A Global Algebraic Treatment for XY_2 Molecules : Application to D_2S .

O.V. Gromova^{a,b}, F. Michelot^a, C. Leroy^{*,a}, O.N. Ulenikov^b, Y. Pashayan-Leroy^a, E.S. Bekhtereva^b

^a Institut Carnot de Bourgogne, UMR 5209 CNRS-Université de Bourgogne, BP 47870, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France ^bLaboratory of Molecular Spectroscopy, Physics Department, Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia.

Abstract

Abstract We suggest to use electronic states w chain which, for its the also standard for the stretching built and their may account the polyace to the D_2S molecu allows to reproduce *Key words:* Vibra *PACS:* 33.20.Tp; 0 **1. Introduction** We suggest to use for XY_2 molecules some results previously established in a series of articles for vibrational modes and electronic states with an E symmetry type. We first summarize the formalism for the standard $u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$ chain which, for its most part, can be kept for the study of both stretching and bending modes of XY_2 molecules. Next the also standard chain $u(3) \supset u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$ which is necessary, within the considered approach, is introduced for the stretching modes. All operators acting within the irreducible representation (*irrep*) $[N00] \equiv [N\dot{0}]$ of u(3) are built and their matrix elements computed within the standard basis. All stretch-bend interaction operators taking into account the polyad structure associated with a resonance $\omega_1 \approx \omega_3 \approx 2 \omega_2$ are obtained. As an illustration, an application to the D_2S molecular system is considered, especially the symmetrization in C_{2v} . It is shown that our unitary formalism allows to reproduce in an extremely satisfactory way all the experimental data up to the dissociation limit. Key words: Vibrational excitations; Unitary group approach; Local mode; Normal mode; D_2S ; Dissociation limit

PACS: 33.20.Tp; 03.65.Fd

The hydrogen sulfide molecule and its isotopic species are of interest for terrestrial atmospheric pollutant measurements. As this gas is more heavy than air, it remains concentrated to the floor level and can be lethal at high concentration. Global warming process has increased the number of studies devoted to the chemical and physical properties of H_2S and isotopic species [1, 2, 3]. These molecules have also been observed in planet atmospheres like Jupiter [4] or Venus [5] and appear in the analysis of the interstellar medium [6, 7, 8].

Many papers, devoted to the analysis of the rovibrational spectra of D_2S , have been published during the last thirty years : anharmonicity corrections to observed fundamental frequencies of vibration in [9], various molecular structures have been evaluated in [10], [11] for the ν_2 band, [12] for the three fundamental bands ν_2 , ν_1 and ν_3 of $D_2{}^{32}S$ and the ν_2 band of $D_2^{34}S$, application of the MORBID (Morse Oscillator Rigid Render Internal Dynamics) computer program for the four isotopic molecules $H_2^{32}S$, $D_2^{32}S$, $HD^{32}S$, and $H_2{}^{34}S$ [13].

Different theoretical models have been elaborated to improve the analysis of D_2S [14] or bent XY_2 molecules in general [15, 16]. Initiated by the early works of Iachello and Oss [16], algebraic formalisms seem to be good tools for the description of XY_2 molecules [17] particularly to take into account the local behavior. Also the vibrational spectrum must be described using a Hamiltonian where the importance of Fermi-type interaction is taken into account [18]. Other studies using potential energy surface have been recently published [19, 20]. This last method is particularly adapted when one has many data coming from different isotopic species. For further references about re-

^{*}Corresponding author.

Email address: claude.leroy@u-bourgogne.fr (C. Leroy)

Preprint submitted to Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy

cent analysis of H_2S molecule and isotopes, the reader is invited to examine the references given in [1, 19, 20].

In this paper, we use and adapt formalisms that we developed previously. Some of them dealt with unitary algebras applied in molecular spectroscopy [21, 22], pure algebraic studies [23] or works concerning algebraic chains which may be adapted to XY_2 systems [24, 25, 26].

In the theoretical part we use the properties of two algebraic chains, $u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$ and $u(3) \supset u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$, to analyze the bending and stretching vibrational modes of bent XY_2 systems. Basis states and operators oriented in these chains are built and the matrix elements of the oriented operators are given. Next symmetrization of these tensors in C_{2v} allows to build Hamiltonian and tensor operators adapted to the C_{2v} molecular point group. A method to select, in the $u(3) \otimes u(2)$ dynamical algebra, all relevant operators for a given polyad structure is proposed and applied to the case of a 2:1 resonance.

As an illustration, in the last section our approach is tested upon the D_2S molecule. The experimental data are reproduced with a standard deviation close to 0.5 cm^{-1} and the calculated dissociation energy is found close to the experimental one.

2. Theoretical frame

2.1. The standard $u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$ chain

We consider first physical systems under the assumption that they can be described from two boson creation and annihilation operators denoted b_i^+ and b_i (i = 1, 2)which satisfy the usual Bose commutation relations. A basis for the space of states may be obtained through repeated action of b_1^+ and b_2^+ onto the vacuum state $|0, 0\rangle$:

$$|n_1, n_2\rangle = (n_1! n_2!)^{-1/2} b_1^{+n_1} b_2^{+n_2} |0, 0\rangle.$$
(1)

We note that these states may be taken as those of a two dimensional oscillator (within a usual approach) or as those of two one dimensional oscillators. They will also be associated with the dynamical states for a one dimensional oscillator.

Tensor operators are built from the well-known Schwinger's realization of su(2) in terms of two boson operators [27]:

$$J_{+} = b_{1}^{+}b_{2} , \quad J_{-} = b_{2}^{+}b_{1}$$

$$J_{z} = \frac{1}{2}(N_{1} - N_{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(b_{1}^{+}b_{1} - b_{2}^{+}b_{2}),$$
(2)

with commutation relations

$$[J_z, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}$$
, $[J_+, J_-] = 2J_z$,

and u(2) is obtained with the addition of the linear invariant $N = N_1 + N_2$, with $N_i |n_1, n_2\rangle = n_i |n_1, n_2\rangle$ (i = 1, 2).

2.1.1. General tensor operators and states within the standard chain

Keeping with previous conventions [24] covariant su(2)states $|jm\rangle\rangle$ and operators $T_m^{(j)}$ are characterized by the relations $(m:-j,\cdots,j)$:

$$J_{z} |jm\rangle\rangle = -m |jm\rangle\rangle$$

$$J_{\pm} |jm\rangle\rangle = -[(j\pm m)(j\mp m+1)]^{1/2} |jm\mp 1\rangle\rangle,$$
(3)

and likewise for irreducible tensor operators (ITO)

$$[J_z, T_m^{(j)}] = -m T_m^{(j)}$$

$$[J_{\pm}, T_m^{(j)}] = -[(j \pm m)(j \mp m + 1)]^{1/2} T_{m \mp 1}^{(j)}.$$
(4)

In the whole $u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$ chain, symmetrized states and tensor operators are further characterized by an additional u(2) label $[m_{12} \ m_{22}] = [m_1 - m_2]$ in Gel'fand notation [28, 29] with $j = (m_1 + m_2)/2$ and

$$[N_i, {}^{[m_1 - m_2]}T_{-j}^{(j)}] = m_{i2}{}^{[m_1 - m_2]}T_{-j}^{(j)} \quad i = 1, 2.$$
(5)

With equations (2, 4) it can be checked that a realization for the extremal components appearing in (5) is given by:

$$\mathbf{T}\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & -m_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m_2} i^{m_1} [m_1! \, m_2!]^{-\frac{1}{2}} b_1^{+m_1} b_2^{m_2} \quad (6)$$

This allows to generate two sets of fundamental operators

with $j = m_2/2$. In particular the standard covariant basis is obtained with (7) acting upon the vacuum state:

$$|[n\,0]jm\rangle\rangle \equiv {}^{[n\,0]}T^{(j)}_{\ m} |0,0\rangle = (-1)^{2j+m}|j-m,j+m\rangle\rangle.$$
(9)

¿From the previous set (7, 8) one may build all functionally independent operators which may act within the *irrep* [n 0]of u(2) through

$${}^{[m_1 - m_2]}\mathcal{T}_m^{(j)} = i^{j - j_{max}} \left[{}^{[m_1 \ 0]}T^{(\frac{m_1}{2})} \times {}^{[0 - m_2]} T^{(\frac{m_2}{2})} \right]_m^{(j)} (10)$$

with

$$j_{min} = \frac{|m_1 - m_2|}{2} \le j \le \frac{m_1 + m_2}{2} = j_{max}$$

Their expansion in normal ordered form can easily be obtained; alternatively they may be written

$${}^{[m_1-m_2]}\mathcal{T}_m^{(j)} = {}^{\{m_1\,m_2\}}\mathbf{g}_j(N_1+N_2) {}^{[m_1'-m_2']}\mathcal{T}_m^{(j)}, \qquad (11)$$

where

$$m'_1 = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{2} + j$$
, $m'_2 = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{2} + j$, (12)

hence $j = (m'_1 + m'_2)/2$. ${m_1 m_2} g_j$ is a polynomial function of the u(2) linear invariant $N_1 + N_2$ given by:

$${}^{\{m_1 \, m_2\}} \mathbf{g}_j(N_1 + N_2) = \left[\frac{(2j+1)!}{(j_{max} + j+1)! \, (j_{max} - j)!}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times (N_1 + N_2 + \frac{m_2 - m_1}{2} - j)^{[j_{max} - j]},$$
(13)

where $X^{[k]} = X \times (X - 1) \times ... \times (X - k + 1).$

We note that when $j = j_{max}$, the operator (13) reduces to the identity and

$${}^{[m_1 - m_2]}\mathcal{T}^{(j_{max})} \equiv {}^{[m_1 - m_2]}T^{(j_{max})}, \tag{14}$$

the minimal covariant component of which is given by (6). All phase conventions have been settled so that under hermitian conjugation (†) and time reversal (\mathcal{K}_t) we have

$$\mathcal{K}_t \ ^{[m_1 - m_2]} \mathcal{T}_m^{(j)} \ \ \mathcal{K}_t^{-1} = (-1)^{m_1' [m_1 - m_2]} \mathcal{T}_m^{(j)}. \tag{16}$$

2.1.2. Matrix elements within the standard basis

With the Wigner-Eckart's theorem we have in terms of su(2) Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (CG) [30]:

$$\langle \langle [n'' \, 0] j''m'' | ^{[m_1 - m_2]} \mathcal{T}_m^{(j)} | [n' \, 0] j'm' \rangle \rangle = (2j'' + 1)^{-1/2}$$

$$\times C \frac{m \ m' \ (j'') *}{(j \ j') \ m''} \left([n'' \, 0] j'' | |^{[m_1 - m_2]} \mathcal{T}^{(j)} | |[n' \, 0] j' \right)$$

$$= F \frac{m \ m' \ ([n'' \, 0] j'') *}{([m_1' - m_2']j \ [n' \, 0] j') \ m''}$$

$$\times (2j'' + 1)^{-1/2} \left([n'' \, 0] j'' | |^{[m_1 - m_2]} \mathcal{T}^{(j)} | |[n' \, 0] j' \right), (17)$$

where * denotes complex conjugation. The notation for the *F* symbols, which retains the full $u(2) \supset su(2)$ labels, is useful when symmetry adaptation in a point group is performed. Reduced matrix elements (*rme*) for all operators are obtained with

$$\left([n'' \, 0]j'' ||^{[m_1 - m_2]} \mathcal{T}^{(j)} || [n' \, 0]j' \right) = \delta_{n'', n' + m_1 - m_2} \\ \times \frac{i^{-m_2'}}{(n' - m_2)!} \left[\frac{(2j+1)(n' + m_1' + 1)! (n' - m_2')!}{(j_{max} + j + 1)! (j_{max} - j)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (18)

2.2. Tensors adapted to an u(3) dynamical algebra

For the applications we have in mind, the initial assumptions are the following:

• A molecule, with point group symmetry G ($G = C_{2v}$), admits in its full vibrational representation two non degenerate modes with close enough frequencies.

