## Ferromagnetism and Lattice Distortions in the Perovskite YTiO<sub>3</sub>

W. Knafo<sup>1,2,3</sup>, C. Meingast<sup>1</sup>, and H. v. Löhneysen<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Institut für Festkörperphysik, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Physikalisches Institut, Universität Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire National des Champs Magnétiques Pulsés, UMR CNRS-UPS-INSA 5147,

143 Avenue de Rangueil, 31400 Toulouse, Cedex 4, France

A. V. Boris<sup>4,5</sup>, P. Popovich<sup>4</sup>, N. N. Kovaleva<sup>4,5</sup>, P. Yordanov<sup>4</sup>, A. Maljuk<sup>4,6</sup>, R. K. Kremer<sup>4</sup>, and B. Keimer<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstraße 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom.

<sup>6</sup>Hahn-Meitner-Institut, Glienicker Str. 100, 14109 Berlin, Germany.

(Dated: November 7, 2018)

The thermodynamic properties of the ferromagnetic perovskite YTiO<sub>3</sub> are investigated by thermal expansion, magnetostriction, specific heat, and magnetization measurements. The low-temperature spin-wave contribution to the specific heat, as well as an Arrott plot of the magnetization in the vicinity of the Curie temperature  $T_C \simeq 27$  K, are consistent with a three-dimensional Heisenberg model of ferromagnetism. However, a magnetic contribution to the thermal expansion persists well above  $T_C$ , which contrasts with typical three-dimensional Heisenberg ferromagnets, as shown by a comparison with the corresponding model system EuS. The pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and of the spontaneous moment  $M_s$  are extracted using thermodynamic relationships. They indicate that ferromagnetism is strengthened by uniaxial pressures  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}$  and is weakened by uniaxial pressures  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  and hydrostatic pressure. Our results show that the distortion along the *a*- and *b*-axes is further increased by the magnetic transition, confirming that ferromagnetism is favored by a large GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion. The *c*-axis results however do not fit into this simple picture, which may be explained by an additional magnetoelastic effect, possibly related to a Jahn-Teller distortion.

PACS numbers: 75.30.-m,75.50.Dd,75.50.Ee,75.80.+q

## 1. INTRODUCTION

ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskites exhibit a large variety of electronic and magnetic properties<sup>1</sup>. The titanate family  $ATiO_3$  recently attracted particular interest, since YTiO<sub>3</sub> orders ferromagnetically below the Curie temperature  $T_C \simeq 27$ K, whereas LaTiO<sub>3</sub> orders antiferromagnetically below the Néel temperature  $T_N \simeq 150 \text{ K}^{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ . In these systems, the S = 1/2 spins localized on the  $Ti^{3+}$  ions are responsible for the magnetic properties. A change from ferromagnetism to antiferromagnetism can be continuously tuned by varying the lanthanum concentration x in the alloys  $Y_{1-x}La_xTiO_3$ , or by changing the lanthan ide A (A = Yb $\rightarrow$ La) in the undoped ATiO<sub>3</sub><sup>1,2,3,4</sup>. A GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion is driven by ion-size mismatch and comprises rotations of the  $TiO_6$  octahedra. It is responsible for the distorted structure of the ATiO<sub>3</sub> crytals, with the space group *Pbnm*. This distortion is more pronounced in  $YTiO_3$  than in LaTiO<sub>3</sub>, being favored by smaller  $A^{3+}$  ions  $(A = Y, La)^{4,5}$ . In YTiO<sub>3</sub>, an additional elongation, by about 3 %, of the  $TiO_6$  octahedra is observed. This distortion has been ascribed to staggered ordering of the  $t_{2q}$  orbitals (Ti<sup>3+</sup> ions)<sup>6,7,8</sup>. The switch from antiferromagnetism to ferromagnetism in the ATiO<sub>3</sub> perovskites is probably controlled by the extreme sensitivity of the magnetic superexchange interactions to the distortions of the lattice  $^{4,5,13}$ . However, the mechanism driving this transition is still a matter of considerable debate<sup>5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13</sup>. For a proper description of the magnetic properties, it is thus crucial to carefully consider their dependence on the lattice distortion.

In this article, we present a study of the thermodynamic properties of YTiO<sub>3</sub>. Experimental details will be given in Section 2. In Section 3, the specific heat, thermal expansion, magnetization, and magnetostriction data measured with magnetic fields applied along the easy *c*-axis will be presented. In Section 4, these results will be discussed and compared to the behavior expected within a three-dimensional (3D) Heisenberg ferromagnetic model<sup>14</sup>. As a specific example, we will show data on the typical 3D Heisenberg system  $EuS^{15,16}$ . In Section 5, the relation between the distortion and the magnetic properties will be discussed in the light of our results. The dependence of the distortion on the  $A^{3+}$  ionic sizes, on uniaxial pressures, and on the temperature will be considered.

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Single crystals of  $YTiO_3$  were prepared by the floating zone method using a four-mirror-type infrared image furnace from Crystal System Corporation. More details about the crystal growth are given in Ref. 17. Two samples have been investigated and the measurements presented here were obtained on the sample with the

sharpest transition at  $T_C$ . This sample was cut so that its faces are perpendicular to the a-, b-, and c-axes, its dimensions at room temperature being equal to  $L_a^0 \simeq 2$ mm,  $L_b^0 \simeq 4$  mm, and  $L_c^0 \simeq 3$  mm along *a*, *b*, and *c*, respectively, with a mass of 116 mg. Thermal expansion and magnetostriction were measured using a home-made high-resolution capacitive dilatometer<sup>18,19</sup>, with temperature and field sweep rates of 20 mK/s and 0.5 T/min, respectively. Three sets of measurements were performed. where the length  $L_i$  was measured along the *a*-, *b*-, and *c*-axes (i = a, b, and c, respectively). Specific heat and magnetization were measured using a Physical Properties Measurement System and a Magnetic Properties Measurement System, respectively (Quantum Design). For all measurements, the magnetic field H was applied parallel to the easy axis c. The thermal expansion of EuS was measured using a 8 mm long single crystal grown from the melt by K. Fischer at the Forschungszentrum Jülich, as described elsewhere<sup>20</sup>.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Specific heat and thermal expansion

In Fig. 1, the specific heat  $C_p$  of YTiO<sub>3</sub> is shown in a  $C_p$  versus T plot. Ferromagnetic ordering is characterized by an anomaly at  $T_C = 26.8 \pm 0.3$  K, defined at the minimum of slope of  $C_p(T)$ . In Fig. 2 (a), the variation with T of the relative lengths  $\Delta L_i/L_i$  is shown for i = a, b, and  $c, \Delta L_i/L_i$  being fixed to zero at room temperature. The linear thermal expansion coefficients  $\alpha_i = (1/L_i)\partial L_i/\partial T$ , with i = a, b, and c, are extracted from these data and are plotted in Fig. 2 (b). The volume change  $\Delta V/V = \sum_{i=a,b,c} \Delta L_i/L_i$  and the related volume thermal expansion coefficient  $\alpha_V = (1/V)\partial V/\partial T$ are also shown in Fig. 2 (a) and (b), respectively. As seen in Fig. 2, changes of slope in  $L_a, L_b, L_c$ , and V are induced at  $T_C$ , leading to a positive anomaly in  $\alpha_a$  and to negative anomalies in  $\alpha_b, \alpha_c$ , and  $\alpha_V$ . The volume de-



FIG. 1: Variation with T of the specific heat  $C_p$  of YTiO<sub>3</sub>.



