

# ON ASYMPTOTIC DIMENSION AND A PROPERTY OF NAGATA

J. HIGES AND A. MITRA

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that every metric space  $(X, d)$  of asymptotic dimension at most  $n$  is coarsely equivalent to a metric space  $(Y, D)$  that satisfies the following property of Nagata:

*For every  $x, y_1, \dots, y_{n+2} \in Y$  there exist  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n+2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , such that  $D(y_i, y_j) \leq D(x, y_i)$ .*

This solves problem 1400 of [1].

## CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Main theorem	2
	References	3

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Nagata introduced two properties to characterize metric spaces with finite topological dimension (see [5], [6] and [7]). Such properties are generalizations to higher dimensions of the notion of ultrametric space. The definition of the properties are the following ones:

**Definition 1.1.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to satisfy the property  $(N1)_n$  if for every  $r > 0$  and every  $x, y_1, \dots, y_{n+2} \in X$  such that  $d(y_i, B(x, r)) < 2 \cdot r$  then there exists  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n+2\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that  $d(y_i, y_j) < 2 \cdot r$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to satisfy property  $(N2)_n$  if for every  $x, y_1, \dots, y_{n+2} \in X$  there exists  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n+2\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , such that  $d(y_i, y_j) \leq d(x, y_i)$ .

In [3] Dranishnikov and Zarichnyi showed that every proper metric space  $(X, d)$  of asymptotic dimension at most  $n$  is coarsely equivalent to a proper metric space that satisfies  $(N1)_n$ . So a natural question is if the same statement is true for the second property. Such problem appeared in [1] as problem 1400. In this paper we solve it for general metric spaces using a technique of [2].

---

*Date:* December 7, 2008.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54F45, 54C55, Secondary: 54E35, 18B30, 20H15.

The first named author is supported by grant 2004-2494 from Ministerio Educacion y Ciencia, Spain and project MEC, MTM2006-0825. He thanks University of Tennessee for hospitality. Special thanks to J. Dydak and N. Brodskiy for helpful suggestions.

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

The notion of asymptotic dimension was introduced by Gromov in [4] and it has been the focus of intense research in recent years. To give a definition we need to recall that a family of subsets  $\mathcal{U}$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be  $r$ -disjoint if for every two diferent  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{U}$  then  $d(U, V) > r$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be of asymptotic dimension at most  $n$  ( $asdim(X, d) \leq n$ ) if for every  $r > 0$  there exists a uniformly bounded covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  splits in a union of the form  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}^i$  where each  $\mathcal{U}^i$  is an  $r$ -disjoint family of subsets.

To simplify we will say that a covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  has *Lebesgue number* at least  $r$  ( $L(\mathcal{U}) \geq r$ ) if for every  $x \in X$  the ball  $B(x, r)$  is contained in one set of the covering. Next lemma characterizes asymptotic dimension in a nice way.

**Lemma 2.2.** *A metric space  $(X, d)$  is of asymptotic dimension at most  $n$  if and only if for every  $r > 0$  there exists a uniformly bounded covering  $\mathcal{U}$  where  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}^i$  such that each  $\mathcal{U}^i$  is an  $r$ -disjoint family and the Lebesgue number of  $\mathcal{U}$  is at least  $r$ .*

**Proof.** Only one implication is not trivial. Suppose  $asdim(X, d) \leq n$  and let  $r$  be a positive number. As  $asdim(X, d) \leq n$  there exists a uniformly bounded covering  $\mathcal{V}' = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{V}^i$  such that each  $\mathcal{V}^i$  is a  $3 \cdot r$ -disjoint family. Let  $\mathcal{U}^i$  be the family of subsets given by  $\mathcal{U}^i = \{N(V, r) | V \in \mathcal{V}^i\}$  where  $N(V, r) = \{x | d(x, V) < r\}$ . Now if  $U, U' \in \mathcal{U}^i$  are different elements of  $\mathcal{U}^i$  then clearly  $d(U, U') > r$ . Therefore the family  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}^i$  is a covering of mesh at most  $mesh(\mathcal{V}) + 2 \cdot r$  so it satisfies the conditions of the lemma.  $\square$

