

Ground state energy of N Frenkel excitons

Walter Pogosov (1,2) and Monique Combescot (1)

(1) *Institut des NanoSciences de Paris, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie,*

CNRS, Campus Boucicaut, 140 rue de Lourmel, 75015 Paris and

(2) *Institute for Theoretical and Applied Electrodynamics,*

Russian Academy of Sciences, Izhorskaya 13/19, 125412 Moscow

(Dated: November 8, 2018)

By using the composite many-body theory for Frenkel excitons we have recently developed, we here derive the ground state energy of N Frenkel excitons in the Born approximation through the Hamiltonian mean value in a state made of N identical $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$ excitons. While this quantity reads as a density expansion in the case of Wannier excitons, due to many-body effects induced by fermion exchanges between N composite particles, we show that the Hamiltonian mean value for N Frenkel excitons only contains a first order term in density, just as for elementary bosons. Such a simple result comes from a subtle balance, difficult to guess a priori, between fermion exchanges for two or more Frenkel excitons appearing in Coulomb term and the ones appearing in the N exciton normalization factor - the cancellation being exact within terms in $1/N_s$ where N_s is the number of atomic sites in the sample. This result could make us naively believe that, due to the tight binding approximation on which Frenkel excitons are based, these excitons are just bare elementary bosons while their composite nature definitely appears at various stages in the precise calculation of the Hamiltonian mean value.

PACS numbers: 71.35.-y, 71.35.Aa

Keywords:

I. INTRODUCTION

Quite recently, we have constructed a many-body theory for Frenkel excitons^{1,2} similar to the one we have developed for Wannier excitons. In these theories, the composite nature of the particles is treated exactly through a set of "Pauli scatterings" for carrier exchanges between two excitons in the absence of carrier interaction. Exchanges between two or more excitons, which control the many-body physics of these composite particles, are visualized and readily calculated by using a new diagrammatic representation, called "Shiva diagrams" in relation with their multiarm structure.

Alternative approaches which allow one to take into account the Pauli exclusion principle in the case of Frenkel excitons are based on expressing the fermionic Hamiltonian as an infinite series expansion in creation and destruction operators for excitations on atomic sites. In the formalism proposed by Agranovich and coworkers^{3,4,5}, these operators obey bosonic commutation rules, while in the method developed by Mukamel and coworkers^{7,8,9,10,11}, the commutation rules for these operators are not bosonic. The precise forms of the resulting Hamiltonian expansions produced by these two approaches also differ from each other. In contrast to these approaches, the composite boson many-body theory we have constructed^{1,2} avoids the difficulty of deriving Hamiltonian infinite series expansions with an increasing number of operators¹², the processes involving any number of excitons being in our formalism treated on the same footing. This enables us, for the first time in our best knowledge, to self-consistently study interactions between an arbitrary number of Frenkel excitons while including the tricky consequence of the Pauli exclusion principle in an exact way.

The composite nature of the Wannier excitons turns out to be crucial in their many-body physics. This becomes quite reasonable once we note that the extension of the electron-hole relative motion in an exciton - which is expected to control carrier exchanges - is as large as the scale for Coulomb interaction between excitons, this scale being the exciton Bohr radius a_x calculated with the semiconductor dielectric constant and the conduction and valence band effective masses. In contrast, since Frenkel excitons are made of electrons and holes on the same atomic site, we could think that, as the extension of their electron-hole relative motion is essentially zero, fermion exchanges between Frenkel excitons should reduce to zero; so that these excitons should behave as elementary bosons. In our previous work on the Frenkel exciton many-body theory², we have shown that, indeed, the closure relation for N Frenkel excitons has the same $1/N!$ prefactor as the one of N elementary bosons, while for Wannier excitons, this prefactor is $(1/N!)^2$, which is the signature of the fact that Wannier excitons are made of two independent fermions. However, for many other basic properties, Frenkel excitons are composite objects definitely. In particular, they do have Pauli scatterings for fermion exchange in the absence of fermion interactions. These scatterings lead to a normalization factor for N identical excitons different from its $N!$ value for N elementary bosons, by a factor F_N which decreases exponentially with N . This normalization factor thus exhibits the same "moth eaten" effect induced by Pauli exclusion as the one found for Wannier excitons.

Fermion exchanges between N Frenkel excitons are found to be controlled by the dimensionless parameter

$$\eta = N/N_s \quad (1.1)$$

where N_s is the number of atomic sites in the sample. It is of interest to note that this parameter is actually similar to

the dimensionless parameter $\eta = N (a_x/L)^D$ which controls fermion exchanges between N Wannier excitons. Indeed, $(L/a_x)^D$, like N_s , is the maximum number of excitons a sample of volume L^D can accommodate. Let us stress that, while the expression of η found for Wannier excitons could make us think that the parameter which controls fermion exchanges is linked to the extension a_x of the electron-hole relative motion wave function, the expression of η for Frenkel excitons rules out this physical understanding - otherwise η for these excitons would reduce to zero due to the tight-binding approximation underlying the Frenkel exciton concept.

In the present work on Frenkel excitons, we are interested in the density dependence of the N ground state exciton energy in the Born approximation. We reach this quantity through a procedure similar to the one we have used for Wannier excitons, namely we calculate the Hamiltonian mean value in a N ground state exciton state with momentum $\mathbf{Q} = 0$

$$\langle H \rangle_N = \frac{\langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^N H B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger N} | v \rangle}{\langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^N B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger N} | v \rangle}. \quad (1.2)$$

where $B_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\dagger}$ creates a Frenkel exciton with momentum \mathbf{Q} . Let us recall that, while the interacting part of composite quantum particles cannot be written as a potential so that a "zero order state in the exciton interaction" cannot be properly defined, the state $B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger N} | v \rangle$ plays this role since $(H - NE_0)B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger N} | v \rangle$ cancels if we drop all Coulomb scatterings between excitons. As H contains Coulomb interaction at first order by construction, $\langle H \rangle_N$ thus corresponds to the ground state energy of N Frenkel excitons at first order in Coulomb interaction, i.e., in the Born approximation.