FIG. 2: Variation with T (a) of the relative lengths  $\Delta L_i/L_i$ for i = a, b, and c, and of the relative volume  $\Delta V/V$ , (b) of the thermal expansion coefficients  $\alpha_i$  for i = a, b, c and V.

crease below  $T_C$ , which is similar to the invar effect, will be further related to the negative hydrostatic pressure dependence of  $T_C$ . From the thermal expansion data, we extract a Curie temperature  $T_C = 26.8 \pm 0.05$  K at the extremum of slope of  $\alpha_i(T)$ . This is in good agreement with prior observations<sup>17</sup>. At temperatures sufficiently higher than  $T_C$ ,  $L_a$ ,  $L_c$ , and V increase with T, while  $L_b$ decreases with T (Fig. 2 (a)). This leads to the positive values of  $\alpha_a$ ,  $\alpha_c$ , and  $\alpha_V$  and to the negative values of  $\alpha_b$  observed at high temperatures in Fig. 2 (b). The anisotropy of  $\alpha_i$  at high temperatures is a consequence of the lattice distortions, which will be discussed in Section 5.

#### 3.2. Magnetization and magnetostriction

In Fig. 3, the magnetization versus field M(H) is shown for  $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$  in a log-log plot, at T = 1.8 K and T = 26.5 K  $\simeq T_C$ . At T = 1.8 K, a linear increase of M(H) is obtained for  $\mu_0 H < \mu_0 H^* \simeq 0.06$  T and is related to the alignment of ferromagnetic domains. For  $H > H^*$ , the spins are aligned parallel to  $\mathbf{H}$  and the magnetization M reaches  $M_s \simeq 0.8 \ \mu_B$ . In this regime, a slight increase of M(H) is observed. Indeed,  $M_s$  is not yet fully saturated and is somewhat smaller than the full moment of 1  $\mu_B$  expected for the S = 1/2 Ti<sup>3+</sup> ions<sup>14,21</sup>. At  $T \simeq T_C$ , M increases first almost linearly with H, for  $\mu_0 H < \mu_0 H^{*\prime} \simeq 0.02$  T, and then varies as  $M \propto H^{1/\delta}$ , with  $\delta = 4.47 \pm 0.2$ , for  $\mu_0 H^{*\prime} < \mu_0 H < 1$  T. This power



FIG. 3: Field-dependence of the magnetization M, on a loglog scale, at T = 1.8 K and T = 26.5 K  $\simeq T_C$ .

law, observed above 1 T, is characteristic of the critical ferromagnetic regime. Deviations are observed when M becomes close to  $M_s$ .

In Ref. 17, low temperature magnetization measurements were reported on the same sample as in the present work and moments of 0.84 and 0.82  $\mu_B$  were found for a magnetic field of 7 T applied along **b** and **c**, respectively. These data agree well with the saturated magnetic moment of 0.84  $\mu_B$  reported in Ref. 21 and 22, and with the moments of 0.83  $\pm$  0.05 and 0.84  $\pm$  0.05  $\mu_B$ , for the aand c axis, respectively, determined by recent Magnetic Compton Profile experiments<sup>23</sup>.

In Fig. 4, the magnetostriction coefficients  $\lambda_i = (1/L_i)\partial L_i/\partial(\mu_0 H)$  are plotted as a function of H on a log-log scale, at T = 2.5 K and  $T_C = 26.7$  K, with i = a, b, and c, and  $\mathbf{H} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ . For all temperatures and magnetic fields,  $\lambda_b$  and  $\lambda_c$  are positive while  $\lambda_a$  is negative. For the three configurations at T = 2.5 K,  $|\lambda_i(H)|$  increases for  $\mu_0 H < \mu_0 H^* \simeq 0.06$  T and is almost constant for  $H > H^*$ , when the domains are aligned. At  $T_C$ ,  $|\lambda_i(H)|$  increases before reaching a maximum at  $\mu_0 H^{*\prime} \simeq 0.02$  T. For  $\mu_0 H > \mu_0 H^{*\prime}$ , a critical regime is observed, where  $|\lambda_i(H)| \propto H^{-1/\delta'_i}$ , with  $\delta'_i = 2.64$ , 3.06, and 2.59  $\pm$  0.1 for i = a, b, and c, respectively. While the power law is followed up to almost 10 T in  $\lambda_b$ , deviations are found for  $\mu_0 H > 1$  T in  $\lambda_a$  and  $\lambda_c$ .



FIG. 4: Field-dependence of the magnetostriction  $\lambda_i$ , on a log-log scale, for i = a, b, and c, at T = 2.5 K and  $T_C = 26.7$  K ( $\lambda_a$  is plotted with a minus sign).

#### 4. FERROMAGNETIC PROPERTIES

### 4.1. Low temperature spin waves

In Fig. 5 (a), the specific heat of YTiO<sub>3</sub> is plotted in a log-log plot of  $C_p/T$  versus T. Well below  $T_C$ , the phonon contribution can be neglected and the signal, which varies as  $C_p(T) \propto T^{1.4}$  up to 10 K, is believed to be only magnetic. This power law is compatible with isotropic 3D Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin waves, for which a  $T^{1.5}$  law would be expected, and is thus in good agreement with the spin-wave dispersion observed by neutron scattering<sup>14</sup>. The slight deviation from the  $T^{1.5}$  law might result from a small spatial anisotropy of the exchange, the spin-wave contribution to the specific heat of a ferromagnet varying as  $C_p(T) \propto T^{d/2}$ , where d is the dimensionality of the exchange. It may also be related to possible additional antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations and/or to spin anisotropies originating from spin-orbit coupling (see below).

In Fig. 5 (b), the thermal expansion is plotted in a loglog plot of  $|\alpha_i|$  versus T, for i = a, b, and c. Power laws  $\alpha_i \propto T^{1.9}$  are found up to almost 20 K for i = a and c, while no clear power law is observed for i = b. As simple 3D Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin waves should lead to  $\alpha_i \propto C_p \propto T^{1.5}$ , the different T-dependences of  $C_p$  and  $\alpha_i$  reported here may result from anisotropic exchange interactions, which lead to weak additional magnetic Bragg reflections due to canting of the ferromagnetic moments<sup>14</sup>. Weak low-energy spin fluctuations around these wave vectors may contribute to the deviation of the



FIG. 5: (a) Specific heat and (b) thermal expansion of YTiO<sub>3</sub>, in  $C_p/T$  and  $|\alpha_i|$  versus T on log-log scales, respectively.

temperature dependence of the low-temperature specific heat and thermal expansion from the predictions of a simple ferromagnetic Heisenberg model<sup>24</sup>. Further studies of the spin wave spectra (e.g. by neutron scattering) and detailed calculations are needed for a quantitative explanation of the results obtained here. Systematic studies by specific heat and thermal expansion of the ATiO<sub>3</sub> family, such as the work initiated in Ref. 6, may be of importance to understand the evolution of the low temperature magnetic properties.