Next proposition is a version of proposition 3.6. of [3]. We give a proof to make the paper self-contained.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space such that  $asdim(X, d) \leq n$ . Then there exist a sequence of uniformly bounded coverings  $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and an increasing sequences of numbers  $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that:*

- (1) For every  $k$   $\mathcal{U}_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}_k^i$  and each  $\mathcal{U}_k^i$  is a  $d_k$ -disjoint family.
- (2)  $L(\mathcal{U}_k) \geq d_k$ .
- (3)  $d_{k+1} > 2 \cdot m_k$  where  $m_k = mesh(\mathcal{U}_k)$ .
- (4) For every  $i, k, l$  with  $k < l$  and every  $U \in \mathcal{U}_k^i, V \in \mathcal{U}_l^i$ , if  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , then  $U \subset V$ .

**Proof.** Let us construct the sequence by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  the result is just an application of lemma 2.2 for  $d_1 = 1$ . Suppose we have a finite sequence of uniformly bounded coverings  $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1}^t$  and a finite sequence of numbers  $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^t$  that satisfy properties (1)-(4). Let  $d_{t+1} > 0$  be a positive number such that  $d_{t+1} > 2 \cdot m_t$  and define  $D_{t+1} = d_{t+1} + 2 \cdot m_t$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2 there exists a uniformly bounded covering  $\mathcal{V}_{t+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{V}_{t+1}^i$  such that each  $\mathcal{V}_{t+1}^i$  is  $D_{t+1}$ -disjoint and  $L(\mathcal{V}_{t+1}) \geq D_{t+1}$ . Now for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  we define the family of subsets  $\mathcal{U}_{t+1}^i = \{U_V | V \in \mathcal{V}_{t+1}^i\}$  where  $U_V$  is defined as the union of  $V$  with all the subsets  $W \in \mathcal{U}_k^i$  with  $k \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  such that  $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . We claim that the covering given by  $\mathcal{U}_{t+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{U}_{t+1}^i$  satisfies the required conditions. Clearly the mesh of  $\mathcal{U}_{t+1}$  is bounded by  $2 \cdot m_t + mesh(\mathcal{V}_{t+1})$ . Also we have  $L(\mathcal{U}_{t+1}) \geq L(\mathcal{V}_{t+1}) \geq$

$D_{t+1} \geq d_{t+1}$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$  be a fix number. For every  $U_V, U_W \in \mathcal{U}_{t+1}^i$  we have  $d(U_V, U_W) > d(V, W) - 2 \cdot m_t = d_{t+1}$  so each  $\mathcal{U}_{t+1}^i$  is  $d_{t+1}$ -disjoint. The unique property that we need to check is (4). Let  $k, l$  be two numbers such that  $k < l \leq t+1$ . If  $l < t+1$  the fourth condition follows from the induction hypothesis. Let us suppose  $l = t+1$ . Let  $W \in \mathcal{U}_k^i$  and  $U_V \in \mathcal{U}_{t+1}^i$  such that  $W \cap U_V \neq \emptyset$ . This implies there exist an  $s \leq t$  and a  $V' \in \mathcal{U}_s^i$  such that  $V' \cap V \neq \emptyset$  and  $W \cap V' \neq \emptyset$ . By the induction hypothesis the last codition implies  $W \subset V'$  or  $V' \subset W$ . In both cases we can conclude  $W \subset U_V$ .  $\square$

