

Singular limits for the Riemann problem. General diffusion, relaxation, and boundary conditions

K.T. Joseph¹ and Philippe G. LeFloch²

February, 2006

Abstract

We consider self-similar approximations of non-linear hyperbolic systems in one space dimension with Riemann initial data, especially the system $\partial_t u_\varepsilon + A(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon = \varepsilon t \partial_x (B(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon)$, with $\varepsilon > 0$. We assume that the matrix $A(u)$ is strictly hyperbolic and that the diffusion matrix satisfies $|B(u) - \text{Id}| \ll 1$. No genuine non-linearity assumption is required. We show the existence of a smooth, self-similar solution $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(x/t)$ which has bounded total variation, uniformly in the diffusion parameter $\varepsilon > 0$. In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the functions u_ε converge towards a solution of the Riemann problem associated with the hyperbolic system. A similar result is established for the relaxation approximation $\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \partial_x v^\varepsilon = 0$, $\partial_t v^\varepsilon + a^2 B(u) \partial_x u^\varepsilon = (f(u^\varepsilon) - v^\varepsilon)/(\varepsilon t)$. We also cover the boundary-value problem in a half-space for the same regularizations.

1 Introduction

In this note we present a continuation of the work by the authors [5]–[8] on self-similar regularizations of the Riemann problem associated with a non-linear strictly hyperbolic system in one-space dimension:

$$\partial_t u + A(u) \partial_x u = 0, \quad u = u(t, x) \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

with piecewise constant, initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_l \text{ for } x < 0; \quad u_r \text{ for } x > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where u_l, u_r are constant states in \mathcal{B}_{δ_0} . Here, $\mathcal{B}_{\delta_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ denotes the ball centered at the origin and with radius $\delta_0 > 0$, and, for all $u \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0}$, $A(u)$ is

¹School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India. E-mail: ktj@math.tifr.res.in

²Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions & Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris 6), 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France. E-mail: pgLeFloch@gmail.com. To cite this article: C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 344 (2007), 59–64.

assumed to have distinct and real eigenvalues $\lambda_1(u) < \dots < \lambda_N(u)$ and basis of left- and right-eigenvectors $l_j(u), r_j(u)$, ($1 \leq j \leq N$).

Following Dafermos [1, 2] and Slemrod [13] who advocate the use of self-similar regularizations to capture the whole wave fan structure of the Riemann problem, we consider solutions constructed by self-similar vanishing diffusion associated with a *general* diffusion matrix $B = B(u)$, that is we search for solutions of

$$\partial_t u_\varepsilon + A(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon = \varepsilon t \partial_x (B(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Due to the choice of the scaling εt , this system admits solutions $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(x/t)$, and, therefore, we refer to (1.2)-(1.3) as the *self-similar diffusive Riemann problem*. The matrix $B = B(u)$ is assumed to depend smoothly upon u and to remain sufficient close to the identity matrix, that is, for some given matrix norm and for $\eta > 0$ sufficiently small

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0}} |B(u) - \text{Id}| \leq \eta. \quad (1.4)$$

The method of analysis introduced below is not a priori restricted to (1.3)-(1.4), and generalizations are discussed at the end of this note and in [8, 9].

The techniques developed so far for general hyperbolic systems (see [14, 12] and [5]–[7]) were restricted to regularizations based on the identity diffusion matrix. The new approach introduced here allows us to cover classes of approximations based on general diffusion matrices (or relaxation terms, see below). This degree of generality is especially important for non-conservative systems [10, 11] and for the boundary-value problem [5], whose solutions are known to *strongly depend* upon the specific regularization.

