

Classical QGP : III. The free energy

Sungtae Cho and Ismail Zahed*

Department of Physics and Astronomy

State University of New York, Stony Brook, NY, 11794

Abstract

We explore further the classical QGP using methods from classical liquids. The partition function of an ensemble of $SU(N_c)$ colored charge spheres is constructed. We analyze it using a cumulant expansion (low density) and a loop expansion (high temperature) after resumming the Debye screening effects. The pertinent free energies are derived in both limits and compared to recent molecular dynamics results.

arXiv:0812.1736v1 [nucl-th] 9 Dec 2008

*Electronic address: scho@grad.physics.sunysb.edu, zahed@zahed.physics.sunysb.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

Plasmas are statistical classical/quantum systems involving charge constituents. Notable are electromagnetic plasmas whereby the underlying constituents interact through long range Coulomb fields. The simplest theory of electromagnetic plasmas is the One Component Plasma (OCP) whereby the constituents are like (negative) charges embedded in a uniform and neutralizing unlike (positive) background. A number of analytical approaches to the OCP exist in the form of diagrammatic or field theoretical methods [1, 2]. These formal approaches form a useful theoretical corpus for understanding ionic liquids.

Ionic liquids are characterized by a short range repulsive core in addition to the long range Coulomb potential. The core is a scalar with a range of the order of the interparticle distance, while the Coulomb attraction is vectorial with infinite range. Reference liquids are described by a repulsive pair potential $w(x) = \infty$ for $x < \sigma$ and $w(x) = 0$ for $x > \sigma$ where σ is the diameter of a hard sphere. The Coulomb potential is generally viewed as a *perturbation* added to the repulsive core. Many models of ionic liquids have been developed to accommodate arbitrary charges and cores such as the Primitive Model (PM). Spinoffs are the Restricted Primitive Model (RPM) with opposite charge pairs and the same core, and the Special Primitive Model (SPM) with arbitrary charges and the same core.

The Classical Quark Gluon Plasma (cQGP) as developed by Gelman, Shuryak and Zahed can be regarded as an example of an SPM model in ionic liquids, albeith with *non-Abelian* colored charges [3]. The nature of the core in the CQGP is quantum mechanical and thus assumed. Detailed molecular dynamics simulations of the cQGP [3] have shown a strongly coupled plasma for $\Gamma = V/K \approx 1$, i.e. whenever the potential energy is of the order of the kinetic energy. The classical and colored cQGP maybe in a liquid state at moderate values of Γ prompting us to use methods for classical liquids to analyze it.

This paper is the first of a series of sequels to [3] to develop analytical methods to address the many-body dynamics of the cQGP. In section 2, we define the partition function of the cQGP as a classical but colored liquid. In section 3 and 4, we work out the partition function in the low density limit through a cumulant expansion after resumming the Debye screening effects. In section 5, we discuss a high temperature expansion. In section 6, we detail a diagrammatic or loop expansion for the cQGP that is justified at high temperatures. We

carry the expansion to three loops. In section 7, we unwind the free energies both in the loop and density expansions. In section 8, we compare the excess free energies to recent molecular dynamics simulations. Our conclusions are in section 9. Useful definitions and color integrations are given in the Appendices.

II. THE GRAND CANONICAL PARTITION FUNCTION FOR CQGP

The cQGP has been defined in [3]. It consists of classical particles of like-mass m with 3-position $\vec{x}_i(t)$ and 3-momentum $\vec{p}_i(t)$ with $N_c^2 - 1$ adjoint colored charges $Q_i^\alpha(t)$ and fixed $N_c - 1$ Casimirs. Because of the constraints, the color variables are Darboux's type as summarized in the Appendix. (see also [4]). Particle motion in the cQGP is treated classically through

$$\begin{aligned} m \frac{dx_i^\mu(t)}{dt} &= p_i^\mu(t) \\ m \frac{dp_i^\mu(t)}{dt} &= g Q_i^\alpha F_a^{\mu\nu}(x_i) p_{i\nu}(t) \\ m \frac{dQ_i^\alpha(t)}{dt} &= -g f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} p_i^\mu(t) A_\mu^\beta(x_i) Q_i^\gamma(t) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.1})$$

where we have trivially covariantized the notations. The repulsive effects of the core is subsumed [3]. A^μ is the gauge field on the i th particle due to all other particles, $F_a^{\mu\nu}$ is its field strength, and $f^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ the pertinent structure constant for $SU(N_c)$. The last relation is Wong's equation [5]. The magnetic contribution to the Lorentz force is suppressed by v/c and dropped in the electric cQGP. cQGP simulations with both electric and magnetic charges can be found in [6].

The Hamiltonian for the cQGP reads

$$H = \sum_{a,i} \frac{p_{a,i}^2}{2m_a} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \sum_{a,i \neq a',j} \frac{Q_{a,i}^\alpha Q_{a',j}^\alpha}{|\vec{x}_{a,i} - \vec{x}'_{a',j}|} + V_{core} \quad (\text{II.2})$$

where the core potential is now explicit. The double sum is over species a (to be set to 3 below) and particle index $i = 1, \dots, N$. In terms of the color charge density

$$\rho^\alpha(\vec{r}) = \sum_{a,i} Q_{a,i}^\alpha \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{a,i}) \quad (\text{II.3})$$

the grand partition function for the cQGP is

$$Z = \sum_{N_a} \int \prod_a \frac{1}{N_a!} \prod_{a,i}^{N_a} (dQ_{a,i} d^3 r_{a,i} n_a) \prod_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \beta \int d^3 r d^3 r' \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) v(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}') \right) \quad (\text{II.4})$$

with

$$v(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} \quad (\text{II.5})$$

and the free particle density

$$n_a = g_a \frac{1}{\Lambda_a^3} e^{\beta \mu_a} . \quad (\text{II.6})$$

g_a is the degeneracy factor and μ_a^{α} the chemical potential of species a . The factor of Λ_a^{-3} is the thermal wavelength resulting from the momentum integral over phase space. The repulsive core potential is set to be

$$w(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = \begin{cases} \infty & (|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| < \sigma) \\ 0 & (|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| > \sigma) \end{cases} \quad (\text{II.7})$$

with a core size σ to prevent classical collapse. In reality, the core emerges from Coulomb repulsion between like-particles or the quantum uncertainty repulsion between unlike/like particles. With this in mind, we can rewrite (II.4) in the form

$$Z = \sum_{N_a} \int \prod_a \frac{1}{N_a!} \prod_{a,i}^{N_a} (dQ_{a,i} d^3 r_{a,i} n_a) \prod_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \beta \int d^3 r d^3 r' \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) v(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}') \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} w_0} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r d^3 r' \rho(\vec{r}) w(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho(\vec{r}') \right) \quad (\text{II.8})$$

with the number density $\rho(\vec{r}) = \sum_{a,i} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_{a,i})$. $w_0 = w(0)$ is identified with the self-energy.

The repulsive core (II.7) acts like the potential between two hard spheres with diameter $R = \sigma$. Thus, we may treat each particle classically as a rigid sphere of diameter R with uniform color charge Q^{α} on the surface,

$$Q q(\vec{x}) = \frac{Q}{\pi R^2} \delta(|\vec{x}| - R/2) \quad (\text{II.9})$$

The repulsive core (II.7) is now generated classically as the Coulomb repulsion between the rigid spheres [7]. For two spheres located at \vec{r} and \vec{r}' this is

$$W(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = \int d\vec{x}d\vec{y}q(|\vec{r} - \vec{x}|) \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{y}|} q(|\vec{r}' - \vec{y}|) . \quad (\text{II.10})$$

The grand canonical partition function follows in the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{N_a} \int \prod_a \frac{1}{N_a!} \prod_{a,i}^{N_a} (dQ_{a,i} d^3r_{a,i} n_a) e^{\gamma(N_c^2-1)W_0} e^{-v_{HS}} \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \int d^3r d^3r' \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) W(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}')\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{II.11})$$

where the Coulomb self energy is now $W_0 = W(\vec{0})/2$ and γ_a

$$\gamma_a = \beta \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \sum_{\alpha} Q_a^{\alpha 2} \quad (\text{II.12})$$

III. LIQUID OF COLORED HARD SPHERES

To analyze (II.11) we first consider a dilute cQGP with N_c colors. Performing $(N_c^2 - 1)$ times the Sine-Gordon transform on (II.11) yields

$$\exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2} \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \int d\vec{r}d\vec{r}' \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) W(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \rho^{\alpha}(\vec{r}')\right) = \langle \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} i\left(\beta \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} \int d\vec{r} \rho^{\alpha}(r) \phi^{\alpha}(r)\right) \rangle_W \quad (\text{III.1})$$

where the averaging on the RHS (right hand side) is carried using the measure

$$\langle \dots \rangle_W = \frac{\int [\prod_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} d\phi^{\alpha}] (\dots) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \int d\vec{r}d\vec{r}' \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) W^{-1}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}')\right)}{\int [\prod_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} d\phi^{\alpha}] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \int d\vec{r}d\vec{r}' \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) W^{-1}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}')\right)} \quad (\text{III.2})$$

To proceed further, we define

$$\tilde{v}_a = \beta \tilde{\mu}_a = \beta \mu_a + (N_c^2 - 1) \gamma W_0 \quad \tilde{n}_a = n_a e^{(N_c^2-1)\gamma W_0} e^{i\left(\beta \frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \sum_{a,i} Q_{a,i}^{\alpha} \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}_{a,i})} \quad (\text{III.3})$$

as the renormalized chemical potential and species densities respectively. In terms of which the grand canonical partition function is now

$$Z = \sum_{N_a} \int \prod_a \frac{1}{N_a!} \prod_{a,i}^{N_a} (dQ_{a,i} d^3 r_{a,i} \langle \tilde{n}_a \rangle_W) e^{-v_{HS}} \equiv \langle Z_{HS} \rangle_W \quad (\text{III.4})$$

