

Entanglement in open quantum dynamics

Aurelian Isar

National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, P.O.Box MG-6,
Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

E-mail: isar@theory.nipne.ro

Abstract. In the framework of the theory of open systems based on completely positive quantum dynamical semigroups, we give a description of the continuous-variable entanglement for a system consisting of two independent harmonic oscillators interacting with a general environment. Using Peres-Simon necessary and sufficient criterion for separability of two-mode Gaussian states, we describe the generation and evolution of entanglement in terms of the covariance matrix for an arbitrary Gaussian input state. For some values of diffusion and dissipation coefficients describing the environment, the state keeps for all times its initial type: separable or entangled. In other cases, entanglement generation or entanglement collapse (entanglement sudden death) take place or even a periodic collapse and revival of entanglement. We show that for certain classes of environments the initial state evolves asymptotically to an entangled equilibrium bipartite state, while for other values of the coefficients describing the environment, the asymptotic state is separable. We calculate also the logarithmic negativity characterizing the degree of entanglement of the asymptotic state.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Yz, 03.67.Bg, 03.67.Mn

1. Introduction

When two systems are immersed in an environment, then, besides and at the same time with the quantum decoherence phenomenon, the environment can also generate a quantum entanglement of the two systems [1, 2]. In certain circumstances, the environment enhances the entanglement and in others it suppresses the entanglement and the state describing the two systems becomes separable. The structure of the environment may be such that not only the two systems become entangled, but also such that the entanglement is maintained for a definite time or a certain amount of entanglement survives in the asymptotic long-time regime.

In the present paper we investigate, in the framework of the theory of open systems based on completely positive quantum dynamical semigroups, the dynamics of the continuous-variable entanglement for a subsystem composed of two identical harmonic oscillators interacting with an environment. We are interested in discussing the correlation effect of the environment, therefore we assume that the two systems are independent, i.e. they do not interact directly. The initial state of the subsystem

is taken of Gaussian form and the evolution under the quantum dynamical semigroup assures the preservation in time of the Gaussian form of the state.

The organizing of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we write and solve the equations of motion in the Heisenberg picture for two independent harmonic oscillators interacting with a general environment. Then, by using the Peres-Simon necessary and sufficient condition for separability of two-mode Gaussian states [3, 4], we investigate in Sec. 3 the dynamics of entanglement for the considered subsystem. In particular, with the help of the asymptotic covariance matrix, we determine the behaviour of the entanglement in the limit of long times. We show that for certain classes of environments the initial state evolves asymptotically to an equilibrium state which is entangled, while for other values of the parameters describing the environment, the entanglement is suppressed and the asymptotic state is separable. A summary is given in Sec. 4

2. Equations of motion for two independent harmonic oscillators

We study the dynamics of the subsystem composed of two identical non-interacting oscillators in weak interaction with an environment. In the axiomatic formalism based on completely positive quantum dynamical semigroups, the irreversible time evolution of an open system is described by the following general quantum Markovian master equation for an operator A (Heisenberg representation) [5, 6]:

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, A(t)] + \frac{1}{2\hbar} \sum_j (V_j^\dagger [A(t), V_j] + [V_j^\dagger, A(t)] V_j). \quad (1)$$

Here, H denotes the Hamiltonian of the open system and the operators V_j, V_j^\dagger , defined on the Hilbert space of H , represent the interaction of the open system with the environment. Being interested in the set of Gaussian states, we introduce such quantum dynamical semigroups that preserve this set. Therefore H is taken to be a polynomial of second degree in the coordinates x, y and momenta p_x, p_y of the two quantum oscillators and V_j, V_j^\dagger are taken polynomials of first degree in these canonical observables. Then in the linear space spanned by the coordinates and momenta there exist only four linearly independent operators $V_{j=1,2,3,4}$ [7] :

$$V_j = a_{xj}p_x + a_{yj}p_y + b_{xj}x + b_{yj}y, \quad (2)$$

where $a_{xj}, a_{yj}, b_{xj}, b_{yj} \in \mathbf{C}$. The Hamiltonian H of the two uncoupled identical harmonic oscillators of mass m and frequency ω is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}(p_x^2 + p_y^2) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}(x^2 + y^2). \quad (3)$$

