Quantum criticality in the phase diagram of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$: Evidence from transport and thermoelectric measurements

Melissa Gooch¹, Bing Lv², Bernd Lorenz¹, Arnold M. Guloy², and Ching-Wu Chu^{1,3,4}

¹TCSUH and Department of Physics, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

² TCSUH and Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204, USA

³Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA and

 4 Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China

(Dated: November 8, 2018)

The electrical transport and thermoelectric properties of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ are investigated for $0 \le x \le 1$. The resistivity $\rho(T)$ shows a crossover from Fermi liquid-like temperature dependence at small x to linear $\rho \sim T$ dependence at $x_c \simeq 0.4$. With further increasing x, $\rho(T)$ becomes non-linear again. The thermoelectric power S(T) exhibits a similar crossover with increasing x with a logarithmic T-dependence, S/T~ln(T), near the critical doping x_c . These results provide evidence for a quantum critical behavior due to the coupling of low-energy conduction electrons to two-dimensional spin fluctuations.

PACS numbers: 64.70.Tg, 74.25.Fy, 74.62.Dh, 74.70.Dd

The discovery of superconductivity in quaternary rareearth transition-metal oxypnictides with transition temperatures up to 55 K and the existence of a spin density wave (SDW) phase in the non-superconducting parent compounds^{1,2,3,4,5,6} has stimulated extensive discussions about the coexistence of both states of matter, the role of magnetic order, its competition and mutual interaction with superconductivity, and the possible existence of unusual normal state properties and a quantum critical point in the phase diagram of FeAs-based compounds.^{7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15} The oxygenfree "122" compounds, $A_x Ae_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ with A=alkali metal and Ae=alkaline earth element, have been shown recently to form a solid solution over the whole compositional range $0 \le x \le 1$.^{16,17,18} The AeFe₂As₂ compounds are not superconducting but they exhibit a SDW transition at 172 K, 140 K, and 205 K for Ae=Ca, Sr, and Ba, respectively.^{19,20,21} With alkali metal doping the SDW transition temperature decreases and superconductivity is observed above a critical concentration of about 0.17 in $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2^{16}$ and $K_x Ba_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$.^{17,18} With further increasing x (hole doping) the superconducting T_c passes through a maximum at 37 K and decreases to 3.7 K for x=1 (KFe₂As₂). The $K_xAe_{1-x}Fe_2As_2$ superconductor is therefore the ideal system to probe the complete superconducting phase diagram and the mutual correlation with the SDW order and magnetic fluctuations.

It is not clear, however, if the magnetic and superconducting orders coexist and how the SDW phase boundary extends or extrapolates into the superconducting phase with increasing doping. Careful investigations of the phase diagrams of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2^{22,23}$ and $K_x Ba_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2^{17,18}$ suggest a narrow region between $x\simeq 0.17$ and $x\simeq 0.25$ where a SDW transition is followed by a superconducting transition upon decreasing temperature. Although the magnetic order is apparently suppressed with the onset of superconductivity, the extrapolation of the SDW phase boundary to T=0 would locate the quantum phase transition from the SDW phase to the

paramagnetic phase near a critical doping of $x_c \simeq 0.4$. In order to follow the magnetic phase to T=0 and to investigate the possible quantum critical point the superconductivity has to be suppressed, for example, by large external magnetic fields. However, this is difficult to achieve since the critical field of the superconducting phase is very large.^{16,23} Alternatively, the physical properties can be investigated in the temperature range above the suspected quantum critical point for the typical crossover signature that is expected in a quantum critical regime.

We have therefore studied the normal state electrical and thermoelectric transport properties in the whole phase diagram of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ for $0 \le x \le 1$. We observe a crossover from Fermi liquid behavior (x=0) to non-Fermi liquid temperature dependence of resistivity and thermoelectric power close to the critical doping x_c . The results are consistent with the expected temperature dependencies near a magnetic quantum critical point.