• The interaction of these modes with other vibrational modes is sufficiently low so that in first approximation it can be neglected. As a consequence a separate study taking into account the degrees of freedom associated with these modes only is possible.

• This study, made within the frame of an u(p+1) dynamical approach, requires the introduction of a dynamical or non-invariance u(3) algebra to which we associate the elementary boson operators $\{b_i^+, b_i\}_{i=1,2,3}$.

2.2.1. The algebraic chain $u(3) \supset u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$

¿From the preceding assumptions the space of states is a carrier space for the so-called totally symmetric (or most degenerate) *irrep* $[N00] = [N\dot{0}]$ of u(3) which subduces to $[n\ 0]$ $(n = 0, 1, \dots, N)$ in u(2) [29, 31]. Then all operators which may act within this *irrep* are of symmetry [z, 0, -z] with $z = 0, 1, \dots, N$ (see [24, 32, 33] for more details). Those which are maximal in u(3) have the form:

$$T\begin{bmatrix} [z \ 0-z]\\ (max_c) \end{bmatrix} = \left[\frac{(N-z)!}{N! \ z!}\right]^{1/2} \ b_1^{+z} b_3^{-z}, \tag{19}$$

where the $\alpha_{z,N}$ coefficient is determined through the following normalization condition:

$$\langle n, 0, N-n | T \begin{bmatrix} [z \ 0-z] \\ (max_c) \end{bmatrix} | 0, 0, N \rangle = \delta_{z,n}.$$
 (20)

The notation $|n_1, n_2, n_3\rangle$ for the states is that of the u(3) canonical chain. $|0, 0, N\rangle$ and $|n, 0, N - n\rangle$ represent respectively the state with zero excitation quantum and the state with n excitation quanta maximal in u(2). Then the semi-maximal operators of the u(3) dynamical algebra write as:

$$\mathbf{T}\begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ m_1 & -m_2 \\ m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \mathcal{G}(z, m_1, m_2) \ b_1^{+m_1} \ b_2^{m_2}, \tag{21}$$

where $\mathcal{G}(z, m_1, m_2)$ is an operator valued function invariant in u(2) and defined by:

$$\mathcal{G}(z, m_1, m_2) = \left[\binom{z}{m_1} \binom{z}{m_2} \right] \\
\times \frac{(z+m_2+1)! (z+m_1+1)! (N-z)!}{(m_1+m_2+1)! (2z+1)! N! z!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\times \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{u} (-1)^{t+m_2} \binom{z-m_1}{t} \frac{(m_1+m_2+1)!}{(m_1+m_2+1+t)!} \right. \\
\left. \times \frac{(z-m_2)!}{(z-m_2-t)!} (N_1+N_2-m_1)^{[t]} \\
\times (N_3-z+m_1+u)^{[u-t]} \right\} b_3^{+z-m_1-u} b_3^{z-m_2-u}. \quad (22)$$

with $u = inf(z - m_1, z - m_2)$. From equations (6, 14) it appears the left member of equation (21) is, within a phase factor, the minimal covariant component of an ITO within the $su(2) \supset so(2)$ chain. So from the results in section 2.1.1, and taking into account that $\mathcal{G}_{(z,m_1,m_2)}$ commutes with the su(2) ladder operators J_+ and J_- , an arbitrary covariant operator is obtained through:

$$T \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 - m_2] & (j) \\ m \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m_2} [m_1!m_2!]^{1/2} \\ \times \mathcal{G}(z, m_1, m_2) \ ^{[m_1 - m_2]} T^{(j)}_m, \tag{23}$$

where the various labels may take the values $0 \le z \le N$, $0 \le m_1 \le z, \ 0 \le m_2 \le z, \ j = (m_1 + m_2)/2, \ -j \le m \le j$. The phase of the preceding operators have been chosen so that

$$T \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j) \\ m \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} = (-1)^{j+m} i^{m_1-m_2} \\
 \times T \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_2 & -m_1] & (j) \\ -m \end{bmatrix},$$
(24)

$$\mathcal{K}_{t} T \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_{1} & -m_{2}] & (j) \\ m \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{t}^{-1} = (-1)^{m_{1}} \\ \times T \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_{1} & -m_{2}] & (j) \\ m \end{bmatrix}.$$
(25)

2.2.2. Matrix elements

The covariant states, associated with the representation $[N\dot{0}]$ of u(3) and adapted to the subduction $u(3) \supset$ $u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$, are denoted $|[N\dot{0}][n\,0]jm\rangle\rangle$ in the following. They may be generated from the zero quantum excitation state $|[N\dot{0}][0\,0]00\rangle\rangle \equiv |0,0,N\rangle$, through the relation:

$$|[N\dot{0}][n\,0]jm\rangle\rangle = T \begin{bmatrix} n \ 0 \ -n \\ [n \ 0] \ (j) \\ m \end{bmatrix} |[N\dot{0}][0\,0]00\rangle\rangle.$$
(26)

The matrix elements for operators (23), computed in basis (26), are then given by:

$$\langle \langle [N \,\dot{0}] \, [n'' \, 0] j'' m'' | \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j) \\ m \end{bmatrix} | [N \,\dot{0}] \, [n' \, 0] j' m' \rangle \rangle$$

$$= (2j'' + 1)^{-1/2} C \frac{m & m' & (j'') *}{(j & j') & m''}$$

$$\times \left([N \dot{0}] \, [n'' \, 0] j'' | | \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j) \end{bmatrix} | [N \,\dot{0}] \, [n' \, 0] j' \right).$$

$$(27)$$

Using the expanded form (22) of $\mathcal{G}(z, m_1, m_2)$ we obtain the *rme* in the form

$$\begin{split} &([N \ \dot{0}] [n'' \ 0] j'' || T \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 - m_2] & (j) \end{bmatrix} || [N \ \dot{0}] [n' \ 0] j') = \\ & \left[\begin{pmatrix} z \\ m_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{(z + m_2 + 1)! (z + m_1 + 1)! (N - z)!}{(m_1 + m_2 + 1)! (2z + 1)! N! z!} \\ & \times \frac{m_1! m_2! (N - n')! (N - n' + m_2 - m_1)!}{(N - n' - z + m_2 + u)! (N - n' - z + m_2 + u)!} \right]^{1/2} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{t}^{u} (-1)^t \begin{pmatrix} z - m_1 \\ t \end{pmatrix} \frac{(m_1 + m_2 + 1)! (z - m_2)!}{(m_1 + m_2 + 1 + t)!} \\ & \times \frac{(n' - m_2)! (N - n' + m_2 - z + u)!}{(z - m_2 - t)! (N - n' + m_2 - z + t)!} \right\} \\ & \times ([N \ \dot{0}] [n'' \ 0] j'' || [m_1 - m_2] T^{(j)} || [n' \ 0] j'), \end{split}$$
(28)

where the remaining rme is given by (18) with $m'_1 = m_1$, $m'_2 = m_2$.

3. Application to XY_2 molecules

We make the assumption that an appropriate dynamical algebra for the description of the vibrational spectrum of these molecules is $u(3)_S \times u(2)_B$, where the indices Sand B respectively refer to stretching and bending modes. Below, we first specify the notations which are used in for each type of mode and next perform the symmetry adaptation in C_{2v} for states and operators.

3.1. Bending mode ν_2

3.1.1. Standard states and operators

For the Schwinger's realization of $su(2)_B$ we take (2):

$${}^{(b)}J_{+} = b_{4}^{+}b_{5} , {}^{(b)}J_{-} = b_{5}^{+}b_{4}$$
$${}^{(b)}J_{z} = \frac{1}{2}(N_{4} - N_{5}) = \frac{1}{2}(b_{4}^{+}b_{4} - b_{5}^{+}b_{5})$$

and ${}^{(b)}I_1^{(2)} = N_4 + N_5 = \widehat{N}_b$ for the $u(2)_B$ linear invariant. The standard covariant basis (9) is written $(N_b = 2J_b)$:

$$|[N_b \, 0] J_b \, m\rangle\rangle = (-1)^{2J_b + m} |J_b - m, J_b + m\rangle$$

= $\frac{(-1)^{2J_b + m}}{[(J_b + m)! (J_b - m)!]^{1/2}} b_4^{+J_b - m} b_5^{+J_b + m} |0, 0\rangle.$ (29)

We may also set

=

$$J_b - m = n_4 = v_2 \qquad J_b = \frac{n_4 + n_5}{2} = \frac{N_b}{2},$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad J_b + m = n_5 = N_b - v_2 \qquad m = \frac{n_5 - n_4}{2} = \frac{N_b}{2} - v_2.$$

Thus all results of section 2.1 can be used with the appropriate change of indices. However as N_b is associated with the maximal number of bending states and that only operators acting within the $[N_b 0]$ *irrep* of u(2) are allowed we necessarily have $m_4 = m_5$ for the general operators built before. The vibrational operators are thus $(0 \le j_b \le m_4)$

$${}^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}^{(j_b)}_m = i^{j_b - m_4} \left[{}^{[m_4 \ 0]} T^{(\frac{m_4}{2})} \times {}^{[0 - m_4]} T^{(\frac{m_4}{2})} \right]_m^{(j_b)}$$

$$= {}^{\{m_4, m_4\}} g_{j_b} (N_4 + N_5) {}^{[m'_4 - m'_4]} B^{(j_b)}_m, \quad (30)$$

with $m'_4 = m'_5 = j_b$ and

$$\{m_4, m_4\} \mathbf{g}_{j_b}(N_4 + N_5) = \left[\frac{(2j_b + 1)!}{(m_4 + j_b + 1)! (m_4 - j_b)!}\right]^{1/2} \times (N_4 + N_5 - j_b)^{[m_4 - j_b]}.$$
(31)

Their expanded expression in normal ordered form is:

$$[m_4 - m_4] \mathcal{B}_{m}^{(j_b)} = i^{j_b - m_4} \sum_{q_1, q_2} i^{2q_1} \left[\left(\frac{m_4}{2} - q_1 \right)! \left(\frac{m_4}{2} + q_1 \right)! \right] \\ \times \left(\frac{m_4}{2} - q_2 \right)! \left(\frac{m_4}{2} + q_2 \right)! \right]^{-1/2} C \begin{array}{c} q_1 & q_2 & (j_b) \\ \left(\frac{m_4}{2} - \frac{m_4}{2} \right) & m \\ \times b_4^{+ \frac{m_4}{2} - q_1} b_5^{+ \frac{m_4}{2} + q_1} b_4^{\frac{m_4}{2} + q_2} b_5^{\frac{m_4}{2} - q_2}.$$
(32)

With equations (17, 18) their matrix elements are

$$\langle \langle [N_b \ 0] J_b \ m'' |^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}_m^{(j_b)} | [N_b \ 0] J_b \ m' \rangle \rangle = (2J_b + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times F \ m \ m' \ ([N_b \ 0] J_b) * \\ ([m'_4 - m'_4] j_b \ [N_b \ 0] J_b) \ m'' \\ \times \left([N_b \ 0] J_b ||^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}^{(j_b)} || [N_b \ 0] J_b \right),$$
(33)

with for the *rme*

$$\left([N_b \ 0] J_b ||^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}^{(j_b)} || [N_b \ 0] J_b \right) = \frac{i^{-j_b}}{(N_b - m_4)!} \\ \times \left[\frac{(2j_b + 1)(N_b + j_b + 1)! (N_b - j_b)!}{(m_4 + j_b + 1)! (m_4 - j_b)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (34)

3.1.2. Symmetrization in C_{2v}

For the ν_2 mode with A_1 symmetry there is a priori no difficulty. All operators $[m_4 - m_4] \mathcal{B}_m^{(j_b)}$ are of A_1 species as well as any linear combination

$${}^{[m_4 - m_4]}\mathcal{B}_{rA_1}^{(j_b)} = \sum_{m} {}^{[m_4 - m_4]}G_{rA_1}^{m} {}^{[m_4 - m_4]}\mathcal{B}_{m}^{(j_b)}, \qquad (35)$$

where $[m_4 - m_4]G$ is a unitary matrix and $r = 1, \dots, 2j_b + 1$ a multiplicity index. However a consistent tensor formalism imposes some restrictions upon the G matrix.

