ASYMMETRY AND SPIN-ORBIT EFFECTS IN BINDING ENERGY IN THE EFFECTIVE NUCLEAR SURFACE APPROXIMATION

A.G. Magner^{*}, A.I. Sanzhur, A.M. Gzhebinsky Institute for Nuclear Research, Kyiv 03680, Ukraine

January 2, 2019

Abstract

Isoscalar and isovector particle densities are derived analytically by using the approximation of a sharp edged nucleus within the local energy density approach with the proton-neutron asymmetry and spin-orbit effects. Equations for the effective nuclear-surface shapes as collective variables are derived up to the higher order corrections in the form of the macroscopic boundary conditions. The analytical expressions for the isoscalar and isovector tension coefficients of the nuclear surface binding energy and the finite-size corrections to the β stability line are obtained.

1 Introduction

The simple and accurate solution of some problems involving the particle density distributions uses the nuclear effective surface (ES) approximation[1, 2, 3, 4]. It exploits the property of saturation of the nuclear matter and a narrow diffuse-edge region in finite nuclei. The ES is defined as the location of points of the density gradient maximum. The coordinate system related locally to the ES is specified by a distance ξ from the given point to the surface and tangent coordinate η (see Fig. 1). The variational condition of the nuclear energy minimum at fixed other integrals of motion within the local energy density theory, in particular, the extended Thomas-Fermi (ETF) approach[5, 6] is simplified much in the ξ, η coordinates for any deformations by using expansion in small parameter $a/R \sim A^{-1/3} \ll 1$ for heavy enough nuclei (a is the diffuse edge thickness of the nucleus, and R its mean curvature radius). The accuracy of the ES approximation in the ETF approach was checked[4] by comparing results of the Hartree-Fock (HF) and ETF theories based on Skyrme forces[5, 7] without spin-orbit and asymmetry terms. Within the ES approximation a rather reasonable agreement of the calculations with the experimental data on a mean particle-number dependence on the excitation energies and reduced transition probabilities of the low-lying collective states of non-magic nuclei was found[8]. In the present work, we extend the ES approach[4] taking into account the spin-orbit and the asymmetry effects in nuclei.

^{*}magner@kinr.kiev.ua



Fig. 1: ES and local ξ, η coordinates. The profile function $y = Y(\eta)$ in cylindrical y, z coordinates is shown schematically by thick solid curve [1, 3]; a is the parameter of the nuclear diffuse edge.

2 LOCAL ENERGY DENSITY AND CONSTRAINTS

We begin with the nuclear energy E within a local energy functional approach [5, 7]:

$$E = \int d\mathbf{r} \, \mathcal{E}[\rho_{+}(\mathbf{r}), \rho_{-}(\mathbf{r})], \qquad \mathcal{E}(\rho_{+}, \rho_{-}) \approx -b_{v}\rho_{+} + \frac{b_{sym}}{2}\mathcal{X}^{2}\rho_{+} + \frac{e}{4}(1-\mathcal{X})\overline{\Phi}\rho_{+} + \rho_{+}[\varepsilon_{+}(\rho_{+}) - \varepsilon_{-}] + \left(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\rho_{+} + \frac{\Gamma}{4\rho_{+}}\right)(\nabla\rho_{+})^{2} + \mathcal{A}_{-}(\nabla\rho_{-})^{2}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{E}[\rho_{+}(\mathbf{r}), \rho_{-}(\mathbf{r})]$ is the energy density as a function of the isoscalar ρ_{+} and isovector ρ_{-} particle densities. It overlaps approximately most of the realistic Skyrme forces[7]. $\rho_{\pm} = \rho_n \pm \rho_p$, $\mathcal{X} = (N-Z)/A$, $N = \int d\mathbf{r}\rho_n$, $Z = \int d\mathbf{r}\rho_p$, A = N + Z, Φ is the Coulomb potential and $\overline{\Phi}$ is its average up to a small exchange component [1, 2, 10]. As usually, \mathcal{E} of (1) contains the volume, and the surface terms without and with the gradient density terms [1, 3, 4], $b_v = 16$ MeV is the separation energy per particle and $b_{sym}=60$ MeV is the symmetry energy constant of the nuclear matter. The semiclassical \hbar corrections appear through $\Gamma = \hbar^2/18m$ in the ETF kinetic energy density[5, 6], m is the nucleon mass. In (1), we have neglected relatively small isovector (spin-orbit and semiclassical) corrections. The isoscalar surface energy density part, independent of the density gradient terms, is determined by the function $\varepsilon_+(\rho_+)$ which satisfies the saturation condition:

