

# Quantum integrable systems in three-dimensional magnetic fields: the Cartesian case

Alexander Zhalij

Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine,  
3 Tereshchenkivska Str., 01601 Kyiv-4, Ukraine

zhalij@imath.kiev.ua

In this paper we construct integrable three-dimensional quantum-mechanical systems with magnetic fields, admitting pairs of commuting second-order integrals of motion. The case of Cartesian coordinates is considered. Most of the systems obtained are new and not related to the separation of variables in the corresponding Schrödinger equation.

Let us consider the stationary Schrödinger equation for particle moving in external electromagnetic field in three-dimensional Euclidean space

$$H\psi = E\psi, \quad H = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^2 + V(x, y, z) + A_i(x, y, z)p_i + p_i A_i(x, y, z), \quad (1)$$

where  $V(x, y, z)$  and  $\vec{A} = (A_1(x, y, z), A_2(x, y, z), A_3(x, y, z))$  are scalar and vector potentials of electromagnetic field, respectively. Here and below we use the notation  $\vec{p} = -i\hbar\vec{\nabla}$  and the summation from 1 to 3 over the repeated indices is understood.

By analogy with classical Hamiltonian mechanics this system is called integrable if there exists a pair of quantum-mechanical operators  $P$  and  $Q$  which commute with each other as well as with the Hamiltonian  $H$ , i.e., the following relations hold

$$[H, Q] = [H, P] = [P, Q] = 0.$$

Moreover, all three operators  $H$ ,  $Q$  and  $P$  are algebraically independent, i.e., any of them cannot be represented as polynomial of two others [1, 2].

In this paper we restrict ourselves by the case of  $Q$  and  $P$  being quadratic polynomials of  $\vec{p}$

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \alpha_{ik}(x, y, z)p_i p_k + f_i(x, y, z)p_i + u_1(x, y, z), \\ P &= \beta_{ik}(x, y, z)p_i p_k + g_i(x, y, z)p_i + u_2(x, y, z), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In classical mechanics integrable systems are interesting since their motion in a phase space is more ordered, namely, it is restricted to a torus. In quantum mechanics integrability of an  $n$ -dimensional quantum-mechanical system, i.e., existing of  $n$  quantum integrals of motion (operators, which commute with each other as well as with the operator of equation (1)), simplifies the problem of determining of energy spectrum and wave functions even when integrability does not lead to the variables separation, but only to the so-called “quasi-separation of variables” [3].

Therefore, the classification problem of all potentials  $V$  and  $\vec{A}$  of electromagnetic field, for which quantum-mechanical problem is integrable in the sense described above, is of current importance.

In the three-dimensional case of scalar potential, when there is no any magnetic field, this problem was solved as early as in 1967 by Yakov A. Smorodinsky with coauthors [4, 5]. They have proved that if there exist two quantum integrals of motion of first or second order with respect to  $\vec{p}$  (in the sense described above) then there exists a possibility of variable separation

in the corresponding Schrödinger equation [5]. The inverse statement is also true [4]. Thus, eleven classes of integrable potentials  $V$  obtained by them coincide with the results of classic paper of Eisenhart [6], where he as early as in 1948 has described all scalar potentials for which corresponding Schrödinger equation (or Hamilton-Jacobi equation in classical mechanics) admits variable separation at least in one of eleven coordinate systems.

The next step was done in 1972 by V.N. Shapovalov with coauthors [7]. They have obtained complete classification list of vector-potentials with nonzero magnetic field, for which corresponding Schrödinger equation (1) admits variable separation at least in one of eleven coordinate systems, and list of corresponding pairs of operators which commute with each other and with operator of the equation.

