Controlling Multiparti
le System on the Line, II - Periodic case \star

Andrey Sary
hev

DiMaD, Università di Firenze, v.C.Lombroso 6/17, Firenze, 50134, Italia

Abstra
t

As in [7] we consider classical system of interacting particles P_1, \ldots, P_n on the line with only neighboring particles involved in interaction. On the contrast to $[7]$ now periodic boundary conditions are imposed onto the system, i.e. \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_n are considered neighboring. Periodi Toda latti
e would be a typi
al example. We study possibility to ontrol periodi multiparti
le systems by means of for
es applied to just few of its particles; mainly we study system controlled by single force. The free dynamics of multiparticle systems in periodic and nonperiodic case differ substantially. We see that also the ontrolled periodi multiparti
le system does not mimi its non-periodic counterpart.

Main result established is global ontrollability by means of single ontrolling force of the multiparticle system with ageneric potential of interaction. We study the nongeneric potentials for which controllability and accessibility properties may lack. Results are formulated and proven in Sections 2,3.

Key words: periodic multi-particle system; accessibility; controllability; Lie extensions

¹ Introdu
tion

Consider classical system of *n* interacting particles P_1, \ldots, P_n moving on the line with only neighboring particles being involved in the interaction. Let q_k be the coordinate of the k-th particle and p_k - its momentum. We assume the potential of this interaction to be

$$
\Phi(q_1 - q_2) + \Phi(q_2 - q_3) + \cdots + \Phi(q_{n-1} - q_n) + \Phi(q_n - q_1),
$$
\n(1)

[⋆] This work has been partially supported by MIUR, Italy, via PRIN 2006019927 *Email address:* as ary chev@unifi.it (Andrey Sary chev).

where $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic, bounded below function

$$
\lim_{y \to +\infty} \Phi(y) = +\infty. \tag{2}
$$

The difference with nonperiodic case, studied in [7], is due to the presence of the last addend in [\(1\)](#page-0-0) which accounts for neighboring of P_1 and P_n . This extra addend leads to a substantial change of dynamics. For example in celebre and extensively studied ase of Toda latti
e, in whi
h intera
tion potential equal to $\Phi(x) = e^{2x}$, the distances between particles are known ([5]) to tend to infinity in nonperiodic case, while in periodic case the particles are involved in quasiperiodi motion on ompa
t isoenergeti surfa
es. Below we will see that the controlled dynamics in the periodic case also differs from nonperiodic ontrolled dynami
s studied in Part I.

The dynamics of multiparticle system with the potential [\(1\)](#page-0-0) is described by Hamiltonian system of equations with the Hamiltonian

$$
H(q, p) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi(q_j - q_{j+1}).
$$
\n(3)

Corresponding equations are

$$
\dot{q}_k = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_k} = p_k, \ k = 1, \dots, n,
$$
\n(4)

$$
\dot{p}_k = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_k} = \phi(q_{k-1} - q_k) - \phi(q_k - q_{k+1}), \ k = 2, \dots, n,
$$
\n⁽⁵⁾

$$
\dot{p}_1 = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_1} = \phi(q_n - q_1) - \phi(q_1 - q_2).
$$
 (6)

In [\(5\)](#page-1-0),[\(6\)](#page-1-0) and further on $\phi = \Phi'$ is the derivative of Φ . Besides for unification of notation we assume in (3) , (5) and (6)

 $q_0 = q_n, q_{n+1} = q_1.$

The control will be realized by a force, which we choose to act on the particle P_1 . In the presence of the control the equation [\(6\)](#page-1-0) becomes

$$
\dot{p}_1 = \phi(q_n - q_1) - \phi(q_1 - q_2) + u(t),\tag{7}
$$

where $u(\cdot)$ stays for the controlling force. The equations [\(4\)](#page-1-0), [\(5\)](#page-1-0) remain unchanged. We call the model *single forced periodic multiparticle system*.

We wish to study controllability properties of the controlled multiparticle periodic system $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$.

