Teleportation via maximally and non-maximally entangled mixed states

S. Adhikari,^{*} A. S. Majumdar,[†] and N. Nayak[‡]

S. N. Bose National Centre of Basic Sciences, Salt lake, Kolkata 700098, India

S. Roy[§]

Techno India, Salt Lake City, Kolkata 700091, India

B. Ghosh[¶]

Metropolitan Institution (Main), 39, Sankar Ghosh Lane, Kolkata 700006, India

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

We study the efficacy of two-qubit mixed entangled states as resources for quantum teleportation. We first consider two maximally entangled mixed states, viz., the Werner state[1], and a class of states introduced by Munro *et al.* [2]. We show that the Werner state when used as teleportation channel, gives rise to better average teleportation fidelity compared to the latter class of states for any finite value of mixedness. We then introduce a non-maximally entangled mixed state obtained as a convex combination of a two-qubit entangled mixed state and a two-qubit separable mixed state. It is shown that such a teleportation channel can outperform another non-maximally entangled channed, viz., the Werner derivative for a certain range of mixedness. Further, there exists a range of parameter values where the former state satisfies a Bell-CHSH type inequality and still performs better as a teleportation channel compared to the Werner derivative even though the latter violates the inequality.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Bg, 03.65.Ud

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum teleportation is one of the most relevant applications of quantum information processing. Teleportation requires the separation of a protocol into classical and quantum parts using which it is possible to reconstruct an unknown input state with perfect fidelity at another location while destroying the original copy. The original idea of teleportation introduced by Bennett et al. [3] is implemented through a channel involving a pair of particles in a Bell State shared by the sender and the receiver. Later, Popescu [4] showed that pairs in a mixed state could be used for imperfect teleportation. Further, it has been shown that if the two distant parties adopt a "measure-and-prepare" strategy for teleporting an unknown quantum state, then the average fidelity of teleportation is at most 2/3 which is the maximum fidelity achievable by means of local operations and classical communications [4, 5]. A quantum channel would be beneficial for communication purposes if its teleportation fidelity exceeds 2/3.

In practice it is difficult to prepare pure states, but rather the states obtained are generally mixed in their characteristics. Naturally, a question arises as to whether better average teleportation fidelities compared to that in classical protocols could be obtained if mixed states were used in quantum communication purposes. Therefore, the basic objective is to look for such mixed states which when used as quantum teleportation channel, give fidelity of teleportation higher than the classical fidelity $\frac{2}{3}$. It has been found that Werner states [1] used as a quantum teleportation channel gives higher teleportation fidelity[6]. Recently, one of the authors have studied the mixed state obtained from the Buzek-Hillery cloning machine[7] as a teleportation channel [8].

Just as for pure entangled states, entangled mixed states can also be divided into two categories: (i) maximally entangled mixed states (MEMS) and (ii) nonmaximally entangled mixed states (NMEMS). Those states that achieve the greatest possible entanglement for a given mixedness are known as MEMS, otherwise they are NMEMS. The notion of MEMS was first introduced by Ishizaka and Hiroshima [9]. They proposed a class of bipartite mixed states and showed that entanglement of those states cannot be increased further by any unitary operations (e.g., the Werner state). Later, Munro et.al. (MJWK)[2] studied a class of states which has the maximum amount of entanglement for a given degree of purity and derived an analytical form for that class of MEMS. Apart from maximally entangled mixed states, there are also NMEMS which can be studied for some particular interest. Hiroshima and Ishizaka [10] studied a NMEMS called Werner derivative which can be obtained by applying a unitary transformation on the Werner state.

The motivation for this work lies in performing a comparitive study of mixed states in their capacity to perform as efficient channels for quantum teleportation. We first explore the capability of the MJWK class of states [2] as teleportation channels by finding their average tele-

^{*}satyabrata@bose.res.in

[†]archan@bose.res.in

[‡]nayak@bose.res.in

[§]sovikr@rediffmail.com

[¶]biplab1976@gmail.com

portation fidelity. We show that Werner states always act as better teleportation channels for all finite values of mixedness, even though they are less entangled compared to MJWK states for a given entropy. We then focus on non-maximally entangled mixed states and probe a question: is there any family of NMEMS which outperforms existing NMEMS such as Werner derivative states[10] when used for quantum communication purposes ? To this end we construct a new entangled mixed state which is the convex combination of an entangled mixed state and a separable mixed state. Our state is NMEMS since it does not fall in the class of Ishizaka and Hiroshima's [9] MEMS. We show that this class of NMEMS can serve better as quantum channel for teleportation than the Werner derivative for a range of values of mixedness.

The relation between nonlocality of states as manifested by the violation of Bell-CHSH inequalities[11] and their ability to perform as efficient teleportation channels is interesting. It has been shown that there exist mixed states that do not violate any Bell-CHSH inequality, but still can be used for teleportation[4]. Here we find a range of parameters for which our constructed state satisfies a Bell-CHSH type inequality but still outperforms the Werner derivative in teleportation, even though the latter violates the Bell-CHSH inequality. Finally, our comparitive study of teleportation by maximally and nonmaximally entangled mixed states reveals that whereas in the former case, one class of states, i.e., Werner states, definitely outperforms another, i.e., MJWK states for all values of mixedness, the result for the NMEMS states that we consider depends on their degree of mixedness.

