

A. Boutet de Monvel<sup>1</sup> · V. Chulaevsky<sup>2</sup> ·  
P. Stollmann<sup>3</sup> · Y. Suhov<sup>4</sup>

# Wegner-type bounds for a two-particle continuous Anderson model with an alloy-type external potential

**Abstract** We consider a two-particle quantum systems in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with interaction and in presence of a random external potential (a continuous two-particle Anderson model). We establish Wegner-type estimates (inequalities) for such models, assessing the probability that random spectra of Hamiltonians in finite volumes intersect a given set.

## 1 Introduction. The two-particle Hamiltonian in the continuum

This paper is concerned with a two-particle Anderson model in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with interaction. The Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}$  ( $= \mathbf{H}(\omega)$ ) is a Schrödinger operator of the form  $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{V}(\omega; \mathbf{x})$  acting on functions  $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^{2 \cdot d})$ . This means that we consider two particles, each living in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , in the following fashion:  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$  and each  $x_j = (x_j^{(1)}, \dots, x_j^{(d)})$  represents the coordinates of the

---

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu  
Université Paris 7  
175 rue du Chevaleret, 75013 Paris, France  
E-mail: aboutet@math.jussieu.fr

<sup>2</sup>Département de Mathématiques  
Université de Reims, Moulin de la Housse, B.P. 1039,  
51687 Reims Cedex 2, France  
E-mail: victor.tchoulaevski@univ-reims.fr

<sup>3</sup>Fakultät für Mathematik  
Technische Universität Chemnitz  
09107 Chemnitz, Germany  
E-mail: peter.stollmann@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

<sup>4</sup>Statistical Laboratory, DPMMS  
University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road,  
Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK  
E-mail: Y.M.Suhov@statslab.cam.ac.uk

$j$ 's particle. Here,  $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta$  is the standard kinetic energy resulting from adding up the kinetic energies  $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta_j$  of the different particles and assuming that we are dealing with particles of identical masses. In case of different masses,  $-\frac{1}{2}\Delta$  would have to be replaced by  $\mathbf{H}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1,2} \frac{1}{m_j}\Delta_j$ , without changing any of the analysis involved. The potential  $\mathbf{U}(\cdot)$  is, as usually, identified with the corresponding multiplication operator. It incorporates the interaction between particles, as well as possibly a deterministic external potential. We assume

(D) *Boundedness of the interaction potential:*

$$\mathbf{U} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \quad (1.1)$$

All the particles are subject to the same random external random potential  $V(x; \omega)$  where  $\omega$  runs through a probability space  $\Omega$ . The respective potential energy appearing in the Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}$  results from adding the potential energies of the single particle and thus reads

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}; \omega) = \sum_{j=1,2} V(x_j; \omega) \quad (1.2)$$

In this paper we consider an alloy-type random potential  $V(z; \omega)$  with specific properties listed in the next section. A note on the notation: we usually denote two-particle quantities by boldface letters.

As is known in the one-particle case (see [CL, PF, St2] and the references therein) and in the discrete two-particle case, [CS2], the disorder introduced through the random field can generate a pure point spectrum, i.e., *Anderson localisation*. In the present paper we take the first step in showing Anderson localisation in the continuum two-particle case as well by proving Wegner bounds (we defer the multi-scale analysis of this case to a future work, [BCSS]).

These bounds assess concentration of the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{H}_\Lambda (= \mathbf{H}_\Lambda(\omega))$ , a finite-volume version of Hamiltonian  $\mathbf{H}$ . Operator  $\mathbf{H}_\Lambda$  acts vectors in  $L_2(\Lambda)$ :

$$\mathbf{H}_\Lambda(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{V}(\omega; \mathbf{x}) \quad (1.3)$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions at  $\partial\Lambda$

