On the largest-eigenvalue process for generalized Wishart random matrices

A. B. Dieker and J. Warren

Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta GA 30332, USA E-mail address: ton.dieker@isye.gatech.edu

University of Warwick, Department of Statistics, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom E-mail address: j.warren@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract. Using a change-of-measure argument, we prove an equality in law between the process of largest eigenvalues in a generalized Wishart random-matrix process and a last-passage percolation process. This equality in law was conjectured by Borodin and Péché (2008) .

1. Introduction

The past decade has witnessed a surge of interest in connections between random matrices on the one hand and applications to growth models, queueing systems, and last-passage percolation models on the other hand; standard references are [Baryshnikov \(2001\)](#page-6-1) and [Johansson \(2000\)](#page-6-2). In this note we prove a result of this kind: an equality in law between a process of largest eigenvalues for a family of Wishart random matrices and a process of directed last-passage percolation times.

To formulate the main result, we construct two infinite arrays of random variables on an underlying measurable space, along with a family $\{P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}\}$ of probability measures parametrized by a positive N -vector π and a nonnegative sequence $\{\hat{\pi}_n : n \geq 1\}$. The elements of the first array $\{A_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq N, j \geq 1\}$ are independent and A_{ij} has a complex zero-mean Gaussian distribution with variance $1/(\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_j)$ under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$. That is, both the real and complex part of A_{ij} have zero mean and variance $1/(2\pi_i+2\hat{\pi}_j)$. Write $A(n)$ for the $N \times n$ matrix formed by the first n columns of A, and define the matrix-valued stochastic process $\{M(n): n \geq 0\}$ by setting $M(n) = A(n)A(n)^*$ for $n \ge 1$ and by letting $M(0)$ be the $N \times N$ zero matrix. We call $\{M(n): n \geq 0\}$ a generalized Wishart random-matrix process, since the marginals have a Wishart distribution if π and $\hat{\pi}$ are identically one and zero, respectively.

The elements of the second array $\{W_{ij} : 1 \le i \le N, j \ge 1\}$ are independent and W_{ij} is exponentially distributed with parameter $\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_j$ under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$. We define

$$
Y(N,n) = \max_{P \in \Pi(N,n)} \sum_{(ij) \in P} W_{ij},
$$

where $\Pi(N,n)$ is the set of up-right paths from $(1,1)$ to (N,n) . The quantity $Y(N, n)$ arises in last-passage percolation models as well as in series Jackson networks in queueing theory, see for instance [Dieker and Warren \(2008\)](#page-6-3) or [Johansson](#page-6-4) [\(2009\)](#page-6-4).

The following theorem, a process-level equality in law between the largest eigenvalue of $M(n)$ and $Y(N, n)$, is the main result of this note. Given a matrix C, we write $sp(C)$ for its vector of eigenvalues, ordered decreasingly.

Theorem 1.1. For any strictly positive vector π and any nonnegative sequence $\hat{\pi}$, the processes $\{sp(M(n))_1 : n \geq 1\}$ and $\{Y(N,n) : n \geq 1\}$ have the same distribution under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$.

It is known from [Defosseux \(2008\)](#page-6-5); [Forrester and Rains \(2006\)](#page-6-6) that this holds in the 'standard' case, i.e., under the measure $P := P^{(1,...,1),(0,0,...)}$. In its stated generality, the theorem was conjectured by Borodin and Péché [\(2008\)](#page-6-0), who prove that the laws of $Y(N, n)$ and the largest eigenvalue of $M(n)$ coincide for fixed $n \geq 1$. Our proof is based on a change-of-measure argument, which is potentially useful to prove related equalities in law.