$e^{-v_{HS}}$ is short for the Gaussian measure in (III.2). (III.2) can be Taylor expanded around the mean density n_a (see below). The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left(\frac{Z}{Z_{HS}} \right) &= \sum_{n=1} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n} \int (d^3 r_{a_1} dQ_{a_1}) \cdots (d^3 r_{a_n} dQ_{a_n}) \\ &\times \frac{\delta^n \ln Z}{\delta \tilde{n}_{a_1}(\vec{r}_{a_1}) \cdots \delta \tilde{n}_{a_n}(\vec{r}_{a_n})} \Big|_{\tilde{n}_{a_i}(\vec{r}_{a_i})=n_a} \prod_{i=1}^n (\tilde{n}_{a_i}(\vec{r}_{a_i}) - n_a) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.5})$$

If we were to assume that the species chemical potentials are all the same $\mu_{a_1} = \cdots = \mu_{a_n} = \mu_a$, then in the free particle case

$$\mu_0 = \mu_a + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(3), \quad n_0 = 3n_a = 3\lambda \quad (\text{III.6})$$

We have set the particle species to 3 to account classically for quarks, anti-quarks and gluons all of equal constituent thermal mass m for simplicity. In terms of (III.6) the integrand in (III.3) can be identified with the classical correlation function $h_0^{(n)}$ of a liquid of hard spheres [7]

$$n_a^n \frac{\delta^n \ln Z}{\delta \tilde{n}_{a_1}(\vec{r}_{a_1}) \cdots \delta \tilde{n}_{a_n}(\vec{r}_{a_n})} \Big|_{\tilde{n}_{a_i}(\vec{r}_{a_i})=n_a} = \frac{\rho_0^n}{3^n} h_0^{(n)}(\vec{r}_{a_1}, \dots, \vec{r}_{a_n}) = \rho_0^n h_0^{(n)}(\vec{r}_{a_1}, \dots, \vec{r}_{a_n}) \quad (\text{III.7})$$

with the number density

$$\rho_0' = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \ln Z_{HS}}{\partial \nu_0} \quad (\text{III.8})$$

Thus, the partition function for a colored liquid of hard spheres now read

$$\ln \left(\frac{Z}{Z_{HS}} \right) = \langle \exp(-U[\phi^\alpha]) \rangle_W = \mathcal{N}_W^{-1} \int [\prod_\alpha d\phi^\alpha] \exp(-S[\phi^\alpha]) \quad (\text{III.9})$$

with

$$\mathcal{N}_W = \int [\prod_\alpha d\phi^\alpha] \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha^{N_c^2-1} \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}) W^{-1}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}') \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S[\phi^\alpha] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}) W^{-1}(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}') + \sum_{n=1} U_n[\phi^\alpha] \\
U_n[\phi^\alpha] &= -\frac{\rho_0^n}{n!} \sum_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n} \int (d^3 r_{a_1} dQ_{a_1}) \cdots (d^3 r_{a_n} dQ_{a_n}) h_0^{(n)} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\tilde{n}_{a_i}(\vec{r}_{a_i})}{n_a} - 1 \right) \quad (\text{III.10})
\end{aligned}$$

IV. CUMULANT EXPANSION

To perform a cumulant expansion at low density, we add and subtract the Hartree part in $U[\phi]$ through

$$U[\phi^\alpha] = (U[\phi^\alpha] - U_0[\phi^\alpha]) + U_0[\phi^\alpha] \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

with

$$U_0[\phi^\alpha] = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \sum_a \gamma_a \sum_{\alpha} \int d\vec{r} \phi^\alpha(\vec{r})^2, \quad (\text{IV.2})$$

yields the identity

$$\langle \exp(-U[\phi^\alpha]) \rangle_W = \frac{\mathcal{N}_X}{\mathcal{N}_W} \langle \exp(-U[\phi^\alpha]) \rangle_X \quad (\text{IV.3})$$

with $X^{-1} = W^{-1} + \rho_0 \sum_a \gamma_a \mathbf{1}$. The normalizations in (IV.2) is explicitly

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_X}{\mathcal{N}_W} = \exp\left(-\frac{V}{2}(N_c^2 - 1) \int \frac{d\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \ln(1 + \rho_0 \sum_a \gamma_a \tilde{W}(\vec{q}))\right) \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

The Fourier transform of the core $\tilde{W}(q)$ is related to the Fourier transform $\tilde{X}(q)$ as

$$\tilde{X}(q) = \frac{\tilde{W}(q)}{1 + \rho_0 \gamma \tilde{W}(\vec{q})} = \frac{\sin^2(qR/2)}{(qR/2)^2} \frac{4\pi}{q^2 + \kappa_0^2 \frac{\sin^2(qR/2)}{(qR/2)^2}} \quad (\text{IV.5})$$

with

$$\kappa_0^2 = 4\pi \sum_a \gamma_a \rho_0 = \beta g^2 \rho_0 \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \sum_a \sum_{\alpha} Q_a^{\alpha 2} \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

All in all the partition function for a colored liquid of hard spheres with their color charges smeared on the surface is

$$-\frac{\ln Z}{V} = -\frac{\ln Z_{HS}}{V} + \frac{1}{2}(N_c^2 - 1) \int \frac{d^3\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \ln(1 + \rho_0 \sum_a \gamma_a \tilde{W}(\vec{q})) - \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n=1} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \langle \mathcal{H}^n[\phi^\alpha] \rangle_{X,c} \quad (\text{IV.7})$$

with $\mathcal{H}[\phi^\alpha] = U[\phi^\alpha] - U_0[\phi^\alpha]$ and $\langle \dots \rangle_{X,c}$ denotes a cumulant average. At low density, the grand partition function is dominated by the first cumulants

$$-\frac{\ln Z}{V} = -\frac{\ln Z_{HS}}{V} + w_1 + w_2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_0^3) \quad (\text{IV.8})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(N_c^2 - 1) \int \frac{d\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \ln(1 + \rho_0 \sum_a \gamma_a \tilde{W}(\vec{q})) \\ w_2 &= \frac{1}{V} \langle \mathcal{H}[\phi^\alpha] \rangle_X - \frac{\langle \mathcal{H}^2[\phi^\alpha] \rangle_X - \langle \mathcal{H}[\phi^\alpha] \rangle_X^2}{2V} \\ \mathcal{H} &= U_1[\phi^\alpha] + U_2[\phi^\alpha] - U_0[\phi^\alpha] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.9})$$

The leading or zeroth order cumulant is known from hard sphere liquid analysis [1]

$$-\frac{\ln Z_{HS}}{V} = -\rho'_0 - \frac{2\pi}{3} \rho_0^2 \sigma^3 - \frac{5}{18} \pi^2 \rho_0^3 \sigma^6 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_0^4) \quad (\text{IV.10})$$

with $\rho'_0 = 3\rho_0$. The first cumulant reads

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= (N_c^2 - 1) \rho_0 \sum_a \gamma_a W(0) \\ &= -(N_c^2 - 1) \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\pi} \rho_0^{3/2} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{3/2} + (N_c^2 - 1) \frac{7}{15} \pi \rho_0^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^2 R \\ &\quad - (N_c^2 - 1) \frac{1}{3} \pi^{3/2} \rho_0^{5/2} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{5/2} R^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho_0^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.11})$$

The Gaussian averaging in the second cumulant can be carried out. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= -(N_c^2 - 1) \frac{\rho_0}{R} \sum_a \gamma_a - \frac{1}{2} (N_c^2 - 1) \rho_0 \sum_a \Delta_{a0} + \rho_0 \left(3 - \sum_a e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2 - 1) \Delta_{a0}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho_0^2}{4} \left(\sum_a \gamma_a (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2 - 1) \Delta_{a0}}) \right)^2 \int d\vec{r} X^2(r) - \frac{1}{2} \rho_0^2 \left(3 - \sum_a e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2 - 1) \Delta_{a0}} \right)^2 \tilde{h}_0(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{4}\rho_0^2(N_c^2 - 1)\left(\sum_a \gamma_a e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2-1)\Delta_{a0}}\right)^2 \int d\vec{r} X^2(r) h_0^{(2)}(r) \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\rho_0^2 \sum_{a,a'} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2-1)\Delta_{a0}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2-1)\Delta_{a'0}} \int d\vec{r} dQ_a dQ_{a'} \right. \\
& \left. \times \left(e^{-\beta \frac{\rho_0^2}{4\pi} X(r)} \sum_\alpha Q_a^\alpha Q_{a'}^\alpha - 1 - \frac{1}{2}(N_c^2 - 1) \gamma_a \gamma_{a'} X^2(r) \right) (h_0^{(2)}(r) + 1) \right) \quad (\text{IV.12})
\end{aligned}$$

with $\Delta_{a0} = \gamma_a X(0) - 2\gamma_a/R$ and $\tilde{h}_0(0)$ the 3D Fourier transform of $h_0^{(2)}(r)$,

$$\Delta_{a0} = \gamma_a X(0) - 2\frac{\gamma_a}{R} = \gamma_a \left(-\kappa_0 + \frac{7}{15}\kappa_0^2 R - \frac{5}{24}\kappa_0^3 R^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa_0^4) \right) \quad (\text{IV.13})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_a X(r) &= 2\frac{\gamma_a}{R} - \frac{\gamma_a}{R^2}r - \gamma_a \kappa_0 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa_0^2) \quad (r < \sigma) \\
\gamma_a X(r) &= \gamma_a \frac{\sin^2(\kappa_0 R/2)}{(\kappa_0 R/2)^2} \frac{1}{r} e^{(-\kappa_0 r)} + \mathcal{O}(\kappa_0^2) \quad (r > \sigma) \quad (\text{IV.14})
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all the results up to the second cumulant in powers of the original activity $n_a(=\lambda)$ we have for $N_c = 2$

$$-\frac{\sigma^3 \ln Z}{V} = -3\tilde{\lambda} - 2\sqrt{\pi}\tilde{\lambda}^{3/2} \left(\sum_a \epsilon_a \right)^{3/2} - \tilde{\lambda}^2 \left(\frac{9}{2}\pi \sum_a \epsilon_a \sum_a \epsilon_a^2 + B_2' \right) + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\lambda}^{5/2}) \quad (\text{IV.15})$$

up to order $\lambda^{5/2}$, with

$$B_2' = \frac{\pi}{24\epsilon} \sum_{a,a'} \left(54\epsilon^4 \int_0^{3\epsilon} \frac{\sinh t}{t} dt + e^{3\epsilon}(-2 - 2\epsilon - 3\epsilon^2 - 9\epsilon^3) + e^{-3\epsilon}(2 - 2\epsilon + 3\epsilon^2 - 9\epsilon^3) \right) \quad (\text{IV.16})$$

where $\epsilon_a = \frac{\gamma_a}{\sigma}$, $\epsilon = \sqrt{\epsilon_a \epsilon_{a'}}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} = \sigma^3 \lambda$. We have set $h_0^{(2)}(r) = -1$ for $r < \sigma$ and $h_0^{(2)}(r) = 0$ otherwise, and used

$$\rho_0 = \frac{\rho_0'}{3} = \frac{n_0}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_0} \left(\frac{\ln Z_{HS}}{V} \right) = \frac{n_0}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{4\pi}{3} \sigma^3 n_0^2 + \mathcal{O}(n_0^3) = \lambda - 3\frac{4\pi}{3} \sigma^3 \lambda^2 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \quad (\text{IV.17})$$