The matrices for the *irrep* of C_{2v} , which reduce to characters, being real, the metric tensor may be chosen (and is usually chosen) identical to the identity. This traduces by:

$$T^{\dagger(\Gamma)}_{\ \sigma} = T^{(\Gamma)\dagger}_{\ \sigma},$$

for any symmetrized operator, and in particular for the elementary ones

$$b^{(A_1)\dagger} = b^{\dagger(A_1)} = b^{+(A_1)}$$

For our problem we will not undertake a general discussion as was made for E modes [24]. For ν_2 we only need the similarity transformations ${}^{[N_b \ 0]}G$ for the states and ${}^{[m_4 \ -m_4]}G$ for the operators. Also from the expression (31) for ${}^{\{m_4,m_4\}}\mathbf{g}_{j_b}(N_4+N_5)$, with A_1 symmetry and invariant upon time reversal, we see that we only need to determine ${}^{[m_4 \ -m_4]}G$ for the operators ${}^{[m_4 \ -m_4]}B^{(j_b)}$ $(j_b = m_4)$. That is (equation (30)):

• Symmetrized states. From equations (9,16) we have

$$\mathcal{K}_t | [N_b \, 0] J_b \, m \rangle \rangle \equiv \mathcal{K}_t^{[N_b \, 0]} B^{(J_b)}_m \mathcal{K}_t^{-1} \mathcal{K}_t \, | 0, 0 >$$

$$= (-1)^{N_b} | [N_b \, 0] J_b \, m \rangle \rangle.$$
(37)

If we set

$$|[N_b \, 0] J_b \, r A_1 \rangle \rangle = e^{i\theta} |[N_b \, 0] J_b \, m \rangle \rangle,$$

we get symmetrized states invariant upon time reversal if $e^{2i\theta} = (-1)^{N_b} = i^{2N_b}$, thus a possible choice is:

$$[N_b \, 0] J_b \, rA_1 \rangle \rangle \equiv |[N_b \, 0] J_b \, mA_1 \rangle \rangle = i^{N_b} |[N_b \, 0] J_b \, m \rangle \rangle$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{J_b - m} \, |n_4 = J_b - m, n_5 = J_b + m \rangle,$$
(38)

which amounts to choose

$${}^{[m_4\ 0]}G^m_{rA_1} = i^{m_4}\delta_{r,m}.$$
(39)

• Symmetrized operators. Likewise from the properties (15, 16) under hermitian conjugation and time reversal of the standard tensors, it may be shown that we may built the hermitian symmetrized operators

$$[m_{4} - m_{4}] \mathcal{B}_{|m| \in A_{1}}^{(j_{b})} = \frac{i^{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} \left([m_{4} - m_{4}] \mathcal{B}_{m}^{(j_{b})} + (-1)^{\varepsilon} (-1)^{j_{b} + m} [m_{4} - m_{4}] \mathcal{B}_{-m}^{(j_{b})} \right),$$

$$[m_{4} - m_{4}] \mathcal{B}_{0A_{1}}^{(j_{b})} = i^{m_{4}} [m_{4} - m_{4}] \mathcal{B}_{0}^{(j_{b})},$$

$$(40)$$

with $\varepsilon = 0, 1$. Operators characterized by $\varepsilon = 0$ are invariant upon time reversal (resp. non invariant upon time reversal) for j_b even (resp. j_b odd); it is the reverse for those characterized by $\varepsilon = 1$. Operators "diagonal in v_2 " $[m_4 - m_4] \mathcal{B}_{0A_1}^{(j_b)}$ are all invariant upon time reversal. We may thus write an effective bending Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_B = \sum_{m_4=0}^{N_b} \sum_{j_b=0}^{m_4} {\{m_4\}} t_b^{(j_b) \ [m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}_{0A_1}^{(j_b)}.$$
(41)

• *Matrix elements in the symmetrized basis.* Several methods can be used for their computation. The simplest is to use the general formalism, that is to perform the change of basis associated with the symmetrization process of states and operators in equation (33). For the general operators we obtain

$$\langle \langle [N_b \ 0] J_b m'' A_1 | {}^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}_{rA_1}^{(j_b)} | [N_b \ 0] J_b m' A_1 \rangle \rangle =$$

$$(2J_b + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F \frac{rA_1}{([m'_4 - m'_4]j_b \ [N_b \ 0]J_b)} \frac{m''A_1}{([m'_4 - m'_4]j_b \ [N_b \ 0]J_b)} \frac{m''A_1}{m'A_1}$$

$$\times \left([N_b \ 0] J_b ||^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}^{(j_b)} || [N_b \ 0]J_b \right),$$

$$(42)$$

where the *rme* are those of equation (34); r stands for $r_{\pm} = |m|\varepsilon$ or 0 according to the case and the symmetry adapted CG coefficients are given by:

$$F \frac{r A_{1}}{([m'_{4} - m'_{4}]j_{b} [N_{b} 0]J_{b})} = \frac{m'A_{1}}{([m'_{4} - m'_{4}]j_{b} [N_{b} 0]J_{b})} \frac{m''A_{1}}{m''A_{1}}$$

$$\sum_{m,m',m''} \frac{[m'_{4} - m'_{4}]G_{rA_{1}}^{m*} [N_{b} 0]G_{m'A_{1}}^{m'*} [N_{b} 0]G_{m''A_{1}}^{m''}}{([M_{b} - m'_{4}]j_{b} [N_{b} 0]J_{b})} \frac{m''}{m''}$$

$$= \sum_{m} \frac{[m'_{4} - m'_{4}]G_{pA_{1}}^{m*}}{([m'_{4} - m'_{4}]j_{b} [N_{b} 0]J_{b})} \frac{m''}{m''}, \quad (43)$$

where the last equality follows from (39). The analytical expressions for these coefficients together with those of the various matrix elements are given in Appendix A.

3.2. Stretching modes ν_1, ν_3

3.2.1. Standard states and operators

For the $su(2)_S$ Schwinger's realization we take:

$${}^{(s)}J_{+} = b_{1}^{+}b_{2} , \quad {}^{(s)}J_{-} = b_{2}^{+}b_{1}$$

$${}^{(s)}J_{z} = \frac{1}{2}(N_{1} - N_{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(b_{1}^{+}b_{1} - b_{2}^{+}b_{2})$$
(44)

where the indices i = 1, 2 are linked to the two bonds.

With regard to the notations of section 2.2 we make the substitutions $N \to N_s$, $j \to j_s$ for the states and $T \to S$ for the operators. We have then with (26) $(j_s = n_s/2)$:

$$|[N_{s} \dot{0}][n_{s} 0]j_{s} m\rangle\rangle = S \begin{bmatrix} n_{s} \ 0 \ -n_{s} \\ [n_{s} \ 0] \ (j_{s}) \\ m \end{bmatrix} |[N_{s} \dot{0}][0 \ 0]00\rangle\rangle, \quad (45)$$

with

$$[N_s \dot{0}][0 0]00\rangle \equiv |0, 0, N_s\rangle = (N_s!)^{-1/2} b_3^{+N_s} |0, 0, 0\rangle$$

We may also express these states in various forms replacing the S operator in (45):

$$\begin{split} |[N_{s} \dot{0}][n_{s} 0]j_{s} m\rangle\rangle &= (n_{s}!)^{1/2} \mathcal{G}(n_{s}, n_{s}, 0) \ {}^{[n_{s} 0]}S_{m}^{(j_{s})}|0, 0\rangle|N_{s}\rangle\\ &= (n_{s}!)^{1/2} \ \mathcal{G}(n_{s}, n_{s}, 0) \ |[n_{s} 0]j_{s} m\rangle\rangle|N_{s}\rangle\\ &= (-1)^{\frac{n_{s}}{2} + m} i^{n_{s}} |j_{s} - m, j_{s} + m, N_{s} - n_{s}\rangle. \end{split}$$
(46)

We thus have the correspondence:

$$\frac{n_s}{2} - m = n_1$$
 , $\frac{n_s}{2} + m = n_2$, $N_s - n_s = n_3$. (47)

Arbitrary vibrational operators in the algebraic standard $u(3) \supset u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$ chain are obtained from relations (22, 23) and the results for the covariant operators $[m_1 - m_2]T_m^{(j)}$. All their matrix elements are given by equations (27, 28). In particular, operators which are "diagonal in n_s " are characterized by $m_1 = m_2$.

3.2.2. Symmetrization in C_{2v}

The computations have been made with the conventions given in Table 1. The indices i = 1, 2 being associ-

Table	1:	C_{2v}	character	tabl
Table	1:	C_{2v}	character	tabl

C_{2v}	E	$C_2(Oz)$	$\sigma_v(xz)$	$\sigma_v(yz)$
A_1	1	1	1	1
A_2	1	1	-1	-1
B_1	1	-1	1	-1
B_2	1	-1	-1	1

ated with the two bonds we have:

$$P_{C_2} b_1 P_{C_2}^{-1} = b_2 ; P_{\sigma} b_1 P_{\sigma}^{-1} = b_2 ; P_{\sigma'} b_i P_{\sigma'}^{-1} = b_i, \quad (48)$$

where we set $\sigma = \sigma_v(yz)$ and $\sigma' = \sigma_v(xz)$. Hence the set (b_1, b_2) (or (b_1^+, b_2^+)) span a representation $A_1 + B_1$ of C_{2v} .

