

**EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTIONS OF THE NON-ABELIAN
 p^3 -EXTENSIONS OVER \mathbb{Q}**

OZ BEN-SHIMOL

ABSTRACT. Let p be an odd prime. Let F/k be a cyclic extension of degree p and of characteristic different from p . The explicit constructions of the non-abelian p^3 -extensions over k , are induced by certain elements in $F(\mu_p)^*$. In this paper we let $k = \mathbb{Q}$ and present sufficient conditions for these elements to be suitable for the constructions. Polynomials for the non-abelian groups of order 27 over \mathbb{Q} are constructed.

We describe explicit realizations of those groups with exactly two ramified primes, without consider Scholz conditions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be an odd prime. Let F/\mathbb{Q} be a C_p -extension, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, $L = F(\zeta_p) = FK$, where ζ_p is a fixed p^{th} root of unity. Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be a generator for $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. The restriction $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}|_F$ generates $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Similarly, let $\bar{\tau}$ be a generator for $\text{Gal}(L/F)$. The restriction $\tau = \bar{\tau}|_K$ generates $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(L/F)$. L/\mathbb{Q} is cyclic of order $p(p-1)$, generated by $\bar{\sigma}\bar{\tau}$.

Let e be a primitive root modulo p . We have the multiplicative homomorphisms [4, p.130, Corollary 8.1.5]

$$\begin{cases} \Phi = \Phi_{L/F} : L^* \rightarrow L^* \\ \Phi_{L/F}(x) = x^{e^{p-2}} \bar{\tau} x^{e^{p-3}} \dots \bar{\tau}^{p-2} x, \quad x \in L^*, \end{cases}$$

and its restriction $\Phi_{K/\mathbb{Q}} : K^* \rightarrow K^*$. Clearly, Φ commutes with the norm:

$$\text{Nr}_{L/K} \Phi_{L/F} = \Phi_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \text{Nr}_{L/K}.$$

Let \mathbb{H}_{p^3} and $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ be the non-abelian groups of order p^3 . From the generic construction of the non-abelian p^3 -extensions (over fields with characteristic different from p), it follows that the elements $x \in L^*$ with $\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$ induce \mathbb{H}_{p^3} -extensions over \mathbb{Q} , while the elements $x \in L^*$ with $\Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$ induce $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ -extensions over \mathbb{Q} , cf. Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Arne Ledet, in [3],[4], and [5, pages 130-132], constructs explicit extensions and the corresponding polynomials over \mathbb{Q} for these groups, when $p = 3$ or $p = 5$, and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$. He provides a sufficient condition for an element $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$ to satisfy the above requirements, namely: $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = q$ is an unramified prime in L (that is, $q \neq 3$, $q \neq 5$, respectively). His argument for the sufficiency relies on unique factorization in \mathcal{O}_K .

Our goal is to generalize this idea for every p and for every C_p -extension F/\mathbb{Q} the construction starts with (in [4], the construction starts with a C_3 -extension inside

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 12F12; 11R18.

Key words and phrases. Constructive Galois Theory, Heisenberg group, Explicit Embedding problem, Minimal Ramification.

\mathbb{Q}_9). More precisely, given a C_p -extension F/\mathbb{Q} , we provide sufficient conditions for an element $x \in L^*$ to satisfy $\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$ for \mathbb{H}_{p^3} , and $\Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$ for $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$. In section 4 we explain the explicit constructions for those two groups, and show that there are infinitely many elements $x \in L^*$, suitable for both constructions. In section 5, we exhibit other polynomials for \mathbb{H}_{27} and $C_9 \rtimes C_3$ over \mathbb{Q} .

The aim of Chapter 6 is to construct non-abelian p^3 -extensions with minimal number of ramified primes. For different approaches we refer the reader to [8] and [12].

2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

- The number ring of a given number field N is denoted by \mathcal{O}_N .
- If E is a finite group and N/k is a Galois extension with Galois group $\text{Gal}(N/k) \cong E$, we then say that N/K is an E -extension, or that N is an E -extension over k . Any polynomial f over k with splitting field N is called E -polynomial over k .
- A cyclic group of order m will be denoted by C_m .
- As in the introduction: F/\mathbb{Q} is a C_p -extension, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and $L = FK$ – their compositum. Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be a fixed generator for $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Thus $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}|_F$ generates $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Let $\bar{\tau}$ be a fixed generator for $\text{Gal}(L/F)$. Thus $\tau = \bar{\tau}|_K$ generates $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(L/F)$.
- If e is a primitive root modulo p , then we have the homomorphism Φ defined in the introduction.
- Let $\mathcal{F}_L, \mathcal{F}_K$ be the multiplicative groups consisting of the fractional ideals of L, K , respectively. We have analogous homomorphisms:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_{L/F} : \mathcal{F}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{L/F}(I) = I^{e^{p-2}} \bar{\tau} I^{e^{p-3}} \dots \bar{\tau}^{p-2} I, \quad I \in \mathcal{F}_L, \end{cases}$$

and its restriction

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\Phi}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} : \mathcal{F}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_K \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(I) = \tilde{\Phi}_{L/F}(\mathcal{O}_L I) \cap K, \quad I \in \mathcal{F}_K. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\text{Nr}_{L/K} \tilde{\Phi}_{L/F} = \tilde{\Phi}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \text{Nr}_{L/K}$. [remark: let $I \in \mathcal{F}_L$, then $\text{Nr}_{L/K}(I) = \mathcal{O}_K \cap I \bar{\sigma} I \dots \bar{\sigma}^{p-1} I$ (see Marcus [6] or Ribenboim [9])].

- Let $x \in L^*$ with $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \pm q_1^{l_1} \dots q_n^{l_n}$, $n > 0$, $l_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, and the q_i 's are distinct rational primes. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_L x = J_1(x) \dots J_n(x) J', \quad J_i(x), J' \in \mathcal{F}_L$$

such that

- $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(J_i(x)) = \mathbb{Z} q_i^{l_i}$.
 - Any prime factor of $J_i(x)$ in L divides q_i .
- In the sequel it can be assumed that $J' = \mathcal{O}_L$.