We are going to show that, while the N exciton normalization factor reads as

$$\langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^N B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger N} | v \rangle = N! F_N \quad (1.3)$$

where F_N , calculated in our general paper on the many-body theory of Frenkel excitons², has an expansion in η which comes from fermion exchanges and makes it exponentially decrease with N , the Hamiltonian mean value is found to be *exactly equal* to

$$\langle H \rangle_N = NE_0 + \frac{N(N-1)}{2(N_s-1)} \gamma_0 \quad (1.4)$$

γ_0 comes from the Coulomb scattering of just *two* excitons due to both direct Coulomb processes and indirect Coulomb processes, i.e., the so-called "electron-hole exchange" which is the only process responsible for the excitation transfer in the case of Frenkel excitons. Eq. (1.4) thus leads to

$$\langle H \rangle_N = N (E_0 + \eta \Delta_0) \quad (1.5)$$

$$\Delta_0 = \gamma_0 [1/2 + O(1/N) + O(1/N_s)]$$

This shows that the Hamiltonian mean value depends on the dimensionless parameter η associated to exciton density through a first order term only, corrections being in N^{-1} and N_s^{-1} , but not in $N/N_s = \eta$.

This has to be contrasted with the Hamiltonian mean value for N Wannier excitons that we have found to expand as¹³

$$\langle H \rangle_N = NR_0 \left(-1 + \frac{13\pi}{3} \eta - \frac{73\pi^2}{20} \eta^2 + \frac{3517\pi^3}{210} \eta^3 + O(\eta^4) \right) \quad (1.6)$$

in 3D systems for which the exciton ground state energy is $E_0 = -R_0$ where $R_0 = \mu e^4 / 2\varepsilon^2 = 1/2\mu a_x^2$ is the exciton Rydberg. We have explained the presence of terms in density higher than η by saying that, while $\langle H \rangle_N$ contains one 2×2 Coulomb process only by construction, interactions between more than two excitons exist through fermion exchanges induced by the composite nature of the particles.

If we now return to Frenkel excitons, the reason for the disappearance of high order terms is far from trivial. While the tight binding approximation underlying the Frenkel exciton concept makes most Coulomb exchange processes between excitons reducing to zero, this is not enough to explain the result since the denominator of $\langle H \rangle_N$ contains a density expansion through F_N . The fact that $\langle H \rangle_N$ does not contain terms in η^n with $n \geq 2$ actually means that similar η^n terms exist in the Coulomb part of $\langle H \rangle_N$ in order to cancel the η^n terms coming from F_N . This cancellation is however not mathematically exact since it holds at the order $1/N_s$, which indeed reduces to zero in the large sample limit.

It is clear that the proof of such a tricky cancellation must rely on a very precise procedure in which the composite nature of the excitons is treated exactly. This is why the present work heavily uses the composite boson many-body theory for Frenkel excitons we have just constructed^{1,2}. The main results of this theory which is very new, are recalled in Section II for the reader to possibly follow the rest of the argument. In Section III, we start with a simpler two-body problem, namely the calculation of the Hamiltonian mean value for $N = 2$ Frenkel excitons, while in Section IV, we turn to arbitrary N . This mean value is compared to a similar quantity obtained with excitons replaced by elementary bosons, $\langle H \rangle_N$ then only having a first order term in density. In Section V, we conclude.

II. MAIN RESULTS OF THE COMPOSITE EXCITON MANY-BODY THEORY

A. General results for composite excitons

Many-body effects with composite excitons can be derived through a set of four commutators¹³. The ones linked to fermion exchanges are obtained from

$$\left[D_{mi}, B_0^{\dagger N} \right] = N B_0^{\dagger N-1} \sum_n \{ \lambda \binom{n \ 0}{m \ i} + (m \longleftrightarrow n) \} B_n^\dagger \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[B_m, B_0^{\dagger N} \right] &= N B_0^{\dagger N-1} (\delta_{m0} - D_{m0}) \\ &- N(N-1) B_0^{\dagger N-2} \sum_n \lambda \binom{n \ 0}{m \ 0} B_n^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where definitions of the deviation-from-boson operator D_{mi} and the Pauli scattering $\lambda \binom{n \ j}{m \ i}$ between two excitons follow from these equations taken for $N = 1$.

In the same way, many-body effects linked to fermion interactions are obtained from¹³

$$\left[V_i^\dagger, B_0^{\dagger N} \right] = N B_0^{\dagger N-1} \sum \xi \binom{n \ 0}{m \ i} B_m^\dagger B_n^\dagger \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[H, B_0^{\dagger N} \right] &= N B_0^{\dagger N-1} (E_0 B_0^\dagger + V_0^\dagger) \\ &+ \frac{N(N-1)}{2} B_0^{\dagger N-2} \sum \xi \binom{n \ 0}{m \ 0} B_m^\dagger B_n^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where definitions of the exciton creation potential V_i^\dagger and the interaction scattering between two excitons $\xi \binom{n \ j}{m \ i}$ follow from these equations taken for $N = 1$.