Here  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  is a rectangle which we call a two-particle box, or simply a box, of the form

$$\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)} \times \Lambda^{(2)}, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\Lambda^{(j)} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a cube with edges parallel to the coordinate axes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . To be more specific, given  $L_1, L_2 > 0$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we set henceforth:

$$\Lambda_{L_1, L_2}(\mathbf{u}) = \Lambda_{L_1}(u_1) \times \Lambda_{L_2}(u_2), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and, for  $L > 0$  and  $v = (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(d)})$ ,

$$\Lambda_L(v) = \prod_{i=1}^d [-L + v^{(i)}, v^{(i)} + L]. \quad (1.6)$$

We would like to note that the methods adopted in this paper are applicable to a wide range of other boundary conditions including periodic and

‘elastic’ (e.g., Neumann b.c.). In fact, what we need is that operator  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}$  in (1.4) is self-adjoint and has a compact resolvent  $(\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A} - zI)^{-1}$  for nonreal  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ ; this covers all ‘classical’ Krein’s self-adjoint extensions, from the ‘soft’ (Neumann b.c.) to ‘hard’ (Dirichlet b.c.).

In what follows, the short notation  $\mathbf{A}$  is used for a two-particle box  $\mathbf{A}_{L_1, L_2}(\mathbf{u})$ , when the parameters  $L_1, L_2$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  are unambiguous. Similarly,  $\mathbf{A}'$  is a shorthand notation for  $\mathbf{A}_{L'_1, L'_2}(\mathbf{u}')$ .

Under the conditions imposed in this paper (see Eqns (2.2)–(2.8)), operator  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}$  has a compact resolvent and therefore a discrete spectrum consisting of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. It is convenient to write these eigenvalues  $E^{(\mathbf{A})} = E^{(\mathbf{A})}(\omega)$  in increasing order:

$$E_0^{(\mathbf{A})} \leq E_1^{(\mathbf{A})} \leq E_2^{(\mathbf{A})} \leq \dots \quad (1.7)$$

The ‘one-volume’ Wegner bound assesses the probability

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \exists k \text{ with } \left| E - E_k^{(\mathbf{A})} \right| \leq \epsilon \right), \quad (1.8)$$

that at least one eigenvalue  $E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}$  of operator  $H_\mathbf{A}$  falls in a (narrow) interval around a given point  $E$  on the spectral axis. The ‘two-volume’ Wegner bound deals with

$$\mathbb{P} \left( \exists k \text{ and } k' \text{ with } E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}, E_{k'}^{(\mathbf{A}')} \in I \text{ and } \left| E_k^{(\mathbf{A})} - E_{k'}^{(\mathbf{A}')} \right| \leq \epsilon \right). \quad (1.9)$$

This is the probability that some eigenvalues  $E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}$  and  $E_{k'}^{(\mathbf{A}')}$  of the operators  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}'}$  come close to each other in a given interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  of the spectral axis, for two (distant) two-particle boxes  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}'$ . Here and below,  $\mathbb{P}$  stands for the corresponding probability measure on the underlying probability space (specified below).

**Remark.** From the probabilistic point of view, bounds (1.8) and (1.9) are examples of concentration inequalities, albeit for rather implicit RVs  $E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}$  and  $E_{k'}^{(\mathbf{A}')}$  carrying a considerable amount of dependence. For a single-particle Anderson model, under natural assumptions on the character of the random terms in Hamiltonians (1.3), the Wegner bounds are rather straightforward. We do not provide here an extensive bibliography on this subject; apart from the original work by Wegner, [W], we refer to the references in the monographs, [CL, PF, St2], the surveys [KM, V] as well as in a recent paper by Combes et al. [CHK]. For a two-particle continuum systems, these estimates have not been studied before. (A version of the Wegner bounds for the so-called tight-binding two-particle model (a discrete modification of the model treated here) was established in [CS1]; discrete multi-particle Wegner-type bounds can also be found in [AW, K])

In the next section, we give formal conditions upon the structure of the potential energy term in Eqn (1.2). In what follows,  $\|\cdot\|_{\max}$  denotes the sup-norm in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ .

