2). Then the functions*

$$(5.6) \quad \hat{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = e^{\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)} \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$$

solve the system (2.5), (2.6).

Before we proceed further we note that equation (3.33) implies

Corollary 5.2. *The function $\hat{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$, defined by formula (5.6), have simple poles on the set $M_{\pm}(0)$, square root singularities on the set $\hat{M}_{\pm}(0)$, and no other singularities.*

Next, consider the time-dependent scattering relations

$$(5.7) \quad T_{\mp}(\lambda, t)\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \overline{\phi_{\mp}(\lambda, x, t)} + R_{\mp}(\lambda, t)\phi_{\mp}(\lambda, x, t), \quad \lambda \in \sigma_{\mp}^{u,1}.$$

Then, using the previous lemma in combination with Lemma 2.6 to evaluate (4.12) we infer

Lemma 5.3. *Let $q(x, t)$ be a solution of the KdV equation satisfying (1.2). Then $\lambda_k(t) = \lambda_k(0) \equiv \lambda_k$;*

$$(5.8) \quad R_{\pm}(\lambda, t) = R_{\pm}(\lambda, 0)e^{\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)}, \quad \lambda \in \sigma_{\pm},$$

$$(5.9) \quad T_{\mp}(\lambda, t) = T_{\mp}(\lambda, 0)e^{\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_{\mp}(\lambda, t)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(5.10) \quad (\gamma_k^{\pm}(t))^2 = (\gamma_k^{\pm}(0))^2 \frac{\delta_{\pm}^2(\lambda_k, 0)}{\delta_{\pm}^2(\lambda_k, t)} e^{2\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda_k, t)},$$

where $\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$, $\check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$, $\delta_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ are defined in (3.24), (3.28), (3.31), respectively.

Proof. First of all set $\hat{W}(\lambda, t) = \hat{\delta}_{+}(\lambda, t)\hat{\delta}_{-}(\lambda, t)W(\lambda, t)$ (recall (4.14)). Then, since $W(\hat{\phi}_{-}(\lambda, t), \hat{\phi}_{+}(\lambda, t))$ does not depend on t by Lemma 2.6, it follows from (4.14) and (3.33) that

$$(5.11) \quad f(\lambda, t)\hat{W}(\lambda, t) = \hat{W}(\lambda, 0), \quad f(\lambda, t) = f_{-}(\lambda, t)f_{+}(\lambda, t) \neq 0.$$

This implies, that the discrete spectrum of the operator $L(t)$, which is the set of zeros of the function $\hat{W}(\lambda, t)$ on the set $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma$, does not depend on t .

Similarly, if we replace the functions ϕ_{\pm} by $\hat{\phi}_{\pm}$ in all Wronskians of formulas (4.12), the result will be a constant with respect to t . Together with (5.6) it implies (5.8) and (5.9). To obtain (5.10) we set $\check{\phi}(\lambda, x, t) = \delta_{\pm}(\lambda, 0)\hat{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$ (which is continuous near λ_k) and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t)^2 dx &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t) \partial_t \check{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t) P_q(t) \check{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t) dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since P_q is skew-adjoint and $\check{\phi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t)$ is real-valued. Note that interchanging differentiation and integration is permissible by the dominated convergence theorem (recall that the quasimoments $\theta_{\pm}(\lambda)$ are independent of t). Thus, (4.9) and (4.10) imply

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta_{\pm}(\lambda_k, 0) e^{\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda_k, t)}}{\delta_{\pm}(\lambda_k, t) \gamma_k^{\pm}(t)} = 0,$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Hence the solution $q(x, t)$ can be computed from the time-dependent scattering data as follows. Construct one of the functions $F_{+}(x, y, t)$ or $F_{-}(x, y, t)$ via

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{\pm}(x, y, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\sigma_{\pm}} R_{\pm}(\lambda, t) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) g_{\pm}(\lambda, t) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_{\mp}^{(1),u}} |T_{\mp}(\lambda, t)|^2 \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) g_{\mp}(\lambda, t) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^p (\gamma_k^{\pm}(t))^2 \check{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t) \check{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, y, t). \end{aligned}$$

Solve the corresponding GLM equation

$$(5.13) \quad K_{\pm}(x, y, t) + F_{\pm}(x, y, t) \pm \int_x^{\pm\infty} K_{\pm}(x, s, t)F_{\pm}(s, y, t)ds = 0, \quad \pm y > \pm x,$$

and obtain the solution by

$$(5.14) \quad q(x, t) = \mp 2 \frac{d}{dx} K_{\pm}(x, x, t) + p_{\pm}(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 4.4 guarantees, that both formulas give one and the same solution.

Up to now we have assumed that $q(x, t)$ is a solution the KdV equation satisfying (1.2). Now we can get rid of this assumption. We will proceed as follows. Suppose the initial condition $q(x)$ satisfies (1.2) with some finite-gap potential $p_{\pm}(x)$. Consider the corresponding scattering data $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(0)$ which obey conditions **I–IV**. Let $p_{\pm}(x, t)$ be the finite-gap solution of the KdV equation with initial condition $p_{\pm}(x)$ and let $m_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$, $\psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$, and $\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ be the corresponding quantities as in Section 3.

Introduce the set of scattering data $\mathcal{S}(t)$, where $R_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$, $T_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ and $\gamma_k^{\pm}(t)$ are defined by formulas (5.8)–(5.10). In the next section we prove, that these data satisfies conditions **I–III**, and the functions $F_{\pm}(x, y, t)$, defined via (5.12), satisfy **IV** under the assumption that the respective bands of the spectra σ_{\pm} either coincide or otherwise do not intersect at all, that is

$$(5.15) \quad \sigma^{(2)} \cap \sigma_{\pm}^{(1)} = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_+^{(1)} \cap \sigma_-^{(1)} = \emptyset.$$

The typical situation is depicted in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. Typical mutual locations of σ_- and σ_+ .

