

Exploiting Geometric Degrees of Freedom in Topological Quantum Computing

Haitan Xu¹ and Xin Wan^{2,1}

¹*Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China and*

²*Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea*

In a topological quantum computer, braids of non-Abelian anyons in a (2+1)-dimensional space-time form quantum gates, whose fault tolerance relies on the topological, rather than geometric, properties of the braids. Here we propose to create and exploit redundant geometric degrees of freedom to improve the theoretical accuracy of topological quantum gates. Explicit constructions in the Fibonacci anyon model demonstrate the idea by reducing gate errors (due to the finite length of braids) to $\sim 10^{-10}$ in generic single-qubit gates as well as in controlled-rotation gates.

Topological quantum computation is a rapidly developing subject in recent years [1, 2, 3, 4]. In this novel scheme of quantum computation, information is stored in topological quantum states and intrinsically protected from local noises, and manipulation of quantum information is achieved by topological operations. A prototypical topological quantum computer is envisaged to be a system of exotic quasiparticles called non-Abelian anyons, which are believed to exist in various two-dimensional quantum systems [1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. A multiple of these anyons with fixed coordinates span a multi-dimensional Hilbert space, which can be used to construct qubits or encode quantum information [7, 11]. Schemes have been proposed to control and move anyons microscopically [16, 17, 18]. The worldlines of these anyons intertwine in (2+1)-dimensional space-time forming braids, which are quantum gates for topological quantum computation.

In earlier studies [19, 20, 21], researchers developed the method of brute-force search (and its variant) among braids within given braid length (measured by the number of exchanges) to achieve a generic single-qubit quantum gate in the Fibonacci anyon model, and then constructed controlled-rotation gates from single-qubit gates. These works explicitly demonstrated the equivalence between a specific theoretical realization of topological quantum computer and a universal quantum computer model [2]. In general, a single-qubit quantum gate can be represented by a 2×2 unitary matrix

$$G = e^{i\alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-b^2}e^{-i\beta} & be^{i\gamma} \\ -be^{-i\gamma} & \sqrt{1-b^2}e^{i\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where b , α , β and γ are real parameters. Apart from the overall phase factor $e^{i\alpha}$, one needs three parameters b , β and γ to specify the matrix. Within a given braid length, there are only a finite number of topological quantum gates, which form a discrete set in the $U(2)$ space, thus generic gates can only be realized with a distribution (wide on logarithmic scale) of error even in the ideal scenario (without technical or practical hindrance), due to the discrete nature of braid topology. This contrasts to many proposals of conventional quantum computa-

tion, where quantum gates can be realized by continuously tuning physical parameters so generic quantum gates are expected to be realized with only a narrow distribution of error (due to technical imperfections). On the other hand, the discreteness (thus error) in the realization of quantum gates with braids of finite length shares the same origin as the fault tolerance of topological quantum computation, as quantum states and quantum gates (braids) are topological and robust against local perturbations. This therefore poses an interesting question: How can we efficiently find the braid with finite length that approximates a desired quantum gate with error as small as possible?

In a recent work, the authors proposed a novel construction of low-leakage topological quantum computation based on the principle of error reduction by error introduction [21]. In topological quantum computation, the error in gates one wants to minimize is often of topological origin, for which geometric degrees of freedom (which correspond to a series of constructions with the same function) are redundant. Nevertheless, due to the discreteness of braids in the target space, some of the constructions can have exponentially smaller error than others. The successful application of the principle in the Fibonacci anyon model led to the discovery of an exchange braid (with a length of 99) that exchanges anyons between two different qubits, which can be used to construct generic controlled-rotation gates with leakage error as small as 10^{-9} . However, the idea can not be directly applied to reduce error in constructing single-qubit gates since apparently there is no such geometric freedom.

In this paper, we generalize the central idea behind the low-leakage construction of functional braids [21] and show that it can also be applied to the construction of generic single-qubit gates with unprecedented efficiency and accuracy (at least in theory). We demonstrate this idea explicitly in the Fibonacci model, though it is applicable in generic models that support universal topological quantum computation. By introducing new degrees of freedom with unitary similarity transformation, we show a generic single-qubit gate can be approximated to a distance of the order 10^{-10} by a braid of length ~ 300 , which

is more efficient than applying the Solovay-Kitaev algorithm that is based on the principle of error cancellation. The principle of error reduction by error introduction and the success in discovering high-accuracy single-qubit gates also prompt us to propose a new scheme to construct generic controlled-rotation gates with the same high accuracy, which completes our implementation of low-leakage high-accuracy universal topological quantum computation.