The correction of order $\lambda^{5/2}$ is straightforward but tedious. For any N_c we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(N_c^2 - 1)^3 \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_a \gamma_a^2)^2 - (N_c^2 - 1)^3 \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{6} \sum_a \gamma_a^3 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& + (N_c^2 - 1) (\sum_a \gamma_a)^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} (N_c^2 - 1)^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a^2) (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \\
& - \sqrt{\pi} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{1/2} \sum_{a,a'} \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} d^3 \vec{r} \left(\frac{r}{\gamma_{aa'} (N_c^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{r}}} \sinh(\gamma_{aa'} (N_c^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{r}}) - r \cosh(\gamma_{aa'} (N_c^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{r}}) \right) \\
& + \frac{(\gamma_a + \gamma_{a'})}{2\gamma_{aa'}^{\frac{1}{r}}} \sinh(\gamma_{aa'} (N_c^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{r}}) + \frac{1}{2} (N_c^2 - 1) (\gamma_a + \gamma_{a'}) + \gamma_a \gamma_{a'} (N_c^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
& - \frac{1}{4} (\gamma_a + \gamma_{a'}) \gamma_a \gamma_{a'} (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \frac{1}{r^2} \tag{IV.18}
\end{aligned}$$

The left out integration is divergent. For $N_c = 2$, the result is logarithmically divergent

$$\frac{54}{5} \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_a \gamma_a^2)^2 \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\sigma}\right) \tag{IV.19}$$

where Λ is an infrared cutoff in the radial direction. This result is in total agreement with the result obtained earlier in [8] using a method that did not account for hard spheres and the smearing of the color charge. For arbitrary N_c the order $\lambda^{5/2}$ correction is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(N_c^2 - 1)^3 \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_a \gamma_a^2)^2 - (N_c^2 - 1)^3 \frac{\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{6} \sum_a \gamma_a^3 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& + (N_c^2 - 1) (\sum_a \gamma_a)^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2} (N_c^2 - 1)^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a^2) (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \sigma \\
& + \frac{54}{5} \pi^{\frac{3}{2}} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_a \gamma_a^2)^2 \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\sigma}\right) \tag{IV.20}
\end{aligned}$$

V. HIGH TEMPERATURE EXPANSION

Another useful way to analyze (III.9-III.10) is through a high temperature expansion which parallels the cumulant (virial) expansion. For that we order the last part of $U_n[\phi^\alpha]$ in (III.10) in powers of β as in [9]. For that we define $\gamma' = \beta g^2/4\pi$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\tilde{n}_{a_i}(\vec{r}_{a_i})}{n_a} - 1 &= \gamma_a (N_c^2 - 1) \frac{1}{R} + i\gamma'^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\alpha} Q_a^{\alpha} \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) - \gamma' \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} Q_a^{\alpha} Q_a^{\alpha'} \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) \phi^{\alpha'}(\vec{r}) \\
&+ \gamma_a^2 \frac{1}{2} (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \frac{1}{R^2} - \gamma_a \gamma' \frac{1}{2} (N_c^2 - 1) \frac{1}{R} \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} Q_a^{\alpha} Q_a^{\alpha'} \phi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}) \phi^{\alpha'}(\vec{r})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{3!} i^3 \gamma'^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{\alpha, \alpha', \alpha''} Q_a^\alpha Q_a^{\alpha'} Q_a^{\alpha''} \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}) \phi^{\alpha'}(\vec{r}) \phi^{\alpha''}(\vec{r}) \\
& + \frac{1}{4!} \gamma'^2 \sum_{\alpha, \alpha', \alpha'', \alpha'''} Q_a^\alpha Q_a^{\alpha'} Q_a^{\alpha''} Q_a^{\alpha'''} \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}) \phi^{\alpha'}(\vec{r}) \phi^{\alpha''}(\vec{r}) \phi^{\alpha'''}(\vec{r}) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{5}{2}}) \quad (\text{V.1})
\end{aligned}$$

The rules for color averaging are summarized in Appendix B. For instance, using (B.7) and (B.17) we have

$$\frac{1}{V} \langle U_1[\phi^\alpha] \rangle_X = \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \sum_a \Delta_{a0} (N_c^2 - 1) - \frac{1}{8} \rho_0 \sum_a \Delta_{a0}^2 (N_c^2 - 1)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^3) \quad (\text{V.2})$$

in leading order. In particular, the second cumulant at high temperature is

$$\begin{aligned}
w_2 = & -(N_c^2 - 1) \frac{1}{R} \sum_a \gamma_a \rho_0 - \frac{1}{8} (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \rho_0^2 \tilde{h}_0(0) (\sum_a \Delta_{a0})^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{8} \rho_0 (\sum_a \Delta_{a0})^2 (N_c^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (N_c^2 - 1) \rho_0^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^2 \int d^3 \vec{r} h_0^{(2)}(r) X^2(\vec{r}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \rho_0^2 \gamma'^3 \frac{q_3}{4} (\sum_a)^2 \int d^3 \vec{r} (h_0^{(2)}(r) + 1) X^3(\vec{r}) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{7}{2}}). \quad (\text{V.3})
\end{aligned}$$

The last term contributes only for $N_c > 2$ with q_3 being the cubic Casimir say for SU(3) as detailed in Appendix B.

After collecting all terms and replacing $X(r)$ with $\frac{1}{r} e^{-\kappa_0 r}$ in the limit $R \rightarrow 0$, we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\ln Z}{V} = & -\frac{\ln Z_{HS}}{V} - \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3} (N_c^2 - 1) \rho_0^{3/2} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{3/2} \\
& - \frac{1}{4} (N_c^2 - 1) \rho_0^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^2 \int d^3 \vec{r} h_0^{(2)}(r) \frac{1}{r^2} + \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) \rho_0^{\frac{5}{2}} (\sum_a \gamma_a)^{\frac{5}{2}} \int d^3 \vec{r} h_0^{(2)}(r) \frac{1}{r} \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \pi (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \rho_0^3 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^3 \int d^3 \vec{r} h_0^{(2)}(r) - 2\pi (N_c^2 - 1) \rho_0^3 (\sum_a \gamma_a)^3 \int d^3 \vec{r} h_0^{(2)}(r) \\
& - \frac{\pi}{2} (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \rho_0^2 (\sum_a \gamma_a^2) (\sum_a \gamma_a) \\
& + \rho_0^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^3 (\sum_a)^2 \beta^3 \frac{q_3}{4} \int d^3 \vec{r} (h_0^{(2)}(r) + 1) \frac{1}{r^3} e^{-3(4\pi\rho_0(\sum_a \gamma_a))^{\frac{1}{2}} r} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{7}{2}}). \quad (\text{V.4})
\end{aligned}$$

Below we show that this result yields a high temperature free energy for the cQGP that is identical to the one following from the loop expansion with an infinite core (II.7) with $h_0^{(2)}(r) = -\theta(\sigma - r)$.

VI. LOOP EXPANSION

The loop expansion of (II.8) is best captured by reorganizing the expansion around the Debye-screened solution. This expansion is identical with the high temperature expansion of the hard sphere liquid in the limit of zero size spheres. The finite size case will be derived by inspection. With this in mind, we can perform and perform the Hubbard-Stratonovitch transform on the colored interaction part of (II.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta\int d\vec{r}d\vec{r}'\rho^\alpha(\vec{r})v(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')\rho^\alpha(\vec{r}')\right) \\ &= \left(\beta\det(v^{-1})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int[d\phi^\alpha]\exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2}\int d\vec{r}\phi^\alpha(\vec{r})v^{-1}\phi^\alpha(\vec{r})\right)\exp\left(i\beta\int d\vec{r}\rho^\alpha(\vec{r})\phi^\alpha(\vec{r})\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.1})$$

and similarly for the core part in (II.8). The partition function for the cQGP reads

$$Z = \left(\beta\det(v'^{-1})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2-1)}\left(\det(w^{-1})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int\prod_\alpha^{N_c^2-1}[d\phi^\alpha][d\psi]e^{-S} \quad (\text{VI.2})$$

with the induced action

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_\alpha^{N_c^2-1}\frac{\beta}{2}\int d\vec{r}\phi^\alpha(\vec{r})v^{-1}\phi^\alpha(\vec{r})+\frac{1}{2}\int d\vec{r}\psi(\vec{r})w^{-1}\psi(\vec{r}) \\ &\quad -\sum_a\int dQ_a d\vec{r}n_a e^{i\beta\sum_\alpha^{N_c^2-1}Q_a\phi^\alpha(\vec{r})+i\psi(\vec{r})+\frac{1}{2}w_0} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.3})$$

Here w_0 is the divergent self-energy. For simplicity the colored particles are point-like throughout.