#### 4.2. Arrott plot and critical fluctuations

To analyze the critical ferromagnetic regime, the magnetization was measured as M versus H at several temperatures close to  $T_C$ . In Fig. 6, an Arrott plot of these data is shown as  $M^{1/\beta}$  versus  $(H/M)^{1/\gamma}$ , for  $25.9 \leq T \leq 27.1$  K. The critical exponents  $\beta = 0.392 \pm 0.05$  and  $\gamma = 1.475 \pm 0.1$  used in this plot were determined from a fit of M(H,T) using Arrott's equation of state<sup>25,26</sup>:

$$M^{1/\beta} = c_1 \left(\frac{H}{M}\right)^{1/\gamma} - c_2 \left(T - T_C\right),$$
 (1)

for  $0.02 < \mu_0 H < 1.3$  T and  $25.9 \le T \le 27.1$  K. The value of  $T_C = 26.44 \pm 0.05$  K obtained from this fit agrees well with that obtained from the specific heat and ther-

mal expansion (Section 3.1). From Equation (1):

with

$$M(H, T_C) \propto H^{1/\delta},\tag{2}$$

$$\delta = (\beta + \gamma)/\beta. \tag{3}$$

Using the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  obtained with Arrott's method, we calculate the critical exponent  $\delta = 4.76 \pm 0.2$ , which agrees favorably with  $\delta = 4.47 \pm 0.2$  directly obtained from the fit by a power law of M(H) at 26.5 K (Section 3.2).

In Table I, the exponents  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\delta$  expected for different classes of universality<sup>27</sup> are listed for comparison. The exponents extracted from the Arrott plot of the magnetization of YTiO<sub>3</sub> are rather close to those of the 3D Heisenberg universality class. A similar plot was made in Ref. 28 using 3D Heisenberg exponents, but without a preliminary fit of the M(H,T) data as done here. As already inferred from the behavior of the low temperature spin waves, the critical behavior of the magnetization, too, is thus consistent with a 3D Heisenberg picture of ferromagnetism (cf. Section 4.1 and Ref. 14).

In the following, the power laws reported for the magnetostriction at  $T_C$  (Fig. 4) are related to the critical power law of the magnetization (Fig. 3) and to the critical exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Using the Maxwell relation:

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{L_i} \frac{\partial L_i}{\partial (\mu_0 H)} = -\frac{\partial M}{\partial p_i},\tag{4}$$

the magnetostriction coefficients can be expressed as functions of the uniaxial pressure dependences of the magnetization. Assuming that  $\partial c_1/\partial p_i = 0$ , the derivative of Equation (1) leads to, at  $T = T_C$ :

$$\lambda_i(H, T_C) = -A \frac{\partial T_C}{\partial p_i} H^{-1/\delta'}, \qquad (5)$$

with  $A = c_1^{-\gamma/\delta'} c_2 \gamma/\delta$  and  $\delta' = (\beta + \gamma)/(1 - \beta)$ . (6)

From the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  obtained by the Arrott fit of the magnetization, a critical exponent  $\delta' = 3.07 \pm 0.5$ 



FIG. 6: Best Arrott plot of the data, with  $\beta = 0.392$ ,  $\gamma = 1.475$ , and  $T_C = 26.44$  K. The dotted line indicates the critical regime at  $T_C$ , associated with the exponent  $\delta = 4.76$ .

TABLE I: Critical exponents  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\delta'$  obtained here for YTiO<sub>3</sub> and expected for different universality classes<sup>27</sup>.

Critical exponents	β	$\gamma$	δ	$\delta'$
$YTiO_3$ (best fit)	0.392(50)	1.475(100)	4.76(20)	3.07 (50)
3D Heisenberg	0.367	1.388	4.78	2.77
3D XY	0.345	1.316	4.81	2.54
3D Ising	0.326	1.238	4.80	2.32
2D Ising	0.125	1.75	15	2.14
Mean Field	0.5	1	3	3

is expected to characterize the magnetostriction at  $T_C$ . This value is in good agreement with the values  $2.59 \leq \delta'_i \leq 3.06$  determined from the fits of  $\lambda_i(H)$  at  $T_C$  (see Section 3.2). For each set of  $(\beta,\gamma)$ , the corresponding  $\delta'$  values are also given in Table I. These values are compatible with a 3D Heisenberg scenario of ferromagnetism for YTiO<sub>3</sub> associated with  $\delta' = 2.77$ . The slight variations of  $\delta'_i$  with *i* are not understood and may result from various secondary effects (anisotropic energy scales, defects etc.).

To our knowledge, YTiO<sub>3</sub> is the second ferromagnetic system known, after the itinerant ferromagnet UIr<sup>29</sup>, where a critical power law is reported in the magnetostriction at  $T_C$ . We believe that such an effect is quite general and should be present in most ferromagnets, once the field and temperature ranges are properly chosen. We note that, more than 60 years ago, Belov has theoretically predicted a similar law<sup>30</sup>, but only within a mean-field approach, which corresponds to  $\beta = 0.5$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , and  $\delta = \delta' = 3$  (cf. Table I). Our approach is more general and permits to obtain the critical exponent  $\delta'$  for each combination of  $(\beta, \gamma)$  and thus, for each universality class.

# 4.3. High-temperature magnetic signal: deviation from a pure 3D Heisenberg ferromagnet

The distortion of the lattice induces the strong anisotropy observed in the high-temperature thermal expansion of  $YTiO_3$  (see Fig. 2). This anisotropic lattice signal must be properly taken into account to extract the magnetic contribution to the thermal expansion. Since  $\alpha_a$  and  $\alpha_c$  are rather close above 100 K, we assume that the lattice contributions to  $\alpha_a$  and  $\alpha_c$  are similar, so that  $\Delta \alpha = \alpha_a - \alpha_c$ , which is plotted in Fig. 7 (a), can be considered as a signal representative of the magnetic thermal expansion. In this plot, the thin red line is a guide to the eye indicating the "remaining" non-magnetic background and the yellow area corresponds to the estimated magnetic contribution. In Fig. 7 (b), the thermal expansion  $\alpha$  of cubic EuS<sup>31</sup>, which is known as a prototype of 3D Heisenberg ferromagnetism<sup>32,33,34</sup>, is shown for comparison. The thin blue line is a guide to the eye indicating the non-magnetic background and the magnetic contribution

is estimated by the blue area. The estimates of the magnetic contribution to the thermal expansion of YTiO<sub>3</sub> and EuS are plotted in Fig. 7 (c). In this plot, the magnetic thermal expansion coefficient  $\alpha^{mag}$  is normalized by its maximal value  $\alpha_{max}^{mag}$  and the temperature T is normalized by  $T_C$ . Fig. 7 (c) indicates that, in YTiO<sub>3</sub>, the magnetic signal has a significant weight above  $T_C$  and extends up to about  $5 \times T_C$  while, in EuS, it has most of its intensity below  $T_C$  and vanishes completely above about  $2 \times T_C$ . Thus, the magnetic fluctuations of YTiO<sub>3</sub> cannot be described as those of a simple 3D Heisenberg



FIG. 7: Temperature dependence of (a)  $(\Delta \alpha = \alpha_a - \alpha_c)$  for YTiO<sub>3</sub>, and of (b)  $\alpha$  for EuS. In both plots, the thin line is a guide to the eye indicating the non-magnetic background, the colored area is the magnetic contribution deduced from the raw data after substraction of this background, and the vertical dotted line indicates the ferromagnetic transition temperature. (c) Magnetic contribution to the thermal expansion estimated for YTiO<sub>3</sub> (red line) and for EuS (blue line), in a normalized  $\alpha^{mag}/\alpha^{mag}_{max}$  vs  $T/T_C$  plot.