Throughout the paper,  $|\mathbf{A}|$  stands for the (Euclidean) volume of a set  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and  $|A|$  for that of a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . We also use the similar notation  $|\mathbf{\Gamma}|$  and  $|\Gamma|$  for the cardinality of lattice subsets  $\mathbf{\Gamma} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2d}$  and  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ ; in particular,  $\Pi_j \mathbf{\Gamma}$  stands for the cardinality of the projection  $\Pi_j \mathbf{\Gamma}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Here

$$\mathbb{Z}^d = \{s = (s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(d)}) : s^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \dots, d\} \quad (1.10)$$

is the integer lattice canonically embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

## 2 External random potentials of alloy-type

In this paper, the random external potential  $V(x; \omega)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , is assumed to be of alloy-type, over a cubic lattice:

$$V(x; \omega) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} V_s(\omega) \varphi_s(x - s). \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $\mathbf{V} = (V_s, s \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$ , is a family of real random variables (RVs)  $V_s$  on some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $\{\varphi_s, s \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  is a (nonrandom) collection of ‘bump’ functions  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \varphi_s(y)$ . In probabilistic terms,  $\mathbf{V}$  is a real-valued random field (RF) on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Physically speaking, the RV  $V_s$  represents the amplitude of an ‘impurity’ at site  $s$  of lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  while the function  $\varphi_s$  describes the ‘propagation’ of the impact of this impurity across the space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

To avoid excessive technicalities concerning self-adjointness of our Hamiltonians  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}$  we assume that the alloy type random potential is uniformly bounded via:

(E0) *Boundedness of the random field:*

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|V_s\|_{\infty} =: M < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

(E1) *Boundedness of the bump functions:*  $\varphi_s$  are bounded non-negative functions, with

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi_s(x - s) \right] < +\infty, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.3)$$

We will also need a lower bound:

(E2) *Covering condition:*

$$\sum_{s \in \Lambda_L(u) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \varphi_s(x - s) \geq 1, \quad \forall L \geq 1, u \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \in \Lambda_L(u). \quad (2.4)$$

We stress the fact that we do not need independence of the random variables  $V_s$  for different sites. What we need is a regularity requirement for the induced conditional marginal distribution.

Given a site  $s \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , consider the conditional distribution function

$$F(y|\mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) := \mathbb{P}(V_s < y | \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}), \quad (2.5)$$

relative to the sigma-algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}$  generated by RVs  $V_t$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{s\}$ . Next, set:

$$\nu(\epsilon) := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\mathbf{V}_{\{s\}^c}} \text{ess} \left[ F(y + \epsilon | \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) - F(y | \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) \right]. \quad (2.6)$$

The following condition is general enough so as to cover a large class of external random potentials, e.g., regular Gaussian random fields as well as some Gibbsian random fields. Notice, however, that it can be further relaxed. In this paper, we do not seek maximal generality, preferring a maximal simplicity of presentation.

(E3) *Uniform marginal control*: the marginal probability distributions of  $V_s$ , conditional on  $\mathbf{V}_{\{s\}^c}$ , admit uniformly bounded probability density functions (PDF)

$$p_s(y; \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) = dF(y | \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) / dy$$

such that, for all  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\rho_\infty := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}} \text{ess} p_s(y; \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) < \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

As a consequence,  $\nu(\epsilon) \leq \rho_\infty \epsilon$ .

(E4) *Finite propagation range*: functions  $\varphi_s$  have a bounded support:  $\exists R \in (0, \infty)$  with

$$\varphi_s(y) = 0 \text{ whenever } \|y\|_{\max} > R. \quad (2.8)$$

**Remarks.** (1) The condition (1.1) as well as (E0), (E1) and (E3) are stronger than what is needed. In particular, it is easy to see that it suffices to require Hölder continuity of the conditional cumulative distribution function (CDF):  $F(y | \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c})$ , uniform with respect to the condition:

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\mathbf{V}_{\{s\}^c}} \left[ F(y + \epsilon | \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) - F(y | \mathfrak{B}_{\{s\}^c}) \right] \leq \epsilon^b, \quad (2.7')$$

for all  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$  and some  $b \in (0, 1)$ . (Moreover, one can assume log-Hölder continuity of the conditional CDF with appropriately chosen constants.) However, the above condition (2.7) is quite popular and gives rise to slightly simpler notations.