B. Frenkel excitons

In the case of Wannier excitons, the index i stands for (\mathbf{Q}_i, ν_i) where \mathbf{Q}_i is the exciton center of mass momentum and ν_i a relative motion index. Due to the tight binding approximation which in some sense freezes electron on top of hole and makes Frenkel excitons constructed on electron and hole on the same atomic site, these excitons do not have relative motion index; so that they only are characterized by a center of mass momentum \mathbf{Q} . Their creation operator $B_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger$ reads in terms of free pairs $B_n^\dagger = a_n^\dagger b_n^\dagger$ on atomic site n as

$$B_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_s}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_s} e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_n} B_n^\dagger \quad (2.5)$$

where N_s is the number of these atomic sites and \mathbf{R}_n their location. In the same way, free pairs read in terms of excitons as

$$B_n^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_s}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_s} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot\mathbf{R}_n} B_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger \quad (2.6)$$

as easy to check from the system periodicity which makes the following equations valid

$$\sum_n e^{i(\mathbf{Q}'-\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{R}_n} = N_s \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{Q}} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Q}} e^{i\mathbf{Q}\cdot(\mathbf{R}_{n'}-\mathbf{R}_n)} = N_s \delta_{n'n} \quad (2.8)$$

C. Fermion exchange

The fact that Frenkel excitons are only characterized by their center-of-mass momentum, leads to results linked to their many-body exchanges far simpler than the ones for Wannier excitons. In particular, the Pauli scattering of two Frenkel excitons is structureless: It only contains the expected momentum conservation between the "in" excitons $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2)$ and the "out" excitons $(\mathbf{Q}'_1, \mathbf{Q}'_2)$

$$\lambda \left(\begin{matrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{matrix} \right) = \frac{1}{N_s} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1+\mathbf{Q}'_2, \mathbf{Q}_1+\mathbf{Q}_2} \quad (2.9)$$

More generally, the Shiva diagram for carrier exchange between N Frenkel excitons shown in Fig. 1(b) leads to

$$\frac{1}{N_s^{N-1}} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1+\dots+\mathbf{Q}'_N, \mathbf{Q}_1+\dots+\mathbf{Q}_N} \quad (2.10)$$

By using the Pauli scattering given in Eq. (2.9), we find that the commutators (2.1, 2) for fermion exchanges reduce to

$$\left[D_{\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{Q}}, B_0^{\dagger N} \right] = \frac{2N}{N_s} B_0^{\dagger N-1} B_{\mathbf{Q}-\mathbf{Q}'}^\dagger \quad (2.11)$$

$$\left[B_{\mathbf{Q}}, B_0^{\dagger N} \right] = N B_0^{\dagger N-1} (\delta_{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{0}} - D_{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{0}}) - \frac{N(N-1)}{N_s} B_0^{\dagger N-2} B_{-\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger \quad (2.12)$$

From them, it becomes easy to show that the factor F_N , defined in Eq. (1.3), which makes N Frenkel excitons differing from N elementary bosons, obeys the recursion relation

$$F_N = \left(1 - \frac{N-1}{N_s} \right) F_{N-1} \quad (2.13)$$

So that this factor is just given by

$$F_N = \frac{N_s!}{(N_s - N)! N_s^N} \quad (2.14)$$

This compact expression of F_N as well as the rather simple commutators for fermion exchanges between N excitons given in Eq. (2.11, 12) are of great help to get exact results on Frenkel exciton many-body effects as shown below.

D. Fermion interaction

If we now turn to the interaction scattering induced by the Coulomb interaction which exists between electrons in the various atomic levels, we have been led to split it as

$$\xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \xi_{coul} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} - \xi_{transf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} + \xi_{neut} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

Actually, the last part ξ_{neut} of the interaction scattering ξ does not play any role in the many-body physics of Frenkel excitons because, when inserted in equations like Eq. (2.4), it gives

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 \mathbf{Q}'_2} \xi_{neut} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} B_{\mathbf{Q}'_1}^\dagger B_{\mathbf{Q}'_2}^\dagger = 0 \quad (2.16)$$

Indeed, this neutrality scattering, which comes from the energy ε_0 we must pay to separate electron from hole, is equal to

$$\xi_{neut} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} = 2\varepsilon_0 \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

while, due to Eqs. (2.5, 8) and the fact that $B_n^{\dagger 2} = 0$, we do have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 \mathbf{Q}'_2} \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} B_{\mathbf{Q}'_1}^\dagger B_{\mathbf{Q}'_2}^\dagger = \frac{1}{N_s} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} B_{\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{q}}^\dagger B_{\mathbf{Q}_2 - \mathbf{q}}^\dagger = 0 \quad (2.18)$$

The second part ξ_{transf} of the interaction scattering ξ comes from the *indirect Coulomb* processes between atomic levels, these processes insuring the excitation transfer over the whole system in the case of Frenkel excitons. Such a scattering, quite specific to Frenkel excitons, can actually be seen as a "transfer assisted exchange", the coupling between the "in" excitons ($\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2$) and the "out" excitons ($\mathbf{Q}'_1, \mathbf{Q}'_2$) occurring through the Pauli scattering of these excitons

$$\xi_{transf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} = (\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{Q}'_1} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{Q}'_2}) \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.19)$$

Its energy-like prefactor is related to the \mathbf{Q} dependent part of the "out" exciton energy, namely

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \sum_{\mathbf{R} \neq 0} e^{-i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}} V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.20)$$

where $V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_2 & \nu_2 \\ \nu'_1 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the Coulomb potential between atomic sites at \mathbf{R} , one electron going from the atomic state ν_1 to ν'_1 while the other goes from ν_2 to ν'_2 , the relevant states for Frenkel excitons being the ground state $\nu = 0$ and the first excited state $\nu = 1$. This Coulomb coupling $V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_2 & \nu_2 \\ \nu'_1 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}$ reads in terms of atomic wave functions as

$$V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_2 & \nu_2 \\ \nu'_1 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix} = \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \varphi_{\nu'_1}^*(\mathbf{r}_1) \varphi_{\nu'_2}^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2 + \mathbf{R}|} \varphi_{\nu_2}(\mathbf{r}_2) \varphi_{\nu_1}(\mathbf{r}_1) \quad (2.21)$$

Note that this transfer scattering ξ_{transf} which does not depend on the momentum transfer between the "in" and "out" excitons, but only on the momenta of "out" excitons, has similarity with the "photon assisted exchange scattering" we have recently identified between two polaritons¹⁴.

