

INDEX THEORY AND PARTITIONING BY ENLARGEABLE HYPERSURFACES

MOSTAFA ESFAHANI ZADEH

ABSTRACT. In this paper we state and prove a higher index theorem for an odd-dimensional connected spin riemannian manifold (M, g) which is partitioned by an oriented closed hypersurface N . This index theorem generalizes a theorem due to N. Higson in the context of Hilbert modules. Then we apply this theorem to prove that if N is area-enlargeable and if there is a smooth map from M into N such that its restriction to N has non-zero degree then the the scalar curvature of g cannot be uniformly positive.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a compact manifold M , it is certainly an interesting problem to decide whether it carries a riemannian metric with *everywhere positive scalar curvature* or not. This problem is revealed to be also very difficult. For constructing a metric with positive scalar curvature the most powerful technique is the Gromov-Lawson and Schoen-Yau surgery theorem asserting that if M has a metric with positive scalar curvature and if M' is obtained from M by performing surgeries in co-dimension greater than or equal to 3, then M' carries a metric with positive scalar curvature too (see [3] and [18]). In the other direction, i.e. to find obstruction for the existence of such metrics, the Atiyah-Singer index theorem and all its variant come into the play through the Lichnerowicz formula (see for example [10]). Even, it has been believed that all obstruction for the existence of metrics with positive scalar curvature on a spin manifold M can be encapsulated in a sophisticated index $\alpha_{max}^{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ which takes its value in $KO_n(C_{max, \mathbb{R}}^*(G))$, where G is the fundamental group of M (see e.g. [15]). This assertion is known as the Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg conjecture and is shown, by T. Schick in [16], to not be true in this general form. Nevertheless, this index might subsume all index theoretic obstruction for the existence of metrics with positive scalar curvature on spin manifolds. One obstruction for the existence of metrics with positive scalar curvature is the enlargeability which was introduced by Gromov and Lawson (see [4, 5]). Enlargeability is a homotopy invariance of smooth manifolds and the category of enlargeable manifolds form a rich and interesting family containing, for example, all hyperbolic manifolds and all sufficiently large 3-dimensional manifolds.

Definition Let N be a closed oriented manifold of dimension n with a fixed riemannian metric g . The manifold N is enlargeable if for each real number $\epsilon > 0$ there is a riemannian spin cover (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) , with lifted metric, and a smooth map $f : \tilde{N} \rightarrow S^n$ such that: the function f is constant outside a compact subset K of \tilde{N} ; the degree of f is non-zero; and the map $f : (\tilde{N}, \tilde{g}) \rightarrow (S^n, g_0)$ is ϵ -contracting, where g_0 is the standard metric on S^n . Being ϵ -contracting means that $\|T_x f\| \leq \epsilon$ for each $x \in \tilde{N}$, where $T_x f : T_x \tilde{N} \rightarrow T_{f(x)} S^n$. The manifold N is said to be area-enlargeable if the function f is ϵ -area contracting. This means $\|\Lambda^2 T_x f\| \leq \epsilon$ for each $x \in \tilde{N}$, where $\Lambda^2 T_x f : \Lambda^2 T_x \tilde{N} \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T_{f(x)} S^n$.

It turns out that a closed area-enlargeable manifold cannot carry a positive scalar curvature and the basic tool to prove this theorem is a relative version of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, c.f. [5, theorem 4.18]. So one may expect that the enlargeability obstruction be recovered by the index theoretic obstruction $\alpha_{max}^{\mathbb{R}}$. In fact T. Schick and B. Hanke in [6, 7] have proved that $\alpha_{max}^{\mathbb{R}}(N) \neq 0$ if N is enlargeable.

Given a complete riemannian manifold (M, g) it is interesting to decide whether the scalar curvature of g is uniformly positive. Beside its interest on itself, this question has clearly applications to the compact case too. The following result is the main result of this paper (see theorem 3.1 in section 3)

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 58J22 (19K56 46L80 53C21 53C27).

Key words and phrases. Higher index theory, enlargeability, Dirac operators.

Let (M, g) be a complete riemannian manifold which has a finite spin covering, and let N be a closed area-enlargeable sub manifold of M with co-dimension 1. If there is a smooth map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ such that its restriction to N is of non-zero degree then the scalar curvature of g cannot be uniformly positive.

To prove this assertion we have put together some basic results and methods introduced by N.Higson, J.Roe, B.Hanke and T.Schick concerning index theory in the context of operator algebras. This result seems not easy to be obtained by means of the geometric methods of [5]. So it shows also the efficiency of the operator algebraic index theory to prove results on the non-existence of metric with positive scalar curvature.