(2) Condition (E3) and the quantity  $\nu(\epsilon)$  can easily be understood in the independent case. Denote by  $\mu_s = \mathbb{P} \circ V_s$  the law of  $V_s$  which is a measure on the real line and by  $s(\mu_s; \epsilon)$  its modulus of continuity, i.e.,  $s(\mu_s; \epsilon) = \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mu([a, a + \epsilon])$ . The latter is always bounded by 1 and so is

$$\nu(\epsilon) = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} s(\mu_s; \epsilon)$$

in this particular case.

(3) There are interesting correlated ensembles for which condition (E3) is well established, see [C1].

(4) The regularity condition imposed in [AW] (**Assumption R**) also yields  $\nu(\epsilon) \leq \rho_\infty \cdot \epsilon$ , in our notation.

(5) For measure-theoretic concepts used above, see [D], Appendix; [E], Theorem 3.1.; [F], Chapter V; [GS], Chapter 4.

### 3 A one-volume Wegner-type bound

The one-volume Wegner-type bound for two-particle finite-box Hamiltonians  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}$  is given in Theorem 1 below. Let  $\Sigma(\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A})$  denote the (random) spectrum of (random) operator  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}$ , i.e., the countable set

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}(\omega)) = \{E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}(\omega) : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$$

of its eigenvalues.

**Theorem 1** *Assume that (D) and (E0-E3) are satisfied. Then there is a constant  $C$  such that for all boxes  $\mathbf{A} = A^{(1)} \times A^{(2)}$ , all  $E \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ :*

$$\mathbb{P}\left([E, E + \epsilon] \cap \Sigma(\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}) \neq \emptyset\right) \leq C(1 + E \vee 0)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\mathbf{A}| \cdot \min_j |A^{(j)}| \cdot \nu(\epsilon). \quad (3.1)$$

The expression in the RHS of (3.1) includes the ‘volume’ factors  $|\mathbf{A}|$  and  $\min_j |A^{(j)}|$  with different meaning. The first one, together with  $C(1 + E \vee 0)^{\frac{d}{2}}$ , comes from an upper bound of the number of eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{H}_\mathbf{A}$  below  $E + 1$ . The second one, together with  $\nu(\epsilon)$ , comes from the concentration bound for each individual eigenvalue based on [C1, St1, St2] which we summarize in Lemma 1 below.

We will need the following

**Definition 1** Consider a Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^q$  and its positive orthant  $\mathbb{R}_+^q$ . A function  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called diagonally-monotone (DM) if

- (i)  $\Phi(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{r}) \geq \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \forall \mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}_+^q$  and any  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ ,
- (ii)  $\Phi(\mathbf{w} + t\mathbf{e}) - \Phi(\mathbf{w}) \geq t, \forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^q$  and  $t > 0$ , where  $\mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let  $J$  be a finite set with  $|J| \geq 2$ , and  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^J$ . For every  $j \in J$ , denote by  $\mu_j(\cdot; x_{J \setminus \{j\}})$  the marginal probability measure induced by  $\mu$  on the  $j$ -th coordinate  $x^{(j)}$  conditional on  $x_{J \setminus \{j\}}$ . Assume that  $\mu_j$  admits a uniformly bounded PDF  $p_j(y; x_{J \setminus \{j\}})$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ :*

$$c(\mu) := \sup_{x_{J \setminus \{j\}} \in \mathbb{R}^{J \setminus \{j\}}} \operatorname{ess\,sup} \|p_j(\cdot; x_{J \setminus \{j\}})\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Further, let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a DM function. Then we have the following concentration bound, for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\mu\{x \in \mathbb{R}^J : \Phi(x) \in [a, a + \epsilon]\} \leq |J| \cdot c(\mu) \cdot \epsilon.$$

*Proof* . See [C1], Lemma 4.2, where this result is proved in a more general context.

