

# On the intersections of solvable Hall subgroups in finite groups<sup>1</sup>

In the paper we consider the following conjecture: if a finite group  $G$  possesses a solvable  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$ , then there exist elements  $x, y, z, t \in G$  such that the identity  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = O_\pi(G)$  holds. The minimal counter example is shown to be an almost simple group of Lie type.

**Keywords:** Solvable Hall subgroup, finite simple group,  $\pi$ -radical

## Introduction

The notation in the paper is standard and agree with that of [1]. In this paper we consider finite groups only, so the term “group” always means “finite group”. By  $\pi$  we always denote a set of primes, by  $\pi'$  its complement in the set of all primes is denoted. If  $n$  is a positive integer, then a set of all prime divisors of  $n$  is denoted by  $\pi(n)$ . A number  $n$  is called a  $\pi$ -number, if  $\pi(n) \subseteq \pi$ . For a finite group  $G$  we set  $\pi(G) := \pi(|G|)$ . A group  $G$  is called a  $\pi$ -group, if  $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi$ . The maximal normal  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  is denoted by  $O_\pi(G)$ . A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup, if  $\pi(H) \subseteq \pi$  and  $\pi(|G : H|) \subseteq \pi'$ . The set of all  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups of  $G$  is denoted by  $\text{Hall}_\pi(G)$ , the set of all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  is denoted by  $\text{Syl}_p(G)$  (clearly,  $\text{Syl}_p(G) = \text{Hall}_p(G)$ ). A group  $G$  is called a  $\pi$ -solvable, if it possesses a subnormal series  $\{e\} = G_0 < G_1 < G_2 < \dots < G_{n-1} < G_n = G$  such that all its sections are either  $\pi'$ -groups, or solvable groups. For a group  $G$  and a subgroup  $S$  of  $S_n$  by  $G \wr S$  a permutation wreath product is denoted.

After Ph.Hall [2] we say that a group  $G$  satisfies  $E_\pi$  (or briefly  $G \in E_\pi$ ), if  $G$  possesses a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup. If  $G \in E_\pi$  and all  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups are conjugate, then we say that  $G$  satisfies  $C_\pi$  ( $G \in C_\pi$ ). If  $G \in C_\pi$  and every  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  is included into a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ , then we say that  $G$  satisfies  $D_\pi$  ( $G \in D_\pi$ ).

Let  $A, B, H$  be subgroups of  $G$  such that  $B \trianglelefteq A$ . Then  $N_H(A/B) = N_H(A) \cap N_H(B)$  is called a normalizer of  $A/B$ . If  $x \in N_H(A/B)$ , then  $x$  induces an automorphism of  $A/B$ , acting by  $Ba \mapsto Bx^{-1}ax$ . Thus there exists a homomorphism  $N_H(A/B) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A/B)$ . The image of  $N_H(A/B)$  under this homomorphism is denoted by  $\text{Aut}_H(A/B)$  and is called a *group of induces automorphisms* of  $A/B$  in  $H$ . Note that for given composition factor  $A/B$  of  $G$  the group of induced automorphisms  $\text{Aut}_G(A/B)$  depends on the choice of  $A$  and  $B$ , i. e., depends on the choice of a composition series. If  $A \leq G$ , then  $\text{Aut}_G(A) = \text{Aut}_G(A/\{e\})$  by definition.

In this paper we consider the following

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $H$  be a solvable  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of a finite group  $G$ . Then there exist elements  $x, y, z, t$  such that the equality*

$$H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = O_\pi(G) \quad (1)$$

*holds*

---

<sup>1</sup> The work is supported by SB RAS and UrB RAS, Integration project “Groups and graphs”. The first author is supported by RF President grant for young scientists, MK-3036.2007.1, RF President grant for scientific schools NSC-344.2008.1, and RFBR, grant N 08-01-00322. The second author is supported by RFBR, grant N 07-01-00148.

We show that a minimal counter example to this conjecture is an almost simple group, and also we show that Conjecture 1 is satisfied for almost simple groups with simple socle isomorphic to an alternating or a sporadic group.

Let  $\overline{\phantom{x}} : G \rightarrow G/O_\pi(G)$  be the natural homomorphism. Using elementary equation  $|A \cdot B| = \frac{|A| \cdot |B|}{|A \cap B|}$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are subgroups of  $G$ , we obtain (under Conjecture 1) that for a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  the series of inequalities

$$|\overline{G}| \geq |\overline{H} \cdot \overline{H}^x| = \frac{|\overline{H}| \cdot |\overline{H}^x|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x|} = \frac{|\overline{H}| \cdot |\overline{H}^x|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x|} \cdot \frac{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x| \cdot |\overline{H}^y|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x \cap \overline{H}^y| \cdot |(\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x)\overline{H}^y|} \geq \frac{|\overline{H}| \cdot |\overline{H}^x| \cdot |\overline{H}^y|}{|\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^x \cap \overline{H}^y| \cdot |\overline{G}|} \geq \dots \geq \frac{|\overline{H}|^5}{|\overline{G}|^3}$$

holds. Thus an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1 implies that the inequality  $|H/O_\pi(G)| < |G : H|^4$  holds.