- For each i we set $I_i(x) := \text{Nr}_{L/K}(J_i(x))$. Clearly, $\text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(I_i(x)) = \mathbb{Z} q_i^{l_i}$.
- If for some i , q_i splits completely in K and $I_i(x) = P_1^{\beta_1} P_2^{\beta_2} \dots P_{p-1}^{\beta_{p-1}}$, where the P_j 's are the distinct prime ideals in K lying above q_i , then we define $\chi(I_i(x))$ to be the integer

$$\chi(I_i(x)) = e^{p-2} \beta_1 + e^{p-3} \beta_{p-1} + \dots + e \beta_3 + \beta_2.$$

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *Let $x \in L^*$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \pm q_1^{l_1} \cdots q_n^{l_n}$, $n \geq 0$, $l_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, q_i a prime. Then $\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))$ does not generate a p^{th} power (fractional) ideal of L , if and only if $n > 0$ and there exists an i such that*

- (a) q_i splits completely in L .
- (b) p does not divide $\chi(I_i(x))$.

Proof. We assume $n > 0$. Denote $\gamma = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{O}_K\gamma = I_1(x) \cdots I_n(x)$. No prime factor of $I_i(x)$ is conjugate to any prime factor of $I_j(x)$ ($i \neq j$). It follows that $\mathcal{O}_L\Phi(\gamma) \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_L\tilde{\Phi}(I_i(x)) \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We fix some i and set $q = q_i$, $l = l_i$, $J = J_i(x)$, $I = I_i(x)$. Thus, J is a fractional ideal of L , $I = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(J)$, and $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(J) = \text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(I) = \mathbb{Z}q^l$.

We separate the rest of the proof into two cases.

Case 1: q does not split completely in L

Let g be the decomposition number of q in K/\mathbb{Q} . Clearly, $\tau^g I = I$. Now,

$$\tilde{\Phi}(I) = \prod_{j=0}^{p-2} \tau^j I e^{p-(j+2)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{g}} \prod_{i=0}^{g-1} \tau^i I e^{p-((j-1)g+i+2)} = \prod_{i=0}^{g-1} \tau^i I S_g(i),$$

where,

$$S_g(i) = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{g}} e^{p-((j-1)g+i+2)} = e^{p-(i+2)} \cdot \frac{e^{-(p-1)} - 1}{e^{-g} - 1}.$$

We see that if q does not split completely in K then $S_g(i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $i = 0, \dots, g-1$. Therefore, if q does not split completely in K then $\tilde{\Phi}(I) \in \mathcal{F}_K^p$.

Suppose that q splits completely in K . If q is ramified in F then $q \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and any prime ideal P in K lying above q is then totally ramified in L , equivalently, $\mathcal{O}_L P \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$, hence $\mathcal{O}_L I \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$.

Finally, suppose that q is inert in F . Then any prime in K lying above q is inert in L . Hence, $\bar{\sigma}J = J$, thus $\mathcal{O}_L I = J^p \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$.

We conclude that if q does not split completely in L , then $\mathcal{O}_L\tilde{\Phi}(I) \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$.

Case 2: q splits completely in L

Suppose that q splits completely in L , and let $\mathcal{O}_K q = P_1 \cdots P_{p-1}$ be the prime decomposition of q in K . τ , as a permutation acting on the $(p-1)$ -set consisting of the P_j 's, is the $(p-1)$ -cycle (P_1, \dots, P_{p-1}) (say). We shall denote by $\tilde{\tau}$ the corresponding $(p-1)$ -cycle in the symmetric group; $\tilde{\tau} = (1, \dots, p-1)$. Now, if

$$I = P_1^{\beta_1} P_2^{\beta_2} \cdots P_{p-1}^{\beta_{p-1}},$$

then

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(I) = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \Phi(P_j)^{\beta_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \prod_{k=0}^{p-2} \tilde{\tau}^k P_j^{e^{(p-(k+2))\beta_j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} P_j^{\chi_j(I)},$$

where,

$$\chi_j(I) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} e^{(p-(k+2))\beta_{\tilde{\tau}^{-k}(j)}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, p-1.$$

Clearly, the primes P_j split completely in L , hence, $\mathcal{O}_L \tilde{\Phi}(I) \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$ if and only if $\tilde{\Phi}(I) \in \mathcal{F}_K^p$. Also, $\tilde{\Phi}(I) \in \mathcal{F}_K^p$ if and only if $\chi_j(I) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $j = 1, \dots, p-1$. Note that $\chi_{j+1}(I) \equiv e \cdot \chi_j(I) \pmod{p}$ for all j . Therefore, if p divides some $\chi_j(I)$, then p must divide $\chi_1(I), \dots, \chi_{p-1}(I)$. Since we consider the $\chi_j(I)$'s modulo p , we take $j = 1$ and write $\chi(I)$ instead of $\chi_1(I)$. We conclude that $\mathcal{O}_L \tilde{\Phi}(I) \in \mathcal{F}_L^p$ if and only if p divides $\chi(I)$, as required.