Polycrystalline samples of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ have been synthesized from the high-purity ternary compounds KFe₂As₂ and SrFe₂As₂, as described earlier.¹⁶ The careful mixing of the two end members at nominal ratios allows for a sensitive control of the composition (doping). The X-ray analysis confirms the phase purity of the solid solutions, characteristic spectra and their corresponding Rietveld refinement are shown in Fig. 1. All observed peaks are assigned to the I4/mmm structure. The continuous and nearly linear progression of the lattice parameters with increasing x (c increasing and a decreasing, inset to Fig. 1) proves that a uniform solid solution forms for all values of x. The resistivity was measured in a four probe configuration using the low-frequency (19) Hz) ac resistance bridge LR700 (Linear Research). The thermoelectric power was measured employing a highly sensitive ac (0.1 Hz) method with a typical resolution of $0.05 \ \mu V/K.^{24}$ The probe and the wires have been calibrated using a Hg high- T_c superconductor below 130 K and high purity lead between 130 and 300 K.

The resistivities of the complete series of

 $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ are shown in Fig. 2.Different curves are offset by a constant unit of 0.25 for better clarity. Both ternary compounds, KFe₂As₂ and SrFe₂As₂, show a strongly non-linear temperature dependence of the resistivity, $\rho(T)$. However, approaching the critical doping of $x_c \simeq 0.4$ the low-T part of the resistivity curve becomes increasingly linear indicating a crossover into a non-Fermi liquid regime. Fitting the low-temperature part (above T_c) of $\rho(T)$ to a simple power law, $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n$, an effective exponent n can be extracted from the data of Fig. 2. Although the fitted exponent may have an error of the order of 10 % it provides a qualitative picture of the crossover of the transport properties. The power law dependence of $\rho(T)$ with the exponents n is shown by dotted lines in Fig. 2. It also defines the temperature range for which the power law is valid. The exponent n decreases with x from about 2 to 1 near the critical x_c and then increases again to values close to 2, as shown in



FIG. 1: (Color online) Selected X-ray spectra of the system $K_xSr_{1-x}Fe_2As_2$ for x=0.15, 0.45, 0.7. The inset shows the change of lattice parameters a and c with x.



FIG. 2: (Color online) Resistivity of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ vs. temperature for $0 \le x \le 1$. For clarity different curves are vertically offset by 0.25 units. The labels mark the values of x. The dotted lines show the fit to a power law, $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n$ with the exponents n shown in Fig. 3.



FIG. 3: The resistivity exponent n as a function of x.

Fig. 3. This crossover behavior is consistent with the transport property expected at temperatures above a magnetic quantum critical point.²⁵ For example, in the high-T_c cuprate superconductors the linear $\rho(T)$ was observed above the superconducting dome and the non-Fermi liquid property was associated with the quantum critical point defined by the extrapolation of the pseudo gap temperature to T=0. In heavy Fermion compounds, e.g. CeCu_{6-x}Au_x, the deviations from

Fermi liquid properties have been observed frequently and the linear $\rho(T)$ was explained as a characteristic transport behavior in the quantum critical regime next to a magnetic quantum phase transition.²⁶ The main difference between the heavy Fermion compounds and the K_xSr_{1-x}Fe₂As₂ system is the energy scale of the magnetic fluctuations. Whereas in CeCu_{6-x}Au_x the typical magnetic transition temperatures are of the order of 1 K the SDW transition in SrFe₂As₂ takes place at 200 K, two orders of magnitude higher. Therefore, the quantum critical region in which clear deviations from the Fermi liquid model can be observed extends to higher temperatures.