Then Theorem 5.3 from [9] ensures the unique solvability for each of the GLM equations (5.13) with the solutions $K_{\pm}(x, y, t)$ that satisfy the estimate of type (5.1). Moreover, since $F_{\pm}(x, y, t)$ are differentiable with respect to t with (4.28) valid for this derivative, then (4.26) implies (5.2). Consequently, the function $q(x, t)$, defined by formula (5.14), has a continuous derivative with respect to t and satisfies (1.3) and

$$(5.16) \quad \pm \int_0^{\pm\infty} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (q(x, t) - p_{\pm}(x, t)) \right| (1 + |x|^m) dx < \infty.$$

Moreover, the functions $\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$, defined via (5.3), solve equation (2.5) with $q(x, t)$, defined by (5.14). To prove, that this $q(x, t)$ solves the KdV equation, we will apply Corollary 2.3 as follows.

Since $\phi_+(\lambda, x, t)$ and $\phi_-(\lambda, x, t)$ are independent for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ but a finite number of values, it is sufficient to check that both functions $(\mathcal{A}_q \phi_{\pm})(\lambda, x, t)$ solve (2.5), where \mathcal{A}_q is defined by (2.4) with $q(x, t)$ from (5.14). But due to (5.3) and the estimates (5.1), (5.2) we have (5.4) and (5.5). This implies one should show that

$$(5.17) \quad (\mathcal{A}_q \phi_{\pm})(\lambda, x, t) = \beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t) \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t),$$

for some $\beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$. Letting $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ in (5.17) and comparing with

$$(5.18) \quad (\mathcal{A}_{p_{\pm}}\psi_{\pm})(\lambda, x, t) = -\frac{\partial\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)}{\partial t}\psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$$

(which is evident from Lemma 3.2), gives

$$(5.19) \quad \beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t) = -\frac{\partial\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t)}{\partial t} = -2(p_{\pm}(0, t) + 2\lambda)m_{\pm}(\lambda, t) + \frac{\partial p_{\pm}(0, t)}{\partial x}.$$

Finally, as already pointed out before, (5.17) is equivalent to the KdV equation for $q(x, t)$ by Corollary 2.3. Equality (5.17) will be proved in the next section.

6. JUSTIFICATION OF THE INVERSE SCATTERING TRANSFORM

Our first task is to check, that if $\mathcal{S}(0)$ satisfies **I–III**, then the time-dependent scattering data $\mathcal{S}(t)$, defined by (5.8)–(5.10) satisfy the same conditions (with $g_{\pm}(\lambda) = g_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$). Properties **I**, **(a)–(f)** are straightforward to check. Using

$$(6.1) \quad g_{\pm}(\lambda, t) = g_{\pm}(\lambda, 0)e^{\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) + \tilde{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)},$$

which follows from (3.4) and (3.32), we see that $W(\lambda, t)$ defined as in (4.14) satisfies

$$(6.2) \quad W(\lambda, t) = W(\lambda, 0)e^{-\alpha_{-}(\lambda, t) - \alpha_{+}(\lambda, t)}.$$

Hence Lemma 3.3 implies that properties **II**, **(a)** and **(b)** hold.

Property **III**, **(a)** is evident, and property **III**, **(b)** follows from (3.34). In summary,

Lemma 6.1. *Let the set $\mathcal{S}(0)$ satisfy properties **I–III** and let the set $\mathcal{S}(t)$ be defined by (5.8)–(5.10). Then the set $\mathcal{S}(t)$ satisfies **I–III** with $g_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ defined by (6.1).*

Now substitute formulas (5.8)–(5.10), (3.23), (3.32), and (6.1) into (5.12), then we obtain the following representation for the kernels of GLM equations

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{\pm}(x, y, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\sigma_{\pm}} R_{\pm}(\lambda, 0) \hat{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \hat{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) g_{\pm}(\lambda, 0) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma_{\mp}^{(1), u}} |T_{\mp}(\lambda, 0)|^2 \hat{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \hat{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) g_{\mp}(\lambda, 0) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^p (\gamma_k^{\pm}(0))^2 \tilde{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, x, t) \tilde{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda_k, y, t), \end{aligned}$$

where the functions

$$(6.4) \quad \tilde{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) := \delta_{\pm}(\lambda, 0) \hat{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$$

are well-defined (bounded, continuous) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\pm}$. Recall that the functions $\hat{\psi}_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$ inherit all singularities from the functions $\psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, 0)$, that is, they have simple poles on the set $M_{\pm}(0)$, square-root singularities on the set $\tilde{M}_{\pm}(0)$, and no other singularities. Therefore, formula (6.3) consists of three well-defined summands, the singularities of the integrands are integrable (cf. [9, Sect. 5]), and it remains to verify **IV**.

Due to our assumption (5.15) the second and third summands in (6.3) (or (5.12)) satisfies **IV** for all m and n , and hence we only need to investigate the first summand

in (5.12). To this end, we use (3.6)–(3.8) to obtain the representation

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\pm,R}(x, y, t) &:= 2 \operatorname{Re} \int_{\sigma_{\pm}^u} R_{\pm}(\lambda, t) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) \psi_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) \frac{g_{\pm}(\lambda, t)}{2\pi i} d\lambda \\ (6.5) \quad &= \operatorname{Re} \int_0^{\infty} e^{\pm i(x+y)\theta_{\pm}} \rho_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}, x, y, t) d\theta_{\pm}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.6) \quad \rho_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}, x, y, t) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}, x, y, t) e^{\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)} R_{\pm}(\lambda, 0),$$