If $n = 0$ then the assertion is clear. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $x \in L^*$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \pm q_1^{l_1} \cdots q_n^{l_n}$, $n \geq 1$, $l_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, q_i a prime. Suppose that there exists an i such that q_i splits completely in L and p does not divide $\chi(I_i(x))$. Then $\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)), \Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$.* \square

Let $x \in L^*$ which satisfies condition (a) of Theorem 3.1. Let q_i be a prime which splits completely in L with $\text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(I_i(x)) = \mathbb{Z}q_i^{l_i}$. If P is a prime ideal in K lying above q_i then $\text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(P) = \mathbb{Z}q_i$ (since q_i splits completely in K). Therefore, if $I_i(x) = P_1^{\beta_1} P_2^{\beta_2} \cdots P_{p-1}^{\beta_{p-1}}$, where the P_j 's are the distinct prime ideals in K lying above q_i , then

$$\mathbb{Z}q_i^{l_i} = \text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(I_i(x)) = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}} P_j^{\beta_j} = \mathbb{Z}q_i^{\beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_{p-1}}.$$

Thus,

$$(2) \quad \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_{p-1} = l_i.$$

It follows immediately that if $I_i(x)$ is of the form $I_i(x) = P^l$ (for some prime ideal P in K lying above q_i and for some $l \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$), then p divides $\chi(I_i(x))$ if and only if p divides l . We have

Corollary 3.3. *Let $x \in L^*$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \pm q_1^{l_1} \cdots q_n^{l_n}$, $n \geq 1$, $l_i \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$, q_i a prime. Suppose that there exists an i such that q_i splits completely in L and $I_i(x) = P^{l_i}$, where P is a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K , p does not divide l_i . Then $\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)), \Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$.* \square

Let $\mathcal{H}(L)$ be the Hilbert class field over L (see [6, Chapter 8]), and let q be a rational prime which splits completely in $\mathcal{H}(L)$. Then any prime ideal of L lying above q is principal in L .

Corollary 3.4. *There are infinitely many elements $x \in L^*$ such that*

1. $\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$, and
2. $\Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$.

Proof. By Chebotarev's Density Theorem, there are infinitely many rational primes which split completely in $\mathcal{H}(L)$. If q is such a prime, let Q be a prime ideal in L lying above q . So $Q = \mathcal{O}_L x$ for some $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Clearly, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = q$. The assertion then follows from Corollary 3.3. \square

3.1. Examples. We shall illustrate the above results on C_p -extensions F/\mathbb{Q} of type (S_1) [11, Chap. 2]. For that purpose we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let r be a rational prime, $r \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m = m(r)$ be a primitive root modulo r . Consider the sum*

$$(3) \quad \delta_k(r) = \zeta_r + \zeta_r^{m^k} + \zeta_r^{m^{2k}} + \cdots + \zeta_r^{m^{\left(\frac{r-1}{k}-1\right)k}}.$$

Then $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_k(r))/\mathbb{Q}$ is a C_k -extension.

Proof. $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q})$ is cyclic of order $r - 1$, generated by the automorphism $\sigma : \zeta_r \mapsto \zeta_r^m$. By the Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory, it is enough to prove $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_k(r)) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{\sigma^k}$. The inclusion $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_k(r)) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{\sigma^k}$ is because σ^k moves cyclically the summands of (3) (an alternative argument: $\delta_k(r)$ is the image of ζ_r under the trace map $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{\sigma^k}}$). Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_k(r)) \subsetneq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{\sigma^k}$. There exist a proper divisor d of k such that $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_k(r)) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{\sigma^d}$. In particular, $\sigma^d(\delta_k(r)) = \delta_k(r)$, or

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{r-1}{k}-1} \zeta_r^{m^{jk+d}} - \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{r-1}{k}-1} \zeta_r^{m^{jk}} = 0.$$

The summands in (4) are distinct. For if $\zeta_r^{m^{jk+d}} = \zeta_r^{m^{ik}}$ for some $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{r-1}{k}-1$, $j \geq i$, then $m^{(j-i)k+d} \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$. m is primitive modulo r so $r - 1$ divides $(j - i)k + d$. But, $(j - i)k + d < (\frac{r-1}{k} - 1)k + k = r - 1$, a contradiction. To this end, there are $2(r - 1)/k \leq r - 1$ summands, and dividing each of them by ζ_r gives us a linear dependence among $1, \zeta_r, \zeta_r^2, \dots, \zeta_r^{r-2}$, a contradiction. $\mathbb{Q}(\delta_k(r)) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_r)^{\sigma^k}$, as required. \square

Example 3.6. $p = 3, r = 7, m(r) = 3$. $\delta_3(7) = \zeta_7 + \zeta_7^{-1}$. $F/\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(\delta_3(7))/\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, $L/K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \delta_3(7))/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ is a C_3 -extension, generated by $\bar{\sigma} : \zeta_7 \mapsto \zeta_7^2, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3$. Let $x = \delta_3(7) + \zeta_3$. $\gamma = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x) = 3 - \zeta_3$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = 13$ ($\tau : \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3^{-1}$). 13 splits completely in L . By Corollary 3.3, $\Phi(\gamma), \zeta_3\Phi(\gamma) \notin L^{*3}$.

Example 3.7. $p = 3, r = 19, m(r) = 2$.

$$\delta_3(19) = \zeta_{19} + \zeta_{19}^{-1} + \zeta_{19}^7 + \zeta_{19}^{-7} + \zeta_{19}^8 + \zeta_{19}^{-8}.$$

$F/\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(\delta_3(19))/\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, $L/K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \delta_3(19))/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ is a C_3 -extension, generated by $\bar{\sigma} : \zeta_{19} \mapsto \zeta_{19}^6, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3$. Let $x = \delta_3(19) + \zeta_3 + 1$. $\gamma = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x) = -7\zeta_3$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = 7^2$ and 7 splits completely in L . However, $\Phi(\gamma)$ generates a third power ideal in \mathcal{O}_K although $\Phi(\gamma)$ and $\zeta_3\Phi(\gamma)$ are not third power elements in L^* . Therefore, Theorem 3.1, which is an *ideal-theoretic* criterion, is failed while testing this x . On the other hand, it tells us something about the prime factorization of $\mathcal{O}_L x$ in L : Since x satisfies condition (a) of this theorem and does not satisfy condition (b), we have

$$\chi(I_1(x)) = 2\beta_1 + \beta_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Also, by equation (2) we have $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = 2$. Hence, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1$, thus $I = \mathcal{O}_K 7$. $\mathcal{O}_L x$ is therefore a product of two distinct primes of \mathcal{O}_L , each of them has norm 7 over \mathbb{Q} .