The first part ξ_{coul} of the interaction scattering ξ in Eq. (2.15) comes from *direct Coulomb* processes between electrons, between holes and between electrons and holes. As for the Coulomb scatterings of two Wannier excitons, this scattering depends on the momentum transfer between the "in" excitons ($\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2$) and the "out" excitons ($\mathbf{Q}'_1, \mathbf{Q}'_2$) through

$$\xi_{coul} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 - \mathbf{Q}_1}}{N_s} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 + \mathbf{Q}'_2, \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2} \quad (2.22)$$

The prefactor $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is similar to $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ but for all possible direct Coulomb processes

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \sum_{\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{0}} e^{-i\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{R}} [V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}] \quad (2.23)$$

If we now construct exchange Coulomb scattering between two excitons along the standard procedure, namely

$$\xi^{in} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{P}_2} \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{P}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{P}_1 \end{pmatrix} \xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{P}_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.24)$$

we find from Eq. (2.9) and the fact that all scatterings conserve momentum, that

$$\xi^{in} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{N_s} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 + \mathbf{Q}'_2, \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}} \xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_2 - \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.25)$$

It is then easy to see that, due to Eq. (2.8), the sum over \mathbf{Q} reduces to zero for ξ_{coul} as well as for ξ_{transf} since the $R = 0$ term is missing from the sums they contain. Consequently,

$$\xi_{transf}^{in} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 = \xi_{coul}^{in} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.26)$$

In the same way, if we construct the exchange scatterings for ξ_{transf} and ξ_{coul} between more than two excitons by adding a Coulomb line on the Shiva diagram shown on Fig. 1b, we find from Eq. (2.10) that the Coulomb exchange scatterings shown in Fig. 2a in the case of four excitons reads as

$$\sum_{\mathbf{P}_1 \mathbf{P}_2} \frac{1}{N_s^3} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 + \mathbf{Q}'_2 + \mathbf{Q}'_3 + \mathbf{Q}'_4, \mathbf{P}_2 + \mathbf{P}_3 + \mathbf{Q}_3 + \mathbf{Q}_4} \xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{P}_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_s^3} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 + \mathbf{Q}'_2 + \mathbf{Q}'_3 + \mathbf{Q}'_4, \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{Q}_3 + \mathbf{Q}_4} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}} \xi \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Q}_2 - \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{array} \right) \quad (2.27)$$

So that, this four-body exchange, as well as all high order exchanges, contains the same sum over \mathbf{Q} which cancels. This shows that all Coulomb exchange scatterings between Frenkel excitons of the type of Fig. 2a reduce to zero. A way to understand the cancellation of these scatterings could be to say that Frenkel excitons are made of electron on top of hole; So that the "relative motion wave function" of these pairs reduces to zero. However this understanding is far too naïve since Pauli scatterings for carrier exchange between two excitons do exist, as seen from the fact that F_N is not equal to 1 but exponentially small.

Actually, there are two types of exchange Coulomb scatterings: we can either have the one of Fig. 2a in which all the "in" and "out" excitons are constructed on different pairs, but we can also have the one of Fig. 2b in which one exciton stays made with the same pair. This other Coulomb exchange scattering which, due to momentum conservation, reads as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\mathbf{P}_2} \frac{1}{N_s^2} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_2 + \mathbf{Q}'_3 + \mathbf{Q}'_4, \mathbf{P}_2 + \mathbf{Q}_3 + \mathbf{Q}_4} \xi \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{P}_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{array} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{N_s^2} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 + \mathbf{Q}'_2 + \mathbf{Q}'_3 + \mathbf{Q}'_4, \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2 + \mathbf{Q}_3 + \mathbf{Q}_4} \xi \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2 - \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{array} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

does not contain the sum over \mathbf{Q} appearing in Eqs. (2.25) or (2.27); so that it does not reduce to zero.

Before going further, it can be of interest to note that Coulomb exchange processes like the one of Fig. 2c a priori exist for Wannier excitons. However, due to the symmetry between electron and hole in the case of Wannier excitons, the 2×2 direct Coulomb scattering of these excitons is such that

$$\xi \left(\begin{array}{cc} n & j \\ i & i \end{array} \right) = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

So that Coulomb exchange scattering like the ones of Fig. 2c reduces to zero for $m = i$. In contrast, since the wave functions for electrons in the atomic ground state and first excited state which enter the definition of ξ_{coul} through $V_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \nu'_2 & \nu_2 \\ \nu'_1 & \nu_1 \end{array} \right)$ defined in Eq. (2.21) are different, such an electron-hole symmetry does not exist for Frenkel excitons. This makes scattering like $\xi_{coul} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{array} \right)$ different from zero for $\mathbf{Q}'_1 = \mathbf{Q}_1$.

At this stage, we can roughly say that exchange Coulomb scatterings like the ones of Fig. 2a are the relevant ones for Wannier excitons, as they are responsible for the η expansion of $\langle H \rangle_N$ while this type of scatterings reduces to zero for Frenkel excitons. In contrast, diagrams like the ones of Fig. 2b are going to control Coulomb exchange processes for Frenkel excitons while they reduce to zero for Wannier excitons when one of the "in" excitons stay in the same state.

All this tends to show that fermion exchanges between Frenkel excitons are unimportant in some configurations but important in a few others. So that a careful calculation of these exchange processes through a secure many-body theory as the one we have developed, is highly desirable to possibly trust the obtained results.