The paper is organized as follows. In section-II, we recapitulate some useful definitions and general results related to mixed states, their violation of local inequalities, and the optimal teleportation fidelities when they are used as teleportation channels. We illustrate these general results with the well-known example of the Werner state. In section-III, study the capability of the MJWK states [2] in teleportation. We then consider two different NMEMS in Section-IV. We first study the Werner derivative[10] as a teleportation channel and also obtain the range of parameter values for which it violates the Bell-CHSH inequality. We next introduce another NMEMS and investigate its entanglement properties and efficiency as a teleportation channel. We further show that this new NMEMS satisfies the Bell-CHSH inequality. In Section-V we present a comparitive analysis of the MEMS as well as the NMEMS channels for teleportation, also highlighting their respective status vis-a-vis the Bell-CHSH inequality. Finally, we summarized our results in Section-VI.

II. THE WERNER STATE AS A TELEPORTATION CHANNEL

The Werner state is a convex combination of a pure maximally entangled state and a maximally mixed state. Ishizaka and Hiroshima [9] showed that the entanglement of formation [12] of the Werner state cannot be increased by any unitary transformation. Therefore, the Werner state can be regarded as a maximally entangled mixed state. Though the Werner state can be represented in various ways, in the present work we express it in terms of the maximal singlet fraction defined for a general state ρ as [13]

$$F(\rho) = \max\langle \Psi | \rho | \Psi \rangle \tag{1}$$

where the maximum is taken over all maximally entangled states $|\Psi\rangle$. The Werner state can be written in the form

$$\rho_W = \frac{1 - F_w}{3} I_4 + \frac{4F_w - 1}{3} |\Psi^-\rangle \langle \Psi^-| \\
= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1 - F_w}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1 + 2F_w}{6} & \frac{1 - 4F_w}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1 - 4F_w}{6} & \frac{1 + 2F_w}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1 - F_w}{3} \end{pmatrix} (2)$$

where $|\Psi^{-}\rangle = \frac{|01\rangle - |10\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ is the singlet state and F_w is the maximal singlet fraction corresponding to the Werner state. F_w is also related to the linear entropy S_L as

$$F_w = \frac{1 + 3\sqrt{1 - S_L}}{4} \tag{3}$$

where S_L for a state ρ is defined by [2]

$$S_L = \frac{4}{3}(1 - Tr(\rho^2))$$
(4)

The concurrence for a bipartite state ρ_{AB} is defined as [12]

$$C = max\{0, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4\}$$
(5)

where λ 's are the square root of eigenvalues of $\rho\tilde{\rho}$ in decreasing order. The spin-flipped density matrix $\tilde{\rho}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{\rho} = (\sigma_y^A \otimes \sigma_y^B) \rho^* (\sigma_y^A \otimes \sigma_y^B) \tag{6}$$

The concurrence of ρ_W is given by

$$C(\rho_W) = max\{0, 2F_w - 1\} = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le F_w \le \frac{1}{2} \\ 2F_w - 1 & \frac{1}{2} < F_w \le 1 \end{cases}$$
(7)

Let us now inspect the Werner state as a resource for teleportation. We apply the general result[14] which states that any mixed spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ state is useful for (standard) teleportation if and only if

$$N(\rho) > 1 \tag{8}$$

where $N(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sqrt{u_i}$ and u_i 's are the eigenvalues of the matrix $T^{\dagger}T$. The elements of the matrix T are given by

$$t_{nm} = Tr(\rho \ \sigma_n \bigotimes \sigma_m) \tag{9}$$

where σ_i 's denote the Pauli spin matrices. In terms of $N(\rho)$, the optimal teleportation fidelity $f_{opt}^T(\rho)$ is given by [14]

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{N(\rho)}{3}\right]$$
(10)

The relation between the optimal teleportation fidelity $f_{opt}^{T}(\rho)$ and the maximal singlet fraction $F(\rho)$ is given by [6]

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho) = \frac{2F(\rho) + 1}{3}$$
(11)

When the Werner state is used as a quantum channel for teleportation, the average optimal teleportation fidelity is given by [6, 15, 16]

$$f_{opt}^T(\rho_W) = \frac{2F_w + 1}{3}, \qquad \frac{1}{2} < F_w \le 1$$
 (12)

In terms of the linear entropy S_L , Eq.(12) can be rewritten as

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_W) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - S_L}}{2}, \qquad 0 \le S_L < \frac{8}{9} \qquad (13)$$

