Mathematical model for resistance and optimal strategy

Blandine BÉRARD BERGERY,* Christophe PROFETA*, Etienne TANRÉ[†]

October 31, 2018

Abstract: We propose a mathematical model for one pattern of charts studied in technical analysis: in a phase of consolidation, the price of a risky asset goes down ξ times after hitting a resistance level. We construct a mathematical strategy and we calculate the expectation of the wealth for the logaritmic utility function. Via simulations, we compare the strategy with the standard one.

Key words: portfolios, applications in optimization, resistance and support 2000 MSC: 60G35, 91B28, 91B70

1 Introduction

1. In financial market, investors can use three approaches to decide on their future investment: the fundamental approach, the mathematical approach and the technical analysis. The last one is popular among traders and is based upon the study of the charts and the past behavior of the price .

The main hypothesis of technical analysis is that all the needed information is contained inside the records of prices and transaction volumes. The analysis of the charts is therefore sufficient to predict the near future of the price. This hypothesis contradicts most of mathematical models, which are essentially markov. Then, technical analysis seems to have no theoretical justifications, and even no proven efficiency (see [\[10\]](#page-17-0)). Recent works as $[3, 2, 11]$ $[3, 2, 11]$ $[3, 2, 11]$ $[3, 2, 11]$ tries to compare this approach to the mathematical one.

Let us consider a classic pattern of charts studied via technical analysis. In a phase of consolidation, a price does not have either ascending trend, nor decreasing trend. The price moves between two barrier levels: the upper one is called resistance, the lower one is called support (see [\[1\]](#page-16-2) for precise statement). When the price bounces three times on the support, price will likely not go down the bottom barrier. We can expect a rise and that the price will go up through the upper barrier. This belief will have an influence on trader's behavior, and therefore on price. However, this kind of rule has no mathematical justification.

The standard Black and Scholes model does not show this kind of behavior, although observations of real charts show phenomenon of support and resistance. In this article, we propose a mathematical model derived from the Black and Scholes model which has resistance. The trajectory makes a random number of downcrossings between two fixed levels before leaving.

^{*}IECN, Nancy-Université, CNRS, INRIA, Boulevard des Aiguillettes B.P. 239 F-54506 Vandœuvre lès Nancy † INRIA, EPI TOSCA, 2004 route des Lucioles, BP93, 06902 Sophia-Antipolis, France

Then, we evaluate the optimum portfolio strategy in the case of a logarithmic utility function. The efficiency of the strategy is compared with the classic one.

2. First, we recall the classic problem of portfolio optimization. We consider a trader whose portofolio is composed of 2 assets:

• a risky asset S solution of the stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$
\frac{dS_t}{S_t} = \mu_0 dt + \sigma dB_t,\tag{1.1}
$$

• and a bond S^0 whose price evolves according to: $\frac{dS_t^0}{S_t^0} = rdt$.

Then, the trader's wealth at time t is given by:

$$
\frac{dW_t^{\pi}}{W_t^{\pi}} = \pi_t \sigma dB_t + (r + \pi_t(\mu_0 - r)) dt.
$$
\n(1.2)

where π_t stands for the proportion of risky asset S the trader holds at time t. The aim of the trader is to determine the strategy π^c which maximizes $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})]$, where T is a fixed time. Then, the optimal strategy π^c is:

$$
\pi_s^c = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2}.
$$
\n(1.3)

Remark 1.1. In this initial problem, the risky asset S is a geometric brownian motion, which can be written under the form:

$$
S_t = S_0 \exp\left(\sigma B_t + (\mu_0 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})t\right) = S_0 \exp\left(\sigma \left(B_t + \mu t\right)\right) = S_0 \exp\left(\sigma B_t^{\mu}\right),\tag{1.4}
$$

where $\mu := \frac{1}{n}$ σ $\sqrt{2}$ $\mu_0 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ 2 $\overline{ }$ and B_t^{μ} t^{μ} := $B_t + \mu t$. As a result, studying only the moves of B^{μ} is enough to get the moves of S. We will almost only consider B^{μ} in the article, with $\mu \neq 0$.

3. The risky asset S in item 2 does not present support or resistance levels. The aim of the following sections is to propose a price process derivated from S which has resistance level. In charts, a resistance levels is drawn thanks to aligned local maxima observed on the past trajectory. The observations are obviously not perfectly exact, thus we consider that the resistance line is thick. We fix three levels: S_0^- , S_0 and S_0^+ , where $[S_0; S_0^+]$ depicts the resistance line drawn in charts. We first construct a process X derivated from B^{μ} . Then, the price process is $Z = S_0 e^{\sigma X}$ and Z does at least n downcrossings from level S_0 to a fixed level S_0^- before being allowed to reach the upper bound of the resistance S_0^+ . The model would be useless if we were not able to compute a strategy. With our model, we get an explicit formula for the optimal strategy and thus we make numerical simulations.

The study has four steps:

- study of the downcrossings of B^{μ} in Section [2,](#page-2-0) which is the main tool for all our results,
- construction of X and Z in Section [3,](#page-5-0)
- computation of the optimal strategy if the number of downcrossings is a fixed integer n in Section [4,](#page-8-0)
- computation of the optimal strategy if the number of downcrossings is a random variable ξ in Section [5,](#page-10-0)
- comparison of our model with the classic one throughout numerical simulations in Section [6.](#page-13-0)

2 Study of the downcrossings of a brownian motion with drift

We consider a Brownian motion with drift $\mu \neq 0$ (B_t^{μ}) $t_t^{\mu} := B_t + \mu t, t \geq 0$ started from 0, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ its natural filtration, and two fixed reals $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. First, we define and study the event A_n: "B^{μ} has done at least n downcrossings from 0 to $-\alpha$ before hitting the level ϵ " in item 1. Then we study in item 2 the martingale $M_t^n := \mathbb{P}(A_n | \mathcal{F}_t)$. Finally, we study B_t^{μ} t ^{μ} conditioned by A_n in item 3.

1. To begin with, let us consider the sequence of stopping times at levels 0 and $-\alpha$:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\sigma_1 & = & \inf\{t \ge 0; B_t^{\mu} = -\alpha\}, \\
\sigma_{2k+1} & = & \inf\{t \ge \sigma_{2k}; B_t^{\mu} = -\alpha\}, \\
\sigma_{2k+2} & = & \inf\{t \ge \sigma_{2k+1}; B_t^{\mu} = 0\}.\n\end{array}
$$

The $k+1$ th downcrossing from 0 to $-\alpha$ takes place between the times σ_{2k} and σ_{2k+1} . σ_{2k+2} is the time where B comes back to 0 after the $k + 1$ th downcrossing. For example, $k = 0$ corresponds to the first downcrossing. Let us remark that, if the process does not reach $-\alpha$, we consider nevertheless that it is in a downcrossing phase, from time 0 to infinite time. To take this event into account, we use the convention $\sigma_{-1} = 0$.