Definition 1 System (4) - (5) - (7) is globally controllable if for given pair of points $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}), \hat{x} = (\hat{q}, \hat{p})$ of its state space there exists an admissible (measurable essentially bounded) control $u(\cdot)$ which steers the system from \tilde{x} to \hat{x} in time $\theta > 0$. \Box

The controlled multiparticle system $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$ $(4)-(5)-(7)$ is a particular case of control affine system of the form

$$
\frac{dx}{dt} = f(x) + g(x)u,\tag{8}
$$

where the *controlled vector field* q and the uncontrolled vector field f - the $drift$ - are defined as

$$
g = \partial/\partial p_1, \ f = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\phi(q_{k-1} - q_k) - \phi(q_k - q_{k+1}) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k}.
$$
 (9)

In Part I we observed that in non periodic case global controllability is in general non-a
hievable by means of one ontrolling for
e and is a
hievable by means of two controlling forces applied to the "extreme" particles P_1 and P_n . It is immediate to conclude (see Subsection [2.1\)](#page-4-0) that the periodic multiparticle system is also globally ontrollable by means of two for
es.

We are going to prove instead that in the periodic case for a generic potential Φ global controllability is achievable by means of single controlling force (Theorem [8](#page-8-0) in Se
tion [3\)](#page-8-1). The proof is split into two parts. First we establish full dimensionality of the *orbit* of a single forced multiparticle system.

An orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ of control system is the minimal invariant manifold for the control system, whenever one starts from the initial point \tilde{x} and proceeds with controlled motion in dire
t (positive) and reverse (negative) time. We will prove that for a *generic potential* Φ the orbits of the control system [\(8\)](#page-2-0)-[\(9\)](#page-2-1) coincide with the state space \mathbb{R}^{2n} . This is done (Subsection [2.1\)](#page-4-0) by verification of bracket generating property of the couple of vector fields $\{f, g\}$. This property may fail for some potentials; in Subse
tion [2.2](#page-6-0) we provide an example of lowdimensional orbits for a specific potential Φ . In Subsection [2.3](#page-7-0) we return for a moment to nonperiodic case and provide an example of *low-dimensional orbit* for nonperiodic system whenever controlling force is applied to a particle \mathcal{P}_j with $j \neq 1, j \neq n$.

On
e full dimensionality of an orbit is established, one has to deal with another difficulty. Positive invariant set of a control system *(attainable set)* is often a proper subset of the respe
tive orbit. The reason for this is a
tuation of the drift vector field f , which may drive the system in certain direction without a possibility to compensate this drift by action of any control.

In some exceptional cases such compensation is possible. One of these cases is represented by Bonnard-Lobry theorem $([3])$, whose main assumption is recurrence property of dynamics of the noncontrolled motion.

In the nonperiodic case, treated in $[7]$, we arranged a simple design of feedback ontrols whi
h modied the non
ontrolled dynami
s in su
h a way that all its tra je
tories be
ame re
urrent. Su
h design was only possible with two ontrols available.

In the periodic case we get instead a property of *constrained recurrence* for the dynami
s of nonontrolled motion: the dynami
s is re
urrent on a hyperplane of zero momentum $\Pi: p_1 + \cdots + p_n = 0$. The hyperplane is invariant with respect to free dynamics, but is not invariant with respect to controlled dynami
s. Therefore one an not remain in Π, whenever nonzero ontrol is employed, and we an not use the re
urren
e property when one is outside Π. We will adapt the technique of Lie extensions for overcoming this difficulty and establishing global ontrollability.

2 Orbits and accessibility property for single forced multiparticle system

We study single-forced periodic multiparticle system, or, the same, control-affine system [\(8\)](#page-2-0)-[\(9\)](#page-2-1) in the *state space* \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

We start with computation in the next subsection of the orbits of this controlaffine system. Recall that one obtains orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ by taking vector fields $f^{u^j} =$ $f + u^j g$ with $u^j \in \mathbb{R}$ constant, and acting on $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by the compositions

$$
P = e^{t_1 f^{u^{j_1}}} \circ \cdots \circ e^{t_N f^{u^{j_N}}}, \ t_1, \ldots, t_N \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{10}
$$

where e^{tX} stays for the flow of the vector field X .