FIG. 8: Temperature dependence of the magnetic contribution  $C_p^{mag}$  to the specific heat, in a  $C_p^{mag}/T$  versus T plot. The inset shows the scaling of  $C_p(T)$  (red line) and  $\alpha_V(T)$ (green line), which permitted to estimate the non-magnetic contribution (blue line).

## ferromagnet.

As shown in the inset of Fig. 8, the specific heat  $C_n(T)$  and the volume thermal expansion  $\alpha_V(T)$  can be scaled at high temperatures using an empirical parameter  $y = 3.96 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mJ/mol}$  defined by  $C_p = y \times \alpha_V$ . Assuming that the magnetic contribution to  $C_p$  and  $\alpha_V$  is negligible above 200 K, and that there is a single Gruneisen parameter associated with the phonons for the [0,300 K] range, we can estimate the phonon contribution to the specific heat by  $C_p^{ph} = C_p - z \times (C_p - y \times \alpha_V), z = 0.2$ being adjusted so that no anomaly remains at  $T_C$ . The main frame of Fig. 8 shows the resulting estimate of the magnetic contribution  $C_p^{mag}(T)$  to the specific heat. This plot confirms the conclusions from Fig. 7, i.e. that a magnetic contribution is present up to more than 100 K. Integration of the estimated magnetic heat capacity leads to the magnetic entropy  $\Delta S^{mag} \simeq 4.5$  J/mol.K. This entropy is roughly equal to the full spin entropy  $\Delta S_{full}^{mag} = R \ln 2 \simeq 5.8 \text{ J/mol.K}$  expected for S = 1/2 spinsystem; the fact that  $\Delta S^{mag}$  is about 20 % smaller than  $\Delta S_{full}^{mag'}$  may be imputed to the experimental error.

In Fig. 8, the estimated magnetic contributions to the thermal expansion  $\alpha^{mag}(T)$  and to the specific heat  $C_n^{mag}(T)$  are plotted together; above 50 K, the different shapes of the signals indicate the limit of the methods used here. Both plots indicate clearly the presence of a magnetic signal at temperatures well above ferromagnetic ordering. The origin of this behavior, which is not expected for usual 3D-Heisenberg ferromagnets (see Fig. 7), is not yet understood. In principle, a modified conventional spin-only fluctuation model, for example with competing (and possibly low-dimensional) antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic interactions, could describe this anomalously high-temperature magnetic signal. However, this is in apparent contradiction with the magnon spectra reported by neutron scattering, which do not exhibit pronounced deviations from the predictions of a 3D

Heisenberg model with nearest-neighbor interactions<sup>14</sup>. An alternative explanation of the extended magnetic fluctuation regime could be offered by spin-orbital fluctuations models, where an energy scale significantly exceeding the magnon bandwidth (in Ref. 41,42, orbital fluctuations were associated with an excitation at about 250 meV) could actuate ferromagnetic fluctuations at temperatures between  $T_C$  and room temperature<sup>10</sup>. Further work is required to ascertain whether a quantitatively consistent picture of the spin<sup>14</sup> and orbital<sup>41,42</sup> excitation spectra and thermodynamics of YTiO<sub>3</sub> can be obtained.

### 5. COUPLING BETWEEN THE LATTICE AND THE MAGNETIC PROPERTIES

# 5.1. Uniaxial pressure dependences - Comparison with $LaTiO_3$

Fig. 9 shows the anomalies at  $T_C$  in the specific heat and in the thermal expansion of YTiO<sub>3</sub>. These anomalies are typical of a second-order phase transition, whose jumps are estimated as  $\Delta C_p = 2.3 \pm 0.1$  J/molK in the specific heat and as  $\Delta \alpha_a = 6.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta \alpha_b =$  $-3.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\Delta \alpha_c = -4.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6}$  K<sup>-1</sup> in the thermal expansion. Using the Ehrenfest relation:

$$\frac{\partial T_C}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\Delta \alpha_i V_m T_C}{\Delta C_p},\tag{7}$$

where  $V_m = 3.46 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3/\text{mol}$  is the molar volume and  $p_i$  a uniaxial pressure applied along i (i = a, b, and c), we extract the uniaxial pressure dependences  $\partial T_C / \partial p_i$  reported in Table II. The sum of the three uniaxial pressure dependences of  $T_C$  gives the hydrostatic pressure dependence  $\partial T_C / \partial p_h = -6.0 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ K/kbar}$ . Assuming that, in YTiO<sub>3</sub>,  $\partial T_C / \partial p_h$  remains constant under hydrostatic pressure, ferromagnetism may be destroyed above  $p_c \simeq 400$  kbar.

For comparison, the uniaxial pressure dependences of  $T_N$ , for the antiferromagnet LaTiO<sub>3</sub>, are also listed in Table II. To calculate them,  $T_C$  in Equation (7) was substituted by  $T_N \simeq 146$  K,  $\Delta C_p = 10$  J/molK,  $\Delta \alpha_a = -5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$  K<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta \alpha_b = 5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-5}$  K<sup>-1</sup>, and

TABLE II: Uniaxial and hydrostatic pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and  $M_s$  for YTiO<sub>3</sub> and of  $T_N$  for LaTiO<sub>3</sub>. The ratio  $\rho_i$  of the pressures dependences of  $T_C$  and  $M_s$  is given for YTiO<sub>3</sub>.