The fact that the evolution is given by a dynamical semigroup implies the positivity of the following matrix formed by the scalar products of the four vectors $\mathbf{a}_x, \mathbf{b}_x, \mathbf{a}_y, \mathbf{b}_y$ whose entries are the components $a_{xj}, b_{xj}, a_{yj}, b_{yj}$, respectively:

$$\frac{1}{2\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbf{a}_x \mathbf{a}_x) & (\mathbf{a}_x \mathbf{b}_x) & (\mathbf{a}_x \mathbf{a}_y) & (\mathbf{a}_x \mathbf{b}_y) \\ (\mathbf{b}_x \mathbf{a}_x) & (\mathbf{b}_x \mathbf{b}_x) & (\mathbf{b}_x \mathbf{a}_y) & (\mathbf{b}_x \mathbf{b}_y) \\ (\mathbf{a}_y \mathbf{a}_x) & (\mathbf{a}_y \mathbf{b}_x) & (\mathbf{a}_y \mathbf{a}_y) & (\mathbf{a}_y \mathbf{b}_y) \\ (\mathbf{b}_y \mathbf{a}_x) & (\mathbf{b}_y \mathbf{b}_x) & (\mathbf{b}_y \mathbf{a}_y) & (\mathbf{b}_y \mathbf{b}_y) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

We take this matrix of the following form, where all coefficients D_{xx}, D_{xp_x}, \dots and λ are real quantities (we put, for simplicity, $\hbar = 1$):

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & -D_{xp_x} - i\lambda/2 & D_{xy} & -D_{xp_y} \\ -D_{xp_x} + i\lambda/2 & D_{p_x p_x} & -D_{yp_x} & D_{p_x p_y} \\ D_{xy} & -D_{yp_x} & D_{yy} & -D_{yp_y} - i\lambda/2 \\ -D_{xp_y} & D_{p_x p_y} & -D_{yp_y} + i\lambda/2 & D_{p_y p_y} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

It follows that the principal minors of this matrix are positive or zero. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality the following relations for the coefficients defined in Eq. (5) hold:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{xx}D_{p_x p_x} - D_{xp_x}^2 &\geq \frac{\lambda^2}{4}, \quad D_{yy}D_{p_y p_y} - D_{yp_y}^2 \geq \frac{\lambda^2}{4}, \\ D_{xx}D_{yy} - D_{xy}^2 &\geq 0, \quad D_{xx}D_{p_y p_y} - D_{xp_y}^2 \geq 0, \\ D_{yy}D_{p_x p_x} - D_{yp_x}^2 &\geq 0, \quad D_{p_x p_x}D_{p_y p_y} - D_{p_x p_y}^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The matrix of the coefficients (5) can be conveniently written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_3 \\ C_3^\dagger & C_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

in terms of 2×2 matrices $C_1 = C_1^\dagger$, $C_2 = C_2^\dagger$ and C_3 . This decomposition has a direct physical interpretation: the elements containing the diagonal contributions C_1 and C_2 represent diffusion and dissipation coefficients corresponding to the first, respectively the second, system in absence of the other, while the elements in C_3 represent environment generated couplings between the two, initially independent, oscillators.

We introduce the following 4×4 bimodal covariance matrix:

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{xp_x} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xp_y} \\ \sigma_{xp_x} & \sigma_{p_x p_x} & \sigma_{yp_x} & \sigma_{p_x p_y} \\ \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{yp_x} & \sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{yp_y} \\ \sigma_{xp_y} & \sigma_{p_x p_y} & \sigma_{yp_y} & \sigma_{p_y p_y} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

with the correlations of operators A_1 and A_2 , defined by using the density operator ρ , describing the initial state of the quantum system, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A_1 A_2}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\rho(A_1 A_2 + A_2 A_1)(t)) - \text{Tr}(\rho A_1(t)) \text{Tr}(\rho A_2(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By direct calculation we obtain [7] (T denotes the transposed matrix):

$$\frac{d\sigma(t)}{dt} = Y\sigma(t) + \sigma(t)Y^T + 2D, \quad (10)$$

where

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & 1/m & 0 & 0 \\ -m\omega^2 & -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda & 1/m \\ 0 & 0 & -m\omega^2 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xp_x} & D_{xy} & D_{xp_y} \\ D_{xp_x} & D_{p_x p_x} & D_{yp_x} & D_{p_x p_y} \\ D_{xy} & D_{yp_x} & D_{yy} & D_{yp_y} \\ D_{xp_y} & D_{p_x p_y} & D_{yp_y} & D_{p_y p_y} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