According to Nagano theorem ([\[2](#page-14-3),4] an orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is an immersed submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{2n} and the tangent space to this manifold at a point $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ is obtained by evaluation at x of the vector fields from the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}\{f, g\}$ generated by f and q .

Definition 2 A family $\mathcal F$ of vector fields is called bracket generating at point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ if the evaluation at x of the vector fields from Lie{F} coincides with

 \mathbb{R}^{2n} . \square

An *attainable set* $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}$ of the system [\(8\)](#page-2-0) from \tilde{x} is the set of points to which the system can be steered from \tilde{x} by means of an admissible (measurable, bounded) control. If we require in addition the transfer time to be equal, or respectively, \leq than T, then we obtain time-T (respectively time- \leq T) attainable set $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}^T$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}^{\leq T}$ $\hat{\bar{x}}^{T}$). Obviously $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}^{T} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}^{T} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}$; also $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}$ is contained in the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$.

If one employs piecewise-constant controls, i.e. takes only positive times $t_i > 0$ in the compositions [\(10\)](#page-3-0), then one gets *positive orbit* $\mathcal{O}^+_{\tilde{x}}$ of the system. In general it is proper subset of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ and is far from being a manifold. Obviously $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}^{+}\subset\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}.$

Remark 3 It is known from A.J.Krener theorem $(2,4)$, that for each point \tilde{x} positive orbit $\mathcal{O}^+_{\tilde{x}}$ possesses nonvoid relative interior in the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}},$ and moreover $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}^{+}$ is contained in the closure of its relative interior. A consequence of this theorem is the useful fact (see [2]) that density of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}^+$ in the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ implies the coincidence of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}^+$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{x}}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$. \Box

2.1 Orbits of single forced periodic multiparticle system

In [7] we proved for single forced non periodic multiparticle system that all the orbits coincide with \mathbb{R}^{2n} . In the periodic case this holds for generic potentials. We prove this fact in the present Subsection and provide counterexamples in Subse
tions [2.2,](#page-6-0) [2.3.](#page-7-0)

Theorem 4 For a generic potential Φ the system of vector fields $\{f, g\}$ is bracket generating at each point of the state space \mathbb{R}^{2n} ; therefore $\forall \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ of single forced multiparticle periodic system [\(8\)](#page-2-0), [\(9\)](#page-2-1) through \tilde{x} coincides with \mathbb{R}^{2n} . \Box

The proof is structured in two Lemmas, first of which mimics similar result for double forced *non periodic* multiparticle system.

Assume for the moment that periodic multiparticle system is controlled by two forces applied to the particles P_1 and P_n , i.e. we gain an additional controlled vector field $g^n = \frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ ∂p_n . The additional controlling force appears in the equation [\(5\)](#page-1-0) indexed by $k = n$, which now will take form

$$
\dot{p}_n = \phi(q_{n-1} - q_n) - \phi(q_n - q_1) + v(t). \tag{11}
$$

Lemma 5 The family of vector fields $\{f, q, q^n\}$ is bracket generating at each point of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . \square

Proof. The conclusion of the Lemma follows from the fact that feedback transformation

$$
u \mapsto -\phi(q_n - q_1) + \tilde{u}, \ v \mapsto \phi(q_n - q_1) + \tilde{v},
$$

transforms the equations (7) , (11) into respective equations of double forced *nonperiodic* multiparticle system, whose orbits coincide with \mathbb{R}^{2n} by results of [7]. This transformation does not affect bracket generating property, hence the vector fields $\{f, g, g^n\}$ form a bracket generating system. \Box

Recall the notation: for a vector field X operator adX acts on another vector field Y as $adXY = [X, Y]$. The proof of Theorem [4](#page-4-2) would be accomplished by the following lemma.