With the above notation, let E be a Clifford bundle over M and put $H = L^2(M, E)$. This a Hilbert space which is assumed be acted on by a Dirac type operator D . The operator $U = (D + i)(D - i)^{-1}$ is bounded on H . Let N be a closed oriented hypersurface which partitions M into two sub manifold M_- and M_+ with common boundary N . The restriction of D to N defines a Dirac type operator D_N with Fredholm index $\text{ind } D_N$. Let ϕ_+ denote a smooth function on M which coincides to the characteristic function of M_+ outside a compact set and put $\phi_- = 1 - \phi_+$. It turns out (see [8]) that the bounded operator $U_+ = \phi_- + \phi_+ U$ is Fredholm and its index is denoted by $\text{ind}(D, N)$. After an appropriate choice of orientations the following relation holds between this index and the Fredholm index of D_N , c.f. [8, theorem 1.5] and [13, theorem 3.3] ,

$$(1.1) \quad \text{ind}(D, N) = \text{ind } D_N .$$

This formula has two immediate applications. First one is to provide a proof for the cobordism invariant of the analytical index of a Dirac type operator, and the second one is the following: If $\hat{A}(M) \neq 0$ then the scalar curvature of g cannot be uniformly positive. We generalize the above theorem in the context of Hilbert Module over C^* -algebra, i.e. instead of E we consider a Clifford Hilbert A -module bundle W . For example $W = S(M) \otimes V$ where $S(M)$ is the spin bundle of M and V is a Hilbert A -module bundle over M . In this case the twisted Dirac operator is denoted by D^V and its restriction to N by D_N^V . The indices $\text{ind}(D^V, N)$ and D_N^V are elements of K -group $K_0(A)$ and we show in theorem 2.6 of the section 2 that

$$\text{ind}(D^V, N) = \text{ind } D_N^V .$$

As in above this relation can be used to prove the cobordism invariance of $\text{ind } D_N^V$, c.f. corollary 2.7. We have already mentioned the theorem 3.1 in section 3. This theorem should be considered as a counterpart of the second application of the relation (1.1).

Acknowledgment : The author would like to thank Thomas Schick for helpful discussions and John Roe for bringing the paper [8] to his attention .

2. INDEX THEORY ON ODD DIMENSIONAL PARTITIONED COMPLETE MANIFOLDS

Let (M, g) be an oriented complete non-compact manifold and let W be a Clifford bundle on M which is at the same time a Hilbert A -module bundle. We assume that this bundle is equipped with a connection which is compatible to the Clifford action of TM and denote the corresponding A -linear Dirac type operator by D . For σ and η two compactly supported smooth sections of W put

$$\langle \sigma, \eta \rangle = \int_M \langle \sigma(x), \eta(x) \rangle d\mu_g(x) \in A.$$

It is easy to show that $|\sigma| = \|\langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle\|^{1/2}$ is a norm on $C_c(M, W)$. The completion of $C_c^\infty(M, W)$ with respect to this norm is the Hilbert A -module $H = L^2(M, W)$. The operator D is a formally self adjoint operator on this Hilbert module.

Let T be an A -linear map which is defined on a dense subspace $\text{Dom}(T)$ of H . The graph of T is the following subset of $H \oplus H$

$$\text{graph}(T) := \{(u, T(u)) | u \in \text{Dom}(T)\}$$

The closure of this graph with respect to norm topology in $H \oplus H$ turns out to be the graph of an operator \bar{T} which is called the closure of T . It is clear that $\text{Dom}(\bar{T})$ is a closed A -subspace of H . The

adjoint of T is the closed operator T^* such that $\langle Tu, v \rangle = \langle u, T^*v \rangle$ for $u \in \text{Dom}(T)$ and $v \in \text{Dom}(T^*)$. T is said to be self-adjoint if $\text{Dom}(T) = \text{Dom}(T^*)$ and $T^* = T$. The operator T is called regular if there is a bounded adjointable operator $P \in \mathcal{L}_A(H \oplus H, H)$ with $\text{Im } P = \text{Dom } \bar{T}$ (c.f proposition 5 of [9]). If T is regular then for each positive real number c the image of the operator $c + T^*T$ is a dense sub space of H , c.f. [9, proposition 4]. In particular if T is self adjoint then both $T + i$ and $T - i$ have dense images because $(T \pm i)(T \mp i) = T^2 + 1$ has dense image. As a consequence of above discussion, by the following lemma, $(D \pm i)^{-1}$ is a well defined bounded operator on H

Lemma 2.1. *The operator D is regular.*

proof The operator D is a formally self adjoint operator on H , so following the above arguments it suffices to prove that the domain of D is dense and that $\text{Dom}(\bar{D})$ is the image of a bounded operator from $\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$ to \mathbb{H} . We recall that in [11] an A -linear pseudodifferential calculus is developed which provides a parametrix Q for D , i.e. a pseudodifferential operator of order -1 so that

$$DQ = \text{Id} + R \quad \text{and} \quad QD = \text{Id} + S,$$

where R and S are smoothing operators and Q and S are bounded adjointable operators on H . Here the Dirac operator D has domain $H^1(M, W)$, the 1-th order Sobolev space which is a dense subspace of H . If $\eta = \bar{D}(\xi)$ then there is a sequence $\{\xi_k\}_k$ in $\text{Dom}(D)$ such that $\eta = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D(\xi_k)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi_k \rightarrow \xi$. Then, since Q and S are bounded operator from H to $H^1(M, W)$ we conclude $Q(\eta) = \xi + S(\xi)$, so $\xi = Q(\eta) - S(\xi) \in \text{Im}(Q) + \text{Im}(S)$, i.e. $\text{Dom}(\bar{D}) \subset \text{Im } Q + \text{Im } S$. Conversely, Q and S are pseudodifferential operators of order, respectively, -1 and $-\infty$, so they map \mathbb{H} into $H^1(M, W)$. Therefore $\text{Dom}(\bar{D}) = \text{Im}(Q \oplus S)$ and this completes the proof. \square