Note that D.S.Passman in [3] proved that a  $p$ -solvable group always possesses three Sylow  $p$ -subgroups such that their intersection is equal to  $O_p(G)$ . Later V.I.Zenkov proved the same statement for an arbitrary group (see [4, Corollary C]). In [5] S.Dolfi proved that if  $2 \notin \pi$ , then every  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$  possesses three  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups such that their intersection is equal to  $O_\pi(G)$ . In [6] S.Dolfi proved that every in  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$  there exist elements  $x, y \in G$  such that the equality  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = O_\pi(G)$  holds (see also [7]). On the other hand, the condition of solvability of  $H$  in Conjecture 1 is essential. Indeed, if  $p$  is a prime, then  $S_{p-1}$  is a  $p'$ -Hall subgroup of  $S_p$ , but the intersection of every  $p-2$  conjugate subgroups is not equal to  $\{e\}$ .

Let  $G$  be a subgroup of the symmetric group  $\text{Sym}_n$ . A partition  $P_1 \sqcup P_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup P_m$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  is called an *asymmetric partition* for  $G$ , if only the identity element of  $G$  fixes the partition, i. e., the equality  $P_j x = P_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$  implies that  $x = e$ . Clearly for every subgroup  $G$  the partition  $P_1 = \{1\}, P_2 = \{2\}, \dots, P_n = \{n\}$  is always asymmetric. In [8, Theorem 1.2] is proven that for  $G$  solvable there exists an asymmetric partition with  $m \leq 5$ .

## 1 Preliminary results

The following statements are known.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (a) *for  $H$  a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups of  $G$  we have that  $HA/A$  and  $H \cap A$  are  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups of  $G/A$  and  $A$  respectively;*
- (b) *if  $A \in C_\pi$  and  $G/A \in E_\pi$  (resp.  $G/A \in C_\pi$ ), then  $G \in E_\pi$  (resp.  $G \in C_\pi$ );*
- (c) *if there exists a subnormal series of  $G$  such that all its factors are either  $\pi$ - or  $\pi'$ -groups, then  $G \in D_\pi$ .*
- (d) *if  $G/A$  is a  $\pi$ -group and  $H \in \text{Hall}_\pi(A)$ , then a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $\overline{H}$  of  $G$  with  $\overline{H} \cap A = H$  exists if and only if  $G$  acting by conjugation leaves the set  $\{H^a \mid a \in A\}$  invariant.*

Let  $S$  be a non-Abelian finite simple group and  $G$  is such that there exists a normal subgroup  $T = S_1 \times \dots \times S_n$  of  $G$  satisfying the following conditions

- (a)  $S_1 \simeq \dots \simeq S_n \simeq S$ ;
- (b) the action of  $G$  on  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  by conjugation is transitive;
- (c)  $C_G(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \{e\}$ .

Subgroups  $N_G(S_1), \dots, N_G(S_n)$  are conjugate, since the action of  $G$  is transitive. Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}_n$  be the permutation representation of  $G$  on the right cosets of  $N_G(S_1)$ . Since the action by right multiplication of  $G$  on the right cosets of  $N_G(S_1)$  coincide with the action by conjugation of  $G$  on the set  $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  we obtain that  $G\rho$  is a transitive subgroup of  $\text{Sym}_n$ . By [9, Hauptsatz 1.4, p. 413] there exists a monomorphism

$$\varphi : G \rightarrow (N_G(S_1) \times \dots \times N_G(S_n)) : (G\rho) = N_G(S_1) \wr (G\rho) = L.$$

Consider the natural homomorphism

$$\psi : L \rightarrow L/(C_G(S_1) \times \dots \times C_G(S_n)).$$

Denoting the subgroup  $\text{Aut}_G(S_i) = N_G(S_i)/C_G(S_i)$  by  $A_i$  we obtain that

$$\varphi \circ \psi : G \rightarrow (A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) : (G\rho)$$

is a homomorphic inclusion of  $G$  into  $(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) : (G\rho) \simeq A_1 \wr (G\rho) =: \overline{G}$ . The kernel of the homomorphism is equal to  $C_G(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \{e\}$ , i. e.,  $\varphi \circ \psi$  is a monomorphism and we identify  $G$  with the subgroup  $G(\varphi \circ \psi)$  of  $\overline{G}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *In the above notation assume that there exists a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and  $G = (S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)H$ . Then there exists a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $\overline{H}$  of  $\overline{G}$  such that  $\overline{H} \cap G = H$ .*