Let us first discuss the high-accuracy construction of a generic single-qubit gate G represented by Eq. (1). To create additional degrees of freedom needed for error reduction, one is tempted to decompose the target gate as $G = G_1 G_2$, where

$$G_1 = e^{i\alpha_1} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-b_1^2} e^{-i\beta_1} & b_1 e^{i\gamma_1} \\ -b_1 e^{-i\gamma_1} & \sqrt{1-b_1^2} e^{i\beta_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

and

$$G_2 = e^{i\alpha_2} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-b_2^2} e^{-i\beta_2} & b_2 e^{i\gamma_2} \\ -b_2 e^{-i\gamma_2} & \sqrt{1-b_2^2} e^{i\beta_2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

are unitary matrices, or quantum gates. Unfortunately, the degrees of freedom of the two gates are dependent, as can be immediately seen from $G_1 = G G_2^\dagger$, i.e., one of the gate (e.g., G_1) is completely fixed by the other gate (e.g., G_2) up to an unimportant global phase factor. Therefore, one cannot use the new degrees of freedom for gate optimization. In fact, this decomposition of G is the mathematical structure of the bidirectional search [21], which utilizes both the computational power and memory of a classical computer.

To create separable degrees of freedom with two gates, we can write $G = G_1 G_2 G_1^\dagger$, a unitary similarity transformation, which creates geometric redundancy. It is easier to visualize the transformation in terms of rotation in three dimensions, exploring the homomorphism between the groups $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$. This means that a rotation around an arbitrary axis l by an angle θ on a Bloch sphere can be carried out by first rotating l to another direction l' , then rotating around l' by an angle θ , and finally rotating l' back to l . The freedom in the choice of l' can be exploited to optimize the single-qubit gate G .

In fact, we can use a phase gate P (a diagonal matrix) to illustrate the determination of G_1 and G_2 without loss of generality. This is because, according to the spectral theorem for normal matrices, any unitary matrix G can be unitarily diagonalized as $G = S^\dagger P S$, where S is a unitary matrix. We can then contract S with G_1 , so that $P = \tilde{G}_1 G_2 \tilde{G}_1^\dagger$, where $\tilde{G}_1 = S G_1$.

For concreteness, let us assume

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

which is a rotation around the z axis by an angle β . The parameters $b_{1,2}$, $\beta_{1,2}$ and $\gamma_{1,2}$ of G_1 and G_2 that

decompose P must, therefore, satisfy

$$(1-b_2^2)^{1/2} \cos \beta_2 = \cos \beta, \quad (5)$$

$$b_1 = \frac{b_2}{\sqrt{2 \sin^2 \beta + 2(1-b_2^2)^{1/2} \sin \beta_2 \sin \beta}}, \quad (6)$$

$$\beta_1 + \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 + (k+1/2)\pi, \quad (7)$$

where the integer k is even for positive $\sin \beta$ or odd for negative $\sin \beta$ (we exclude the case $\sin \beta = 0$ when the corresponding gate is proportional to the identity). Eq. (5) is the only constraint on G_2 , which has two degrees of freedom left free. Physically, this means a rotation of the same angle of P but around a new axis. The axis is related to the original rotation axis of P by Eqs. (6) and (7). Nevertheless, when G_2 is fixed, G_1 is only partially determined by G_2 and P through Eqs. (6) and (7) and still has a degree of freedom (between β_1 and γ_1) left free. The total number of free parameters is three, the same as we introduced in the unitary transformation. Hence, we have successfully separated the degrees of freedom into two parts, i.e. the rotation angle and the rotation axis of P , which are carried out separately by G_2 and G_1 . This separation with free parameters in both G_2 and G_1 allows us to efficiently search for high-accuracy single-qubit gates.

As an explicit demonstration of the algorithm, we construct a phase gate

$$P = e^{i7\pi/5} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i2\pi/5} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i2\pi/5} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\pi/5} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

in the Fibonacci anyon model (please refer to Refs. [21, 22] for details of this model), where there are two types of anyons with topological charges 0 (vacuum) and 1 (Fibonacci anyon) satisfying a nontrivial fusion rule $1 \times 1 = 0 + 1$. We use two pairs of Fibonacci anyons with total charge 0 to encode one bit of quantum information. The basis states are chosen as $|0\rangle = |((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = |((11)_1(11)_1)_0\rangle$, where the subscripts specify the fusion results (or total topological charges) of the anyons in the preceding brackets. Braids can be generated by the elementary braids

$$\sigma_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -\tau e^{-i\pi/5} & -\sqrt{\tau} e^{i2\pi/5} \\ -\sqrt{\tau} e^{i2\pi/5} & -\tau \end{bmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma_3 = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i4\pi/5} & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{-i2\pi/5} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

and their inverses, where $\tau = (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$. We find a set of $G_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_3^{-2} \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^{-2} \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^{-2} \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^2 \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^{-2} \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^2 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^{-2} \sigma_2^{-2} \sigma_3^{-2} \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^{-2} \sigma_3^2 \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^{-2} \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^4$ and $G_2 = \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^4 \sigma_2^{-2} \sigma_3^4 \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^2 \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^4 \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^4 \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^4 \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^4 \sigma_2^2 \sigma_3^{-4} \sigma_2^4 \sigma_3^{-2} \sigma_2^{-4} \sigma_3^{-4}$. One can verify $G_1 G_2 G_1^\dagger$, with 292 interchanges, approximates P in Eq. (8) with a distance $\sim 4 \times 10^{-10}$. In general, such a precision can be achieved by a braid of length ~ 300 for