2 Main results

By the property of propagation at finite speed, a self-similar solution $u = u(y)$ of the Riemann problem is constant outside a sufficiently large, compact interval $[-L, L]$, i.e.: $u(y) = u_l$ for $y < -L$ and $u(y) = u_r$ for $y > L$. As is customary, we assume that δ_0 is sufficiently small so that the wave speeds $\lambda_j(u)$ remain close to the constant speeds $\lambda_j(0)$ and are uniformly separated in the sense that

$$\underline{\Lambda}_j \leq \lambda_j(u) \leq \overline{\Lambda}_j, \quad u \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0},$$

for some constants $-L < \underline{\Lambda}_1 < \overline{\Lambda}_1 < \underline{\Lambda}_2 < \dots < \underline{\Lambda}_N < \overline{\Lambda}_N < L$.

We establish the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Consider the non-linear, strictly hyperbolic system (1.1) together with its parabolic regularization (1.3)-(1.4). There exist (sufficiently small) constants $\delta_1, \eta > 0$ and a (sufficiently large) constant $C_0 > 0$ such*

that for any initial data $u_l, u_r \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta_1}$ the self-similar diffusive Riemann problem (1.2)-(1.3) admits a smooth solution $u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon(x/t) \in \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0}$ defined for all $y = x/t \in [-L, L]$, which has uniformly bounded variation,

$$TV_{-L}^L(u_\varepsilon) \leq C_0 |u_r - u_l|,$$

and converges strongly to some limit $u : [-L, L] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0}$:

$$u^\varepsilon \rightarrow u \text{ in the } L^1 \text{ norm, as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

The limit function satisfies the following properties. The function $y \mapsto u(y)$ has bounded total variation, that is, $TV_{-L}^L(u) \leq C_0 |u_r - u_l|$, and is constant on each interval $[\bar{\Lambda}_j, \underline{\Lambda}_{j+1}]$. If (1.1) is a system of conservation laws, i.e. $A = Df$ for some flux $f : \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$, then the limit is a distributional solution of

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

If $(U, F) : \mathcal{B}_{\delta_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ is an entropy / entropy flux pair associated with (2.1) and the diffusion matrix satisfies the convexity-like condition $\nabla^2 U \cdot B \geq 0$, then the solution u satisfies the entropy inequality

$$\partial_t U(u) + \partial_x F(u) \leq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

We have also the following description of the wave curves.

Theorem 2.2. *With the notation and assumptions in Theorem 2.1, to each j -characteristic family and each left-hand state u_l one can associate a j -wave curve*

$$\mathcal{W}_j(u_l) := \{u_r = \psi_j(m; u_l) / m \in (\underline{m}_j, \bar{m}_j)\},$$

issuing from u_l , which, by definition, is made of all right-hand states u_r attainable by a Riemann solution $u = u(y)$, with left-hand state u_l , by using only j -waves, that is such that

$$u(y) = u_l \text{ for } y < \underline{\Lambda}_j; \quad u_r \text{ for } y > \bar{\Lambda}_j.$$

Moreover, the mapping $\psi_j : (\underline{m}_j, \bar{m}_j) \times \mathcal{B}_{\delta_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\delta_0}$ is Lipschitz continuous with respect to both arguments, and for some small constant $c > 0$

$$\partial_m \psi_j(m; u_l) \in \mathcal{C}_j := \{w \in \mathbb{R}^N / |w \cdot l_j(0)| \geq (1 - c) |w|\}.$$

Moreover, the characteristic component $y \mapsto \alpha_j(y) := l_j(0) \cdot u'(y)$ is a non-negative measure in the interval $[\underline{\Lambda}_j, \bar{\Lambda}_j]$.

For the proof of these results as well as a characterization of the limit when (1.1) is a general non-conservative system, we refer to [8, 9].

