

# Effect of a Strong Laser on Spin Precession

Arsen Khvedelidze

*A.Razmadze Mathematical Institute, Tbilisi, GE-0193, Georgia*

*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, 141980, Russia*

## Abstract

The semiclassical dynamics of a charged spin-1/2 particle in an intense electromagnetic plane wave is analyzed beyond the electric dipole approximation and taking into account the leading relativistic corrections to the Pauli equation. It is argued that the adiabatic spin evolution driven by a low intensity radiation changes its character drastically as an intensity of a laser is increasing. Particularly, it is shown that a charged particle exposes a spin flip resonance at a certain pick value of a laser field strength, which is determined by a particle's gyromagnetic ratio.

**The problem and result.** A good deal of a considerable knowledge on a charged spinning particle interaction with a low intensity laser has been gleaned from the extensive use of the electric dipole approximation [1]. This approximation works perfectly to describe the particle's classical trajectory as well as to understand the adiabatic evolution of spin, represented by the intrinsic angular momentum [2]. With the growing intensity of a radiation different relativistic corrections to the charge motion become relevant [3], [4]. This demands to refuse the electric dipole approximation and to take into account the influence of the magnetic part of the Heaviside-Lorentz force. Entering to this non-dipole region a new physics become tangible. In this context, the present talk aims to report on the manifestation of a such non-dipole physics: *a charged particle's spin flip resonance induced by a strong laser field.*

It is arguably the best to describe the spin-flip resonance in the so-called average rest frame, frame where the mean particle's velocity vanishes. In this frame, as our calculations show, the probability to flip for a spin, that is initially polarised along the direction of propagation of the circularly polarised monochromatic plane wave, is given by an analog of the well-known formula from the Rabi magnetic resonance problem [5]:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\downarrow\uparrow} = \mathcal{A}_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\eta) \sin^2(\omega_S t), \quad \omega_S := \frac{\omega_L |1-g|}{8} \sqrt{\kappa^2 \eta^2 + (\eta^2 - \eta_*^2)^2}, \quad (1)$$

The frequency  $\omega_S$  differs from a laser circular frequency  $\omega_L$  and depends nonlinearly on a particle's gyromagnetic ratio  $g$ , as well as on a laser field strength parameter [6]:

$$\eta^2 = -2 \frac{e^2}{m^2 c^4} \langle A^2 \rangle, \quad \langle \dots \rangle - \text{time average}, \quad A - \text{a laser gauge potential}. \quad (2)$$

The flipping amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\eta)$  in (1) has the following resonance form

$$\mathcal{A}_{\downarrow\uparrow}(\eta) = \frac{\kappa^2 \eta^2}{\kappa^2 \eta^2 + (\eta^2 - \eta_*^2)^2}, \quad \kappa^2 := \frac{2g^2}{(1-g)^2}, \quad \eta_*^2 := \frac{4}{g-1}. \quad (3)$$

**Sketch of the calculations.** To get the above results the recently elaborated method [7] is extended from the classical to the quantum case. The conventional semiclassical approach attitude, when a charged particle motion in a given electromagnetic background is studied classically within the non-relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi theory, is adopted. At the same time, the spin evolution is treated quantum mechanically, as required by the spin nature, using the Pauli equations with the leading relativistic corrections. The spin-radiation interaction is encoded in the effective spatially homogeneous magnetic field configuration, which is determined by the geometry of a particle's classical trajectory. The described approximation is formulated mathematically as follows. The laser radiation is modelled by the *elliptically polarized monochromatic plane wave* propagated along the  $z$ -axis

$$A^\mu := a \left( 0, \varepsilon \cos(\omega_L \xi), \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} \sin(\omega_L \xi), 0 \right), \quad \xi = t - \frac{z}{c}, \quad (4)$$

where  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$  is the light polarisation parameter, and the constant  $a$  is related to the laser field strength (2),  $\eta^2 = e^2 a^2 / m^2 c^4$ . A charged spin-1/2 particle is in a pure quantum state  $\Psi$  admitting the semiclassical charge & spin decomposition,

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0,1} \sum_{\alpha=\pm} c_{\alpha,i} |\psi_\alpha\rangle \otimes |\chi_i\rangle. \quad (5)$$

Two states,  $|\psi_\pm\rangle$ ,<sup>1</sup> are the linearly independent WKB solutions to the Schrödinger equation for a charged spinless particle moving in the background (4). According to the semiclassical calculations the spin state vectors  $|\chi\rangle$  in the decomposition (5) satisfy the spin evolution equation:

$$i \frac{d}{dt} |\chi\rangle = - \frac{ge}{4mc} \mathbf{B}'(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} |\chi\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where the spatially homogeneous magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}'(t)$  is accounted for a laser field coupling to a spin moving in the laboratory frame with the velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  and acceleration  $\mathbf{a}$ ,

$$\mathbf{B}'(t) := \left( \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E} \right) + \frac{m}{egc} \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{a}. \quad (7)$$

Here the term in parenthesis is magnetic field seen in the particle's instantaneous rest frame and evaluated along the particle's classical orbit. The last contribution in (7) corresponds to the leading part of the so-called Thomas precession correction due to the non-vanishing curvature of a particle's trajectory [2].