Throughout, we use the following notation. We let $\mathbf{H}_{N,N}$ be the space of all $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices, and W^N the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 \geq \ldots \geq x_N\}$. For $x, x' \in W^N$, we write $x \prec x'$ to mean that x and x' interlace in the sense that

$$
x_1' \ge x_1 \ge x_2' \ge x_2 \ge \ldots \ge x_N' \ge x_N.
$$

2. Preliminaries

This section provides some background on generalized Wishart random matrices, and introduces a Markov chain which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

2.1. The generalized Wishart random-matrix process. Under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$, the generalized Wishart process $\{M(n) : n \geq 0\}$ from the introduction has independent increments since, for $m \geq 1$,

$$
M(m) = M(m-1) + (A_{im}\bar{A}_{jm})_{1 \le i,j \le N},
$$
\n(2.1)

where \bar{A}_{jm} is the complex conjugate of A_{jm} . In particular, the matrix-valued increment has unit rank. The matrix $M(m) - M(m - 1)$ can be parameterized by its diagonal elements together with the complex arguments of A_{im} for $1 \leq i \leq N$; under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$, these are independent and the former have exponential distributions while the latter have uniform distributions on $[0, 2\pi]$. (This fact is widely used in the Box-Muller method for computer generation of random variables with a normal distribution.) Since the i-th diagonal element has an exponential distribution under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ with parameter $\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_m$, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. For any $m \geq 1$, the $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -law of $M(m) - M(m-1)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the P-law of $M(m)-M(m-1)$, and the Radon-Nikodym derivative is

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{N} (\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_m) \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_m - 1)(M_{ii}(m) - M_{ii}(m-1))\right).
$$

2.2. A Markov transition kernel. We next introduce a time-inhomogeneous Markov transition kernel on W^N . We shall prove in Section [3](#page-2-0) that this kernel describes the eigenvalue-process of the generalized Wishart random-matrix process of the previous subsection.

In the standard case ($\pi \equiv 1, \hat{\pi} \equiv 0$), it follows from unitary invariance (see [Defosseux \(2008](#page-6-5), Sec. 5) or [Forrester and Rains \(2006](#page-6-6))) that the process $\{sp(M(n) :$ $n \geq 0$ is a homogeneous Markov chain. Its one-step transition kernel $Q(z, \cdot)$ is the law of sp(diag(z) + G), where $G = \{g_i\bar{g}_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq N\}$ is a rank one matrix determined by an N-vector g of standard complex Gaussian random variables. For z in the interior of W^N , $Q(z, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on W^N and can be written explicitly as in [Defosseux \(2008,](#page-6-5) Prop. 4.8):

$$
Q(z, dz') = \frac{\Delta(z')}{\Delta(z)} e^{-\sum_k (z'_k - z_k)} 1_{\{z \prec z'\}} dz',
$$

where $\Delta(z) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (z_i - z_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant.

We use the Markov kernel Q to define the aforementioned time-inhomogeneous Markov kernels, which arise from the generalized Wishart random-matrix process. For general π and $\hat{\pi}$, we define the inhomogeneous transition probabilities $Q_{n-1,n}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ via

$$
Q_{n-1,n}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(z,dz') = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_n) \frac{h_{\pi}(z')}{h_{\pi}(z)} e^{-(\hat{\pi}_n - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} (z'_i - z_i)} Q(z,dz'),
$$

with

$$
h_{\pi}(z) = \frac{\det\{e^{-\pi i z_j}\}}{\Delta(\pi)\Delta(z)}.
$$
\n(2.2)

Note that $h_{\pi}(z)$ extends to a continuous function on $(0, \infty)^N \times W^N$ (this can immediately be seen as a consequence of the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber formula, see (3.2) below).

One can verify that the $Q^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ are true Markov kernels by writing $1_{\{z\prec z'\}} =$ det $\{1_{\{z_i < z'_j\}}\}$ and applying the Cauchy-Binet formula

$$
\int_{W^N} \det \{\xi_i(z_j)\} \det \{\psi_j(z_i)\} dz = \det \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi_i(z) \psi_j(z) dz \right\}.
$$

3. The generalized Wishart eigenvalue-process

In this section, we determine the law of the eigenvalue-process of generalized Wishart random-matrix process. Although it is not essential to the proof of The-orem [1.1,](#page-1-0) we formulate our results in a setting where $sp(M(0))$ is allowed to be nonzero.