Example 3.8. $p = 3, r = 73, m(r) = 5$. (Remark: $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{73}]$ is not a unique factorization domain). $F/\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(\delta_3(73))/\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, $L/K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \delta_3(73))/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ is a C_3 -extension, generated by $\bar{\sigma} : \zeta_{73} \mapsto \zeta_{73}^{24}, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3$. Let $x = \delta_3(73) - \zeta_3 + 1$. $\gamma = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x) = 21\zeta_3$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = 3^2 7^2$. Thus, $\Phi(\gamma) = 21^3 \zeta_3 \notin L^{*3}$.

Example 3.9. $p = 5, r = 11, m(r) = 2$. $\delta_5(11) = \zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^{-1}$. $F/\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(\delta_5(11))/\mathbb{Q}$. Thus, $L/K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5, \delta_5(11))/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ is a C_5 -extension, generated by $\bar{\sigma} : \zeta_{11} \mapsto \zeta_{11}^2, \zeta_5 \mapsto \zeta_5$. Let $x = \delta_5(11) - \zeta_5$. $\gamma = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \text{Nr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = 991$ (991 is

a rational prime). 991 splits completely in L , hence $\Phi(\gamma)$ does not generate a fifth power ideal of \mathcal{O}_L .

4. EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTIONS OF THE NON-ABELIAN p^3 -EXTENSIONS

4.1. Let N/k be a Galois extension with Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(N/k)$. Let $\pi : E \rightarrow G$ be an epimorphism of a given finite group E onto G . The *Galois theoretical embedding problem* is to find a pair $(T/k, \varphi)$ consisting of a Galois extension T/k which contains N/k , and an isomorphism $\varphi : \text{Gal}(T/k) \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ \varphi = \text{Res}_{T/N}$, where $\text{Res}_{T/N} : \text{Gal}(T/k) \rightarrow G$ is the restriction map. We say that the embedding problem is *given by N/k and $\pi : E \rightarrow G$* . If such a pair $(T/k, \varphi)$ does exist, we say that the embedding problem is *solvable*. T is called a *solution field*, and φ is called a *solution isomorphism*. $A := \ker \pi$ is called the *kernel of the embedding problem*. If A is cyclic of order m , the characteristic of k does not divide m , and N^* contains μ_m (the multiplicative group consisting of the m^{th} roots of unity), then the embedding problem is of *Brauer type* (see [4]).

If φ is required only to be a monomorphism, we say that the embedding problem is *weakly solvable*. In that case, the pair $(T/k, \varphi)$ is called an *improper solution* (or a *weak solution*). An improper solution can also be interpreted in terms of Galois algebra ([1], [3] Chapter 4). The monograph [2] treats the embedding problem from this point of view. In the cases discussed in this paper, any improper solution will automatically be a solution; the kernel A will be contained in the Frattini subgroup of E (see [2], Chapter.6, Corollary 5).

The constructive approach to embedding problems is to describe explicitly the solution field T (in case it exists). We shall consider a series of embedding problems, in each T/N will be a C_p -extension, and the goal is to exhibit an explicit primitive element of T over N (See also [7] for further details). In practice, given a set of generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$ for G , we must extend them to k -automorphisms $\bar{\gamma}_1, \dots, \bar{\gamma}_d$ of a larger field T , with common fixed field k . T/k is then a Galois extension generated by $\bar{\gamma}_1, \dots, \bar{\gamma}_d, \bar{\gamma}_{d+1}, \dots, \bar{\gamma}_c$, where $\bar{\gamma}_{d+1}, \dots, \bar{\gamma}_c$ generate $\text{Gal}(T/N)$. The extensions should be constructed in such a way that the $\bar{\gamma}_i$'s behave as a given set of c generators for E . T is then a solution field, and the isomorphism which takes each $\bar{\gamma}_i$ to the corresponding generator of E is a solution isomorphism.

4.2. Let N be a field of characteristic different from p . $\mu_p \subseteq N^*$, and let $a \in N^* \setminus N^{*p}$. Then $N(\sqrt[p]{a})/N$ is a C_p -extension. A generator for $\text{Gal}(N(\sqrt[p]{a})/N)$ is given by $\sqrt[p]{a} \mapsto \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{a}$. The only elements $\theta \in N(\sqrt[p]{a})$ with the property: $\theta^p \in N$, are those of the form $z(\sqrt[p]{a})^j$ for some $z \in N$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$.

Suppose further that N/k is a Galois extension, then $N(\sqrt[p]{a})/k$ is a Galois extension if and only if, for each $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(N/k)$, there exist $i_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gamma a / a^{i_\gamma} \in N^{*p}$.

4.3. Let E be a non-abelian group of order p^3 . Up to isomorphism, E is necessarily one of the following two groups:

1. The Heisenberg group:

$$\mathbb{H}_{p^3} = \langle u, v, w : u^p = v^p = w^p = 1, wu = uw, wv = vw, vu = uvw \rangle.$$

In other words, \mathbb{H}_{p^3} is generated by two elements u, v of order p , such that their commutator w is central. It can be realized as the subgroup of $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}p)$ consisting of upper triangular matrices with 1's in the diagonal.

2. The semidirect product:

$$C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p = \langle u, v : u^{p^2} = v^p = 1, vu = u^{p+1}v \rangle.$$


For the classification of the non-abelian groups of order p^3 , see [10, page 67].

4.4. The aim of the following Theorem 4.1 (resp. Theorem 4.2) is to describe *how* \mathbb{H}_{p^3} -extensions (resp. $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ -extensions) are constructed over \mathbb{Q} , starting with elements $x \in L^*$ with $\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$ (resp. $\Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$). These constructions are essentially known – they can be obtained as private cases of [4, Theorem 2.4.1 and Corollary 8.1.5]. We give a direct and self contained proof, consistent with our notation and with subsection 4.1.

Theorem 4.1 (The case $E = \mathbb{H}_{p^3}$).