Schematically, we have roughly this pattern:

Finally, we define $T_{\epsilon} := \inf\{t \ge 0, B_t^{\mu} = \epsilon\}$ the hitting time of ϵ by B^{μ} , which is the ending time of our study.

The event A_n : "the trajectory has done at least n downcrossings from 0 to $-\alpha$ before hitting the level ϵ " can be expressed through these stopping times:

$$
A_n := \{ \sigma_{2n-1} < T_\epsilon \} \tag{2.5}
$$

for $n \geq 0$. By definition, we have the inclusions: $A_0 \supset A_1 \supset \cdots \supset A_n \supset \ldots$.

Then, the risky asset will be the process $(X_t := B_t^{\mu})$ t^{μ} , $0 \le t$) conditioned by A_n , $n \ge 1$. The aim of the two following items is to establish the equations satisfied by X .

Let us start our study of A_n by computing $\mathbb{P}(A_n)$.

Lemma 2.1.

$$
\mathbb{P}(A_n) = p^n \quad \text{where } p = \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(0)}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty),
$$

and $S(x) := e^{-2\mu x}$ is a scale function for B^{μ} . If $\mu \geq 0$, then $\mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty) = 1$, else $\mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty)$ ∞) = $e^{2\mu\alpha}$.

Proof. If $n = 0$, we have directly $\mathbb{P}(A_0) = \mathbb{P}(\sigma_{-1} < T_\epsilon) = 1$. For $n \geq 1$, we use the successive inclusions of the A_i to write:

$$
\{\sigma_{2n-1} < T_{\epsilon}\} = \{\sigma_1 < T_{\epsilon}\} \cap \{\sigma_3 < T_{\epsilon}\} \cap \ldots \cap \{\sigma_{2n-1} < T_{\epsilon}\}.
$$

Then, we get by conditioning by A_1 :

$$
\mathbb{P}(A_n) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{2n-1} < T_{\epsilon}\}}|A_1)) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_1 < T_{\epsilon}\}}\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_3 < T_{\epsilon}\}\cap \ldots \cap \{\sigma_{2n-1} < T_{\epsilon}\}}|A_1)).
$$

Under A_1 , we have to consider two cases: $\sigma_2 < \infty$ and $\sigma_2 = \infty$.

- If $\sigma_2 = \infty$, then $\sigma_k, k > 2$ and T_{ϵ} are infinite and $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_3 < T_{\epsilon}\}\cap...\cap\{\sigma_{2n-1} < T_{\epsilon}\}} | A_1 \cap \{\sigma_2 =$ ∞ })) = 0.
- If $\sigma_2 < \infty$, since $\sigma_1 < T_{\epsilon}$, B_{μ} must cross the level 0 to go up to the level ϵ . This means that $\sigma_2 \leq T_{\epsilon}$. Since $B^{\mu}_{\sigma_2} = 0$, the process $(\overline{B}^{\mu}_t = B^{\mu}_{t+\sigma_2} - B^{\mu}_{\sigma_2}, t \geq 0)$, is a brownian motion with drift μ independent from (B_t^{μ}) $t_t^{\mu}, t \in [0, \sigma_2]$. B^{μ} having already done one downcrossing, we have to remove one downcrossing from those of \overline{B}^{μ} :

$$
\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_3 < T_{\epsilon}\}\cap...\cap\{\sigma_{2n-1} < T_{\epsilon}\}} | A_1 \cap \{\sigma_2 < \infty\}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\overline{\sigma}_1 < T_{\epsilon}\}\cap...\cap\{\overline{\sigma}_{2n-3} < T_{\epsilon}\}}).
$$

Let us note that $\mathbb{P}(\sigma_2 < \infty | A_1) = \mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty)$, probability to hit 0 starting from $-\alpha$. Hence

$$
\mathbb{P}(A_n) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_1 < T_{\epsilon}\}})\mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty)\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\overline{\sigma}_1 < T_{\epsilon}\}\cap \ldots \cap \{\overline{\sigma}_{2n-3} < T_{\epsilon}\}}).
$$

Repeating this method, we have by induction $\mathbb{P}(A_n) = [\mathbb{P}(\sigma_1 < T_\epsilon)\mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty)]^n$. The quantity $\mathbb{P}(\sigma_1 < T_{\epsilon})$ is the probability to hit the level $-\alpha$ before the level ϵ , starting from 0. Consequently,

$$
\mathbb{P}(A_n) = \left(\frac{S(\epsilon) - S(0)}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty)\right)^n,
$$

where $S(x)$ a scale function for B^{μ} . $\mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty) = 1$ if $\mu \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty) = e^{2\mu\alpha}$ if $\mu < 0$ (see [\[4\]](#page-16-3)). \Box

2. Next, we study the martingale $M_t^n := \mathbb{P}(A_n | \mathcal{F}_t)$ in the 2 following lemmas. This martingale will play a central role when conditioning by A_n (c.f. Proposition [2.4\)](#page-4-0).

Lemma 2.2. Let us consider the martingale $M_t^n := \mathbb{P}(A_n | \mathcal{F}_t)$.

Mⁿ ^t = ¹{σ2n−1<t∧Tǫ} + ¹{t<Tǫ} nX−1 i=0 ¹{σ2i≤t<σ2i+1}p ⁿ−1−ⁱ S(ǫ) − S(B µ t) S(ǫ) − S(−α) (Downcrossings) + ¹{t<Tǫ} nX−1 i=1 ¹{σ2i−1≤t<σ2i}p n−i . (Upcrossings)

Proof. We start by dividing the event A_n in a disjointed union of events :

$$
A_n = \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{2n-2} (A_n \cap \{ \sigma_k \le t < \sigma_{k+1} \}) \right) \cup (A_n \cap \{ t \ge \sigma_{2n-1} \}).
$$