Analysis of the equations begins with the derivation of the exact solution to the classical non-relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation for spinless particle moving in the electromagnetic background (4). Solving this Hamilton-Jacobi problem [7] one determine both, the WKB solution to the Schrödinger equation and the effective magnetic field (7). We assume below that the particle's classical trajectory  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  fulfills the initial condition  $\mathbf{x}(0) = 0$  and fix also the frame, where the average of a particle's velocity component, orthogonal to the wave propagation direction vanishes,  $\langle \mathbf{v}_\perp \rangle = 0$ . To find the effective magnetic field we owe from [7] the expression for a particle's velocity

$$\mathbf{v} = \left[ -c\eta\varepsilon \operatorname{cn}(\omega'_L t, \mu), -c\eta\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} \operatorname{sn}(\omega'_L t, \mu), c - c(1 - \beta_z) \operatorname{dn}(\omega'_L t, \mu) \right], \quad (8)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>To simplify expressions, the initial state is assumed to have only one nonzero coefficient,  $c_{+,0}$ . Note that, the unit normalization condition on the WKB wave function fixes this coefficient  $\pi c_{+,0}^2 = 2m\omega_P$ ,  $\omega_P$  – a particle's fundamental frequency.

as well as the expression for its  $z$ -coordinate:  $z(t) = ct - \frac{c}{\omega_L} \text{am}(\omega'_L t, \mu)$ . The argument of the elliptic Jacobian functions and the Jacobian amplitude function,  $\text{am}(u, \mu)$ , is the laboratory frame time  $t$ , scaled by the non-relativistically Doppler shifted laser frequency  $\omega'_L = \gamma_z \omega_L$ ,  $\gamma_z = 1 - v_z(0)/c$  and the modulus  $\mu$  is  $\gamma_z^2 \mu^2 = \eta^2(1 - 2\varepsilon^2)$ .<sup>2</sup> Using this solution the exact expression for the effective magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}'(t)$  can be found:

$$\mathbf{B}' = \frac{a\omega'_L}{gc} \left( \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} [(g + 1)\text{dn} - \gamma_z] \text{cn}, \varepsilon [(g + 1)\text{dn} - \gamma_z(1 - \mu^2)] \text{sn}, \varepsilon \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} [\text{dn} - g\gamma_z^{-1}] \right).$$

*The resonant oscillations.*— In the average rest frame,  $\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$ , when laser beam is circularly polarised,  $\varepsilon^2 = 1/2$ , the expression for the effective magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}'$  simplifies to the constant magnitude field:

$$\mathbf{B}'(t) = |\mathbf{B}'| \mathbf{n}(t), \quad |\mathbf{B}'| := \frac{a\omega_L |1 - g|}{2gc} \sqrt{\kappa^2 + \eta^2}, \quad (9)$$

aligned the unit time dependent vector  $\mathbf{n}(t) := (\sin \theta \cos \omega_L t, \sin \theta \sin \omega_L t, \cos \theta)$ . The effective magnetic field (9) rotates with the frequency  $\omega_L$  about the axis inclined with respect to the field. The inclination angle  $\theta$  is determined from the relation:  $\eta \tan \theta = \kappa$ .

Therefore, *the effective laser-spin interaction for the circular polarised radiation is precisely the famous rotated magnetic field describing the nuclear magnetic resonance phenomenon!* Having in mind this observation one can use the well-known exact Rabi solution [5] to find the semiclassical evolution of spin-1/2 particle. Particularly, a straightforward calculations lead to the expressions (1) and (3) for the spin flipping probability announced at the beginning of this report.

**Conclusion.** In the present talk the non-dipole effect of a strong laser on the spin of a charged particle was described quantum mechanically, while the evolution of position and momentum of a particle itself were treated according to the classical Newton equations with complete Heaviside-Lorentz force. The derived results indicate a very different spin physics in a high intensity laser field versus to a low intensity adiabatic regime.

*Acknowledgment.*—The research was supported in part by the Georgian National Science Foundation under Grant No. GNSF/ST06/4-050, the Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grant No. 08-01-00660 and by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation Grant No. 1027.2008.2.

## References

- [1] M.V. Fedorov, “*Electron in a Strong Optical Field*”, Nauka, Moscow, 1991.
- [2] J. Frenkel, Z. Phys. **37**, 243 (1926); L.H. Thomas, Nature **117**, 514 (1926).
- [3] Y.I. Salamin, *et al.*, Phys. Rep. **427**, 41 (2006).
- [4] G.A. Mourou, T. Tajima and S.V. Bulanov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 309 (2006).
- [5] I.I. Rabi, Phys. Rev. **51**, 652 (1937).
- [6] E.S. Sarachik and G.T. Schappert, Phys. Rev. **D1**, 2738 (1970).
- [7] P. Jameson and A. Khvedelidze, Phys. Rev. **A77**, 053403 (2008).

---

<sup>2</sup>The expression (8) is the correct one only for  $\mu^2 \in (0, 1)$ . The solution outside this interval can be reconstructed exploiting the modular properties of the Jacobian function. See details in ref. [7].