$$\varepsilon_{+}(\overline{\rho}) = 0, \qquad d\varepsilon_{+}(\overline{\rho})/d\rho_{+} = 0,$$
(2)

where $\overline{\rho} = 3/4\pi r_0^3 \approx 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ is the density of the infinite nuclear matter, $r_0 = R/A^{1/3}$ is constant independent of A. For the isovector component one has

$$\varepsilon_{-} = \frac{b_{sym}}{2} \left(\mathcal{X}^2 - \rho_{-}^2 / \rho_{+}^2 \right) - \frac{e}{4} \left[\left(1 - \rho_{-} / \rho_{+} \right) \Phi - \left(1 - \mathcal{X} \right) \overline{\Phi} \right].$$
(3)

The spin-orbit gradient terms in (1) are defined with a constant: $\mathcal{B} = -9mW_0^2/16\hbar^2$, $W_0=100-130$ MeV fm⁵ (see refs. 5,7).

From the condition of the energy E (1) minimum together with the constraints for the fixed particle number A, neutron excess N - Z, and deformation Q of the nucleus [1, 2, 9]:

$$A = \int d\mathbf{r} \ \rho_{+}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad N - Z = \int d\mathbf{r} \ \rho_{-}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad Q = \int d\mathbf{r} \ \rho_{+}(\mathbf{r}) \ q(\mathbf{r}), \tag{4}$$

one arrives at the variational Lagrange equations:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho_+} - \lambda_+ - \lambda_Q \ q = 0, \qquad \qquad \frac{\delta \mathcal{E}}{\delta \rho_-} - \lambda_- = 0. \tag{5}$$

Here, λ_+ , λ_- and λ_Q are the corresponding Lagrange multipliers where λ_+ and λ_- are the isoscalar and isovector chemical potentials, respectively.

3 ISOSCALAR AND ISOVECTOR PARTICLE DENSITIES

In the nuclear volume, up to the second order in $\rho_+ - \overline{\rho}$ one gets [1, 3, 4]:

$$\varepsilon_{+}(\rho_{+}) = \frac{K}{18\,\overline{\rho}^{2}}\left(\rho_{+} - \overline{\rho}\right)^{2}, \qquad \epsilon(w) = \frac{\varepsilon_{+}}{b_{v}} = (1 - w)^{2}, \qquad w = \frac{\rho_{+}}{\overline{\rho}}.$$
(6)

where K is the incompressibility of the infinite nuclear matter. From the Lagrange equations (5) one finds for the volume densities $\rho_{+}^{(v)}$:

$$\rho_{+}^{(v)} \approx \overline{\rho} \left(1 + \frac{9\Lambda_{tot}^{(+)}}{K} \right), \qquad \rho_{-}^{(v)} \approx \overline{\rho} \left(\mathcal{X} + \frac{\Lambda_{tot}^{(-)}}{b_{sym}} \right).$$
(7)

Small finite-size corrections of the order of $a/R \sim aH$ (*H* is a mean ES curvature) are determined by the surface components of the corresponding chemical potentials:

$$\Lambda_{tot}^{(+)} = \lambda_{+} + b_{v} - \frac{b_{sym}}{2} \mathcal{X}^{2} - \frac{e}{4} \overline{\Phi} + \lambda_{Q} q(\mathbf{r}) \sim aH \sim a/R \sim A^{-1/3},$$

$$\Lambda_{tot}^{(-)} = \lambda_{-} - b_{sym} \mathcal{X} + \frac{e}{4} \overline{\Phi} \sim aH.$$
(8)

For the dimensionless isoscalar density w(x) (6), from the first equation (5), up to the leading order in a/R one obtains the ordinary first-order differential equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{\mathrm{d}x} = -w \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon(w)}{w + \beta w^2 + \gamma}}, \qquad \qquad x = \frac{\xi}{a}, \qquad a = \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{A}\,\overline{\rho}\,K}{18\,b_v^2}},\tag{9}$$

where $\beta = \mathcal{B}\overline{\rho}/\mathcal{A}$, $\gamma = \Gamma/4\overline{\rho}\mathcal{A}$. By differentiating equation (9) one finds the boundary condition from the definition of the ES: $\partial^2 w/\partial x^2 = 0$ at x = 0 ($\xi = 0$),

$$\left(w_0 - \beta w_0^2 - \gamma\right)\epsilon(w_0) + w_0\left(w_0 + \beta w_0^2 + \gamma\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon(w)}{\mathrm{d}w}\right)_{w=w_0} = 0,\tag{10}$$

together with the condition of the exponentially vanishing the density outside the nucleus: $w \sim \exp(-x) = \exp(-\xi/a)$. Solving the problem (9), (10), one arrives at the solution in the inverse form x(w):

$$x = -\int_{w_0}^{w} \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\tau} \sqrt{\frac{\tau + \beta\tau^2 + \gamma}{\epsilon(\tau)}}.$$
(11)

