

**Impurity-induced in-gap state and T_c
in sign-reversing s -wave superconductors:
analysis of iron oxypnictide superconductors**

Yuko SENGA^{1,2} and Hiroshi KONTANI^{1,2}

¹ *Department of Physics, Nagoya University,*

Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

² *JST, Transformative Research-Project on Iron Pnictides (TRIP),*

Chiyoda, Tokyo 102-0075, Japan.

(Dated: October 30, 2018)

Abstract

The sign-reversing fully gapped superconducting state, which is expected to be realized in oxypnictide superconductors, can be prominently affected by nonmagnetic impurities due to the interband scattering of Cooper pairs. We study this problem based on the isotropic two-band BCS model: In oxypnictide superconductors, the interband impurity scattering I' is not equal to the intraband one I . In the Born scattering regime, the reduction in T_c is sizable and the impurity-induced density of states (DOS) is prominent if $I \sim I'$, due to the interband scattering. Although impurity-induced DOS can yield a power-law temperature dependence in $1/T_1$, a sizable suppression in T_c is inevitably accompanied. In the unitary scattering regime, in contrast, impurity effect is very small for both T_c and DOS except at $I = I'$. By comparing theory and experiments, we expect that the degree of anisotropy in the s_{\pm} -wave gap function strongly depends on compounds.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the mechanism of superconductivity in high- T_c superconductors with FeAs layers [1–5] has been attracting considerable attentions. The superconducting state is realized by introducing carrier into the parent compound, which shows the spin density wave (SDW) state at $T_N \sim 130\text{K}$ [6, 7]. In the SDW state, the ordered magnetic moment is $\sim 0.3 \mu_B$ and the ordering vector is $\mathbf{Q} \approx (\pi, 0)$ [6, 8–10]. NMR studies had clearly shown that the singlet superconducting state is realized in iron oxypnictides [11–13]. A fully gapped superconducting state has been determined by the penetration depth measurement [14], angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [15–18], specific heat measurement [19], and so on.

In the first-principle band calculations [20–22], the Fermi surfaces in iron oxypnictides are composed of two hole-like Fermi pockets around the $\Gamma = (0, 0)$ point and two electron-like Fermi pockets around $M = (\pi, 0), (0, \pi)$ points. The nesting between the hole and electron pockets is expected to give rise to the SDW state in undoped compounds. In doped compounds without SDW order, the antiferromagnetic (AF) fluctuations with $\mathbf{Q} \approx (\pi, 0)$ is expected to induce a fully gapped s -wave state with sign reversal, which is called the s_{\pm} -wave state [23–30]. Moreover, near the SDW boundary, the Hall coefficient and Nernst signal show prominent anomalous behaviors [7, 12, 31], which are similar to those observed in high- T_c cuprates and in CeMIn_5 ($M=\text{Co,Rh,Ir}$) [32]. Theoretically, these anomalous transport phenomena indicate the existence of strong AF fluctuations [33]. At the same time, huge residual resistivity far beyond the s -wave unitary scattering is expected to appear near the SDW boundary theoretically [34].

To investigate the pairing symmetry of superconductivity, impurity effects on the superconducting state offer us decisive informations. In iron oxypnictide superconductors, impurity effect on T_c due to Co, Ni, or Zn substitution for Fe sites is very small or absent [11, 12, 35–37]. This result clearly rules out the possibility of line-node superconductivity. One may also expect that the s -wave state with sign reversal is also eliminated, since the Cooper pair is destroyed by the interband scattering induced by impurities. However, we have recently shown that T_c is almost unchanged by strong (unitary) impurities, since the interband impurity scattering potential I' is different from the intraband one I [38]. The reason for this unexpected result is that the effective interband scattering is renormalized to zero in the unitary limit except at $I = I'$ in the T -matrix approximation. Therefore, the ex-

perimental absence of impurity effect on T_c in iron oxypnictides is well understood in terms of the s_{\pm} -wave state. On the other hand, T_c will be prominently reduced by short-range weak (Born) impurities [38].

Recently, several authors had revealed that in-gap density of states (DOS) is induced by impurities in the s_{\pm} -wave state using the Born approximation for general value of $x \equiv |I'/I|$ [39], or using the T -matrix approximation only for $x = 1$ [40, 41]. They also demonstrated that the relation $1/T_1 \propto T^3$ under T_c , which had been reported by several groups [13, 42–44], can be reproduced by the impurity-induced DOS. However, the assumed impurity parameters (n_{imp} , I and I') also yields a sizable suppression in T_c according to the analysis in Ref. [38]. Furthermore, impurity-induced DOS should be sensitive to the value of x in the unitary scattering regime, as suggested in ref. [38]. Therefore, we have to study the impurity effects on the DOS and T_c for general x , and compare their relationships in detail.