$$(6.7) \quad \Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}, x, y, t) := u_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) u_{\pm}(\lambda, y, t) \prod_{j=1}^{r_{\pm}} \frac{\lambda - \mu_j^{\pm}(t)}{\lambda - \zeta_j^{\pm}},$$

and $\lambda = \lambda(\theta_{\pm})$. We will integrate (6.5) by parts m times for arbitrary m . Since the integrand is not continuous for $\theta_{\pm} \in [0, \infty)$, we regard this integral as

$$(6.8) \quad F_{\pm,R}(x, y, t) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{k=0}^{r_{\pm}} \int_{\theta_{\pm}(E_{2k}^{\pm})}^{\theta_{\pm}(E_{2k+1}^{\pm})} e^{\pm i(x+y)\theta} \rho_{\pm}(\theta, x, y, t) d\theta,$$

where we set $E_{2r_{\pm}+1}^{\pm} = +\infty$ for notational convenience. Then the boundary terms during integration by parts will be

$$(6.9) \quad \operatorname{Re} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \frac{e^{\pm i\theta_{\pm}(E)(x+y)} \frac{\partial^s \rho_{\pm}(\lambda(\theta_{\pm}), x, y, t)}{\partial \theta_{\pm}^s}}{(i(x+y))^{s+1}}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, E \in \partial\sigma_{\pm},$$

and we will prove that they vanish for all $s=0,1,\dots$

Lemma 6.2. *Let $E \in \partial\sigma_{\pm}$. The following limits exists for all $s = 0, 1, \dots$ and take real or pure imaginary values:*

$$(6.10) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E, \lambda \in \sigma_{\pm}} \frac{d^s}{d\theta_{\pm}^s} R_{\pm}(\lambda(\theta_{\pm}), 0) \in i^s \mathbb{R},$$

$$(6.11) \quad e^{\pm i\theta_{\pm}(E)(x+y)} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \frac{\partial^s}{\partial \theta_{\pm}^s} \Psi_{\pm}(\theta_{\pm}, x, y, t) \in i^s \mathbb{R},$$

$$(6.12) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \frac{\partial^s}{\partial \theta_{\pm}^s} \exp\{\alpha_{\pm}(\lambda, t) - \check{\alpha}_{\pm}(\lambda, t)\} \in i^s \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same for $+$ and $-$ cases, we will give it for $+$ case and omit the sign $+$ in notations, except of notation for spectrum σ_+ .

Let ε be a positive value smaller than the minimal length of all bands in σ_+ and abbreviate

$$\mathcal{O}(E) = (E - \varepsilon, E + \varepsilon) \cap \sigma_+.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}(E) = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}(E), \mathbb{R})$$

be the class of all functions $f(\lambda)$ which are smooth and real-valued on $\mathcal{O}(E)$ and let

$$\mathcal{G}(E) = \{f_1(\lambda) + i \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} f_2(\lambda) \mid f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}(E)\}.$$

From (3.8) we see that $\frac{d\lambda}{d\theta}$ is a real-valued and bounded function on the set σ_+ and $\frac{d\lambda}{d\theta}(E) = 0$. This function is smooth with respect to θ on the set $\mathcal{O}(E)$. From (3.7) we conclude, that

$$(6.13) \quad \frac{d^2\lambda}{d\theta^2} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{iY^{1/2}(\lambda)}{\prod(\lambda - \zeta_j)} \right) \frac{iY^{1/2}(\lambda)}{\prod(\lambda - \zeta_j)} \in \mathcal{F}(E) \text{ and } \left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} \right)^2 \in \mathcal{F}(E).$$

In particular, the last two formulas imply that $\mathcal{G}(E)$ is an algebra. Moreover, from (6.13) it follows, that

$$(6.14) \quad \frac{d^{2k}\lambda}{d\theta^{2k}}(E) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \frac{d^{2k+1}\lambda}{d\theta^{2k+1}}(E) = 0.$$

Now let

$$(6.15) \quad g(\lambda) = f_1(\lambda) + i\frac{d\lambda}{d\theta}f_2(\lambda) \in \mathcal{G}(E),$$

then (6.13) shows that

$$(6.16) \quad \frac{dg(\lambda)}{d\theta} = i \left(\frac{df_2}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} \right)^2 + f_2 \frac{d^2\lambda}{d\theta^2} - i \frac{df_1}{d\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} \right) \in i\mathcal{G}(E).$$

Hence (6.15) and (6.16) imply

$$(6.17) \quad \frac{d^s g}{d\theta^s}(E) \in i^s \mathbb{R}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where the values are to be understood as limits at E from within the spectrum. In particular, for any $f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(E)$,

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{d^{2k}f}{d\theta^{2k}}(E) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \frac{d^{2k+1}f}{d\theta^{2k+1}}(E) = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

The idea of the proof of (6.10) and (6.11) is to write $R(\lambda, 0)$ and

$$(6.19) \quad \hat{\Psi}(\theta, x, y, t) := \psi(\lambda, x, t)\psi(\lambda, y, t) \prod_{j=1}^r \frac{\lambda - \mu_j(t)}{\lambda - \zeta_j}$$

in the form (6.15). We start with $\hat{\Psi}(\theta)$ (where x, y, t play the role of parameters). From (3.16), (3.10), (3.12), and (3.13) we see, that the function $\frac{H(\lambda, 0, t)}{G(\lambda, 0, t)}$ is a holomorphic function in a vicinity of E even if $\mu_j(t) = E$. Thus,

$$(6.20) \quad \frac{H(\lambda, 0, t)}{G(\lambda, 0, t)} \in \mathcal{F}(E).$$

Since $\zeta_j \in (E_{2j-1}, E_{2j})$, then $\prod(\lambda - \zeta_j)^{-1} \in \mathcal{F}$. Also $s(\lambda, x, t), c(\lambda, x, t) \in \mathcal{F}(E)$. Using in (6.19) the representations (3.1), (3.15), and (3.16) we conclude that the function $\hat{\Psi}(\theta, x, y, t)$ admits a representation of the type (6.15). Therefore

$$(6.21) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \frac{\partial^s}{\partial \theta^s} \hat{\Psi}(\theta, x, y, t) \in i^s \mathbb{R}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots$$

Note that in this formula it is in fact irrelevant from what side the limit is taken.