With the quadratic approximation (6) for $\epsilon(w)$ one gets the analytical solutions in terms of the algebraic, trigonometric and logarithmic functions. For $\beta = \gamma = 0$ it simplifies to $w(x) = \tanh^2 [(x - x_0)/2]$ for $x \leq x_0 = 2 \arctan(1/\sqrt{3})$ and zero for x outside the nucleus[4]. In Fig. 2 (left) the influence of the semiclassical correction to w(x) is shown by comparing the $\gamma = 0$ (dashed line) and the "exact" (thin solid line) cases. This correction is small everywhere, besides the quantum tail outside the nucleus for $x \gtrsim 1$. Almost the same results one obtains for the SkM^{*} and SLy7 forces[7]. One should also notice a rather big effect of the spin-orbit interaction as compared to the simplest analytical solution at $\beta = \gamma = 0$. We found also a good convergence of the expansion of the $\epsilon(w)$ in powers of 1 - w in the density solution (11) by comparing the exact numerical function[7] $\epsilon(w)$ to its approximate solution (6). The agreement is within a precision of the line thickness. Fig. 2 (right) presents a weak sensitivity of the isoscalar solution w(x) (11) on the different Skyrme forces with (6) for $\epsilon(w)$.



Fig. 2: Density w(x) (11) (left) as a function of $x = \xi/a$ and its comparison (right) for several Skyrme forces[7] (n = 5-7,230a and 230b in SLyn) for $\epsilon(w)$ (6).

For the isovector density up to the leading order in a/R, after simple transformations one finds the equation and the boundary condition in the form

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}w_{-}}{\mathrm{d}w} = c_{sym} \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta w}{\epsilon(w)}} \sqrt{1-\frac{w_{-}^{2}}{w^{2}}}, \qquad w_{-}(1) = 1, \qquad w_{-} = \frac{\rho_{-}}{\rho_{-}^{(v)}} \approx \frac{\rho_{-}}{\overline{\rho}\mathcal{X}}, \tag{12}$$

where $c_{sym} = a \sqrt{-b_{sym}/2\rho} A_{-}$. Up to the leading order of the ES approximation in a/R, one obtains the analytical solution through the expansion in powers of 1 - w,

$$w_{-} = w \cos[u(w)], \qquad u(w) = \frac{1 - w}{c_{sym}\sqrt{1 + \beta}} \left[1 + \frac{1 - w}{c_{sym}(1 + \beta) + \beta/2} \right].$$
(13)

The dependence of the dimensionless isovector density $w_{-}(x)$ (13) on the semiclassical and spin-orbit effects versus the corresponding results for the density w(x) (11) are shown in Fig. 3 (left). A weak sensitivity of the dimensionless isovector density w_{-} on the choice of the Skyrme forces is seen in Fig. 3 (right).

4 ES EQUATIONS AND LDM BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

For more exact isoscalar particle density we calculate the main terms of higher order in the parameter a/R in the first equation (5). Integrating this equation over the ES in normal-to-surface ξ direction and using the equation (9) up to the leading order in a/R, one arrives at the differential equation

$$P_{+}\Big|_{ES} = P_{s}^{(+)}, \qquad P_{+} = \overline{\rho} \Lambda_{tot}^{(+)} = \left\{ \frac{K}{2\overline{\rho}} \left(\rho_{+} - \overline{\rho}\right) \left[1 + \frac{3}{2\overline{\rho}} \left(\rho_{+} - \overline{\rho}\right) \right] + \frac{b_{sym}}{2\overline{\rho}^{2}} \left[\left(\rho_{-}\right)^{2} - \overline{\rho}^{2} \mathcal{X}^{2} \right] + \frac{e\overline{\rho}}{4} \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\rho_{+}} \left(\left(\rho_{+} - \rho_{-}\right)\Phi\right) - \overline{\Phi} \right] \right\}^{(v)}, \qquad P_{s}^{(+)} = 2\sigma_{+}H.$$

$$(14)$$

This equation can be considered with respect to the unknown sought profile shape $y = Y(\eta)$ of the ES in the cylindrical coordinates y, z with the symmetry axis z (see Fig. 1) through the curvature $H = (1/R_1 + 1/R_2)/2$ in terms of the main ES curvature radii[1, 3]

$$R_1 = \mathcal{L}Y(\eta), \qquad R_2 = -\mathcal{L}^3 / \left(\frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial \eta^2} \right), \qquad \mathcal{L} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{\partial Y(\eta)}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$
(15)



Fig. 3: Isovector density $w_{-}(x)$ (13) compared to the isoscalar one w(x) (11) as a function of $x = \xi/a$ within the approximation (6) to $\epsilon(w)$ calculated for the same SLy7 forces (left) and $w_{-}(x)$ for several Skyrme forces[7] (right).