If we introduce the screened Coulomb potential

$$v_{DH}(\vec{r}) = \beta\frac{g^2}{4\pi r}e^{-\kappa_a r} \quad (\text{VI.4})$$

with the squared Debye wave number,

$$\kappa_a^2 = \frac{g^2}{N_c^2-1}\sum_a n_a\beta\sum_\alpha^{N_c^2-1}Q_a^{\alpha 2} \quad (\text{VI.5})$$

then the induced action (VI.3) can be split into a screened part S_0 (quadratic in the fields) and an interaction part S_I (rest). Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \sum_a^{N_c^2-1} \int d\vec{r} \left(-\frac{1}{N_c^2-1} \sum_a n_a + \frac{\beta}{2} \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}) (v^{-1} + \frac{\kappa_a^2}{g^2}) \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int d\vec{r} \psi(\vec{r}) w^{-1} \psi(\vec{r}) \\
&\quad - \sum_a \int dQ_a d\vec{r} n_a \left(e^{i\beta \sum_a Q_a^\alpha \phi^\alpha(\vec{r}) + i\psi(\vec{r}) + \frac{1}{2} w_0} - 1 + \frac{1}{2} (\beta^2 \sum_{\alpha, \alpha'} Q_a^\alpha Q_a^{\alpha'} \phi^\alpha \phi^{\alpha'}) \right) \\
&= S_0 + S_I
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.6}$$

where we used the color normalization $\int dQ = 1$ and the color averaged squared Debye wave number,

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_a^2 &\equiv \int dQ_a \kappa_a^2 = \frac{g^2}{N_c^2-1} \int dQ_a \sum_a n_a \beta \sum_a^{N_c^2-1} Q_a^{\alpha^2} \\
&= \frac{g^2}{N_c^2-1} \int dQ_a \sum_a n_a \beta (N_c^2-1) C_{2a} = g^2 \sum_a n_a \beta C_{2a}
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.7}$$

Here C_2 is the quadratic Casimir (q_2) divided by (N_c^2-1) ,

$$\int dQ_a Q_a^\alpha Q_a^{\alpha'} = C_{2a} \delta^{\alpha\alpha'} = \frac{q_{2a}}{N_c^2-1} \delta^{\alpha\alpha'} \tag{VI.8}$$

A. One-Loop

The screened Debye-Huckel partition function follows by setting $S_I = 0$ in the induced action. The corresponding partition function is then

$$Z_0 = \exp \left(V \sum_a n_a \right) \left(\det \left(1 + \frac{1}{-\vec{\nabla}^2} \kappa_a^2 \right) \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}(N_c^2-1)} \tag{VI.9}$$

The argument of the determinant is the inverse screened Green's function

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \left(-\vec{\nabla}^2 + \kappa_a^2 \right) G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \tag{VI.10}$$

which is

$$G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') = g^2 \int \frac{d\vec{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{r}-\vec{r}')}}{k^2 + \kappa_a^2} \tag{VI.11}$$

The apparent singularity for coincidental arguments can be handled by dimensional regularization [10],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow 3} G_n(\vec{0}) = G(\vec{0}) = -\kappa_a \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \quad (\text{VI.12})$$

with n being the spatial dimension. The determinant in (VI.9) can be calculated by standard methods. The identity $\delta \ln \det X = \text{Tr}(X^{-1} \delta X)$ yields

$$\ln \det \left(1 + \frac{1}{-\nabla^2} \kappa_a^2 \right) = \frac{2}{n} G_n(\vec{0}) \frac{\kappa_a^2}{g^2} V \quad (\text{VI.13})$$

so that

$$Z_0 = \exp \left(V \left(\sum_a n_a - \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{n} \frac{\kappa_a^2}{g^2} G_n(\vec{0}) \right) \right) \quad (\text{VI.14})$$

Using (VI.7) and (VI.12), we obtain the screened one-loop result as

$$Z_0 = \exp \left(V \sum_a n_a \left(1 + (N_c^2 - 1) g^2 \beta C_{2a} \frac{\kappa_a}{12\pi} \right) \right) = \exp \left(V \sum_a n_a \left(1 + g^2 \beta q_{2a} \frac{\kappa_a}{12\pi} \right) \right) \quad (\text{VI.15})$$

B. Two-Loop

Higher order loop corrections follow from

$$Z = Z_0 \prod_{\alpha}^{N_c^2 - 1} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} \int d\vec{r}_1 d\vec{r}_2 \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^\alpha} G(\vec{r}_1 - \vec{r}_2) \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^\alpha} \right) \exp(-S_I(\phi^\alpha)) \Big|_{\phi^\alpha=0} \quad (\text{VI.16})$$

by inserting higher G 's through S_I as recently discussed in [10] for the Abelian case. The non-Abelian is noteworthy in many respects as we note below. A typical two-loop contribution is shown in Fig. 1. Its contribution is




FIG. 1: Two loop contribution from one particle (VI.17)

$$\frac{1}{23} \beta^2 (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \sum_a n_a C_{2a}^2 \int d\vec{r} G^2(\vec{0}) \quad (\text{VI.17})$$

The color factors follow from the identity (B.7) discussed in Appendix B, i.e.

$$\int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma Q^\delta = A \left(\sum_n d^{\alpha\beta n} d^{\gamma\delta n} + \sum_n d^{\alpha\gamma n} d^{\beta\delta n} + \sum_n d^{\alpha\delta n} d^{\beta\gamma n} \right) + B (\delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\delta} \delta^{\beta\gamma}) \quad (\text{VI.18})$$

with $A = 0$, $B = 1/15q_2^2$ for SU(2) and $A + 3B = 3/80q_2^2$ for SU(3). Although SU(2) and SU(3) involve considerably different integration measures, the overall result for Fig. 1 is the same. Additional contributions to the colored partition function are shown in Fig. 2, which contribute




FIG. 2: Two loop contribution from two particles (VI.19)

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\beta^3}{2 \cdot 3!} \frac{1}{4} q_3 \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' G^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \sum_a n_a \sum_{a'} n_{a'} \\ & -\frac{\beta^3}{2 \cdot 4} \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' G(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') G^2(\vec{0}) \sum_a n_a \sum_{a'} n_{a'} \frac{1}{4^2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} d^{\alpha\alpha\beta} d^{\beta\gamma\gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.19})$$

Here q_3 is the cubic Casimir following from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{N_c^2-1} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} Q_a^\alpha Q_a^\beta Q_a^\gamma &= q_3 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{N_c^2-1} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \int dQ_a q_3 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{N_c^2-1} \int dQ_a d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} Q_a^\alpha Q_a^\beta Q_a^\gamma \\ \int dQ_a Q_a^\alpha Q_a^\beta Q_a^\gamma &= \frac{1}{4} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.20})$$

as detailed in Appendix B. The cubic Casimir exists only for $N_c > 2$ and vanishes identically for SU(2). This is clear from the fact that the contributions in Fig. 2 involve 3 colored vertices. Also note that only the irreducible graph of Fig. 2 contributes to (VI.19) since the reducible graph averages to zero by color integration through the identity

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} d^{\alpha\alpha\beta} d^{\beta\gamma\gamma} = 0 \quad (\text{VI.21})$$

Unlike the Abelian case discussed in [10], where tadpoles and disconnected contributions abound, the non-Abelian case has none of these thanks to the color integrations. Also, the effects of the hard core at two loop can easily be recalled by noting that in the 2-particle channel under consideration the distance of minimum encounter is σ . So the radial integrations should be limited to $\sigma < r < \infty$ to account for the hard core. With this in mind, the 2-loop contribution to the partition function reads

$$\frac{\ln Z}{V} = \frac{\ln Z_0}{V} + \frac{1}{2^3} \beta^2 \sum_a n_a q_{2a}^2 G^2(\vec{0}) - \sum_{a,a'} n_a n_{a'} \left(\frac{1}{2 \cdot 3!} \beta^3 \frac{1}{4} q_3 \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} d\vec{r} G^3(\vec{r}) \right) \quad (\text{VI.22})$$

where the last term is only present for SU(3). Using (VI.15) and (VI.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ln Z}{V} &= \left(\sum_a n_a \right) + \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{4\pi} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\sum_a n_a \beta C_{2a} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2^3} 4\pi \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^3 (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left(\sum_a n_a (\beta C_{2a})^2 \right) \left(\sum_a n_{a'} \beta C_{2a} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3!} \beta^3 \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^3 4\pi \frac{q_3}{4} \left(\sum_a n_a \right)^2 E_1(3\kappa_a \sigma) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VI.23})$$

Here $E_1(x)$ is the exponential integral ($-Ei(-x) = E_1(x) = \int_x^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt$), which is logarithmically divergent at short distance. It is made finite by the hard core potential.

C. Three Loop

A partial three loop analysis will be carried out in this section. There are in total 8 diagrammatic contributions at three loop that can be organized in terms of the particle density: 1 (one-particle density); 3 (two-particle density); 4 (three- and four-particle density). They will be considered sequentially.