*P r o o f.* The factor group  $\overline{G}/(S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)$  is a  $\pi$ -group. By construction  $\text{Aut}_{\overline{G}}(S_1) = \text{Aut}_G(S_1)$  and  $\text{Aut}_{\overline{G}}(S_i) = \text{Aut}_G(S_i) \simeq \text{Aut}_G(S_1)$  for all  $i$ . By Lemma 1(d) a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $M$  of  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$  is included into a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$  (resp. of  $\overline{G}$ ) if and only if the set  $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_k\}$  is invariant under the action by conjugation of  $G$  (resp. of  $\overline{G}$ ). In order to complete the proof it is enough to show that for  $H$  a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$  and  $M = H \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)$  the class  $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$  is  $\overline{G}$ -invariant. Indeed, in this case there exists a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $\overline{H}$  of  $\overline{G}$  with  $\overline{H} \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_n) = M$ . Hence  $H, \overline{H} \leq N_{\overline{G}}(M)$ . Since  $\overline{G}/(S_1 \times \dots \times S_n)$  is a  $\pi$ -group Lemma 1(c) implies that  $N_{\overline{G}}(M) \in D_\pi$ , so  $H$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $\overline{H}$ . The fact that  $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$  is  $\overline{G}$ -invariant follows easily from the construction of  $\overline{G}$  and inclusion of  $G$  into  $\overline{G}$ , and also from the fact that  $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$  is  $G$ -invariant by Lemma 1(d). Indeed, every element from  $\overline{G}$  can be written as  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)g$ , where  $a_i \in A_i$  and  $g \in G$ . Since  $\{M^s \mid s \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_n\}$  is  $G$ -invariant, we may assume that  $g = e$ . More over, for every  $i$  the class  $\{(M \cap S_i)^x \mid x \in S_i\}$  is  $A_i$ -invariant by construction, and the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** [10] *Let  $A$  be an Abelian subgroup of a finite group  $G$ . Then there exists  $x \in G$  such that  $A \cap A^x \leq F(G)$ .*

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G = P : R$ , where  $P$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $R$  is an Abelian  $p'$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$  with  $C_R(P) = \{e\}$ . Then there exists  $x \in P$  such that  $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$ .*

## 2 Reduction to an almost simple group

For inductive arguments we need to define an additional condition **(Orb)** to Conjecture 1, which also need a verification. It is clear that for  $h \in H$  and four-tuple  $(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t)$  satisfying (1), the four-tuple  $(H^{xh}, H^{yh}, H^{zh}, H^{th})$  also satisfies (1). Thus  $H$  acts by conjugation on the set of four-tuples  $(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t)$  satisfying (1). Condition **(Orb)** states that there exists at least five orbits under this action of  $H$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite group and subgroups  $A, B$  of  $G$  are chosen so that  $A/B$  is a non-Abelian composition factor of  $G$ . The group  $G$  is said to satisfy condition **(CI) $_{\pi}$** , if for every composition factor  $A/B$  (and for every choice of  $A, B$ ) Conjecture 1 and condition **(Orb)** are satisfied for  $\text{Aut}_G(A/B)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $H$  be a solvable  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$ , and  $G$  satisfies **(CI) $_{\pi}$** . Then there exist  $x, y, z, t \in G$  such that (1) holds. Moreover, if  $G$  is insolvable, then it satisfies **(Orb)**.*

*P r o o f.* Let  $G$  be a counter example to the statement of the theorem of minimal order. By [6] (see also [7])  $G$  is insolvable. Let  $S(G)$  be a solvable radical of  $G$ . Note that solvability of  $H$  implies that  $O_{\pi}(G)$  is also solvable, so  $O_{\pi}(G) \leq S(G)$ .

