

# Collision and fusion of counterpropagating micron-sized optical beams in non-uniformly biased photorefractive crystals

A. Ciattoni

*alessandro.ciattoni@aquila.infn.it*

*Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, CASTI Regional Lab 67100 L'Aquila, Italy*

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università dell'Aquila, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy*

A. Marini

*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università dell'Aquila, 67100 L'Aquila, Italy*

C. Rizza

*Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e dell'Informazione, Università dell'Aquila, Monteluco*

*di Roio 67100 L'Aquila, Italy*

E. DelRe

*Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e dell'Informazione, Università dell'Aquila, Monteluco*

*di Roio 67100 L'Aquila, Italy*

We theoretically investigate collision of optical beams travelling in opposite directions through a centrosymmetric photorefractive crystal biased by a spatially non-uniform voltage. We analytically predict the fusion of counterpropagating solitons in conditions in which the applied voltage is rapidly modulated along the propagation axis, so that self-bending is suppressed by the "restoring symmetry" mechanism. Moreover, when the applied voltage is slowly modulated, we predict that the modified self-bending allows conditions in which the two beams fuse together, forming a curved light-channel splice.

© 2018 Optical Society of America

*OCIS codes:* 190.5330, 190.6135

Photorefraction has been a principal setting for the experimental investigation of soliton collisions [1]. One setback in previously reported schemes is the fact that the single solitons intrinsically self-bend along their propagation [2]. Although this has a minimal effect on spatial soliton interaction where both beams propagate in the same direction, it strongly affects the phenomenology when the beams counterpropagate [3,4]. For example, in soliton head-on collisions, the main physical effects emerge when there is an extended beam spatial overlap [5–7], and this overlap is strongly reduced by self-bending that curves both beams in the same lateral direction. A possible solution to enhance spatial beam overlap is to use specific curved trajectories obtained by launching tilted beams [4]. Both from the fundamental and applicative perspective, it is natural to formulate an alternative strategy allowing the

increased overlap without a specific tilted alignment. For example, a self-adaptive fusion of two counterpropagating beams could amount to an alignment-robust splicing technology for fiber connections.

Recent studies have shown how self-bending can in fact be suppressed by the "restoring symmetry" mechanism implemented through the use of alternating bias voltage profiles along the propagation direction [8,9]. In this Letter we theoretically consider the head-on collision of two counterpropagating optical beams travelling through a centrosymmetric photorefractive crystal in the presence of a system of electrodes delivering a voltage profile periodically modulated along the propagation axis. When the period of the applied voltage is much smaller than the optical diffraction length, the consequent suppression of the self-bending of each single beam allows us to investigate the interaction of two micron-sized counterpropagating beams whose complete spatial overlap, along a *straight* line, triggers their mutual longitudinally nonlocal interaction [4,5]. In the situation where the two beams are mutually incoherent, we show that soliton fusion occurs by analytically proving the existence of a two-parameter family of fully overlapping counterpropagating solitons. In conditions where the applied voltage is slowly modulated, we numerically identify the conditions for exploiting the longitudinal wiggling beam profile [9] to achieve a robust fusion of two counterpropagating beams impinging on the opposite crystal facets. The results indicate a self-adaptive merging of two channels, an effect that has a potential photonic application in minimizing optical power loss associated to diffraction and misalignment in optical fiber splicing.

Consider a photorefractive crystal whose facets  $x = -L_x$  and  $x = L_x$  have a set of

electrodes that deliver the periodical potential profiles  $-V_0 \cos(\kappa_v x)$  and  $V_0 \cos(\kappa_v x)$ , respectively, as shown in Fig.(1). In Ref. [9], it has been proved that, if  $I(x, z)$  is the optical intensity of the light travelling along the  $z$ -axis through the crystal, the photorefractively induced refractive index change is