In this paper, we investigate the impurity-induced DOS and T_c in the s_{\pm} -wave state using the T -matrix approximation for general x . We stress that x is not unity in iron oxypnictides since hole and electron pockets are not composed of the same d -orbitals. In the Born or intermediate scattering regime, a sizable impurity-induced DOS appears for $x \gtrsim 0.7$, and therefore $1/T_1$ may deviate from a simple exponential behavior. Although impurity-induced DOS can yield a power-law temperature dependence in $1/T_1$ [39–41], we find that a sizable suppression in T_c is inevitably accompanied. The anisotropy in the s_{\pm} -wave superconducting gap, which had been predicted theoretically [23, 25], might be responsible for the power-law temperature dependence of $1/T_1$ under T_c as discussed in ref. [45]. In contrast, unitary impurities affect both the superconducting DOS and T_c only slightly, except at $I = I'$.

II. T -MATRIX APPROXIMATION IN THE TWO-BAND BCS MODEL

As studied in refs. [29, 38, 39, 41], the s_{\pm} -wave state is realized in the two-band BCS model if we introduce the interband repulsive interaction, which represents the AF fluctuations due to the interband nesting in iron oxypnictides. In the present paper, we study the impurity effect using the T -matrix approximation for general I'/I . In the presence of mass enhancement due to many-body effect, $m^*/m_0 > 1$, both the superconducting gap and the impurity effect (or impurity concentration n_{imp}) are renormalized by the factor $(m^*/m_0)^{-1}$. In the present analysis, we neglect the mass-enhancement for simplicity.

In the Nambu representation, the two-band BCS model is given by [46, 47]

$$\hat{H}^0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \hat{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^0 \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = (c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^{\alpha\dagger}, c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^{\beta\dagger}, c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\alpha, c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\beta)$, and

$$\hat{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha & 0 & \Delta_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^\beta & 0 & \Delta_\beta \\ \Delta_\alpha & 0 & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_\beta & 0 & -\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^\beta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

In eq. (2), $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^\alpha, \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^\beta$ are the band dispersions measured from the Fermi level. Since we consider the isotropic s_\pm superconducting state, only the DOSs for both bands at the Fermi level (N_α, N_β) are taken into consideration in the present BCS study. $\Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\beta$ in eq. (2) are the superconducting gap. When only the inter-band repulsive interaction ($g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha} > 0$) is taken into consideration, the gap equation without impurities is given as [38, 40, 41],

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\beta)} = -g_{\alpha\beta} N_{\beta(\alpha)} T \sum_n f_{\beta(\alpha)}(i\epsilon_n) \theta(\epsilon_n - |\omega_c|), \quad (3)$$

where $\epsilon_n = \pi T(2n+1)$ is the fermion Matsubara frequency, and ω_c is the cutoff energy. $N_{\beta(\alpha)}$ is the DOS for $\beta(\alpha)$ -band at the Fermi energy in the normal state per spin. $f_{\beta(\alpha)}(\epsilon)$ is the local anomalous Green function for $\beta(\alpha)$ band, which will be given later. Since $f_{\beta(\alpha)} \propto \Delta_{\beta(\alpha)}$, the s_\pm -state $\Delta_\alpha = -\Delta_\beta$ is realized for $g_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ [38, 40, 41]. Moreover, $|\Delta_\alpha/\Delta_\beta| \sim (N_\beta/N_\alpha)^{1/2}$ since $f_\alpha/f_\beta \sim \Delta_\alpha/\Delta_\beta$.

The Nambu matrix representation for the impurity potential is given as

$$\hat{I} = \begin{pmatrix} I & I' & 0 & 0 \\ I' & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I & -I' \\ 0 & 0 & -I' & -I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

We can assume that $I, I' \geq 0$ without losing generality. In the presence of impurities, the Green function in the Nambu representation is given by [47]

$$\hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\omega}) = (\tilde{\omega}\hat{1} - \hat{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^0 - \hat{\Sigma}(\tilde{\omega}))^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{\omega} \equiv \omega + i\delta$ ($\delta = +0$), and $\hat{\Sigma}(\tilde{\omega})$ is the self-energy due to impurities.

Hereafter, we derive $\hat{\Sigma}(\tilde{\omega})$ in the T -matrix approximation, which gives the exact result for $n_{\text{imp}} \ll 1$ for any strength of I, I' . The T -matrix in the Nambu representation is given by

$$\hat{T}(\tilde{\omega}) = (\hat{1} - \hat{I} \cdot \hat{g}(\tilde{\omega}))^{-1} \hat{I}, \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{g}(\tilde{\omega}) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tilde{\omega})$ is the local Green function, which is given by [47]

$$\hat{g}(\tilde{\omega}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\alpha}(\tilde{\omega}) & 0 & f_{\alpha}(\tilde{\omega}) & 0 \\ 0 & g_{\beta}(\tilde{\omega}) & 0 & f_{\beta}(\tilde{\omega}) \\ f_{\alpha}(\tilde{\omega}) & 0 & g_{\alpha}(\tilde{\omega}) & 0 \\ 0 & f_{\beta}(\tilde{\omega}) & 0 & g_{\beta}(\tilde{\omega}). \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