For bounded operators P and Q in $\text{End}_A(H)$ by $P \sim Q$ we mean that the difference $P - Q$ is compact in the sense of [11]. The following simple lemma is a key tool in what follows

Lemma 2.2. (1) *The operator $(D + i)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator on H and $\theta(D + i)^{-1} \sim 0$ where θ is a compactly supported function on M .*
(2) *If ϕ is a smooth function of M which is locally constant outside a compact subset then*

$$[(D \pm i)^{-1}, \phi] \sim 0.$$

proof For σ a smooth element of H we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (D + i)\sigma, (D + i)\sigma \rangle &= \langle (D^2 + 1)\sigma, \sigma \rangle \\ &\geq \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

therefore $\|(D + i)^{-1}\| \leq 1$, i.e. $(D + i)^{-1}$ is bounded.

The operator $(D + i)$ is an elliptic differential A -operator in the sense of [11], so there is pseudodifferential A -operators Q and S of negative order such that

$$Q(D + i) - \text{Id} = S,$$

which gives rise to the following relation

$$\theta Q - \theta(D + i)^{-1} = \theta S(D + i)^{-1}.$$

This shows that it is enough to prove the compactness of the operators θQ and θS . Moreover for each positive number δ we may assume Q and S be of δ -propagation speed. This can be done by multiplying the kernels of this operator with a bombe function which is supported around the diagonal of $M \times M$. Given a bounded sequence $\{\gamma_j\}$ in H we show that the sequence $(Q\gamma_j)|_{\text{supp } \theta}$ contains a convergence sub-sequence and similarly for the sequence $(S\gamma_j)|_{\text{supp } \theta}$. For this purpose let M' be an open sub manifold of M with compact closure such that it contains 2δ -neighborhood of $\text{supp}(\theta)$. Deform all geometric structure in the δ neighborhood of $\partial M'$ to product structures and consider the double compact manifold $(M' \sqcup_{\partial M'} M'^-)$ with Clifford Hilbert A -module bundle induced from W . Corresponding to the sequence $\{\gamma_j\}$ in H consider the sequence $\{\gamma'_j\}$ in $(M' \sqcup_{\partial M'} M'^-)$ such that $\gamma'_{j|M'} = \gamma_{j|M'}$. Since Q has propagation speed δ

$$(2.1) \quad (Q\gamma_j)|_{\text{supp } \theta} = (Q'\gamma'_j)|_{\text{supp } \theta}.$$

Here Q' is any pseudodifferential A -operator of negative order on double space whose kernel is equal to the kernel of Q on M' . Being of order negative, Q' is a compact operator on H (c.f. [11, page 109]) so $\{Q'\gamma_j\}$ has a L^2 -convergent subsequence. The restriction of this sub-sequence to $\text{supp } \theta$ is convergent too and this proves what we wanted in view of the relation (2.1). The compactness of θS can be proved completely similar.

To prove the second part notice that

$$[(D \pm i)^{-1}, \phi] = (D \pm i)^{-1}[\phi, D](D \pm i)^{-1} .$$

On the other hand $[D, \phi]\sigma = \text{grad}(\phi).\sigma$ where \cdot denote the Clifford action. Since the gradient vector field $\text{grad}(\phi)$ vanishes outside a compact set, for an appropriate compactly supported function θ on M one has $[D, \phi] = [D, \phi]\theta$, so the first part of the lemma can be used to deduce the compactness of $[\phi, D](D \pm i)^{-1}$ and hencefore the compactness of $[(D \pm i)^{-1}, \phi]$ \square

Suppose now that M is partitioned by an oriented compact hypersurface N into two parts M_+ and M_- . We assume that the the positive direction of the unite normal vector \vec{n} to $N \subset M$ points out from M_- to M_+ . Let ϕ_+ be a smooth function on M which is equal to the characteristic function of M_+ outside a compact subset of M , and put $\phi_- = 1 - \phi_+$. To state the next theorem we recall from [11, page 96] that a bounded operator $P \in \text{End}_A(H)$ is a Fredholm A -operator if there is a decomposition $H = H_0 \oplus H_1$ of the source space and a decomposition $H = H'_0 \oplus H'_1$ of the target space such that H_0 and H'_0 are finitely generated A -modules and if the matrix form of P , with respect to these decompositions, is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_0 & 0 \\ 0 & P_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $P_1: H_1 \rightarrow H'_1$ is isomorphism with bounded inverse. The Fomenko-Mischenko index of the Fredholm A -operator P is given by

$$\text{ind } P = [H_0] - [H'_0] \in K_0(A)$$

and turns out to be independent of all choices made in its definition. This index is a homotopy invariant and is invariant with respect to perturbations by compact A - operators, c.f. lemmas 1.5 and 2.3 in [11]. Moreover if Q is another Fredholm A -operator then (c.f. [11, lemma 2.3])

$$\text{ind}(PQ) = \text{ind } P + \text{ind } Q \in K_0(A) .$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let $U = (D - i)(D + i)^{-1}$ be the Cayley transform of D . We have*