We now review the status of the violation of the Bell-CHSH inequality by the Werner state. For this purpose we again use the general result that any state described by the density operator ρ violates the Bell-CHSH inequality [11] if and only if the inequality

$$M(\rho) = \max_{i>j}(u_i + u_j) > 1$$
(14)

holds, where u_i 's are eigenvalues of the matrix $T^{\dagger}T$ [14]. Using Eq.(9) we have calculated the eigenvalues of the matrix $T_w^{\dagger}T_w$ and they are given by $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = \frac{(4F_w-1)^2}{9}$, where $(T_w)_{nm} = Tr(\rho_W \sigma_n \otimes \sigma_m)$ denotes the elements of the matrix T_w . The Werner state violates the Bell-CHSH inequality iff $M(\rho_W) > 1$, where $M(\rho_W)$ is given by

$$M(\rho_W) = 2\frac{(4F_w - 1)^2}{9} \tag{15}$$

Using Eq.(7) it follows that the Werner state satisfies the Bell-CHSH inequality although it is entangled when the maximal singlet fraction F_w lies within the range

$$\frac{1}{2} \le F_w \le \frac{3 + \sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}} \tag{16}$$

Moreover, from Eqs.(12) and (16) it follows that the Werner state can be used as a quantum teleportation channel (average optimal fidelity exceeding 2/3) even without violating the Bell-CHSH inequality in the above domain.

III. TELEPORTATION VIA THE MUNRO-JAMES-WHITE-KWIAT MAXIMALLY ENTANGLED MIXED STATE

Munro *et al.* [2, 17] showed that there exist a class of states that have significantly greater degree of entanglement for a given linear entropy than the Werner state. In this section, we will investigate whether the class of states introduced by Munro *et al.* could be used as a teleportation channel. We begin with the analytical form of the MEMS given by

$$\rho_{MEMS} = \begin{pmatrix} h(C) & 0 & 0 & \frac{C}{2} \\ 0 & 1 - 2h(C) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{C}{2} & 0 & 0 & h(C) \end{pmatrix}$$
(17)

where

$$h(C) = \begin{cases} C/2 & C \ge \frac{2}{3} \\ 1/3 & C < \frac{2}{3} \end{cases}$$
(18)

with C denoting the concurrence of ρ_{MEMS} (17). The form of the linear entropy is given by

$$S_L = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{3}(C - C^2) & C \ge 2/3\\ \frac{2}{3}(\frac{4}{3} - C^2) & C < 2/3 \end{cases}$$
(19)

To see the performance of the MEMS state (17) as a teleportation channel, we have to calculate the fidelity of the teleportation channel. We use the result given in Eq.(11) relating the optimal teleportation fidelity and the singlet fraction of a state ρ . The maximal singlet fraction of the state described by the density operator ρ_{MEMS} using the definition (1) is found out to be

$$F_{MEMS} = max\{h(C) + \frac{C}{2}, h(C) - \frac{C}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - h(C), \frac{1}{2} - h(C)\} = h(C) + \frac{C}{2}$$
(20)

Using Eqs.(11) and (18), the optimal teleportation fidelity is given by

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{MEMS}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2C+1}{3} & C \ge 2/3\\ \frac{5+3C}{9} & C < 2/3 \end{cases}$$
(21)

Now inverting the relation (19), i.e., expressing C in terms of S_L , we can rewrite Eq.(21) in terms of the linear entropy S_L as

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{MEMS}) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{2-3S_L}}{3\sqrt{2}} & 0 \le S_L \le \frac{16}{27} \\ \frac{5}{9} + \frac{\sqrt{8-9S_L}}{3\sqrt{6}} & \frac{16}{27} < S_L \le \frac{8}{9} \end{cases}$$
(22)

It follows that the MJKW [2] maximally entangled mixed state (17) can be used as a faithful teleportation channel when the mixedness of the state is less than the value $S_L = 22/27$.

Wei *et al.* [17] have studied the state ρ_{MEMS} from the perspective of Bell's-inequality violation. Here we focus

on the parametrization of the state given by Eq.(17) and demarcate the range of concurrence where the Bell-CHSH inequality is violated. In order to use the result (14) we construct the matrix T_{MEMS} as

$$T_{MEMS} = \begin{pmatrix} h(C) + C & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -C & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 4h(C) - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(23)

The eigenvalues of the matrix $(T^{\dagger}_{MEMS}T_{MEMS})$ are given by

$$u_1 = (h(C) + C)^2, \ u_2 = C^2, \ u_3 = (4h(C) - 1)^2$$
 (24)

In accord with Eq.(18), the eigenvalues (24) take two different forms which are discussed separately below:

Case-I: $h(C) = \frac{C}{2}, \quad \frac{2}{3} \leq C \leq 1$. The eigenvalues (24) reduce to

$$u_1 = \frac{9C^2}{4}, \quad u_2 = C^2, \text{ and } u_3 = (2C - 1)^2$$
 (25)

When $C \geq \frac{2}{3}$, the eigenvalues can be arranged as $u_1 > u_2 > u_3$. Therefore,

$$M(\rho_{MEMS}) = u_1 + u_2 = \frac{13C^2}{4} \tag{26}$$

One can easily see that $M(\rho_{MEMS}) > 1$ when $C \geq \frac{2}{3}$, and hence, in this case the state ρ_{MEMS} violates the Bell-CHSH inequality.