A map  $f : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$  between metric spaces is said to be a *coarse* map if for every  $\delta > 0$  there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every two points  $a, b \in X$  that satisfy  $d_X(a, b) \leq \delta$  then  $d_Y(f(a), f(b)) \leq \epsilon$ . If there exist also a coarse map  $g : (Y, d_Y) \rightarrow (X, d_X)$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $x \in X$  and every  $y \in Y$ ,  $d_X(x, g(f(x))) \leq C$  and  $d_Y(y, f(g(y))) \leq C$  then  $f$  is said to be a *coarse equivalence* and the metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be *coarse equivalent*.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Every metric space  $(X, d)$  with  $\text{asdim} X \leq n$  is coarsely equivalent to a metric space that satisfies property  $(N2)_n$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\{\mathcal{U}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of coverings as in proposition 2.3. For every  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  we define:

$$D(x, y) = \min\{k \mid \text{there exists } U \in \mathcal{U}_k \text{ such that } x, y \in U\}$$

Notice that by the second and third properties of  $\mathcal{U}_k$  we can easily deduce that for every  $x, y, z \in X$ :

$$D(x, y) \leq \max\{D(x, z), D(y, z)\} + 1$$

This implies  $(X, D)$  is a metric space. Moreover if  $D(x, y) \leq \delta$  and  $k$  is the minimum natural number such that  $\delta \leq k$  then  $d(x, y) \leq m_k$ . In a similar way let us assume  $d(x, y) \leq \delta$ . Let  $d_r$  be the minimum number of the sequence  $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\delta \leq d_r$ . We have  $L(\mathcal{U}_r) > d_r$ , this implies there exists an  $U \in \mathcal{U}_r$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in U$  what means  $D(x, y) \leq r$ . We have shown  $(X, D)$  is coarsely equivalent to  $(X, d)$ .

Now let  $y_1, \dots, y_{n+2}, x \in X$  and define for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n+2\}$  the number  $r(i) = D(y_i, x)$ . By definition of  $D$  we get that for every  $i$  there exists a  $V_i \in \mathcal{U}_{r(i)}$  such that  $y_i \in V_i$  and  $x \in V_i$ . For every  $i$  there exists  $k(i)$  such that  $V_i \in \mathcal{U}_{r(i)}^{k(i)}$ . By the pigeon principle there are two  $i, j$  with  $i \neq j$  such that  $k(i) = k(j)$ . As  $x \in V_i \cap V_j$  by the fourth property of proposition 2.3 we conclude  $V_i \subseteq V_j$  or  $V_j \subseteq V_i$ . The first case means  $D(y_i, y_j) \leq D(x, y_j)$  and the second one means  $D(y_j, y_i) \leq D(x, y_i)$ . Therefore  $(X, D)$  satisfies  $(N2)_n$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Banach, B. Bokalo, I. Guran, T. Radul, M. Zarichnyi *Problems from the Lviv topological seminar*, in Open problems in Topology II. (E. Pearl ed.) Elseiver, 2007. P. 655–667.
- [2] N. Brodskiy, J. Dydak, J. Higes, A. Mitra, *Assouad-Nagata dimension via Lipschitz extensions*, to appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics.
- [3] A. Dranishnikov, M. Zarichnyi, *Universal spaces for asymptotic dimension*, Topology Appl. **140** (2004), no.2-3, 203–225.
- [4] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants for infinite groups*, in Geometric Group Theory, vol. 2, 1–295, G. Niblo and M. Roller, eds., Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [5] J. Nagata, *Note on dimension theory for metric spaces*, Fund Math. **45** (1958), 143–181.

- [6] J. Nagata, *On a special metric characterizing a metric space of  $\dim \leq n$* , Proc. Japan Acad. **39** (1963), 278-282.
- [7] J. Nagata, *On a special metric and dimension*, Fund Math. **55** (1964), 181-194.

DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, FACULTAD DE CC.MATEMÁTICAS. UNIVERSIDAD  
COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID. MADRID, 28040 SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* `josemhiges@yahoo.es`

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA, ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33701, USA  
*E-mail address:* `atish@stpt.usf.edu`