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.*

Our assumptions on the potential term imply that  $\mathbf{U}(\cdot) + \mathbf{V}(\cdot; \omega)$  is uniformly bounded. By the Weyl's formula ([RS]) we know that  $E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}(\omega) \geq E + 1$  for  $k \geq C_1(1 + E \vee 0)^{\frac{d}{2}}$  where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left([E, E + \epsilon] \cap \Sigma(H_{\mathbf{A}}) \neq \emptyset\right) \leq \sum_{k \leq C_1(1+E \vee 0)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \mathbb{P}\left(E_k^{(\mathbf{A})} \in [E, E + \epsilon]\right).$$

We will now proceed to prove that every term in the above sum can be estimated by  $\min_j |\Lambda^{(j)}| \cdot \nu(\epsilon)$ , showing the desired bound. Fix  $k$  and chose  $j_0 \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $|\Lambda^{(j_0)}| = \min\{|\Lambda^{(1)}|, |\Lambda^{(2)}|\}$ . Next, set  $J = \Lambda^{(j_0)} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ . We will show that the conditional probability for the  $k$ th eigenvalue to fall in  $[E, E + \epsilon]$  is bounded:

$$\sup_{\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}} \text{ess } \mathbb{P}\left(E_k^{(\mathbf{A})} \in [E, E + \epsilon] \mid \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}\right) \leq |J| \cdot \nu(\epsilon).$$

Here  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}$  stands for the sigma-subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{B}$  generated by  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J} = \{V_s, s \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J\}$ .

We now aim to use the concentration bound from Lemma 1 above, for a fixed realisation  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}$ . Here  $\mu$  is identified with  $\mathbb{P}_J(\cdot \mid \mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J})$ , the restriction of the conditional distribution  $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J})$  to the 'complementary' sigma-algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_J$  generated by  $\mathbf{V}_J = \{V_s : s \in J\}$ , conditional on  $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}$ . Then the quantity  $c(\mu; \epsilon)$  is bounded by  $\nu(\epsilon)$  (this follows from the definition of  $\nu(\epsilon)$  and assumption (E3). Furthermore,  $|J| = |\Lambda^{(j_0)}| = \min_{j=1,2} |\Lambda^{(j)}|$ , by our agreement. Setting  $\Phi_k(\mathbf{V}_J) := E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}$ , it remains to prove that  $\Phi_k$  is a DM function. Recall, we are working with eigenvalues of the operator

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}} = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Delta} + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{V}(\omega; \mathbf{x}), \text{ on } L^2(\mathbf{A})$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}(\omega; \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_s \cdot \varphi_s(x_j - s).$$

Since all the bump functions are nonnegative, operator  $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}$  is DM in the variables  $V_s, s \in J$ . Now  $\Phi_k(\mathbf{V}_J + t \cdot \mathbf{e}_J)$  is the  $k$ -th eigenvalue of

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{\Delta} + \mathbf{U} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{s \in S} V_s \cdot \varphi_s(x_j - s) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{s \in J} (V_s + t) \cdot \varphi_s(x_j - s) \\ & = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}}(\omega) + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{s \in J} t \cdot \varphi_s(x_j - s) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the function in square brackets acts as multiplication. By assumption (E2), we know that the corresponding multiplication operator is bounded below by  $t\mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  stands for the identity operator. Then the min-max principle for the eigenvalues gives that  $\Phi_k(\mathbf{v}'V_J + t \cdot \mathbf{e}_J) \geq \Phi_k(\mathbf{V}_J) + t$ . Therefore,  $\Phi_k$  is a DM function. Thus, Lemma 1 applies and we get the desired bound (3.1).  $\square$

**Remarks.** (1) Our 2-particle Wegner-type bound has precursors concerning discrete Schrödinger operators, see [C1,CS1] and [K], where some particular boxes have been treated explicitly.