		YTiO <sub>3</sub>		$LaTiO_3$
	$\partial {\rm ln T_C} / \partial {\rm p_i}$	$\partial {\rm ln}M_{\rm s}/\partial p_{\rm i}$	$ ho_i$	$\partial {\rm ln} T_{\rm N} / \partial p_{\rm i}$
	$(10^{-3} \text{kbar}^{-1})$	$(10^{-3} \text{kbar}^{-1})$		$(10^{-2} \rm kbar^{-1})$
$p_a$	$9.9\pm1.0$	$2.7\pm0.4$	$3.7\pm1.0$	$-1.9\pm0.4$
$p_b$	$-5.1\pm0.5$	$-2.7\pm0.4$	$1.9\pm0.5$	$1.9\pm0.4$
$p_c$	-7.1 $\pm$ 0.7	-0.77 $\pm$ 0.15	$9.1\pm2.5$	$\simeq 0$
$p_h$	$-2.3\pm0.3$	-0.77 $\pm$ 0.15	$2.9\pm1.0$	$\simeq 0$



FIG. 9: Ferromagnetic ordering anomaly (a) in the specific heat and (b-d) in the thermal expansivity coefficients along a, b, and c. In these curves, the jumps  $\Delta C_p$ ,  $\Delta \alpha_a$ ,  $\Delta \alpha_b$ , and  $\Delta \alpha_c$  at the ferromagnetic ordering are indicated by arrows.

 $\Delta \alpha_c \simeq 0$  being estimated from Ref. 40. While  $\partial T_N / \partial p_c$ is too small to be extracted from Ref. 40,  $\partial T_N / \partial p_a$  and  $\partial T_N / \partial p_b$  are such that  $\partial T_N / \partial p_a = -\partial T_N / \partial p_b < 0$ . For  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ , the uniaxial pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and  $T_N$ , for YTiO<sub>3</sub> and LaTiO<sub>3</sub>, respectively, have thus opposite sign. The effects of pressure along c are such that  $\partial T_C / \partial p_c < 0$  and  $\partial T_N / \partial p_c \simeq 0$ . In Sections 5.2 and 5.3, the uniaxial pressure-dependences of  $T_C$  and  $T_N$  in YTiO<sub>3</sub> and LaTiO<sub>3</sub>, respectively, will be interpreted as resulting from pressure-induced modifications of the distortion.

Well below  $T_C$  and for  $\mu_0 H > \mu_0 H^* = 0.06$  T,  $M \simeq M_s \simeq 0.8 \ \mu_B$  (Fig. 3) and the magnetostriction coefficients of YTiO<sub>3</sub> are almost constant, having the values  $\lambda_a \simeq -3.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-6}$  T<sup>-1</sup>,  $\lambda_b \simeq 3.5 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-6}$ T<sup>-1</sup>, and  $\lambda_c \simeq 1.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-6}$  T<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 4). Using the Maxwell relation given in Eq. (4), we extract:

$$\frac{\partial M_s}{\partial p_i} = -\lambda_i (T = 2.5 \text{ K}). \tag{8}$$

The values of  $\partial M_s/\partial p_i$ , for i = a, b, and c, as well as their sum, the hydrostatic pressure dependence  $\partial M_s/\partial p_h$ , are summarized in Table II.

For each i = a, b, c, and h ( $h \leftrightarrow$  hydrostatic), the pressure dependences  $\partial T_C / \partial p_i$  and  $\partial M_s / \partial p_i$  have always the same sign, being both positive for i = a and both negative for i = b, c, h (Table II). Ferromagnetic order is thus stabilized by uniaxial pressure  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}$  and is destabilized by uniaxial pressure  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  and by hydrostatic pressure. Consequently, the ratio  $\rho_i$ , defined by:

$$\rho_i = \frac{M_s}{T_C} \frac{\partial T_C / \partial p_i}{\partial M_s / \partial p_i},\tag{9}$$

is always positive. As shown in Table II, we find that  $\rho_i$  is strongly anisotropic, being bigger when the *i*-axis is easier ( $c = \text{easy}, a = \text{intermediate}, \text{ and } b = \text{hard}^{17,21}$ ).

Although  $YTiO_3$  is a localized ferromagnet, its saturated moment, at about 5 T, is only 80 % of the fully saturated moment  $M_s^{full} = 1 \ \mu_B$ . A small canted antiferromagnetic moment  $M_{AF} \approx 0.1 \ \mu_B$  was reported by neutron scattering in YTiO<sub>3</sub><sup>14</sup> and explains partly why  $M_s$  is reduced. In addition, the reduction of  $M_s$  may indicate an enhanced phase space for quantum magnetic fluctuations. The question is whether this reduction comes from usual spin-only fluctuations, or if it results from more complicated fluctuations involving orbital degrees of freedom<sup>10,14</sup>. The high values of  $\partial M_s / \partial p_i$  in YTiO<sub>3</sub> may result from the combination of two effects, which can be summarized as the uniaxial pressure-induced transfers of weight (i) between the ferromagnetic moment  $M_s$  and the antiferromagnetic moment  $M_{AF}$  and, (ii) between  $M_s$  and some quantum magnetic fluctuations  $\delta M$ . The second effect is similar to what happens in itinerant ferromagnets, where  $M_s$  is reduced by quantum fluctuations  $\delta M$  of the magnetic moment, and where the strong pressure dependences of  $M_s$  are related to those of  $\delta M$ .

A similar analysis as the one presented here was reported for the itinerant weak ferromagnet UIr (Ref. 29), in the framework of the Moriya's spin fluctuation theory of itinerant magnetism<sup>38,39</sup>. By analogy, a spin fluctuation theory, adapted to the particular case of  $YTiO_3$ , may be appropriate.

## 5.2. Coupling between the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion and the magnetic ordering

In the  $ATiO_3$  perovskites, the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion comprises a combination of tilts and rotations of the  $TiO_6$  octaedra. This results in an orthorhombic structure, where  $b > a_0\sqrt{2} > c/\sqrt{2} > a$ ,  $a_0$  being the lattice parameter of an undistorted cubic structure  $^{43,46}$ . In the alloys  $Y_{1-x}La_xTiO_3$ , La-substitution induces a decrease of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion, which is believed to control the change from ferromagnetism to antiferromagnetism $^{1,2,3,4,5}$  (Fig. 10). This picture, in which ferromagnetism is favored by a large GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion, is qualitatively confirmed by the increase of the distortion of the (a,b) plane induced below  $T_C$  (see Fig. 2). In the following, we will further show that, for  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ , the uniaxial pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and  $T_N$  are mainly controlled by those of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion.

In YTiO<sub>3</sub> and LaTiO<sub>3</sub>, the negative sign of  $\partial T_C / \partial p_b$ and the positive sign of  $\partial T_N / \partial p_b$  (see Table II), respectively, imply that a uniaxial pressure  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{b}$  can be seen as equivalent to La-doping (cf. the corresponding arrow in Fig. 10). Conversely, the fact that  $\partial T_C / \partial p_a$  is positive while  $\partial T_N / \partial p_a$  is negative (Table II) implies that  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}$  is equivalent to Y-doping (see Fig. 10). As  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{b}$  induces a compression along *b* and, because of elasticity, extensions along *a* and *c*, its effects are very similar to those of reducing the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion. By analogy,  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}$  leads to a compression along *a* and to small extensions along *b* 



FIG. 10: Schematic magnetic phase diagram of  $Y_{1-x}La_xTiO_3$ (AF = antiferromagnetic and FM = ferromagnetic). The arrows indicate the effects of increasing the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion and of applying uniaxial pressures  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ .

and c, which is similar to increasing the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion. Thus, we conclude that  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{b}$  induce an increase and a decrease of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion, respectively, which are responsible for the various signs of  $\partial T_{C,N}/\partial p_i$ , for i = a, b.