$$\delta n = \frac{\alpha}{(I + I_b)^2} \left[ \psi \cos(\kappa_v z) + \chi \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \right]^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi = K_B T/q$ ,  $\alpha = -(1/2)n_0^3 g \epsilon_0^2 (\epsilon_r - 1)^2$  ( $T$  is the crystal temperature,  $q$  is the electron charge,  $\epsilon_r$  is the relative dielectric constant at the given  $T$ ,  $n_0$  is the uniform refractive index background,  $g$  is the significant quadratic electro-optic coefficient),  $I_b$  is the intensity of a reference background uniform illumination, and  $\psi = V_0 I_b / [L_x \cosh(\kappa_v L_x)]$ . In the TE configuration, the complex amplitude of the monochromatic (at frequency  $\omega$ ) optical electric field  $E(x, z)$  satisfies the Helmholtz equation  $(\partial_{xx} + \partial_{zz})E + k_0^2(n_0 + \delta n)^2 E = 0$  where  $k_0 = \omega/c$  and  $\delta n$  is given by Eq.(1). In order to describe head-on collision of two beams counterpropagating along the  $z$ -axis we set  $E(x, z) = \exp(ikz)A_+(x, z) + \exp(-ikz)A_-(x, z)$  where  $k = k_0 n_0$  and  $A_+$  and  $A_-$  are the slowly-varying amplitudes of the forward and backward propagating beams, respectively. Inserting this expression for  $E$  into the Helmholtz equation, in the paraxial approximation and noting that for  $\kappa_v \ll k$  (i.e. the period of voltage modulation is much greater than the optical wavelength) light cannot be Bragg-matched with the periodic refractive index profile,  $A_{\pm}$  satisfy the coupled parabolic equations  $[\pm i\partial_z + (1/2k)\partial_{xx}]A_{\pm} = -(k/n_0)\delta n A_{\pm}$ . We here focus our attention on the relevant case of two mutually incoherent counterpropagating beams for which the total optical intensity is

given by  $I = |A_+|^2 + |A_-|^2$ . Since Eq.(1) is not an even function of  $x$  if the intensity  $I$  is even, the two beams  $A_{\pm}$  do not propagate on a straight line: they experience the effect of self-bending in the same lateral direction, so that the effect of their interaction is generally limited by the smallness of the overlapping region. In order to maximize the overlap of the two beams we take  $\kappa_v \gg 2\pi/L_d$  (the situation corresponding to the geometry illustrated in Fig.(1a)) where  $L_d$  is the longitudinal scale characterizing the propagation of  $A_{\pm}$  ( $L_d$  typically coincides with the optical diffraction length). In these conditions the optical beams are not able to follow the rapid voltage oscillation and the averaged fields do not experience self-bending, and do not wiggle (the mechanism of "restoring symmetry" discussed in Ref. [9]). In this regime (i.e.  $\kappa_v \gg 2\pi/L_d$ ) it is possible to set  $A_{\pm} = \sqrt{I_b}V_{\pm} + \delta A_{\pm}$ , where  $V_{\pm}$  are those parts of the fields having a longitudinal scale of variation  $L_d$ , and  $\delta A_{\pm}$  are longitudinally rapidly varying, on a scale  $2\pi/\kappa_v$ , and they are uniformly in the condition  $|\delta A_{\pm}| \ll \sqrt{I_b}|V_{\pm}|$ . This self-consistent decomposition of the fields into a slowly varying mean-field component and a rapidly oscillating and small correction allows us to derive a set of equations for  $V_{\pm}$  (following a procedure very close to that reported in Ref. [9]) that are

$$\begin{aligned}
i\frac{\partial V_+}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial^2 V_+}{\partial \xi^2} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (|V_+|^2 + |V_-|^2) \right]^2}{[1 + |V_+|^2 + |V_-|^2]^2} V_+, \\
-i\frac{\partial V_-}{\partial \zeta} + \frac{\partial^2 V_-}{\partial \xi^2} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (|V_+|^2 + |V_-|^2) \right]^2}{[1 + |V_+|^2 + |V_-|^2]^2} V_-
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where we have also introduced dimensionless variables according to  $\xi = k|\psi/I_b|\sqrt{2|\alpha|/n_0}x$ ,  $\zeta = k(|\alpha|/n_0)(\psi/I_b)^2z$  and  $\gamma = 2k^2\chi^2|\alpha|/n_0$ . Note that, as expected, the two beams  $V_{\pm}$

are driven by the very same nonlinear waveguide (as a result of the two beams mutual incoherence) which, if  $|V_+|^2$  and  $|V_-|^2$  are transversally even functions of  $\xi$ , is transversally even as well so that no self-bending occurs. Equations (2) admit of the solution