Posterior results in this direction are connected with works of P. Winternitz and his coauthors [8–10]. For two-dimensional case they have discovered that existing of second-order integrals of motion in magnetic field does not guarantee possibility of variable separation. Nevertheless, even in this case, integrals of motion are classified on equivalence classes under action of Euclidian group and second-order terms with respect to  $p_i$  in these integrals have the same form as in the case of pure scalar potential. It was also shown that in magnetic field quantum case [10] does not obviously coincide with classical one [8,9], namely, constructed vector-potentials can depend on Plank constant  $\hbar$  in a nontrivial way.

In this paper we make the next step in classification of potentials of electromagnetic field  $V$  and  $\vec{A}$  in three-dimensional Euclidian space, for which corresponding quantum-mechanical system described by equation (1) is integrable in the sense explained above, i.e., for which there exists a pair of operators (2) that commute with each other as well as with the operator of the equation. As a result, we obtain a number of vector-potentials for which corresponding Schrödinger equation is integrable but does not admit variable separation. Therefore, these potentials did not appear in the Shapovalov's classification [7] and are new.

At first we consider single operator  $Q$  of the form (2) which commutes with the operator  $H$  of Schrödinger equation (1). The commutator  $[Q, H]$  contains terms of zero, first, second and third orders with respect to  $p_i$ , which coefficients have to vanish. Coefficients of the third power of  $p_i$  give the following system:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_{ik}}{\partial x_m} p_m p_i p_k = 0.$$

After solving this system we obtain that the operator  $Q$  can be presented as symmetric bilinear polynomial of the infinitesimal generators of a group of motions of three-dimensional Euclidean space  $E_3$ , i.e., symmetry group of the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation for free particle (the Helmholtz equation):

$$Q = a_{ik} M_i M_k + b_{ik} (p_i M_k + M_k p_i) + c_{ij} p_i p_j + f_i(x, y, z) p_i + u_1(x, y, z), \quad (3)$$

where  $a_{ik}$ ,  $b_{ik}$  and  $c_{ij}$  are constants,  $M_i$  is operator of rotation, namely,  $M_i = \varepsilon_{ikl} x_k p_l$ , where  $\varepsilon_{ikl}$  is completely antisymmetric tensor.

Comparing constructed form (3) of operator with similar form of operator in the case of pure scalar potential [5], we conclude that second-order terms with respect to  $p_i$  remain the same after appearing of nonzero magnetic field (as well as in two-dimensional case [10]).

Therefore, analogously to [5], a pair of commuting operators  $P$  and  $Q$  having the form (3) can be reduced by rotations and translations of coordinate system and by the transformations

$$Q' = \mu P + \nu Q + \lambda H$$

to one of eleven classes corresponding to eleven classical coordinate systems which provide separation of variable in the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation for free particle.

That is why in our case of nonzero magnetic field we obtain that the second-order terms with respect to  $p_i$  in these eleven commuting pairs of the operators  $P$  and  $Q$  are of the same form as ones presented in [5]. However, in contrast to the pure scalar case, some or all of the coefficients of the first power of  $p_i$  are nonzero functions. The forms of these functions determine the form of magnetic field (in scalar case they equal zero).

In this paper we completely solve the simplest ‘‘Cartesian’’ case, i.e. the case

$$Q = p_1^2 + \vec{f}(x, y, z)\vec{p} + u_1(x, y, z),$$

$$P = p_2^2 + \vec{g}(x, y, z)\vec{p} + u_2(x, y, z).$$

Splitting the equations  $[H, Q] = [H, P] = [P, Q] = 0$  with respect to different powers of  $p_i$ , we obtain an overdetermining system of PDEs on unknown functions  $f_1, f_2, f_3, g_1, g_2, g_3, u_1, u_2$  and  $V, A_1, A_2, A_3$ .