Let $x \in L^*$ such that $b = b(x) = \Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$. Then $G = \text{Gal}(L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K) \cong C_p \times C_p$, generated by $\bar{\sigma}$ and η , where, $\bar{\sigma} : \sqrt[p]{b} \mapsto \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{b}$, $\eta : \sqrt[p]{b} \mapsto \sqrt[p]{b}$, $\bar{\sigma}|_L = \bar{\sigma}$, $\eta|_L = \text{id}_L$. Moreover, the (Brauer type) embedding problem given by $L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K$ and $\pi : E \rightarrow G$ is solvable, where $\pi : u \mapsto \bar{\sigma}$, $v \mapsto \eta$; a solution field is $M = L(\sqrt[p]{\omega}, \sqrt[p]{b})$, $\omega = \Phi(\beta)$, $\beta = x^{p-1}\bar{\sigma}x^{p-2} \dots \bar{\sigma}^{p-2}x$.

Since $\omega \in \Phi(L^*)$, M/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension with $\text{Gal}(M^\kappa/\mathbb{Q}) \cong E$, where κ is the extension of $\bar{\tau}$ to M , given by $\kappa : \sqrt[p]{\omega} \mapsto \beta^{(1-\epsilon^{p-1})/p} (\sqrt[p]{\omega})^\epsilon$, and $M^\kappa = F(\alpha)$, $\alpha = \sqrt[p]{\omega} + \kappa\sqrt[p]{\omega} + \dots + \kappa^{p-2}\sqrt[p]{\omega}$. Finally, $F(\alpha)$ is the splitting field of the minimal polynomial for α over \mathbb{Q} .



Proof. Since $\bar{\sigma}b = b$, $L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K$ is a Galois extension. We extend $\bar{\sigma}$ to $\text{Gal}(L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K)$ by $\bar{\sigma}(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{b}$. η generates $\text{Gal}(L(\sqrt[p]{b})/L)$, and $\bar{\sigma}$ generates $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. It follows that $\text{Gal}(L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K)$ is generated by $\bar{\sigma}$ and η . Clearly, $\bar{\sigma}\eta = \eta\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^p = \eta^p = \text{id}_{L(\sqrt[p]{b})}$, thus, $L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K$ is a $C_p \times C_p$ -extension [$L(\sqrt[p]{b})$ is a Kummer extension of K of exponent p].

$\eta(\omega) = \omega$ and,

$$(5) \quad \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\Phi(\beta))}{\Phi(\beta)} = \frac{\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))}{\Phi(x)^p} = \left(\frac{\sqrt[p]{b}}{\Phi(x)} \right)^p \in L(\sqrt[p]{b})^{*p},$$

thus, M/K is a Galois extension. We extend $\bar{\sigma}$ and η to $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\eta} \in \text{Gal}(M/K)$ by

$$(6) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = \frac{\sqrt[p]{b}}{\Phi(x)} \sqrt[p]{\omega}, \quad \tilde{\eta}(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{\omega},$$

respectively. Note that $M/L(\sqrt[p]{b})$ is a C_p -extension. For if $\omega \in L(\sqrt[p]{b})^{*p}$ then $\omega = z^p b^j$ for some $z \in L, j = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. From (5) it follows that $b = (\Phi(x)(\bar{\sigma}(z)/z))^p \in L^{*p}$ - a contradiction.

$\text{Gal}(M/K)$ is then generated by $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$, where $\tilde{\lambda}(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{\omega}$ - a generator for $\text{Gal}(M/L(\sqrt[p]{b}))$. Clearly, $\tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ is of order p , and since

$$\tilde{\sigma}^p(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = \frac{b}{\Phi(x)\bar{\sigma}(\Phi(x)) \cdots \bar{\sigma}^{p-1}(\Phi(x))} \sqrt[p]{\omega} = \frac{b}{\text{Nr}_{L/K}(\Phi(x))} \sqrt[p]{\omega} = \frac{b}{\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))} \sqrt[p]{\omega} = \sqrt[p]{\omega},$$

$\tilde{\sigma}$ is of order p . $\tilde{\lambda}$ commutes with both $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\eta}$, and the relation $\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\lambda}$ holds. Therefore, the group isomorphism $\varphi : \text{Gal}(M/K) \cong \mathbb{H}_{p^3}$, sending $\tilde{\sigma} \mapsto u, \tilde{\eta} \mapsto v, \tilde{\lambda} \mapsto w$, is a solution isomorphism for the given embedding problem.

Now,

$$\frac{\bar{\tau}\Phi(x)}{\Phi(x)^e} = \Phi\left(\frac{\bar{\tau}x}{x^e}\right) = \frac{\bar{\tau}x^{e^{p-2}}}{x^{e^{p-1}}} \cdot \frac{\bar{\tau}^2 x^{e^{p-3}}}{\bar{\tau}x^{e^{p-2}}} \cdots \frac{\bar{\tau}^{p-2} x^e}{\bar{\tau}^{p-3} x^{e^2}} \cdot \frac{x}{\bar{\tau}^{p-2} x^e} = x^{1-e^{p-1}},$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{\tau}\omega}{\omega^e} &= \frac{\bar{\tau}\Phi(x^{p-1}\bar{\sigma}x^{p-2} \cdots \bar{\sigma}^{p-2}x)}{\Phi(x^{p-1}\bar{\sigma}x^{p-2} \cdots \bar{\sigma}^{p-2}x)^e} = \left(\frac{\bar{\tau}\Phi(x)}{\Phi(x)^e}\right)^{p-1} \bar{\sigma} \left(\frac{\bar{\tau}\Phi(x)}{\Phi(x)^e}\right)^{p-2} \cdots \bar{\sigma}^{p-2} \left(\frac{\bar{\tau}\Phi(x)}{\Phi(x)^e}\right) \\ &= (x^{p-1}\bar{\sigma}x^{p-2} \cdots \bar{\sigma}^{p-2}x)^{1-e^{p-1}} = \left(\beta^{\frac{1-e^{p-1}}{p}}\right)^p \in L^{*p}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\bar{\tau}b}{b^e} = \frac{\bar{\tau}\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))}{\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))^e} = \text{Nr}_{L/K} \left(\frac{\bar{\tau}\Phi(x)}{\Phi(x)^e} \right) = \left(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)^{\frac{1-e^{p-1}}{p}} \right)^p \in L^{*p}.$$

Thus, M/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension. We extend $\bar{\tau}$ to $\kappa \in \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$ by

$$\kappa(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = \beta^{(1-e^{p-1})/p} (\sqrt[p]{\omega})^e, \quad \kappa(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)^{(1-e^{p-1})/p} (\sqrt[p]{b})^e.$$

Since κ is an extension of τ to M , $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\lambda}$, and κ . It is easy to verify that κ is central and has order $p-1$ [while doing it, we take $\tau : \zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^e$. Also, note that κ is the unique extension of $\bar{\tau}$ of order $p-1$]. It follows that $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{H}_{p^3} \times C_{p-1}$ and M^κ/\mathbb{Q} is Galois with $\text{Gal}(M^\kappa/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{H}_{p^3}$, as required.