Let us remark first that the last term can be rewritten: $A_n \cap \{t \geq \sigma_{2n-1}\} = \{\sigma_{2n-1} < t \wedge T_{\epsilon}\}\$ and is thus \mathcal{F}_t -mesurable. Consequently:

$$
M_t^n = \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{2n-1} < t \wedge T_{\epsilon}\}} + \sum_{k=0}^{2n-2} \mathbb{P}(A_n \cap \{\sigma_k \le t < \sigma_{k+1}\} | \mathcal{F}_t). \tag{2.6}
$$

Let us define $C_k = A_n \cap \{ \sigma_k \leq t < \sigma_{k+1} \}.$ We must now separate the cases k is even and k is odd.

i) For $k = 2i, 0 \le i \le n - 1$:

$$
C_{2i} = \{\sigma_{2i} \le t < \sigma_{2i+1}\} \cap \{\sigma_{2n-1} < T_{\epsilon}\}.
$$

At time t, the process has still $n-i$ downcrossings to the level $-\alpha$ to do before hitting the level ϵ . The first downcrossing takes place from B_t^{μ} t_t^{μ} to $-\alpha$, and the $(n-i-1)$ th following ones from 0 to $-\alpha$. Computations similar to Lemma [2.1](#page-2-1) gives

$$
\mathbb{P}(C_{2i}|\mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{2i}\leq t < \sigma_{2i+1}, \ t < T_{\epsilon}\}} \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \left(\frac{S(\epsilon) - S(0)}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty)\right)^{n-i-1}.\tag{2.7}
$$

ii) For $k = 2i - 1, 1 \le i \le n - 1$,

$$
C_{2i} = \{\sigma_{2i-1} \leq t < \sigma_{2i}\} \cap \{\sigma_{2n-1} \leq T_{\epsilon}\}.
$$

At time t, the process has still $n-i$ downcrossings to the level $-\alpha$ to do before hitting the level ϵ . These downcrossings will all take place from 0 to $-\alpha$, since the process must first reach the level 0 (at σ_{2i}) before the next downcrossing to be taken into account. Then we get:

$$
\mathbb{P}(C_{2i-1}|\mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{2i-1}\leq t < \sigma_{2i}, t < T_{\epsilon}\}} \left(\frac{S(\epsilon) - S(0)}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \mathbb{P}_{-\alpha}(T_0 < \infty)\right)^{n-i}.\tag{2.8}
$$

Finally, injecting (2.7) and (2.8) in (2.6) ends this proof.

Lemma 2.3. The function $t \mapsto M_t^n$ is continuous and

$$
dM_t^n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1_{\{\sigma_{2i} \le t < \sigma_{2i+1}\}} 2\mu p^{n-1-i} \frac{S(B_t^\mu)}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} 1_{\{t < T_\epsilon\}} dB_t.
$$

Proof. We use the expression of M^n given by Lemma [2.2](#page-3-0) on each interval $[\sigma_k, \sigma_{k+1}], -1 \leq k \leq$ 2n. Since $S(B_t^{\mu})$ $t(t) = \exp(-2\mu(B_t + \mu t))$, applying Itô's formula gives $dS(B_t^{\mu})$ t^{μ}) = $-2\mu S(B^{\mu}_{t})$ t^{μ}) dB_t and the result holds. \Box

3. We end this section with the main result, concerning the decomposition of the Brownian motion B under the event A_n , which will enable us to condition by A_n .

Proposition 2.4. There exists a Brownian motion \widetilde{B} independent from A_n such that, on the event A_n :

$$
B_t = \widetilde{B}_t + 2\mu \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(s) \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right) ds.
$$

 \Box

Proof. We use formula (1) from [\[17\]](#page-17-2) (Chapter II p.45). Let $\mathcal{F}_t^n := \mathcal{F}_t \vee \sigma(A_n)$. On the event A_n , we have:

$$
B_t = \widetilde{B}_t + \int_0^t \frac{d < B, M^n > s}{M_s^n},\tag{2.9}
$$

where \hat{B} is a \mathcal{F}_t^n Brownian motion. Since B_t is independent from \mathcal{F}_0^n , it is independent from A_n . Then, we deduce from Lemma [2.3:](#page-4-4)

$$
d \langle B, M^n \rangle_s = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{2i} \le s < \sigma_{2i+1}\}} 2\mu p^{n-1-i} \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \mathbb{1}_{\{s < T_{\epsilon}\}} du.
$$

From Lemma [2.2,](#page-3-0) $M_s^n = p^{n-1-i} \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(\epsilon)}$ $\frac{S(\epsilon)}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)}$ for $\{\sigma_{2i} \le s < \sigma_{2i+1}\}\$. As a result, [\(2.9\)](#page-5-1) becomes:

$$
B_t = \widetilde{B}_t + 2\mu \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma_{2i} \le s < \sigma_{2i+1}\}} \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right) \mathbb{1}_{\{s < T_{\epsilon}\}} ds.
$$

But, on A_n , $s \leq \sigma_{2i+1}$ implies $s < T_{\epsilon}$, which gives the result.

3 Construction of the price process

Let S_0 be the starting price of the risky asset and S_0^- , S_0^+ be two fixed levels with $0 < S_0^ S_0 \, \leq S_0^+$. The Section describes the construction of a price process Z such that Z does n downcrossings from level S_0 to level S_0^- before being allowed to reach the upper bound of the resistance line S_0^+ . Let us note that the number of downcrossings n may be random. The definition of Z is given in item 1, then Z is linked to the classic price S in item 2. Finally, in item 3, we briefly propose another construction via local time.

1. To begin with, we define the underlying process X. We denote $\alpha = -\frac{1}{\alpha}$ $rac{1}{\sigma}\log\left(\frac{S_0^-}{S_0}\right)$ S_0 \setminus and $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ $rac{1}{\sigma}\log\left(\frac{S_0^+}{S_0}\right)$ S_0 $\overline{ }$.

Definition 3.1. The process X is defined for all $t \in [0, T_{\epsilon}]$ as follows. Let

$$
\begin{cases}\n\sigma_1 = \inf\{t \ge 0; X_t = -\alpha\}, & \sigma_2 = \inf\{t \ge \sigma_1; X_t = 0\}, \\
\sigma_{2k+1} = \inf\{t \ge \sigma_{2k}; X_t = -\alpha\}, & \sigma_{2k+2} = \inf\{t \ge \sigma_{2k+1}; X_t = 0\}, \\
T_{\epsilon} = \inf\{t \ge 0, X_t = \epsilon\}.\n\end{cases}
$$

If

- $t \geq \sigma_{2n-1}$, then X is a standard Brownian motion with drift μ starting at $-\alpha$ at time σ_{2n-1} ,
- if $t \in [\sigma_{2i-1}, \sigma_{2i}]$, $i \in [0, n-1]$, then X is a standard Brownian motion with drift μ starting at $-\alpha$ at time σ_{2i-1} ,
- if $t \in [\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}], i \in [0, n-1]$, then X is solution of the equation

$$
dX_t = d\widetilde{B}_t - \mu \coth(\mu(\epsilon - X_t))dt,\tag{3.10}
$$

where \widetilde{B} is a standard Brownian motion.