Write m_{μ} for the 'uniform distribution' on the set $\{M \in H_{N,N} : sp(M) =$ μ . That is, m_{μ} is the unique probability measure invariant under conjugation by unitary matrices, or equivalently m_{μ} is the law of $U \text{diag}(\mu) U^*$ where U is unitary and distributed according to (normalized) Haar measure. We define measures $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}_{\mu}$ by letting the $P_{\mu}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -law of $\{M(n) - M(0) : n \geq 0\}$ be equal to the $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -law of ${M(n) : n \geq 0}$, and letting the $P_\mu^{\pi, \hat{\pi}}$ -distribution of $M(0)$ be independent of ${M(n) - M(0) : n \ge 0}$ and absolutely continuous with respect to m_{μ} with Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$
\frac{c_N}{h_\pi(\mu)} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i} \exp(-\text{tr}[(\text{diag}(\pi) - I)M(0)]), \tag{3.1}
$$

where c_N is a constant depending only on the dimension N and I is the identity matrix. Recall that $h_{\pi}(\mu)$ is defined in [\(2.2\)](#page-2-1). That this defines the density of a probability measure for all π and μ follows immediately from the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber formula (e.g., [Mehta \(2004](#page-6-7), App. A.5))

$$
\int_{U} \exp\left(-\text{tr}(\text{diag}(\pi)U\,\text{diag}(\mu)U^*)\right)dU = c_N^{-1}h_\pi(\mu),\tag{3.2}
$$

writing dU for normalized Haar measure on the unitary group. Throughout, we abbreviate $P_{\mu}^{(1,...,1),(0,0,...)}$ by P_{μ} . Note that the $P_{\mu}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -law and the $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -law of ${M(n) : n \geq 0}$ coincide if $\mu = 0$.

The following theorem specifies the $P_{\mu}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -law of $\{sp(M(n)): n \geq 0\}.$

Theorem 3.1. For any $\mu \in W^N$, $\{sp(M(n)) : n \geq 0\}$ is an inhomogeneous Markov chain on W^N under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}_{\mu}$, and it has the $Q^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}_{n-1,n}$ of Section [2.2](#page-2-2) for its one-step transition kernels.

Proof. Fix some $\mu \in W^N$. The key ingredient in the proof is a change of measure argument. We know from [Defosseux \(2008\)](#page-6-5) or [Forrester and Rains \(2006\)](#page-6-6) that Theorem [3.1](#page-3-1) holds for the 'standard' case $\pi = (1, \ldots, 1), \hat{\pi} \equiv 0.$

Writing $P_n^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ and P_n for the distribution of $(M(0),...,M(n))$ under $P_\mu^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ and P_{μ} respectively, we obtain from Section [2.1](#page-1-1) that for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\frac{dP_n^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}}{dP_n}(M(0),...,M(n))
$$
\n
$$
= C_{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(n,N)\frac{c_N}{h_{\pi}(\mu)}e^{-\sum_{i=1}^N\mu_i}
$$
\n
$$
\times \exp\left(-\text{tr}((\text{diag}(\pi)-I)M(n))-\sum_{m=1}^n\hat{\pi}_m\text{tr}(M(m)-M(m-1))\right),
$$

where $C_{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(n,N) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^n (\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_j)$. Let the measure $p_n^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ (and p_n) be the restriction of $P_n^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ (and P_n) to the σ -field generated by $(sp(M(0)), \ldots, sp(M(n)))$. Then we obtain for $n \geq 0$,