III. HAMILTONIAN MEAN VALUE FOR $N = 2$ EXCITONS

As for many other problems we have already tackled, it is quite valuable to first perform calculations for $N = 2$ because these calculations are far simpler while most of the many-body physics already shows up.

In order to calculate $\langle H \rangle_N$ defined in Eq. (1.2) for $N = 2$, we first note that, due to Eqs. (1.3), (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^2 B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger 2} | v \rangle &= 2! F_2 = 2! \frac{N_s!}{(N_s - 2)! N_s^2} \\ &= 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_s} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

while Eq. (2.4) taken for $N = 2$, leads to

$$H B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger 2} | v \rangle = 2E_0 B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger 2} | v \rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{Q}} \xi \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right) B_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\dagger} B_{-\mathbf{Q}}^{\dagger} | v \rangle \quad (3.2)$$

since $V_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger} | v \rangle = 0$ as easy to check by taking Eq. (2.4) for $N = 1$ acting on $| v \rangle$. The Hamiltonian matrix element between two ground state excitons thus appears as

$$\langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^2 (H - 2E_0) B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger 2} | v \rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{Q}} \xi \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^2 B_{\mathbf{Q}}^{\dagger} B_{-\mathbf{Q}}^{\dagger} | v \rangle \quad (3.3)$$

To go further, we use the scalar product of two Frenkel exciton states which, according to Eqs. (2.1, 2, 9) reads as

$$\langle v | B_{\mathbf{Q}'_1} B_{\mathbf{Q}'_2} B_{\mathbf{Q}_2}^{\dagger} B_{\mathbf{Q}_1}^{\dagger} | v \rangle = \left(\delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_1 \mathbf{Q}_1} \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'_2 \mathbf{Q}_2} - \lambda \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) + (\mathbf{Q}'_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Q}'_2) \quad (3.4)$$

When inserted into Eq. (3.3), the exchange Coulomb scattering generated by the λ term of this scalar product reduces to zero due to Eqs. (2.24) and (2.26). We are thus left with

$$\langle H \rangle_2 = 2E_0 + \frac{\xi \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right)}{1 - 1/N_s} \quad (3.5)$$

In view of this result, we are led to expect the Hamiltonian expectation value for N excitons to have a $N(N - 1)/2$ prefactor in front of the interaction term $\xi \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right)$ of $\langle H \rangle_2$ since the two excitons involved in this scattering are now taken among N ; plus possibly higher order terms in $N(N - 1)(N - 2)\dots$, due to fermion exchanges between more than two excitons. In addition, the term $1/N_s$ in the denominator of Eq. (3.5) could possibly be replaced by $(N - 1)/N_s - \dots (N - 1)(N - 2)/N_s^2 + \dots$ due again to fermion exchanges appearing in F_N .

We are going to show that the amount of N -body exchanges in the Coulomb term exactly cancels the exchanges in F_N ; so that the interaction term of $\langle H \rangle_N$ ends by reading as the one for $\langle H \rangle_2$ with just a $N(N-1)/2$ prefactor and nothing else. Let us now prove this unexpected cancellation which actually holds, within terms in $1/N_s$.

IV. HAMILTONIAN EXPECTATION VALUE FOR N FRENKEL EXCITONS

According to Eq. (1.3), the denominator of $\langle H \rangle_N$ in Eq. (1.2) is just $N!F_N$. To calculate the numerator, we use Eq. (2.4) for $[H, B_0^{\dagger N}]$. This readily gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H \rangle_N &= NE_0 \\ &+ \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2} \xi \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \frac{\langle v | B_0^N B_{\mathbf{Q}_1}^\dagger B_{\mathbf{Q}_2}^\dagger B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle}{N!F_N} \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

A. Algebraic derivation

Since scattering conserves momentum, $\xi \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right)$ differs from zero for $\mathbf{Q}_1 + \mathbf{Q}_2 = 0$ only. So that to get $\langle H \rangle_N$, we must calculate

$$G_N(\mathbf{Q}) = \langle v | B_0^N B_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger B_{-\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \quad (4.2)$$

As for $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$, this scalar product is just $N!F_N$, we in fact need to calculate $G_N(\mathbf{Q})$ for $\mathbf{Q} \neq \mathbf{0}$ only. Since $D_{\mathbf{Q}0} | v \rangle = 0$ as seen from Eq. (2.12) taken for $N = 1$ acting on vacuum, this Eq. (2.12) readily gives

$$G_N^*(\mathbf{Q} \neq \mathbf{0}) = -\frac{N(N-1)}{N_s} \langle v | B_0^{N-2} B_{\mathbf{Q}} B_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \quad (4.3)$$

To go further, we use $[B_{\mathbf{Q}}, B_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger] = 1 - D_{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}}$. This leads to split the RHS of the above equation as

$$\begin{aligned} G_N^*(\mathbf{Q} \neq \mathbf{0}) &= -\frac{N(N-1)}{N_s} \left[\langle v | B_0^{N-2} B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle v | B_0^{N-2} D_{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}} B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle v | B_0^{N-2} B_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger B_{\mathbf{Q}} B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The first term is just $(N-2)!F_{N-2}$. The second term, calculated from Eq. (2.11), gives $[2(N-2)/N_s](N-2)!F_{N-2}$. In the last term, we use Eq. (2.12) for $B_{\mathbf{Q}} B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle$. This allows us to rewrite this term as

$-[(N-2)(N-3)/N_s]G_{N-2}(\mathbf{Q})$. By collecting these three terms, we get a recursion relation between the G_N 's.