The coefficients of the highest powers of  $p_i$  give the following system

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 = f_2(x), \quad f_3 = f_3(x), \quad g_1 = g_1(y), \quad g_3 = g_3(y), \quad f_{1y} = g_{2x}, \\ f_2'(x) + f_{1y} = 4A_{2x}, \quad g_3'(y) + g_{2z} = 4A_{3y}, \quad 4A_{1x} = f_{1x}, \\ f_3'(x) + f_{1z} = 4A_{3x}, \quad g_1'(y) + g_{2x} = 4A_{1y}, \quad 4A_{2y} = g_{2y}. \end{aligned}$$

Its general solution for  $\vec{A}$  is

$$4A_1 = s_x + k_{1x} + g_1(y) + r_1(z),$$

$$4A_2 = s_y + k_{2y} + f_2(x) + r_2(z),$$

$$4A_3 = s_z + k_{1z} + k_{2z} + f_3(x) + g_3(y) + r_3'(z),$$

where  $s = s(x, y, z)$ ,  $k_1 = k_1(x, z)$  and  $k_2 = k_2(y, z)$ .

The gauge transformation

$$\vec{A} \rightarrow \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla}F, \quad F = s(x, y, z) + k_1(x, z) + k_2(y, z) + r_3(z),$$

simplifies the obtained expression for  $\vec{A}$  in the following way

$$A_1 = \frac{1}{4}(g_1(y) + r_1(z)), \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{4}(f_2(x) + r_2(z)), \quad A_3 = \frac{1}{4}(f_3(x) + g_3(y)).$$

With this expression for  $\vec{A}$  in hand, we obtain from the coefficients of the lowest powers of  $p_i$  the system of ODEs on the functions  $g_1(y), r_1(z), f_2(x), r_2(z), f_3(x)$  and  $g_3(y)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x)g_3'(y) &= g_1(y)f_3'(x), \\ r_1(z)f_2'(x) &= f_3(x)r_2'(z), \\ r_2(z)g_1'(y) &= g_3(y)r_1'(z). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It is obvious that the Schrödinger equation with vector-potential (1) is invariant with respect to permutations of  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$ , which are done simultaneously with permutations of the variables  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$ . Equations (4) are invariant with respect to permutations of the functions  $g_1(y), r_1(z), f_2(x), r_2(z), f_3(x)$  and  $g_3(y)$ . These equivalence transformations can be represented

in such a way

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_2 & g_3 & r_1 \\ f_3 & g_1 & r_2 \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 \\ x & y & z \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & f_2 & g_3 \\ r_2 & f_3 & g_1 \\ A_3 & A_1 & A_2 \\ z & x & y \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} g_3 & r_1 & f_2 \\ g_1 & r_2 & f_3 \\ A_2 & A_3 & A_1 \\ y & z & x \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_3 & r_2 & g_1 \\ f_2 & r_1 & g_3 \\ A_1 & A_3 & A_2 \\ x & z & y \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & f_3 & r_2 \\ g_3 & f_2 & r_1 \\ A_2 & A_1 & A_3 \\ y & x & z \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & g_1 & f_3 \\ r_1 & g_3 & f_2 \\ A_3 & A_2 & A_1 \\ z & y & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Usage of these equivalence transformations allows us to describe exhaustively all solutions of equations (4). This gives the complete description of all possible forms of vector-potentials  $\vec{A}$ . The residual coefficients of powers of  $p_i$  serve for determining of scalar component  $V$  of vector-potential and put additional constraints on the functions  $g_1(y)$ ,  $r_1(z)$ ,  $f_2(x)$ ,  $r_2(z)$ ,  $f_3(x)$  and  $g_3(y)$ .

Below we adduce the final results of our calculations. Here and below  $\vec{\Omega}$  denotes magnetic field, namely,  $\vec{\Omega} = \text{rot } \vec{A}$ .