The resistivity data presented in Fig. 2 and discussed above have been acquired for dense polycrystalline samples. It is not known whether the grain boundary contributions could affect the T-dependence of ρ and the exponent n in Fig. 3. The thermoelectric power S, however, is a zero current property and does not depend on grain boundaries as much as the resistivity. The temperature dependent data of S(T) throughout the phase diagram of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ are summarized in Fig. 4. S(T)/T is shown as a function of ln(T). All data can be separated into two groups, each group showing a consistent and characteristic T-dependence: (i) For x < 0.3the thermoelectric power S/T exhibits an almost identical T-dependence above the SDW transition. This is obvious from the data shown in Fig. 4a. The dashed circle indicates the relevant T-range above T_{SDW} . (ii) For x>0.5 the temperature dependence of S/T is similar for all x above the superconducting transition, as shown in Fig. 4b. The dashed circle emphasizes on this temperature range and the closeness of all data in the high doping range. S(T)/T as a function of ln(T) is non-linear in both cases, for x < 0.3 and x > 0.5. However, between the doping of 0.3 and 0.5 the thermoelectric power shows a distinct crossover between the characteristic T-dependence of group 1 (Fig. 4a) and group 2 (Fig. 4b). For $x \simeq 0.4$ S/T varies linearly with $\ln(T)$ over a large temperature range, from the superconducting $\mathrm{T}_c\simeq\!\!36~\mathrm{K}$ to about 180 K. The data in the crossover range are shown in more detail in Fig. 4c. The dashed line attached to the x=0.42data shows that $S/T \sim \ln(T)$ at this critical doping. The scaling property of the thermoelectric power, as revealed in Fig. 4c, is a strong signature of quantum criticality and it is consistent with the non-Fermi liquid like temperature dependence of the resistivity. It should be noted that a similar logarithmic dependence of S/T has been reported very recently in the hole-doped high-T_c cuprate superconductor, $La_{1.4-x}Nd_{0.4}Sr_xCuO_4$.²⁷

Thermodynamic and transport properties near magnetic quantum phase transitions have been investigated mostly in heavy Fermion systems like the above mentioned $\text{CeCu}_{6-x}\text{Au}_x$. Whereas the undoped parent compound, CeCu_6 , is nonmagnetic, the substitution of Au induces incommensurate antiferromagnetic order for x>0.1. Right at the critical concentration of x=0.1 the $\text{CeCu}_{6-x}\text{Au}_x$ system exhibits quantum critical scaling at



FIG. 4: (Color online) Scaling plot S/T vs. $\ln(T)$ of the thermoelectric power in the phase diagram of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$. (a) S/T for x<0.3, (b) S/T for x>0.5, and (c) S/T in the crossover region between x=0.3 and x=0.5. The dashed line in (c) shows the logarithmic scaling at the critical doping x_c .

finite temperatures as expressed in a linear T-dependence of resistivity and a logarithmic temperature dependence of the heat capacity, C/T.²⁶ Similar scaling properties have been found in other heavy Fermion compounds with a magnetic quantum critical point.²⁵ Since the typical scaling range in heavy Fermion compounds is of the order of 1 K the heat capacity is the entropy-related quantity that is experimentally accessible with the precision required to prove the quantum critical behavior (note that at higher temperatures the lattice contribution to the heat capacity masks the electronic part and makes it far more difficult, if not impossible, to extract accurately the electronic heat capacity that is expected to follow the scaling laws). In our high-T_c K_xSr_{1-x}Fe₂As₂ system, however, the low temperature region is not accessible because the superconducting state is stabilized and dominates the physical properties below T_c. Therefore, other physical quantities, like resistivity or thermoelectric power, had to be investigated with respect to quantum critical scaling properties.

The thermoelectric property near a quantum phase transition is less well investigated as compared to resistivity or heat capacity. For $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ (x=0.1) at low temperatures S(T) was reported to vary nonlinearly with T in contrast to the linear dependence expected for a Fermi liquid.²⁸ Theoretically, logarithmic scaling in the quantum critical regime of, for example, C/T is expected if the dimension of the critical fluctuations (d) equals the dynamical critical exponent (z).²⁵ The critical scaling properties of CeCu_{5.9}Au_{0.1} have been explained based on a scaling theory for d=2 and z=2.²⁹ Alternatively, based on a spin-Fermion model proposed by Abanov and Chubukov,³⁰ it has been shown that low-energy conduction electrons interacting with quasi-2d spin fluctuations give rise to a linear with temperature resistivity, a logarithmic T-dependence of the heat capacity C/T, and a similar logarithmic scaling of the thermoelectric power, $S/T \sim \ln(T)$.³¹ The $\ln(T)$ -dependence of S/T for $K_{0.42}Sr_{0.58}Fe_2As_2$ shown in Fig. 4b as well as the T-linear resistivity (Figs. 2 and 3) are consistent with the model of low-energy conduction electrons interacting with quasi-2d spin fluctuations. The lower dimensionality of the magnetic fluctuations finds its natural origin in the layered structure of the FeAs compounds with the magnetic Fe-ions confined to the Fe_2As_2 layers.³²