(2) The recent work [AW] addresses Wegner-type bounds for correlated potentials in the discrete setting. There the focus is on joint distributions of eigenvalues, not on multi-particle models.

#### 4 A two-volume Wegner-type bound

In this section we state and prove a two-volume Wegner-type bound; see Theorem 2 below. As was mentioned earlier, the two-volume Wegner-type bound (cf. Eqn (1.9)) is established for a pair of boxes  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{L_1, L_2}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}_{L'_1, L'_2}(\mathbf{u}')$  (more precisely, for the spectra  $\Sigma(H_{\mathbf{A}})$  and  $\Sigma(H_{\mathbf{A}'})$  of the corresponding Hamiltonians  $H_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $H_{\mathbf{A}'}$ ), under an assumption that the distance between  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}'$  is of the same order of magnitude as the size of these boxes. Such bounds are an important ingredient in the variable energy multi-scale analysis based on [DK]. See [St2] and [CS2] for a discrete two-particle version. Due to the dependence inherent in the two-particle case, the multi-scale analysis has to be changed substantially; we defer this to a future publication [BCSS].

Given  $L_1, L_2, L'_1, L'_2 > 1$  and  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}' \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , we call boxes  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{L_1, L_2}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}_{L'_1, L'_2}(\mathbf{u}')$  *sufficiently distant*, if

$$\min \{ \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}'\|_{\max}, \|\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{u}'\|_{\max} \} > 8 \max \{ L_1 + R, L_2 + R, L'_1 + R, L'_2 + R \}, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})$  denotes the reflected point  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) = (u_2, u_1)$  and  $R$  is the constant from (E4). A useful notion is the *shadow*  $\Pi\mathbf{A}$  of a two-particle box  $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$\Pi\mathbf{A} = \Pi_1\mathbf{A} \cup \Pi_2\mathbf{A}. \quad (4.2)$$

As before,  $\Pi_1\mathbf{A}$  denotes the projection of  $\mathbf{A}$  to the first and  $\Pi_2\mathbf{A}$  the projection to the second Cartesian factor  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Lemma 2** *Consider two boxes  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_{L_1, L_2}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A}_{L'_1, L'_2}(\mathbf{u}')$  that are sufficiently distant and define  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}_{L_1+R, L_2+R}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{A}}' = \mathbf{A}_{L'_1+R, L'_2+R}(\mathbf{u}')$ .*



Then at least one of the following five possibilities will occur:

- (A)  $\Pi_1 \widehat{\Lambda} \cap [\Pi_2 \widehat{\Lambda} \cup \Pi \widehat{\Lambda}'] = \emptyset,$
- (B)  $\Pi_2 \widehat{\Lambda} \cap [\Pi_1 \widehat{\Lambda} \cup \Pi \widehat{\Lambda}'] = \emptyset,$
- (C)  $\Pi_1 \widehat{\Lambda}' \cap [\Pi \widehat{\Lambda} \cup \Pi_2 \widehat{\Lambda}'] = \emptyset,$
- (D)  $\Pi_2 \widehat{\Lambda}' \cap [\Pi \widehat{\Lambda} \cup \Pi_1 \widehat{\Lambda}'] = \emptyset,$
- (E)  $\Pi \widehat{\Lambda} \cap \Pi \widehat{\Lambda}' = \emptyset.$

*Proof* See [CS1], Lemma 2.1.

In the case (E) above, we will say that the boxes  $\widehat{\Lambda}$  and  $\widehat{\Lambda}'$  are completely separated, while in cases (A)–(D) they will be called partially separated. Note that the partial separation is not incompatible with the complete one.