**Case 1.**

$$\vec{A} = 0, \quad \vec{\Omega} = 0, \quad V = u_1(x) + u_2(y) + u_3(z), \quad Q = p_1^2 + 2u_1(x), \quad P = p_2^2 + 2u_2(y).$$

This case corresponds to zero magnetic field and is contained in the Eisenhart's classification [6]. According to his results all scalar potentials for which corresponding Schrödinger equations admit variable separation in Cartesian coordinates are exhausted by ones having the form

$$V = u_1(x) + u_2(y) + u_3(z).$$

**Case 2.**

$$\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(z) \\ v_2(z) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} -v_2'(z) \\ v_1'(z) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = v_3(z), \quad Q = p_1^2, \quad P = p_2^2.$$

**Case 3.**

$$\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f(x) + g(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} g'(y) \\ -f'(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = u_1(x) + u_2(y),$$

$$Q = p_1^2 + 4f(x)p_3 + 2u_1(x), \quad P = p_2^2 + 4g(y)p_3 + 2u_2(y).$$

The cases 2 and 3 were obtained by Shapovalov et al. [7]. According to their results these two cases exhaust all vector-potentials with nonzero magnetic field for which corresponding Schrödinger equations admit variable separation in Cartesian coordinates.

Next three cases are not connected with variable separation and, therefore, these potentials did not appear in the Shapovalov's classification [7] and they are new.

**Case 4.**

$$\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} g'(y) \\ f'(x) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ f''(x) - g''(y) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V = -(C_3f(x) + C_3g(y) + 2C_2f^2(x) + 2C_1g^2(y) + r(z) + 4g(y)f''(x) + 4f(x)g''(y)),$$

$$Q = p_1^2 + 4f'(x)p_2 - 2(4g(y)f''(x) + 2C_2f(x)^2 + C_3f(x)),$$

$$P = p_2^2 + 4g'(y)p_1 - 2(4f(x)g''(y) + 2C_1g(y)^2 + C_3g(y)),$$

where the functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(y)$  are solutions of the ODEs

$$f''(x) = Cf^2(x) + C_1f(x) + C_4, \quad (5)$$

$$g''(y) = Cg^2(y) + C_2g(y) + C_5. \quad (6)$$

If  $C = 0$  these are linear second-order ODEs. The case  $C \neq 0$  is more interesting. If additionally  $C_1 \neq 0$  (resp.  $C_2 \neq 0$ ), then solution of equation (5) (resp. (6)) is first Painleve transcendent. If  $C = C_1 = 0$  (resp.  $C = C_2 = 0$ ), then (5) (resp. (6)) is the Weierstrass equation which solutions are expressed either via Weierstrass functions or via elementary ones depending on values of the parameter  $C_4$  (resp.  $C_5$ ) and integration constants. See, e.g. [11] for details.

**Case 5.**

$$\vec{A} = \begin{pmatrix} g'(y) \\ f'(x) \\ Cf(x) + Cg(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} Cg'(y) \\ -Cf'(x) \\ f''(x) - g''(y) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V = -(C_3f(x) + C_3g(y) + 2C_2f^2(x) + 2C_1g^2(y) + 4g(y)f''(x) + 4f(x)g''(y)),$$

$$Q = p_1^2 + 4(f'(x)p_2 + Cf(x)p_3) - 2(4g(y)f''(x) + 2C_2f(x)^2 + C_3f(x)),$$

$$P = p_2^2 + 4(g'(y)p_1 + Cg(y)p_3) - 2(4f(x)g''(y) + 2C_1g(y)^2 + C_3g(y)),$$

where the functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(y)$  are solutions of the ordinary differential equations

$$f''(x) = C_6f^2(x) + C_1f(x) + C_4,$$

$$g''(y) = C_6g^2(y) + C_2g(y) + C_5,$$

which are integrated analogously to the previous case.