Eq. (14) is associated with the macroscopic boundary condition[10, 11, 12] with the isoscalar capilliary surface pressure $P_s^{(+)}$ which is proportional to the surface tension coefficient σ_+ :

$$\sigma_{+} \approx 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\xi \left(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\rho_{+} + \frac{\Gamma}{4\rho_{+}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \rho_{+}}{\partial \xi} \right)^{2}.$$
 (16)

For more exact isovector particle density similarly one obtains the isovector macroscopic boundary condition[12],

$$P_{-}\Big|_{ES} = P_{s}^{(-)}, \qquad P_{-} = \overline{\rho} \mathcal{X} \Lambda_{tot}^{(-)} = \mathcal{X} \left[b_{sym} \left(\rho_{-} / \overline{\rho} - \mathcal{X} \right) - \frac{e}{4} \left(\Phi - \overline{\Phi} \right) \right]^{(v)}, \tag{17}$$

with the isovector surface pressure

$$P_s^{(-)} = 2\sigma_- H, \qquad \sigma_- \approx 2\mathcal{A}_- \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\xi \, \left(\frac{\partial\rho_-}{\partial\xi}\right)^2,$$
 (18)

where σ_{-} is the isovector tension coefficient.

5 SURFACE ENERGY

The nuclear energy $E = E_v + E_s$ (1) in the ES approximation is split into the volume, $E_v = -b_v A + b_{sym}(N-Z)^2/2A + eZ\overline{\Phi}/4$, and the surface terms:

$$E_s = \sigma \ S = \left(b_s^{(+)} + b_s^{(-)}\right) S/4\pi r_0^2, \qquad \sigma = \sigma_+ + \sigma_-, \qquad b_s^{(\pm)} = 4\pi r_0^2 \ \sigma_\pm, \tag{19}$$

where S is the surface area of the ES. The energy E_s (19) is determined by the sum of the isoscalar $b_s^{(+)}$ and isovector $b_s^{(-)}$ surface energy constants. These constants are proportional to the same tension coefficients σ_{\pm} which appear in (16) and (18) and expressed through the surface pressures in (14) and (18), respectively,

$$b_s^{(+)} = \frac{54ab_v^2}{Kr_0} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}w \; \sqrt{(w + \beta w^2 + \gamma) \epsilon(w)},\tag{20}$$

$$b_s^{(-)} = 108\alpha_- \mathcal{X}^2 \frac{ab_v^2}{Kr_0} \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}w \; \frac{\sqrt{w}(1-w)}{\sqrt{1+\beta w}} \; \left\{ \cos[u(w)] - w \, \sin[u(w)] \; u'(w) \right\}^2, \tag{21}$$

where $\alpha_{-} = \mathcal{A}_{-}/\mathcal{A}$ and see (13) for u(w). Simple expressions for constants (20) and (21) in terms of the algebraic and trigonometric functions can be easily obtained by calculating explicitly the integrals over w in the above equations with $\epsilon(w)$ taken from eq.(6). Neglecting relatively small spin-orbit terms and semiclassical corrections one finds the approximate relationship between the isovector and the isoscalar energy constants, $b_s^- \approx \alpha_- \mathcal{X}^2 b_s^+$. In Table 1 the analytical, $b_{s,an}^{(+)}$ with the approximated (6) for $\epsilon(w)$, the numerical, $b_{s,num}^{(+)}$ with the

In Table 1 the analytical, $b_{s,an}^{(+)}$ with the approximated (6) for $\epsilon(w)$, the numerical, $b_{s,num}^{(+)}$ with the exact $\epsilon(w)$, and $b_s^{(+)}$ from ref.7 are shown for all Skyrme forces. One can see a very good agreement between all these calculations, besides of SIII. Modula of the isovector constants for the Lyon Skyrme forces SLyn[7] are much larger than for other ones. The precision of the spin-orbit and semiclassical terms of the isovector energy density part (1) is not enough accurate for all considered Skyrme interactions as the isovector surface tension σ_{-} which appears in the surface energy (19) becomes inconsistent with that of the capilliary isovector pressure (17), (18). These terms can be improved by fitting to more detailed experimental information.