The uniaxial pressures dependences of  $T_C$  and  $T_N$  are a consequence of the high sensitivity of the superexchange interactions to the bond angles between the ions, whose positions are very sensitive to the pressure-induced modifications of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion. The application of uniaxial pressures  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ , as well as the variation of the A<sup>3+</sup> ion, permits thus to tune the competition between the ferromagnetic and the antiferromagnetic exchange interactions, via a change of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion.

However, a pressure-driven change of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>type distortion cannot explain the results obtained for  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ , i.e.  $\partial T_C / \partial p_c < 0$  and  $\partial T_N / \partial p_c \simeq 0$ . Indeed,  $\partial T_C / \partial p_c > 0$  and  $\partial T_N / \partial p_c < 0$  would be expected if  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{c}$  merely modified the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion (since  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{c}$  contracts c, it should increase the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion). Another mechanism, in addition to the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion, is needed to understand the pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and  $T_N$  for  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ . In the next Section, we will show that a higher sensitivity of the c-axis length to the intrinsic elongations of the octahedra may be the origin of this behavior.

## 5.3. Distortion of the $TiO_6$ octahedra

#### 5.3.1. Microscopic description

The lattice structure of YTiO<sub>3</sub> is represented schematically in Fig. 11, where the alternation of tilts and rotations of the  $TiO_6$  octahedra (in blue) is due to the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion. An additional distortion consists of an elongation of each octahedron along a particular axis (orange arrows in Fig. 11), and of contractions perpendicularly to this axis. In Ref. 4,5,7,8, the fact that the elongated axes vary from one site to another was ascribed to a staggered ordering of the  $t_{2q}$  orbitals (Ti<sup>3+</sup> ions) via a collective Jahn-Teller effect. By geometrical considerations, we can qualitatively estimate the macroscopic distortion induced by the elongations of the octahedra. As seen in Fig. 11, the elongated axes are almost contained within the (a, b) plane, i.e. perpendicularly to the *c*-axis. This implies that the elongations of the octahedra induce a contraction of the c-axis. In the (a, b) plane, the elongated axes of two adjacent octahedra subtend an angle of about 60  $^{\circ}$ , so that the elongations and contractions of the different octahedra almost cancel each other. Since the projections of the elongated axes are larger along b than along a (the elongated axes subtend an angle of about 30  $^{\circ}$  with *b* and of about 60  $^{\circ}$  with a), we finally conclude that the elongations of the octahedra are responsible for a small elongation of b and for



FIG. 11: Schematics of the lattice structure of YTiO<sub>3</sub>. The Ti<sup>3+</sup> ions are represented by grey spheres, the Y<sup>3+</sup> ions by green spheres, and the O<sup>2-</sup> ions by red spheres. The TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra are colored in blue, and orange arrows show their elongated direction, possibly due to the Jahn-Teller distortion.

a tiny compression of a, in addition to the main effect, a compression along c.

# 5.3.2. Lattice parameters: comparison of the families $ATiO_3$ and $AFeO_3$

Here we propose a method, based on a comparison of the lattice parameters a, b and c of the families ATiO<sub>3</sub> and AFeO<sub>3</sub>, to confirm the description made in Section 5.3.1 of the effects of the elongation of the octahedra on a, b and c. Assuming that these elongations are related to a Jahn-Teller distortion<sup>44</sup>, the comparison of the lattice parameters of ATiO<sub>3</sub> and AFeO<sub>3</sub> can be justified by the fact that, contrary to Ti<sup>3+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup> is not Jahn-Teller active so that AFeO<sub>3</sub> can be considered as a non-Jahn-Teller reference for ATiO<sub>3</sub>.

In Fig. 12 (a) and (b), the unit cell volume V and the lattice parameters a, b, and  $c/\sqrt{2}$  are plotted versus the

ionic radius of the A<sup>3+</sup> ions, for several compounds of the families ATiO<sub>3</sub> and AFeO<sub>3</sub> (A=Lu→La)<sup>9,45,46,48,49</sup>. The ionic radii of the A<sup>3+</sup> ions are taken from Ref. 49, assuming a number of 8 nearest neighbors<sup>6</sup>. While LaTiO<sub>3</sub> and LaFeO<sub>3</sub> are almost undistorted ( $a \simeq b \simeq c/\sqrt{2}$ ), Fig. 12 (b) shows a strong distortion of the pseudo-cubic lattice in ATiO<sub>3</sub> and AFeO<sub>3</sub>, once A<sup>3+</sup> is smaller than La<sup>3+</sup>.

In Fig. 12 (c), the unit cell volumes  $V^{\rm ATiO_3}$  of the ATiO\_3 compounds are scaled empirically with the unit cell volumes  $V^{\rm AFeO_3}$  of the AFeO\_3 compounds, using a scaling factor f = 1.01 defined by  $V^{\rm ATiO_3} = V^{\rm AFeO_3} * f^3$ . In Fig. 12 (d), the lattice parameters of ATiO\_3 are scaled to those of AFeO\_3 using the factor 1/f. As the undistorted limit in the ABO\_3 perovskites corresponds to a cubic lattice parameter  $a_0^{\rm ABO_3} = 2(r_{\rm O} + r_{\rm B})$ , where  $r_{\rm O}$  and  $r_{\rm B}$  are the ionic radii of the O<sup>2-</sup> and B<sup>3+</sup> ions, respectively, we associate the empirical scaling factor f = 1.01 to the ratio  $a_0^{\rm ATiO_3}/a_0^{\rm AFeO_3} = 1.013$ , calculated with  $r_{\rm O} = 1.35$ ,  $r_{\rm Ti} = 0.67$ , and  $r_{\rm Fe} = 0.645$  Å<sup>49</sup>.



FIG. 12: Variations, for the ATiO<sub>3</sub> and AFeO<sub>3</sub> systems, of (a) the unit cell volume V and (b) the lattice parameters a, b, and  $c/\sqrt{2}$ , as a function of the ionic radius of A<sup>3+</sup>. In (c) and (d), the volume and the lattice parameters of ATiO<sub>3</sub> and AFeO<sub>3</sub> are scaled together using the empiric factor f = 1.01.

Since the ionic radii of  $Ti^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$  are very close, we assume that, for the two families, the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion induces similar variations of their lattice parameters in the scaled plot of Fig. 12 (d). Consequently, the elongations of the octahedra, which can be neglected in the non-Jahn-Teller compound AFeO<sub>3</sub>, might be responsible for the slight differences, in Fig. 12 (d), between the scaled lattice parameters of the two families. This implies that the elongations of the TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra in YTiO<sub>3</sub> induce a decrease of  $c/\sqrt{2}$  by about 0.5 Å, accompanied by a smaller increase of b, by about 0.3 Å, and by no noticeable change of a. These conclusions, obtained using the scaled plot of Fig. 12 (d), confirm those deduced from geometrical arguments in Section 5.3.1.