Clearly, $F(\alpha) \subseteq M^\kappa$. We have the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(M/F) \xrightarrow{\iota} \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 1,$$

It follows that $\text{Gal}(M/F)$ is generated by $\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\lambda}$, and κ . Thus, $F(\alpha) = M^\kappa$ if and only if $\tilde{\eta}\alpha \neq \alpha$ and $\tilde{\lambda}\alpha \neq \alpha$. But $\tilde{\eta}\alpha = \alpha$ or $\tilde{\lambda}\alpha = \alpha$ imply linear dependence among the elements $\sqrt[p]{\omega}, \sqrt[p]{\omega^e}, \dots, \sqrt[p]{\omega^{e^{p-2}}}$ over L , a contradiction.

To this end, $\text{Gal}(F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)) \cong \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \cong C_p$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is not a normal extension. Hence, $F(\alpha)$ is the splitting field for $\text{Irr}(\alpha; \mathbb{Q})$. \square

Theorem 4.2 (The case $E = C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$).

Let $x \in L^*$ such that $b = b(x) = \Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)) \notin L^{*p}$. Then $G = \text{Gal}(L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K) \cong C_p \times C_p$, generated by $\bar{\sigma}$ and η , where, $\bar{\sigma} : \sqrt[p]{b} \mapsto \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{b}$, $\eta : \sqrt[p]{b} \mapsto \sqrt[p]{b}$, $\bar{\sigma}|_L = \bar{\sigma}$, $\eta|_L = \text{id}_L$. Moreover, the (Brauer type) embedding problem given by $L(\sqrt[p]{b})/K$ and $\pi : E \twoheadrightarrow G$ is solvable, where $\pi : u \mapsto \bar{\sigma}$, $v \mapsto \eta$; a solution field is $M = L(\sqrt[p]{\omega}, \sqrt[p]{b})$, $\omega = \Phi(\beta \sqrt[p]{a})$, $\beta = x^{p-1} \bar{\sigma} x^{p-2} \dots \bar{\sigma}^{p-2} x$, $L = K(\sqrt[p]{a})$.

Since $\omega \in \Phi(L^*)$, M/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension with $\text{Gal}(M^\kappa/\mathbb{Q}) \cong E$, where κ is the extension of $\bar{\tau}$ to M , given by $\kappa : \sqrt[p]{\omega} \mapsto (\beta \sqrt[p]{a})^{(1-e^{p-1})/p} (\sqrt[p]{\omega})^e$, and $M^\kappa = F(\alpha)$, $\alpha = \sqrt[p]{\omega} + \kappa \sqrt[p]{\omega} + \dots + \kappa^{p-2} \sqrt[p]{\omega}$. Finally, $F(\alpha)$ is the splitting field of the minimal polynomial for α over \mathbb{Q} .

Proof. A few changes should be made in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

We can assume $\bar{\sigma} : \sqrt[p]{a} \mapsto \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{a}$. Next, $\eta\omega = \omega$ and,

$$\frac{\bar{\sigma}(\omega)}{\omega} = \frac{\bar{\sigma}(\Phi(\beta))}{\Phi(\beta)} \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{\bar{\sigma} \sqrt[p]{a}}{\sqrt[p]{a}}\right) = \frac{\Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))}{\Phi(x)^p} = \left(\frac{\sqrt[p]{b}}{\Phi(x)}\right)^p \in L(\sqrt[p]{b})^{*p},$$

thus, M/K is a Galois extension. We extend $\bar{\sigma}$ and η to $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\eta} \in \text{Gal}(M/K)$ as in (6). $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ is generated by $\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$, where $\tilde{\lambda}(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = \zeta_p \sqrt[p]{\omega}$ - a generator for $\text{Gal}(M/L(\sqrt[p]{b}))$. Clearly, $\tilde{\eta}$ is of order p . Now,

$$\tilde{\sigma}^p(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = \frac{b}{\Phi(x)\bar{\sigma}(\Phi(x)) \dots \bar{\sigma}^{p-1}(\Phi(x))} \sqrt[p]{\omega} = \frac{b}{\Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))} \sqrt[p]{\omega} = \Phi(\zeta_p) \sqrt[p]{\omega} = \zeta_p^{-e^{p-2}} \sqrt[p]{\omega}.$$

Hence, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is of order p^2 and $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\sigma}^p$. Finally, the relation $\tilde{\eta}\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}^{p+1}\tilde{\eta}$ holds. It follows that the group isomorphism $\varphi : \text{Gal}(M/K) \cong C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$, sending $\tilde{\sigma} \mapsto u$, $\tilde{\eta} \mapsto v$, is a solution isomorphism for the given embedding problem.

$$\frac{\bar{\tau}\omega}{\omega^e} = \frac{\bar{\tau}(\Phi(\beta))}{\Phi(\beta)^e} \cdot \frac{\bar{\tau}(\Phi(\sqrt[p]{a}))}{\Phi(\sqrt[p]{a})^e} = \left((\beta \sqrt[p]{a})^{\frac{1-e^{p-1}}{p}}\right)^p \in L^{*p}, \quad \frac{\bar{\tau}b}{b^e} = \left(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)^{\frac{1-e^{p-1}}{p}}\right)^p \in L^{*p}.$$

Thus, M/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension. We extend $\bar{\tau}$ to $\kappa \in \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$ by

$$\kappa(\sqrt[p]{\omega}) = (\sqrt[p]{a}\beta)^{(1-e^{p-1})/p} (\sqrt[p]{\omega})^e, \quad \kappa(\sqrt[p]{b}) = \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x)^{(1-e^{p-1})/p} (\sqrt[p]{b})^e.$$

The rest remains exactly as in the previous case. \square

We end this section with the following observations.