The time-dependent solution of Eq. (10) is given by [7]

$$\sigma(t) = M(t)(\sigma(0) - \sigma(\infty))M^T(t) + \sigma(\infty), \quad (13)$$

where the matrix $M(t) = \exp(Yt)$ has to fulfill the condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(t) = 0$. In order that this limit exists, Y must only have eigenvalues with negative real parts. The values at infinity are obtained from the equation [7]

$$Y\sigma(\infty) + \sigma(\infty)Y^T = -2D. \quad (14)$$

3. Dynamics of entanglement

The two-mode Gaussian state is entirely specified by its covariance matrix (8), which is a real, symmetric and positive matrix with the following block structure:

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^T & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where A , B and C are 2×2 matrices. Their entries are correlations of the canonical operators x, y, p_x, p_y , A and B denote the symmetric covariance matrices for the individual reduced one-mode states, while the matrix C contains the cross-correlations between modes. The elements of the covariance matrix depend on Y and D and can be calculated from Eqs. (13), (14). Since the two oscillators are identical, it is natural to consider environments for which the two diagonal submatrices in Eq. (7) are equal, $C_1 = C_2$, and the matrix C_3 is symmetric, so that in the following we take $D_{xx} = D_{yy}$, $D_{xp_x} = D_{yp_y}$, $D_{p_x p_x} = D_{p_y p_y}$, $D_{xp_y} = D_{yp_x}$. Then both unimodal covariance matrices are equal, $A = B$, and the entanglement matrix C is symmetric.

3.1. Asymptotic entanglement

First we analyze the existence of entanglement in the limit of large times. With the chosen coefficients, we obtain from Eq. (14) the following elements of the asymptotic entanglement matrix $C(\infty)$:

$$\sigma_{xy}(\infty) = \frac{m^2(2\lambda^2 + \omega^2)D_{xy} + 2m\lambda D_{xp_y} + D_{p_x p_y}}{2m^2\lambda(\lambda^2 + \omega^2)}, \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma_{xp_y}(\infty) = \sigma_{yp_x}(\infty) = \frac{-m^2\omega^2 D_{xy} + 2m\lambda D_{xp_y} + D_{p_x p_y}}{2m(\lambda^2 + \omega^2)}, \quad (17)$$

$$\sigma_{p_x p_y}(\infty) = \frac{m^2\omega^4 D_{xy} - 2m\omega^2\lambda D_{xp_y} + (2\lambda^2 + \omega^2)D_{p_x p_y}}{2\lambda(\lambda^2 + \omega^2)}. \quad (18)$$

The elements of matrices $A(\infty)$ and $B(\infty)$ are obtained by putting $x = y$ in the previous expressions. We calculate the determinant of the entanglement matrix and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \det C(\infty) &= \frac{1}{4\lambda^2(\lambda^2 + \omega^2)} \\ &\times [(m\omega^2 D_{xy} + \frac{1}{m} D_{p_x p_y})^2 + 4\lambda^2(D_{xy} D_{p_x p_y} - D_{xp_y}^2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

It is interesting that the general theory of open quantum systems allows couplings via the environment between uncoupled oscillators. According to the definitions of the environment parameters, the diffusion coefficients above can be different from zero and therefore can simulate an interaction between the uncoupled oscillators. Indeed, Gaussian states with $\det C \geq 0$ are separable states, but for $\det C < 0$ it may be possible that the asymptotic equilibrium states are entangled, as will be shown next.

On general grounds, one expects that the effects of decoherence, counteracting entanglement production, be dominant in the long-time regime, so that no quantum correlation (entanglement) is expected to be left at infinity. Nevertheless, there are situations in which the environment allows the presence of entangled asymptotic equilibrium states. In order to investigate whether an external environment can actually entangle the two independent systems, we use the partial transposition criterion [3, 4]: a state is entangled if and only if the operation of partial transposition does not preserve its positivity. For the particular case of Gaussian states, Simon [4] obtained the following necessary and sufficient criterion for separability: $S \geq 0$, where

$$S \equiv \det A \det B + \left(\frac{1}{4} - |\det C|\right)^2 - \text{Tr}[AJCJBJC^T J] - \frac{1}{4}(\det A + \det B) \quad (20)$$

and J is the 2×2 symplectic matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