 \Box

Then, the price process is $Z = S_0 e^{\sigma X}$. In the next item, we explain how Z (resp. X) is related to the standard price process S (resp. the Brownian motion with drift B^{μ}). This relation will allow us to compute explicit strategy in Sections [4](#page-8-0) and [5.](#page-10-0)

2. We consider the risky asset S solution of the classic SDE (1.1) . We recall that the solution of [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) is $S_t = S_0 \exp{(\sigma B_t^{\mu})}$, with $\mu := \frac{1}{\sigma}$ $\left(\mu_0-\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)$ 2) and B_t^{μ} t ^{μ} the Brownian motion with drift studied in Section [2.](#page-2-0) The wealth W_t^{π} the trader holds at time t is given by the equation [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1) where π_t is the proportion of the risky asset S the trader holds at time t.

,

The levels S_0^-, S_0, S_0^+ of S are the ones of Z and we have the following equivalences:

$$
1. S_t = S_0 \Leftrightarrow B_t^{\mu} = 0,
$$

2.
$$
S_t = S_0^- \Leftrightarrow B_t^{\mu} = -\alpha
$$
 with $\alpha = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \log \left(\frac{S_0^-}{S_0} \right)$
3. $S_t = S_0^+ \Leftrightarrow B_t^{\mu} = \epsilon$ with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sigma} \log \left(\frac{S_0^+}{S_0} \right)$.

So, T^+ the hitting time of level S_0^+ by S equals T_{ϵ} , which is defined at the beginning of Section [2.](#page-2-0) Similarly, the downcrossings of the process S from level S_0 to level S_0^- are exactly the downcrossings of the process B_t^{μ} t^{μ} from level 0 to level $-\alpha$. Finally, the event "the process S has done at least n downcrossings from level S_0 to level S_0^- before hitting the level S_0^+ " is the same as the event $A_n = \{ \sigma_{2n-1} < T_\epsilon \}$, which was defined and studied in Section [2.](#page-2-0)

Proposition 3.2. The law of the process Z (resp. X) is the same as the law of S (resp. B^{μ}) conditioned by A_n . We denote $\mathbb{Q}(\cdot) = \mathbb{P}(\cdot | A_n)$.

Thanks to this result, the expected wealth for the logarithmic utility function is $\mathbb{P}(W^{\pi}|A_n)$ and can be explicitly calculated. Thus, we can evaluate the optimal strategy (see Section [4](#page-8-0) and [5\)](#page-10-0).

Proof. Let us note $X = B^{\mu}$ conditioned by A_n . Using Proposition [2.4,](#page-4-0) we obtain an explicit description of X on A_n :

$$
X_t = B_t + \mu t = \widetilde{B}_t + 2\mu \int_0^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2j}, \sigma_{2j+1}[}(s) \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right) ds + \mu t, \quad \forall t < T_{\epsilon}.
$$

Let us consider the two cases:

• If $t \in [\sigma_{2i-1}, \sigma_{2i}]$, then

$$
X_t = \widetilde{B}_t + 2\mu \int_0^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(s) \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right) ds + \mu t,
$$

\n
$$
= \widetilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i-1}} + 2\mu \int_0^{\sigma_{2i-1}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(s) \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right) ds + \mu \sigma_{2i-1}
$$

\n
$$
+ (\widetilde{B}_t - \widetilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i-1}}) + \mu(t - \sigma_{2i-1}),
$$

\n
$$
X_{\sigma_{2i-1}} + (\widetilde{B}_t - \widetilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i-1}}) + \mu(t - \sigma_{2i-1}).
$$

Since $X_{\sigma_{2i-1}} = B^{\mu}_{\sigma_{2i-1}} = -\alpha$, we have

$$
X_t = -\alpha + (\widetilde{B}_t - \widetilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i-1}}) + \mu(t - \sigma_{2i-1}).
$$

Thus, X is a Brownian motion with drift μ starting at time σ_{2i-1} .

• If $t \in [\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}],$

$$
X_{t} = \tilde{B}_{t} + 2\mu \int_{0}^{t} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2j}, \sigma_{2j+1}[}(s) \frac{S(B_{s}^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_{s}^{\mu})} \right) ds + \mu t
$$

\n
$$
= \tilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i}} + 2\mu \int_{0}^{\sigma_{2i}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2j}, \sigma_{2j+1}[}(s) \frac{S(B_{s}^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_{s}^{\mu})} \right) ds + \mu \sigma_{2i} +
$$

\n
$$
(\tilde{B}_{t} - \tilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i}}) + 2\mu \int_{\sigma_{2i}}^{t} \frac{S(B_{s}^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_{s}^{\mu})} ds + \mu (t - \sigma_{2i}),
$$

\n
$$
= X_{\sigma_{2i}} + (\tilde{B}_{t} - \tilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i}}) + \mu \int_{\sigma_{2i}}^{t} \left(\frac{2S(X_{s})}{S(\epsilon) - S(X_{s})} + 1 \right) ds.
$$

Since $X_{\sigma_{2i}} = 0$, we have

$$
X_t = (\widetilde{B}_t - \widetilde{B}_{\sigma_{2i}}) - \mu \int_{\sigma_{2i}}^t \coth(\mu(\epsilon - X_s))ds.
$$

Heuristically, X is the process B^{μ} conditioned not to hit the level ϵ (see [\[16\]](#page-17-3)).

As a result, $X = B^{\mu}$ conditioned by A_n is exactly the process X defined by Definition [3.1.](#page-5-2) Since $S = S_0 e^{\sigma B^{\mu}}$ and $Z = S_0 e^{\sigma X}$, the result holds.

3. Complement. We can construct a similar process X by using the local time at 0. Instead of conditioning by the number of downcrossings, we condition by the amount of time spent at time 0. The construction of X and the computation of the strategy are almost similar to the ones in Section [2,](#page-2-0) [3,](#page-5-0) [4](#page-8-0) and [5](#page-10-0) and an explicit formula is given.