The β -stability line is determined by the equivalence of the neutron and proton chemical potentials, $\lambda_{-} = \lambda_n - \lambda_p = 0$, and $\Lambda_{tot}^{(-)} = 2b_s^{(-)}H/4\pi r_0^2 \overline{\rho} \mathcal{X}$, according to (8), (17) and (18). With the finite-size correction, one obtains $\mathcal{X} \approx \mathcal{X}_0 \left(1 - 2b_s^{(-)}r_0H/3b_{sym}\mathcal{X}_0^2\right)$, where $\mathcal{X}_0 \approx 3A^{2/3}e^2/10r_0b_{sym}$ is the leading term[10].

6 CONCLUSIONS

The asymmetry and spin-orbit terms of the energy density within the ETF with Skyrme forces were taken into account analytically by using expansion in $a/R \ll 1$ of the ES at any deformation. We

	$\rm SkM^*$	SIII	SGII	SLy230a	SLy230b	SLy4	SLy6	SLy7
$b_{s,an}^+$	17.1	11.8	15.3	17.3	17.5	17.5	17.5	15.9
$b_{s,num}^+$	18.5	11.6	16.5	18.5	18.7	18.7	18.7	17.0
b_s^+	16.0	17.0	14.8	16.9	16.7	18.1	17.4	17.0
b_{sym}	60.1	56.3	53.7	63.9	64.0	64.0	63.9	63.9
b_s^-/\mathcal{X}^2	-3.23	-3.72	-1.08	-7.61	-26.3	-26.3	-15.7	-10.5

Table 1: The isoscalar (20) and isovector (21) energy surface constants b_s^{\pm} with Skyrme parameters [7].

derived the ordinary first-order equations for the isoscalar and isovector particle densities giving simple analytical solutions. When higher order terms are taken into account one gets equations for the moving ES in terms of the macroscopic boundary conditions. Expressions for the isoscalar and isovector tension coefficients σ_{\pm} in the surface energy were found as those of the macroscopic capilliary pressures of the Fermi liquid edge in these boundary conditions. A simple approximate relation of $b_s^{(-)}$ to $b_s^{(+)}$ and the finite-size ES correction to the β -stability condition were obtained. Our approach might be helpful as a macroscopic part of the nuclear collective dynamical macro-micromodels [11, 14, 15] based on the ETF (or LDM) for a "macro" component[8], and the semi-microscopic approach of the Strutinsky shell correction method[6, 13] for study of the low-lying collective excitations and fission processes.

Acknowledgements

Authors thank Profs. V.M. Kolomietz, K. Pomorski, H.J. Krappe, P. Ring, V.O. Nesterenko, P. Danielewicz, J. Kvasil, and G. Colo for many useful discussions.

References

- [1] V.M. Strutinsky and A.S. Tyapin, Exp. Theor. Phys. (USSR) 18 664 (1964).
- [2] A.S. Tyapin, Sov.Journ.Nucl.Phys., 11, 401 (1970); 13, 32 (1971); 14, 50 (1972).
- [3] V.M. Strutinsky, A.G. Magner, M. Brack, Z. Phys. A 319, 205 (1984).
- [4] V.M. Strutinsky, A.G. Magner, and V. Yu. Denisov, Z. Phys., A 322 149 (1985).
- [5] M. Brack, G. Guet, and H.-B. Hakansson, Phys. Rep. 123 275 (1985).
- [6] M. Brack and R. K. Bhaduri, Semiclassical Physics (Addison-Wesley, Reading MA) 1997; 2nd edition (Westview Press, Boulder) 2003.
- [7] E. Chabanat et al., Nucl. Phys. A 627 710 (1997); 635 231 (1998).
- [8] A.M. Gzhebinsky, A.G. Magner, and S.N. Fedotkin, Phys. Rev. C 76 064315 (2007).
- [9] V.M. Strutinsky, N.Ya. Ljashtchenko, and N.A. Popov, Nucl. Phys. 46 171 (1963).
- [10] A. Bohr and B. Mottelson, Nuclear structure (W. A. Benjamin, New York) 1971, Vol.I and 1975, Vol. II.

- [11] A. G. Magner and V. M. Strutinsky, Z. Phys. A 324, 633 (1985).
- [12] V. M. Kolomietz, A. G. Magner, and S. Shlomo, Phys. Rev. C 73, 024312 (2006).
- [13] M. Brack et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 44, 320 (1972).
- [14] A.G. Magner, A.M. Gzhebinsky and S. N. Fedotkin, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 70, 647, 1859 (2007).
- [15] V.M. Strutinsky, A.G. Magner, and M. Brack, Z. Phys., A 316 217 (1984).