Now consider the function $\Psi(\lambda, x, y, t)$ defined by formula (6.7). As is known (cf. [2], [23]) for each t and λ this function is a quasiperiodic bounded function with respect to x and y . Therefore, if its derivatives with respect to the quasimomentum

variable exist, then they will be bounded with respect to x and y . Taking into account (6.21) we obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \frac{\partial^s}{\partial \theta^s} \Psi(\theta, x, y, t) = U_s(E, x, y, t) e^{-i\theta(E)(x+y)},$$

where $U_s(E, x, y, t) \in i^s \mathbb{R}$, $s = 0, 1, \dots$, are functions which are bounded with respect to $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ for each t . This proves (6.7). Note that $e^{-i\theta(E)(x+y)}$ has modulus one, but it is in general not real-valued.

To prove (6.10) we will distinguish the resonant and nonresonant cases. We start with nonresonant case $\hat{W}(E, t) \neq 0$ (cf. **II**, **(b)**) and note that by (5.11) this is independent of t .

Suppose, that $E \in \partial\sigma_+ \cap \partial\sigma^{(2)}$ is a left edge of the spectrum σ , that is,

$$(6.22) \quad E = E_{2j}^+ = E_{2k}^-.$$

Consider the reflection coefficient $R_+(\lambda, 0)$, defined by formula (4.12) and let $\theta := \theta_+$. Suppose, that $\mu_j^+(0) \neq E$, $\mu_k^-(0) \neq E$. Then from (3.1), (6.20), (4.3), (4.8), (1.2), and (3.8) we see, that the Jost solution $\phi_+(\lambda, x)$ plus its derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi_+(\lambda, x)$ is in $\mathcal{G}(E)$. Moreover, by (3.8) and (6.22)

$$\frac{d\theta_+}{d\theta_-} = \frac{d\theta_+}{d\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta_-} \in \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda - E_{2k}^-)(\lambda - E_{2k+1}^-)}}{\sqrt{(\lambda - E_{2j}^-)(\lambda - E_{2j+1}^-)}} \mathcal{F}(E) = \mathcal{F}(E).$$

Therefore, the same is true for $\phi_-(\lambda, x)$ and hence we also have

$$\mathbf{W}(\phi_-(\lambda), \phi_+(\lambda)), \mathbf{W}(\phi_-(\lambda), \overline{\phi_+(\lambda)}) \in \mathcal{G}(E)$$

Since $\mathbf{W}(\phi_-, \phi_+)(E) \neq 0$ we conclude $R_+(\lambda, 0) \in \mathcal{G}(E)$ and (6.10) is proven in this case.

If $\mu_j^+(0) \neq E$ but $\mu_k^-(0) = E$ we replace $\phi_-(\lambda, x)$ by

$$\phi_-^{(1)}(\lambda, x) := i \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} \phi_-(\lambda, x)$$

which is in $\mathcal{G}(E)$ and proceed as before (observe that the extra factor cancels in the definition of $R_+(\lambda, 0)$). The cases $\mu_j^+(0) = E$, $\mu_k^-(0) \neq E$ and $\mu_j^+(0) = \mu_k^-(0) = E$ can be handled similarly.

In the nonresonant case, when $E \in \partial\sigma_+^{(1)} \cap \partial\sigma$ the consideration are even simpler, because in this case (cf. (4.9)) $\tilde{\phi}_-(\lambda, x) \in \mathcal{F}(E)$. We assume $\mu_j^+(0) \neq E$, if $\mu_j^+(0) = E$ one only needs to replace $\phi_+(\lambda)$ by $\phi_-^{(1)}(\lambda)$ as pointed out before. Thus

$$(6.23) \quad R_+(\lambda, 0) = \frac{f_1(\lambda) + i \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} f_2(\lambda)}{f_3(\lambda) + i \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} f_4(\lambda)}, \quad \text{where } f_i(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(E), i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

This finishes the proof of formula (6.10) in the nonresonant case, because in this case we have $f_3(E) \neq 0$ and, therefore $R_+(\lambda, 0) \in \mathcal{G}(E)$.

In the resonance case we have $\hat{W}(E) = 0$ but $\frac{d\hat{W}}{d\theta}(E) \neq 0$ (cf. **II**, **(b)**). Hence we have (6.23) with $f_1(E) = f_3(E) = 0$ and $f_4(E) \neq 0$. Let us show that the derivative of the right-hand side of (6.23) satisfies

$$(6.24) \quad \frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{f_1(\lambda) + i \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} f_2(\lambda)}{f_3(\lambda) + i \frac{d\lambda}{d\theta} f_4(\lambda)} \in i\mathcal{G}(E).$$

Namely, denote by dot the derivative with respect to θ and by prime - with respect to λ . Then

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{g_1(\lambda) + i\dot{\lambda}g_2(\lambda)}{g_3(\lambda) + i\dot{\lambda}g_4(\lambda)} = i \left(\ddot{\lambda}(g_2g_3 - g_4g_1) + (\dot{\lambda})^2(g'_1g_4 - g'_3g_2 + g'_2g_3 - g'_4g_1) + i\dot{\lambda}(g'_3g_1 - g'_1g_3 + (\dot{\lambda})^2(g'_2g_4 - g'_4g_2)) \right) \left(-(\dot{\lambda})^2 g_4^2 + g_3^2 + i\dot{\lambda}(2g_4g_3) \right)^{-1}.$$