It reads

$$G_N^*(\mathbf{Q} \neq \mathbf{0}) = -\frac{N(N-1)}{N_s} \left(1 - 2\frac{N-2}{N_s}\right) (N-2)!F_{N-2} + \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)}{N_s^2} G_{N-2}(\mathbf{Q}) \quad (4.5)$$

Using Eq. (2.13) for F_N , it is then easy to check that this recursion relation is fulfilled for

$$G_N(\mathbf{Q} \neq \mathbf{0}) = -\frac{1}{N_s-1} N!F_N \quad (4.6)$$

So that we end with a surprisingly simple expression for $G_N(\mathbf{Q})$, namely

$$G_N(\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{N_s \delta_{\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{0}} - 1}{N_s - 1} N!F_N \quad (4.7)$$

When inserted into the sum of Eq. (4.1), we find that this sum reduces to

$$\sum_{\mathbf{Q}} \xi \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -\mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \frac{N_s \delta_{\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{0}} - 1}{N_s - 1} \quad (4.8)$$

Since the sum of Coulomb scatterings over \mathbf{Q} gives zero according to Eqs. (2.25, 26), we get the following compact form for the Hamiltonian mean value of N Frenkel excitons

$$\langle H \rangle_N = NE_0 + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \frac{\xi \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right)}{1 - 1/N_s} \quad (4.9)$$

It shows that the interaction term is indeed the one for two Frenkel excitons with just a $N(N-1)/2$ prefactor which corresponds to the number of ways to choose these 2 excitons among N .

B. Shiva diagram derivation

Although the above algebraic derivation is quite easy to follow, it may leave the reader unsatisfied as it does not really show why the amount of exchange processes in the Coulomb term is exactly the same as the one appearing in the normalization factor within terms in $1/N_s$. To grasp this reason, Shiva diagrams once more are quite valuable.

Let us consider the Coulomb term appearing in $\langle H \rangle_N$, namely

$$C_N = -\frac{N(N-1)}{N_s} \sum_{\mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{Q}_2} \langle v | B_0^N B_{\mathbf{Q}_1}^\dagger B_{\mathbf{Q}_2}^\dagger B_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \xi \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{Q}_1 & \mathbf{0} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \quad (4.10)$$

In it, two excitons $\mathbf{0}$ have a direct Coulomb interaction to become $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2)$. They can then possibly mix with the other $(N-2)$ excitons $\mathbf{0}$ through fermion exchanges to end as N excitons $\mathbf{0}$. This sum thus corresponds to the diagram of Fig. 3a.

The simplest of these exchanges corresponds to leave $(N - 1)$ excitons $\mathbf{0}$ unaffected. So that these $(N - 2)$ excitons appear as $\langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^{N-2} B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle = (N - 2)! F_{N-2}$. The two other excitons $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2)$ become $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ with or without exchanging their fermions. This first possibility which corresponds to the diagram of Fig. 3b, thus leads to

$$[(N - 2)! F_{N-2}] [N(N - 1) (\xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} - \xi^{in} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix})] \quad (4.11)$$

the $N(N - 1)$ factor in the second bracket comes from the number of ways to choose the two excitons $\mathbf{0}$ on the left among N .

A second possibility is to mix one exciton $\mathbf{0}$ with the excitons $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2)$ while leaving the other $(N - 3)$ excitons $\mathbf{0}$ apart. This second possibility corresponds to the diagram of Fig. 3c. We have shown in Eq. (2.27) that Coulomb exchange scatterings like the one of Fig. 2a reduce to zero. By using the value of the exchange Shiva diagram of Fig. 1b given in Eq. (2.10), we then find from the diagram of Fig. 3c that this second possibility produces a term

$$- [(N - 3)! F_{N-3}] \left[N(N - 1)(N - 2) \frac{1}{N_s} \xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} (N - 2) \right] 2 \quad (4.12)$$

in the Shiva expansion of C_N . The factor $N(N - 1)(N - 2)$ comes from the number of ways to choose the three excitons $\mathbf{0}$ of the left among N while the factor $(N - 2)$ comes from the number of ways to choose the exciton $\mathbf{0}$ on the right among $(N - 2)$. This term has an overall minus sign because one fermion exchange is involved. An additional factor 2 comes from the number of diagrams which give the same nonzero contributions and which have the structure like the diagram of Fig. 2b.

A third possibility is to mix two excitons $\mathbf{0}$ with the excitons $(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2)$. The nonzero Coulomb exchange diagrams are shown in Fig. 4. They leads to

$$+ [(N - 4)! F_{N-4}] \left[N(N - 1)(N - 2)(N - 3) \frac{1}{N_s^2} \xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} (N - 2)(N - 3) \right] 3 \quad (4.13)$$

and so on...

This Shiva diagram expansion of the Coulomb term C_N defined in Eq. (4.10) allows us to write it as

$$C_N = S_N \xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_N &= (N - 2)! F_{N-2} N(N - 1) - (N - 3)! F_{N-3} N(N - 1)(N - 2) \frac{2}{N_s} (N - 2) \\ &+ (N - 4)! F_{N-4} N(N - 1)(N - 2)(N - 3) \frac{3}{N_s^2} \xi \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} (N - 2)(N - 3) - \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

The last step is to relate S_N to F_N . A simple way to do it is to consider the scalar product

$$P_N(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2) = \langle v | B_{\mathbf{0}}^N B_{\mathbf{Q}_1}^{\dagger} B_{\mathbf{Q}_2}^{\dagger} B_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \quad (4.16)$$

shown in Fig. 5a. Let us perform a Shiva diagram expansion of this scalar product according to the standard rules¹⁵, namely we isolate $(N-2)$, $(N-3)$, ... excitons $\mathbf{0}$ and write all the possible diagrams in which the remaining excitons $\mathbf{0}$ are "not alone". This leads to the diagram of Fig. 5c for the second term. And so on...: By using the results for Shiva diagrams in the case of Frenkel excitons given in Eqs. (2.9, 10), we get for $\mathbf{Q}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_2 = 0$, i.e., when $F_N(\mathbf{Q}_1, \mathbf{Q}_2)$ reduces to $N!F_N$

$$\begin{aligned}
N!F_N &= (N-2)!F_{N-2}N(N-1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{N_s}\right) \\
&+ (N-3)!F_{N-3}N(N-1)(N-2)\left(\frac{2}{N_s} - \frac{2}{N_s^2}\right)(N-2) + \dots \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_s}\right)S_N
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

When inserted into Eqs. (4.14) and (4.1), this result allows one to readily recover the expression of the Hamiltonian mean value for N Frenkel excitons given in Eq. (4.9).