- (1) *the operators $U_+ = \phi_- + \phi_+U$ and $U_- = \phi_+ + \phi_-U$ are Fredholm operators in $\text{End}_A(H)$*
- (2) *$\text{ind}(U_+) = -\text{ind}(U_-)$;*
- (3) *The value of $\text{ind}(U_+)$ does not depend on ϕ_+ but on the cobordism class of the partitioning manifold N .*

proof Clearly both U_{\pm} are A -linear and bounded. Since $U = 1 - 2i(D + i)^{-1}$ the second part of the above lemma implies

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+U &= \phi_+ - 2i\phi_+(D + i)^{-1} \\ &\sim \phi_+ - 2i(D + i)^{-1}\phi_+ = U\phi_+ \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\phi_-U \sim U\phi_-$. Since the support of $\phi_+\phi_-$ is compact, it follows from the first part of the previous lemma that

$$\phi_+\phi_-U = \phi_+\phi_- - 2i\phi_+\phi_-(D + i)^{-1} \sim \phi_+\phi_- .$$

Using these relation one has $U_{\pm}U_{\pm}^* \sim \text{Id}$ and $U_{\pm}^*U_{\pm} \sim \text{Id}$, so both U_+ and U_- are Fredholm A -operator according to [11, theorem 2.4]. Since $U_+U_- = \text{Id}$ we get the following relation

$$\text{ind } U_+ + \text{ind } U_- = \text{ind } U = 0 \in K_0(A) .$$

A different choice for ϕ_+ is of the form $\phi_+ + \phi$ where ϕ is a compactly supported smooth function. The corresponding operator differs from U_+ by

$$-\phi + \phi U = -\phi + \phi(1 - 2i(D + i)^{-1}) \sim 0 ,$$

where the equivalence is coming from first part of the previous lemma. Consequently the index of U_+ does not depend on the choice of ϕ_+ . The third part of the theorem is a direct consequence of the second part. \square

The Fomenko-Mischenko index of the operator U_+ is denoted by $\text{ind}(D, N) \in K_0(A)$. The following property of this index is crucial for our purposes

Theorem 2.4. *If D is an isomorphism, i.e. has the bounded inverse, then $\text{ind}(D, N) = 0$. In particular if D^V is the spin Dirac operator on M twisted by the Hilbert A -module bundle V , if the scalar curvature κ of g is uniformly positive and the curvature of V is sufficiently small then $\text{ind}(D^V, N) = 0 \in K_0(A)$.*

proof If D has bounded inverse then for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ the families $(D - si)$ and $(D + si)^{-1}$ of bounded operator are continuous. So $U(s) := (D - si)(D + si)^{-1}$ is a homotopy of bounded operators and $U_+(s) = \phi_- + \phi_+ U(s)$ is a homotopy of Fredholm A -operators between U_+ and Id. By the homotopy invariance of the the Fomenko-Mishenkov index we get $\text{ind}(D, N) = 0$.

To prove the second part let $\kappa \geq \kappa_0 > 0$. By the Lichnerowicz formula

$$(D^V)^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \frac{1}{4} \kappa + R,$$

where $R \in \text{End}_A(V)$ is sufficiently small, say $\|R\| \leq \frac{1}{8} \kappa_0$. So we get the following inequality in A , where σ is a smooth L^2 -section of $S(M) \otimes V$

$$\langle D^V(\sigma), D^V(\sigma) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{8} \kappa_0 \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle$$

which implies the boundedness of $(D^V)^{-1}$. The assertion follows now from the first part. \square

Remark The proof of the above theorem may be slightly modified to show that $\text{ind}(D, N) = 0$ if there is a gap in the L^2 -spectrum of D .

The following lemma shows that the index $\text{ind}(D, N)$ is invariant with respect to modifications of data at each partition M_+ or M_- .

Lemma 2.5. *For $j = 1, 2$ let M_j be a complete manifold partitioned by compact hypersurface $N_j \subset M_j$ and let W_j be a Clifford Hilbert A -module bundle on M_j . If there is an isometry $\gamma: M_{2+} \rightarrow M_{1+}$ which is lifted to an isomorphism of Clifford and Hilbert module structures then*

$$\text{ind}(D_1, N_1) = \text{ind}(D_2, N_2).$$

The similar assertion is true for M_{j-} .