Case-II: $h(C) = \frac{1}{3}$, $0 \le C < \frac{2}{3}$. The eigenvalues given by Eq.(24) reduce to

$$u_1 = \frac{(3C+1)^2}{9}, \quad u_2 = C^2, \text{ and } u_3 = \frac{1}{9}$$
 (27)

Now we can split the interval $0 \le C < \frac{2}{3}$ into two subintervals $0 \le C \le \frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{3} < C < \frac{2}{3}$, where the ordering of the eigenvalues are different.

(i) when $0 \le C \le \frac{1}{3}$, the ordering of the eigenvalues are $u_1 > u_3 > u_2$. In this case one has

$$M(\rho_{MEMS}) - 1 = u_1 + u_3 - 1 = \frac{9C^2 + 6C - 7}{9} \quad (28)$$

From Eq.(28) it is clear that $M(\rho_{MEMS}) < 1$ when $0 \leq C \leq \frac{1}{3}$. Hence, the Bell-CHSH inequality is satisfied by ρ_{MEMS} .

(ii) when $\frac{1}{3} < C < \frac{2}{3}$, the ordering of the eigenvalues are $u_1 > u_2 > u_3$. Therefore, the expression for $(M(\rho_{MEMS}) - 1)$ is given by

$$M(\rho_{MEMS}) - 1 = u_1 + u_2 - 1 = \frac{2(9C^2 + 3C - 4)}{9}$$
(29)

From Eq.(29), it follows that $M(\rho_{MEMS}) > 1$ when $\frac{\sqrt{153}-3}{18} < C < \frac{2}{3}$ and hence the state ρ_{MEMS} violates the Bell-CHSH inequality. On the contrary, $M(\rho_{MEMS}) \leq 1$ when $\frac{1}{3} < C \leq \frac{\sqrt{153}-3}{18}$, and hence the state ρ_{MEMS} satisfies the Bell-CHSH inequality although it is entangled.

IV. NON-MAXIMALLY ENTANGLED MIXED STATES AS TELEPORTATION CHANNELS

A. The Werner Derivative

Hiroshima and Ishizaka [10] studied a particular class of mixed states - Werner derivative - obtained by applying a nonlocal unitary operator U on the Werner state, i.e., $\rho_{wd} = U\rho_W U^{\dagger}$. The Werner derivative is described by the density operator

$$\rho_{wd} = \frac{1 - F_w}{3} I_4 + \frac{4F_w - 1}{3} |\psi\rangle\langle\psi| \tag{30}$$

where $|\psi\rangle = U|\Psi^-\rangle = \sqrt{a}|00\rangle + \sqrt{1-a}|11\rangle$ with $\frac{1}{2} \le a \le 1$. The state (30) is entangled if and only if [10]

$$\frac{1}{2} \le a < \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3(4F_w^2 - 1)}}{4F_w - 1}\right) \tag{31}$$

which further gives a restriction on F_w as $\frac{1}{2} < F_w \leq 1$.

Our aim here is to study how efficiently the Werner derivative works as a teleportation channel. To do this, let us start with the matrix T_{wd} for the state ρ_{wd} given by

$$T_{wd} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\sqrt{a(1-a)}(4F_w-1)}{3} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{2\sqrt{a(1-a)}(4F_w-1)}{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(4F_w-1)}{3} \end{pmatrix} (32)$$

The eigenvalues of the matrix $(T_{wd}^{\dagger}T_{wd})$ are $u_1 = u_2 = \frac{4a(1-a)(4F_w-1)^2}{9}, u_3 = \frac{(4F_w-1)^2}{9}$. The Werner Derivative can be used as a teleportation channel if and only if it stisfies Eq.(8), i.e., $N(\rho_{wd}) > 1$, where

$$N(\rho_{wd}) = \sqrt{u_1} + \sqrt{u_2} + \sqrt{u_3} = \frac{(4F_w - 1)[1 + 4\sqrt{a(1-a)}]}{3}$$

It follows that the Werner Derivative can be used as a teleportation channel if and only if

$$16a^2 - 16a + \alpha^2 < 0 \tag{34}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{4(1-F_w)}{4F_w-1}$. Solving (34) for the parameter *a*, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \le a < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{4 - \alpha^2}}{4} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3(4F_w^2 - 1)}}{4F_w - 1}\right) \quad (35)$$

Therefore, teleportation can be done faithfully via ρ_{wd} when the parameter *a* satisfies the inequality (31).