Theorem 4.3. (a) Suppose that $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$. Then $x \in L^*$ induces an H_{p^3} -extension if and only if it induces a $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ -extension (in the ways described in Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2).

(b) Suppose that $L \neq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$. If an element $x \in L^*$ does not induce an H_{p^3} -extension, it necessarily induces a $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ -extension.

(c) There are infinitely many elements $x \in L^*$ which induce both an H_{p^3} -extension and a $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ -extension.

Proof. (a) and (b) follow from $\Phi(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{-e^{p-2}}$. (c) follows from Corollary 3.4. \square

5. POLYNOMIALS FOR THE NON-ABELIAN GROUPS OF ORDER 27

Following Ledet, we shall construct E -extensions and their polynomials over \mathbb{Q} , induced by the elements x we found in Example 3.6 and Example 3.7. We replace the primitive root $e = 2$ with $e = -1$ - the homomorphism Φ does not depend on e when we consider it modulo 3 (it may not be the case for higher primes).

Clearly, $\kappa \sqrt[3]{\omega} = 1/\sqrt[3]{\omega}$, thus $\bar{\tau}\omega = 1/\omega$, so $\omega + 1/\omega \in F$. Set $X = \sqrt[3]{\omega} + 1/\sqrt[3]{\omega}$. Then $X^3 - 3X = \omega + 1/\omega$. Hence, if $p(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is the minimal polynomial for $\omega + 1/\omega$ over \mathbb{Q} , then the splitting field of $p(X^3 - 3X)$ over \mathbb{Q} is $F(\sqrt[3]{\omega} + 1/\sqrt[3]{\omega})$, i.e. $p(X^3 - 3X)$ is an E -polynomial over \mathbb{Q} .

Example 5.1. Let x be as in Example 3.7. In order to get an H_{27} -polynomial over \mathbb{Q} induced by this x , consider $\omega = \Phi(x^2\bar{\sigma}x)$. Using mathematical software (Maple), we get the following \mathbb{H}_{27} -polynomial over \mathbb{Q} :

$$p(X^3 - 3X) = (X^3 - 3X)^3 - \frac{3^4}{7^2}(X^3 - 3X)^2 - \frac{3 \cdot 37}{7^3}(X^3 - 3X) + \frac{1489}{7^4}.$$

Example 5.2. Due to the presence of the radical $\sqrt[3]{a}$, explicit extensions for the $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ are more complicated to describe (unless $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$).

Let x be as in Example 3.6. In order to get a $C_9 \rtimes C_3$ -polynomial over \mathbb{Q} induced by this x , consider $\omega = \Phi(x^2\bar{\sigma}x \cdot \sqrt[3]{a})$, where $L = K(\sqrt[3]{a})$. Denote $\delta = \delta_3(7)$ and consider the element

$$\theta = 3\delta^2 + 3\delta + 3\zeta_3\delta + \zeta_3 - 4.$$

The minimal polynomial for δ over \mathbb{Q} is $X^3 + X^2 - 2X - 1$, and $\sigma\delta = \delta^2 - 2$. It follows that $\bar{\sigma}\theta = \zeta_3\theta$. Therefore, we can take $\sqrt[3]{a} = \theta$. Using mathematical software (Maple), we get the following $C_9 \rtimes C_3$ -polynomial over \mathbb{Q} :

$$p(X^3 - 3X) = (X^3 - 3X)^3 - \frac{2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 29}{13^2}(X^3 - 3X)^2 - \frac{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 373}{13^3}(X^3 - 3X) + \frac{6791}{13^3 \cdot 7}.$$

6. EXPLICIT REALIZATIONS WITH EXACTLY TWO RAMIFIED PRIMES

If N/k is an extension of number fields then $\text{Ram}(N/k)$ denote the set of nonzero prime ideals of k which are ramified in N . The *relative discriminant of N/k* is the ideal $\delta_{N/k}$ of \mathcal{O}_k generated by the elements $\text{discr}_{N/k}(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{[N:k]})$, for all possible bases $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{[N:k]}\}$ of N/k such that each $\varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{O}_N$. A well known theorem of Dedekind [9, page 238] says that $P \in \text{Ram}(N/k)$ if and only if P divides $\delta_{N/k}$. Suppose further that $N = k(t)$ for some $t \in \mathcal{O}_N$. Then $\delta_{N/k}$ divides the principle ideal of \mathcal{O}_k generated by $\text{discr}_{N/k}(1, t, \dots, t^{[N:k]-1}) = \prod_{i < j} (t_i - t_j)^2$, where $t_1 = t, t_2, \dots, t_{[N:k]}$ are the conjugates of t .