Functions g_1, g_3 and $(\dot{\lambda})^2$ have zeros of the first order with respect to λ at the point E and $g_4(E)\dot{\lambda}(E) \neq 0$. It means, that we can divide nominator and denominator in the r.h.s. of the last equality by $(\dot{\lambda})^2$ and using (6.13) we arrive at (6.24). The last one implies (6.10) for $s \geq 1$. To prove the remaining case $s = 0$ we have to check that $R_+(E, 0) \in \mathbb{R}$ in the resonance case. Since the nominator and denominator in (6.23) vanishes,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} R_+(\lambda, 0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \frac{(f'_1 + if_2)\dot{\lambda} + i\ddot{\lambda}f_2}{(f'_3 + if_4)\dot{\lambda} + i\ddot{\lambda}f_4} = \frac{f_2(E)}{f_4(E)} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

this completes the proof of (6.10).

To prove (6.12) we use the same approach. Again the prove will be done for the + case. From (3.34) it follows, that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow E} \exp(\alpha_+(\lambda, t) - \overline{\alpha_+}(\lambda, t)) \in \mathbb{R},$$

therefore it suffices to show that for

$$h(\lambda) := (\alpha_+(\lambda, t) - \overline{\alpha_+}(\lambda, t))$$

the derivative $\dot{h}(\lambda) = \frac{dh}{d\theta}$ satisfies

$$(6.25) \quad \dot{h}(\lambda) = if(\lambda), \quad f(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(E).$$

To simplify notations, we will omit sign + until the end of this lemma.

Suppose first, that

$$(6.26) \quad \mu_j(t) \neq E = E_{2j}, \quad \mu_j(0) \neq E$$

Let $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N < t$ be the set of points, where $\mu_j(t_k) = E$. Choose $\delta > 0$ so small, that

$$\mu_j(E \pm \delta) > \max\{\mu_j(0), \mu_j(t), (E_{2j-1} + E)/2\}.$$

Denote

$$\Delta = [0, t] \setminus \cup_{k=1}^N (t_k - \delta, t_k + \delta).$$

Let $\lambda > E$ be a point in the spectrum, close to E . Then for $s \in \Delta$ $|\mu_j(s) - \lambda| > \text{const}(E) > 0$ we have (see (3.35))

$$(6.27) \quad 4Y^{1/2}(\lambda) \int_{\Delta} \frac{p_{\pm}(0, s) + 2\lambda}{G_{\pm}(\lambda, s)} ds = i\dot{\lambda}f_1(\lambda), \quad f_1 \in \mathcal{F}(E).$$

On the remaining set we use the representations (3.44) and (3.45). Proceeding as in (3.46) we obtain

$$(6.28) \quad 4Y^{1/2}(\lambda) \int_{t_k-\delta}^{t_k} \frac{p_+(0, s) + 2\lambda}{G_+(\lambda, s)} ds = -\sigma_j i \left(\arctan \frac{\sqrt{E - \mu_j(t_k - \delta)}}{\sqrt{\lambda - E}} \right) + \sqrt{\lambda - E} \int_{t_k-\delta}^{t_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \check{G}_j(\xi_j(s, \lambda), s) ds, \quad \sigma_j \in \{-1, 1\},$$

where $\xi(\lambda, s) \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ such that $\mu_j(t_k - \delta) \leq \xi(\lambda, s) \leq \lambda$ for $t_k - \delta \leq s \leq t_k$. Furthermore, note that the function

$$\check{G}(\xi, s) = \frac{Y^{1/2}(\xi)}{\sqrt{\xi - E} \prod_{l \neq j} (\xi - \mu_l)}$$

is smooth with respect to ξ in the domain $\mu_j(t_k - \delta) \leq \xi \leq \lambda$ and takes pure imaginary values there. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{1/2}(\xi) &\in i\mathbb{R}, \quad \sqrt{\xi - E} \in \mathbb{R} && \text{for } E \leq \xi \leq \lambda, \\ Y^{1/2}(\xi) &\in \mathbb{R}, \quad \sqrt{\xi - E} \in i\mathbb{R} && \text{for } \mu_j(t_k - \delta) \leq \xi \leq E. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(6.29) \quad \frac{\partial^s \check{G}(\xi, s)}{\partial \xi^s} \in i\mathbb{R} \quad \text{for } \mu_j(t_k - \delta) \leq \xi \leq \lambda, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots$$

The same considerations show

$$(6.30) \quad \sqrt{\lambda - E} = \dot{\lambda} f_2(\lambda) \quad \text{where } f_2(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(E), f_2(E) \neq 0.$$

Combining this with (6.29) we obtain

$$\sqrt{\lambda - E} \int_{t_k - \delta}^{t_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \check{G}_j(\xi_j(s, \lambda), s) ds = i \dot{\lambda} f_3(\lambda), \quad f_3(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(E).$$

Thus

$$(6.31) \quad \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\sqrt{\lambda - E} \int_{t_k - \delta}^{t_k} \check{G}'_j(\xi_j(s, \lambda), s) ds \right) = i f_4(\lambda), \quad f_4(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(E).$$

Using (6.30) one can also represent the argument of arctan in the first summand of (6.28) as $\frac{f_5(\lambda)}{\lambda}$, where $f_5(\lambda) \in \mathcal{F}(E)$ and $f_5(E) \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$(6.32) \quad -\sigma_j i \frac{d}{d\theta} \left(\arctan \frac{\sqrt{E - \mu_j(t_k - \delta)}}{\sqrt{\lambda - E}} \right) = -\sigma_j i \frac{f'_5(\dot{\lambda})^2 - \ddot{\lambda} f_5}{(\dot{\lambda})^2 + f_5^2} \in i\mathcal{F}(E).$$