Assume that  $S(G)$  is nontrivial. By Lemma 1(a),  $H_1 = H \cap S(G)$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S(G)$  and  $|S(G)| < |G|$ . Hence  $S(G)$  satisfies to the statement of the theorem. More over, denoting  $G/S(G)$  by  $\overline{G}$  we obtain that  $\overline{G}$  also satisfies to the statement of the theorem, and  $O_{\pi}(\overline{G}) = \{\overline{e}\}$ . The Frattini argument (since  $S(G)$  is solvable, all its  $\pi$ -Hall subgroups are conjugate) implies that the equality  $G = N_G(H_1)S(G)$  holds. Choose elements  $x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1 \in S(G)$  so that the equality

$$H_1 \cap H_1^{x_1} \cap H_1^{y_1} \cap H_1^{z_1} \cap H_1^{t_1} = O_{\pi}(S(G)) = O_{\pi}(G)$$

is true. Elements  $x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2 \in G$  we choose so that the equality

$$\overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{x}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{y}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{z}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{t}_2} = \{\overline{e}\} \quad (2)$$

is true. Now choose a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  in  $N_G(H_1)$ , in  $N_G(H_1^{x_1})$  we choose a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H^x$  so that its image in  $\overline{G}$  coincides with  $\overline{H}^{\overline{x}_2}$  and so on; in  $N_G(H_1^{t_1})$  we choose a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H^t$  so that its image in  $\overline{G}$  coincides with  $\overline{H}^{\overline{t}_2}$  (such subgroups exist by Lemma 1(d)).

We show that  $D = H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = O_{\pi}(G)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} D \cap S(G) &= H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t \cap S(G) = \\ &= (H \cap S(G)) \cap (H^x \cap S(G)) \cap (H^y \cap S(G)) \cap (H^z \cap S(G)) \cap (H^t \cap S(G)) = \\ &= H_1 \cap H_1^{x_1} \cap H_1^{y_1} \cap H_1^{z_1} \cap H_1^{t_1} = O_{\pi}(G), \end{aligned}$$

i. e.  $D \cap S(G) = O_{\pi}(G)$ . More over

$$\overline{D} = \overline{H} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{x}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{y}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{z}_2} \cap \overline{H}^{\overline{t}_2} = \{\overline{e}\},$$

so  $D = O_{\pi}(G)$ . Since  $G$  is insolvable, the factor group  $\overline{G}$  is insolvable as well. By induction, there exist at least five four-tuples  $(\overline{H}^{\overline{x}_2}, \overline{H}^{\overline{y}_2}, \overline{H}^{\overline{z}_2}, \overline{H}^{\overline{t}_2})$ , satisfying (2), under action by conjugation of  $H$ . Therefore there exists at least five four-tuples  $(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t)$  satisfying (1). A contradiction with the fact, that  $G$  is a minimal counter example. Hence  $S(G) = \{e\}$ .

Consider  $G_1 = F^*(G)H$ . Then  $C_G(F^*(G)) \leq F^*(G)$ , and, since  $S(G) = \{e\}$ , we have that  $F(G) = \{e\}$  and  $F^*(G) = E(G) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$ , where  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  are non-Abelian simple groups. Therefore  $H$  acts by conjugation faithfully on  $E(G)$ . If  $G \neq G_1$ , then  $G_1$  satisfies to the statement of the theorem by induction. Hence  $G$  also satisfies to the statements of the theorem, i. e.,  $G$  is not a minimal counter example. So  $G = G_1 = E(G)H$ . Since  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  are simple we obtain that  $G$  acting by conjugation permutes elements of  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ .

Assume that  $G$  or, equivalently  $H$  acts intransitively on the set  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ . Since  $E(G) = S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$  we have that  $E_1 = \langle S_1^H \rangle \neq E(G)$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . So  $E(G) = E_1 \times E_2$ , where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are  $H$ -invariant subgroups. Therefore there exists a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G/(C_G(E_1)) \times G/(C_G(E_2))$  such that its image is a subdirect product of  $G/(C_G(E_1))$  and  $G/(C_G(E_2))$ , while the kernel is  $C_G(E_1) \cap C_G(E_2) = C_G(E(G)) = \{e\}$ . Denote the projections of  $G$  onto  $G/(C_G(E_1))$  and  $G/(C_G(E_2))$  by  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  respectively. Since  $G = E(G)H$  and  $E_1 \leq \text{Ker}(\pi_2)$ ,  $E_2 \leq \text{Ker}(\pi_1)$  we obtain the following equalities  $G\pi_1 = E_1(H\pi_1)$  and  $G\pi_2 = E_2(H\pi_2)$  (we identify  $E_i\pi_i$  and  $E_i$ , since  $E_i\pi_i \simeq E_i$ ). By induction there exist  $x_i, y_i, z_i, t_i \in E_i(H\pi_i)$  such that

$$(H\pi_i) \cap (H\pi_i)^{x_i} \cap (H\pi_i)^{y_i} \cap (H\pi_i)^{z_i} \cap (H\pi_i)^{t_i} = \{e\}. \quad (3)$$