Lemma 6.1. *Let k be a number field, $\mu_p \subset k^*$, $c \in \mathcal{O}_k$, $N/k = k(\sqrt[p]{c})/k$ a C_p -extension. If a nonzero prime ideal P of \mathcal{O}_k is ramified in N then P is lying above p or P divides $\mathcal{O}_k c$.*

Proof. $t = \sqrt[p]{c}$ is a root of the polynomial $X^p - c \in \mathcal{O}_k[X]$. Hence, t is integral over k , thus $t \in \mathcal{O}_N$. The set of conjugates of t in N/k is $\{\zeta_p^i t\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$. Let $f_p(X) = X^{p-1} + \dots + X + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ (the p^{th} cyclotomic polynomial). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{discr}_{N/k}(1, t, \dots, t^{p-1}) &= \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^i t - \zeta_p^j t)^2 = \\ &= t^{p(p-1)} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^j)^2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^{p-1} (\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^j)^2 = \\ &= c^{p-1} \cdot f_p(1)^2 \cdot \text{discr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \zeta_p, \dots, \zeta_p^{p-2}) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p^p c^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$. Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$, $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = \pm p^{l_1} q^{l_2}$, $l_1 \geq 0$, $l_2 > 0$, $q \neq p$ is a prime which splits completely in L , $I_2(x) = P^{l_2}$, where P is a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K , p does not divide l_2 .*

1. *Let $b = b(x) = \Phi(\text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))$ and let $F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ be the \mathbb{H}_{p^3} -extension constructed as in Theorem 4.1. Then $\text{Ram}(F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}) = \{p, q\}$.*
2. *Let $b = b(x) = \Phi(\zeta_p \text{Nr}_{L/K}(x))$ and let $F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ be the $C_{p^2} \rtimes C_p$ -extension constructed as in Theorem 4.2, where $a = \zeta_p$. Then $\text{Ram}(F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}) = \{p, q\}$.*

Proof. We prove only case 1. The proof for the other group is similar (since we choose the radical $\sqrt[p]{a}$ in Theorem 4.2 to be a unit in \mathcal{O}_L).

By Kronecker-Weber Theorem, $\text{Ram}(F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}) \geq 2$. Also, $p \in \text{Ram}(F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q})$ because p is ramified in F . Let $q' \neq p$ be a rational prime which is ramified in $F(\alpha)$. We shall see that $q' = q$. Let P' be a prime in L lying above q' . P' is ramified in $M = L(\sqrt[p]{\omega}, \sqrt[p]{b})$, where

$$\omega = \Phi(\beta), \quad \beta = x^{p-1} \bar{\sigma} x^{p-2} \dots \bar{\sigma}^{p-2} x.$$

If P' is ramified in $L(\sqrt[p]{b})$ then apply Lemma 6.1 with $k = L$, $c = b$ and conclude that P' divides $\mathcal{O}_L b$. Since b is a product of conjugates of x it follows that P' is above q , so $q' = q$. Assume that P' is unramified in $L(\sqrt[p]{b})$. Let Q' be a prime in $L(\sqrt[p]{b})$ lying above P' . So Q' is ramified in M . Apply Lemma 6.1 with $k = L(\sqrt[p]{b})$, $c = \omega$ and conclude that Q' divides $\mathcal{O}_{L(\sqrt[p]{b})} \omega$. But ω is also a product of conjugates of x . Hence $q' = q$. \square

In Particular:

Corollary 6.3. *For every odd prime p , any non-abelian group of order p^3 can be realized as a Galois group over \mathbb{Q} with exactly two ramified primes.*

Remark 6.4. *Any subfield of the non-abelian p^3 -extensions $F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ in Theorem 6.2 does not admit Scholz conditions.*

Example 6.5. $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_9)$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$. L/K is a C_3 -extension generated by $\bar{\sigma} : \zeta_9 \mapsto \zeta_9^4$. F/\mathbb{Q} – The C_3 -extension inside L . $\text{Gal}(L/F) (\cong \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}))$ generated by $\bar{\tau} : \zeta_9 \mapsto \zeta_9^{-1}$. $x = \zeta_9 + 2$. $\text{Nr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(x) = 19$, and 19 splits completely in L . In the definition of Φ , we take $e = 2$ (and not $e = -1$ as in section 5). $\omega = \Phi(x^2 \bar{\sigma} x)$. $F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}$ is an \mathbb{H}_{27} -extension, where $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{\omega} + \beta^{-1} \sqrt[3]{\omega^2}$, $\beta = x^2 \bar{\sigma} x$. By Theorem 6.2, $\text{Ram}(F(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}) = \{3, 19\}$. The minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} is $\text{Irr}(\alpha; \mathbb{Q}) = X^9$

$$\begin{aligned} & -3^4 \cdot 13 \cdot X^7 \\ & -3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 59 \cdot X^6 \\ & +3^5 \cdot 19 \cdot 47 \cdot X^5 \\ & +2 \cdot 3^8 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot X^4 \\ & +2^2 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 19 \cdot 139 \cdot X^3 \\ & -3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 19^3 \cdot X^2 \\ & -3^8 \cdot 7 \cdot 19^3 \cdot X \\ & -3^6 \cdot 19^3 \cdot 73 \end{aligned}$$

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to Jack Sonn, Moshe Roitman and Arne Ledet for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.Demeyer, E.Ingraham, *Separable Algebras Over Commutative Rings*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [2] V.V.Ishkhanov, B.B.Lur'e & D.K.Faddeev, *The Embedding problem in Galois Theory*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 165, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [3] A.Ledet, *Generic and Explicit Realization of Small p -Groups*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, **30**, 859-865, 2000.
- [4] A.Ledet, *Brauer Type Embedding Problems*, Fields Institute Monographs, **21**, American Mathematical Society, 2005.
- [5] C.U.Jensen, A.Ledet, Noriko Yui, *Generic Polynomials, Constructive aspects of the Inverse Galois Problem*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, **45**, 2002.
- [6] D.A. Marcus, *Number Fields*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [7] R.Massy, *Galois Averages*, Journal of Number Theory, **113**, 244-275, 2005.
- [8] B.Plans, *On the Minimal Number of Ramified Primes in Some Solvable Extensions of \mathbb{Q}* , Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 215, No.2, 381-391, 2004.
- [9] P.Ribenboim, *Classical Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [10] M.Suzuki, *Group Theory II*, Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [11] J.-P.Serre, *Topics in Galois Theory*, Jones and Bartlett, Boston, 1992.
- [12] J.Sonn and H.Kisilevsky, *On the Minimal Ramification Problem For l -Groups*, arXiv:0811.2978v1, 2008.

Oz Ben-Shimol
Department of Mathematics
University of Haifa
Mount Carmel 31905, Haifa, Israel
E-mail Address: obenshim@math.haifa.ac.il