In the above expression, g_i and f_i ($i = \alpha, \beta$) are given by

$$g_i(\tilde{\omega}) = -\pi N_i \frac{\tilde{\omega} Z_i(\tilde{\omega})}{\sqrt{-(\tilde{\omega} Z_i(\tilde{\omega}))^2 + (\Delta_i + \Sigma_i^a(\tilde{\omega}))^2}}, \quad (8)$$

$$f_i(\tilde{\omega}) = -\pi N_i \frac{\Delta_i + \Sigma_i^a(\tilde{\omega})}{\sqrt{-(\tilde{\omega} Z_i(\tilde{\omega}))^2 + (\Delta_i + \Sigma_i^a(\tilde{\omega}))^2}}, \quad (9)$$

$$Z_i(\tilde{\omega}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2\tilde{\omega}} (\Sigma_i^n(\tilde{\omega}) - \Sigma_i^n(-\tilde{\omega})), \quad (10)$$

where Σ_i^n and Σ_i^a ($i = \alpha, \beta$) are the normal and anomalous self-energies, respectively. In the T -matrix approximation, the self-energies are given by using eq. (6) as

$$\Sigma_{\alpha}^n(\tilde{\omega}) = n_{\text{imp}} T_{11}(\tilde{\omega}), \quad \Sigma_{\beta}^n(\tilde{\omega}) = n_{\text{imp}} T_{22}(\tilde{\omega}), \quad (11)$$

$$\Sigma_{\alpha}^n(-\tilde{\omega}) = -n_{\text{imp}} T_{33}(\tilde{\omega}), \quad \Sigma_{\beta}^n(-\tilde{\omega}) = -n_{\text{imp}} T_{44}(\tilde{\omega}), \quad (12)$$

$$\Sigma_{\alpha}^a(\tilde{\omega}) = n_{\text{imp}} T_{13}(\tilde{\omega}), \quad \Sigma_{\beta}^a(\tilde{\omega}) = n_{\text{imp}} T_{24}(\tilde{\omega}). \quad (13)$$

In the fully self-consistent T -matrix approximation, we have to solve eqs. (3) and (7)-(13) self-consistently. In this paper, however, we solve only eqs. (7)-(13) self-consistently, by neglecting the impurity effect on Δ_{α} and Δ_{β} in eq. (3). This approximation is justified when the reduction in $\Delta_{\alpha(\beta)}$ due to impurity pair-breaking is small.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Here, we discuss the impurity effect on the DOS and T_c in the s_{\pm} -wave superconducting state, based on the numerical results given by the T -matrix approximation.




FIG. 1: $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ as a function of I given by the T -matrix approximation in the case of (a) $N_\alpha = N_\beta = 1$ and (b) $N_\alpha = 1, N_\beta = 0.5$. In both figures, the unit of energy is $1/N_\alpha$, which corresponds to 18000 K for (a) and 14000 K for (b), since $N_\alpha + N_\beta = 1.31 \text{ eV}^{-1}$ in iron oxypnictides. $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ for $(x = 1, I = \infty)$ is $1/8N_\alpha \sim 2300 \text{ K}$ for (a), and $1/5.84N_\alpha \sim 2400 \text{ K}$ for (b). The superconducting DOS for parameters denoted by filled diamonds are shown in Figs. 3 and 5.

A. Impurity effect on T_c

As derived in Ref. [38], the expression for the reduction in T_c per impurity concentration based on the two-band BCS model is given as

$$-\frac{\Delta T_c}{n_{\text{imp}}} = \frac{\pi^2 [3(N_\alpha + N_\beta) - 2\sqrt{N_\alpha N_\beta}] I'^2}{8\bar{A}}, \quad (14)$$

For $n_{\text{imp}} \ll 1$, the transition temperature is given by $T_c = T_c^0 - (-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}) \cdot n_{\text{imp}}$, where T_c^0 is the transition temperature without impurities. In eq. (14), $\bar{A} = 1 + \pi^2 I^2 (N_\alpha^2 + N_\beta^2) + 2N_\alpha N_\beta \pi^2 I'^2 + N_\alpha^2 N_\beta^2 \pi^4 (I^2 - I'^2)^2$. In the case of $x \equiv I'/I = 1$, the right hand side of eq. (14) is $[3(N_\alpha + N_\beta) - 2\sqrt{N_\alpha N_\beta}]/[8(N_\alpha + N_\beta)^2] + O(I^{-2})$ in the unitary regime. In the case of $x \neq 1$, in contrast, eq. (14) is given by $x^2[3(N_\alpha + N_\beta) - 2\sqrt{N_\alpha N_\beta}]/[8\pi^2 N_\alpha^2 N_\beta^2 (1 - x^2) I^2] + O(I^{-4})$. Therefore, eq. (14) approaches zero in the case of $x \neq 1$ in the unitary regime.