proof Let ϕ_1 be a smooth function on M_1 which vanishes in a neighborhood of M_{1-} and is equal to 1 outside a compact subset of M_{1+} . Notice that $\phi_2 = \phi_1 \circ \gamma$ is defined only on M_{2+} but it can be extended by zero to whole M_2 . As in the theorem 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{1+} &= 1 + 2i\phi_1(D_1 + i)^{-1} \\ U_{2+} &= 1 + 2i\phi_2(D_2 + i)^{-1} \sim 1 + 2i(D_2 + i)^{-1}\phi_2. \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism γ provides an unitary isomorphism $\Gamma: L^2(M_{1+}, W) \rightarrow L^2(M_{2+}, W)$. By taking an arbitrary isomorphism $L^2(M_{1-}, W) \rightarrow L^2(M_{2-}, W)$ and using the direct decomposition $L^2(M_j, W) = L^2(M_{j-}, W) \oplus L^2(M_{j+}, W)$ we get an isomorphism

$$T: L^2(M_1, W) \rightarrow L^2(M_2, W).$$

One has $(D_2 + i)\Gamma\phi_1 = \Gamma(D_1 + i)\phi_1$ and $\phi_2\Gamma(D_1 + i) = \Gamma\phi_1(D_1 + i)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} TU_{1+} - U_{2+}T &\sim T(1 + 2i\phi_1(D_1 + i)^{-1}) - (1 + 2i(D_2 + i)^{-1}\phi_2)T \\ &= 2i(\Gamma\phi_1(D_1 + i)^{-1} - (D_2 + i)^{-1}\phi_2\Gamma) \\ &= 2i(D_2 + i)^{-1}\Gamma[D_1, \phi_1](D_1 + i)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now one can proceed as in the proof of the second part of the lemma 2.2 to deduce that the last expression is a compact operator. Consequently $TU_{1+}T^{-1} \sim U_{2+}$ which implies

$$\text{ind } U_{1+} = \text{ind } U_{2+} \in K_0(A) .$$

□

The Clifford action of $i\vec{n}$ provides a \mathbb{Z}_2 grading for $W_{|N}$. Let D_N denote the Dirac type operator acting on smooth sections of $W_{|N} \rightarrow N$. This is a A -linear elliptic operator and has an index $\text{ind } D_N \in K_0(A)$.

The following theorem, as well as its proof, is a generalization of the theorem 1.5 of [8] to the context of the Hilbert module bundles and its proof follows the same (see also [13,]).

Theorem 2.6. *The following equality holds in the K -group $K_0(A)$*

$$\text{ind } D_N = \text{ind}(D, N) .$$

proof As the first step we show that it is enough to prove the theorem for the cylindrical manifold $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with product metric $(dx)^2 + g_N$ and pull back bundle $p^*(V_{|N})$ where p is the projection of $\mathbb{R} \times N$ onto the second factor. Consider a collar neighborhood $(-1, 1) \times N$ in M . Using the lemma 2.5 we may change M_- to the product form $(-\infty, 1/2) \times N$ without changing the index $\text{ind}(M, N)$. By applying the third part of the theorem 2.3 we may assume that the partitioning manifold is $\{1/2\} \times N$, then the lemma 2.5 can be used again to replace M with the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times N$ without changing $\text{ind}(M, N)$ nor $\text{ind } D_N$. Consequently, to prove the theorem it suffices to prove it in the special case of the cylinder. At first we prove the theorem for the very special case of the euclidian Dirac operator $-id/dx$ twisted by the finitely projective A -module V_0 . We denote this twisted Dirac operator by $D_{\mathbb{R}}^{V_0}$ and prove the following relation

$$(2.2) \quad \text{ind}(\pm D_{\mathbb{R}}^{V_0}) = \pm[V_0] \in K_0(A) .$$

For this purpose let ϕ_+ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R} satisfying the conditions of the theorem 2.3 and put $\psi = 2\phi_+ - 1$. One has

$$U_+ = (D_{\mathbb{R}}^{V_0} - i\psi)(D + i)^{-1} .$$

Therefore the L^2 -kernels of U_+ and U_+^* , as A -modules, are isomorphic to $\ker(D - i\psi)$ and $\ker(D + i\psi)$. The space of L^2 solutions of $U_+^* = -id/dx + i\psi$ is null while the space of the L^2 -solution of $U_+ = -id/dx - i\psi$ consists of the following smooth functions

$$f(x) = \exp\left(-\int_0^x \psi(t) dt\right)v ; \quad v \in V_0 .$$

Consequently the L^2 -kernels of U_+ and U_+^* are isomorphic to the finitely generated projective A -modules V_0 and 0, so $\text{ind}(U_+) = [V_0] - 0$ which is the desired relation. The case of $-D_{\mathbb{R}}^{V_0}$ is similar.

Now we are going to prove the theorem for the cylinder $(\mathbb{R} \times N, (dx)^2 + g_N)$, which completes the proof as is explained in above. The operator D^V has the following form

$$D^V = \begin{pmatrix} i\partial_x & (D_N^V)^- \\ (D_N^V)^+ & -i\partial_x \end{pmatrix} .$$

Consider the Dirac type operator D_N^V as an unbounded operator on $L^2(N, W_{|N})$. As it has been pointed out just before the theorem 2.3, there is a decomposition of $L^2(N, W_{|N}) = \mathcal{W}_0 \oplus \mathcal{W}_1$ to direct sum of invariant A -modules such that \mathcal{W}_0 is finitely generated and projective. Moreover the restriction of D_N^V to \mathcal{W}_1 has a bounded inverse. The operator $\mathcal{R} := (D_N^V)|_{\mathcal{W}_0} \oplus 0$ is a compact A -operator on $L^2(N, W_{|N})$, so the following operator

$$\tilde{D}_N := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (D_N^V)|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a compact perturbation of D_N^V , consequently

$$(2.3) \quad \text{ind } D_N^V = \text{ind } \tilde{D}_N = [\mathcal{W}_0^+] - [\mathcal{W}_0^-] \in K_0(A) .$$