The fidelity of teleportation is given by

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{wd}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{3}N(\rho_{wd})\right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{18} \left[9 + (4F_w - 1)(1 + 4\sqrt{a(1-a)})\right] (36)$$

When $a = \frac{1}{2}$, the Werner derivative reduces to the Werner state, and the teleportation fidelity also reduces

to that of the Werner state given by Eq.(12). From eqn.(36), it is clear that $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$ is a decreasing function of a, and hence from Eq.(35), one obtains

$$\frac{2}{3} < f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd}) \le \frac{2F_w + 1}{3} \tag{37}$$

Further, we can express the teleportation fidelity $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$ given in equation (36) in terms of linear entropy S_L as

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{wd}) = \frac{9 + 3\sqrt{1 - S_L}(1 + 4\sqrt{a(1 - a)})}{18}, \ 0 \le S_L < \frac{8}{9}38)$$

Now we investigate whether the state ρ_{wd} violates the Bell-CHSH inequality using the condition given in Eq.(14). The real valued function $M(\rho)$ for the Werner derivative state is given by

$$M(\rho_{wd}) = u_2 + u_3 = \frac{(1 + 4a - 4a^2)(4F_w - 1)^2}{9} \quad (39)$$

It follows that

$$M(\rho_{wd}) - 1 = \frac{-(4F_w - 1)^2}{9}(a - \beta)(a - \gamma)$$
(40)

where

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2(4F_w - 1)^2 - 9}}{4F_w - 1}\right)$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2(4F_w - 1)^2 - 9}}{4F_w - 1}\right)$$
(41)

For β and γ to be real, $\frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}} \leq F_w \leq 1$. From the expression of β and Eq.(31), it is clear that $\beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq a < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3(4F_w^2-1)}}{4F_w-1})$ as $\frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}} \leq F_w \leq 1$. Hence $a - \beta \geq 0$. Next, from the expression of γ , it follows that $\gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3(4F_w^2-1)}}{4F_w-1})$. Now, we consider the following three cases separately:

Case-I: If $\gamma < a < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3(4F_w^2 - 1)}}{4F_w - 1})$ and $\frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}} < F_w \leq 1$, then $M(\rho_{wd}) - 1 < 0$. In this case Bell-CHSH inequality is respected by the state ρ_{wd} although the state is entangled there.

Case-II: If $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \gamma$ and $\frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}} < F_w \leq 1$, then $M(\rho_{wd}) - 1 > 0$. Thus in this range of the parameter a the Bell-CHSH inequality is violated by the state ρ_{wd} . Case-III: Here we consider the situation when $F_w = \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}}$. In this case $\beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and hence $M(\rho_{wd}) \leq 1$ holds for $\frac{1}{2} \leq a < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{\sqrt{1+2\sqrt{2}}}{2}))$. The equality sign is achieved when $a = \beta = \gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, in the case when $F_w = \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{4\sqrt{2}}$ the Werner derivative satisfies the Bell-CHSH inequality although it is entangled.

B. A new non-maximally entangled mixed state

We construct a two-qubit density matrix ρ_{new} as a convex combination of a separable density matrix $\rho_{12}^G =$

 $Tr_3(|GHZ\rangle_{123})$ and an inseparable density matrix $\rho_{12}^W = Tr_3(|W\rangle_{123})$ where $|GHZ\rangle$ and $|W\rangle$ denote the threequbit GHZ-state[18] and the W-state[19] respectively. Our aim is to study this state as a quantum channel for teleportation.

The two-qubit state described by the density matrix ρ_{new} can be explicitly written as

$$\rho_{new} = p\rho_{12}^G + (1-p)\rho_{12}^W, \quad 0 \le p \le 1$$
(42)

) The matrix representation of the density matrix ρ_{new} in the computational basis is given by

$$\rho_{new} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p+2}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1-p}{3} & \frac{1-p}{3} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1-p}{3} & \frac{1-p}{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{p}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(43)

Since the state described by the density matrix (43) is of the form

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & b & c & 0\\ 0 & c^* & d & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$
(44)

its amount of entanglement [20] is given by

$$C(\rho_{new}) = C(\sigma) = 2max(|c| - \sqrt{ae}, 0)$$

= $2max((\frac{1-p}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{p(p+2)}{12}}), 0)$ (45)

Therefore, ρ_{new} is entangled only if $\frac{1-p}{3} - \sqrt{\frac{p(p+2)}{12}} > 0$, i.e., when $0 \le p < 0.292$.

Note that in the limiting case of p = 0 the state ρ_{new} reduces to

$$\rho_{12}^{W} = \frac{1}{3} |00\rangle \langle 00| + \frac{2}{3} |\psi^{+}\rangle \langle \psi^{+}| \tag{46}$$

where $|\psi^+\rangle = (|01\rangle + |10\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. The state ρ_{12}^W is maximally entangled since it can be put into Ishizaka and Hiroshima's [9] proposed class of MEMS. The concurrence of this state is $\frac{2}{3}$. When this state is used as a teleportation channel, the teleportation fidelity becomes $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{12}^W) = \frac{7}{9}$. Moreover, it can be checked that the state ρ_{12}^W satisfies the Bell-CHSH inequality although it is an entangled state.