The three loop contribution stemming from the one-particle density is shown in Fi. 3. It is

$$- \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{2^3} \beta^3 \sum_a n_a \int d\vec{r} G^3(\vec{0}) q_{2a}^3 \quad (\text{VI.24})$$




FIG. 3: Three loop contribution from one particle (VI.24)

The vertex involves 6 color charges which are integrated with the help of the identity (see Appendix B)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma Q^\delta Q^\epsilon Q^\zeta &= A \left(d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^{\delta\epsilon\zeta} + d^{\alpha\beta\delta} d^{\gamma\epsilon\zeta} + d^{\alpha\beta\epsilon} d^{\gamma\delta\zeta} + d^{\alpha\beta\zeta} d^{\gamma\delta\epsilon} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d^{\alpha\gamma\delta} d^{\beta\epsilon\zeta} + d^{\alpha\gamma\epsilon} d^{\beta\delta\zeta} + d^{\alpha\gamma\zeta} d^{\beta\delta\epsilon} + d^{\alpha\delta\epsilon} d^{\beta\gamma\zeta} + d^{\alpha\delta\zeta} d^{\beta\gamma\epsilon} + d^{\alpha\epsilon\zeta} d^{\beta\gamma\delta} \right) \\
&\quad + B \left(\delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\delta} \delta^{\epsilon\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\epsilon} \delta^{\delta\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\zeta} \delta^{\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\delta} \delta^{\epsilon\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\epsilon} \delta^{\delta\zeta} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\zeta} \delta^{\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\delta} \delta^{\beta\gamma} \delta^{\epsilon\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\delta} \delta^{\beta\epsilon} \delta^{\gamma\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\delta} \delta^{\beta\zeta} \delta^{\gamma\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\epsilon} \delta^{\beta\gamma} \delta^{\delta\zeta} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \delta^{\alpha\epsilon} \delta^{\beta\delta} \delta^{\gamma\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\epsilon} \delta^{\beta\zeta} \delta^{\gamma\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\zeta} \delta^{\beta\gamma} \delta^{\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\zeta} \delta^{\beta\delta} \delta^{\gamma\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\zeta} \delta^{\beta\epsilon} \delta^{\gamma\delta} \right) \quad (\text{VI.25})
\end{aligned}$$

with $A = 0$, $B = \frac{1}{105} q_2^3$ for SU(2) and $A = -\frac{9}{8!} q_2^3 + \frac{27}{2} \frac{1}{7!} q_3^2$, $B = \frac{85}{2} \frac{1}{8!} q_2^3 - \frac{6}{8!} q_3^2$ for SU(3). Note that this contribution is similar to one-loop for both SU(2) and SU(3) despite the differences in the contributions and the color averaging.




FIG. 4: Three loop contribution from two particles (VI.26)

The three loop contribution stemming from the two-particle density are shown in Fig 4. The top diagram vanishes for SU(2) as an odd number of color charges are brought to a single point which vanish by color averaging. Their contribution is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \beta^4 \sum_a n_a q_{2a} \sum_{a'} n_{a'} \frac{q_3}{4} \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' G^3(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') G(\vec{0}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2^3} \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \beta^4 \sum_a n_a q_{2a}^2 \sum_{a'} n_{a'} q_{2a'}^2 \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' G^2(\vec{r}' - \vec{r}) G^2(\vec{0}) \\
& + \frac{3}{(N_c^2 - 1)(N_c^2 + 1)} \frac{1}{4!} \beta^4 \sum_a n_a q_{2a}^2 \sum_{a'} n_{a'} q_{2a'}^2 \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' G^4(\vec{r}' - \vec{r})
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.26}$$

The three loop contribution stemming from three- and four-particle density is shown in Fig. 5. These contributions will not be quoted here. They only contribute for $N_c > 2$. They can be shown to arise from color magnetism, thus subleading in the electric cQGP under considerations.




FIG. 5: Three loop contribution from three and four particle interactions

D. Higher Loops

In so far, the color averaging at one- two and three-loops have led to simple powers of Casimirs. This observation does not carry simply at higher orders as more complex combination of Casimirs appear. Indeed, consider the five loop contribution shown in Fig. 5. Its contribution can be found explicitly as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{7!} \beta^6 \sum_a n_a q_{2a}^3 \sum_{a'} n_{a'} q_{2a'}^3 \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' G^6(\vec{r}' - \vec{r}) & SU(2) \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{7!} \beta^6 \sum_a n_a \sum_{a'} n_{a'} \left(\frac{3}{2^4} q_3^2 q_3^2 - \frac{1}{2^6} q_3^2 (q_{2a}^3 + q_{2a'}^3) + \frac{85}{2^8} q_{2a}^3 q_{2a'}^3 \right) & \\
\times \int d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' G^6(\vec{r}' - \vec{r}) & SU(3)
\end{array} \right. \tag{VI.27}$$




FIG. 6: A typical five-loop contribution. See text.

The color averaging leads a more complex combination of Casimirs for SU(3) in comparison to SU(2). The cubic Casimir for SU(3) is absent for SU(2). The way the color averaging occurs is by noting that each vertex involves 6 lines in Fig. 6. In SU(2) the averaging involves only the quadratic Casimir, and the result with 3 quadratic Casimirs follow. This observation explains why the SU(2) color averaging in (IV.16) (low density expansion) can not be extended to SU(3) in closed form. The culprit is the occurrence of the third Casimir.

E. Result

Now we combine the one, two and (partial) three loop results for the grand partition function, namely (VI.23), (VI.24) and (VI.26) and use (VI.12), to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\ln Z}{V} &= \left(\sum_a n_a\right) + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{4\pi}\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\left(\sum_a n_a\beta C_{2a}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2^3}4\pi\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^3(N_c^2 - 1)^2\left(\sum_a n_a(\beta C_{2a})^2\right)\left(\sum_a n_a\beta C_{2a}\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{3!}\frac{1}{2^3}(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{9}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)^3\left(\sum_a n_a(\beta C_{2a})^3\right)\left(\sum_a n_a\beta C_{2a}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{16}(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{9}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)^3\left(\sum_a n_a(\beta C_{2a})^2\right)^2\left(\sum_a n_a(\beta C_{2a})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-2\kappa_a\sigma} \\
&- \frac{3}{N_c^2 + 1}\frac{1}{4!}4\pi\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^4(N_c^2 - 1)^3\left(\sum_a n_a(\beta C_{2a})^2\right)^2\frac{1}{\sigma}\left(e^{-4\kappa_a\sigma} + 4\kappa_a\sigma E_1(4\kappa_a\sigma)\right) \text{(VI.28)}
\end{aligned}$$

for both SU(2) and SU(3), with in addition for SU(3) alone

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^3 4\pi\left(\sum_a n_a\right)^2\beta^3\frac{q_3}{4}E_1(3\kappa_a\sigma) \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{3!}(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{9}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\left(\sum_a n_a(\beta C_{2a})\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sum_a n_a\beta^3\frac{q_3}{4}E_1(3\kappa_a\sigma)
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.29}$$

To proceed further, we set all classical fugacities for the three species $a = 1, 2, 3$ (quark, antiquark, gluon) to be the same, $n_a = n_{a'} = \lambda$. We also rescale all dimensions to the core size σ to be reinstated by inspection as announced. Specifically $\tilde{\lambda} = \sigma^3 \lambda$, with all radial integrations cutoff by the core. Using (VI.28) and (VI.29) with $\varepsilon_a = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \beta C_{2a} / \sigma$, we have for both SU(2) and SU(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma^3 \ln Z_{\tilde{\lambda}}}{V} &= \tilde{\lambda} \sum_a + \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{3} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) (\sum_a \varepsilon_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \tilde{\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{8} 4\pi (N_c^2 - 1)^2 (\sum_a \varepsilon_a^2) (\sum_a \varepsilon_a) \\
&\quad - \tilde{\lambda}^2 \frac{3}{N_c^2 + 1} \frac{1}{4!} 4\pi (N_c^2 - 1)^3 (\sum_a \varepsilon_a^2)^2 (e^{-4\kappa_a \sigma} + 4\kappa_a \sigma E_1(4\kappa_a \sigma)) \\
&\quad + \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{8} (4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1)^3 (\sum_a \varepsilon_a^3) (\sum_a \varepsilon_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{16} (4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1)^3 (\sum_a \varepsilon_a^2)^2 (\sum_a \varepsilon_a)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2\kappa_a \sigma}
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.30}$$

while in addition for SU(3),

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \tilde{\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} 4\pi \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^3 (\sum_a)^2 \left(\frac{\beta^3 q_3}{4\sigma^3}\right) E_1(3\kappa_a \sigma) \\
& - \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} (4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^3 (N_c^2 - 1) (\sum_a \varepsilon_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_a \left(\frac{\beta^3 q_3}{4\sigma^3}\right) E_1(3\kappa_a \sigma)
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.31}$$

We note that the core integrations stemming from $|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| < \sigma$ reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\ln Z}{V} \Big|_{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|<\sigma} &= -\lambda^2 \frac{1}{4} (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\sum_a \beta C_{2a}\right)^2 \int d\vec{r} G^2(r) \\
&\quad + \lambda^2 \frac{1}{4} (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left(\sum_a (\beta C_{2a})^2\right) \left(\sum_a \beta C_{2a}\right) \int d\vec{r} G^2(r) G(0) \\
&\quad - \lambda^2 \frac{1}{8} (N_c^2 - 1)^3 \left(\sum_a (\beta C_{2a})^3\right) \left(\sum_a \beta C_{2a}\right) \int d\vec{r} G^2(r) G^2(0)
\end{aligned} \tag{VI.32}$$

where the divergences are lumped in $G(0)$. Our final result for SU(2) and SU(3) is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma^3 \ln Z_{\tilde{\lambda}}}{V} &= \tilde{\lambda} \sum_a + \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{3} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) (\sum_a \varepsilon_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\quad - \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{8} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) (\sum_a \varepsilon_a)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 - e^{-2(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_a \varepsilon_a)^{\frac{1}{2}}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\tilde{\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{8} (4\pi) (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right) \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a^2 \right) \left(1 - e^{-2(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\
& + \tilde{\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{8} (4\pi) (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right) \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a^2 \right) \\
& - \tilde{\lambda}^2 \frac{3}{N_c^2 + 1} \frac{1}{4!} (4\pi) (N_c^2 - 1)^3 \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a^2 \right)^2 \\
& \times \left(e^{-4(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} + 4(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_1 \left(4(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\
& - \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{16} (4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1)^3 \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a^3 \right) \left(1 - e^{-2(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\
& + \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{3!} \frac{1}{8} (4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1)^3 \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a^3 \right) \\
& + \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{16} (4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1)^3 \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a^2 \right)^2 \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-2(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{VI.33}
\end{aligned}$$

with in addition for SU(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\tilde{\lambda}^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} 4\pi \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^3 \left(\sum_a \right)^2 \left(\frac{\beta^3 q_3}{4\sigma^3} \right) E_1 \left(3(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
& -\tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} (4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^3 (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_a \left(\frac{\beta^3 q_3}{4\sigma^3} \right) E_1 \left(3(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \varepsilon_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \tag{VI.34}
\end{aligned}$$

This our final result for up to three loops ignoring the diagrams of Fig. 5. The latters yield contributions that are of order $\tilde{\lambda}^3$ or higher.