Since  $G\pi_i = E_i(H\pi_i)$  we may assume that elements  $x_i, y_i, z_i, t_i$  are in  $E_i$ . Consider  $x = x_1x_2$ ,  $y = y_1y_2$ ,  $z = z_1z_2$ ,  $t = t_1t_2$ . Since (3) is true for all  $i$  it follows that for elements  $x, y, z, t$  equality (1) is true. Since there exist at least five orbits of four-tuples  $((H\pi_1)^{x_1}, (H\pi_1)^{y_1}, (H\pi_1)^{z_1}, (H\pi_1)^{t_1})$  under the action of  $H\pi_1$  we obtain that there exist at least five four-tuples  $(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t)$  under the action of  $H$ . Thus  $G$  satisfies to the statements of the theorem, i. e.,  $G$  is not a counter example.

Thus  $H$  acts transitively on  $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  and, in view of condition  $(\mathbf{CI})_\pi$ , we may assume that  $k > 1$ . By Lemma 2 we also may assume that  $G = (A_1 \times \dots \times A_k) : L = A_1 \wr L$ , where  $S_i \leq A_i \leq \text{Aut}(S_i)$  and  $L$  is the image of  $G$  or, that is the same, of  $H$  in  $\text{Sym}_k$  (in particular  $L$  is a solvable  $\pi$ -group). Denote  $H \cap A_i$  by  $H_i$ . By Lemma 1 the subgroup  $H_i$  is a solvable  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $A_i$ . In view of condition  $(\mathbf{CI})_\pi$ , there exist at least five orbits of four-tuples  $(H_1^{x_1}, H_1^{y_1}, H_1^{z_1}, H_1^{t_1})$ , satisfying the equality

$$H_1 \cap H_1^{x_1} \cap H_1^{y_1} \cap H_1^{z_1} \cap H_1^{t_1} = \{e\},$$

under the action of  $H_1$ . Set  $(H_1^{x_{1,j}}, H_1^{y_{1,j}}, H_1^{z_{1,j}}, H_1^{t_{1,j}})$  to be a representative of the  $j$ -th orbit ( $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ). Let  $h \in H$  and  $S_1^h = S_i$  (hence,  $H_1^h = H_i$ ). Consider

$$(H_1^{x_{1,j}}, H_1^{y_{1,j}}, H_1^{z_{1,j}}, H_1^{t_{1,j}}) \text{ and } (H_1^{x_{1,l}}, H_1^{y_{1,l}}, H_1^{z_{1,l}}, H_1^{t_{1,l}})$$

, representatives of distinct orbits of four-tuples under the action of  $H_1$ . It is clear that

$$((H_1^{x_{1,j}})^h, (H_1^{y_{1,j}})^h, (H_1^{z_{1,j}})^h, (H_1^{t_{1,j}})^h) \text{ and } ((H_1^{x_{1,l}})^h, (H_1^{y_{1,l}})^h, (H_1^{z_{1,l}})^h, (H_1^{t_{1,l}})^h)$$

are also representatives of distinct orbits of four-tuples under the action of  $H_i$ . Moreover, the set of four-tuples

$$\{((H_1^{x_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i, (H_1^{y_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i, (H_1^{z_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i, (H_1^{t_{1,j}})^h \cap S_i) \mid h \in H\}$$

is in the same orbit under the action of  $H_i$ . Note that the subgroup  $H_{1,j_1} \times \dots \times H_{k,j_k}$  is a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$  (see Lemma 1(a)) and is contained in a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$  for every choice of  $j_i$ -s from  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  (see Lemma 1(d)).

By [8, Theorem 1.2] there exists an asymmetric partition  $P_1 \sqcup P_2 \sqcup P_3 \sqcup P_4 \sqcup P_5$  множества  $\{1, \dots, k\}$  (some of these sets may be empty) such that only identity element of  $L (\simeq G/(S_1 \times \dots \times S_k))$  stabilizes this partition. Set  $j(i) = m$ , if  $i \in P_m$  and consider subgroups

$$M_1 = H_1 \times \dots \times H_k, M_2 = H_1^{x_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{x_{k,j(k)}}, M_3 = H_1^{y_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{y_{k,j(k)}}, \\ M_4 = H_1^{z_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{z_{k,j(k)}}, M_5 = H_1^{t_{1,j(1)}} \times \dots \times H_k^{t_{k,j(k)}}.$$