$$\begin{aligned} V_+(\xi, \zeta) &= \cos \Phi \exp \left[ i \frac{a}{2} \xi - i \left( \frac{a^2}{4} - \beta \right) \zeta \right] v(\xi - a\zeta), \\ V_-(\xi, \zeta) &= \sin \Phi \exp \left[ -i \frac{a}{2} \xi + i \left( \frac{a^2}{4} - \beta \right) \zeta \right] v(\xi - a\zeta) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for any values of the real parameters  $\Phi$  and  $a$  if the function  $v(\tau)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{d^2 v}{d\tau^2} = \beta v + \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma \left( \frac{dv^2}{d\tau} \right)^2}{(1 + v^2)^2} v. \quad (4)$$

Note that Eq.(4) coincides with the equation describing solitons propagating through the medium in the presence of the "restoring symmetry" mechanism, as discussed in Ref. [9], so that the fields in Eqs.(3) constitute a two-parameter family of counterpropagating solitons. It is worth stressing that the two solitons of each pair do not suffer self-bending, are fully overlapping and therefore Eqs.(3) describe fusion of solitons counterpropagating along a straight line. The parameter  $\Phi$  sets the mutual power content of the two solitons in such a way that  $|V_+|^2 + |V_-|^2 = |v|^2$ , whereas the parameter  $a$  (subjected to the restriction  $a \ll 1$  required by the paraxial approximation) allows the soliton pair to be slightly tilted with respect to the  $z$ -axis.

In order to check the above analytical results and to extend our investigation to the off axis interaction configuration (see Fig.(1b)), we have integrated the full time-dependent photorefractive nonlinear optical model [10]. In our numerical approach, at each instant of time, we evaluate the electric field distribution induced by the boundary applied voltage and the

photoinduced charge solving the  $(x, z)$  electro-static Poisson equation, and the corresponding optical field distribution determined by the electro-optic response through the parabolic equation [11]. We have chosen a crystal bulk (layer) of potassium lithium tantalate niobate (KLTN) ( $n_0 = 2.4$ ) of thickness  $2L_x = 2 \times 50 \mu m$  and length  $L_z = 1000 \mu m$ . In order to investigate fusion of coaxial counterpropagating beams in the fast modulated regime (with electrode modulation period  $2\pi/\kappa_v = 200 \mu m$ ), we have chosen  $\gamma = 0.2$  (an experimentally available situation as reported in Ref. [12]) and we have launched two identical counterpropagating Gaussian beams  $A_+(x, 0) = \sqrt{I_b/2}f(\xi)$  at  $z = 0$  and  $A_-(x, L) = \sqrt{I_b/2}f(\xi)$  at  $z = L$  where  $f(\xi)$  is a real Gaussian profile centered at  $\xi = 0$  ( $\xi$  is the same dimensionless spatial variable as in Eqs.(2)). We have performed various numerical simulations varying both Gaussian width and amplitude together with the applied voltage thus determining their values which maximize the overlap between the forward and backward propagating field profile at  $z = 0$ , so to observe the formation of a stable and straight optical channel (see Fig.(1a)). In Fig.(2a) we have plotted the FWHM,  $\sigma$ , of  $f^2(\xi)$  as a function of  $f_0 = f(0)$  (plotted as stars) corresponding to coaxial beam fusion and, for comparison purposes, we have also reported the theoretical soliton existence curve (solid line) derived by Eq.(4) (see Ref. [9]). The good qualitative agreement between the two different situations indicates that the fusion mechanism is robust and feasible.

If the applied voltage is slowly modulated, a form of modified self bending occurs since the optical beams are able to adiabatically follow the electrode modulation [8,9]. This property can be profitably exploited to design a configuration where two beams, impinging onto the