The asset X has the following description. Let δ be a random variable with exponential law $\mathcal{E}(a)$. δ is the minimal time spent at 0 before being allowed to go through the resistance. Let L_t be the local time at 0 of X and $\tau := \inf\{t \geq 0, L_t = \delta\}.$

- For all t such that $X_t < 0$, or $t > \delta$, X is a simple brownian motion with drift μ .
- For all t such that $X_t > 0$ and $L_t \leq \delta$ (i.e. we have not spent enough time at 0), we have:

$$
X_t = \widetilde{B}_t + \mu \int_0^t \left(-\coth(\mu(\epsilon - X_s)) \mathbb{1}_{\{X_s > 0\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{X_s \le 0\}} \right) ds,
$$

where B_t is a standard brownian motion.

Then, the optimal strategy for the logarithmic utility function is:

$$
\pi^\star_t = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \frac{S(B^\mu_t)}{S(\epsilon) - S(0)} \frac{ae^{-aL_t}}{\frac{\mu}{1 - e^{-2\mu\epsilon}} + a} \mathbbm{1}_{\{B^\mu_t > 0\}}}{\sigma(1 - e^{-aL_t}) + \sigma \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B^\mu_t)}{S(\epsilon) - S(0)} \frac{ae^{-aL_t}}{\frac{\mu}{1 - e^{-2\mu\epsilon}} + a}}.
$$

The results look like to the one of Sections [2,](#page-2-0) [4](#page-8-0) and [5.](#page-10-0) However, such X and such strategies can not be easily simulated because of the local time. This is why we have decided to focus on a model with downcrossings.

4 Study in the case where the number of downcrossings is fixed

We consider $Z = S_0 e^{\sigma X}$ the price process defined in Section [3.](#page-5-0) In this Section, the minimal number of downcrossings is a fixed integer n and we evaluate the optimal strategy for the logarithmic utility function. The main Theorem is in item 1 and its proof is in item 2.

1. We recall that Z (resp. X) is S (resp. B^{μ}) conditioned to do at least n downcrossings and that the expected wealth for the logarithmic utility function is given by $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})|A_n]$.

Theorem 4.1. The strategy π^* which maximizes $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})|A_n]$ is

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2} - \frac{2\mu}{\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{t \in [\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}]} \frac{S(X_t)}{S(\epsilon) - S(X_t)},
$$

for all $t \leqslant T_{\epsilon}$.

Comparison with the classic problem of section [1.](#page-0-0) Without conditioning by the number of downcrossings, we have

$$
\pi_s^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2}, \qquad \mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi^*})] = \log W_0 + rT + \frac{T(\mu_0 - r)^2}{2\sigma^2}.
$$

When we condition by A_n , we get the result of Theorem [4.1](#page-8-1) and

$$
\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi^*})|A_n] = \log W_0 + rT + \frac{T(\mu_0 - r)^2}{2\sigma^2}
$$

$$
+ \frac{2\mu}{p^n} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}]} p^{n-1-i} \frac{(\mu_0 - r)S(B_s^{\mu})S(\epsilon) + (\mu_0 + r - \sigma^2)(S(B_s^{\mu}))^2}{\sigma(S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu}))(S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha))}\right] ds
$$

We can see that, in comparison with the classic case, conditioning adds a new term which only takes into account the downcrossings.

2. Proof. The proof is divided into two steps. We start by computing explicitly $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})|A_n]$ in the first step. Then, we deduce the optimal strategy in the second one.

a) Computing of $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})|A_n]$. We recall that W^{π} is solution of the equation [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1).

We want to condition by A_n . Let us use Proposition [2.4](#page-4-0) to replace dB_t in [\(1.2\)](#page-1-1):

$$
\frac{dW_t^{\pi}}{W_t^{\pi}} = \alpha_0(t)dt + \alpha_1(t)d\widetilde{B}_t, \tag{4.11}
$$

with

$$
\alpha_0(t) = \pi_t \mu_0 + (1 - \pi_t) r + 2\mu \pi_t \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{t \in [\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}]} \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})},
$$

$$
\alpha_1(t) = \pi_t \sigma.
$$

Via Itô's formula, we deduce that the solution of the equation (4.11) is:

$$
W_t^{\pi} = W_0^{\pi} \exp \left(\int_0^t \alpha_1(s) d\widetilde{B}_s + \int_0^t \left(\alpha_0(s) - \frac{\alpha_1^2(s)}{2} \right) ds \right).
$$

Since \widetilde{B} is independent from A_n , we get

$$
\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})1_{A_n}] = \log(W_0^{\pi})\mathbb{P}(A_n) + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \alpha_1(s)d\widetilde{B}_s\right]\mathbb{P}(A_n) + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \left(\alpha_0(s) - \frac{\alpha_1^2(s)}{2}\right)1_{A_n}ds\right]
$$

The expressions of $\alpha_0(s)$ and $\alpha_1(s)$ give then

$$
\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})\mathbb{1}_{A_n}] = (\log(W_0^{\pi}) + rT)\mathbb{P}(A_n) \n+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\int_0^T \pi_s \left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu\sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{s\in[\sigma_{2i},\sigma_{2i+1}[\frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})}]-\frac{\pi_s^2\sigma^2}{2}ds\right].
$$

Finally, we obtain:

 $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})|A_n] = \log(W_0^{\pi}) + rT$

$$
+\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_n)}\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\int_0^T \pi_s\left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu\sigma\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{s\in[\sigma_{2i},\sigma_{2i+1}[\frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} - \frac{\pi_s\sigma^2}{2}\right)ds\right].
$$
 (4.12)

b) Computation of the optimal strategy π^* . To maximize $\mathbb{E}[\log(W_T^{\pi})|A_n]$, we have to maximize the term in the integral, for all $s \in [0, T]$:

$$
\pi_s \left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{s \in [\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}]} \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right) - \frac{\pi_s^2 \sigma^2}{2}.
$$
\n(4.13)

Let us distinguish 2 cases:

• If $s \in [\sigma_{2i-1}, \sigma_{2i}]$ (case where we are not in a downcrossing), then [\(4.13\)](#page-9-0) simplifies to

$$
\pi_s\left(\mu_0-r\right)-\frac{\pi_s^2\sigma^2}{2},\,
$$

and the maximum is reached for $\pi_s^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2}$. Let us note that we recognize the classic formula.