One question remaining is the origin of the magnetism and free carriers in FeAs compounds. While in typical heavy Fermion systems (e.g. $CeCu_6$) magnetic moments are introduced through localized f-electrons of the rare earth ions and conduction electrons are provided by transition metals, this is not necessarily the case in FeAs compounds since the electrons at the Fermi surface are mainly from hybridized orbitals of Fe and As with mainly d- or p-electron character. In the present $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ system there is no alternative source for magnetic moments than the Fe ions. A recent study of the "undoped" parent compounds proposed a separation of the electronic excitations into an "incoherent" part, further away from the Fermi surface and giving rise to local magnetic moments interacting with each other through frustrated superexchange coupling, and a "coherent" part in the vicinity of the Fermi surface.⁹ Recent electron spin resonance experiments on La(O,F)FeAs seem to support the existence of local magnetic moments, their coupling to itinerant electrons, and the presence of strong magnetic frustration.³³ The coherent carriers couple to the local moments and compete with the SDW order. Increasing the carrier concentration by doping can tune the system to a magnetic quantum phase transition. The theoretical treatment within a low energy Ginzburg-Landau theorv indeed describes an antiferromagnetic quantum phase transition with the dynamical critical exponent z=2 and the effective dimension d+z=4.9 However, the dimension and the nature of the spin fluctuations are important since logarithmic scaling is expected only for d=2, z=2or d=3, z=3.²⁵ For three dimensional magnetic fluctuations (d=3) the dynamical critical exponent has to be z=3 to explain the logarithmic scaling, as for example in the case of a metallic ferromagnet. The existence of ferromagnetic spin fluctuations in $LaO_{1-x}F_xFeAs$ has recently been proposed based on the large Wilson ratio.¹⁵ Which scenario applies to the system $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ remains an open question and has to be resolved in future investigations.

Our results obtained for the $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ system, linear T-dependence of resistivity and logarithmic Tdependence of S/T, are consistent with the expected scaling behavior of various thermodynamic and transport properties in the critical regime near a magnetic quantum phase transition.²⁵ The crossover properties of $\rho(T)$ and S/T throughout the phase diagram of $K_x Sr_{1-x} Fe_2 As_2$ and the scaling behavior near the critical doping x_c provide strong experimental support for the existence of a magnetic quantum critical regime above the superconducting T_c . This regime needs to be investigated further by extending the accessible temperature range to lower T. To this end the superconducting phase has to be suppressed. Since the critical fields appear to be too high to suppress the superconducting state, other control parameters such as pressure or chemical substitution may be considered as an alternative.

Acknowledgments

Stimulating discussions with S. Wirth and Q. Si are gratefully acknowledged. This work is supported in part by the T.L.L. Temple Foundation, the J.J. and R. Moores Endowment, the State of Texas through TCSUH, the USAF Office of Scientific Research, and at LBNL through USDOE. A.M.G. and B.L. acknowledge the support from the NSF (CHE-0616805) and the R.A. Welch Foundation.

- ¹ Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296 (2008).
- ² H. Takahashi, K. Igawa, K. Arii, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Nature **453**, 376 (2008).
- ³ X. H. Chen, T. Wu, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, H. Chen, and D. F. Fang, Nature **453**, 761 (2008).
- ⁴ G. F. Chen, Z. Li, D. Wu, G. Li, W. Z. Hu, J. Dong, P. Zheng, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett.

100, 247002 (2008).

