

Not each sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating*

Shen Jun^{1,2}, Wu Junde^{1†}

¹*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China*

²*Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241003, P. R. China*

Abstract

Let E be an effect algebra and E_s be the set of all sharp elements of E . E is said to be sharply dominating if for each $a \in E$ there exists a smallest element $\hat{a} \in E_s$ such that $a \leq \hat{a}$. In 2002, Professors Gudder and Greechie proved that each σ -sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating. In 2005, Professor Gudder presented 25 open problems in International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 44, 2199-2205, the 3th problem asked: Is each sequential effect algebra sharply dominating? Recent, we construct an example to answer the problem negatively.

Effect algebra is an important model for studying the unsharp quantum logic (see [1]). In 2001, in order to study quantum measurement theory, Professor Gudder began to consider the sequential product of two measurements A and B (see [2]). In 2002, moreover, Professors Gudder and Greechie introduced the abstract sequential effect algebra structure and studied its some important properties. In particular, they proved that each σ -sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating ([3, Theorem 6.3]). In 2005, Professor Gudder presented 25 open problems in [4] to motive the

*This project is supported by Natural Science Found of China (10771191 and 10471124).

†E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

study of sequential effect algebra theory, the 3th problem asked: Is each sequential effect algebra sharply dominating? Now, we construct an example to answer the problem negatively.

First, we need the following basic definitions and results for effect algebras and sequential effect algebras.

An *effect algebra* is a system $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, where 0 and 1 are distinct elements of E and \oplus is a partial binary operation on E satisfying that [1]:

(EA1) If $a \oplus b$ is defined, then $b \oplus a$ is defined and $b \oplus a = a \oplus b$.

(EA2) If $a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ is defined, then $(a \oplus b) \oplus c$ is defined and

$$(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c).$$

(EA3) For each $a \in E$, there exists a unique element $b \in E$ such that $a \oplus b = 1$.

(EA4) If $a \oplus 1$ is defined, then $a = 0$.

In an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, if $a \oplus b$ is defined, we write $a \perp b$. For each $a \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, it following from (EA3) that there exists a unique element $b \in E$ such that $a \oplus b = 1$, we denote b by a' . Let $a, b \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, if there exists a $c \in E$ such that $a \perp c$ and $a \oplus c = b$, then we say that $a \leq b$. It follows from [1] that \leq is a partially order of $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ and satisfies that for each $a \in E$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $a \perp b$ if and only if $a \leq b'$.

Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be an effect algebra and $a \in E$. If $a \wedge a' = 0$, then a is said to be a *sharp element* of E . The set $E_s = \{x \in E | x \wedge x' = 0\}$ is called a set of all sharp elements of E (see [5-6]). The effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is called *sharply dominating* if for each $a \in E$ there exists a smallest sharp element $\hat{a} \in E_s$ such that $a \leq \hat{a}$. That is, if $b \in E_s$ satisfies $a \leq b$, then $\hat{a} \leq b$. An important example of sharply dominating effect algebras is a standard Hilbert space effect algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ of positive linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , which are dominated by identity operator I ([5-6]). The sharply dominating effect algebras have many nice properties, for example, recent, Riečanová and Wu showed that sharply dominating Archimedean atomic lattice effect algebras can be characterized by the property called basic decomposition of elements, etc (see [5]).

A *sequential effect algebra* is an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ and another binary operation \circ defined on $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ satisfying [3]:

(SEA1) The map $b \mapsto a \circ b$ is additive for each $a \in E$, that is, if $b \perp c$, then $a \circ b \perp a \circ c$ and $a \circ (b \oplus c) = a \circ b \oplus a \circ c$.

(SEA2) $1 \circ a = a$ for each $a \in E$.

(SEA3) If $a \circ b = 0$, then $a \circ b = b \circ a$.

(SEA4) If $a \circ b = b \circ a$, then $a \circ b' = b' \circ a$ and $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ for each $c \in E$.

(SEA5) If $c \circ a = a \circ c$ and $c \circ b = b \circ c$, then $c \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ and $c \circ (a \oplus b) = (a \oplus b) \circ c$ whenever $a \perp b$.

Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra. Then the operation \circ is said to be a sequential product on $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$. If $a, b \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ and $a \circ b = b \circ a$, then a and b is said to be *sequentially independent* and denoted by $a|b$ (see [2-3]). The sequential effect algebra is an important and interesting mathematical model for studying the quantum measurement theory [2-4, 7-8].

Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra. If $a \in E$, then it follows from ([3, Lemma 3.2]) that a is a sharp element of $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ iff $a \circ a = a$.

A σ -*effect algebra* is an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \cdots$ implies that $\bigwedge a_i$ exists in E . A σ -*sequential effect algebra* $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is a sequential effect algebra and is a σ -*effect algebra* satisfying [3]:

(1). If $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \cdots$, then $b \circ (\bigwedge a_i) = \bigwedge (b \circ a_i)$ for each $b \in E$;

(2). If $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \cdots$ and $b|a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, then $b|(\bigwedge a_i)$.

It is known that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ is a σ -sequential effect algebra (see [3]).

In 2002, Professors Gudder and Greechie proved the following important conclusion ([3, Theorem 6.3]): Each σ -sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating.

In 2005, by the motivation of above result, Professor Gudder asked ([4, Problem 3]): Is each sequential effect algebra sharply dominating?

Recent, we construct a sequential effect algebra which is not sharply dominating, thus, we answer above problem negatively.

References

- [1]. Foulis, D J, Bennett, M K. Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics. *Found Phys* 24 (1994), 1331-1352.
- [2]. Gudder, S, Nagy, G. Sequential quantum measurements. *J. Math. Phys.* 42(2001), 5212-5222.
- [3]. Gudder, S, Greechie, R. Sequential products on effect algebras. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 49(2002), 87-111.
- [4]. Gudder, S. Open problems for sequential effect algebras. *Inter. J. Theory. Phys.* 44 (2005), 2219-2230.
- [5]. Gudder, S. Sharply dominating effect algebras. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.*, 15(1998), 23-30.
- [6]. Riecanova, Z, Wu Junde. States on sharply dominating effect algebras. *Science in China A: Mathematics*, 51(2008), 907-914.
- [7]. Gheondea, A, Gudder, S. Sequential product of quantum effects. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 503-512.
- [8]. Gudder, S, Latrmoire, F. Characterization of the sequential product on quantum effects. *J. Math. Phys.* 49 (2008), 052106-052112.