To obtain the teleportation fidelity for the state ρ_{new} , we first construct the matrix T_{new} using Eq.(9), which is given by

$$T_{new} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2(1-p)}{3} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2(1-p)}{3} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{(4p-1)}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(47)

The eigenvalues of $(T_{new}^{\dagger}T_{new})$ are given by $u_1 = u_2 = \frac{4(1-p)^2}{9}$ and $u_3 = \frac{(4p-1)^2}{9}$. When $p > \frac{1}{4}$, one has

 $N(\rho_{new}) = \sqrt{u_1} + \sqrt{u_2} + \sqrt{u_3} = 1$. Therefore, the teleportation fidelity becomes $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new}) = \frac{1}{2}[1 + \frac{1}{3}N(\rho_{new})] = \frac{2}{3}$. Hence for $p > \frac{1}{4}$, the state ρ_{new} cannot be used as an efficient teleportation channel since it does not overtake the classical fidelity. But when $0 \le p < \frac{1}{4}$, $N(\rho_{new}) = \frac{5-8p}{3} > 1$, and hence ρ_{new} can be used as an efficient teleportation channel. In this case the average optimal teleportation fidelity is given by

$$f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new}) = \frac{7-4p}{9}, \quad 0 \le p < \frac{1}{4}$$
 (48)

and it follows that

$$\frac{2}{3} < f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new}) \le \frac{7}{9} \tag{49}$$

We note here an interesting fact that the state ρ_{new} cannot be used as an efficient teleportation channel when 0.25 although the state is entangled there.

When ρ_{new} is used as a quantum teleportation channel the mixedness of the state is given by

$$S_L = \frac{2}{27}(8 + 14p - 13p^2), \quad 0 \le p < \frac{1}{4}$$
 (50)

Therefore, the teleportation fidelity $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new})$ in terms of S_L is given by

$$f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{new}) = \frac{7 - \frac{4}{26}(14 - \sqrt{612 - 702S_L})}{9},$$
$$\frac{208}{351} \le S_L < \frac{2223}{2808}$$
(51)

Let us now address the question as to whether the state ρ_{new} violates the Bell-CHSH inequality. We again calculate the real valued function $M(\rho_{new})$ for the state ρ_{new} for the two following cases separately. Case-I: When $0 \leq p < \frac{1}{2}$, $M(\rho_{new}) = u_1 + u_2 =$

Case-1: When $0 \leq p < \frac{1}{2}$, $M(\rho_{new}) = u_1 + u_2 = \frac{8+8p^2-16p}{9}$. Substituting the values of p in the above range it is easy to see that $M(\rho_{new}) \leq 1$, i.e., the Bell-CHSH inequality is satisfied.

Case-II: When $\frac{1}{2} \leq p \leq 1$, $M(\rho_{new}) = u_1 + u_3 = \frac{20p^2 - 16p + 5}{9}$. It easily follows that for the given range of values of p, let $M(\rho_{new}) \leq 1$.

Therefore, we conclude that in any case (i.e. $0 \le p \le 1$), the constructed state ρ_{new} does not violate the Bell-CHSH inequality although it is entangled for $0 \le p < 0.292$.

V. COMPARISON OF TELEPORTATION FIDELITIES FOR DIFFERENT MIXED STATES

In the earlier sections we have studied the teleportation capacities of various maximally as well as non-maximally entangled mixed channels. It would be interesting now to actually compare their performance in terms of the average optimal fidelities corresponding to their respective mixedness, and also in relation to their nonlocality properties manifested by the violations of the Bell-CHSH inequality. For this purpose, let us recall the expressions (13), (22), (38) and (51) for the teleportation fidelities in terms of the linear entropy for all the four types of states studied by us:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{new}) &= \frac{7 - \frac{4}{26}(14 - \sqrt{612 - 702S_L})}{9}, \\ \frac{208}{351} \leq S_L < \frac{2223}{2808} \\ f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{wd}) &= \frac{9 + 3\sqrt{1 - S_L}(1 + 4\sqrt{a(1 - a)})}{18}, \\ 0 \leq S_L < \frac{8}{9} \\ f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_{MEMS}) &= \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{2 - 3S_L}}{3\sqrt{2}} & 0 \leq S_L \leq \frac{16}{27} \\ \frac{5}{9} + \frac{\sqrt{8 - 9S_L}}{3\sqrt{6}} & \frac{16}{27} \leq S_L \leq \frac{8}{9} \\ f_{opt}^{T}(\rho_W) &= \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - S_L}}{2}, \quad 0 \leq S_L < \frac{8}{9} \end{cases}$$
(52)

We first consider the comparison between the two maximally entangled states, viz., the Werner state[1] ρ_W and the MJKW state [2] ρ_{MEMS} . From the above expressions of f_{opt}^T for these two states it follows that $f_{opt}^T(\rho_W) = f_{opt}^T(\rho_{MEMS})$ only for $S_L = 0$. For all finite degrees of mixedness, $f_{opt}^T(\rho_W) > f_{opt}^T(\rho_{MEMS})$. The two respective fidelities are plotted versus the linear entropy in Fig.1. Although both these states could perform as quantum teleportation channels for a range of values of mixedness, one sees that the Warner state outperforms the MJKW state for all finite values of mixedness even though the latter is more entangled for specific values of linear entropy[17]. This is an interesting result showing that all the entanglement of the MJKW class of states is not useful as a resource for teleportation.