It is clear that such a derivation needs to be knowledgeable with Shiva diagrams. It however has the great advantage to pick out in a transparent way from where the final result comes. In the present case, this is from Coulomb exchange processes like the one of Fig. 2.

C. Physical understanding

In order to identify the microscopic Coulomb processes which control the ground state energy of N Frenkel excitons, we first use the definition of the interaction scattering given in Eqs. (2.15, 16, 19). This leads to

$$\langle H \rangle_N = NE_0 + \frac{N(N-1)}{2(N_s-1)}(\mathcal{W}_0 - 2\mathcal{V}_0) \tag{4.18}$$

When compared to Eq. (1.4), the microscopic value of the Coulomb contribution to the energy is thus found to read $\gamma_0 = \mathcal{W}_0 - 2\mathcal{V}_0$.

To get a precise understanding of the various contributions to the Hamiltonian mean value, let us now write the above quantities in terms of the various elementary Coulomb scatterings between atomic sites. For that, we use Eqs. (2.16, 17, 18, 19, 20) and the expression of the \mathbf{Q} exciton energy, namely $E_{\mathbf{Q}} = E_{pair} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ where the free pair energy $E_{pair} = \varepsilon_e + \varepsilon_h - \varepsilon_0$ with $\varepsilon_0 = V_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - V_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is just the energy of one electron in the excited level and one hole in the ground state level, minus the neutrality energy ε_0 we would pay for not having electron and hole on the same

atomic site (see Ref.¹). This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H \rangle_N = N & \left[\varepsilon_e + \varepsilon_h - V_{\mathbf{0}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\mathbf{R}} V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{(N-1)}{2(N_s-1)} \sum_{\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{0}} [V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

since in the last sum, we can replace $2V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ by $V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, due to Eq. (2.21).

We see that the interaction term, which cancels for $N = 1$, as expected, contains all possible Coulomb processes between the atomic levels 0 and 1 of the different atomic sites, through the sum over $\mathbf{R} \neq \mathbf{0}$. Due to the orthogonality of the $\nu = (0, 1)$ atomic states, it is of importance to note that the indirect Coulomb processes $V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in which electrons change from atomic state 1 to atomic state 0 are expected to be much smaller than the direct Coulomb processes $V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; so that the interaction term of the Hamiltonian expectation value is essentially controlled by direct Coulomb processes $V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_2 & \nu_2 \\ \nu'_1 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Let us however stress that, while these indirect scatterings are not that important in the interaction term of the Hamiltonian mean value, they play a major role in the Frenkel exciton physics because, although extremely small, they are the only processes allowing an excitation transfer between sites as necessary to produce the exciton.

Since the Coulomb matrix elements $V_{\mathbf{R}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_2 & \nu_2 \\ \nu'_1 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}$ entering the Hamiltonian mean value are defined in terms of wave functions for electron in the ground and first excited atomic states, they strongly depend on the chemical properties of the material at hand. This has to be contrasted with Wannier excitons which are constructed on free electrons and free holes, the material of interest just appearing through effective masses induced by the lattice periodicity. For these Wannier excitons, the intraband Coulomb matrix element thus reduces to $4\pi e^2/L^3 q^2$ in 3D. As a result, the Hamiltonian mean value $\langle H \rangle_N$ for these excitons only depends on one input parameter which is the exciton Bohr radius; this is why its η expansion can be derived explicitly for all materials (see Eq. (1.6)). In contrast, the prefactor of the η term for Frenkel excitons highly depends on the material at hand.

If we now come back to Eq. (4.18), we can, in the large sample limit, replace $N_s - 1$ by N_s . So that, for N large compared to 1, the Hamiltonian expectation value expands as given in Eq. (1.5): It only contains a linear term in density. Again, this has to be contrasted with $\langle H \rangle_N$ for Wannier excitons in which the Coulomb term reads as a density expansion in η .

For completeness, let us note that the Hamiltonian mean value for N identical elementary bosons also has just one linear contribution in density. This is expectable since the Hamiltonian mean value contains the interaction at first order only by construction: when the particles are taken as elementary bosons the 2×2 interaction couples

two bosons among N , no fermion exchange exists to possibly mix these two particles with the rest of the system to produce higher order couplings. This is supported by the precise calculation of the Hamiltonian mean value

$$\langle \bar{H} \rangle_N = \frac{\langle v | \bar{B}_0^N \bar{H} \bar{B}_0^{\dagger N} | v \rangle}{\langle v | \bar{B}_0^N \bar{B}_0^{\dagger N} | v \rangle} \quad (4.20)$$

which for bosonized excitons such that $[\bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}'}, \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger] = \delta_{\mathbf{Q}'\mathbf{Q}}$, has a denominator which reduces to $N!$ while, for an effective bosonic Hamiltonian written as

$$\bar{H} = \sum E_{\mathbf{Q}} \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\dagger \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum \bar{V} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{Q}_1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}'_1}^\dagger \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}'_2}^\dagger \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}_2} \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}_1} \quad (4.21)$$

its numerator follows, since $\bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}} \bar{B}_0^{\dagger N} | v \rangle = N \delta_{\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{0}} \bar{B}_0^{\dagger N-1} | v \rangle$, from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v | \bar{B}_0^N (\bar{H} - N E_0) \bar{B}_0^{\dagger N} | v \rangle &= \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \sum \bar{V} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}'_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{Q}'_1 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\langle v | \bar{B}_0^N \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}'_1}^\dagger \bar{B}_{\mathbf{Q}'_2}^\dagger \bar{B}_0^{\dagger N-2} | v \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

This leads to an Hamiltonian mean value given by

$$\langle \bar{H} \rangle_N = N E_0 + \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \bar{V} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.23)$$

By noting that the scattering $\bar{V} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$ must decrease as $1/L^D$ with the sample volume, as necessary for the extensivity of the system, we see that the correction to the energy of one bosonized exciton just increases linearly with the exciton density N/L^D .