**Corollary 1** *Consider two boxes  $\Lambda = \Lambda_{L_1, L_2}(\mathbf{u})$  and  $\Lambda' = \Lambda_{L'_1, L'_2}(\mathbf{u}')$  that are sufficiently distant. Then after renaming the boxes and/or re-ordering the coordinate projections, one of the following two possibilities will occur:*

- (I)  $(V_s; s \in \Pi \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is independent of  $(V_s; s \in \Pi \Lambda' \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$
- (II)  $(V_s; s \in \Pi_1 \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is independent of  $(V_s; s \in (\Pi_2 \Lambda \cup \Pi \Lambda') \cap \mathbb{Z}^d)$ .

*Proof* Using Lemma 2 and renaming, if necessary, the boxes or their projections, we may assume that (A) or (E) of Lemma 2 occurs. Consider the case (A), for definiteness. From the definition of the external random fields, Eqns (1.2) and (2.1), we get that every  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}; \omega) \mathbf{1}_{\Lambda'}(x)$  depends only on those  $V_s$ , for which  $\text{supp } \varphi_s(\cdot - s)$  intersects either  $\Pi_1 \Lambda'$  or  $\Pi_2 \Lambda'$ . By (E4) this requires that  $\Lambda_R(s) \cap [\Pi_1 \Lambda' \cup \Pi_2 \Lambda'] \neq \emptyset$ . By (A) this is not the case for  $s \in \Pi_1 \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**Theorem 2** *Assume that (D) and (E0-E4) are satisfied. Then, for every interval  $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a constant  $C_2(I) > 0$  such that for every pair  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda'$  of sufficiently distant boxes and every  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\text{dist} [\Sigma(H_\Lambda) \cap I, \Sigma(H_{\Lambda'}) \cap I] \leq \epsilon) \\ & \leq C_2(I) \cdot |\Lambda| |\Lambda'| \max_{j=1,2} \max [|\Pi_j \Lambda|, |\Pi_j \Lambda'|] \nu(2\epsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

*Proof* We assume that we are in case (I) of Corollary 1 (complete separation). Set  $J = \Pi_1 \Lambda$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 we estimate the probability in question by conditioning on  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\text{dist} [\Sigma(H_\Lambda) \cap I, \Sigma(H_{\Lambda'}) \cap I] \leq \epsilon) \\ & = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{P}(\text{dist} [\Sigma(H_\Lambda) \cap I, \Sigma(H_{\Lambda'}) \cap I] \leq \epsilon | \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J})). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

We now estimate the inner probability: first, there are at most  $C_3(R, I)|\mathbf{A}|$ , respectively,  $C_3(R, I)|\mathbf{A}'|$  eigenvalues of  $H_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $H_{\mathbf{A}'}$  in the interval  $I$ . Moreover, by the above Corollary 1,  $H_{\mathbf{A}'}$  and consequently its eigenvalues are independent of  $\mathbf{V}_J$ , so we label them  $E_{k'}^{(\mathbf{A}')}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J})$  with  $k' = 0, \dots, K'$ , where  $K' \leq C_3(R, I)|\mathbf{A}'|$ . This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\text{dist} [\Sigma(H_{\mathbf{A}}) \cap I, \Sigma(H_{\mathbf{A}'}) \cap I] \leq \epsilon | \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}) \\ & \leq \sum_k^K \sum_{k'}^{K'} \mathbb{P}(|E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{V}_J) - E_{k'}^{(\mathbf{A}')}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J})| \leq \epsilon | \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}) \\ & \leq \sum_k^K C_3(R, I)|\mathbf{A}'| \sup_{E \in I} \mathbb{P}(|E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{V}_J) - E| \leq \epsilon | \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}) \\ & = \sum_k^K C_3(R, I)|\mathbf{A}'| \sup_{E \in I} \mathbb{P}(E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}(\mathbf{V}_J) \in [E - \epsilon, E + \epsilon] | \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}). \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1, the probability

$$\mathbb{P}(E_k^{(\mathbf{A})}(\omega) \in [E - \epsilon, E + \epsilon] | \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus J}) \leq C_3(R, I)|\mathbf{A}| |\Pi_1 \mathbf{A}| \nu(\epsilon).$$

A similar argument works for case (II). Put together this gives the desired estimate, where the factor

$$\max_{j=1,2} \max \left[ |\Pi_j \mathbf{A}|, |\Pi_j \mathbf{A}'| \right] \quad (4.5)$$

accounts for the different geometric possibilities in Lemma 2.