F. High Temperature

The results of the high-temperature expansion can be recovered from the three loop results (VI.33). For that we need to go back to the unintegrated contributions in r-space and expand in powers of β the exponentials. Up to order β^3 the result is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\ln Z}{V} & = \lambda \sum_a + \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{3} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\sum_a \gamma_a \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
& - \lambda^2 \frac{1}{4} (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\sum_a \gamma_a \right)^2 \int_{r < \sigma} d\vec{r} \frac{1}{r^2} + \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{1}{2} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\sum_a \gamma_a \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} \int_{r < \sigma} d\vec{r} \frac{1}{r} \\
& - \lambda^3 \frac{1}{2} (4\pi) (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\sum_a \gamma_a \right)^3 \int_{r < \sigma} d\vec{r} + \lambda^2 \frac{1}{8} 4\pi (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \left(\sum_a \gamma_a^2 \right) \left(\sum_a \gamma_a \right) \\
& - \lambda^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \right)^3 \left(\sum_a \right)^2 \left(\frac{\beta^3 q_3}{4} \right) \int_{\sigma < r < \infty} d\vec{r} \frac{1}{r^3} e^{-3(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_a \gamma_a \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{7}{2}}) \tag{VI.35}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\epsilon_a = \gamma/\sigma$ from (II.12). For SU(2) the latter contribution is absent. This result agrees with (V.4) to order $\beta^{5/2}$ for $h_0^{(2)}(r) = -\theta(\sigma - r)$. There is a difference to order β^3 which can be traced back to the handling of the core potential $h_0^{(2)}(r)$ and $w(r)$ in each of the two expansions. In the loop expansion we used an infinite core with $e^{-w(r)} = 1$ for $r > \sigma$. In reality $e^{-w(r)}$ is a function of r much like $h_0^{(2)}(r)$ when $r > \sigma$. A similar observation was made for hard sphere liquids in [9].

VII. FREE ENERGIES OF CQGP

The free energy follows from the grand partition function which we have now constructed for both the density (virial) expansion and the loop (high) temperature expansion. The latter is understood for a liquid of hard spheres with $h_0^{(2)}(r) = -\theta(\sigma - r)$ as in (VI.35) up to order $\beta^{5/2}$. The former is given in (IV.15) up to order λ^2 .

Specializing to SU(2) one component plasma ($\sum_a \gamma_a \rightarrow \gamma$) in (VI.35) and carrying the r -integration with the hard core potential in mind as noted, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\ln Z}{V} &= \lambda + \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{3} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) \gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} - \lambda^2 \pi (N_c^2 - 1) \gamma^2 \sigma + 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{5}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) \gamma^{\frac{5}{2}} \sigma^2 \\ &\quad - \lambda^3 \frac{8}{3} \pi^2 (N_c^2 - 1) \gamma^3 \sigma^3 + \lambda^2 \frac{1}{2} \pi (N_c^2 - 1)^2 \gamma^3 + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{7}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.1})$$

The density $c (= \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\frac{\ln Z}{V}))$ is

$$c = \lambda + \Delta c = \lambda + \sqrt{\pi} (N_c^2 - 1) \gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) \quad (\text{VII.2})$$

The corresponding shift induced in the chemical potential, $\beta\mu_c = \beta\mu_\lambda + \beta\Delta\mu_c$, due to the interactions can be extracted from

$$c = \frac{g}{\Lambda^3} e^{\beta\mu_c} = \frac{g}{\Lambda^3} e^{\beta\mu_\lambda + \beta\Delta\mu_c} \simeq \frac{g}{\Lambda^3} e^{\beta\mu_\lambda} (1 + \beta\Delta\mu_c) = \lambda + \lambda\beta\Delta\mu_c = \lambda + \Delta c \quad (\text{VII.3})$$

with

$$\beta\Delta\mu_c = \frac{\Delta c}{\lambda} = \sqrt{\pi} (N_c^2 - 1) \gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) . \quad (\text{VII.4})$$

Using this shift and defining the free energy through the legendre transform

$$\beta \frac{F(\beta, c)}{V} = -\frac{1}{V} \ln Z(\beta, \lambda) + c\beta\mu_c \quad (\text{VII.5})$$

we obtain for either the loop expansion or the high temperature expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \frac{F_{loop}(\beta, c)}{V} &= -c + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3}(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} + \pi(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^2\gamma^2\sigma \\ &\quad - 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}\gamma^{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^2 - \frac{\pi}{2}(N_c^2 - 1)^2\lambda^2\gamma^3 + c\beta\mu_\lambda + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{7}{2}}) \\ &= -\lambda - \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3}(N_c^2 - 1)\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} - \sqrt{\pi}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\sqrt{\pi}(N_c^2 - 1)\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\pi(N_c^2 - 1)^2\gamma^3\lambda^2 + \pi(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^2\gamma^2\sigma - 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}\gamma^{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^2 + c\beta\mu_\lambda + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{7}{2}}) \\ &= -\lambda - \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3}(N_c^2 - 1)\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} - \sqrt{\pi}\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\Delta c + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2)\right) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}(\Delta c)^2 \\ &\quad + \pi(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^2\gamma^2\sigma - 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}\gamma^{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^2 + c\beta\mu_\lambda + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{\frac{7}{2}}) \\ &\simeq -\lambda\left(1 + \frac{\Delta c}{\lambda}\right) - \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3}(N_c^2 - 1)\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(1 + \frac{\Delta c}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \pi(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^2\left(1 + \frac{\Delta c}{\lambda}\right)^2\gamma^2\sigma \\ &\quad - 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)\lambda^{\frac{5}{2}}\left(1 + \frac{\Delta c}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}\gamma^{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^2 + c(\beta\mu_\lambda + \beta\Delta\mu_c) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3) \\ &= -c - \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3}(N_c^2 - 1)\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}c^{\frac{3}{2}} + \pi(N_c^2 - 1)c^2\gamma^2\sigma - 2\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}(N_c^2 - 1)c^{\frac{5}{2}}\gamma^{\frac{5}{2}}\sigma^2 \\ &\quad + c\beta\mu_c + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{VII.6})$$

From (VII.2), we note that $\Delta c \sim \beta^{\frac{3}{2}}$ so that λ can be substituted by the concentration c for terms that are of order β^2 or higher to accuracy $\beta^{5/2}$. For the free energy, the high temperature expansion deviates from the loop-expansion by such substitutions in higher order.

The free energy in the low density expansion can be extracted from (IV.15) using a similar transform and substitution. For SU(2) the procedure of substitution of $\tilde{\lambda}$ by \tilde{c} is detailed in [8]. For SU(2) $D_2 = B_2 + \frac{3}{8}B_{3/2}^2$ where the B's are Bernoulli's numbers. For a one species SU(2) plasma $\epsilon = \sqrt{\epsilon_a \bar{\epsilon}_a} = \epsilon_a$. Recalling that in [8] the normalizations were carried using the Wigner-Seitz radius instead of the core size with $\delta = \sigma/a_{WS}$, we have $\epsilon = \Gamma/\delta$. The SU(2) free energy of a one-species cQGP in the low density expansion is

$$\beta \frac{F_{low}(\beta, c)}{V} = -c - 2\sqrt{\pi}\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}}c^{\frac{3}{2}} - 3\pi\gamma^3c^2 - \frac{9}{4}\pi\gamma^3c^2 \int_0^{\frac{3}{\sigma}\gamma} \frac{\sinh t}{t} dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\pi}{12} \frac{\sigma^4}{\gamma} c^2 \left(2 \sinh\left(\frac{3}{\sigma}\gamma\right) + 2\frac{\gamma}{\sigma} \cosh\left(\frac{3}{\sigma}\gamma\right) + 3\frac{\gamma^2}{\sigma^2} \sinh\left(\frac{3}{\sigma}\gamma\right) + 9\frac{\gamma^3}{\sigma^3} \cosh\left(\frac{3}{\sigma}\gamma\right) \right) \\
& + c\beta\mu_c + \mathcal{O}(c^{\frac{5}{2}}). \tag{VII.7}
\end{aligned}$$

VIII. EXCESS FREE ENERGIES FOR CQGP

The free energies obtained above can be rewritten in terms of the plasma constant

$$\Gamma = \frac{g^2}{4\pi} \frac{C_2}{Ta_{WS}} \tag{VIII.1}$$

with $k_B = 1$ and a_{WS} the Wigner-Seitz radius satisfying $N/V(4\pi a_{WS}^3/3) = 1$. C_2 is the quadratic Casimir, $C_2 = q_2/(N_c^2 - 1)$ and g is the strength of the coupling. Since the Wigner-Seitz radius is related to the density, $N/V = c$, it is straightforward to rewrite the expanded free energies above in terms of Γ . For instance, the first two terms in the loop expansion (VII.6) read

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{F_{loop}(\Gamma)}{NT} &= -c \frac{V}{N} - c^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{V}{N} \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{3} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) (\beta C_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&= -1 - c^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{4\pi}{3} a_{WS}^3 \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{3} \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) (\beta C_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&= -1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} c^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{4\pi a_{WS}^3}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} (N_c^2 - 1) \left(\frac{g^2}{4\pi} \beta \frac{C_2}{a_{WS}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&= -1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (N_c^2 - 1) \Gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} \tag{VIII.2}
\end{aligned}$$