FIG. 1: Mapping two qubits into one qubit consisting of four composite anyons.

a generic single-qubit gate with the algorithm specified above.

Combining the above construction of single-qubit gates with the low-leakage construction of controlled-rotation gates in Ref. [21], we can develop a high-accuracy construction algorithm for a universal set of topological quantum gates. Nevertheless, we propose another interesting construction scheme for two-qubit gates, which is based on a mapping from two qubits to one qubit in the four-anyon encoding scheme, and we can exploit again redundant geometric degrees of freedom to construct two-qubit gates efficiently.

We now consider two qubits in the Fibonacci model. For clarity, we label the anyons in the target qubit a_1 - a_4 and those in the control qubit a_5 - a_8 as in Fig. 1. The computational basis states are chosen as

$$\begin{aligned}
 |00\rangle &= |((11)_0(11)_0)_0((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle, \\
 |01\rangle &= |((11)_0(11)_0)_0((11)_1(11)_1)_0\rangle, \\
 |10\rangle &= |((11)_1(11)_1)_0((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle, \\
 |11\rangle &= |((11)_1(11)_1)_0((11)_1(11)_1)_0\rangle,
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the left qubit is the control qubit and the right one is the target qubit. We can treat the two pairs of anyons in each qubit as two composite anyons, which have a total topological charge 0. Then we have a mapping from two qubits of Fibonacci anyons to one qubit of composite anyons, which we label A_1 - A_4 as in Fig. 1. The computational basis states corresponding to Eq. (11) are

$$\begin{aligned}
 |00\rangle &= |((00)_0(00)_0)_0\rangle, \\
 |01\rangle &= |((00)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle, \\
 |10\rangle &= |((11)_0(00)_0)_0\rangle, \\
 |11\rangle &= |((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Note the composite qubit is not a qubit in the normal sense, because the composite anyons A_i can have charge 0. Each pair of composite anyons (e.g., A_1 and A_2) always have total charge 0, unless leakage error occurs so each of the original qubits has total charge 1.

Suppose we now apply a braid that approximates a phase gate

$$P_2 = e^{i\alpha_2} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\beta_2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\beta_2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{13}$$




FIG. 2: A braid of the composite anyons A_1 to A_4 . In this braid, we only move the composite anyon A_2 to braid with the composite anyons A_3 and A_4 and return A_2 back to the original position at the end of the braid.

to the composite qubit as in Fig. 2. In this braid, we only move the composite anyon A_2 to braid with the composite anyons A_3 and A_4 and return A_2 back to the original position at the end of the braid. When the composite qubit is in the state $|((11)_0(11)_0)_0\rangle$, this braid will introduce a phase factor $e^{i(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)}$ to the two-qubit system [e.g., a phase factor -1 for the braid approximating Eq. (8)]. While if the composite qubit is originally in the other computational states in Eq. (12), either the topological charge of the composite anyon A_2 or the topological charges of the composite anyons A_3 and A_4 are 0. Since the braid between an anyon with topological charge 0 and another anyon with topological charge either 0 or 1 does not change the state of the system, the braid will bring only a trivial phase factor 1 to the system. Thus a braid approximating the single-qubit phase gate P_2 in Eq. (13) corresponds to a controlled-phase gate

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

In order to obtain an arbitrary controlled-rotation gate, we apply a single-qubit gate G_1 on the target qubit after the controlled-phase gate and its inverse $G_1^{-1} = G_1^\dagger$ before the controlled-phase gate. For a generic controlled-rotation gate, we also need to introduce another single-qubit phase gate

$$P_3 = e^{i\alpha_3} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\beta_3} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\beta_3} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

to adjust the phase of the control qubit as in Fig. 3. The resulting gate can be written as

$$e^{i(\alpha_3 - \beta_3)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & & \\ 0 & 0 & & R \end{bmatrix} \tag{16}$$

where R is

$$e^{i(\frac{\alpha_2 - \beta_2}{2} + 2\beta_3)} G_1 \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i(\alpha_2 - \beta_2)/2} \end{bmatrix} G_1^\dagger. \tag{17}$$