• If $s \in [\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}]$ (case where we are in a downcrossing), then [\(4.13\)](#page-9-0) becomes

$$
\pi_s \left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu \sigma \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right) - \frac{\pi_s^2 \sigma^2}{2}.
$$

The maximum is then reached for

$$
\pi_s^{\star} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu \sigma \frac{S(B_s^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_s^{\mu})} \right).
$$

5 Study in the case where the number of downcrossings is random

We consider $Z = S_0 e^{\sigma X}$ the price process defined in Section [3.](#page-5-0) In this Section, the minimal number of downcrossings is ξ a random variable on \mathbb{N} , independent from B^{μ} . We use the notation $\alpha_n = \mathbb{P}(\xi = n)$. We compute an optimal strategy in item 1, without hypothesis on ξ (The proof is postponed in item 5). Then we consider particular laws for ξ : a geometric random variable in item 2, a random variable with compact support in item 3 and a variable with geometric queue in 4.

1. In this section, Z (resp. X) is S (resp. B^{μ}) conditioned to do at least ξ downcrossings. We consider the event:

$$
A_{\xi} := \begin{cases} \Omega & \text{for } \xi = 0\\ \{\sigma_{2n-1} & \text{for } \xi = n \ge 1 \end{cases}
$$

i.e. "The trajectories ω which have done at least $\xi(\omega)$ downcrossings before hitting the level ϵ ". Then, the event A_{ξ} has probability:

$$
\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{P}(A_{\xi} \cap \{\xi = n\}) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n p^n.
$$

Then, the expected wealth for the logarithmic utility function is given by $\mathbb{Q}[\log(W_T^{\pi})]$, where

$$
\mathbb{Q}(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}[\cdot | A_{\xi}].
$$

The optimal strategy for Z is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. The strategy π^* which maximizes $\mathbb{Q}[\log(W_T^{\pi})]$ is :

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})} \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n M_t^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(t)]}{\sigma \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n M_t^n},
$$

for $t < T_{\epsilon}$.

Following the optimal strategy, we obtain for maximum:

$$
\mathbb{Q}[\log(W_T^{\pi^*})] = \log(W_0) + rT + \frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi})} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n M_t^n (\pi_t^*)^2\right] dt. \tag{5.14}
$$

Remark 5.2. π^* is composed of two terms: the first one, $\frac{\mu_0-r}{\sigma^2}$, corresponds to the optimal allocation strategy for the classic problem, when the underlying process is a geometric Brownian motion. The second term appears only during downcrossings. It is always negative, which suggests that we should reduce our proportion of risky assets in a downcrossing.

In an upcrossing: for $t \in [\sigma_{2i_0-1}, \sigma_{2i_0}]$ and $t < T_{\epsilon}$, the expression of π^* is the classic formula:

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2}.
$$

And we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n M_t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{i_0} \alpha_n + \sum_{n=i_0+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n p^{n-i_0}.
$$
 (5.15)

In a downcrossing: for $t \in [\sigma_{2i_0}, \sigma_{2i_0+1}]$ and $t < T_{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n M_t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{i_0} \alpha_n + \sum_{n=i_0+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n p^{n-1-i_0} \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)},
$$
\n(5.16)

and:

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \sum_{n=i_0+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n p^{n-1-i_0}}{\sigma \left(\sum_{n=0}^{i_0} \alpha_n + \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \sum_{n=i_0+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n p^{n-1-i_0} \right)}.
$$
(5.17)

2. Case of ξ being a geometric random variable. In this item, the law of ξ is the following:

$$
\mathbb{P}(\xi = n) := \alpha_n = q^n(1 - q), \text{ where } q \in [0, 1].
$$

Then, the event A_{ξ} has probability:

$$
\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi}) = \sum_{n \ge 0} q^n (1 - q) p^n = \frac{1 - q}{1 - pq}.
$$

During downcrossings and upcrossings, the expression of π^* and \sum^{∞} $n=0$ $\alpha_n M_t^n$ can be simplified.

In an upcrossing: for $t \in [\sigma_{2i_0-1}, \sigma_{2i_0}]$ and $t < T_{\epsilon}$, the expression of π^* is the classic formula: $\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2}$ and we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n M_t^n = 1 - q^{i_0+1} + \frac{(1-q)pq^{i_0+1}}{1-pq}.
$$

In a downcrossing: for $t \in [\sigma_{2i_0}, \sigma_{2i_0+1}]$ and $t < T_{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n M_t^n = 1 - q^{i_0+1} + \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \frac{(1-q)q^{i_0+1}}{1-pq}.
$$

As a result, we get:

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \frac{(1 - q)q^{i_0 + 1}}{1 - pq}}{\sigma \left(1 - q^{i_0 + 1} + \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \frac{(1 - q)q^{i_0 + 1}}{1 - pq}\right)}.
$$
(5.18)

3. Case of ξ having compact support. Instead of allowing ξ to have any value, we consider that $\{\xi = n\}$ for huge values of n has no meaning. As a matter of fact, a trajectory which has a huge number of downcrossings before getting to the resistance level is not likely to appear in price charts. Thus, we consider that $\alpha_n = 0$ for all $n > N$, with N fixed in N. As a result, $\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi}) = \sum^{N}$ $n=0$ $\alpha_n p^n$ and the sums in [\(5.16\)](#page-11-0), [\(5.15\)](#page-11-1) and [\(5.17\)](#page-11-2) are finite sums.

Let us consider that $i_0 > N$ and $t < T_{\epsilon}$, i.e. we have more than N downcrossings. Then, for $t \geq \sigma_{2i_0-1}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n M_t^n = \sum_{n=0}^N \alpha_n = 1
$$

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2}.
$$

and

We are back to the classic strategy.

4. Case of ξ having a geometric queue. Although the case ξ geometric (item 2) gives nice mathematical formulas, this case weights too much the event $\xi = 0$, that is the classic case without forced downcrossings. On the other hand, the case of item 3 forgets very indecisive patterns, where the trajectory has lots of downcrossings. By mixing the two laws, we can have a law which weights more on the N^{th} first downcrossings without erasing huge numbers of downcrossings.

Let $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_N$ be such that $\sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i = S \in]0,1[$. We put $q = (1-S)^{1/(N+1)}$ \sum $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_N$ be such that $\sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_n = S \in]0,1[$. We put $q = (1-S)^{1/(N+1)}$. for $n > N$. Then, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = 1$ and ξ is a random variable with geometric queue.

Then, A_{ξ} has probability:

$$
\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_n p^n + \sum_{n \ge N+1} q^n (1-q) p^n = \mathbb{P}(A_{\xi}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \alpha_n p^n + (pq)^{N+1} \frac{1-q}{1-pq}
$$

.

.