• Symmetrized operators. The relations in (48) allow first to determine the transformation laws of the standard tensors (10, 14, 23) $(j = (m_1 + m_2)/2)$:

$$P_R^{[m_1 - m_2]} S_m^{(j)} P_R^{-1} = (-1)^{m_1 [m_1 - m_2]} S_{-m}^{(j)}, \tag{49}$$

where $R = C_2$, σ ; they are obviously invariant with respect to σ' . We may thus build the symmetry adapted tensors (m > 0)

$${}^{[m_1 - m_2]} S^{(j)}_{|m|A_1} = \frac{\theta(m_1, m_2, |m|, \Gamma)}{\sqrt{2}} \left({}^{[m_1 - m_2]} S^{(j)}_m + (-1)^{m_1 + \varepsilon} {}^{[m_1 - m_2]} S^{(j)}_{-m} \right),$$

$$(50)$$

with $\varepsilon = 0$ for $\Gamma = A_1$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ for $\Gamma = B_1$. For m = 0we simply have

$${}^{[m_1 - m_2]}S^{(j)}_{0\Gamma} = \theta(m_1, m_2, 0, \Gamma) {}^{[m_1 - m_2]}S^{(j)}_{0},$$
(51)

with

$$\Gamma = A_1 \text{ for } m_1 \text{ and } m_2 \text{ even}$$

$$\Gamma = B_1 \text{ for } m_1 \text{ and } m_2 \text{ odd.}$$
(52)

In equations (50, 51) θ is a phase factor to be fixed next. In order to obtain a correct description (in terms of allowed symmetries in C_{2v}) for the states associated with the *irrep* $[N \dot{0}]$ of u(3), we must impose that b_3^+ (or b_3) belongs to the A_1 scalar representation of C_{2v} . With these conventions, it appears that the $\mathcal{G}(z, m_1, m_2)$ term, given by (22), is invariant in C_{2v} . Consequently the general operators (23) transform, under the action of the C_{2v} generators, as the standard operators (relation (49)). This property, allows to symmetrize both type of operators with the same orientation matrix and we set for the u(3) symmetrized operators:

$$S\begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] (j) \\ [m|\Gamma] \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m} {}^{[m_1 - m_2]} G^{m}_{[m|\Gamma]} S\begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] (j) \\ [m] \end{bmatrix},$$
(53)

where the sum is limited to the values $m = \pm |m| \ (m \neq 0)$. The choices for the phase factors have been made so that the operators (53) satisfy:

$$\mathbf{S}\begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j) \\ |m|\Gamma \end{bmatrix}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{S}\begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_2 & -m_1] & (j) \\ |m|\Gamma \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (54)

Under time reversal they are unchanged when m_1 or m_2 are zero and multiplied by $(-1)^{j+|m|+\varepsilon}$ when $m_1, m_2 \neq 0$. This leads to

$${}^{[m_1 - m_2]}G_{|m|\Gamma}^{\ m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\theta(m_1, m_2, |m|, \Gamma) , m > 0$$

$${}^{[m_1 - m_2]}G_{|m|\Gamma}^{-m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\theta(m_1, m_2, |m|, \Gamma)(-1)^{m_1 + \varepsilon}$$
(55)
$${}^{[m_1 - m_2]}G_{|0|\Gamma}^{\ 0} = \theta(m_1, m_2, 0, \Gamma) , m = 0$$

with

$$\theta(m_1, m_2, |m|, \Gamma) = i^{m_1} i^{j+|m|+\varepsilon} \quad m_1, m_2 \neq 0$$

$$\theta(m_1, 0, |m|, \Gamma) = i^{m_1} \quad (56)$$

$$\theta(0, m_2, |m|, \Gamma) = i^{m_2} (-1)^{|m|+\varepsilon}.$$

With the results in this section, keeping terms which are diagonal in n_s only, we may write the effective stretching Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{S} = \sum_{z=0}^{N_{s}} \sum_{m_{1}=0}^{z} \sum_{|m_{s}|}^{*} {}^{\{z\}} \tilde{t}_{|m_{s}|}^{(m_{1})} S \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_{1} & -m_{1}] & (j_{s}) \\ [m_{s}|A_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (57)$$

with $j_s = m_1$ and where the sum Σ^* is over $|m_s|$ values such that $j_s + |m_s| = m_1 + |m_s|$ be even.

• Symmetrized states. These are obtained with equations (45, 46, 47, 53):

$$[N_{s}\dot{0}][n_{s}0]j_{s}|m|\Gamma\rangle\rangle = S \begin{bmatrix} n_{s} \ 0 \ -n_{s} \\ [n_{s} \ 0] \ (j_{s}) \\ |m|\Gamma \end{bmatrix} |[N_{s}\dot{0}][0 \ 0]00\rangle\rangle,$$
(58)

with $[n_s \ 0]G$ obtained from (55, 56). Explicitly we have for the various types of local states:

Local states $\{n_1, n_2\}$ $n_1 = n_2$.

They are associated with m = 0 (Eq. 47) which implies j_s integer or (as $j_s = n_s/2$) n_s even.

$$|[N_s \dot{0}][n_s 0]j_s 0 A_1\rangle\rangle = (-1)^{\frac{n_s}{2}} |\frac{n_s}{2}, \frac{n_s}{2}, N_s - n_s\rangle.$$
(59)

Local states $\{n_1, n_2\}$ $n_1 \neq n_2$.

We have then $|m| \neq 0$ and still setting $\varepsilon = 0$ for $\Gamma = A_1$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ for $\Gamma = B_1$:

$$\begin{split} |[N_{s} \dot{0}][n_{s} 0]j_{s} |m|\Gamma\rangle\rangle &= \\ \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n_{s}}{2} - |m|}}{\sqrt{2}} \{|\frac{n_{s}}{2} - m, \frac{n_{s}}{2} + m, N_{s} - n_{s}\rangle \\ + (-1)^{\varepsilon}|\frac{n_{s}}{2} + m, \frac{n_{s}}{2} - m, N_{s} - n_{s}\rangle\}. \end{split}$$
(60)

With our phase convention they are all invariant under time reversal.

• Matrix elements in the symmetrized basis. They are obtained with a method similar to that used for the bending mode. From equation (27) the transformation to symmetrized states and operators gives

$$\langle \langle \Psi'' | \mathbf{S} \begin{vmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j) \\ |m|\Gamma \end{vmatrix} | \Psi' \rangle \rangle = (2j'' + 1)^{-1/2} \\ \times F & |m|\Gamma & |m'|\Gamma' & ([n''_s 0]j''_s) * \\ ([m_1 & -m_2]j & [n'_s 0]j'_s) & |m''|\Gamma'' \\ \times \left([N_s \dot{0}][n''_s 0]j''_s| | \mathbf{S} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j) \end{bmatrix} ||[N_s \dot{0}][n'_s 0]j'_s \right) (61)$$

where we set $|\Psi\rangle\rangle = |[N_s \dot{0}] [n_s 0] j_s |m|\Gamma\rangle\rangle$ and the *rme* are given by (28) with the appropriate label substitutions. The symmetry adapted CG coefficients are obtained with

with $j = (m_1 + m_2)/2$, $j'_s = n'_s/2$ and $j''_s = n''_s/2$. Also it is important to note that these coefficients are *a priori* defined only for $n''_s = n'_s + m_1 - m_2$. The matrices $[m_1 - m_2]G$ and $[n_s \ 0]G$ are given by equations (55, 56). The analytical expressions for these coefficients are given in Appendix B.

3.3. Stretch-bend interactions

Results in sections 3.1 et 3.2 determine all operators adapted to the study of isolated bending and stretching modes and which may appear in the Hamiltonian or transition moments.

Taking into account stretch-bend interactions introduces coupling operators which may be formally written

$$\mathcal{O}_{sb}^{(\Gamma_{sb})} = [\mathcal{S}^{(\Gamma_s)} \times \mathcal{B}^{(\Gamma_b)}]^{(\Gamma_{sb})}.$$

For our problem $\Gamma_b = A_1$ and the CG for the C_{2v} group are trivial; we thus simply have

$$\mathcal{O}_{sb}^{(\Gamma_s)} = \mathcal{S}^{(\Gamma_s)} \mathcal{B}^{(A_1)},\tag{63}$$

with $\Gamma_s = A_1$ for Hamiltonian terms. The operators (63) may be written in various manners depending on the case and also depending on what we mean to represent, for instance operators in the untransformed Hamiltonian or effective ones.

3.3.1. Method in the case of a polyad structure

The chosen dynamical algebra assumes $\omega_1 \approx \omega_3 (= \omega_s)$ and we have to take into account in the effective Hamiltonian the resonance with the bending mode which determines the polyad structure. We assume

$$\omega_1 \approx \omega_3 = \omega_s \approx k \, \omega_2 = k \, \omega_b$$

Within our formalism the operator $N_1 + N_2 = \hat{n}_s$, with eigenvalue n_s , represents the "number of quanta of stretching" operator ; N_4 with eigenvalue $n_4 = n_b$ the "number of quanta of bending" operator with

$$N_4 \equiv \hat{n}_b = \frac{N_4 + N_5}{2} + \frac{N_4 - N_5}{2} = \frac{N_b}{2} + {}^{(b)}J_z.$$
(64)

To a given P polyad we may associate the \hat{P} operator

$$\widehat{P} = \widehat{n}_b + k\,\widehat{n}_s = N_4 + k\,(N_1 + N_2),\tag{65}$$

which may be expressed in terms of the *ITO* defined previously knowing that:

$$N_4 = \sqrt{2} \left({}^{[1\ -1]} \mathcal{B}_{0A_1}^{(0)} + {}^{[1\ -1]} B_{0A_1}^{(1)} \right);$$

$$N_1 + N_2 = \frac{2}{3} \{ N_s - \sqrt{\frac{3N_s}{2}} S \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ [0 & 0] & (0) \\ 0 & A_1 \end{bmatrix} \}.$$

An \mathcal{O} operator which conserves the P quantum number associated with a given polyad must satisfy the condition $[K, \mathcal{O}] = 0$, be of species A_1 and invariant upon time reversal if it belongs to the Hamiltonian expansion.

To determine the possible \mathcal{O} operators it appears that it is better to work first in the standard algebraic chain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} u(3)_S & \otimes & u(2)_B & \supset & u(2)_S & \otimes & u(2)_B & \supset \\ [N_s \dot{0}] & & [N_b 0] & & [n_s 0] & & [N_b 0] \end{array}$$

where the indicated symmetries are those of the states. We thus start from the operator basis

$$SB = S \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j_s) \\ m_s \end{bmatrix}^{[m_4 & -m_4]} \mathcal{B}^{(j_b)}_{m_b},$$
(66)

with $j_b = m'_4$ and $j_s = (m_1 + m_2)/2$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$[\widehat{P}, \mathcal{SB}] = [-m_b + k(m_1 - m_2)]\mathcal{SB},$$

thus the condition $[\widehat{P}, \mathcal{SB}] = 0$ is satisfied if

$$m_b = k (m_1 - m_2), \tag{67}$$

with k = 2 for the considered XY_2 molecules. This condition being independent of m_s , it appears that, in order to determine hermitian interaction operators having also a determined behavior upon time reversal, it is preferable to keep the standard form for the bending operators and to take symmetrized operators for the stretching ones. We mainly have two cases:

•
$$m_b = 0$$
 then $m_1 = m_2$

In this case we can also take directly symmetrized bending

operators, which gives the hermitian operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{sb}(1) = \mathbf{S} \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_1] & (j_s) \\ [m_s | \Gamma_s \end{bmatrix}^{[m_4 & -m_4]} \mathcal{B}_{0A_1}^{(j_b)}, \tag{68}$$

satisfying upon time reversal (see sections (3.1.2, 3.2.2))

$$\mathcal{KO}_{sb}(1)\mathcal{K}^{-1} = (-1)^{m_1 + |m_s| + \varepsilon} \mathcal{O}_{sb}(1)$$

• $m_b \neq 0$

¿From the set (66) we may build the hermitian operators (equations (15, 54))

$$\mathcal{O}_{sb}(2) = \frac{i^{\tau}}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ S \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_1 & -m_2] & (j_s) \\ [m_s]\Gamma_s \end{bmatrix}^{[m_4 & -m_4]} \mathcal{B}_{m_b}^{(j_b)} + (-1)^{\tau} (-1)^{j_b + m_b} S \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [m_2 & -m_1] & (j_s) \\ [m_s]\Gamma_s \end{bmatrix}^{[m_4 & -m_4]} \mathcal{B}_{-m_b}^{(j_b)} \right\}, (69)$$

with $\tau = 0, 1$ and where $m_b > 0$ and $m_1 > m_2$ is assumed. With the properties established in sections (3.1.2, 3.2.2)) one shows that

$$\mathcal{KO}_{sb}(2)\mathcal{K}^{-1} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\tau} (-1)^{j_b} (-1)^{j_s + |m_s| + \varepsilon} \mathcal{O}_{sb}(2) & (a) \\ (-1)^{\tau} (-1)^{j_b} \mathcal{O}_{sb}(2) & (b) \end{cases}$$

where case (a) (resp. (b)) is for $m_2 \neq 0$ (resp. $m_2 = 0$).