crystal facets  $z = 0$  and  $z = L$  along two parallel propagation directions, are made to form a single and curved light channel within the crystal bulk. In the circumstance of the geometry depicted in Fig.(1b), for example, the applied voltage is reversed one time along the  $z$ - axis so that beams fusion is possible since the optical beams bend toward negative and positive  $x$  direction in the  $z < 500\mu m$  and  $z > 500\mu m$  crystal regions, respectively. We have investigated off axis beam fusion in the configuration as in Fig.(1b) by means of the above discussed numerical scheme by launching two identical but shifted Gaussian profiles (i.e. by setting  $A_+(x, 0) = A_0 \exp[(x - d/2)^2/(2s^2)]$  at  $z = 0$  and  $A_-(x, L) = A_0 \exp[(x + d/2)^2/(2s^2)]$  at  $z = L$ , where  $A_0 = 2\sqrt{I_b}$  and  $s = 3 \mu m$ ) for various different applied voltages  $V$  and mutual beam displacement  $d$  thus determining their values which maximize the overlap between the forward and backward propagating field profile at  $z = 0$ . The result of these calculations are reported in Fig.(2b) from which we note that fusion can be attained even for counterpropagating beams whose mutual distance  $d$  is much greater than their common width. This result suggest a feasible way for obtaining self-adaptive optical fiber splicing.

## References

1. E. DelRe, M. Segev, D. Christodoulides, B. Crosignani, and G. Salamo, Photorefractive solitons in *Photorefractive Materials and Their Applications 1*, P. Gunter and J. P. Huignard (eds.) (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006)
2. M.I. Carvalho, S.R. Singh, D.N. Christodoulides, Opt. Commun. 120, 311 (1995)
3. D. Kip, C. Herden, and M. Wesner, Ferroelectrics 135, 274278 (2002).
4. C. Rotschild, O. Cohen, O. Manela, T. Carmon and M. Segev, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **21**, 1354 (2004).
5. E. Del Re, A. Ciattoni, B. Crosignani and P. Di Porto, J. Nonlin. Opt. Phys. Mat. **8**, 1 (1999).
6. O. Cohen, S. Lan, T. Carmon, J. A. Giordmaine, and M. Segev, Opt. Lett. 27, 2013 (2002).
7. In the case of the Kerr nonlinearity, this coupling mechanism is generally referred to as "holographic focusing", see, e.g., O. Cohen, R. Uzdin, T. Carmon, J. W. Flescher, M. Segev and S. Odoulov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 133901-1 (2002)
8. A. Ciattoni, E. DelRe, A. Marini and C. Rizza, Opt. Express **16**, 16867 (2008).
9. A. Ciattoni, E. DelRe, C. Rizza and A. Marini, Opt. Lett. **31**, 2110 (2008).
10. L. Solymar, D. J. Webb, and A. Grunnet-Jepsen, *The Physics and Applications of Photorefractive Materials* (Oxford Press, 1996).
11. E. DelRe, A. Ciattoni and E. Palange, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 017601 (2006).

12. B. Crosignani, A. Degasperis, E. DelRe, P. Di Porto and A. J. Agranat, Phys. Rev. Lett.  
**82**, 1664 (1999)

## List of Figure Captions

- Figure 1: Geometry of the collision between counterpropagating optical beams (reported as shaded regions around  $x = 0$ ) through a non-uniformly biased photorefractive crystal layer (black and gray stripes are here electrodes at opposite potentials).  
(1a) Fusion of two coaxial counterpropagating solitons in the fast modulated regime.  
(1b) Merging of two off axis counterpropagating beams into a single optical channel due to modified self-bending in the slowly modulated regime.
- Figure 2: (2a) Intensity full width at half maximum FWHM  $\sigma$  as a function of the peak amplitude  $f_0$  of the Gaussian input counterpropagating beam profiles allowing beam fusion (stars) and corresponding theoretical existence curve (solid line) associated with counterpropagating soliton fusion (evaluated from Eq.(4)). (2b) Voltage  $V$  as a function of the displacement  $d$  between the two beams required to form an optimal fused splice along a curved trajectory.




Fig. 1. Geometry of the collision between counterpropagating optical beams (reported as shaded regions around  $x = 0$ ) through a non-uniformly biased photorefractive crystal layer (black and gray stripes are here electrodes at opposite potentials). (1a) Fusion of two coaxial counterpropagating solitons in the fast modulated regime. (1b) Merging of two off axis counterpropagating beams into a single optical channel due to modified self-bending in the slowly modulated regime.




Fig. 2. (2a) Intensity full width at half maximum FWHM  $\sigma$  as a function of the peak amplitude  $f_0$  of the Gaussian input counterpropagating beam profiles allowing beam fusion (stars) and corresponding theoretical existence curve (solid line) associated with counterpropagating soliton fusion (evaluated from Eq.(4)). (2b) Voltage  $V$  as a function of the displacement