$$
\frac{dp_n^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}}{dp_n}(\text{sp}(M(0)),\ldots,\text{sp}(M(n)))
$$
\n
$$
= \mathbb{E}_{P_\mu} \left[\frac{dP_n^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}}{dP_n} (M(0),\ldots,M(n)) \middle| \text{sp}(M(0)),\ldots,\text{sp}(M(n)) \right],
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{P_{\mu}}$ denotes the expectation operator with respect to P_{μ} . Since the P_{μ} distribution of $(M(0),...,M(n))$ given the spectra is invariant under componentwise conjugation by a unitary matrix U, we have for $\mu \equiv \mu^{(0)} \prec \mu^{(1)} \prec \ldots \prec \mu^{(n)}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{P_{\mu}}\left[\exp\left(-\text{tr}(\text{diag}(\pi)M(n))\right)|\text{sp}(M(0)) = \mu^{(0)}, \dots, \text{sp}(M(n)) = \mu^{(n)}\right]
$$

$$
= \int_{U} \exp\left(-\text{tr}(\text{diag}(\pi)U\text{diag}(\mu^{(n)})U^*)\right)dU
$$

$$
= c_{N}^{-1}h_{\pi}(\mu^{(n)}),
$$

where the second equality is the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber formula. From the preceding three displays in conjunction with $tr(M) = \sum_i sp(M)_i$, we conclude

that
\n
$$
\frac{dp_n^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}}{dp_n}(\mu, \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(n)})
$$
\n
$$
= C_{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(n, N) \frac{h_{\pi}(\mu^{(n)})}{h_{\pi}(\mu)} \exp \left(-\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{r=1}^n \hat{\pi}_r \left[\mu_i^{(r)} - \mu_i^{(r-1)}\right] + \sum_{i=1}^N [\mu_i^{(n)} - \mu_i]\right).
$$

Since $sp(M(\cdot))$ is a Markov chain with transition kernel Q under P_{μ} , we have

$$
P_{\mu}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(\text{sp}(M(1)) \in d\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \text{sp}(M(n)) \in d\mu^{(n)})
$$

=
$$
\frac{dp_{n}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}}{dp_{n}}(\mu, \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(n)})P_{\mu}(\text{sp}(M(1)) \in d\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \text{sp}(M(n)) \in d\mu^{(n)})
$$

=
$$
\frac{dp_{n}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}}{dp_{n}}(\mu, \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(n)})Q(\mu, d\mu^{(1)})\cdots Q(\mu^{(n-1)}, d\mu^{(n)})
$$

=
$$
Q_{0,1}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(\mu, d\mu^{(1)})Q_{1,2}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(\mu^{(1)}, d\mu^{(2)})\cdots Q_{n-1,n}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}(\mu^{(n-1)}, d\mu^{(n)}),
$$

the last equality being a consequence of the definition of $Q_{k-1,k}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ and the expression for $dp_n^{\pi, \hat{\pi}}$ \Box

4. Robinson-Schensted-Knuth and the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)

This section explains the connection between the infinite array ${W_{ij}}$ of the introduction and the Markov kernels $Q_{n-1,n}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$. In conjunction with Theorem [3.1,](#page-3-1) these connections allow us to prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

The RSK algorithm. The results in this section rely on a combinatorial mechanism known as the Robinson-Schensted-Knuth (RSK) algorithm. This algorithm generates from a $p \times q$ matrix with nonnegative entries a triangular array $\mathbf{x} = \{x_i^j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ $j \leq p, 1 \leq i \leq j$ called a Gelfand-Tsetlin (GT) pattern. A GT pattern with p levels x^1, \ldots, x^p is an array for which the coordinates satisfy the inequalities

$$
x_k^k \le x_{k-1}^{k-1} \le x_{k-1}^k \le x_{k-2}^{k-1} \le \dots \le x_2^k \le x_1^{k-1} \le x_1^k
$$

for $k = 2, \ldots, p$. If the elements of the matrix are integers, then a GT pattern can be identified with a so-called semistandard Young tableau, and the bottom row $x^p = \{x_i^p; 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ of the GT pattern corresponds to the shape of the Young tableau. We write \mathbf{K}_p for the space of all GT patterns **x** with p levels.