We will here sketch the proof of Theorem 2.1. To handle general diffusion matrix $B(u)$, the following *generalized eigenvalue problem* is introduced

$$(-y + A(u)) \widehat{r}_j(u, y) = \mu_j(u, y) B(u) \widehat{r}_j(u, y),$$

$$\widehat{l}_j(u, y) \cdot (-y + A(u)) = \mu_j(u, y) \widehat{l}_j(u, y) \cdot B(u).$$

In view of (1.4), one has $\widehat{r}_j(u, y) = r_j(u) + O(\eta)$ and $\widehat{l}_j(u, y) = l_j(u) + O(\eta)$. The proof relies on a suitable *asymptotic expansion* of the solution $u_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(x/t)$, of the form

$$u'_\varepsilon = \sum_j a_j^\varepsilon \widehat{r}_j(u_\varepsilon, \cdot) \quad \text{with } a_j^\varepsilon := \widehat{l}_j(u_\varepsilon, \cdot) \cdot u'_\varepsilon.$$

Omitting ε , we deduce that the components a_j satisfy a *coupled system of N differential equations*:

$$a'_i - \frac{\mu_i(u, \cdot)}{\varepsilon} a_i + \sum_j \pi_{ij}(u, \cdot) a_j = Q_i(u; \cdot) := \sum_{j,k} \kappa_{ijk}(u, \cdot) a_j a_k,$$

where

$$\pi_{ij}(u, \cdot) := \widehat{l}_i(u, \cdot) \cdot B(u) \partial_y \widehat{r}_j(u, \cdot),$$

$$\kappa_{ijk}(u, \cdot) := -\widehat{l}_i(u, \cdot) \cdot D_u(B \widehat{r}_k)(u, \cdot) \widehat{r}_j(u, \cdot).$$

The system under study has the form

$$a'_i - \frac{\mu_i(u, \cdot)}{\varepsilon} a_i + O(\eta) \sum_j |a_j| = O(1) \sum_{j,k} |a_j| |a_k|.$$

In a central part of our argument we study the homogeneous system

$$\varphi'_i - \frac{\mu_i(u, \cdot)}{\varepsilon} \varphi_i + \sum_j \pi_{ij}(u, \cdot) \varphi_j = 0, \quad \varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N), \quad (2.3)$$

and establish that it has solutions φ_j , referred to as the *linearized i -wave measures* associated with the function u , which are “close” (in a sense to be specified) to the following normalized solutions of the corresponding uncoupled system (obtain by setting $\eta = 0$)

$$\varphi_i^* := \frac{e^{-g_i/\varepsilon}}{I_i}, \quad I_i := \int_{-L}^L e^{-g_i/\varepsilon} dy, \quad g_i(y) := - \int_{\rho_i}^y \mu_i(u(x), x) dx.$$

Here, the constants ρ_i are determined so that the functions g_i are non-negative.

Theorem 2.3. *The system (2.3) admits a solution φ such that for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $y \in [-L, L]$*

$$(1 - O(\eta)) \varphi_i^*(y) - \varepsilon O(\eta) \sum_j \varphi_j^*(y) \leq \varphi_i(y) \leq (1 + O(\eta)) \varphi_i^*(y) + \varepsilon O(\eta) \sum_j \varphi_j^*(y).$$

In contrast with the functions φ_i^* , the functions φ_i need not be positive. Next, to control the total variation of the solutions of (1.3), we derive Glimm-like estimates on the wave *interaction coefficients*

$$F_{ijk}^*(y) := \varphi_i^*(y) \int_{c_i}^y \frac{\varphi_j^* \varphi_k^*}{\varphi_i^*} dx$$

for some constants $c_i \in [\underline{\Lambda}_i, \overline{\Lambda}_i]$. We gain useful information on the possible growth of the total variation of solutions. Roughly speaking, the coefficient F_{ijk}^* bounds the contribution to the i -th family due to interactions between waves of the j -th and k -th characteristic families.

3 Generalizations

The results above have been also extended to relaxation approximations and boundary-value problems. In particular, we can handle relaxation approximations associated with the conservative system (2.1)

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon + \partial_x v^\varepsilon = 0, \quad \partial_t v^\varepsilon + a^2 B(u) \partial_x u^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon t} (f(u^\varepsilon) - v^\varepsilon), \quad (3.1)$$

where $u^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ and $v^\varepsilon = v^\varepsilon(x, t)$ are the unknowns, and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a relaxation parameter.

