EQUATIONS RESOLVING A CONJECTURE OF RADO ON PARTITION REGULARITY

BORIS ALEXEEV AND JACOB TSIMERMAN

ABSTRACT. The *degree of regularity* of a linear equation L is the least number of colors in a coloring of the positive integers with no monochromatic solution to L. Richard Rado conjectured in his 1933 thesis that for every positive integer k , there exists a linear equation that has degree of regularity k . We prove this conjecture by showing that the equation $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^i}{2^i-1} x_i = \left(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^i}{2^i-1}\right) x_0$ has this property.

This conjecture is part of problem E14 in Richard Guy's book "Unsolved problems in number theory".

In 1916, Schur [\[Sch16\]](#page-1-0) proved that in any coloring of the positive integers with finitely many colors, there is a monochromatic solution to $x + y = z$. In 1927, van der Waerden [\[vdW27\]](#page-1-1) proved his celebrated theorem that every finite coloring of the positive integers contains arbitrarily long monochromatic arithmetic progressions. In his famous 1933 thesis, Richard Rado [\[Rad33\]](#page-1-2) generalized these results by classifying the systems of linear equations with monochromatic solutions in every finite coloring. His thesis also contained conjectures regarding equations that do have a finite coloring with no monochromatic solutions.

Definition. The *degree of regularity* of a linear equation L is the least number of colors in a coloring of the positive integers with no monochromatic solution to L.

Remark. Some authors require that the values of the variables be distinct in solutions to L. We follow Rado and Guy in not including this extra condition.

Conjecture (Rado [\[Rad33,](#page-1-2) [Guy04\]](#page-1-3)). For every positive integer k, there exists a linear equation that has degree of regularity k.

Fox and Radoičić [\[FR05\]](#page-1-4) conjectured that the family of equations M_k given by $\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} 2^i x_i = 2^{k-1} x_{k-1}$ has this property. We prove Rado's conjecture by using the related family of equations,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^i}{2^i - 1} x_i = \left(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^i}{2^i - 1}\right) x_0,
$$

which we denote by L_k .

Theorem 1. The degree of regularity of the equation L_k is k.

Remark. This result and its proof carry over to colorings of the nonzero rationals.

Proof. We first use the power of 2-adic valuations to prove that there exists a k-coloring with no monochromatic solutions to L_k . This idea was proposed by Fox and Radoičić for the equation M_k ; later, Alexeev, Fox, and Graham [\[AFG07\]](#page-1-5) proved that these colorings actually were minimal if $k \leq 7$, but only conjectured the result in general.

Date: November 18, 2018.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05D10.

Key words and phrases. Colorings, partition regularity, Ramsey theory.

If r is a nonzero rational number, let ord₂ r denote the 2-adic valuation of r, that is, the unique m such that $r = 2^m \frac{a}{b}$ for odd a and b; also, let ord₂ $0 = \infty$. Note that the 2-adic valuation of the coefficient of x_i in L_k is i. This is immediate if $i > 0$ while in the case of x_0 , this follows from the (non-Archimedean) 2-adic triangle inequality^{[1](#page-1-6)} because -1 has valuation 0 while the rest of the terms in the summation have positive valuation. We claim this implies that the k-coloring $C_k(r) = \text{ord}_2 r \text{ mod } k$ has no monochromatic solutions to L_k .

Assume to the contrary that C_k admits a monochromatic solution to L_k . Then the terms of L_k would be a collection of numbers with distinct 2-adic valuations that sum to zero, which is impossible by the 2-adic triangle inequality.

We now show there is no coloring to L_k with fewer than k colors. Indeed, in any coloring with no monochromatic solutions to L_k , the color of x and 2^jx must be different if $0 < j < k$. (In the referenced literature, the number 2^j is thus said to be a *forbidden ratio*.) If there were an x so that x and $2^j x$ were the same color, then

$$
x_i = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } i = j, \\ 2^j x & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}
$$

would be a monochromatic solution to L_k ; all but the jth terms cancel, leaving $\frac{2^j}{2^j-1}x = \left(-1 + \frac{2^j}{2^j-1}\right)2^jx$. This implies that the k numbers 1, 2, 4, ..., 2^{k-1} are colored with distinct colors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Owen Biesel for helpful comments on the exposition.

REFERENCES

- [AFG07] Boris Alexeev, Jacob Fox, and Ron Graham, On minimal colorings without monochromatic solutions to a linear equation, Combinatorial number theory, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2007, pp. 1–22.
- [FR05] Jacob Fox and Rados Radoičić, The axiom of choice and the degree of regularity of equations over the reals, preprint, Dec 2005.
- [Guy04] Richard K. Guy, Unsolved problems in number theory, third ed., Problem Books in Mathematics, ch. E14, p. 328, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.
- [Rad33] Richard Rado, Studien zur Kombinatorik, Math. Z. 36 (1933), no. 1, 424–470.
- [Sch16] Issai Schur, Über die Kongruenz $x^m + y^m \equiv z^m \pmod{p}$, Jahresber Deutsch. Math. Verein. 25 (1916), 114–117.
- [vdW27] Bartel Leendert van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudet'schen Vermutung, Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde 15 (1927), 212–216.

Department of Mathematics, Princeton University, Fine Hall, Washington Road, Princeton, NJ 08544-1000 E-mail address: balexeev@math.princeton.edu

E-mail address: jtsimerm@math.princeton.edu

¹Namely, $\text{ord}_2(x + y) \ge \min(\text{ord}_2 x, \text{ord}_2 y)$. Moreover, it follows that equality holds if $\text{ord}_2(x) \ne \text{ord}_2(y)$.