By applying the RSK algorithm with row insertion to an infinite array $\{\xi_{ij}:$ $1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq n$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, we obtain a sequence of GT patterns $\mathbf{x}(1), \mathbf{x}(2), \ldots$ It follows from properties of RSK that

$$
x_1^N(n) = \max_{P \in \Pi(N,n)} \sum_{(ij) \in P} \xi_{ij},
$$
\n(4.1)

where $\Pi(N,n)$ is the set of up-right paths from $(1,1)$ to (N,n) as before. Details can be found in, e.g., [Johansson \(2000\)](#page-6-2) or [Dieker and Warren \(2008](#page-6-3), case A).

Greene's theorem generalizes (4.1) , and gives similar expressions for each component of the pattern $x_i^j(n)$, see for instance Chapter 3 of [Fulton \(1997](#page-6-8)) or Equation (16) in [Doumerc \(2003\)](#page-6-9). As a consequence of these, we can consider the RSK algorithm for real-valued ξ_{ij} and each $\mathbf{x}(n)$ is then a continuous function of the input data.

We remark that the RSK algorithm can also be started from a given initial GT pattern $\mathbf{x}(0)$. If RSK is started from the null pattern, it reduces to the standard algorithm and we set $\mathbf{x}(0) = 0$.

The bijective property of RSK. RSK has a bijective property which has important probabilistic consequences for the sequence of GT patterns constructed from specially chosen random infinite arrays. Indeed, suppose that $\{\xi_{ij} : 1 \le i \le N, j \ge 1\}$ is a family of independent random variables with ξ_{ij} having a geometric distribution on \mathbb{Z}_+ with parameter $a_i b_j$, where $\{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ and $\{b_j : j \geq 1\}$ are two sequences taking values in $(0, 1]$. Write $\{X(n) : n \geq 0\}$ for the sequence of GT patterns constructed from ξ .

Using the bijective property of RSK it can be verified that the bottom rows ${X^N(n) : n \geq 0}$ of the GT patterns evolve as an inhomogeneous Markov chain with transition probabilities

$$
P_{n-1,n}(x,x') = \prod_{i=1}^{N} (1 - a_i b_n) \frac{s_{x'}(a)}{s_x(a)} b_n^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x'_i - x_i)} 1_{\{0 \le x \prec x'\}},
$$
(4.2)

.

where $s_{\lambda}(a)$ is the Schur polynomial corresponding to a partition λ :

$$
s_{\lambda}(a) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{K}_N : x^N = \lambda} a^{\mathbf{x}},
$$

with the weight $a^{\mathbf{x}}$ of a GT pattern **x** being defined as

$$
a^{\mathbf{x}} = a_1^{x_1^1} \prod_{k=2}^N a_k^{\sum x_i^k - \sum x_i^{k-1}}
$$

This is proved in [O'Connell \(2003\)](#page-6-10) in the special case with $b_j = 1$ for all j, and the argument extends straightforwardly; see also [Forrester and Nagao \(2008\)](#page-6-11).

Non-null initial GT patterns generally do not give rise to Markovian bottom-row processes. Still, the inhomogeneous Markov chain of bottom rows can be constructed starting from a given initial partition λ with at most N parts by choosing $\mathbf{X}(0)$ suitably from the space of a GT patterns with bottom row λ : $\mathbf{X}(0)$ should be independent of the family $\{\xi_{ij}\}\$ with probability mass function

$$
p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{a^{\mathbf{x}}}{s_{\lambda}(a)}.
$$

Exponentially distributed input data. We now consider the sequence of GT patterns ${\bf \{X}}_L(n) : n \geq 0$ arising from setting $a_i = 1 - \pi_i/L$ and $b_j = 1 - \hat{\pi}_j/L$ in the above setup, and we study the regime $L \to \infty$ after rescaling suitably. In the regime $L \to$ ∞ , the input variables $\{\xi_{ij}/L\}$ (jointly) converge in distribution to independent exponential random variables, the variable corresponding to ξ_{ij}/L having parameter $\pi_i + \hat{\pi}_j$. Thus, the law of the input array ξ converges weakly to the $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -law of the array $\{W_{ij}: 1 \le i \le N, j \ge 1\}$ from the introduction. Refer to [Doumerc \(2003\)](#page-6-9) and [Johansson \(2000\)](#page-6-2) for related results on this regime.