In the following we consider an environment characterized by diffusion coefficients of the form $m^2\omega^2 D_{xx} = D_{p_x p_x}$, $D_{xp_x} = 0$, $m^2\omega^2 D_{xy} = D_{p_x p_y}$. This corresponds to the case when the asymptotic state is a Gibbs state [6]. Then Simon expression (20) takes the following form in the limit of large times:

$$S(\infty) = \left(\frac{m^2\omega^2(D_{xx}^2 - D_{xy}^2)}{\lambda^2} + \frac{D_{xp_y}^2}{\lambda^2 + \omega^2} - \frac{1}{4} \right)^2 - 4 \frac{m^2\omega^2 D_{xx}^2 D_{xp_y}^2}{\lambda^2(\lambda^2 + \omega^2)}. \quad (22)$$

For environments characterized by such coefficients that the expression $S(\infty)$ (22) is strictly negative, the asymptotic final state is entangled. In particular, for $D_{xy} = 0$ we obtain that $S(\infty) < 0$, i.e. the asymptotic final state is entangled, for the following range of values of the coefficient D_{xp_y} characterizing the environment [8, 9]:

$$\frac{m\omega D_{xx}}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{D_{xp_y}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \omega^2}} < \frac{m\omega D_{xx}}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}, \quad (23)$$

where the diffusion coefficient D_{xx} satisfies the condition $m\omega D_{xx}/\lambda \geq 1/2$, equivalent with the unimodal uncertainty relation. We remind that, according to inequalities (6), the coefficients have to fulfill also the constraint $D_{xx} \geq D_{xp_y}$. If the coefficients do not fulfill the inequalities (23), then $S(\infty) \geq 0$ and the asymptotic state of the considered system is separable.




Figure 1. Asymptotic logarithmic negativity $E(\infty)$ versus environment coefficients D and d . We have taken $m = \omega = \hbar = 1$ and $\lambda = 0.2$. According to inequalities (6), only the part of the plot corresponding to the constraint $D \geq d$ has a physical meaning.

3.2. Asymptotic logarithmic negativity

We apply the measure of entanglement based on negative eigenvalues of the partial transpose of the subsystem density matrix. For a Gaussian density operator, the negativity is completely defined by the symplectic spectrum of the partial transpose of the covariance matrix. The logarithmic negativity $E = -\frac{1}{2} \log_2[4f(\sigma)]$ determines the strength of entanglement for $E > 0$. If $E \leq 0$, then the state is separable. Here

$$f(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}(\det A + \det B) - \det C - \left(\left[\frac{1}{2}(\det A + \det B) - \det C \right]^2 - \det \sigma \right)^{1/2}. \quad (24)$$

In our case the asymptotic logarithmic negativity has the form

$$E(\infty) = -\log_2 \left[2 \left| \frac{m\omega D_{xx}}{\lambda} - \frac{D_{xpy}}{\sqrt{\lambda^2 + \omega^2}} \right| \right]. \quad (25)$$

It depends only on the diffusion and dissipation coefficients characterizing the environment and does not depend on the initial Gaussian state. As an example, in Figure 1 we represent the asymptotic logarithmic negativity $E(\infty)$ versus diffusion coefficients $D_{xx} \equiv D$ and $D_{xpy} \equiv d$. We notice that for some range of these coefficients, $E(\infty)$ takes strictly positive values, measuring the degree of entanglement of the corresponding asymptotic entangled states. Again, the coefficients have to fulfill the constraint $D_{xx} \geq D_{xpy}$, so that in Figure 1 only the part of the plot corresponding to $D \geq d$ has a physical meaning for our model.




Figure 2. Simon separability function S versus time t and environment coefficient d , for $\lambda = 0.5$, $D = 0.4$ and a separable initial Gaussian state with initial correlations $\sigma_{xx}(0) = 1$, $\sigma_{p_x p_x}(0) = 1/2$, $\sigma_{x p_x}(0) = \sigma_{xy}(0) = \sigma_{p_x p_y}(0) = \sigma_{x p_y}(0) = 0$. We have taken $m = \omega = \hbar = 1$.