Let us now focus on the comparison between the two non-maximally entangled mixed states ρ_{wd} and ρ_{new} that we have studied in this paper. To address the issue as to which of ρ_{wd} and ρ_{new} is more efficient as resource for teleportation, we derive ranges for the parameters for which the condition $N(\rho_{new}) > N(\rho_{wd})$ holds such that the teleportation fidelity via the channel ρ_{new} will be greater than the teleportation fidelity via ρ_{wd} . Here we make use of the relationship between the teleportation fidelity and the quantity $N(\rho)$ [14] given by Eq.(10). In the previous sections we have calculated $N(\rho_{wd})$ and $N(\rho_{new})$, and their expressions are given by

$$N(\rho_{wd}) = \frac{(4F_w - 1)(1 + 4\sqrt{a(1 - a)})}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{2} < F_w \le (53)$$

$$N(\rho_{new}) = \frac{5 - 8p}{3}, \quad 0 \le p < \frac{1}{4} \tag{54}$$

where the parameter *a* lies within the range specified in Eq.(31). The state ρ_{new} performs better as a quantum channel for teleportation compared to the state ρ_{wd} only



FIG. 1: (Coloronline) The average optimal teleportation fidelities for the channels ρ_W and ρ_{MEMS} are plotted with respect to the linear entropy S_L . The horzintal line represents the maximum classical fidelity.

when $N(\rho_{new}) > N(\rho_{wd})$, from which using Eqs.(53) and (54) it follows that

$$p < 1 - \left(\frac{1 + 2F_w}{4} + \frac{(4F_w - 1)\sqrt{a(1 - a)}}{2}\right)$$
 (55)

One can easily verify that the condition (55) on the value of p is compatible with the upper bound on p in Eq.(54). However, consistency with the lower bound (p > 0) imposes the following conditions on the parameters F_w and a:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{(F_w + 1)(3F_w - 2)}}{4F_w - 1} < a < \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3(4F_w^2 - 1)}}{4F_w - 1}\right),$$
$$F_w > \frac{2}{3} \tag{56}$$

Therefore, when the parameters F_w , a and p satisfy the relations given in Eqs.(55) and (56), one has $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new}) > f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$.

Next we consider the situation in which the ρ_{wd} violates the Bell-CHSH inequality but ρ_{new} satisfies it. In this case let us see if the teleportation fidelity $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$ could still be less than the teleportation fidelity $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new})$. We have earlier shown in Section IV that ρ_{new} satisfies the Bell-CHSH inequality, and we have also derived the ranges for the parameters a and F_w for which ρ_{wd} violates the inequality. Combining these conditions with the requirements (55) and (56), we obtain several possible values for the parameters a, F_w and p for which $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new}) > f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$. These are listed in Table-I.

F_w	a	p	$f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$	$f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new})$
0.96	0.962437	0.000006	0.777775	0.777775
	0.962490	0.000189	0.777694	0.777694
	0.970142	0.028321	0.765190	0.765191
	0.970144	0.028320	0.765187	0.765191
0.97	0.964903	0.000003	0.777776	0.777776
	0.964990	0.000320	0.777635	0.777636
	0.978256	0.054980	0.753341	0.753342
	0.978258	0.054980	0.753338	0.753342
0.98	0.967213	0.000004	0.777775	0.777776
	0.967290	0.000290	0.777644	0.777649
	0.985910	0.087920	0.738701	0.738702
	0.985913	0.087938	0.738693	0.738694
0.99	0.969377	0.000004	0.777776	0.777776
	0.969390	0.000056	0.777752	0.777753
	0.993147	0.132901	0.718710	0.718711
	0.993149	0.13291	0.718703	0.718707

TABLE I: Comparison of teleportation fidelities when ρ_{wd} violates the Bell-CHSH inequality while ρ_{new} satisfies it.

-					
S_L	a	$f_{opt}^T(\rho_W)$	$f_{opt}^T(\rho_{MEMS})$	$f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$	$f_{opt}^T(\rho_{new})$
0.593	0.82	0.818983	0.777625	0.769726	0.777603
0.593	0.95	0.818983	0.777625	0.6990218	0.777603
0.600	0.80	0.816228	0.774982	0.774064	0.774581
0.600	0.93	0.816228	0.774982	0.712989	0.774581
0.62	0.77	0.808221	0.767251	0.775686	0.765728
0.62	0.90	0.808221	0.767251	0.726029	0.765728
0.64	0.74	0.800000	0.759226	0.775454	0.756516
0.64	0.85	0.800000	0.759226	0.742823	0.756516
0.66	0.70	0.791548	0.750871	0.775321	0.746896
0.66	0.92	0.791548	0.750871	0.702642	0.746896

TABLE II: Comparison of teleportation fidelities for different MEMS and NMEMS channels for a given mixedness.

We now present together the comparitive performance of all the four entangled mixed states that we have considered in this paper. We obtain the average optimal teleportation fidelities of ρ_W , ρ_{MEMS} , ρ_{wd} and ρ_{new} in terms of their linear entropies. Here we clearly address the question as to how they compete as teleportation resources for specified values of mixedness. The expressions for the teleportation fidelities of ρ_W , ρ_{MEMS} and ρ_{new} are provided explicitly in terms of the linear entropy S_L in Eqs.(52). But for the state ρ_{wd} we first obtain F_w for a given S_L using the relation $F_w = \frac{1+3\sqrt{1-S_L}}{4}$. We then select a couple of values for the parameter a which lies in the range given in Eq.(56). Since the mixedness of the state ρ_{wd} does not depend on the parameter a, there exists a family of states ρ_{wd} for a given mixedness. Finally the corresponding value of $f_{opt}^T(\rho_{wd})$ is computed using the relation provided in Eq.(52). Our results are presented in Table-II.