By construction there exist elements  $x, y, z, t \in S_1 \times \dots \times S_k$  such that  $M_2 = M_1^x, M_3 = M_1^y, M_4 = M_1^z, M_5 = M_1^t$ . We show that  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = \{e\}$ . Note that by construction  $H \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_1, H^x \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_2, H^y \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_3, H^z \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_4, H^t \cap (S_1 \times \dots \times S_k) = M_5$ , so if  $h \in H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t$ , then  $h$  normalizes subgroups  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, M_5$ . Assume that  $S_i^h = S_{h(i)}$ , then  $H_i^h = H_{h(i)}$ , while tuples

$$((H_i^{x_{i,j(i)}})^h, (H_i^{y_{i,j(i)}})^h, (H_i^{z_{i,j(i)}})^h, (H_i^{t_{i,j(i)}})^h), (H_{h(i)}^{x_{h(i),j(h(i))}}, H_{h(i)}^{y_{h(i),j(h(i))}}, H_{h(i)}^{z_{h(i),j(h(i))}}, H_{h(i)}^{t_{h(i),j(h(i))}})$$

are in the same  $H_{h(i)}$ -orbit. Hence  $j(i)$  and  $j(h(i))$  are in the same set  $P_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Therefore  $h$  stabilizes the partition  $P_1 \sqcup P_2 \sqcup P_3 \sqcup P_4 \sqcup P_5$  of  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ , so its image in  $L$  equals  $e$ . Hence  $h \in M_1 \cap M_2 \cap M_3 \cap M_4 \cap M_5 = \{e\}$ .

Choosing  $M_2, M_3, M_4, M_5$  to be equal to  $H_1^{x_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{x_{k,j(k)}+1}, H_1^{y_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{y_{k,j(k)}+1}, H_1^{z_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{z_{k,j(k)}+1}, H_1^{t_{1,j(1)}+1} \times \dots \times H_k^{t_{k,j(k)}+1}, \dots, H_1^{x_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{x_{k,j(k)}+4}, H_1^{y_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{y_{k,j(k)}+4}, H_1^{z_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{z_{k,j(k)}+4}, H_1^{t_{1,j(1)}+4} \times \dots \times H_k^{t_{k,j(k)}+4}$  we obtain at least five orbits of four-tuples, satisfying (1), under the action of  $H$ .  $\square$

### 3 Intersection of solvable Hall subgroups in almost simple groups

In this section we prove that a finite almost simple group, with simple socle isomorphic to either an alternating or a sporadic group, satisfies  $(\mathbf{CI})_\pi$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $H$  be a solvable  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of an almost simple group  $G$ . Assume also that the socle  $F^*(G)$  is isomorphic to either an alternating group, or a sporadic group. Then there exist  $x, y, z, t \in G$  such that equality (1) holds. More over,  $G$  satisfies  $(\mathbf{Orb})$ .*

**P r o o f.** We show first that if  $G$  possesses elements  $x, y, z$  such that  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$ , then there exists at least five orbits of four-tuples  $(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t)$ , satisfying (1), under the action by conjugation of  $H$ . Indeed, if  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$ , then four-tuples

$$(H, H^x, H^y, H^z), (H^x, H, H^y, H^z), (H^x, H^y, H, H^z), (H^x, H^y, H^z, H), (H^x, H^x, H^y, H^z)$$

are in distinct  $H$ -orbits.

Now we proceed by investigating distinct almost simple groups. For given almost simple group we try to find elements  $x, y, z$ , with  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$  first (as we noted above, in this case the condition on the number of  $H$ -orbits is satisfied automatically), and only if such elements do not exist, we find four elements  $x, y, z, t$  and prove that there exist at least five  $H$ -orbits. Set  $S = F^*(G)$  to be a simple socle of  $G$ .

(I)  $S \simeq \text{Alt}_n$ ,  $n \geq 5$ . By [11, Theorem 4.3] and Lemma 1(d) it follows that every  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $S$  is contained in a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(S)$ . Hence [2, Theorem A4] implies that either  $|\pi \cap \pi(S)| = 1$  and  $H$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $S$  (hence of  $G$ ), or  $n = 5, 7$  or  $8$  and  $\pi \cap \pi(S) = \{2, 3\}$ . If  $H$  is a Sylow subgroup, then by [4, Corollary C] it follows that there exist  $x, y \in G$  such that  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = \{e\}$ . So assume that  $H$  is a  $\{2, 3\}$ -Hall subgroup of  $G$  and  $n = 5, 7$  or  $8$ . In this case  $\text{Aut}(\text{Alt}_n) = \text{Sym}_n$  and, since  $G = HS$ , we need to prove the statement of the theorem for the case  $G = \text{Aut}(S) = \text{Sym}_n$ . Consider all three possibilities for  $n$ .

If  $G = \text{Sym}_5$ , then  $H = \text{Sym}_4$  is a point stabilizer in the natural permutation representation. Since the intersection of every four point stabilizers is trivial and point stabilizers of a transitive group are conjugate, there exist  $x, y, z \in G$  such that  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$ .