**Case 6.**

$$\vec{A} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} w'_1(y) + v'_1(z) \\ u'_2(x) + v'_2(z) \\ u'_3(x) + w'_3(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\Omega} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} w''_3(y) - v''_2(z) \\ v''_1(z) - u''_3(x) \\ u''_2(x) - w''_1(y) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$V = -\frac{1}{4}(u_1(x) + w_2(y) + v_3(z) + w_1(y)u''_2(x) + v_1(z)u''_3(x) + u_2(x)w''_1(y) + v_2(z)w''_3(y) + u_3(x)v''_1(z) + w_3(y)v''_2(z)),$$

$$Q = p_1^2 + u'_2(x)p_2 + u'_3(x)p_3 - \frac{1}{2}(w_1(y)u''_2(x) + v_1(z)u''_3(x) + u_1(x)),$$

$$P = p_2^2 + w'_1(y)p_1 + w'_3(y)p_3 - \frac{1}{2}(u_2(x)w''_1(y) + v_2(z)w''_3(y) + w_2(y)),$$

where the functions  $u_2(x)$ ,  $u_3(x)$ ,  $w_1(y)$ ,  $w_3(y)$ ,  $v_1(z)$ ,  $v_2(z)$ ,  $u_1(x)$ ,  $w_2(y)$  and  $v_3(z)$  are defined in a special way and described by the following four cases:

**Case 6.1.**

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2(x) &= a_3(r_1 \cosh(a_1x) + k_1 \sinh(a_1x)), & u_3(x) &= a_2(r_1 \sinh(a_1x) + k_1 \cosh(a_1x)), \\
w_1(y) &= a_3(r_2 \cosh(a_2y) + k_2 \sinh(a_2y)), & w_3(y) &= a_1(r_2 \sinh(a_2y) + k_2 \cosh(a_2y)), \\
v_1(z) &= a_2(r_3 \cosh(a_3z) + k_3 \sinh(a_3z)), & v_2(z) &= a_1(r_3 \sinh(a_3z) + k_3 \cosh(a_3z)), \\
u_1(x) &= \frac{a_2^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_1^2 + k_1^2) \cosh(2a_1x) + 2r_1 k_1 \sinh(2a_1x)) + C(r_1 \cosh(a_1x) + k_1 \sinh(a_1x)), \\
w_2(y) &= \frac{a_1^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_2^2 + k_2^2) \cosh(2a_2y) + 2r_2 k_2 \sinh(2a_2y)) + C(r_2 \cosh(a_2y) + k_2 \sinh(a_2y)), \\
v_3(z) &= \frac{a_1^2 a_2^2}{4} ((r_3^2 + k_3^2) \cosh(2a_3z) + 2r_3 k_3 \sinh(2a_3z)) + C_1(r_3 \cosh(a_3z) + k_3 \sinh(a_3z)),
\end{aligned}$$

with 5 possible subcases:

- a)  $C = 0, \quad C_1 = 0;$
- b)  $r_1 = k_1, \quad r_2 = k_2, \quad r_3 = k_3, \quad C_1 = C;$
- c)  $r_1 = k_1, \quad r_2 = -k_2, \quad r_3 = -k_3, \quad C_1 = C;$
- d)  $r_1 = -k_1, \quad r_2 = k_2, \quad r_3 = -k_3, \quad C_1 = -C;$
- e)  $r_1 = -k_1, \quad r_2 = -k_2, \quad r_3 = k_3, \quad C_1 = -C.$

**Case 6.2.**

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2(x) &= a_3(r_1 \sin(a_1x) - k_1 \cos(a_1x)), & u_3(x) &= a_2(r_1 \cos(a_1x) + k_1 \sin(a_1x)), \\
w_1(y) &= a_3(r_2 \sin(a_2y) - k_2 \cos(a_2y)), & w_3(y) &= a_1(r_2 \cos(a_2y) + k_2 \sin(a_2y)), \\
v_1(z) &= a_2(r_3 \cosh(a_3z) + k_3 \sinh(a_3z)), & v_2(z) &= a_1(r_3 \sinh(a_3z) + k_3 \cosh(a_3z)), \\
u_1(x) &= \frac{a_2^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_1^2 - k_1^2) \cos(2a_1x) + 2r_1 k_1 \sin(2a_1x)) + C(r_1 \sin(a_1x) - k_1 \cos(a_1x)), \\
w_2(y) &= \frac{a_1^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_2^2 - k_2^2) \cos(2a_2y) + 2r_2 k_2 \sin(2a_2y)) + C(r_2 \sin(a_2y) - k_2 \cos(a_2y)), \\
v_3(z) &= -\frac{a_1^2 a_2^2}{4} ((r_3^2 + k_3^2) \cosh(2a_3z) + 2r_3 k_3 \sinh(2a_3z)) + \\
&\quad C_1(r_3 \cosh(a_3z) + k_3 \sinh(a_3z)),
\end{aligned}$$