The Cayley transform of the following family of operators

$$D_s = \epsilon i \frac{d}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} s\mathcal{R} & 0 \\ 0 & (D_N^V)|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is a continuous family of bounded operators to which the lemma 2.2 is applicable. Here ϵ stands for the grading operator. Therefore we have the homotopy $U_+(D_s)$ of Fredholm operators with the same index in $K_0(A)$. Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad \text{ind}(D^V, N) = \text{ind}(\bar{D}, N) ,$$

where

$$\bar{D} = \epsilon i \frac{d}{dx} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (D_N^V)|_{\mathcal{W}_1} \end{pmatrix} .$$

Remark Notice that \bar{D} is not a twisted Dirac operator acting on sections of a Hilbert module bundle. Nevertheless since the lemma 2.2 is applicable to this operator $U_+(\bar{D})$ is a Fredholm A -operator on $L^2(M, \mathcal{W}_1)$ and we can define the index $\text{ind}(\bar{D}, N) = \text{ind} U_+(\bar{D})$ as an element in $K_0(A)$. With respect to direct sum $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times N, W) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{W}_0) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{W}_1)$ we put $\bar{D} = \bar{D}_0 \oplus \bar{D}_1$. In the view of the above remark it is clear that

$$\text{ind}(\bar{D}, N) = \text{ind}(\bar{D}_0, N) + \text{ind}(\bar{D}_1, N) \in K_0(A).$$

Notice that \bar{D}_0 is the euclidian Dirac operator (up to sign) twisted by a finitely generated module, so $\text{ind}(\bar{D}_0, N)$ is well defined. If σ is a smooth element of $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{W}_1)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\bar{D}_1 \pm i\psi)\sigma\|^2 &= \langle (\bar{D}_1 \mp i\psi)(\bar{D}_1 \pm i\psi)\sigma, \sigma \rangle \\ &= \langle (D_N^V)^* D_N^V \sigma + ((id/dx \pm i\psi)^*(id/dx \pm i\psi))\sigma \rangle \\ &\geq \|D_N^V \sigma\|^2 \\ &\geq \delta \|\sigma\|^2, \quad \text{for a } \delta > 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality results from the fact that $(D_N^V)|_{\mathcal{W}_1}$ has a continuous inverse. Now the argument in the proof of the theorem can be applied to deduce $\text{ind}(\bar{D}_1, N) = 0 \in K_0(A)$. On the other hand, the operator \bar{D}_0 has the following form acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{W}_0^+) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{W}_0^-)$

$$D_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{W}_0} \oplus -D_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathcal{W}_1}$$

Therefore the following equality follows by applying the relations (2.2)

$$\text{ind}(\bar{D}_0, N) = [\mathcal{W}_0^+] - [\mathcal{W}_0^-] \in K_0(A) .$$

This relation along relations (2.3) and (2.4) prove the theorem for the cylindrical case and so complete the proof of the theorem. \square

The following theorem is an immediate application of the previous theorem.

Corollary 2.7. *Let N be a closed even dimensional manifold and W be a Clifford Hilbert A -module bundle on N . Let D be a Dirac type operator acting on sections of W . If there is a compact manifold M with $N = \partial M$ and if all geometric structures extend to M , then $\text{ind} D = 0 \in K_0(A)$*

3. PARTITIONING BY ENLARGEABLE MANIFOLDS

In this section we apply theorem 2.6 to prove the following theorem concerning the existence of complete metrics on non-compact manifolds with uniformly positive scalar curvature.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (M, g) be a non-compact orientable complete n -manifold where $n \geq 2$ and let M has a finite covering which is spin. Let N be a $(n-1)$ -dimensional area-enlargeable closed sub-manifold of M which partitions M . If there is a map $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ such that its restriction to N has non-zero degree, then the scalar curvature of g cannot be uniformly positive.*

proof At first notice that M , hence N , may be assumed to be spin. If not we consider the finite spin riemannian covering (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) where \tilde{g} is the lifting of g . Let $\tilde{N} \subset \tilde{M}$ be the induced covering for N which is area-enlargeable. Its normal bundle is trivial so \tilde{N} is spin too. The function ϕ has a lifting to a function $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$ such that its restriction to \tilde{N} is of non-zero degree. Moreover, the scalar curvature of g is uniformly positive if and only if the scalar curvature of \tilde{g} is uniformly positive. This discussion show that if we prove the assertion of the theorem for \tilde{M} , then it follows for M , too.