□

**Acknowledgments.** The authors thank The Isaac Newton Institute, University of Cambridge, for hospitality during the programme “Mathematics and Physics of the Anderson Localisation: 50 years after” (July–December, 2008). PS thanks Université Paris VII and the DFG for supporting travel and YS thanks IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, for hospitality during visits in 2008.

## References

- AW. M. Aizenman and S. Warzel. *On the Joint Distribution of Energy Levels of Random Schrödinger Operators*. – [arXiv:0804.4231](#)
- BCSS. A. Boutet de Monvel, V. Chulaevsky, P. Stollmann and Y. Suhov. *Exponential localisation in the continuous two-particle Anderson model*. – In preparation.
- CL. R. Carmona, J. Lacroix, *Spectral Theory of Random Schrödinger operators*. – Birkhäuser, 1990.
- Cl. V. Chulaevsky, *A Wegner-type bound for correlated potentials*. – Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. v. **11**, No. 2, 117 - 129, 2008.
- CS1. V. Chulaevsky, Y. Suhov, *Wegner bounds for a two-particle tight binding model*. – Commun. Math. Phys., **283**, 479-489, 2008.
- CS2. V. Chulaevsky, Y. Suhov, *Eigenfunctions in a two-particle Anderson tight binding model*. – Commun. Math. Phys., 2008; to appear.
- CS3. V. Chulaevsky, Y. Suhov, *Wegner bounds for multi-particle Hamiltonians*. – In preparation.
- CHK. J.-M. Combes, P.D. Hislop, F. Klopp, *An optimal Wegner estimate and its application to the global continuity of the integrated density of states for random Schrödinger operators*. *Duke Math. J.*, **140**, No. 3, 469 - 498, 2007.
- D. R. Durrett, *Probability: Theory and Examples*. – Duxbury Press, 1996.
- DK. E. Von Dreifus and A. Klein, *A new proof of localization in the Anderson tight binding model*. Commun. Math. Phys., **124**, 285–299, 1989.

- 
- E. G.A. Edgar, *Disintegration of measures and the vector-valued Radon-Nikodym theorem*. Duke Math. J. **42**, 447 – 450, 1975.
- GS. G. R. Grimmett, D. R. Stirzaker, *Probability and Random Processes*. - Oxford Sci. Publ, 1982.
- F. W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications.*, v. 2.
- K. W. Kirsch, *A Wegner estimate for multi-particle random Hamiltonians*. - Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom., **4**, no. 1, 121–127, 2008.
- KM. W. Kirsch and B. Metzger, *The Integrated Density of States for Random Schrödinger operators*, in F. Gesztesy, Simon, P. Deift, C. Galvez, P. Perry, W. Schlag (Eds):*Spectral Theory and Mathematical Physics: a Festschrift in Honor of Barry Simon's 60th Birthday: Ergodic Schrodinger Operators, Singular Spectrum, Orthogonal Polynomials, and Inverse Spectral Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2007.
- PF. L. Pastur and A. Figotin, *Spectra of Random and Almost-Periodic Operators*. Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- RS. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, v.4*. - Academic Press, 1978.
- St1. P. Stollmann, *Wegner estimates and localization for continuous Anderson models with some singular distributions*. - Arch. Math. (2000), **75**, 307-311.
- St2. P. Stollmann, *Caught by disorder: bound states in random media*. Progress in Math. Phys., vol 20, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- V. I. Veselić, *Existence and Regularity Properties of the Integrated Density of States of Random Schrödinger Operators*, LNM Vol. 1917, Springer, 2008.
- W. F. Wegner, *Bounds on the density of states in disordered systems*. - Z. Phys. B. **44**, 9–15, 1981.