Figure 1 (a) shows $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ given in eq. (14) in the case of $N_\alpha = N_\beta = 1$. In iron oxypnictides, the total DOS per Fe atom ($N_\alpha + N_\beta$) is 1.31 eV^{-1} per spin [20]. Then, $1/N = 1$ corresponds to 18000 K. When $x = 1$, $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ approaches $1/8N \sim 2300 \text{ K}$ in

the unitary regime ($IN \gg 1$). Therefore, the superconductivity in iron oxypnictides will vanish only at $n_{\text{imp}} \approx 8N \cdot T_c^0 = 0.01 \sim 0.02$ [1 ~ 2 %]. When $x \neq 1$, in high contrast, $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ decreases and approaches zero as I increases in the unitary regime, since the effective interband scattering is renormalized as $I'_{\text{eff}} \sim I' \cdot (IN)^{-2} \ll I'$ [38].

According to the first principle calculations, $N_\beta/N_\alpha \gtrsim 0.7$ in iron oxypnictides [48]. Here, we study the case of $N_\beta/N_\alpha = 0.5$ in order to clarify the the impurity effect on T_c for the the particle-hole asymmetric case; $N_\beta/N_\alpha \neq 1$. Figure 1 (b) shows $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ for $N_\alpha = 1$ and $N_\beta = 0.5$. According to eq. (14), $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ for $x = 1$ and $I = \infty$ is $1/5.84N_\alpha \sim 2400$ K, by taking account of the relation $N_\alpha + N_\beta = 1.31 \text{ eV}^{-1}$ in iron oxypnictides. By comparing with the results for $N_\alpha = N_\beta = 1$ in Fig. 1 (a), we find that $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ is insensitive to the value of N_β/N_α , under the condition that $N_\alpha + N_\beta = \text{constant}$.

$$T_{\alpha\beta}^{I=0} = \frac{I'}{\alpha \times \beta} + \frac{I'}{\alpha \times \beta} \frac{I'}{\alpha} \frac{I'}{\beta} + \dots = \frac{I'}{1 + (\pi I' N)^2} \rightarrow 0$$

$$T_{\alpha\alpha}^{I=0} = \frac{I'}{\alpha \times \beta} \frac{I'}{\alpha} + \frac{I'}{\alpha \times \beta} \frac{I'}{\alpha} \frac{I'}{\beta} \frac{I'}{\alpha} + \dots = \frac{i\pi (I')^2 N}{1 + (\pi I' N)^2} \rightarrow \frac{i}{\pi N}$$

FIG. 2: Intraband and interband T -matrices in the normal state, $T_{\alpha\alpha}^{I=0}$ and $T_{\alpha\beta}^{I=0}$.

Previously, impurity effect on T_c in two-band BCS models had been studied by many authors in various contexts [49–54], and it was found that T_c is unchanged in the unitary limit [50, 51]. However, eq. (14) for s_\pm -state had not been derived. Here, we present a clear explanation why the interband scattering (pair breaking) is absent in the unitary regime, which had not been discussed previously. Figure 2 shows the intraband and interband T -matrices in the normal state, $T_{\alpha\alpha}^{I=0}$ and $T_{\alpha\beta}^{I=0}$, in the case of $I = 0$ and $N_\alpha = N_\beta = N$. Apparently, $T_{\alpha\beta}^{I=0}$ approaches zero for $I' \rightarrow \infty$. Next, we consider $T_{\alpha\beta}$ for general (I, I') . If we construct $T_{\alpha\beta}$ of $(T_{\alpha\alpha}^{I=0}, T_{\alpha\beta}^{I=0}, I)$, it contains at least one $\hat{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{I=0}$. For this reason, interband T -matrix is expected to approach zero in the unitary regime. This expectation is correct unless $x = 1$, as shown in Ref. [38].




FIG. 3: Obtained DOS in the superconducting state for $N_\alpha = N_\beta = 1$ ($\sim 0.66 \text{ eV}^{-1}$) and $\Delta_\alpha = -\Delta_\beta = 0.005$ ($\sim 100 \text{ K}$), in the case of (a) $I = 0.25$, (b) $I = 0.5$, (c) $I = 2$, and (d) $I = 8$. Impurity concentration n_{imp} is 0.008. The insets in (b)-(d) present the DOS for $n_{\text{imp}} = 0.001$. Note that $N(-\omega) = N(\omega)$.

B. Impurity effect on the DOS

In the s_{\pm} -wave superconducting state, impurity interband scattering not only reduces T_c , but also induces the in-gap state in the superconducting DOS [40, 49, 51, 55]. The DOS is

given by the imaginary part of the local Green function, which is expressed in eq. (8), as follows:

$$N(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}\{g_\alpha(\tilde{\omega}) + g_\beta(\tilde{\omega})\}. \quad (15)$$

If $n_{\text{imp}} \ll 1$, the obtained DOS will be reliable for any I and I' in the present T -matrix approximation. Figure 3 shows the DOS in the superconducting state in the case of $N_\alpha = N_\beta = 1$ and $\Delta_\alpha = -\Delta_\beta = 0.005$ for $n_{\text{imp}} = 0.008$. $|\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}| = 0.005$ corresponds to 90 K. Experimentally, in $\text{Ba}_{0.6}\text{K}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$, $|\Delta| = 11 \sim 12$ meV for α Fermi surface (hole-like) and for γ and δ Fermi surfaces (electron-like), and $|\Delta| = 5.8$ meV for β Fermi surface (hole-like) [18]. As shown in Fig. 3 (a), the superconducting gap is almost filled by the impurity-induced DOS when $I = I' = 0.25$ (Born regime), which corresponds to ~ 5000 K. In this case, the nuclear relaxation ratio $1/T_1$ shows a power-law temperature dependence since the impurity-induced DOS is approximately linear in ω , like in line-node superconductors.