We can also assume n to be an odd integer. If not consider the complete manifold $(M \times S^1, g \oplus g_0)$ where g_0 is any riemannian metric on S^1 . If M has a finite spin covering \tilde{M} then $\tilde{M} \times S^1$ is a finite spin covering for $M \times S^1$. The restriction of the map $\phi \times \text{Id} : M \times S^1 \rightarrow N \times S^1$ has non-zero degree and $N \times S^1$ is area-enlargeable. Moreover if the scalar curvature of g is uniformly positive then the scalar curvature of $g \oplus g_0$ is uniformly positive, so it suffices to prove the theorem for the odd dimensional complete manifold $(M \times S^1, g \oplus g_0)$.

To prove this theorem we use some methods and constructions introduced by B.Hanke and T.Schick in [6] and [7]. Following [7, proposition 1.5], since N is area-enlargeable, for each positive natural number k there exists a C^* algebra A_k and a Hilbert A_k -module bundle $V_k \rightarrow N$ with connection ∇_k with following properties: The curvature Ω_k of V_k satisfies

$$\|\Omega_k\| \leq \frac{1}{k},$$

and there exists a split extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \rightarrow A_k \rightarrow \Gamma_k \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathbb{K} denotes the algebra of compact operator on an infinite dimensional and separable Hilbert space, and Γ_k is a certain C^* -algebra. In particular each $K_0(A_k)$ canonically splits off a $\mathbb{Z} = K_0(\mathbb{K})$ summand. Denote by W_k the spin bundle twisted by V_k and by $D_N^{V_k}$ the associated twisted Dirac operator. If $a_k \in K_0(A_k)$ denotes the index of $D_N^{V_k}$ then the $\mathbb{Z} = K_0(\mathbb{K})$ -component z_k of a_k is non-zero. Moreover there is a dense subalgebra \mathcal{A}_k of A_k which is closed under holomorphic calculus and there is a continuous trace $\alpha_k : \mathcal{A}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_k = \text{ind}_{\alpha_k} D_N^{V_k}$. Here we have used the fact that $\text{ind} D_N^{V_k} \in K_0(A_k) = K_0(\mathcal{A}_k)$ so the expression $\text{ind}_{\alpha_k} D_N^{V_k}$ makes sens. This index can be calculated in term of the geometry of (M, g) and of the bundle (V_k, ∇^{V_k}) . The theorem 9.2 of [17] gives the following explicite formula for this index

$$(3.1) \quad z_k = \text{ind}_{\alpha_k} D_N^{V_k} = \int_N A(TM) \wedge [\text{Ch}_{\alpha_k}(V_k, \nabla^{V_k})]_+.$$

Here $[\omega]$ denotes the positive degree part of differential form $\omega \in \Omega^*(N)$ and $\text{Ch}_{\alpha_k}(V_k, \nabla^{V_k})$ is defined in term of the curvature Ω_k by the following relation which provides a closed differential form on N

$$(3.2) \quad \text{Ch}_{\alpha_k}(V_k, \nabla^{V_k}) := \alpha_k(\text{str} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega_k}{j!}).$$

The class of $\text{Ch}_{\alpha_k}(V_k, \nabla^{V_k})$ in the de-Rham cohomology of N is independent of the connection ∇^{V_k} , so it is determined by the class of V_k in $K_0(A_k)$. Since $K_0(\mathcal{A}_k) \simeq K_0(A_k)$ one can assume that the value of the expression between the parentheses at the right hand side of (3.2) belongs to \mathcal{A}^{ab} , so in the domain of α_k . This justifies the definition of the Chern character.

It is clear from the definition that for a smooth function $\psi : N \rightarrow N$ one has

$$\text{Ch}_{\alpha_k}(\psi^* V_k, \nabla^{\psi^* V_k}) = \psi^* \text{Ch}_{\alpha_k}(V_k, \nabla^{V_k}).$$

The main feature of the virtual bundle V_k , coming from area-enlargeability of N , is that the Chern character $\text{Ch}_{\gamma}(V_k, \nabla^{V_k})$ is, in fact, a closed differential $(n-1)$ -form. So given a smooth map $\psi : N \rightarrow N$, the explicite formula (3.1) implies the following relation

$$(3.3) \quad \text{ind}_{\alpha_k} D_N^{\psi^* V_k} = \text{deg}(\psi) \cdot \text{ind}_{\alpha_k} D_N^{V_k}.$$

For being able to use theorem 2.6, we need to work with flat bundles on N . For this purpose we use another fundamental construction introduced in [6]. This construction consists of *assembling* the algebras A_k , the almost flat sequence of bundles V_k and the connections ∇^{V_k} to construct a C^* algebra A , a Hilbert A -module bundle V and a flat connection ∇^V such that the index of the twisted Dirac operator D_N^V acting on smooth sections of $W = S \otimes V$, taking its value in $K_0(A)$, keeps the track of the index theoretic information of $D_N^{V_k}$ when k goes toward infinity. Denote by $\prod^b A_k$ the C^* -algebra consisting of all uniformly bounded sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots) with $a_k \in A_k$ and by $\prod^0 A_k$ the C^* -algebra consisting of all sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots) such that the sequence $\{\|a_k\|\}_k$ converges to 0. The C^* -algebra A is defined by the following quotient

$$A := \frac{\prod^b A_k}{\prod^0 A_k}.$$

The C^* -algebras Γ and \mathcal{K} are constructed from $\{\Gamma_k\}_k$ and from $\{\mathbb{K}\}_k$ by similar quotients. Clearly one has the following split exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 0,$$

which gives rise to the following commutative diagram with splitting rows

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_0(A) \rightarrow K_0(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0$$