The same is valid for the interval $(t_k, t_k + \delta)$. Combining (6.27), (6.31), and (6.32) we obtain (6.25). These considerations also show that the restriction (6.26) is unessential. \square

Our next goal is to prove formula (5.17). Since for any solution of the equation $L_v(t)u = \lambda u$ the equality $\mathcal{A}_v u = u_t - P_v(t)u$ is valid, it suffices to prove the following

Lemma 6.3. *Let $K_{\pm}(x, y, t)$ be the solutions of the GLM equations (5.13) with the kernels (5.12), corresponding to the scattering data (5.8)–(5.10). Let the functions $\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$ be defined by (5.3) and let $q(x, t)$ be defined by (5.14). Then $\phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t)$ satisfy*

$$(6.33) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - P_q(t) \right) \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t) = \beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t) \phi_{\pm}(\lambda, x, t),$$

where $\beta_{\pm}(\lambda, t)$ is defined by (5.19).

Proof. As before we prove this lemma only for the + case. To simplify notations, set $P = P_q(t)$, $P_0 = P_+(t)$, $\phi = \phi_+(\lambda, x, t)$, $\psi = \psi_+(\lambda, x, t)$, $p = p_+$,

$$(\mathcal{K}f)(x, t) = \int_x^{+\infty} K_+(x, y, t) f(y, t) dy$$

$$(6.34) \quad (\dot{K}f)(x, t) = \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_+(x, y, t) f(y, t) dy,$$

and denote by a dot the derivative with respect to t and by a prime the derivative with respect to spatial variables. Moreover, we will omit the variable t whenever it is possible and use the notations

$$D_{x^l y^m}(x) := \left(\frac{\partial^l}{\partial x^l} + \frac{\partial^m}{\partial y^m} \right) D(x, y)|_{y=x}, \quad D_{x^0 y^0}(x) = D(x).$$

Since $\dot{\psi} - P_0\psi = \beta\psi$, then

$$(6.35) \quad \dot{\phi} - P\phi = \beta\phi + (P_0 - P)\psi + \dot{K}\psi + \mathcal{K}P_0\psi - PK\psi.$$

Differentiating the last term and integrating by parts gives

$$(6.36) \quad \begin{aligned} (PK\psi)(x) &= \{-2(q'(x) - p'(x)) + 4K_{xy}(x) + 8K_{x^2}(x) - 6q(x)K(x)\} \psi(x) \\ &\quad - \{4(q(x) - p(x)) - 4K_x(x)\} \psi'(x) + 4K(x)\psi''(x) + \\ &\quad + \int_x^\infty (-4K_{x^3}(x, y) + 6q(x)K_x(x, y) + 3q'(x)K(x, y)) \psi(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.37) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{K}P_0\psi)(x) &= (4K_{y^2}(x) - 6K(x)p(x)) \psi(x) - 4K_y(x)\psi'(x) + 4K(x)\psi''(x) \\ &\quad + \int_x^\infty (4K_{y^3}(x, y) - 6K_y(x, y)p(y) - 3K(x, y)p'(y)) \psi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Besides,

$$(6.38) \quad (P - P_0)\psi(x) = 6(q(x) - p(x))\psi'(x) + 3(q'(x) - p'(x))\psi(x).$$

Combining (6.34)–(6.38) and taking into account the formula (cf. [20])

$$(6.39) \quad -K_{xx}(x, y) + q(x)K(x, y) = -K_{yy}(x, y) + p(y)K(x, y),$$

where we put $x = y$, we arrive at the representation

$$(6.40) \quad (\dot{\phi} - P\phi - \beta\phi)(x) = A(x)\psi(x) + B(x)\psi'(x) + \int_x^\infty (\tau^{xy}K(x, y))\psi(y)dy = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= p'(x) - q'(x) - 2K_{x^2}(x) - 4K_{xy}(x) - 2K_{y^2}(x), \\ B(x) &= 2(p(x) - q(x)) - 4(K_x(x) + K_y(x)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.41) \quad \tau^{xy} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_q^x + \tau_p^y, \quad \tau_q^x := 4\frac{\partial^3}{\partial x^3} - 6q(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 3q'(x).$$

But according to (5.14)

$$(6.42) \quad p(x) - q(x) = 2K_x(x) + 2K_y(x), \quad p'(x) - q'(x) = 2K_{x^2}(x) + 4K_{xy}(x) + 2K_{y^2}(x),$$

and therefore, $A(x) = B(x) = 0$. Thus, to prove (6.33) one has to check, that

$$(6.43) \quad \begin{aligned} D(x, y) := \tau^{xy}K(x, y) &= K_t(x, y) + 4K_{y^3}(x, y) + 4K_{x^3}(x, y) - 6q(x)K_x(x, y) \\ &\quad - 6p(y)K_y(x, y) - 3q'(x)K(x, y) - 3p'(y)K(x, y) \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

To this end, let us derive an equation for the function $F = F_+(x, y, t)$, defined by formula (5.12). This function can be represented (see (6.3)) as

$$F(x, y, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\psi}(\lambda, x, t) \hat{\psi}(\lambda, y, t) d\rho(\lambda),$$

where the measure

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho(\lambda) = & \left(\frac{1}{\pi i} R_+(\lambda, 0) g_+(\lambda, 0) \chi_{\sigma_+^y}(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} |T_-(\lambda, 0)|^2 g_-(\lambda, 0) \chi_{\sigma_-^{(1)}}(\lambda) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_k (\gamma_k^+)^2 (0) \delta(\lambda - \lambda_k) \delta_+(\lambda_k, 0)^2 \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

does not depend on t . Using (3.26) we conclude, that

$$(6.44) \quad \tau_0^{xy} F(x, y) = 0, \quad \tau_0^{xy} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \tau_p^x + \tau_p^y.$$