If  $G = \text{Sym}_7$ , then  $H = \text{Sym}_3 \times \text{Sym}_4$ . Up to conjugation in  $G$ , sets  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\{4, 5, 6, 7\}$  are  $H$ -orbits. Direct calculations show that for  $x = (2, 4)(3, 5)$  and  $y = (1, 2, 4)(3, 6, 5)$  the equality  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = \{e\}$  holds.

If  $G = \text{Sym}_8$ , then  $H = \text{Sym}_4 \wr \text{Sym}_2$ . Up to conjugation in  $G$  we may assume that  $H$  is generated by  $(1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 2), (1, 5)(2, 6)(3, 7)(4, 8)$ . In this case there do not exist elements  $x, y, z \in G$  such that  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$ . Indeed, the action by right multiplication of  $G$  on the set of right cosets  $G : H$  gives an embedding of  $G$  into  $\text{Sym}_{35}$  (and the image of  $G$  under this embedding is primitive). By using [12] it is easy to check that on the set  $(G : H)^4$  under this action there exist 152 orbits, of order at most  $20160 = |G|/2$ , i. e., the stabilizer of every four points is nontrivial. This means that the intersection of every four conjugate with  $H$  subgroups (by construction  $H$  is a point stabilizer) is nontrivial.

For elements  $x = (4, 5), y = (3, 5)(4, 6), z = (4, 7, 6, 5), t = (2, 3, 4, 5)$  the equality  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = \{e\}$  holds. We estimate the number of orbits of  $H$  on the set  $X$  of four-tuples

$$X := \{(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t) \mid H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t = \{e\}\}.$$

Since the equality  $N_G(H) = H$  holds, an element  $g \in G$  leaves the four-tuple  $(H^x, H^y, H^z, H^t)$  invariant if and only if  $g \in H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t$ . Thus the action of  $H$  on  $X$  is regular, so the size of every  $H$ -orbit is equal to  $|H| = 1152$ . The intersection  $H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z \cap H^t$  is a cyclic group of order 2, which is generated by  $a = (1, 8)(2, 4)(3, 6)(5, 7)$ . The equality  $H \cap (H^x)^g \cap (H^y)^g \cap (H^z)^g \cap (H^t)^g = \{e\}$  is true if and only if  $a^g \notin H$ . More over, the equality  $N_G(H) = H$  implies that four-tuples

$$((H^x)^{g_1}, (H^y)^{g_1}, (H^z)^{g_1}, (H^t)^{g_1}), ((H^x)^{g_2}, (H^y)^{g_2}, (H^z)^{g_2}, (H^t)^{g_2})$$

coincide if and only if  $g_1 g_2^{-1} \in \langle a \rangle$ . Direct calculations (or by using [12]) it is easy to check that  $G \setminus H$  contains 72 conjugate with  $a$  elements. Further more  $C_G(a) \simeq 2 \wr \text{Sym}_4$  and  $|C_G(a)| = 384$ . So the cardinality of  $X$  is equal to  $72 * 192 = 13824$ . Therefore  $H$  has at least  $13824/1152 = 12$  orbits on  $X$ .

(II) Assume that  $S$  is either a sporadic group or the Tits group. If  $H$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $G$  then by [4, Corollary C] it follows that there exist  $x, y \in G$  such that  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y = \{e\}$ . So we may assume that the order of  $H$  is divisible by at least two primes.

Assume first that the order of  $H$  is divisible by precisely two primes  $r, p$  and  $H = R : P$ , where  $R \in \text{Syl}_r(H)$ ,  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$  and  $O_p(H) = \{e\}$ . Assume also that either  $r$  is odd or  $S = \text{Aut}(S)$ . Since for every sporadic group and Tits group the group of its

outer automorphisms is a 2-group, then  $R \leq S$  under our assumption. By [13] there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$ . Hence, up to conjugation in  $H$  we may assume that  $H \cap H^x \leq P$ . By [3] it follows that there exist  $y, z \in R$  such that  $P \cap P^y \cap P^z = \{e\}$ . So  $(H \cap H^x) \cap (H \cap H^x)^y \cap (H \cap H^x)^z = H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy} \cap H^{xz} = \{e\}$ .

If the order of  $H$  is divisible by two primes  $r, p$  only and  $H = R \times P$ , where  $R \in \text{Syl}_r(H)$ ,  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ , then one of these primes, say  $r$ , is odd and again  $R \leq S$ . By [13] there exists an element  $x \in S$  such that  $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$ . More over, by [4, Corollary C] there exist  $y, z \in G$  such that  $P \cap P^y \cap P^z = \{e\}$ . Hence  $H \cap H^x \cap H^y \cap H^z = \{e\}$ .