It turns out that

$$K_0(\mathcal{K}) \simeq \frac{\prod K_0(\mathbb{K})}{\bigoplus K_0(\mathbb{K})} \simeq \frac{\prod \mathbb{Z}}{\bigoplus \mathbb{Z}}.$$

The proposition 1.5 of [6] and the discussion after that show that the component of $\text{ind } D_N^V$ in $K_0(\mathcal{K})$ can be represented, with respect to the above isomorphism, by $z = [(z_1, z_2, \dots)]$ where $z_k = \alpha_k(\text{ind } D_N^{V_k}) \neq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies the nonvanishing result $\text{ind } D_N^V \neq 0 \in K_0(\mathcal{K})$.

For ψ a smooth function on N it turns out from the construction of the bundle V that the pull back bundle $\psi^*(V)$ may be constructed by assembling the bundles $\psi^*(V_k)$. Using (3.3) and the above description of the $K_0(\mathcal{K})$ -component of the higher index $\text{ind}(D_N^{\psi^*V}) \in K_0(A)$ we conclude that the $K_0(\mathcal{K})$ -component of $\text{ind } D_N^{\psi^*V}$ equals to $\text{deg}(\psi)$ -times of the $K_0(\mathcal{K})$ -component of $\text{ind } D_N^V$. Since this last component is non-vanishing, we conclude In particular

$$(3.6) \quad \text{ind } D_N^{\psi^*V} \neq 0 \in K_0(\mathcal{A}),$$

provided that $\text{deg}(\psi) \neq 0$. Now we are able to apply theorem 2.6. Using the map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ we construct the pull back bundle ϕ^*V and the pull-back connection $\phi^*\nabla$ which is flat. Let D^{ϕ^*V} be the spin Dirac operator of M twisted by the flat Hilbert A -module bundle $(\phi^*V, \phi^*\nabla)$. The restriction of this bundle to N is ψ^*V , where $\psi := \phi|_N$ is of non-zero degree. By theorem 2.6 we have

$$\text{ind}(D^{\phi^*V}, N) = \text{ind } D_N^{\psi^*V},$$

which gives the following non-vanishing result by relation (3.6)

$$(3.7) \quad \text{ind}(D^{\phi^*V}, N) \neq 0 \in K_0(A).$$

On the other hand, by lemma 2.4 if the scalar curvature of g is uniformly positive then odd- $\text{ind } D^{\phi^*V}$ vanishes. This is in contradiction with the above non-vanishing result and completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.
- [2] Alain Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [3] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 111(3):423–434, 1980.
- [4] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 111(2):209–230, 1980.

- [5] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (58):83–196 (1984), 1983.
- [6] B. Hanke and T. Schick. Enlargeability and index theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 74(2):293–320, 2006.
- [7] Bernhard Hanke and Thomas Schick. Enlargeability and index theory: infinite covers. *K-Theory*, 38(1):23–33, 2007.
- [8] Nigel Higson. A note on the cobordism invariance of the index. *Topology*, Vol. 30, No. 3 :439–443, 1991.
- [9] Dan Kucerovsky. Functional calculus and representations of $C_0(\mathbb{C})$ on a Hilbert module. *Q. J. Math.*, 53(4):467–477, 2002.
- [10] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr. and Marie-Louise Michelsohn. *Spin geometry*, volume 38 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [11] A. S. Miščenko and A. T. Fomenko. The index of elliptic operators over C^* -algebras. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 43(4):831–859, 967, 1979.
- [12] John Roe. Operator algebras and index theory on noncompact manifolds. In *Index theory of elliptic operators, foliations, and operator algebras (New Orleans, LA/Indianapolis, IN, 1986)*, volume 70 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 229–249. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [13] John Roe. Partitioning noncompact manifolds and the dual Toeplitz problem. In *Operator algebras and applications, Vol. 1*, volume 135 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 187–228. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [14] John Roe. A note on the relative index theorem. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 42(167):365–373, 1991.
- [15] Jonathan Rosenberg. C^* -algebras, positive scalar curvature, and the Novikov conjecture. III. *Topology*, 25(3):319–336, 1986.
- [16] Thomas Schick. Operator algebras and topology. In *Topology of high-dimensional manifolds, No. 1, 2 (Trieste, 2001)*, volume 9 of *ICTP Lect. Notes*, pages 571–660. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2002.
- [17] Thomas Schick. L^2 -index theorems, KK -theory, and connections. *New York J. Math.*, 11:387–443 (electronic), 2005.
- [18] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau. On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Manuscripta Math.*, 28(1-3):159–183, 1979.

E-mail address: zadeh@uni-math.gwdg.de

MOSTAFA ESFAHANI ZADEH,
 MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT,
 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY AND
 INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN BASIC SCIENCES(IASBS),
 ZANJAN-IRAN