Now set $V(x) = q(x) - p(x)$ and apply the operator τ^{xy} to the GLM equation (5.13). Taking into account (6.43), (6.44) and the equality

$$\tau^{xy} - \tau_0^{xy} = -6V(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 3V'(x)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) = & \int_x^\infty \{K(x, s) \tau_p^s [F(s, y)] - K_t(x, s) F(s, y)\} ds \\ & - \tau_q^x \left[\int_x^\infty K(x, s) F(s, y) ds \right] + 6V(x) F_x(x, y) + 3V'(x) F(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(6.45) \quad D(x, y) + \int_x^\infty D(x, s) F(s, y) ds = r(x, y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (6.46) \quad r(x, y) = & \int_x^\infty \{ \tau_p^s [K(x, s)] F(s, y) + K(x, s) \tau_p^s [F(s, y)] \} ds + \\ & + \int_x^\infty \tau_q^x [K(x, s)] F(s, y) ds - \tau_q^x \left[\int_x^\infty K(x, s) F(s, y) ds \right] + \\ & + 6V(x) F_x(x, y) + 3V'(x) F(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

It is proved in [9], that the equation $D(x, y) + \int_x^\infty D(x, s) F(s, y) ds = 0$, where x plays the role of a parameter, has only the trivial solution in the space $L^1(x, \infty)$. Since the function $D(x, \cdot)$ evidently belongs to this space, then to prove (6.43) it is sufficient to prove that $r(x, y) = 0$.

Taking into account, that $V(x) = -2 \frac{d}{dx} K(x, x)$, direct computations imply

$$\begin{aligned} (6.47) \quad & \int_x^\infty \tau_q^x [K(x, s)] F(s, y) ds - \tau_q^x \left[\int_x^\infty K(x, s) F(s, y) ds \right] + \\ & + 6V(x) F_x(x, y) + 3V'(x) F(x, y) = 4K(x, x) F_{x^2}(x, y) + \\ & + 4K_x(x, x) F_x(x, y) + 8K_{x^2}(x, x) F(x, y) + 4K_{xy}(x, x) F(x, y) + \\ & + V'(x) F(x, y) + 2V(x) F_x(x, y) - 6q(x) K(x, x) F(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

From the other side, integration by parts gives

$$(6.48) \quad \int_x^\infty \{ \tau_p^s [K(x, s)] F(s, y) + K(x, s) \tau_p^s [F(s, y)] \} ds =$$

$$= -4 \{K_{s^2}(x, s)F(x, y) + K(x, x)F_{s^2}(s, y) - K_s(x, s)F_s(s, y)\} |_{s=x} + \\ + 6p(x)K(x, x)F(x, y).$$

Substituting last to formulas to (6.46) gives

$$r(x, y) = F_x(x, y)(4K_x(x, x) + 4K_y(x, x) + 2V(x)) + \\ + F(x, y) (-6V(x)K(x, x) + 8K_{x^2}(x, x) + 4K_{xy}(x, x) - 4K_{y^2}(x, x) + V'(x)).$$

Taking into account (6.42) we obtain

$$r(x, y) = F(x, y) (-6V(x)K(x, x) + 6K_{x^2}(x, x) - 6K_{y^2}(x, x)),$$

and (6.39) implies $r(x, y) = 0$. \square

Acknowledgments. We are very grateful to V.A. Marchenko and E.Ya. Khruslov for helpful discussions. I.E. gratefully acknowledge the extraordinary hospitality of the Faculty of Mathematics at the University of Vienna during extended stays 2008–2009, where parts of this paper were written.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. B. Baranetskii, V. P. Kotlyarov, *Asymptotic behavior in a back front domain of the solution of the KdV equation with a “step type” initial condition*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz., **126**, no. 2, 214–227 (2001).
- [2] E. D. Belokolos, A. I. Bobenko, V. Z. Enolskii, A. R. Its, and V. B. Matveev, *Algebro Geometric Approach to Nonlinear Integrable Equations*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [3] R.F. Bikbaev, *Time asymptotics of the solution of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with boundary conditions of “step-like” type*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz., **81:1**, 3–11 (1989).
- [4] R.F. Bikbaev, *Structure of a shock wave in the theory of the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Lett. A **141:5-6**, 289–293 (1989).
- [5] R.F. Bikbaev and R. A. Sharipov, *The asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in a class of potentials with finite-gap behavior as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$* , Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **78:3**, 345–356 (1989).
- [6] I. N. Bondareva, *The Korteweg-de Vries equation in classes of increasing functions with prescribed asymptotic behavior as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$* , Mat. USSR Sb. **50:1**, 125–135 (1985).
- [7] I. Bondareva and M. Shubin, *Increasing asymptotic solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and its higher analogues*, Sov. Math. Dokl. **26:3**, 716–719 (1982).
- [8] A. Boutet de Monvel and I. Egorova, *The Toda lattice with step-like initial data. Soliton asymptotics*, Inverse Problems **16:4**, 955–977 (2000).
- [9] A. Boutet de Monvel, I. Egorova, and G. Teschl, *Inverse scattering theory for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with steplike finite-gap potentials*, J. d’Analyse Math. **106:1**, 271–316, (2008).
- [10] V. S. Buslaev and V. N. Fomin, *An inverse scattering problem for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation on the entire axis*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. **17:1**, 56–64 (1962).
- [11] A. Cohen, *Solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation with steplike initial profile*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **9:8**, 751–806 (1984).
- [12] A. Cohen and T. Kappeler, *Solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries equation with initial profile in $L^1_N(R) \cap L^1_N(R^+)$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18:4**, 991–1025 (1987).
- [13] P. Deift, S. Kamvissis, T. Kriecherbauer, and X. Zhou, *The Toda rarefaction problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **49**, no. 1, 35–83 (1996).
- [14] W. Eckhaus and A. Van Harten, *The Inverse Scattering Transformation and Solitons: An Introduction*, Math. Studies 50, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
- [15] I. Egorova and G. Teschl, *On the Cauchy Problem for the Korteweg-de Vries Equation with Steplike Finite-Gap Initial Data II. Perturbations with finite moments*, in preparation.
- [16] I. Egorova, J. Michor, and G. Teschl, *Inverse scattering transform for the Toda hierarchy with quasi-periodic background*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135**, 1817–1827 (2007).
- [17] V. D. Ermakova, *The inverse scattering problem on the whole axis for the Schrödinger equation with nondecreasing potential of special form*, Vestnik Khar’kov. Univ. **230**, 50–60 (1982).