During downcrossings and upcrossings, the infinite sums in expression of π^* and \sum^{∞} $\sum_{n=0} \alpha_n M_t^n$ can be calculated.

In an upcrossing: for $t \in [\sigma_{2i_0-1}, \sigma_{2i_0}]$ and $t < T_{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n M_t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{i_0} \alpha_n + \sum_{n=i_0+1}^{N} \alpha_n p^{n-i_0} + \frac{(1-q)p^{(N+1-i_0)\vee 1}q^{(N\vee i_0)+1}}{1-pq}.
$$

with the convention $\sum_a^b = 0$ if $b < a$.

In a downcrossing: for $t \in [\sigma_{2i_0}, \sigma_{2i_0+1}]$ and $t < T_{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n M_t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{i_0} \alpha_n + \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \left(\sum_{n=i_0+1}^{N} \alpha_n p^{n-1-i_0} + \frac{(1-q)q^{(N \vee i_0)+1} p^{(N-i_0)+1}}{1-pq} \right)
$$

As a result, we get:

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \left(\sum_{n=i_0+1}^N \alpha_n p^{n-1-i_0} + q^{(N \vee i_0) + 1} p^{(N-i_0) + \frac{1-q}{1-pq}} \right)}{\sigma \left(\sum_{n=0}^{i_0} \alpha_n + \frac{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(-\alpha)} \left(\sum_{n=i_0+1}^N \alpha_n p^{n-1-i_0} + \frac{(1-q)q^{(N \vee i_0) + 1} p^{(N-i_0) + 1}}{1-pq} \right) \right)}.
$$

5. Proof. We start by computing $\mathbb{Q}[\log(W_T)]$. The key of this step is to introduce A_n . We make the decomposition:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\log(W_T^{\pi})\mathbb{1}_{A_{\xi}}\right] = \sum_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\log(W_T^{\pi})\mathbb{1}_{A_n}|\xi=n\right]\alpha_n = \sum_{n\geq 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\log(W_T^{\pi})\mathbb{1}_{A_n}\right]\alpha_n.
$$

Using [\(4.12\)](#page-9-1) of Section [4,](#page-8-0) we get:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\log(W_T^{\pi})\mathbb{1}_{A_{\xi}}\right] = (\log(W_0) + rT) \sum_{n\geqslant 0} \alpha_n \mathbb{P}(A_n)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n\geqslant 0} \alpha_n \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{1}_{A_n} \int_0^T \pi_t \left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu\sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(t) \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\pi_t\right) dt\right].
$$

In the expectation, we condition by \mathcal{F}_t . Since $\mathbb{P}(A_n|\mathcal{F}_t) = M_t^n$, it comes:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\log(W_T^{\pi})\mathbb{1}_{A_{\xi}}\right] = \alpha_n(\log(W_0) + rT)\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n\geq 0} \alpha_n \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\left[M_t^n \pi_t \left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu\sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(t) \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\pi_t\right)\right] dt.
$$

Therefore, we finally get

$$
\mathbb{Q}[\log(W_T^{\pi})] = \log(W_0) + rT
$$

+ $\frac{1}{\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi})} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\pi_t \sum_{n \ge 0} \alpha_n M_t^n \left(\mu_0 - r + 2\mu \sigma \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(t) \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})} - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \pi_t \right) \right] dt.$

The optimal allocation strategy is found by maximizing the term in brackets:

$$
\pi_t^* = \frac{\mu_0 - r}{\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \frac{S(B_t^{\mu})}{S(\epsilon) - S(B_t^{\mu})} \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n M_t^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{1}_{[\sigma_{2i}, \sigma_{2i+1}[}(t)]}{\sigma \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n M_t^n}.
$$

6 Simulation and comparison with the classic results

The aim of this numerical part is to compare our strategy with the classical one. We simulate a trajectory of X which does a random number of downcrossings and we compute the optimal strategy and the resulting wealth. In order to simulate this kind of trajectory, we must take into account the two distinct phases of the movement.

- During an upcrossing, X is a standard Brownian motion with drift μ . π^* is the classic strategy (1.3) .
- During a downcrossing, X follows the SDE [\(3.10\)](#page-5-3). π^* is calculated using [\(5.18\)](#page-11-3).

Since π is the proportion of the risky asset, we impose π to stay in [0, 1]. Then, the optimal strategy for the constrained optimization problem is the optimal strategy of the unconstrained problem, projected on [0, 1]. Thus, we project π and π^* on [0, 1]. At the same time, we evaluate the wealth created by following the classic strategy π^c [\(1.3\)](#page-1-2), in order to compare the efficiency of π^* with the one of π^c .

We fix the following parameters: $\mu_0 = 0, 1, \sigma = 0, 15, r = 0, 02, \alpha = 1$ and $\epsilon = 0, 3$. Then, $\mu = 0.5916$ and $p = 0.1165$. With our parameter, π^c projected on [0,1] is equal to 1 all the time.

1. First, we consider that ξ is a geometric random variable (c.f. item 2 of section [5\)](#page-10-0) with parameter q. Under A_{ξ} , the probability to have no forced downcrossing is

$$
\mathbb{P}(\xi=0|A_{\xi})=\frac{\mathbb{P}(\xi=0\cap A_{\xi})}{\mathbb{P}A_{\xi}}=\frac{\mathbb{P}(\xi=0)\mathbb{P}(A_{0})}{\mathbb{P}A_{\xi}}=1-pq.
$$

Since $p = 0,1165$, the probability to have no forced downcrossing is at least 0,88.

No forced downcrosssing means that X is the Brownian motion with drift all the time and there is no resistance level. Note that our model consider that we are in a downcrossing since the beginning and thus, we do not follow the optimal strategy (which is π^c). The case without forced downcrossing is an unfavorable case for us. Trajectories without resistance are not the topic of our study. Since the probability of this event is too high, we consider that choosing ξ geometric is not relevant for simulation.

2. Then, we consider that ξ has finite support $\{0, \ldots, N\}$.

On one side, knowing the law of ξ under \mathbb{P} (i.e $\alpha_n, n \geq 0$), it is easy to deduce the law of ξ under \mathbb{O} :

$$
\beta_n = \mathbb{Q}(\xi = n) = \mathbb{P}(\xi = n | A_{\xi}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\xi = n \cap A_{\xi})}{\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi})} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(\xi = n)\mathbb{P}(A_n)}{\mathbb{P}(A_{\xi})} = \frac{\alpha_n p^n}{\sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i p^i}.
$$

On the other side, the observations on real charts are observations of ξ under \mathbb{Q} , i.e we can calibrate only the values of β_n . However, we need the values of α_n in order to compute π^* . Then, it is necessary to be able to compute $\alpha_n, n \in [0, N]$, thanks to $\beta_n, n \in [0, N]$. In the case of finite support, we have an explicit formula:

$$
\alpha_n = \frac{\beta_n}{p^n \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{\beta_i}{p^i}}.
$$

A resistance line can be drawn only if there are at least three aligned local maxima. However β_0 and β_1 are not necessary 0, since our model starts after these three maxima and the drawing of the resistance line.