Figure 4 shows $1/T_1$ below T_c for $I = I' = 0.25$, where $\sigma \equiv (\pi N I)^2 / (1 + (\pi N I)^2) = 0.38$. We put $|\Delta_{\alpha(\beta)}| = 0.005\sqrt{1 - T/T_c}$ and $T_c = 0.002$. The method of calculation is explained in Refs. [40, 55]. For $x = 1.0$ and 0.9 , $1/T_1$ is inside of T^2 - and T^3 -lines for $T_c > T > 0.1T_c$, consistently with the analysis by Parker et al. for $\sigma = 0.4$ [40]. In these cases, however, reduction in T_c due to impurities, which is given by n_{imp} times $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ in Fig. 1 (a), reaches 13 K. The estimated reduction in T_c would be *underestimated* since $-\Delta T_c/n_{\text{imp}}$ is an increase function of n_{imp} for $T_c \lesssim T_c^0/2$ [41, 47]. In all cases we have studied (Fig. 3 (a)-(d)), power-law behavior in $1/T_1$ for $T \ll T_c$ due to the gapless superconducting state always accompanies a sizable suppression in T_c , $-\Delta T_c \gtrsim 10$ K, for $|\Delta| = 90$ K. When $|\Delta| = 40$ K, the gapless superconducting state can be realized when $-\Delta T_c \sim 6$ K. Thus, it will be difficult to ascribe the experimental relation $1/T_1 \propto T^3$ below T_c [42–44] in clean samples with high T_c to the impurity effect.

In the intermediate ($I = 0.5$) or unitary ($I \geq 2$) regime, in Figs. 3 (b)-(d), a large impurity-induced DOS appears at the zero energy in the case of $x = 1$, which is consistent with previous theoretical studies [40, 55]. In this case, however, $-\Delta T_c \sim 13$ K for $n_{\text{imp}} = 0.008$. If we put $x \leq 0.9$, in contrast, $-\Delta T_c$ in the unitary regime is prominently reduced as shown in Fig. 1. At the same time, the impurity-induced DOS quickly moves to the gap edge and disappears, as demonstrated in Figs. 3 (c) and (d). The reason for these results is that the effective interband scattering is renormalized as $I'_{\text{eff}} \sim I' \cdot (IN)^{-2} \ll I'$ in the




FIG. 4: Obtained $1/T_1$ below T_c for $I = 0.25$ and $x = 0.7 \sim 1.0$. For $x \leq 0.8$, $1/T_1$ decreases much faster than T^3 at low temperatures because of the absence of the in-gap state near $\omega = 0$. Since the quasiparticle damping rate is $\gamma = n_{\text{imp}}\pi N(I^2 + I'^2)/\bar{A}$ [38], $\gamma/\Delta = 0.18$ for $x = 1$.

unitary regime [38].

Next, we study the case of $N_\alpha = 1, N_\beta = 0.5$. We put $\Delta_\alpha = 0.005$ and $\Delta_\beta = -0.0071$ by considering the relationship $|\Delta_\alpha/\Delta_\beta| \sim (N_\beta/N_\alpha)^{1/2}$ in the two-band BCS model with repulsive interband interaction, as explained in §II. Figure 5 (a), (b) show the DOS in the superconducting state for $n_{\text{imp}} = 0.008$. When $I = I' = 0.25$, in Fig. 5 (a), the impurity-induced DOS is reduced by changing N_β from 1 to 0.5, by comparing with Fig. 3 (a). As x decreases from unity, the impurity-induced DOS moves to the gap edge. In the intermediate ($I = 0.5$) or unitary ($I \geq 2$) regime, impurity-induced DOS covers the zero energy state in the case of $x = 1$ and $n_{\text{imp}} = 0.008$, as shown in Figs. 5 (b)-(d). However, finite gap appears around the Fermi level for $x \leq 0.9$. In the case of $I = 8$, in Fig. 5 (d), impurity-induced DOS is very large at $x = 1$, whereas it is strongly suppressed for $x \leq 0.9$. When the impurity concentration is very low ($n_{\text{imp}} \sim 0.001$), in-gap state deviates from $\omega = 0$ even if $x = 1$ as shown in insets in Figs. 5 (b)-(d), since $f_\alpha + f_\beta$ given in eq. (7) is non-zero in the case of $N_\alpha \neq N_\beta$ [41].