By [14, Theorem 6.14 and Table III] and [15, Theorem 4.1] it follows that a  $\pi$ -Hall subgroup  $H$  of a sporadic group  $S$  such that the order of  $H$  is divisible by at least two primes and the structure of  $H$  is not covered by cases considered above exists only if either  $S \simeq M_{23}$ ,  $H \simeq 2^4 : (3 \times \text{Alt}_4) : 2 \simeq (2^4 : 2^2) : 3^2 : 2$ , or  $S \simeq J_1$ ,  $H \simeq 2^3 : 7 : 3$ . In both cases we have  $S = \text{Aut}(S) = G$ .

Assume first that  $S \simeq M_{23}$ ,  $H \simeq (2^4 : 2^2) : 3^2 : 2 = R : P : Q$ . By [13] there exists  $x \in G$  such that  $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$ , therefore we may assume that  $H \cap H^x \leq P : Q$ . By Corollary 1 there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $(H \cap H^x) \cap (H \cap H^x)^y = H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy}$  and  $|H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy}| \leq 2$ . Again by using Corollary 1, we find an element  $z \in H \cap H^x$  with

$$(H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy}) \cap (H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy})^z = H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy} \cap H^{xyz} = \{e\}.$$

Assume, that  $S \simeq J_1 \simeq G$ ,  $H \simeq 2^3 : (7 : 3) = R : P$ , where  $R = 2^3 = O_2(H) \in \text{Syl}_2(H)$  and  $P \in \text{Hall}_{\{3,7\}}(H)$ , moreover  $O_{\{3,7\}}(H) = \{e\}$ . By [13] it follows that there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $R \cap R^x = \{e\}$ , so, up to conjugation in  $H$ , we may assume that  $H \cap H^x \leq P$ . By [5] there exist  $y, z \in R$  such that  $P \cap P^y \cap P^z = \{e\}$ . Hence  $H \cap H^x \cap H^{xy} \cap H^{xz} = \{e\}$ .  $\square$

Authors

Vdovin Evgeny Petrovitch

Sobolev Institute of mathematics SB RAS

e-mail:vdovin@math.nsc.ru

Zenkov Victor Ivanovitch

Institute of mathematics and mechanics UrB RAS

## References

- [1] Atlas of finite groups // Conway J.H. [et al.] Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1985. 250 p.
- [2] **Hall P.** Theorems like Sylow's // Proc. London Math. Soc. 1956. Vol. 6. P.286–304.
- [3] **Passman D.S.** Groups with normal solvable Hall  $p'$ -subgroups // Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1966. Vol 123, no 1. P.99–111.
- [4] **Zenkov V.I.** Intersections of nilpotent subgroups in finite groups // Fund.prikl.mat.. 1996. Vol. 2, no 1, P.1–92. (In Russian)
- [5] **Dolfi S.** Intersections of odd order Hall subgroups // Bull.London Math.Soc. 2005. Vol. 37, no. 1. P.61–66.

- [6] **Dolfi S.** Large orbits in coprime actions of solvable groups // Trans. AMS. 2008. Vol. 360, no 1. P.135–152.
- [7] **Vdovin E.P.** Regular orbits of solvable linear  $p'$ -groups // Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports. 2007. Vol. 4. P.345–360.
- [8] **Seress A.** The minimal base size of primitive solvable permutation groups // J.London Math.Soc.(2). 1996. Vol. 53. P.243–255.
- [9] **Huppert B.** Endliche Gruppen I. Berlin: Springer, 1967. 808 p.
- [10] **Zenkov V.I.** Intersections of Abelian subgroups in finite groups // Math. Notes. 1994. Vol. 56, no. 1-2, P.869–871
- [11] **Revin D.O., Vdovin E.P.**, Hall subgroups of finite groups // Ischia Group theory 2004: Proceedings of a Conference in Honor of Marcel Herzog. Contemporary Mathematics, AMS. 2006. Vol. 402. P.229–263.
- [12] The GAP Group, *GAP — Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.10*, 2008 // (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [13] **Mazurov V.D, Zenkov V.I.** Intersection of Sylow subgroups in finite simple groups // Algebra and Logic. 1996. Vol. 35, no. 4. P.236–240
- [14] **Gross F.** On a conjecture of Philip Hall // Proc.London Math.Soc. III. 1986. Vol. 53, no 3. P.464–494.
- [15] **Revin D.O.**  $D_\pi$ -property in one class of finite groups // Algebra and logic. 2002. Vol. 41, no 3. P.335–370.