In our simulation, we fix $N = 6$ and

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\beta_0 & = & 0, 1, \\
\beta_2 & = & 0, 2, \\
\beta_3 & = & 0, 2, \\
\beta_4 & = & 0, 2, \\
\beta_5 & = & 0, 1, \\
\beta_6 & = & 0, 1.\n\end{array}
$$

We simulate $X, \pi^*, \pi^c, W^{\pi^*}, W^{\pi^c}$ with an initial wealth $W_0 = 1$. Let us present a typical result of the simulation in the following figures.

The first figure shows a trajectory of X which does three downcrossings and the strategy π^* at the same time.

See the jointed picture. Latex Compilation via HAL does not allow jpg. Thus, The second figure compares the wealth W^{π^*} earned by following the strategy π^* with the wealth W^{π^c} earned by following the classical strategy π^c . During

> As the figure shows, the gain is better with the strategy π^* , thanks to the downcrossings.

downcrossings, π^* (projected on [0,1]) vanishes, which means that we invest all our wealth in the bond. Since we expect the risky asset to fall down, the more natural way to preserve our wealth is to secure it in the bond. Thus, the wealth rises slowly during "bad days" (downcrossing). On the contrary, the standard strategy keeps investing in the risky asset and W^{π^c} decreases. Consequently, at the end of a downcrossing, W^{π^*} is likely to be higher than W^{π^c} .

we had to remove it from our article.

When the level $-\alpha$ is reached, the forced downcrossing ends and the price can go freely. Then, we expect the risky asset to rise. Following the strategy π^* , we invest in the risky asset and the wealth will likely follows the rise of the risky asset. The strategy W^{π^c} does the same, but since the wealth W^{π^*} at the beginning of the rise is higher than W^{π^c} , we still gain more during the rise that the standard strategy.

When the resistance level 0 is reached, we expect to have a fall of the price and we secure our wealth in the bond. This process last until we have done three downcrossings. After this time, X is not anymore constrained between the levels 0 and α . Thus, we are back to a classical Brownian motion and a classical strategy.

3. The second part of our simulation is to compare the wealths at the final time $T = 10$. Via Monte-carlo methods, we evaluate $W_T^{\pi^c} - W_T^{\pi^*}$ T^{π^*} . The mean value is 0,8415 with a standard deviation of 0, 005 for 2000 simulations.

The two following tables shows the results when we change only the volatility μ_0 (first table), and only the level α (second table).

It seems that the more volatile the price is, the more we earn. But the precision of our evaluation is lower.

The second table shows the influence of α . We have done only 1000 simulations for theses results.

The mean gain grows with α . α represents the "minimum height of downcrossing" after reflecting on the resistance. Higher values of α implies that the fall of price is more important. Consequently, the loss with the standard strategy is higher.

7 Conclusion

In the article, we have introduced a variation on standard Black-Scholes model. Our main purpose was to propose a stochastic model with paths having properties similar to observed prices. Our model have resistance (or support) lines. We applied well-known results of stochastic analysis to this new model and exhibited an optimal allocation portfolio procedure.

Many other rules issued from technical analysis in finance are mysterious from a financial mathematics point of view. It should be interesting to improve the accuracy of the mathematical models to the observations.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank gratefully Pr. Pierre Vallois for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] S. Achelis. Technical analysis from A to Z. McGraw Hill, 2000.
- [2] C. Blanchet-Scalliet, A. Diop, R. Gibson, D. Talay, and E. Tanré. Technical analysis techniques versus mathematical models: boundaries of their validity domains. In Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods 2004, pages 15–30. Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [3] C. Blanchet-Scalliet, A. Diop, R. Gibson, D. Talay, E. Tanré, and K. Kaminski. Technical analysis compared to mathematical models based methods under misspecification. Journal of Banking & Finance, 31(5):1351–1373, 2007.
- [4] A.N. Borodin and P. Salminen. Handbook of Brownian motion—facts and formulae. Probability and its Applications. Birkh¨auser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 2002.
- [5] E. Gobet. Weak approximation of killed diffusion using Euler schemes. Stochastic Process. Appl., 87(2):167–197, 2000.
- [6] T. Jeulin. Comportement des semi-martingales dans un grossissement de filtration. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 52(2):149–182, 1980.
- [7] T. Jeulin and M. Yor. Grossissement d'une filtration et semi-martingales: formules explicites. In Séminaire de Probabilités, XII (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1976/1977), volume 649 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 78–97. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [8] I. Karatzas. Lectures on the mathematics of finance, volume 8 of CRM Monograph Series. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [9] I. Karatzas and S. E. Shreve. Methods of mathematical finance, volume 39 of Applications of Mathematics (New York). Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [10] A.W. Lo, H. Mamaysky, and J. Wang. Foundations of technical analysis: Computational algorithms, statistical inference, and empirical implementation. Journal of Finance, LV(4):1705–1770, 2000.
- [11] M. Martinez, S. Rubenthaler, and E. Tanré. Approximations of a continuous time filter. application to optimal allocation problems in finance. Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 2009. (to appear).
- [12] R.C. Merton. Theory of rational option pricing. Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science, 4(1):141–183.
- [13] R.C. Merton. Optimum consumption and portfolio rules in a continuous-time model. J. Econom. Theory, 3(4):373–413, 1971.
- [14] P.E. Protter. Stochastic integration and differential equations, volume 21 of Stochastic Modelling and Applied Probability. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. Second edition. Version 2.1, Corrected third printing.
- [15] D. Revuz and M. Yor. Continuous martingales and Brownian motion, volume 293 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 1999.
- [16] D. Williams. Path decomposition and continuity of local time for one-dimensional diffusions. I. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 28:738–768, 1974.
- [17] M. Yor. Grossissement de filtrations et absolue continuite de noyaux. In Grossissements de filtrations: exemples et applications, volume 1118 of Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [18] M. Yor. Some aspects of Brownian motion. Part II. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997. Some recent martingale problems.