FIG. 5: Obtained DOS in the superconducting state for $N_\alpha = 1, N_\beta = 0.5$ and $\Delta_\alpha = 0.005, \Delta_\beta = -0.0071$, in the case of (a) $I = 0.25$, (b) $I = 0.5$, (c) $I = 2$, and (d) $I = 8$. Impurity concentration n_{imp} is 0.008. The insets show that impurity-induced DOS is always located at a finite energy for $n_{\text{imp}} = 0.001$.

IV. DISCUSSION

In the present paper, we studied the impurity effects on the s_\pm -wave superconducting state, which is expected to be realized in iron oxypnictide superconductors. There, nonmag-

netic impurities can induce both the in-gap bound state and the reduction in T_c . Based on the two-band BCS model, we have found that the zero-energy in-gap state emerge under the conditions that (i) $x \equiv |I'/I| = 1$ and (ii) $|I|N_\alpha, |I|N_\beta \gg 1$. Deviating from these conditions, in-gap state shifts to a finite energy, and disappears eventually.

Here, we discuss the case of unitary scattering: In iron oxypnictide superconductors, Fe substitution by other elements (such as Co, Ni, and Zn) will cause the unitary scattering potential. In this case, the impurity potential is diagonal with respect to the d -orbital [38]. The impurity potential has off-diagonal elements in the band-diagonal representation. As discussed in Ref. [38], $x \sim \langle \sum_d O_{d,\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) O_{d,\beta}(\mathbf{k}') \rangle_{\mathbf{k} \in \alpha, \mathbf{k}' \in \beta}^{\text{FS}}$, where $O_{d,\alpha}(\mathbf{k}) = \langle d; \mathbf{k} | \alpha; \mathbf{k} \rangle$ represents the transformation matrix between the orbital representation (orbital d) and the band-diagonal representation (band α). In iron oxypnictide superconductors, $x \sim 0.5$ since the hole-pockets are composed of d_{xz}, d_{yz} orbitals of Fe in the two-Fe unit cell, whereas half of the electron-pockets are composed of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals [38]. Since the impurity effect is weak except when $x = 1$ in the unitary regime as shown in Figs. 3 (d) and 5 (d), Fe substitution by other elements will affect the superconducting DOS and T_c only slightly.

We also discuss the case of Born scattering due to “in-plane” weak random potential or disorder: As shown in Figs. 3 (a) and (b), the impurity effect is rather insensitive to x . Therefore, a broad impurity-induced in-gap state will emerge in the superconducting DOS, and a sizable reduction in T_c occurs at the same time. Born impurity scattering will be also caused by “off-plane” impurities like the As substitution by other elements. In this case, the radius of impurity potential R for Fe sites will be about the unit cell length a . Then, the impurity scattering ($\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}'$) is restricted to $|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'| \lesssim 1/R \sim 1/a$. Since $|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'| \approx \pi/a$ in the interband scattering between electron-pockets and hole-pockets, I' should be much smaller than I . Therefore, the effect of off-plane impurities on the s_\pm -wave state will be small since the relationship $x \ll 1$ is expected to be realized.

In summary, in iron oxypnictide superconductors, Born or intermediate in-plane impurities cause prominent impurity effects since the s_\pm -wave state is violated by the interband scattering. Only one percent Born impurities with $x \gtrsim 0.5$ induce not only plenty of in-gap DOS, but also sizable reduction in T_c . For this reason, relation $1/T_1 \propto T^3$ below T_c observed in clean $\text{LaFeAsO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$ [42, 44] and in $\text{LaFeAsO}_{0.7}$ [43] samples, which would be almost absent from the impurity reduction in T_c , cannot be explained by the present analysis based on the isotropic BCS model. Thus, anisotropy in the s_\pm -wave superconducting gap

might be responsible for the relation $1/T_1 \propto T^3$ [45]. Recently, rapid suppression in $1/T_1$ ($\propto T^\alpha$; $\alpha > 5$) below T_c had been observed in a clean $\text{LaFeAsO}_{0.9}\text{F}_{0.1}$ sample with $T_c = 28$ K (=intrinsic T_c) [57]. This result is consistent with the penetration depth [14] and ARPES [15–18], and it is naturally explained by the present analysis. Theoretically, in fully gapped s -wave superconductor, the gap function becomes anisotropic due to magnetic fluctuations, in a way that the two superconducting gap minima are connected by the nesting vector [56]. In iron oxypnictides, the degree of anisotropy in the s_{\pm} -wave gap function is rather sensitive to model parameters such as the nesting condition [23, 25]. The wide variety of behaviors in $1/T_1$ would reflect the large sample dependence of the gap anisotropy in iron oxypnictide superconductors.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to M. Sato for enlightening discussions on the impurity effect and the gap anisotropy. We are also grateful to D.S. Hirashima, Y. Matsuda, T. Shibauchi, H. Aoki, K. Kuroki, R. Arita, Y. Tanaka, S. Onari and Y. Ōno for useful comments and discussions. This study has been supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from MEXT of Japan and from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, and by JST, TRIP.