3.3.2. First operators in the Hamiltonian for k = 2

Since the operators \mathcal{B} only differ from the B ones through a constant function within the *irrep* $[N_b 0]$, we can make in equations (68, 69) the substitutions

$${}^{[m_4 - m_4]}\mathcal{B}^{(j_b)}_{m_b} \to {}^{[m'_4 - m'_4]}B^{(j_b)}_{m_b} \quad (j_b = m'_4),$$

to define the terms (and the parameters) of the effective Hamiltonian.

• For $m_b = 0$ (and $m_4 \neq 0, z \neq 0$) the operators (68), with $\Gamma_s = A_1$ and $(-1)^{m_1 + |m_s| + \varepsilon} = 1$ are products of operators belonging to \mathcal{H}_B and \mathcal{H}_S .

• The cases $m_b \neq 0$ correspond to non trivial (that is non diagonal) interaction operators. With k = 2 and as m_1 and m_2 are integers the even values of m_b alone are allowed in (69), with a minimum value $m_{b \min} = 2$. As a result $m'_{4\min} = j_{b\min} = 2$ and $m_{4\min} = 2$. We have then $(m_1 - m_2)_{\min} = 1$ from which we deduce $j_{s\min} = 1/2$ and $|m_{s\min}| = 1/2$. We thus have the first allowed values for the labels in the operators (69):

i) $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 0, j_s = 1/2, |m_s| = |1/2| \Rightarrow \tau = 0$ ii) $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 1, j_s = 3/2, |m_s| = |1/2| \Rightarrow \tau = 0$ iii) $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 1, j_s = 3/2, |m_s| = |3/2| \Rightarrow \tau = 1$ We thus obtain:

$$\mathcal{O}_{sb}(2)_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ S \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [1 & 0] & (\frac{1}{2}) \\ |\frac{1}{2}|A_1 \end{bmatrix}^{[2-2]} B_2^{(2)} + h.c. \right\}, \quad (70)$$

with $z = 1, 2 \cdots$. The operators with z > 1 are anharmonicity corrections to those obtained for z = 1. We find next:

$$\mathcal{O}_{sb}(2)_{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ S \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [2 - 1] & (\frac{3}{2}) \\ |\frac{1}{2}|A_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{[2 - 2]} B_{2}^{(2)} + h.c. \right\}, \quad (71)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{sb}(2)_{3} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ S \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & -z \\ [2 - 1] & (\frac{3}{2}) \\ |\frac{3}{2}|A_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{[2 - 2]} B_{2}^{(2)} - h.c. \right\}, \quad (72)$$

with $z = 2, 3 \cdots$.

We also have other possible operators ${}^{[m_4 - m_4]}B_{\pm 2}^{(j_b)}$ with $m_4 > 2$ in (70-72); we restrict here to those which are of lowest degrees in creation and annihilation operators and which will be used in the next section.

4. Application to D_2S

4.1. Effective stretching Hamiltonian

Up to the second order, that is for $z \leq 2$, the general expansion (57) involves terms with the following values for the labels

$$z = 0 \quad m_1 = 0 \quad j_s = 0 \quad |m_s| = 0$$

$$z = 1 \quad m_1 = 0 \quad j_s = 0 \quad |m_s| = 0$$

$$m_1 = 1 \quad j_s = 1 \quad |m_s| = 1$$

$$z = 2 \quad m_1 = 0 \quad j_s = 0 \quad |m_s| = 0$$

$$m_1 = 1 \quad j_s = 1 \quad |m_s| = 1$$

$$m_1 = 2 \quad j_s = 2 \quad |m_s| = 0$$

$$m_1 = 2 \quad j_s = 2 \quad |m_s| = 2$$

With the results of sections 2.2.1, 3.2.2, \mathcal{H}_S can be written in terms of elementary boson operators as

$$\mathcal{H}_{S} = {}^{\{0\}} \tilde{t}_{0}^{(0)} + {}^{\{1\}} \tilde{t}_{0}^{(0)} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3N_{s}}} [N_{3} - \frac{1}{2}(N_{1} + N_{2})] \\ + {}^{\{1\}} \tilde{t}_{1}^{(1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_{s}}} (b_{1}^{+}b_{2} + b_{2}^{+}b_{1}) \\ + {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_{0}^{(0)} \frac{\alpha_{2,N_{s}}}{\sqrt{30}} \{3N_{3}(N_{3} - 1) - 6(N_{1} + N_{2})N_{3} \\ + (N_{1} + N_{2})(N_{1} + N_{2} - 1)\} \\ + {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_{1}^{(1)} \frac{\alpha_{2,N_{s}}}{\sqrt{10}} \{4N_{3} - (N_{1} + N_{2} - 1)\}(b_{1}^{+}b_{2} + b_{2}^{+}b_{1}) \\ + {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_{0}^{(2)} \frac{\alpha_{2,N_{s}}}{\sqrt{6}} (b_{2}^{+2}b_{2}^{2} + b_{1}^{+2}b_{1}^{2} - 4b_{1}^{+}b_{2}^{+}b_{1}b_{2}) \\ + {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_{2}^{(2)} \frac{\alpha_{2,N_{s}}}{\sqrt{2}} (b_{1}^{+2}b_{2}^{2} + b_{2}^{+2}b_{1}^{2}),$$
(73)

with $\alpha_{2,N_S} = [2N_S(N_S - 1)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

For the fitting procedure, it can be rewritten to the more convenient form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{S} = \alpha_{0}(N_{1} + N_{2}) + \alpha_{1}(N_{1}^{2} + N_{2}^{2}) + \alpha_{2}N_{1}N_{2} + \alpha_{3}Y^{(A_{1})} + \alpha_{4}(N_{1} + N_{2})Y^{(A_{1})} + \alpha_{5}[Y^{(A_{1})} \times Y^{(A_{1})}]^{(A_{1})}, \quad (74)$$

with $Y^{(A_1)} = b_1^+ b_2 + b_2^+ b_1$ and where we removed the part of H_S which depends upon the operator N_s only since the latter takes a constant value within the *irrep* $[N \dot{0}]$ of $u(3)_S$. Also we set:

$$\begin{split} \alpha_0 &= -^{\{1\}} \tilde{t}_0^{(0)} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2N_S}} + {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_0^{(0)} (1 - 6N_S) \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \, \alpha_{2,N_S} \\ &- {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_0^{(2)} \frac{\alpha_{2,N_S}}{\sqrt{6}} - {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_2^{(2)} \frac{\alpha_{2,N_S}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \alpha_1 &= \alpha_{2,N_S} [{}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_0^{(0)} \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} + {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_0^{(2)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}], \\ \alpha_2 &= \alpha_{2,N_S} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} [{}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_0^{(0)} 2\sqrt{5} - {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_0^{(2)} 2 - {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_2^{(2)} \sqrt{3}], \\ \alpha_3 &= {}^{\{1\}} \tilde{t}_1^{(1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N_S}} + {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_1^{(1)} \alpha_{2,N_S} \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} (4N_s + 1), \\ \alpha_4 &= -{}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_1^{(1)} \alpha_{2,N_S} \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} , \quad \alpha_5 &= {}^{\{2\}} \tilde{t}_2^{(2)} \alpha_{2,N_S} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \end{split}$$

4.2. Effective bending Hamiltonian

The general expansion (41) may also be written, using the results in section (3.1.2):

$$\mathcal{H}_{B} = \sum_{m_{4}=0}^{N_{b}} \sum_{j_{b}=0}^{m_{4}} {}^{\{m_{4}\}} t_{b}^{(j_{b})} {}^{\{m_{4},m_{4}\}} \mathbf{g}_{j_{b}} (N_{4} + N_{5})^{[m'_{4} - m'_{4}]} B_{0A_{1}}^{(j_{b})}$$

with $j_b = m'_4$ and $\{m_4, m_4\} \mathbf{g}_{j_b}$ defined in (31). As a given j_b (or m'_4) value appears for all $m_4 \ge j_b$ values and since $N_4 + N_5$ takes a constant N_b value within the *irrep* $[N_b 0]$ of $u(2)_B$ we may set

$$\mathcal{H}_B = \sum_{j_b=0}^{N_b} \tilde{t}_b^{(j_b) \ [m'_4 - m'_4]} B_{0A_1}^{(j_b)},\tag{75}$$

with the effective parameters:

$$\tilde{t}_{b}^{(j_{b})} = \sum_{m_{4}=j_{b}}^{N_{b}} {}^{\{m_{4}\}} t_{b}^{(j_{b})} {}^{\{m_{4},m_{4}\}} \mathbf{g}_{j_{b}}(N_{b}).$$
(76)

For instance up to second order we have:

$$\mathcal{H}_{B}^{(2)} = \tilde{t}_{b}^{(0)} + \tilde{t}_{b}^{(1) \ [1-1]} B_{0A_{1}}^{(1)} + \tilde{t}_{b}^{(2) \ [2-2]} B_{0A_{1}}^{(2)}, \qquad (77)$$

$$= \tilde{t}_{b}^{(0)} + \frac{\tilde{t}_{b}^{(1)}}{\sqrt{2}} (N_{4} - N_{5})$$

$$+ \frac{\tilde{t}_{b}^{(2)}}{2\sqrt{6}} [b_{4}^{+2} b_{4}^{2} + b_{5}^{+2} b_{5}^{2} - 4b_{4}^{+} b_{5}^{+} b_{4} b_{5}],$$

$$= \beta_{0} N_{4} + \beta_{1} N_{4}^{2} \qquad (78)$$

where the last form has a clearer physical meaning, with parameters β_0 , β_1 given by:

$$\beta_0 = \sqrt{2}\,\tilde{t}_{\,b}^{\,(1)} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}N_b\,\tilde{t}_{\,b}^{\,(2)} \quad , \quad \beta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\,\tilde{t}_{\,b}^{\,(2)}.$$

4.3. Effective stretch-bend interaction operators

As noted before these interaction operators may be divided in two groups. In the first one we have products of stretching and bending operators diagonal with respect to n_s and n_b . In the second one are those obtained from the properties of the $u(3)_S$ and $u(2)_B$ dynamical algebras and which take into account the approximate resonance between the stretching an bending modes. Keeping only operators of lowest degree the stretch-bend effective Hamiltonian can be expressed as (equations (68), (70)):

$$\mathcal{H}_{SB}^{I} = \gamma_0 (N_1 + N_2) N_4 + \gamma_1 N_4 Y^{(A_1)}, \tag{79}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{SB}^{II} = \gamma_2 \left(b_2^+ b_3 b_5^{+2} b_4^2 + b_1^+ b_3 b_5^{+2} b_4^2 + h.c. \right) = \gamma_2 O_{SB}^{II}.$$
(80)

It is worth to analyze more deeply this last operator which indeed traduces the resonance $\nu_1(A_1) \simeq \nu_3(B_1) \simeq 2\nu_2(A_1)$. Thus the Hamiltonian matrix, already divided into two A_1 and B_1 blocks, is subdivided into sub-blocks characterized by the polyad number $P = 2(n_1 + n_2) + n_b$. Within each *P*-block, H_{SB}^{II} connects states which are not diagonal neither in n_s nor in n_b .