with 5 possible subcases:

- a)  $C = 0, \quad C_1 = 0;$
- b)  $r_1 = ik_1, \quad r_2 = -ik_2, \quad r_3 = -k_3, \quad C_1 = iC;$
- c)  $r_1 = ik_1, \quad r_2 = ik_2, \quad r_3 = k_3, \quad C_1 = iC;$
- d)  $r_1 = -ik_1, \quad r_2 = -ik_2, \quad r_3 = k_3, \quad C_1 = -iC;$
- e)  $r_1 = -ik_1, \quad r_2 = ik_2, \quad r_3 = -k_3, \quad C_1 = -iC.$

**Case 6.3.**

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2(x) &= a_3(r_1 \cos(a_1x) + k_1 \sin(a_1x)), & u_3(x) &= ia_2(r_1 \sin(a_1x) - k_1 \cos(a_1x)), \\
w_1(y) &= a_3(r_2 \cos(a_2y) + k_2 \sin(a_2y)), & w_3(y) &= ia_1(r_2 \sin(a_2y) - k_2 \cos(a_2y)), \\
v_1(z) &= a_2(r_3 \cos(a_3z) + k_3 \sin(a_3z)), & v_2(z) &= ia_1(r_3 \sin(a_3z) - k_3 \cos(a_3z)), \\
u_1(x) &= -\frac{a_2^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_1^2 - k_1^2) \cos(2a_1x) + 2r_1 k_1 \sin(2a_1x)) + C(r_1 \cos(a_1x) + k_1 \sin(a_1x)), \\
w_2(y) &= -\frac{a_1^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_2^2 - k_2^2) \cos(2a_2y) + 2r_2 k_2 \sin(2a_2y)) + C(r_2 \cos(a_2y) + k_2 \sin(a_2y)), \\
v_3(z) &= -\frac{a_1^2 a_2^2}{4} ((r_3^2 - k_3^2) \cos(2a_3z) + 2r_3 k_3 \sin(2a_3z)) + C_1(r_3 \sin(a_3z) - k_3 \cos(a_3z)),
\end{aligned}$$

with 5 possible subcases:

- a)  $C = 0, \quad C_1 = 0;$
- b)  $r_1 = ik_1, \quad r_2 = -ik_2, \quad r_3 = ik_3, \quad C_1 = iC;$
- c)  $r_1 = -ik_1, \quad r_2 = -ik_2, \quad r_3 = -ik_3, \quad C_1 = iC;$
- d)  $r_1 = -ik_1, \quad r_2 = ik_2, \quad r_3 = ik_3, \quad C_1 = -iC;$
- e)  $r_1 = ik_1, \quad r_2 = ik_2, \quad r_3 = -ik_3, \quad C_1 = -iC.$