#### 5.3.3. Anomalous character of the c-axis?

When T is reduced, the decrease of c is slowing down as the ferromagnetic transition at  $T_C$  is approached, which ends by an upturn below  $T_C$ , where c increases with decreasing T (see Fig. 2 (a)). The behavior of the c-axis contrasts with those of the a- and b-axes, whose variations are monotonic for 4 < T < 300 K and are amplified below  $T_C$  (see Fig. 2 (a)). The distortion of the (a,b) plane results mainly from the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion, whose modifications also control the uniaxial pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and  $T_N$  for  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  (see Section 5.2). In Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2, c was shown to be more sensitive than a and b to the elongations of the TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra, possibly related to a Jahn-Teller effect. The sensitivity of c to the distortions of the octahedra may be related to the anomalous uniaxial pressuredependences of  $T_C$  and  $T_N$  for  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{c}$  (see Section 5.2), but also to the anomalous behavior of the c-axis in the spectral weight transfers of the optical conductivity<sup>17</sup>. Our findings are in apparent contradiction to theories according to which the Jahn-Teller distortion is an essential prerequisite of ferromagnetism in  $YTiO_3$  (Refs. 4,5). Rather, the properties of YTiO<sub>3</sub> seem to be reminiscent of those of  $La_{7/8}Sr_{1/8}MnO_3$ , where a Jahn-Teller distortion is fully suppressed at the onset of  $ferromagnetism^{50}$ .

### 5.4. High-temperature extrapolation



FIG. 13: High temperature linear extrapolation of the lattice parameters a, b, and  $c/\sqrt{2}$  of YTiO<sub>3</sub>.

Equivalently to uniaxial pressures and variations of the

 $A^{3+}$  ion size, increasing the temperature leads to a reduction of the distortion in the ABO<sub>3</sub> perovskites. Indeed, the strong anisotropy of the thermal expansion coefficients  $\alpha_i$ , shown in Fig. 2 (b), is governed by the effects of temperature on the crystal distortion. Assuming constant thermal expansion coefficients above room temperature, Fig. 13 shows high-temperature extrapolations, up to 4000 K, of the lattice parameters  $a, b, and c/\sqrt{2^{51}}$ . This plot indicates that, in YTiO<sub>3</sub>, a cubic structure with  $a = b = c/\sqrt{2^{43}}$  might be recovered around 3000-4000 K. However, this temperature scale, characteristic of the lattice distortion, is inaccessible since it is far above the melting temperature of YTiO<sub>3</sub>.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

The thermodynamic study of the perovskite system YTiO<sub>3</sub> presented here allowed us to extract information about the ferromagnetic ordering and its coupling to the lattice distortions. While the low-temperature specific-heat data, as well as an Arrott plot of the magnetization close to  $T_C$ , are consistent with a 3D Heisenberg picture of ferromagnetism, deviations from this simple picture were observed in the thermal expansion data at low temperature, where an unexpected power law is found. Above  $T_C$ , a magnetic signal persists up to the remarkably high temperature of  $5 \times T_C$ . Further work is required to show whether models incorporating combined spin-orbital fluctuations, instead of spin-only fluctuations, could quantitatively describe this extended fluctuation regime.

Ehrenfest and Maxwell relations enabled us to extract the uniaxial pressure dependences of the Curie temperature  $T_C$  and of the spontaneous moment  $M_s$ , which indicates that ferromagnetism is stabilized by uniaxial pressures  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}$  and is destabilized by uniaxial pressures  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  and by hydrostatic pressure. We interpreted the uniaxial pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and  $M_s$  obtained for  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  as resulting from uniaxial pressure-induced modifications of the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion. A high sensitivity of the *c*-axis to an additional distortion of the TiO<sub>6</sub> octahedra, possibly related to a Jahn-Teller effect, is believed to be responsible for the anomalous uniaxial pressure dependences of  $T_C$  and  $M_s$  observed for  $\mathbf{p} \parallel \mathbf{c}$ . This confirms that both kinds of distortion play an important role for the formation of ferromagnetism in  $YTiO_3$ , Jahn-Teller distortion being not a necessary condition for ferromagnetism in  $YTiO_3$ . While the *a*- and *b*-axes are more sensitive to the  $GdFeO_3$ -type distortion, the caxis is more sensitive to the elongations of the octahedra. Finally, a high-temperature extrapolation of the lattice parameters led to the onset of the distortion at a virtual temperature of about 3000-4000 K. These results might be considered to further develop models for the electronic properties of the titanates.

### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge useful discussions with T. Schwarz, D. Fuchs, M. Merz, R. Eder, O. Andersen, E. Pavarini, and G. Khaliullin. We thank K. Fischer for synthesizing

- <sup>1</sup> J.B. Goodenough, Rep. Prog. Phys. **67**, 1915, (2004).
- <sup>2</sup> J.P. Goral, J.E. Greedan, and D.A. Maclean, J. Solid State Chem. **43**, 244 (1982).
- <sup>3</sup> Y. Okimoto, T. Katsufuji, Y. Okada, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 9581, (1995).
- <sup>4</sup> M. Mochizuki and M. Imada, New J. Phys. **6**, 154 (2004).
- <sup>5</sup> E. Pavarini, A. Yamasaki, J. Nuss, and O.K. Andersen, New J. Phys. **7**, 188 (2005).
- <sup>6</sup> A.C. Komarek, H. Roth, M. Cwik, W.-D. Stein, J. Baier, M. Kriener, F. Bourré, T. Lorenz, and M. Braden, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 224402, (2007).
- <sup>7</sup> J. Akimitsu, H. Ishikawa, N. Eguchi, T. Miyano, M. Nishi, and K. Kakurai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70**, 3475 (2001).
- <sup>8</sup> F. Iga, M Tsubota, M. Sawada, H.B. Huang, S. Kura, M. Takemura, K. Yaji, M. Nagira, A. Kimura, T. Jo, T. Takabatake, H. Namatame, and M. Taniguchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 257207, (2004).
- <sup>9</sup> H.D. Zhou and J.B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 184431 (2005).
- <sup>10</sup> G. Khaliullin and S. Okamoto, Phys. Rev. B 68, 205109, (2003).
- <sup>11</sup> L. Craco, S. Leoni, and E. Müller-Hartmann, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 155128, (2006).
- <sup>12</sup> S. Okatov, A. Poteryaev, and A. Lichtensein, Eurphys. Lett. **70**, 499, (2005).
- <sup>13</sup> I.V. Solovyev, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 054412, (2006).
- <sup>14</sup> C. Ulrich, G. Khaliullin, S. Okamoto, M. Reehuis, A. Ivanov, H. He, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 167202, (2002).
- <sup>15</sup> H. Maletta, J. Appl. Phys. **53**, 2185, (1982).
- <sup>16</sup> H. Maletta and W. Zinn, *Handbook of the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earth*, Vol. 12, p. 213, edited by K.A. Gschneidner, L. Evring, (Elsevier, 1989).
- <sup>17</sup> N.N. Kovaleva, A.V. Boris, P. Yordanov, A. Maljuk, E. Brücher, J. Strempfer, M. Konuma, I. Zegkinoglou, C. Bernhard, A.M. Stoneham, and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 155125 (2007).
- <sup>18</sup> C. Meingast, B. Blank, H. Bürkle, B. Obst, T. Wolf, H. Wühl, V. Selvamanickam, and K. Salama, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 11299, (1990).
- <sup>19</sup> R. Pott and R. Schefzyk, J. Phys. E **16**, 444 (1983).
- <sup>20</sup> U. Köbler and K. J. Fischer, Z. Phys. B **20**, 391, (1975).
- <sup>21</sup> M. Tsubota, F. Iga, T. Takabatake, N. Kikugawa, T. Suzuki, I. Oguro, H. Kawanaka, and H. Bando, Physica B **281-282**, 622 (2000).
- <sup>22</sup> J.D. Garret and J.E Greedan: Inorg. Chem. **20**, 1025 (1981).
- <sup>23</sup> N. Tsuji, M. Ito, H. Sakurai, K. Suzuki, K. Tanaka, K. Kitani, H. Adachi, H. Kawata, A. Koizumi, H. Nakao, Y. Murakami, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **77**, 023705 (2008).
- <sup>24</sup> Well below the ordering temperature, ungapped ferromagnetic spin waves should lead to a  $T^d$  contribution to the specific heat and thermal expansion, while ungapped an-