 \mathcal{O}_{SB}^{II} can also be written $(b_1^+ + b_2^+)b_4^2[b_5^{+2}b_3] + h.c.$. In this form it is clear that the dependence of its matrix elements upon the quantum numbers n_1 , n_2 and n_b are similar to that of a usual Fermi interaction operator. However contrarily to the later, which leads to convergence problems for high values of the quantum numbers, the other factor the matrix elements of which behave roughly as $(N_s - n_s)^{1/2}(N_b - n_b)$, has a damping effect as n_s and (or) n_b increase $(N_s$ and N_b fixed).

We already defined a similar operator adapted to XY_3 pyramidal molecules in [34, 35] and already proved that this operator does not require the knowledge of N_s and N_b for low values of the quantum numbers n_1 , n_2 and n_3 as it physically must be near the minimum of the potential function.

4.4. Numerical application

To illustrate the efficiency of our formalism, we apply it to the deuterate hydrogen sulfide. The hydrogen sulfide molecule and its isotopic species are of interest for terrestrial atmospheric pollutant measurements. They are involved in the study of planet atmospheres and appear in the analysis of interstellar medium. Also, hydrogen sulfide is a good candidate to apply local mode models. We restrict here to the D_2S molecule but will present a comparative analysis with other XY_2 molecules in a next paper. Renaming, for simplicity, the parameters a_i (i=0, ...,7), the best fitted Hamiltonian is defined as follows:

$$H = a_0(N_1 + N_2) + a_1(N_1^2 + N_2^2) + a_2N_1N_2 + a_3Y^{(A_1)} + a_4N_4 + a_5N_4^2 + a_6O_{SB}^{II} + a_7(N_1 + N_2)N_4.$$
(81)

However, taking into account the N_s and N_b quantum numbers, there are 10 parameters to determine in our model since the value of these numbers appears explicitly in the matrix elements of O_{SB}^{II} . To obtain the optimum values of these quantum numbers, we simply operate as follows. An initial set for the parameters $a_i^{(0)}$ (i = 0, 1, 4, 5)is obtained from the experimental values of the lowest vibrational bands. This allows to have approximate values for the stretching levels when n_s quanta are localized on one bond and for the bending levels:

$$E_s^{(0)}(n_s) = a_0^{(0)}n_s + a_1^{(0)}n_s^2$$
, $E_b^{(0)}(n_b) = a_4^{(0)}n_b + a_5^{(0)}n_b^2$.

As for any anharmonic potential (Morse or modified Pösch-Teller for instance) the n_{max} value of the vibrational quantum number is given by the extremum of the $E_s^{(0)}(n_s)$ and $E_b^{(0)}(n_b)$ curves. With

$$\begin{split} a_0^{(0)} &= 1927.5855 \ \mathrm{cm^{-1}}, \quad a_1^{(0)} = -24.4279 \ \mathrm{cm^{-1}}, \\ a_4^{(0)} &= 858.2604 \ \mathrm{cm^{-1}}, \qquad a_5^{(0)} = -2.8564 \ \mathrm{cm^{-1}}, \end{split}$$

we obtained in this way:

$$n_{s\,max} = -\frac{a_0^{(0)}}{2a_1^{(0)}} = 39.45 , \ n_{b\,max} = -\frac{a_4^{(0)}}{2a_5^{(0)}} = 150.23,$$

hence we could take $(N_s^{(0)}, N_b^{(0)}) = (39, 150)$. However this high value for $N_b^{(0)}$ is not reasonable for the following reasons. As it is commonly accepted N_s may be interpreted as the number of excitation quanta which, when concentrated on one bond, may dissociate the molecule. Within a polyad P to which a $|N_s, 0, 0\rangle$ stretching state belongs, we also have states $|0, 0, N_s\rangle|2N_s, N_b - 2N_s\rangle$ which implies that $N_b \geq 2N_s$, but on the other hand if we took $N_b^{(0)}$ much greater than $2N_s^{(0)}$, we would have many dissociating states within polyads with $P \geq 2N_s^{(0)}$. It would be quite unrealistic to pretend that our model is capable to reproduce isolated stable states within the continuum. Another method to obtain a reasonable value for $N_s^{(0)}$ is to use for $E_s^{(0)}(n_s)$ the known experimental value of the dissociation energy [36]:

$$a_0^{(0)}n_s + a_1^{(0)}n_s^2 \simeq 32050 \pm 50 \ cm^{-1},$$

which leads to $N_s^{(0)'} = 24$. Various fits were performed starting thus with $(N_s^{(0)}, N_b^{(0)}) = (39, 78)$ while the other a_i $(i = 0, \dots, 7)$ parameters were determined through a usual non-linear least square fit method. We noticed that, except for the a_6 parameter associated with O_{SB}^{II} the matrix elements of which depend strongly upon the N_s and N_b values, other parameters remained almost unchanged (less than some percent of relative variation) while N_s and N_b decreased. One of the indicator of the convergence of the fitting process was the minimization of the standard deviation

$$\sigma(d,p) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{d-p} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left[E_i^{(cal)} - E_i^{(obs)} \right]^2},$$

where d and p are respectively the number of experimental data and the number of parameters included in the fit.

It soon appeared that this indicator was rather insensitive to the N_b value in a rather large range. A similar effect was already noticed in previous studies of pyramidal molecules [35]. On the other hand using the initial $(N_s^{(0)}, N_b^{(0)}) = (24, 48)$ values improved drastically the convergence to the minimum value $\sigma(22, 8) = 0.514$ cm⁻¹, thus reducing noticeably the computational time. The second indicator is, of course, the parameters stability at the end of the fitting procedure; the last variation Δa_i of the parameters fulfilled the condition $|\Delta a_i/a_i| < 10^{-7}$, $(0 \le i \le 7)$. The retained Hamiltonian (81) led to the set of parameters given in Table 2 (these are given with values in parentheses which are 1σ statistical confidence intervals in units of the last digits).

Finally we compared the experimental dissociation energy with the value calculated from our model. This was done in two ways. First, removing all off-diagonal terms in the Hamiltonian (81), the energy of the $|24, 0, 0A_1\rangle$ (or

a_0	=	1927.908(290)
a_1	=	-24.665(103)
a_2	=	-0.845(288)
a_3	=	-6.428(140)
a_4	=	858.821(447)
a_5	=	-3.103(141)
a_6	=	0.005(001)
a_7	=	-10.488(150)

Table 2: Parameters (in cm^{-1}) fitted with 22 experimental data.

 $|24, 0, 0 B_1\rangle)$ pure stretching state is computed with the a_0 and a_1 parameters of Table 2 which leads to the dissociation energy $E_D = 32063 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, or more precisely if we take into account the parameter uncertainties $31996 \text{ cm}^{-1} < E_D < 32129 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The second way to calculate E_D is to diagonalize the Hamiltonian (81) within the P = 48polyad with the parameters a_i (i=0, ...,7) of Table 2. The value obtained for the $|24, 0, 0 A_1\rangle$, $|24, 0, 0 B_1\rangle$ levels is $E'_D = 32062 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ which is 1 cm^{-1} close to the previously calculated E_D value, which confirms the validity of our previous assumption to keep only diagonal operators to evaluate this dissociation energy. Taking again into account the uncertainty on the parameters, we found that the diagonalization of the P = 48 Hamiltonian matrix with the two sets:

$$\{a_i(min)\} = \{a_0^-, a_1^-, a_2^-, a_3^-, a_4^-, a_5^-, a_6^+, a_7^-\}$$
$$\{a_i(max)\} = \{a_0^+, a_1^+, a_2^+, a_3^+, a_4^+, a_5^+, a_6^-, a_7^+\},\$$

where a_i^- (resp. a_i^+) stands for the lowest (resp. highest) value of the a_i parameter according to the 1σ statistical confidence interval leads to

$$E'_{D_{min}} = 31996 \text{ cm}^{-1} < E'_D < E'_{D_{max}} = 32128 \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$

Both methods lead to similar values also consistent with the experimental results.

Experimental and calculated energies of D_2S vibrational levels are given in Table 3. The first column gives the normal notation ($\nu_1 \ \nu_2 \ \nu_3$) of the level. The second one indicates the polyad P number. The third column indicates the levels in local notation with explicit symmetric (+) and antisymmetric (-) labels. The usual local mode notation has been adapted to our notation as follows $mn^{\pm}, v \approx n_1 n_2^{\pm}, n_4 \equiv n_b$. Column 4 gives the eigenvalues, whereas column 5 indicates the observed energy levels. Column 6 gives the difference Observed-Calculated energy. The last column shows that the eigenkets are close to the initial basis given in columns 1 and 3. We mention that all the experimental data used in the present paper are reported in [14].

5. Conclusion

We developed a formalism which allows a complete description of vibrational modes in local XY_2 type molecules. Stretching, bending, interaction and transition operators have been built and analytical expressions for their matrix elements established in the chains $u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$ and $u(3) \supset u(2) \supset su(2) \supset so(2)$. Next a full symmetry adaptation in the C_{2v} molecular point group has been performed. This formalism has been applied to the D_2S molecule where the 2:1 resonance between stretching and bending modes has been taken into account through an adapted Fermi-type operator the properties of which have been discussed. From a simplified model we derived reasonable values for the highest stretching N_s and bending N_b quantum numbers. Experimental data are reproduced with a standard deviation $\sigma = 0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and only 8 effective spectroscopic parameters. The dissociation energy calculated with these parameters is in good agreement with the experimental one and this also confirms our method for the determination of the N_s value. Our approach will be applied to other XY_2 molecular systems in a next paper.

6. Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by a first financial support of the CNRS and the FRBR through a PICS (N^o 170908) between the Institut Carnot de Bourgogne - Université de Bourgogne - France and the Laboratory of Molecular Spectroscopy - Tomsk State University - Russia and a second financial support through a grant (YS Fellowship N^o 06-1000016-5751) INTAS - Bruxelles - Belgium for Olga Gromova during her stays in the ICB. We want particularly to thank Martine Bonin from INTAS for all her nice helps and excellent explanations.

A. Symmetry adapted Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and matrix elements for ν_2

The expressions for the $[m_4 - m_4]G$ matrix elements are obtained from equations (36, 40) which lead to:

$$[m'_{4} - m'_{4}]G^{m}_{0A_{1}} = i^{m'_{4}}\delta_{m,0},$$

$$[m'_{4} - m'_{4}]G^{m}_{|m|\in A_{1}} = \frac{i^{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}\delta_{m,|m|},$$

$$[m'_{4} - m'_{4}]G^{m}_{|m|\in A_{1}} = \frac{i^{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2}}(-1)^{\varepsilon}(-1)^{m'_{4} + m}\delta_{m,-|m|}.$$
(A.1)

As a result:

• For r = 0 we obtain the CG (43)

$$F \begin{array}{ccc} 0 A_1 & m'A_1 & ([N_b \ 0]J_b) \\ ([m'_4 - m'_4]j_b & [N_b \ 0]J_b) & m''A_1 \end{array} = \\ = i^{-m'_4} C \begin{array}{c} 0 & m' & (J_b) \\ (j_b & J_b) & m'' \end{array} = i^{-m'_4} \delta_{m',m''}, \qquad (A.2)$$

and for the matrix elements (42):

$$\langle \langle [N_b \ 0] J_b \ m'' A_1 |^{[m_4 \ -m_4]} \mathcal{B}_{0A_1}^{(j_b)} | [N_b \ 0] J_b \ m' A_1 \rangle \rangle = i^{m'_4} \delta_{m',m''} \\ \times (2J_b + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left([N_b \ 0] J_b ||^{[m_4 \ -m_4]} \mathcal{B}^{(j_b)} || [N_b \ 0] J_b \right) .$$
(A.3)

• For $r = |m|\varepsilon$. With (A.1) we obtain in this case for the CG (43)

$$F \frac{|m|\varepsilon A_{1} \qquad m'A_{1} \qquad ([N_{b} \ 0]J_{b})}{([m'_{4} - m'_{4}]j_{b} \qquad [N_{b} \ 0]J_{b}) \qquad m''A_{1}} = \frac{(-i)^{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(C \qquad m \qquad m' \qquad (J_{b}) \\ (j_{b} \qquad J_{b}) \qquad m'' \qquad + (-1)^{\varepsilon} (-1)^{m'_{4}+m} \qquad C \qquad -m \qquad m' \qquad (J_{b}) \\ (j_{b} \qquad J_{b}) \qquad m'' \qquad \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A.4)$$

This last expression is to be taken with m > 0 (one can also set |m| in the CG). We then have for the matrix elements (42):

$$\langle \langle [N_b \, 0] J_b \, m'' A_1 |^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}_{|m| \in A_1}^{(j_b)} | [N_b \, 0] J_b \, m' A_1 \rangle \rangle =$$

$$(2J_b + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{i^{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} m & m' & (J_b) \\ (j_b & J_b) & m'' \end{array} \right) + (-1)^{\varepsilon} (-1)^{m'_4 + m} C \begin{array}{c} -m & m' & (J_b) \\ (j_b & J_b) & m'' \end{array} \right)$$

$$\times \left([N_b \, 0] J_b ||^{[m_4 - m_4]} \mathcal{B}^{(j_b)} || [N_b \, 0] J_b \right),$$

$$(A.5)$$

where we took into account that the su(2) standard CG are real.