The values for the linear entropy for which the corresponding teleportation fidelities are displayed in Table-II are chosen such that all the salient features of our results that we wish to highlight are revealed in the range chosen. As expected, the MEMS states perform better as teleportation channels in general compared to the NMEMS states, with the Werner state giving rise to higher teleportation fidelity for all values of mixedness. The comparison between the two NMEMS states is affected by the fact that for a given S_L there exists a family of states ρ_{wd} corresponding to different admissible values of a. In this range some ρ_{wd} states perform better compared to ρ_{new} , but the situation may be reversed for a different value of a corresponding to the same value of mixedness. Moreover, since mixedness for ρ_{wd} does not depend on a, there exist some values of a for which ρ_{wd} even outperforms the MJKW state ρ_{MEMS} , as displayed in the Table.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, in this paper we have studied the efficiency of maximally (MEMS) and non-maximally (NMEMS) entangled mixed states as resources for teleportation. Our motivation here is to compare the performance of mixed entangled states as teleportation channels for a specified amount of mixedness, and also to investigate whether the violation of Bell-CHSH type inequalities has any bearing on the ability of these states to teleport efficiently. We have considered two specific well-known MEMS, viz., the Werner [1] and the MJWK [2] class of states. The latter are known to possess a greater magnitude of entanglement for a fixed degree of 8

mixedness. However, we find interestingly that the former class of states when used as teleportation channels give rise to a larger average optimal teleportation fidelity for any value of the linear entropy.

We have further considered two more class of mixed states that are not maximally entangled (NMEMS). We have shown that the Werner derivative [10] can act as an efficient quantum teleportation channel (with its average teleportation fidelity exceeding the classical bound of 2/3) in certain ranges of parameter values. We have also obtained the conditions on the parameters for which the Werner derivative satisfies the criterion of nonlocality by violating the Bell-CHSH inequality. We then ask the question as to whether there exist other class of NMEMS that could outperform the Werner derivative as a teleportation resource. We answer this question in the affirmative by constructing a new non-maximally entangled mixed state which is a convex combination of a separable state and an entangled state. We have shown that this state does not violate the Bell-CHSH inequality. Further, our constructed state is shown to lead to a higher teleportation fidelity compared to the Werner derivative even for a range of parameter values where the latter violates the Bell-CHSH inequality. However, unlike the comparison for the two MEMS, the Werner derivate performs better than the state introduced by us for other values of mixedness. We conclude by noting that for mixed entangled states neither the magnitude of entanglement nor the violation of local inequalities may be good indicators of their ability to perform as quantum information processing resources such as teleportation compared to other states possessing the same degree of mixedness.

- [1] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 40, 4277 (1989).
- [2] W. J. Munro, D. F. V. James, A. G. White, P. G. Kwiat, Phys. Rev. A 64, 030302 (2001).
- [3] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895 (1993).
- [4] S. Popescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 797 (1994).
- [5] S. Massar, S. Popescu; Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1259 (1995).
- [6] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 60, 1888(1999).
- [7] V. Buzek and M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. A54, 1844 (1996).
- [8] S. Adhikari, N. Ganguly, I. Chakrabarty, B. S. Choudhury, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41, 415302 (2008).
- [9] S. Ishizaka, T. Hiroshima, Phys. Rev. A 62, 022310 (2000).
- [10] T. Hiroshima, S. Ishizaka, Phys. Rev. A 62, 044302 (2000).
- [11] J. F. Clauser, M. A. Horne, A. Shimony and R. A. Holt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 880 (1969).
- [12] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2245 (1998).
- [13] S. Bose, V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. A 61, 040101(2000).

- [14] R. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 222, 21 (1996).
- [15] P. Badziag, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 62, 012311 (2000).
- [16] L. Mista Jr., R. Filip, J. Fiurasek, Phys. Rev. A 65, 062315 (2002).
- [17] T-C. Wei, K. Nemoto, P. M. Goldbart, P. G. Kwiat, W. J. Munro, and F. Verstraete, Phys. Rev. A 67, 022110 (2003).
- [18] D. M. Greenberger, M. Horne and A. Zeilinger, in "Bell's theorem, Quantum theory, and conceptions of the Universe", ed. M. Kafatos (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989), p 69; D. Bouwmeester, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1345 (1999).
- [19] A. Zeilinger, M. A. Horne and D. Greenberger, in NASA Conf. Publ. No. 3135 (Code NTT, Washington DC, 1997); W. Dür, G. Vidal and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 62, 062314 (2000).
- [20] D. Bruβ, C. Macchiavello, Found. Phys. 33 (11), 1617 (2003).