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we calculate the ground state energy of N Frenkel excitons in the Born approximation through the Hamiltonian mean value in the state made of N ground state excitons. We show that the energy change induced by exciton interactions contains one linear term only in the exciton density, while higher order terms appear in the case of Wannier excitons. A naive reason could be that, since Frenkel excitons are constructed on highly localized atomic wave functions, their exchange Coulomb scatterings must reduce to zero, making Frenkel excitons appearing as elementary bosons. This however does not hold since the normalization factor of N Frenkel excitons is exponentially small compared to the one for N elementary bosons. The deep nonobvious reason for having one linear term only in the Hamiltonian mean value, is the fact that the same exponentially small prefactor also exists in the Coulomb term of the Hamiltonian matrix element, within corrections in $1/N_s$ where N_s is the number of atomic sites. So that, in

the end, all the exchange processes appearing in $\langle H \rangle_N$ finally disappear, as if Frenkel excitons were true elementary particles, within terms in $1/N_s$. Here again, Shiva diagrams enlighten the physical understanding of the fermion exchanges which lead to this rather unexpected cancellation.

-
- ¹ M. Combescot and W. V. Pogosov, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 085206 (2008).
- ² M. Combescot and W. V. Pogosov, cond-mat/0802.0145.
- ³ V. M. Agranovich and M. D. Galanin, Electronic Excitation Energy Transfer in Condensed Matter (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982).
- ⁴ M. Hoffmann, K. Schmidt, T. Fritz, T. Hasche, V.M. Agranovich, and K. Leo, Chem. Phys. **258**, 73 (2000).
- ⁵ V. M. Agranovich and B. S. Toshich, Sov. Phys. JETP **26**, 104 (1968).
- ⁶ A. S. Davydov, Theory of Molecular Excitons (Plenum Press, New York, 1971).
- ⁷ V. Chernyak and S. Mukamel, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B13, 1302 (1996).
- ⁸ S. Mukamel, Principles of Nonlinear Optics and Spectroscopy, Oxford University Press (1995).
- ⁹ V. Chernyak, S. Yokojima, T. Meier, and S. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 4496 (1998).
- ¹⁰ V. M. Axt and S. Mukamel, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70**, 145 (1998).
- ¹¹ D. Abramavicius, B. Palmieri, D. V. Voronine, F. Sanda, and S. Mukamel, unpublished.
- ¹² M. Combescot and O. Betbeder-Matibet, to appear in Phys. Rev. B, cond-mat/0806.0435.
- ¹³ M. Combescot, O. Betbeder-Matibet, and F. Dubin, Physics Reports **463**, 215 (2008).
- ¹⁴ M. Combescot, M. A. Dupertuis, and O. Betbeder-Matibet, Europhys. Lett. **75**, 17001 (2007).
- ¹⁵ M. Combescot and O. Betbeder-Matibet, Eur. Phys. J. B **55**, 63 (2007).

Acknowledgments

W. V. P. is supported by the Ministry of Education of France, the Russian Science Support Foundation, and the President of Russia program for young scientists.





Figure 1: (a) Shiva diagrams for carrier exchanges between two Frenkel excitons. This diagram represents the Pauli scattering $\lambda \begin{pmatrix} Q'_2 & Q_2 \\ Q'_1 & Q_1 \end{pmatrix}$ given in Eq. (2.9). (b) Shiva diagram for carrier exchanges between N Frenkel excitons, as given in Eq. (2.10).




Figure 2: (a) Coulomb exchange processes between 4 Frenkel excitons in which all "in" excitons and "out" excitons are made with different electron-hole pairs. This type of processes reduces to zero for Frenkel excitons (see Eq. (2.27)). (b) Coulomb exchange process in which one Frenkel exciton keeps its carrier pair (see Eq. (2.28)). (c) Same as (b) for Wannier excitons characterized by $i = (Q_1, \nu_1)$. This type of Coulomb exchange process reduces to zero for Wannier excitons when the exciton which keeps its fermion stays in the same state ($m = i$).




Figure 3: (a) Shiva diagram representation of the Coulomb part of the Hamiltonian mean value, as given in Eq. (4.9). (b) First order term: $(N - 2)$ excitons $\mathbf{0}$ stay unaffected in this process. (c) Second order term: one exciton $\mathbf{0}$ among $(N - 2)$ exchanges its fermion with the ones scattered by the 2×2 Coulomb interaction.




Figure 4: Non-zero Coulomb exchange process in which two excitons $\mathbf{0}$ among $(N - 2)$ exchange their fermions with the ones scattered by the 2×2 Coulomb interaction.




Figure 5: (a) Shiva diagrams representation of the scalar product of N exciton state in which 2 excitons on one side have different momenta Q_1 and Q_2 . (b) First order term: $(N - 2)$ excitons 0 stay unaffected. The excitons (Q_1, Q_2) can either keep their fermion or have an exchange. (c) Second order term: $(N - 3)$ excitons 0 stay unaffected.