the EuS crystal studied here. This work was supported by the Helmholtz-Gemeinschaft through the Virtual Institute of Research on Quantum Phase Transitions and Project VH-NG-016.

tiferromagnetic spin waves should lead to a  $T^{d/2}$  contribution (d is the dimensionality of exchange). If there were the two kinds of branches in the low-energy excitations (strong ferromagnetic and weak antiferromagneticlike spin waves), then two kinds of contributions should be present in both the specific heat and thermal expansion. In this case, the ferromagnetic contribution should dominate the specific heat because it has a higher intensity. Since the thermal expansion is related to the pressure dependence of the entropy, it is dominated by the most pressure-dependent energy scales. This means that weak antiferromagnetic-like spin waves could dominate the low-temperature thermal expansion if they were associated with a strongly pressure-dependent energy scale.

- <sup>25</sup> A. Arrott and J.E. Noakes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 786, (1967).
- <sup>26</sup> In a limit with no domain effect and with  $H \to 0$ , Equation (1) implies that  $M = (T_C - T)^{\beta}$  for  $T < T_C$  and  $\chi = (T - T_C)^{-\gamma}$  for  $T > T_C$ .
- <sup>27</sup> M.F. Collins, *Magnetic critical scattering* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).
- <sup>28</sup> J.-G. Cheng, Y. Sui, J.-S. Zhou, J. B. Goodenough, and W. H. Su, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 087205 (2008).
- <sup>29</sup> W. Knafo, C. Meingast, S. Sakarya, N.H. van Dijk, A. de Visser, E. Brück, Y. Huang, H. Rakoto, J.-M. Broto, and H. v. Löhneysen, to be published (arXiv:0807.4411).
- <sup>30</sup> K.P. Belov, Fiz. Metall. Metalloced. **2**, 447 (1956).
- <sup>31</sup> For symmetry reasons, the thermal expansion coefficient  $\alpha$  of a cubic system does not depend on the direction of the measured length L from which it is derived.
- <sup>32</sup> A. Kornblit, G. Ahlers, and E. Buehler, Phys. Rev. B 17, 282, (1978).
- <sup>33</sup> J. Wosnitza and H. v. Löhneysen, Europhys. Lett. 10, 381 (1989).
- <sup>34</sup> P. Böni, M. Hennion, and J.L. Martínez, Phys. Rev. B 52, 10142, (1995).
- $^{35}$  P. Bloembergen, Physica  $\mathbf{85B},\,51$  (1977).
- <sup>36</sup> W. Knafo, C. Meingast, K. Grube, S. Drobnik, P. Popovich, P. Schweiss, P. Adelmann, Th. Wolf, and H. v. Löhneysen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 137206 (2007).
- <sup>37</sup> W. Knafo, C. Meingast, A. Inaba, Th. Wolf, and H. v. Löhneysen, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **20**, 335208 (2008).
- <sup>38</sup> T. Moriya and T. Takimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **64**, 960 (1995).
- <sup>39</sup> Y. Takahashi and T. Kanomata, Mater. Trans. **47**, 460 (2006).
- <sup>40</sup> J. Hemberger, H.-A. Krug von Nidda, V. Fritsch, J. Deisenhofer, S. Lobina, T. Rudolf, P. Lukenheimer, F. Lichtenberg, A. Loidl, D. Bruns, and B. Büchner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 066403, (2003).
- <sup>41</sup> C. Ulrich, A. Gössling, M. Grüninger, M. Guennou, H. Roth, M. Cwik, T. Lorenz, G. Khaliullin, and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 157401, (2006).
- <sup>42</sup> C. Ulrich, G. Ghiringhelli, A. Piazzalunga, L. Braicovich,

N. B. Brookes, H. Roth, T. Lorenz, and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. B 77, 113102, (2008).

- <sup>43</sup> In an undistorted perovskite structure, the lattice parameters a, b, and c of the orthorhombic unit cell are related to the lattice parameter  $a_0$  of the cubic unit cell by  $a = b = c/\sqrt{2} = a_0\sqrt{2}$ .
- <sup>44</sup> In YTiO<sub>3</sub>, elongations by 3 % of the octahedra were explained by a Jahn-Teller effect in Ref. 4,5,7,8. In the non-Jahn-Teller LaFeO<sub>3</sub> and YFeO<sub>3</sub>, but also in LaTiO<sub>3</sub>, elongations, by about 1 %, were reported in Ref. 6,46,47 and are presumably consequences from the GdFeO<sub>3</sub>-type distortion.
- <sup>45</sup> D.A. MacLean, H-N NG, and J.E. Greedan, J. Solid State Chem. **30**, 35 (1979).
- <sup>46</sup> D. du Boulay, E.N. Maslen, V.A. Streltsov, and N.

Ishizawa, Acta Cryst. B 51, 921 (1995).

- <sup>47</sup> J.-S. Zhou and J. B. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. B 77, 132104 (2008).
- <sup>48</sup> M. Marezio, J.P. Remeika, and P.D. Dernier, Acta Cryst. B **26**, 2008 (1970).
- <sup>49</sup> R.D. Shannon, Acta Cryst. A **32**, 751 (1976).
- <sup>50</sup> J. Geck, P. Wochner, S. Kiele, R. Klingeler, A. Revcolevschi, M. v. Zimmermann, B. Büchner, and P. Reutler, New J. Phys. 6, 152 (2004).
- <sup>51</sup>  $i = i_0(1 + \Delta L_i/L_i)$ , where i = a, b, c (cf. Fig. 2 (a)), and where  $a_0 = 5.331$ ,  $b_0 = 5.672$ , and  $c_0 = 7.602$ Å were extracted from diffraction measurements at room temperature<sup>17</sup>.