B. Symmetry adapted Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for ν_1, ν_3

We give below the symmetry adapted CG coefficients used in the study of stretching modes. They are obtained from equations (55, 56, 62). The remaining standard su(2)coefficients are taken from [30].

•
$$|m| = |m'| = |m''| = 0$$

This condition implies $n'_s = 2j'_s$, $n''_s = 2j''_s$ and $m_1 + m_2$

even.

$$F \frac{0\Gamma}{([m_1 - m_2]j \quad [n'_s 0]j'_s)} = \frac{i^{-m_2 - j}C}{(j \quad j'_s)} \frac{0 \quad 0 \quad (j''_s)}{(j \quad j'_s)} = i^{-j}(n'_s + m_1 - m_2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \left[\frac{(n'_s - m_2)! (m_1)! (m_2)!}{(n'_s + m_1 + 1)!}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times \frac{((n'_s + m_1)/2)!}{((n'_s - m_2)/2)! (m_1/2)! (m_2/2)!} \delta_{\Gamma, A_1}.$$
(B.1)

As it is known the CG on the second line in non zero only if $j + j'_s + j''_s$ is even which corresponds to the selection rule $\Gamma = A_1$.

• |m| = |m'| = 0, $|m''| \neq 0$ or |m| = |m''| = 0, $|m'| \neq 0$ or |m'| = |m''| = 0, $|m| \neq 0$

It is easily checked that all F coefficients are zero in these cases.

•
$$|m| = 0, |m'| \neq 0, |m''| \neq 0$$

F $\begin{array}{ccc} 0\Gamma & |m'|\Gamma' & ([n''_{s} 0]j''_{s}) \\ ([m_{1} - m_{2}]j & [n'_{s} 0]j'_{s}) & |m''|\Gamma'' \\ \times C & \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & m' & (j''_{s}) \\ (j & j'_{s}) & m' \end{array} \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{m_{1} + \varepsilon' + \varepsilon''}}{2} \right) \delta_{|m'|,|m''|}(B.2)$

We note that the factor $1 + (-1)^{m_1 + \varepsilon' + \varepsilon''}$ just traduces the selection rule $\Gamma \times \Gamma' = \Gamma''$. In fact for the CG to be non zero we must have $(-1)^{m_1 + \varepsilon' + \varepsilon''} = 1$, that is for m_1 even $\Gamma = A_1$ and $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon''$ that is $\Gamma' = \Gamma''$ (A_1 or B_1); for m_1 odd, $\Gamma = B_1$ and $\varepsilon' \neq \varepsilon''$ that is $\Gamma' \neq \Gamma''$ $((\Gamma', \Gamma'') = (A_1, B_1) \text{ or } (\Gamma', \Gamma'') = (B_1, A_1)).$ • $|m'| = 0, |m| \neq 0, |m''| \neq 0$

In this case we necessarily have j'_s integer so n'_s even and $\Gamma' = A_1$. Here again a factor $1 + (-1)^{\varepsilon + \varepsilon''}$ appears in the computation, which is equivalent to $\Gamma = \Gamma''$. We find

$$F \frac{|m|\Gamma}{([m_1 - m_2]j \ [n'_s \ 0]j'_s)} = i^{-m_2} i^{-j - |m| - \varepsilon}$$
$$\times C \frac{m}{(j \ j'_s)} \frac{0}{m} \delta_{|m|,|m''|} \delta_{\Gamma,\Gamma''}. \tag{B.3}$$

• $|m''| = 0, |m| \neq 0, |m'| \neq 0.$

This case is similar to the preceding one. We necessarily

have j''_s integer so n''_s even and $\Gamma'' = A_1$. Here again a factor $1 + (-1)^{\varepsilon + \varepsilon'}$ appears in the computation, which is equivalent to $\Gamma = \Gamma'$. We obtain

$$F \frac{|m|\Gamma}{([m_1 - m_2]j \ [n'_s 0]j'_s)} = i^{m_1} i^{j-|m|+\varepsilon} \\ \times C \frac{m - m \ (j''_s)}{(j \ j'_s)} \ 0 \delta_{|m|,|m'|} \delta_{\Gamma,\Gamma'}.$$
(B.4)

We underline that in equations (B.2, B.3, B.4) the su(2)CG in the right member is to be taken with m (or m') positive.

•
$$|m'| \neq 0, \ |m'| \neq 0, \ |m''| \neq 0.$$

In all cases a coefficient $1 + (-1)^{\varepsilon + \varepsilon' + \varepsilon''}$ appears in the calculation, which traduces the selection rule $\Gamma'' = \Gamma \times \Gamma'$. We obtain the following non-zero coefficients:

- For |m''| = |m| + |m'|

$$F \frac{|m|\Gamma}{([m_1 - m_2]j \ [n'_s 0]j'_s)} = i^{-m_2} i^{-j-|m|-\varepsilon}$$
$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C \frac{m}{(j \ j'_s)} \frac{m'}{(j \ j'_s)} \frac{m''|\Gamma''}{m''|\eta'|} \delta_{[m''|,|m|+|m'|} \delta_{\Gamma'',\Gamma\times\Gamma'}. (B.5)$$

- For $m>m^\prime>0$

$$F \frac{|m|\Gamma}{([m_1 - m_2]j \quad [n'_s \, 0]j'_s)} = i^{n'' + \varepsilon''} i^{j - |m| + \varepsilon}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C \frac{m - m'}{(j \quad j'_s)} \frac{(j''_s)}{m - m'} \delta_{|m''|, |m| - |m'|} \delta_{\Gamma'', \Gamma \times \Gamma'}. (B.6)$$
- For $m' > m > 0$

$$F \frac{|m|\Gamma}{([m_1 - m_2]j \quad [n'_s \ 0]j'_s)} = i^{m_1} i^{j-|m|+\varepsilon} \\ \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} C \frac{m - m'}{(j \quad j'_s)} \frac{(j''_s)}{m - m'} \delta_{|m''|,|m'|-|m|} \delta_{\Gamma'',\Gamma \times \Gamma'}. (B.7)$$

References

- J. Lamouroux, L. Régalia-Jarlot, Vl.G. Tyuterev, X. Thomas, P. Von der Heyden, S.A. Tashkun, Yu. Borkov, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 250 (2008) 117-125.
- [2] Z. Zelinger, A. Perrin, M. Střižík, P. Kubát, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 249 (2008), 117-120.
- [3] T.A. Ford, J. Mol. Struct. 834-836 (2007) 30-41.

- [4] A.J. Friedson, Icarus, 177 (2005) 1-17.
- [5] M. Smirnov, PhD thesis, IAO-Tomsk, Russie, LPM-Orsay, France 2001.
- [6] Y.C. Minh, W.M. Irvine, L. M. Ziurys, Astrophys. J. Lett. 345 (1989) L63-L66.
- [7] E. Herbst, D.J. Defrees, W. Koch, Notices of the Roy. Astronomic. Soc. 237 (1989) 1057-1065.
- [8] R.J.A. Grim, J.M. Greenberg, Astron. Astrophys. 181 (1987) 155-168.
- [9] J. Pliva, V. Špirko, D. Papoušek, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 23 (1975) 331-342.
- [10] R.L. Cook, F.C. De Lucia, P. Helminger, J. Mol. Struct. 28 (1967) 237-246.
- [11] J.R. Gillis, R.D. Blatherwick, F.S. Bonomo, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 114 (1985) 228-233.
- [12] C. Camy-Peyret, J.M. Flaud, A. N'Gom, Mol. Phys. 65 (1988) 649-657.
- [13] I.N. Kozin, P. Jensen, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 163 (1994) 483-509.
- [14] A.W. Liu, O.N. Ulenikov, G.A. Onopenko, O.V. Gromova, E.S. Bekhtereva. L. Wan, L.Y. Wan, S.M. Hu, J.M. Flaud, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 238 (2006) 23-40.
- [15] L. Halonen, T. Carrington Jr, J. Chem. Phys. 88 (1988) 4171-4185.
- [16] F. Iachello, S. Oss, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 142 (1990) 85-107.
- [17] Y. Zheng, S. Ding, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 201 (2000) 109-115.
- [18] O. Naumenko, A. Campargue, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 210 (2001) 224-252.
- [19] Vl.G. Tyuterev, Chem. Phys. Lett. 348 (2001) 223-234.
- [20] Vl.G. Tyuterev, L. Regalia-Jarlot, D.W. Schwenke, S.A. Tashkun, Y.G. Borkov, C. R. Phys. 5 (2004)189-199.
- [21] F. Michelot, J. Moret-Bailly, J. Physique 48 (1987) 51-72.
- [22] C. Leroy, F. Michelot, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 151 (1992) 71-96.
- [23] C. Leroy, F. Michelot, Can. J. Phys. 72 (1994) 274-289.
- [24] F. Michelot, M. Rey, Eur. Phys. J. D, 30 (2004) 181-189.
- [25] F. Michelot, M. Rey, Eur. Phys. J. D, 33 (2005) 357-386.
- [26] F. Michelot, M. Rey, Eur. Phys. J. D, 44 (2007) 467-495.
- [27] B.G. Wybourne, Classical Lie Groups for Physicists, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [28] I.M. Gel'fand, M.L. Zetlin, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. (in Russian) 71 (1950) 825-828.
- [29] J. Louck, Amer. J. Phys., 38 (1970) 3-42.
- [30] A.R. Edmonds, Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics, Princeton University Press, 1974.
- [31] W. Holmann III, L.C. Biedenharn, in Group Theory and Its Applications, edited by E.M. Loebl, Academic Press, New York, 1971 Vol. II, p.1-73.
- [32] C. Leroy, PhD thesis, University of Dijon, France 1991.
- [33] V. Boujut, PhD thesis, University of Dijon, France 1996.

- [34] L. Pluchart, C. Leroy, N. Sanzharov, F. Michelot, E.S. Bekhtereva, O.N. Ulenikov, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 232 (2005) 119-136.
- [35] N.A. Sanzharov, C. Leroy, O.N. Ulenikov, E.S. Bekhtereva, J. Mol. Spectrosc, 247 (2008) 1-24.
- [36] L.R. Peebles, P. Marshall, J. Chem. Phys. 117 (2002) 3132-3138.