If we define the excess free energy F_{ex} as $F(\Gamma) = F(0) + F_{ex}(\Gamma)$, we get the excess free energies for (VII.6) and (VII.7) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{F_{loop,ex}}{NT} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (N_c^2 - 1) \Gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{3}{4} \delta (N_c^2 - 1) \Gamma^2 - 3\sqrt{3} \delta^2 (N_c^2 - 1) \Gamma^{\frac{5}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\Gamma^3) \\
\frac{F_{low,ex}}{NT} &= -\sqrt{3} \Gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{9}{4} \Gamma^3 - \frac{27}{16} \Gamma^3 \int_0^{\frac{3}{\delta}\Gamma} \frac{\sinh t}{t} dt \\
&+ \frac{1}{16} \frac{\delta^4}{\Gamma} \left(2 \sinh\left(\frac{3}{\delta}\Gamma\right) + 2\frac{\Gamma}{\delta} \cosh\left(\frac{3}{\delta}\Gamma\right) + 3\frac{\Gamma^2}{\delta^2} \sinh\left(\frac{3}{\delta}\Gamma\right) + 9\frac{\Gamma^3}{\delta^3} \cosh\left(\frac{3}{\delta}\Gamma\right) \right) \tag{VIII.3}
\end{aligned}$$

To compare these expanded results with the full molecular dynamics simulations in [3], we may also write

$$\frac{F_{mol,ex}}{NT} = -4.9 \int_{+0}^{\Gamma} \frac{d\Gamma'}{\Gamma'} - 2\Gamma + 3.2 \cdot 4\Gamma^{\frac{1}{4}} - 2.2 \cdot 4\Gamma^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{F_{mol,ex}(+0)}{NT} \quad (\text{VIII.4})$$

with $A = 2/3(2/(\frac{\pi}{2}N_c(N_c - 1))^2)^{1/3}$. $F_{mol,ex}$ was obtained from the potential energy (36) in [3] through the following relation

$$\frac{F_{ex}(\Gamma)}{NT} = \int_{+0}^{\Gamma} \frac{U_{ex}}{NT} \frac{d\Gamma'}{\Gamma'} + \frac{F_{ex}(+0)}{NT}. \quad (\text{VIII.5})$$

For completeness we also quote the excess energy in the Debye-Huckel limit [8],

$$\frac{F_{DH,ex}}{NT} = -(N_c^2 - 1) \frac{3d}{2} \left((A\Gamma)^{\frac{3}{2}} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A\Gamma}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (\ln(1 + A\Gamma) - A\Gamma) \right). \quad (\text{VIII.6})$$




FIG. 7: Excess free energies vs Debye-Huckel (a) and molecular dynamics (b). See text.

In Fig. 7a we show the behavior of the excess free energies for the low density and loop expansions in comparison to the Debye-Huckel result. By about $\Gamma \approx 1.5$ the three expansions deviate substantially and are no longer reliable for the free energy. The deviation occurs earlier in the energy density as we discussed in [11]. In Fig. 7b we compare the three expansions to the molecular dynamics simulations in [3] for $0.2 < \Gamma < 1.2$. We note that the $\ln(+0)$ contribution in (VIII.4) combines with $F(+0)$ to zero (Infrared renormalization). The molecular dynamics simulations use a finite 3-box in space which at weak coupling does not

accommodate the Debye cloud which lowers (attractive) the free energy. Thus the discrepancy with the Debye-Huckel limit which is exact for a classical plasma. Simulations with larger 3-boxes are possible but numerically time consuming. At strong coupling, the molecular simulations readily accommodate the short range liquid and/or crystal correlations with the current finite 3-boxes. They are more reliable at large Γ .

IX. CONCLUSIONS

We have explicitly analyzed the grand partition function of a cQGP defined by colored spheres with a hard core potential and a long range Coulomb field, borrowing on methods used for classical liquids [7]. The partition function was worked out explicitly for both SU(2) and SU(3) at low densities through a cumulant expansion, and at high temperature through a loop expansion. Both expansions are shown to be valid for low plasma coupling $\Gamma = V/K \approx 1$. This is the regime where the quantum QGP is expected to be dilute. Current interest in the quantum QGP is in the range of $\Gamma \approx 3$ where the Coulomb interactions are much stronger and the phase liquid-like. In the follow up paper [11] we construct an equation of state that interpolates smoothly between the expanded results of this paper at low Γ and the strongly coupled molecular dynamics results at large Γ [3].

X. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by US-DOE grants DE-FG02-88ER40388 and DE-FG03-97ER4014.

APPENDIX A: COLOR CHARGES

The set of classical color charges form a Darboux's set

$$\vec{\phi} = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{\frac{1}{2}N_c(N_c-1)}) \quad \vec{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{\frac{1}{2}N_c(N_c-1)}) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with canonical Poisson brackets

$$\{\phi_\alpha, \pi_\beta\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \{Q^\alpha, Q^\beta\} = f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} Q^\gamma \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where N_c is the number of colors and $f^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the structure constant of $SU(N_c)$. The explicit $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ representations of these sets can be found in [4, 12]. In this Appendix, we quote some formulas for completeness.

The Darboux's set for $SU(2)$ is [12]

$$Q^1 = \cos \phi_1 \sqrt{J^2 - \pi_1^2}, \quad Q^2 = \sin \phi_1 \sqrt{J^2 - \pi_1^2}, \quad Q^3 = \pi_1 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where J^2 is used to represent the quadratic Casimir with $q_2 = \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} Q^{\alpha} Q^{\alpha}$. The phase space $SU(2)$ measure used in the text is

$$dQ = c_R d\pi_1 d\phi_1 J dJ \delta(J^2 - q_2) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The representation dependent constant c_R is chosen so that $\int dQ = 1$.

The Darboux's set for $SU(3)$ is more involved. Following [12] we define the canonical set as $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, J_1, J_2)$ in terms of which the 8 color charges read

$$\begin{aligned} Q^1 &= \cos \phi_1 \pi_+ \pi_- & Q^2 &= \sin \phi_1 \pi_+ \pi_- & Q^3 &= \pi_1 & Q^8 &= \pi_2 \\ Q^4 &= C_{++} \pi_+ A + C_{+-} \pi_- B & Q^5 &= S_{++} \pi_+ A + S_{+-} \pi_- B \\ Q^6 &= C_{--} \pi_- A - C_{--} \pi_+ B & Q^7 &= S_{--} \pi_- A - S_{--} \pi_+ B \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_+ &= \sqrt{\pi_3 + \pi_1}, & C_{\pm\pm} &= \cos \left[\frac{1}{2} (\pm\phi_1 + \sqrt{3}\phi_2 \pm \phi_3) \right] \\ \pi_- &= \sqrt{\pi_3 - \pi_1}, & S_{\pm\pm} &= \sin \left[\frac{1}{2} (\pm\phi_1 + \sqrt{3}\phi_2 \pm \phi_3) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2\pi_3} \sqrt{\left(\frac{J_1 - J_2}{3} + \pi_3 + \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(\frac{J_1 + 2J_2}{3} + \pi_3 + \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(\frac{2J_1 + J_2}{3} - \pi_3 - \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}} \right)} \\ B &= \frac{1}{2\pi_3} \sqrt{\left(\frac{J_1 - J_2}{3} + \pi_3 - \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(\frac{J_1 + 2J_2}{3} - \pi_3 + \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(\frac{2J_1 + J_2}{3} + \pi_3 - \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}} \right)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

J_1 and J_2 define the quadratic Casimir q_2 and cubic Casimir q_3 . Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} q_2 &= \sum_{\alpha}^{N_c^2-1} Q^\alpha Q^\alpha = \frac{1}{3}(J_1^2 + J_1 J_2 + J_2^2) \\ q_3 &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}^{N_c^2-1} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma = \frac{1}{18}(J_1 - J_2)(J_1 + 2J_2)(2J_1 + J_2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

with the help of the $SU(2)$ symmetric tensor $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. we recall that $d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = d^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and $d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = d^{\beta\gamma\alpha} = d^{\gamma\alpha\beta} = d^{\alpha\gamma\beta} = d^{\gamma\beta\alpha} = d^{\beta\alpha\gamma}$.

To carry some of the color integrations in the text, we quote some useful identities involving d 's. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= 4q_3 \\ \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} d^{\alpha\alpha\gamma} d^{\beta\beta\gamma} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta} d^{\alpha\gamma\epsilon} d^{\beta\gamma\epsilon} d^{\alpha\delta\zeta} d^{\beta\delta\zeta} &= 2q_3^2 \\ \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon, \zeta} d^{\alpha\beta\epsilon} d^{\gamma\delta\epsilon} d^{\alpha\gamma\zeta} d^{\beta\delta\zeta} &= -2q_3 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The $SU(3)$ phase space measure is

$$\begin{aligned} dQ &= c_R d\phi_1 d\phi_2 d\phi_3 d\pi_1 d\pi_2 d\pi_3 dJ_1 dJ_2 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{48} J_1 J_2 (J_1 + J_2) \\ &\times \delta\left(\frac{1}{3}(J_1^2 + J_1 J_2 + J_2^2) - q_2\right) \delta\left(\frac{1}{18}(J_1 - J_2)(J_1 + 2J_2)(2J_1 + J_2) - q_3\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

with again c_R set by the normalization of the color space volume to 1.

APPENDIX B: COLOR INTEGRATIONS

In this Appendix we explicit some of the color integrations carried in the text. For $SU(2)$ all color charge integrations can be done analytically. The representation dependent c_R is set

TABLE I: Non-zero constants of $d^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ for SU(3)

d^{118}	d^{228}	d^{338}	d^{448}	d^{558}	d^{668}	d^{778}	d^{888}
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$
d^{146}	d^{157}	d^{247}	d^{256}	d^{344}	d^{355}	d^{366}	d^{377}
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$

to give the normalization of the colored space volume to be 1. For instance $c_R = 1/2\pi\sqrt{q_2}$ [12] by using $\int dQ = 1$. The SU(3) integrations cannot be done analytically given the cubic nature of the constraint in the color measure. The quadratic and cubic Casimirs are fixed by

$$\begin{aligned}\int dQ q_2 &= \int dQ \frac{1}{3}(J_1^2 + J_1 J_2 + J_2^2) = q_2 \\ \int dQ q_3 &= \int dQ \frac{1}{18}(J_1 - J_2)(J_1 + 2J_2)(2J_1 + J_2) = q_3\end{aligned}\tag{B.1}$$

One Color:

$$\int dQ Q^\alpha = 0.\tag{B.2}$$

Two Colors:

$$\int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta = C_2 \delta^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{q_2}{N_c^2 - 1} \delta^{\alpha\beta}\tag{B.3}$$

with $C_2 = N_c$ for gluons and $C_2 = 1/2$ for quarks. For SU(3), the cubic Casimir $q_3 = 0$ for gluons and $q_3 = (N_c^2 - 1)(N_c^2 - 4)/4N_c$ for quarks. It is zero for SU(2).