-
- [1] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono: *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130** (2008) 3296.
 - [2] Z.-A. Ren, W. Lu, J. Yang, W. Yi, X.-L. Shen, Z.-C. Li, G.-C. Che, X.-L. Dong, L.-L. Sun, F. Zhou, and X.-X. Zhao: *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **25** (2008) 2215.
 - [3] X. H. Chen, T. Wu, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, H. Chen, and D. F. Fang: *Nature* **453** (2008) 761.
 - [4] G. F. Chen, Z. Li, D. Wu, G. Li, W. Z. Hu, J. Dong, P. Zheng, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 247002.
 - [5] H. Kito, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo: *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **77** (2008) 063707.
 - [6] H. Luetkens, H.-H. Klauss, M. Kraken, F. J. Litterst, T. Dellmann, R. Klingeler, C. Hess, R. Khasanov, A. Amato, C. Baines, J. Hamann-Borrero, N. Leps, A. Kondrat, G. Behr, J. Werner, and B. Buechner: arXiv:0806.3533.
 - [7] R. H. Liu, G. Wu, T. Wu, D. F. Fang, H. Chen, S. Y. Li, K. Liu, Y. L. Xie, X. F. Wang, R.

- L. Yang, L. Ding, C. He, D. L. Feng, and X. H. Chen: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 087001.
- [8] S. Kitao, Y. Kobayashi, S. Higashitaniguchi, M. Saito, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, T. Mitsui, H. Hosono, and M. Seto: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 103706.
- [9] J. Zhao, D.-X Yao, S. Li, T. Hong, Y. Chen, S. Chang, W. Ratcliff II, J. W. Lynn, H. A. Mook, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, E. W. Carlson, J. Hu, and P. Dai: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 167203 (2008).
- [10] Y. Qiu, M. Kofu, Wei Bao, S.-H. Lee, Q. Huang, T. Yildirim, J. R. D. Copley, J. W. Lynn, T. Wu, G. Wu, and X. H. Chen: Phys. Rev. B **78** 052508 (2008).
- [11] A. Kawabata, S.C. Lee, T. Moyoshi, Y. Kobayashi, and M. Sato: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 103704.
- [12] A. Kawabata, S.C. Lee, T. Moyoshi, Y. Kobayashi, and M. Sato: arXiv:0808.2912.
- [13] K. Matano, Z. A. Ren, X. L. Dong, L. L. Sun, Z. X. Zhao, and G.-Q. Zheng: Europhys. Lett. **83** (2008) 57001.
- [14] K. Hashimoto, T. Shibauchi, T. Kato, K. Ikada, R. Okazaki, H. Shishido, M. Ishikado, H. Kito, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, S. Shamoto, and Y. Matsuda: Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 017002 (2009); K. Hashimoto, T. Shibauchi, S. Kasahara, K. Ikada, T. Kato, R. Okazaki, C. J. van der Beek, M. Konczykowski, H. Takeya, K. Hirata, T. Terashima, Y. Matsuda: arXiv:0810.3506.
- [15] H. Liu, W. Zhang, L. Zhao, X. Jia, J. Meng, G. Liu, X. Dong, G.F. Chen, J.L. Luo, N.L. Wang, W. Lu, G. Wang, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhu, X. Wang, Z. Zhao, Z. Xu, C. Chen, and X.J. Zhou: Phys. Rev. B **78**, 184514 (2008).
- [16] H. Ding, P. Richard, K. Nakayama, T. Sugawara, T. Arakane, Y. Sekiba, A. Takayama, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, Z. Wang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang: Europhys. Lett. **83**, 47001 (2008).
- [17] T. Kondo, A.F. Santander-Syro, O. Copie, C. Liu, M.E. Tillman, E.D. Mun, J. Schmalian, S.L. Bud'ko, M.A. Tanatar, P.C. Canfield, and A. Kaminski: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 147003.
- [18] K. Nakayama et al. arXiv:0812.0663.
- [19] G. Mu, H. Luo, Z. Wang, L. Shan, C. Ren, and H.-H. Wen: arXiv:0808.2941.
- [20] D.J. Singh, and M.H. Du: Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 237003.
- [21] S. Ishibashi, K. Terakura, and H. Hosono: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 053709.
- [22] K. Nakamura, R. Arita, and M. Imada: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 093711.