I	Vorma	al	Polyad	Local	E_{cal}	E_{obs}	$E_{obs.}$ - $E_{cal.}$	%init.ket
ν_1	ν_2	ν_3	P	$n_1n_2\pm, n_4$	cm^{-1}	cm^{-1}	cm^{-1}	(Modulus)
0	1	0	P=1	$00^+, 1$	855.71821	855.40416	-0.31405	1.00000
0	2	0	P=2	$00^+, 2$	1705.19892			0.99992
1	0	0		$10^+, 0$	1896.84567	1896.43154	-0.41413	0.99992
0	0	1		$10^{-}, 0$	1909.67175	1910.18375	0.51200	1.00000
0	3	0	P=3	$00^+, 3$	2548.44694	2549.07336	0.62642	0.99977
1	1	0		$10^+, 1$	2742.13327	2742.66570	0.53243	0.99977
0	1	1		$10^{-}, 1$	2754.90182	2754.45192	-0.44990	1.00000
0	4	0	P=4	$00^+, 4$	3385.46874			0.99958
1	2	0		$10^+, 2$	3583.56418			0.99941
0	2	1		$10^-,2$	3593.89050	3593.12888	-0.76162	0.99989
2	0	0		$20^+, 0$	3754.01	3753.47	-0.54	0.97041
1	0	1		$20^-,0$	3757.19161	3757.45948	0.26787	0.99989
0	0	2		$11^+, 0$	3808.85313	3809.15400	0.30087	0.97049
0	5	0	P=5	$00^+, 5$	4216.26769			0.99936
1	3	0		$10^+, 3$	4418.02232	4417.95854	-0.06378	0.99886
0	3	1		$10^{-}, 3$	4426.64338	4426.08293	-0.56045	0.99970
2	1	0		$20^+, 1$	4588.84137	4589.22600	0.38463	0.97039
1	1	1		$20^{-}, 1$	4591.99807	4592.18104	0.18297	0.99970
0	1	2		$11^+, 1$	4643.6204	4643.4770	-0.1434	0.97062
0	6	0	P=6	$00^+, 6$	5040.84790			0.99911
1	4	0		$10^+, 4$	5246.10774			0.99813
0	4	1		$10^{-}, 4$	5253.16560			0.99944
2	2	0		$20^+, 2$	5417.41884			0.97002
1	2	1		$20^{-}, 2$	5420.5503	5421.3007	0.7504	0.99919
0	2	2		$11^+, 2$	5472.14111			0.97056
3	0	0		$30^+, 0$	5560.36	5560.15	-0.21	0.99146
2	0	1		$30^{-}, 0$	5560.69	5560.74	0.05	0.99480
1	0	2		$21^+, 0$	5647.40	5647.13	-0.27	0.99146
0	0	3		$21^{-}, 0$	5672.69	5672.89	0.20	0.99500

Table 3: Observed and calculated energies of D_2S with 22 experimental data. $(1 \le P \le 12)$.

Table 3: (cont.)

	Normal		Polyad	Local	E_{cal}	E_{obs}	$E_{obs.}$ - $E_{cal.}$	%init.ket
$ u_1 $	ν_2	ν_3	P	$n_1n_2\pm, n_4$	cm^{-1}	cm^{-1}	cm^{-1}	(Modulus)
0	7	0	P=7	$00^+, 7$	5859.21285			0.99885
1	5	0		$10^+, 5$	6067.85466			0.99728
0	5	1		$10^-,5$	6073.46187			0.99912
2	3	0		$20^+, 3$	6239.74543			0.96935
1	3	1		$20^{-}, 3$	6242.85529			0.99844
0	3	2		$11^+, 3$	6294.42020			0.97032
3	1	0		$30^+, 1$	6384.74	6384.63	-0.11	0.99114
2	1	1		$30^{-}, 1$	6385.06	6384.99	-0.07	0.99443
1	1	2		$21^+, 1$	6471.82444			0.99114
0	1	3		$21^{-}, 1$	6496.95890			0.99500
0	8	0	P=8	$00^+, 8$	6671.36558			0.99858
1	6	0		$10^+, 6$	6883.27937			0.99633
0	6	1		$10^{-}, 6$	6887.53650			0.99877
2	4	0		$20^+, 4$	7055.82918			0.96846
1	4	1		$20^-, 4$	7058.91965			0.99749
0	4	2		$11^+, 4$	7110.46700			0.96998
3	2	0		$30^+, 2$	7202.89484			0.99079
2	2	1		$30^{-}, 2$	7203.16108			0.99347
1	2	2		$21^+, 2$	7293.19251			0.99097
0	2	3		$21^{-}, 2$	7314.97003			0.99102
4	0	0		$40^+, 0$	7315.94818			0.99555
3	0	1		$40^{-}, 0$	7315.97536			0.99155
2	0	2		$31^+, 0$	7454.90209			0.92603
1	0	3		$31^{-}, 0$	7463.63256			0.99601
0	0	4		$22^+, 0$	7519.73558			0.93012
0	9	0	P=9	$00^+, 9$	7477.30881			0.99831
1	7	0		$10^+,7$	7692.39210			0.99533
0	7	1		$10^-,7$	7695.39342			0.99840
2	5	0		$20^+, 5$	7865.67458			0.96740
1	5	1		$20^{-}, 5$	7868.74925			0.99641
0	5	2		$11^+, 5$	7920.28460			0.96955
3	3	0		$30^+, 3$	8014.77154			0.98976
2	3	1		$30^{-}, 3$	8014.99941			0.99205
1	3	2		$21^+, 3$	8107.35389			0.99022

Table 3: (cont.)

]	Norma	al	Polyad	Local	E_{cal}	E_{obs}	$E_{obs.}$ - $E_{cal.}$	%init.ket
$ u_1 $	ν_2	ν_3	P	$n_1n_2\pm, n_4$	cm^{-1}	${\rm cm}^{-1}$	cm^{-1}	(Modulus)
0	3	3		$21^{-}, 3$	8126.74881			0.99357
4	1	0		$40^+, 1$	8129.89865			0.99477
3	1	1		$40^{-}, 1$	8129.92376			0.99373
2	1	2		$31^+, 1$	8268.83916			0.92604
1	1	3		$31^-,1$	8277.50278			0.99571
0	1	4		$22^+, 1$	8333.53587			0.93038
0	10	0	P = 10	$00^{+},\!10$	8301.04495			0.99805
1	8	0		$10^+, 8$	8500.20154			0.99430
0	8	1		$10^-,8$	8506.03621			0.99801
2	6	0		$20^+, 6$	8675.26677			0.96624
1	6	1		$20^-,6$	8677.34945			0.99523
0	6	2		$11^+,6$	8726.87621			0.96908
3	4	0		$30^+, 4$	8822.39006			0.98833
2	4	1		$30^{-}, 4$	8822.58468			0.99028
1	4	2		$21^+, 4$	8910.09790			0.98909
0	4	3		$21^{-}, 4$	8929.29048			0.99353
4	2	0		$40^+, 2$	8938.54404			0.99280
3	2	1		$40^-,2$	8938.56874			0.99215
2	2	2		$31^+, 2$	9020.00917			0.99635
1	2	3		$31^-,2$	9020.01004			0.99636
0	2	4		$22^+, 2$	9077.50764			0.92550
5	0	0		$50^+,0$	9084.10927			0.99494
4	0	1		$50^-,0$	9138.08249			0.93036
3	0	2		$41^+, 0$	9212.94838			0.97275
2	0	3		$41^-,0$	9213.22358			0.98537
1	0	4		$32^+, 0$	9297.77574			0.97546
0	0	5		$32^-,0$	9335.91658			0.98839
0	11	0	P = 11	$00^+, 11$	9070.57613			0.99780
1	9	0		$10^+, 9$	9291.71508			0.99326
0	9	1		$10^-,9$	9292.46814			0.99761
2	7	0		$20^+,7$	9466.61462			0.96501
1	7	1		$20^-,7$	9469.72515			0.99400
1	7	2		$11^+,7$	9521.24628			0.96859

Normal		Polyad	Local	E_{cal}	E_{obs}	$E_{obs.}$ - $E_{cal.}$	%init.ket	
$ u_1 $	ν_2	$ u_3$	P	$n_1n_2\pm, n_4$	cm^{-1}	cm^{-1}	cm^{-1}	(Modulus)
 3	5	0		$30^+, 5$	9619.75939			0.98662
2	5	1		$30^{-}, 5$	9619.92503			0.98828
1	5	2		$21^+, 5$	9716.46600			0.98766
0	5	3		$21^{-}, 5$	9731.60237			0.99318
4	3	0		$40^+, 3$	9738.90162			0.98995
3	3	1		$40^{-}, 3$	9738.92598			0.98947
2	3	2		$31^+, 3$	9825.56997			0.99479
1	3	3		$31^{-}, 3$	9825.57090			0.99480
0	3	4		$22^+, 3$	9877.91234			0.92449
5	1	0		$50^+, 1$	9886.46046			0.99379
4	1	1		$50^{-}, 1$	9942.38132			0.93010
3	1	2		$41^+, 1$	10017.42136			0.97245
2	1	3		$41^{-}, 1$	10018.67330			0.98488
1	1	4		$32^+, 1$	10102.34235			0.97509
0	1	5		$32^{-}, 1$	10139.21647			0.98840
0	12	0	P = 12	$00^+,\!12$	9857.90423			0.99756
1	10	0		$10^{+}, 10$	10081.69213			0.99722
0	10	1		$10^{-},\!10$	10081.93920			0.99224
2	8	0		$20^+, 8$	10257.73493			0.96378
1	8	1		$20^{-}, 8$	10260.88078			0.99275
0	8	2		$11^+, 8$	10312.39973			0.96809
3	6	0		$30^+, 6$	10412.83497			0.98465
2	6	1		$30^{-}, 6$	10413.02778			0.98612
1	6	2		$21^+, 6$	10511.49437			0.98597
0	6	3		$21^{-}, 6$	10524.68974			0.99270
4	4	0		$40^+, 4$	10533.98401			0.98648
3	4	1		$40^{-}, 4$	10534.01023			0.98612

Table 3: (cont.)

 Ν	Jorma	al	Polyad	Local	E_{cal}	E_{obs}	$E_{obs.}$ - $E_{cal.}$	%init.ket
ν_1	ν_2	ν_3	P	$n_1n_2\pm, n_4$	cm^{-1}	${\rm cm}^{-1}$	cm^{-1}	(Modulus)
2	4	2		$31^+, 4$	10622.75025			0.99038
1	4	3		$31^{-}, 4$	10622.75101			0.99038
0	4	4		$22^+, 4$	10673.06131			0.92314
5	2	0		$50^+, 2$	10678.75665			0.99554
4	2	1		$50^-,2$	10678.75667			0.99555
3	2	2		$41^+, 2$	10681.56403			0.99235
2	2	3		$41^{-}, 2$	10737.44623			0.92971
1	2	4		$32^+, 2$	10814.78255			0.97326
0	2	5		$32^{-}, 2$	10815.83798			0.98356
6	0	0		$60^+, 0$	10903.29974			0.97601
5	0	1		$60^-, 0$	10920.19816			0.98695
4	0	2		$51^+, 0$	10920.29123			0.98798
3	0	3		$51^-,0$	10936.26317			0.98808
2	0	4		$42^+, 0$	11054.52211			0.88172
1	0	5		$42^{-}, 0$	11070.26392			0.99042
0	0	6		$33^+, 0$	11131.78824			0.89206

Table 3: (cont.)