- [18] V. D. Ermakova, *The asymptotics of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation with nondecreasing initial data of special type*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A **7**, 3–6 (1982).
- [19] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [20] N. E. Firsova, *An inverse scattering problem for the perturbed Hill operator*, Mat. Zametki **18:6**, 831–843 (1975).
- [21] N. E. Firsova, *Solution of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation with initial data that are the sum of a periodic and a rapidly decreasing function*, Math. USSR-Sb. **63:1**, 257–265 (1989).
- [22] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Green, M. D. Kruskal, R. M. Miura, *Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **19**, 1095–1097 (1967).
- [23] F. Gesztesy and H. Holden, *Soliton Equations and Their Algebraic-Geometric Solutions. Volume I: (1+1)-Dimensional Continuous Models.*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 79, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [24] F. Gesztesy, R. Ratnaseelan, and G. Teschl, *The KdV hierarchy and associated trace formulas*, in “*Proceedings of the International Conference on Applications of Operator Theory*”, (eds. I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, and P. N. Shivakumar), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 87, Birkhäuser, Basel, 125–163 (1996).
- [25] A. R. Its and A. F. Ustinov, *Time asymptotics of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with boundary conditions of finite density type*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **291:1**, 91–95 (1986).
- [26] S. Kamvissis, *On the Toda shock problem*, Physica D **65**, 242–266 (1993).
- [27] S. Kamvissis and G. Teschl, *Stability of periodic soliton equations under short range perturbations*, Phys. Lett. A, **364:6**, 480–483 (2007).
- [28] S. Kamvissis and G. Teschl, *Stability of the periodic Toda lattice under short range perturbations*, arXiv:0705.0346.
- [29] S. Kamvissis and G. Teschl, *Stability of the periodic Toda lattice: Higher order asymptotics*, arXiv:0805.3847.
- [30] T. Kappeler, *Solutions of the Korteweg de Vries equation with steplike initial data*, J. Differential Equations **63:3**, 306–331 (1986).
- [31] T. Kappeler, P. Perry, M. Shubin and P. Topalov, *Solutions of mKdV in classes of functions unbounded at infinity*, J. Geom. Anal. **18**, 443–477 (2008).
- [32] E. Ya. Khruslov, *Asymptotics of the Cauchy problem solution to the KdV equation with step-like initial data* Matem.sborn. **99**, 261–281 (1976).
- [33] E. Ya. Khruslov and V. P. Kotlyarov, *Soliton asymptotics of nondecreasing solutions of nonlinear completely integrable evolution equations*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics **19**, 129–181 (1994).
- [34] E. Ya. Khruslov and V. P. Kotlyarov, *Time asymptotics of the solution of the Cauchy problem for the modified Korteweg- de Vries equation with nondecreasing initial data*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Ser. A ,**10**, 61–64 (1986).
- [35] E. Ya. Khruslov and V. P. Kotlyarov *Solitons of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which are generated by the continuous spectrum*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz., **68:2**, 172–186 (1986).
- [36] E. Ya. Khruslov and H. Stephan, *Splitting of some nonlocalized solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation into solitons*, Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. **5:1-2**, 49–67 (1998).
- [37] H. Krüger and G. Teschl, *Long-time asymptotics for the periodic Toda lattice in the soliton region*, arXiv:0807.0244.
- [38] E. A. Kuznetsov and A. V. Mikhailov, *Stability of stationary waves in nonlinear weakly dispersive media*, Soviet Phys. JETP **40:5**, 855–859 (1975).
- [39] P. D. Lax, *Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., **7**, 159–193 (1968).
- [40] B.M. Levitan, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems*, VNU Science Press, Utrecht, 1987.
- [41] V. A. Marchenko, *Sturm-Liouville Operators and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986.
- [42] S. P. Novikov, S. V. Manakov, L. P. Pitaevskii, and V. E. Zakharov, *Theory of Solitons. The Inverse Scattering Method*, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [43] V. Yu. Novokshenov, *Time asymptotics for soliton equations in problems with step initial conditions*, J. Math. Sci. **125:5**, 717–749 (2005).

- [44] S. Venakides, P. Deift, and R. Oba, *The Toda shock problem*, Comm. in Pure and Applied Math. **44**, 1171–1242 (1991).

B.VERKIN INSTITUTE FOR LOW TEMPERATURE PHYSICS, 47 LENIN AVENUE, 61103 KHARKIV,
UKRAINE

E-mail address: iraegorova@gmail.com

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, NORDBERGSTRASSE 15, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA

E-mail address: katrin.grunert@univie.ac.at

URL: <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~grunert/>

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, NORDBERGSTRASSE 15, 1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA, AND INTERNATIONAL
ERWIN SCHRÖDINGER INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS, BOLTZMANNGASSE 9, 1090 WIEN,
AUSTRIA

E-mail address: Gerald.Teschl@univie.ac.at

URL: <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~gerald/>