We also study (1.3) and (3.1) in the presence of a boundary, when there exists an index p such that $0 < \overline{\Lambda}_p$, and that at most one wave family is characteristic, that is, $0 \in (\underline{\Lambda}_p, \overline{\Lambda}_p)$. We consider (1.1) on the interval $y \in [0, L]$, and prove the existence of a solution with uniformly bounded variation. To handle the boundary layer, we modify the previous definition of the functions φ_j^* , $j \leq p$ and carefully estimate the coefficients $F_{ijk}^*(y)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In addition, following pioneering work by Fan and Slemrod [4] who studied the effect of artificial viscosity terms, we consider a system arising in liquid-vapor phase dynamics with *physical* viscosity and capillarity effects taken into account. We establish uniform total variation bounds, allowing us to deduce new existence results. Our analysis covers both the hyperbolic and the hyperbolic-elliptic regimes and apply to arbitrarily large Riemann data. The proofs rely on a new technique of reduction to two coupled scalar equations associated with the two wave fans of the system. Strong L^1 convergence to a weak solution of bounded variation is established in the hyperbolic regime, while in the hyperbolic-elliptic regime a stationary singularity near the axis separating the two wave fans, or more generally an almost-stationary oscillating wave pattern (of thickness depending upon the capillarity-viscosity ratio) are observed which prevent the solution to have globally bounded variation.

Acknowledgements

PLF was partially supported by the A.N.R. (Agence Nationale de la Recherche) grant 06-2-134423 (MATH-GR). KTJ and PGL were partially supported by a grant (Number 2601-2) from the Indo-French Centre for the Promotion of Advanced Research, IFCPAR (CEFIPRA).

References

- [1] Dafermos C.M., Solution of the Riemann problem for a class of hyperbolic conservation laws by the viscosity method, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 52 (1973), 1–9.
- [2] Dafermos C.M., Admissible wave fans in nonlinear hyperbolic systems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 106 (1989), 243–260.
- [3] Dal Maso G., LeFloch P.G., and Murat F., Definition and weak stability of nonconservative products, *J. Math. Pure Appl.* 74 (1995), 483–548.
- [4] Fan H.-T. and Slemrod M., Dynamic flows with liquid/vapor phase transitions, *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 373–420.
- [5] Joseph K.T. and LeFloch P.G., Boundary layers in weak solutions to hyperbolic conservation laws, *Arch. Rational Mech Anal.* 147 (1999), 47–88.
- [6] Joseph K.T. and LeFloch P.G., Boundary layers in weak solutions of hyperbolic conservation laws II. Self-similar vanishing diffusion limits, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* 1 (2002), 51–76.
- [7] Joseph K.T. and LeFloch P.G., Boundary layers in weak solutions of hyperbolic conservation laws III. Vanishing relaxation limits, *Portugaliae Math.* 59 (2002), 453–494.
- [8] Joseph K.T. and LeFloch P.G., Singular limits for the Riemann problem: general diffusion, relaxation, and boundary conditions, in “Analytical Approaches to Multidimensional Balance Laws”, O. Rozanova Ed., Nova Publishers, 2005.
- [9] Joseph K.T. and LeFloch P.G., Singular limits in phase dynamics with physical viscosity and capillarity, *Proc. A Royal Soc. Edinburgh*, to appear.
- [10] LeFloch P.G., Shock waves for nonlinear hyperbolic systems in nonconservative form, *Institute for Math. and its Appl., Minneapolis*, Preprint # 593, 1989.
- [11] LeFloch P.G., *Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws: The theory of classical and nonclassical shock waves*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, ETH Zuerich, Birkhäuser, 2002.

- [12] LeFloch P.G. and Tzavaras A., Existence theory for the Riemann problem for nonconservative hyperbolic systems, *C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris (Sr. I)* 323 (1996), 347–352.
- [13] Slemrod M., A limiting viscosity approach to the Riemann problem for materials exhibiting change of phase, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 105 (1989), 327–365.
- [14] Tzavaras A.E., Wave interactions and variation estimates for self-similar viscous limits in systems of conservation laws, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 135 (1996), 1–60.