By the aforementioned continuity of the RSK algorithm and the continuousmapping theorem, $\{X_L(n)/L : n \geq 0\}$ converges in distribution to a process $\{Z(n) :$ $n \geq 0$ taking values in GT patterns with N levels. As a consequence of the above results in a discrete-space setting, we get from [\(4.1\)](#page-4-0) that

$$
Z_1^N(n) = \max_{P \in \Pi(N,n)} \sum_{(ij) \in P} W_{ij}.
$$

Moreover, the process of bottom rows $\{Z^N(n) : n \geq 0\}$ is an inhomogeneous Markov chain for which its transition mechanism can be found by letting $L \to \infty$ in [\(4.2\)](#page-5-0):

Lemma 4.1. Under $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$, the process $\{Z^N(n) : n \geq 0\}$ is an inhomogeneous Markov chain on W^N , and it has the $Q_{n-1,n}^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ of Section [2.2](#page-2-2) for its one-step transition kernels.

A similar result can be obtained given a non-null initial bottom row $\mu \in W^N$. In case the components of μ are distinct, the distribution of the initial pattern $\mathbf{Z}(0)$ should then be absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\{z \in K_N : z^N = \mu\}$ with density

$$
\frac{\Delta(\pi)}{\det\{e^{-\pi_i\mu_j}\}}c^{\mathbf{z}},
$$

where $c = (e^{-\pi_1}, \ldots, e^{-\pi_N}).$

Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) We now have all ingredients to prove Theorem 1.1. We already noted that $Z_1^N(n)$ equals $Y(N, n)$. Thus, for any strictly positive vector π and any nonnegative sequence $\hat{\pi}$, $\{Y(N,n): n \geq 1\}$ has the same $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -distribution as $\{Z_1(n): n \geq 1\}$. In view of Theorem [3.1](#page-3-1) and Lemma [4.1,](#page-6-12) in turn this has the same $P^{\pi,\hat{\pi}}$ -distribution as the largest-eigenvalue process $\{sp(M(n))_1 : n \geq 1\}$. This proves Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

References

- Yu. Baryshnikov. GUEs and queues. Probab. Theory Related Fields 119, 256–274 (2001).
- A. Borodin and S. Péché. Airy kernel with two sets of parameters in directed percolation and random matrix theory. J. Stat. Phys. 132, 275–290 (2008).
- M. Defosseux. Orbit measures, random matrix theory and interlaced determinantal processes (2008). ArXiv:0810.1011.
- A. B. Dieker and J. Warren. Determinantal transition kernels for some interacting particles on the line. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. 44 , 1162–1172 (2008).
- Y. Doumerc. A note on representations of eigenvalues of classical Gaussian matrices. In Séminaire de Probabilités XXXVII, volume 1832 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 370–384. Springer, Berlin (2003).
- P. J. Forrester and T. Nagao. Determinantal correlations for classical projection processes (2008). ArXiv:0801.0100.
- P. J. Forrester and E. M. Rains. Jacobians and rank 1 perturbations relating to unitary Hessenberg matrices. Int. Math. Res. Not. page Art. ID 48306 (2006).
- W. Fulton. Young tableaux. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).
- K. Johansson. Shape fluctuations and random matrices. Comm. Math. Phys. 209, 437–476 $(2000).$
- K. Johansson. A multi-dimensional Markov chain and the Meixner ensemble. Arkiv för Matematik, to appear (2009). Doi:10.1007/s11512-008-0089-6.
- M. L. Mehta. Random matrices. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, third edition (2004). ISBN 0-12-088409-7.
- N. O'Connell. Conditioned random walks and the RSK correspondence. J. Phys. A 36, 3049–3066 (2003).