**Case 6.4.**

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2(x) &= a_3(r_1 \cosh(a_1x) + k_1 \sinh(a_1x)), & u_3(x) &= -ia_2(r_1 \sinh(a_1x) + k_1 \cosh(a_1x)), \\
w_1(y) &= a_3(r_2 \cosh(a_2y) + k_2 \sinh(a_2y)), & w_3(y) &= -ia_1(r_2 \sinh(a_2y) + k_2 \cosh(a_2y)), \\
v_1(z) &= a_2(r_3 \cos(a_3z) + k_3 \sin(a_3z)), & v_2(z) &= ia_1(r_3 \sin(a_3z) - k_3 \cos(a_3z)), \\
u_1(x) &= \frac{a_2^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_1^2 + k_1^2) \cosh(2a_1x) + 2r_1 k_1 \sinh(2a_1x)) + C(r_1 \cosh(a_1x) + k_1 \sinh(a_1x)), \\
w_2(y) &= \frac{a_1^2 a_3^2}{4} ((r_2^2 + k_2^2) \cosh(2a_2y) + 2r_2 k_2 \sinh(2a_2y)) + C(r_2 \cosh(a_2y) + k_2 \sinh(a_2y)), \\
v_3(z) &= \frac{a_1^2 a_2^2}{4} ((r_3^2 - k_3^2) \cos(2a_3z) + 2r_3 k_3 \sin(2a_3z)) + C_1(r_3 \sin(a_3z) - k_3 \cos(a_3z)),
\end{aligned}$$

with 5 possible subcases:

- a)  $C = 0, \quad C_1 = 0;$
- b)  $r_1 = -k_1, \quad r_2 = -k_2, \quad r_3 = -ik_3, \quad C_1 = C;$
- c)  $r_1 = k_1, \quad r_2 = -k_2, \quad r_3 = ik_3, \quad C_1 = C;$
- d)  $r_1 = k_1, \quad r_2 = k_2, \quad r_3 = -ik_3, \quad C_1 = -C;$
- e)  $r_1 = -k_1, \quad r_2 = k_2, \quad r_3 = ik_3, \quad C_1 = -C.$

Therefore, we obtain a number of new vector-potentials, for which the corresponding Schrödinger equation (1) is integrable in the sense described above.

The author is grateful to Prof. P. Winternitz for useful discussions. This work was partly supported by a grant from NATO.

## References

- [1] Hietarinta J., Pure quantum integrability, *Phys. Lett. A* **246** (1998), 97–104; arXiv:solv-int/9708010
- [2] Hietarinta J., Classical versus quantum integrability, *J. Math. Phys.* **25** (1984), 1833–1840.
- [3] Charest F., Hudon C. and Winternitz P., Quasiseparation of variables in the Schrödinger equation with a magnetic field, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007), 012105; arXiv:math-ph/0502046
- [4] Smorodinskii Ya.A. and Tugov I.I., On complete sets of observables, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **50** (1966), 653–659 (in Russian); translated in *Soviet Physics JETP* **23** (1966), 434–436.
- [5] Makarov A.A., Smorodinsky J.A., Valiev Kh. and Winternitz P., A systematic search for nonrelativistic systems with dynamical symmetries, *Nuovo Cimento A* **52** (1967), 1061–1084.
- [6] Eisenhart L.P., Enumeration of potentials for which one-particle Schrödinger equations are separable, *Phys. Rev.* **74** (1948), 87–89.
- [7] Shapovalov V.N., Bagrov V.G. and Meshkov A.G., Separation of variables in the stationary Schrödinger equation, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Fizika* **8** (1972), 45–50 (in Russian); translated in *Russian Physics J.* **15** (1972), 1115–1119; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00910289>
- [8] Dorizzi B., Grammaticos B., Ramani A. and Winternitz P., Integrable Hamiltonian systems with velocity-dependent potentials, *J. Math. Phys.* **26** (1985), 3070–3079.
- [9] McSween E. and Winternitz P., Integrable and superintegrable Hamiltonian systems in magnetic fields, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000), 2957–2967.
- [10] Berube J. and Winternitz P., Integrable and superintegrable quantum systems in a magnetic field, *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004), 1959–1973; arXiv:math-ph/0311051
- [11] Kamke E., *Differentialgleichungen Lösungsmethoden und Lösungen*, Stuttgart, Teubner, 1977.