Three Colors:

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma = q_3 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \int dQ q_3 = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where we used (A.8) and (B.1) and the identity

$$\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{N_c} (N_c^2 - 1)(N_c^2 - 4) = 4q_3 \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Thus

$$\int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma = \frac{1}{4} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Four Colors:

$$\int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma Q^\delta = A \left(\sum_n d^{\alpha\beta n} d^{\gamma\delta n} + \sum_n d^{\alpha\gamma n} d^{\beta\delta n} + \sum_n d^{\alpha\delta n} d^{\beta\gamma n} \right) + B (\delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\delta} \delta^{\beta\gamma}) \quad (\text{B.7})$$

For SU(2) $A = 0$ and $B = q_2^2/15$. For SU(3) this integral is more involved. However, for certain arrangements of charges, say Q^1, Q^2, Q^3, Q^8 the integrations can be done and thus the constants A, B fixed. For that we need to undo integrals involving π 's since $Q^8 = \pi^2$.

The π integrations are bounded [4, 13]. To carry them, it is best to change variables

$$x = \pi_3 + \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad y = \pi_3 - \frac{\pi_2}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

so that

$$d\pi_2 d\pi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} dx dy \quad (\text{B.9})$$

It is also useful to define

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{3}(2J_1 + J_2), \quad K_2 = \frac{1}{3}(J_1 + 2J_2) \quad (\text{B.10})$$

so that

$$K_2 - K_1 < x < K_1, \quad K_1 - K_2 < y < K_2 \quad (\text{B.11})$$

With these definitions, we can easily unwind some π integrations. For instance

$$\int d\pi_1 d\pi_2 d\pi_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_{K_2-K_1}^{K_1} dx \int_{K_1-K_2}^{K_2} dy \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)}^{\frac{1}{2}(x+y)} d\pi_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} J_1 J_2 (J_1 + J_2) \quad (\text{B.12})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\pi_1 \pi_2^2 d\pi_2 d\pi_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_{K_2-K_1}^{K_1} dx \int_{K_1-K_2}^{K_2} dy \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (x-y) \right)^2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)}^{\frac{1}{2}(x+y)} d\pi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} J_1 J_2 (J_1 + J_2) \frac{1}{3} (J_1^2 + J_1 J_2 + J_2^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

This latter integral is directly related to

$$\begin{aligned} \int dQ Q^8 Q^8 &= c_R \int d\phi_1 d\phi_2 d\phi_3 \int d\pi_1 \pi_2^2 d\pi_2 d\pi_3 \int dJ_1 dJ_2 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{48} J_1 J_2 (J_1 + J_2) \\ &\quad \times \delta\left(\frac{1}{3}(J_1^2 + J_1 J_2 + J_2^2) - q_2\right) \delta\left(\frac{1}{18}(J_1 - J_2)(J_1 + 2J_2)(2J_1 + J_2) - q_3\right) \\ &= \int dQ \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{3} (J_1^2 + J_1 J_2 + J_2^2) = \int dQ \frac{1}{8} q_2 = \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} q_2 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

after using (A.11) and (B.1). The result agrees with (B.3). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\pi_1 \pi_2^3 d\pi_2 d\pi_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \int_{K_2-K_1}^{K_1} dx \int_{K_1-K_2}^{K_2} dy \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (x-y) \right)^3 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}(x+y)}^{\frac{1}{2}(x+y)} d\pi_1 \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{40} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} J_1 J_2 (J_1 + J_2) \frac{1}{18} (J_1 - J_2)(J_1 + 2J_2)(2J_1 + J_2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

is related to

$$\int dQ Q^8 Q^8 Q^8 = c_R \int d\phi_1 d\phi_2 d\phi_3 \int d\pi_1 \pi_2^3 d\pi_2 d\pi_3 \int dJ_1 dJ_2 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{48} J_1 J_2 (J_1 + J_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \delta\left(\frac{1}{3}(J_1^2 + J_1 J_2 + J_2^2) - q_2\right) \delta\left(\frac{1}{18}(J_1 - J_2)(J_1 + 2J_2)(2J_1 + J_2) - q_3\right) \\
& = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{40} \int dQ \frac{1}{18}(J_1 - J_2)(J_1 + 2J_2)(2J_1 + J_2) \\
& = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{40} \int dQ q_3 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{3}{10} q_3 = \frac{1}{4} d^{888}
\end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

after using $q_3 = (N_c^2 - 1)(N_c^2 - 4)/4N_c = 10/3$ for SU(3). This result is in agreement with (B.6). A similar reasoning, yields

$$A + 3B = \frac{3}{80} q_2^2 \tag{B.17}$$

for the A, B constants in (B.7).

Five Colors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma Q^\delta Q^\epsilon & = \frac{3}{560} q_2 q_3 \left(\delta^{\alpha\beta} d^{\gamma\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} d^{\beta\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\delta} d^{\beta\gamma\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\epsilon} d^{\beta\gamma\delta} \right. \\
& \left. + \delta^{\beta\gamma} d^{\alpha\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\beta\delta} d^{\alpha\gamma\epsilon} + \delta^{\beta\epsilon} d^{\alpha\gamma\delta} + \delta^{\gamma\delta} d^{\alpha\beta\epsilon} + \delta^{\gamma\epsilon} d^{\alpha\beta\delta} + \delta^{\delta\epsilon} d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

with

$$\frac{3}{560} q_2 q_3 = \frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{8} q_2 \frac{3}{10} q_3 = \frac{1}{7} C_2 \tag{B.19}$$

Six Colors:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int dQ Q^\alpha Q^\beta Q^\gamma Q^\delta Q^\epsilon Q^\zeta & = A \left(d^{\alpha\beta\gamma} d^{\delta\epsilon\zeta} + d^{\alpha\beta\delta} d^{\gamma\epsilon\zeta} + d^{\alpha\beta\epsilon} d^{\gamma\delta\zeta} + d^{\alpha\beta\zeta} d^{\gamma\delta\epsilon} + d^{\alpha\gamma\delta} d^{\beta\epsilon\zeta} \right. \\
& \left. + d^{\alpha\gamma\epsilon} d^{\beta\delta\zeta} + d^{\alpha\gamma\zeta} d^{\beta\delta\epsilon} + d^{\alpha\delta\epsilon} d^{\beta\gamma\zeta} + d^{\alpha\delta\zeta} d^{\beta\gamma\epsilon} + d^{\alpha\epsilon\zeta} d^{\beta\gamma\delta} \right) \\
& + B \left(\delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\delta} \delta^{\epsilon\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\epsilon} \delta^{\delta\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\zeta} \delta^{\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\delta} \delta^{\epsilon\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\epsilon} \delta^{\delta\zeta} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\delta^{\alpha\gamma}\delta^{\beta\zeta}\delta^{\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\beta\gamma}\delta^{\epsilon\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\beta\epsilon}\delta^{\gamma\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\beta\zeta}\delta^{\gamma\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\epsilon}\delta^{\beta\gamma}\delta^{\delta\zeta} \\
& +\delta^{\alpha\epsilon}\delta^{\beta\delta}\delta^{\gamma\zeta} + \delta^{\alpha\epsilon}\delta^{\beta\zeta}\delta^{\gamma\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\zeta}\delta^{\beta\gamma}\delta^{\delta\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\zeta}\delta^{\beta\delta}\delta^{\gamma\epsilon} + \delta^{\alpha\zeta}\delta^{\beta\epsilon}\delta^{\gamma\delta}
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.20}$$

with the A, B constants tabulated below.

TABLE II: Constants A and B in the integration of six color charges

	A	B
$SU(2)$		$\frac{1}{105}q_2^3$
$SU(3)$	$-\frac{9}{8!}q_2^3 + \frac{27}{2} \frac{1}{7!}q_3^2$	$\frac{85}{2} \frac{1}{8!}q_2^3 - \frac{6}{8!}q_3^2$

-
- [1] J.P.Hansen and I.R.McDonald, *Theory of simple liquids* (Academic Press, 2006)
 - [2] R.R.Nets and H.Orland, Eur. Phys. J. E **1**, 67 (2000) [arXiv:cond-mat/9902220]
 - [3] B.A.Gelman, E.V.Shuryak and I.Zahed, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 044908 (2006) [arXiv:nucl-th/0601029]
 - [4] K.Johnson, Annals Phys. **192**, 101 (1989)
 - [5] S.K.Wong, Nuovo Cimento A **65**, 689 (1970)
 - [6] J. Liao and E. shuryak, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 054907, (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0611131]
 - [7] J.-M.Caillol and J.-L.Raimbault, J. Stat. Phys. **103**, 753 (2001) [arXiv:cond-mat/0104173]
 - [8] B.A.Gelman, E.V.Shuryak and I.Zahed, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 044909 (2006) [arXiv:nucl-th/0605046]
 - [9] J.-L.Raimbault and J.-M.Caillol, J. Stat. Phys. **103**, 777 (2001) [arXiv:cond-mat/0104174]
 - [10] L.S.Brown and L.G.Yaffe, Phys. Rept. **340**, 1 (2001) [arXiv:physics/9911055]
 - [11] S. Cho and I. Zahed, [arXiv:0812.1741]
 - [12] D.F.Litim and C.Manuel, Phys. Rept. **364**, 451 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110104]
 - [13] S.Jeon and R.Venugopalan Phys. Rev. D **70**, 105012 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0406169]