3.3. Time evolution of entanglement

In order to describe the dynamics of entanglement, we have to analyze the time evolution of the Simon function (20). We consider separately the two cases, according to the type of the initial Gaussian state: separable or entangled.

1) To illustrate a possible generation of the entanglement, we represent in Figure 2 the function $S(t)$ versus time and diffusion coefficient $D_{x p_y} \equiv d$ for a separable initial Gaussian state with initial correlations $\sigma_{xx}(0) = 1$, $\sigma_{p_x p_x}(0) = 1/2$, $\sigma_{x p_x}(0) = \sigma_{xy}(0) = \sigma_{p_x p_y}(0) = \sigma_{x p_y}(0) = 0$. We notice that, according to Peres-Simon criterion, for relatively small absolute values of the coefficient d , the initial separable state remains separable for all times, while for larger absolute values of d , at some finite moment of time the state becomes entangled. In some cases the entanglement is only temporarily generated and the state becomes again separable after a certain moment of time. In other cases, namely for even larger absolute values of the coefficient d , the generated entangled state remains entangled forever, including the asymptotic final state.

2) The evolution of an entangled initial state is illustrated in Figure 3, where we represent the function $S(t)$ versus time and diffusion coefficient d for an initial entangled Gaussian state with initial correlations $\sigma_{xx}(0) = 1$, $\sigma_{p_x p_x}(0) = 1/2$, $\sigma_{x p_x}(0) = 0$, $\sigma_{xy}(0) = 1/2$, $\sigma_{p_x p_y}(0) = -1/2$, $\sigma_{x p_y}(0) = 0$. We notice that for relatively large absolute values of the coefficient d , the initial entangled state remains entangled for all




Figure 3. Same as in Figure 2, for an entangled initial Gaussian state with initial correlations $\sigma_{xx}(0) = 1$, $\sigma_{p_x p_x}(0) = 1/2$, $\sigma_{x p_x}(0) = 0$, $\sigma_{xy}(0) = 1/2$, $\sigma_{p_x p_y}(0) = -1/2$, $\sigma_{x p_y}(0) = 0$.

times, while for smaller absolute values of d , at some finite moment of time the state becomes separable. This is the well-known phenomenon of entanglement sudden death. Depending on the values of the coefficient d , it is also possible to have a repeated collapse and revival of the entanglement.

4. Summary

In the framework of the theory of open quantum systems based on completely positive quantum dynamical semigroups, we investigated the existence of the quantum entanglement for a subsystem composed of two uncoupled identical harmonic oscillators interacting with a general environment. By using the Peres-Simon necessary and sufficient condition for separability of two-mode Gaussian states, we have described the generation and evolution of entanglement in terms of the covariance matrix for an arbitrary Gaussian input state. For some values of diffusion and dissipation coefficients describing the environment, the state keeps for all times its initial type: separable or entangled. In other cases, entanglement generation or entanglement collapse (entanglement sudden death) take place or even one can notice a repeated collapse and revival of entanglement. We have also shown that, independent of the type of the initial state, for certain classes of environments the initial state evolves asymptotically to an equilibrium state which is entangled, while for other values of

the coefficients describing the environment, the asymptotic state is separable. We determined also the logarithmic negativity characterizing the degree of entanglement of the asymptotic state. The existence of quantum correlations between the two considered harmonic oscillators interacting with a common environment is the result of the competition between entanglement and quantum decoherence.

Due to the increased interest manifested towards the continuous-variable approach to quantum information theory, these results, in particular the possibility of maintaining a bipartite entanglement in a diffusive-dissipative environment for asymptotic long times, might be useful in controlling the entanglement in open systems and also for applications in quantum information processing and communication.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges the financial support received within the Project CEEEX 68/2005.

References

- [1] Benatti F and Floreanini R 2005 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **19** 3063
- [2] Benatti F and Floreanini R 2006 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** 2689
- [3] Peres A 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 1413
- [4] Simon R 2000 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84** 2726
- [5] Lindblad G 1976 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **48** 119
- [6] Isar A, Sandulescu A, Scutaru H, Stefanescu E and Scheid W 1994 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* **3** 635
- [7] Sandulescu A, Scutaru H and Scheid W 1987 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **20** 2121
- [8] Isar A 2007 *J. Russ. Laser Res.* **28** 439
- [9] Isar A 2008 *Int. J. Quantum Inf.* **6** 689