- [23] K. Kuroki, S. Onari, R. Arita, H. Usui, Y. Tanaka, H. Kontani, and H. Aoki: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 087004.
- [24] I. I. Mazin, D.J. Singh, M.D. Johannes, and M.H. Du: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 057003.
- [25] F. Wang, H. Zhai, Y. Ran, A. Vishwanath, and D.-H. Lee: arXiv:0807.0498v4.
- [26] T. Nomura: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) Suppl. C, 123. T. Nomura: arXiv:0811.2462.
- [27] Y. Yanagi, Y. Yamakawa, and Y. Ōno: arXiv:0808.1192; Y. Yanagi, Y. Yamakawa, and Y. Ōno: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 123701.
- [28] H. Ikeda: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **77** (2008) No.123707.
- [29] V. Cvetkovic, and Z. Tesanovic: arXiv:0804.4678.
- [30] Y. Fuseya, T. Kariyado, and M. Ogata: arXiv:0811.3052.
- [31] Z. W. Zhu, Z. A. Xu, X. Lin, G. H. Cao, C. M. Feng, G. F. Chen, Z. Li, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang: New J. Phys. **10** (2008) 063021.
- [32] Y. Nakajima, H. Shishido, H. Nakai, T. Shibauchi, K. Behnia, K. Izawa, M. Hedo, Y. Uwatoko, T. Matsumoto, R. Settai, Y. Onuki, H. Kontani, and Y. Matsuda: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, 024703 (2007); Y. Nakajima, H. Shishido, H. Nakai, T. Shibauchi, M. Hedo, Y. Uwatoko, T. Matsumoto, R. Settai, Y. Onuki, H. Kontani, and Y. Matsuda: Phys. Rev. B **77**, 214504 (2008).
- [33] H. Kontani, K. Kanki, and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B **59** (1999) 14723; H. Kontani: Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 237003 (2002); S. Onari, H. Kontani, and Y. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 224434 (2006); H. Kontani, Rep. Prog. Phys. **71** (2008) 026501.
- [34] H. Kontani and M. Ohno: Phys. Rev. B **74**, 014406 (2006); H. Kontani and M. Ohno: J. Mag. Mag. Mat. **310**, 483 (2007).
- [35] A.S. Sefat, A. Huq, M.A. McGuire, R. Jin, B.C. Sales, and D. Mandrus: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 117004 (2008); A. Leithe-Jasper, W.Schnelle, C. Geibel, and H. Rosner: Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 207004 (2008).
- [36] L. J. Li, Q. B. Wang, Y. K. Luo, H. Chen, Q. Tao, Y. K. Li, X. Lin, M. He, Z. W. Zhu, G. H. Cao, and Z. A. Xu: arXiv:0809.2009.
- [37] Y. K. Li, X. Lin, C. Wang, L. J. Li, Z. W. Zhu, Q. Tao, M. He, Q. B. Wang, G. H. Cao, and Z. A. Xu: arXiv:0808.0328.
- [38] Y. Senga and H. Kontani: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 113710.
- [39] A.V. Chubukov, D. Efremov, and I. Eremin: Phys. Rev. B **78** (2008) 134512.

- [40] D. Parker, O.V. Dolgov, M.M. Korshunov, A.A. Golubov, and I.I. Mazin: Phys. Rev. B **78**, 134524 (2008).
- [41] Y. Bang, H.-Y. Choi, and H. Won: arXiv:0808.3473.
- [42] Y. Nakai, K. Ishida, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 073701.
- [43] H. Mukuda, N. Terasaki, H. Kinouchi, M. Yashima, Y. Kitaoka, S. Suzuki, S. Miyasaka, S. Tajima, K. Miyazawa, P. Shirage, H. Kito, H. Eisaki, and A. Iyo: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **77** (2008) 093704.
- [44] H.-J. Grafe, D. Paar, G. Lang, N. J. Curro, G. Behr, J. Werner, J. Hamann-Borrero, C. Hess, N. Leps, R. Klingeler, B. Buechner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 047003 (2008).
- [45] Y. Nagai, N. Hayashi, N. Nakai, H. Nakamura, M. Okumura, and M. Machida: New J. Phys. **10** (2008) 103026.
- [46] R.J. Schrieffer, *Theory of Superconductivity*, Benjamin, New York (1964).
- [47] P.B. Allen, and B. Mitrovic: Solid State Physics **37** (1982) 1.
- [48] O.V. Dolgov, I.I. Mazin, D. Parker and A.A. Golubov: arXiv:0810.1476.
- [49] G. Preosti and P. Muzikar: Phys. Rev. B **54** (1995) 3489; their one-band BCS model corresponds to the present two-band s_{\pm} wave model with $I = I'$ and $N_{\alpha} \neq N_{\beta}$.
- [50] M.L. Kubic and O.V. Dolgov: Phys. Rev. B **60** (1999) 13062.
- [51] Y. Ohashi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71** (2002) 1978; Y. Ohashi, Physica C **412-414** (2004) 41.
- [52] A.Y. Liu, I.I. Mazin, and J. Kortus: Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 087005 (2001).
- [53] B. Mitrovic, J. Phys.: Condens. Mat. **16** (2004) 9013.
- [54] E.J. Nicol and J.P. Carbotte: Phys. Rev. B **72** (2005) 014520.
- [55] Y. Bang and H.-Y. Choi: arXiv:0808.0302.
- [56] H. Kontani, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 054507 (2004).
- [57] Y. Kobayashi, A. Kawabata, S. C. Lee, T. Moyoshi, and M. Sato: arXiv:0901.2830.