- ⁵ Z. A. Ren, J. Yang, W. Lu, W. Yi, G. C. Che, X. L. Dong, L. L. Sun, and Z. X. Zhao, Mater. Sci. Innov. **12**, 105 (2008).
- ⁶ Z. A. Ren, J. Yang, W. Lu, W. Yi, X. L. Shen, Z. C. Li, G. C. Che, X. L. Dong, L. L. Sun, F. Zhou, and Z. X. Zhao, Europhys. Lett. **82**, 57002 (2008).
- ⁷ G. Giovannetti, S. Kumar, and J. van den Brink, Phys. B 403, 3653 (2008).
- ⁸ G. Xu, H. Zhang, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, arXiv:0807.1401 (unpublished).
- ⁹ J. Dai, Q. Si, J.-X. Zhu, and E. Abrahams, arXiv:0808.0305 (unpublished).
- ¹⁰ F. Ning, K. Ahilan, T. Imai, A. S. Sefat, R. Jin, M. A. McGuire, B. C. Sales, and D. Mandrus, arXiv:0811.1617 (unpublished).
- ¹¹ J.-H. Chu, J. G. Analytis, C. Kucharczyk, and I. R. Fisher, arXiv:0811.2463 (unpublished).
- ¹² S. Margadonna, Y. Takabayashi, M. T. McDonald, M. Brunelli, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, X. H. Chen, and K. Prassides, arXiv:0806.3962 (unpublished).
- ¹³ R. H. Liu, G. Wu, T. Wu, D. F. Fang, H. Chen, S. Y. Li, K. Liu, Y. L. Xie, X. F. Wang, R. L. Yang, L. Ding, C. He, D. L. Feng, and X. H. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 087001 (2008).
- ¹⁴ C. Hess, A. Kondrat, A. Narduzzo, J. E. Hamann-Borrero, R. Klingeler, J. Werner, G. Behr, and B. Büchner, arXiv:0811.1601 (unpublished).
- ¹⁵ Y. Kohama, Y. Kamihara, M. Hirano, H. Kawaji, T. Atake, and H. Hosono, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 020512(R) (2008).
- ¹⁶ K. Sasmal, B. Lv, B. Lorenz, A. Guloy, F. Chen, Y. Y. Xue, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 107007 (2008).
- ¹⁷ H. Chen, Y. Ren, Y. Qiu, W. Bao, R. H. Liu, G. Wu, T. Wu, Y. L. Xie, X. F. Wang, Q. Huang, and X. H. Chen, arXiv:0807.3950 (unpublished).
- ¹⁸ M. Rotter, M. Prangerl, M. Tegel, and D. Johrendt,

Angew. Chem, Int. Ed. 47, 7949 (2008).

- ¹⁹ A. I. Goldman, D. N. Argyriou, B. Ouladdiaf, T. Chatterji, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and R. J. McQueeney, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 100506(R) (2008).
- ²⁰ C. Krellner, N. Caroca-Canales, A. Jesche, H. Rosner, A. Ormeci, and C. Geibel, Phys. Rev. B 78, 100504(R) (2008).
- ²¹ M. Rotter, M. Tegel, D. Johrendt, I. Schellenberg, W. Hermes, and R. Pöttgen, Phys. Rev. B 78, 020503(R) (2008).
- ²² M. Gooch, B. Lv, B. Lorenz, A. M. Guloy, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 180508(R) (2008).
- ²³ B. Lv, M. Gooch, B. Lorenz, F. Chen, A. M. Guloy, and C. W. Chu, to be published.
- ²⁴ E. S. Choi, J. S. Brooks, J. S. Qualls, and Y. S. Song, Rev. Sci. Instr. **72**, 2392 (2001).
- ²⁵ H. v. Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wölfle, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1015 (2007).
- ²⁶ H. v. Löhneysen, S. Mock, A. Neubert, T. Pietrus, A. Rosch, A. Schröder, O. Stockert, and U. Tutsch, J. Mag. Mag. Mat. **177-181**, 12 (1998).
- ²⁷ R. Daou, O. Cyr-Choiniére, F. Lalibertè, D. LeBoeuf, N. Doiron-Leyraud, J.-Q. Yan, J.-S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough, and L. Taillefer, arXiv:0810.4280 (unpublished).
- ²⁸ J. Benz, C. Pfleiderer, O. Stockert, and H. v. Löhneysen, Physica B **259-261**, 380 (1999).
- ²⁹ A. Rosch, A. Schröder, O. Stockert, and H. v. Löhneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 159 (1997).
- ³⁰ A. Abanov and A. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5608 (2000).
- ³¹ I. Paul and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 184414 (2001).
- ³² Y. Qi and C. Xu, arXiv:0812.0016 (unpublished).
- ³³ T. Wu, J. J. Ying, G. Wu, R. H. Liu, Y. He, H. Chen, X. F. Wang, Y. L. Xie, Y. J. Yan, and X. H. Chen, arXiv:0811.2567 (unpublished).