Lemma 6 For a generic potential Φ , for each point $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$:

$$
Span\left\{f(x), g(x), ad^2fg(x), [adfg, ad^2fg](x)\right\} \supset Span\left\{f(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}\right\}, (12)
$$

for all x of an open dense subset of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ of the system $(8), (9)$ $(8), (9)$ $(8), (9)$. \Box

For those potentials Φ , for which the conclusion of Lemma [6](#page-5-0) is valid, one easily gets the statement of Theorem [4](#page-4-2) proven. Indeed since the system $\{f, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_5}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_6}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_7}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_8}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_9}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_9}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_9}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_9}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_9}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \}$ is bracket generating at each point, then by Lemma [6](#page-5-0) the system of vector fields $\{f, g\}$ is bracket generating at some point of each orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$. The dimension dim Lie_x{ f, g } of the evaluation at x of the Lie algebra Lie{ f, g } is known to be constant along $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ (see [\[2](#page-14-3),4]). Hence we conclude that $\{f, g\}$ is bracket generating at each point of \mathbb{R}^{2n} and all orbits of the system [\(8\)](#page-2-0), [\(9\)](#page-2-1) coincide with the state space \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Proof of Lemma [6.](#page-5-0) By direct computation $[f, g] = \text{adfg} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial g}$ ∂q_1 . Computing the iterated Lie brackets ad^2fg , $[ad^2fg, adfg]$ we get

$$
ad^{2}fg = \phi'(q_{1} - q_{2}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right) + \phi'(q_{n} - q_{1}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right), (13)
$$

$$
[ad^{2}fg, adfg] = \phi''(q_{1} - q_{2}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{2}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right) - \phi''(q_{n} - q_{1}) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}}\right). (14)
$$

We would arrive to the needed conclusion at each point $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ where the determinant

$$
\det\begin{pmatrix} \phi'(q_1 - q_2) & \phi'(q_n - q_1) \\ \phi''(q_1 - q_2) & -\phi''(q_n - q_1) \end{pmatrix} = -\phi'(q_1 - q_2)\phi''(q_n - q_1) -
$$

$$
-\phi'(q_n - q_1)\phi''(q_1 - q_2) = (\phi'(q_1 - q_2))^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \frac{\phi'(q_n - q_1)}{\phi'(q_1 - q_2)}
$$
(15)

is nonvanishing.

As far as the vector field $[g, f] = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}$ is tangent to any orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ of [\(8\)](#page-2-0) then we get the conclusion of the Lemma whenever the determinant [\(15\)](#page-5-1) (an analytic function) does not vanish identically with respect to q_1 . The latter possibility occurs only if the relation

$$
\phi'(q - q_2) = c\phi'(q_n - q) \tag{16}
$$

holds identically with respect to q (by which we substituted q_1) with c constant. Seeing now q_2, q_n, c as parameters, we treat [\(16\)](#page-6-1) as a functional equation.

Substituting $q = \frac{q_n+q_2}{2} - t$ into [\(16\)](#page-6-1) we obtain the relation

$$
\forall t: \phi' \left(\frac{q_n - q_2}{2} - t \right) = c\phi' \left(\frac{q_n - q_2}{2} + t \right),
$$

wherefrom $c = \pm 1$. Then

 $c = \pm 1, \phi'(t) = f(t - b)$, f is even or odd, according to the sign of c , (17)

and $b = \frac{q_n - q_2}{2}$ 2 .

(See $[6]$ for an alternative description of solution of (16) .)

For *generic* potentials Φ , namely for those whose second derivative $\Phi'' = \phi'$ is not of the form [\(17\)](#page-6-2), the inclusion [\(12\)](#page-5-2) holds on an open dense subset of any orbit and then these orbits coincide with the state space \mathbb{R}^{2n} . \square

It is interesting to know whether there exist potentials Φ , for which the system (8) , (9) possesses low-dimensional orbits. In the next two Subsections we provide su
h examples.

2.2 Low-dimensional orbits of single-forced periodic multiparticle system

Consider a trimer - periodic three-particle system with the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \frac{1}{2} (p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) + \Phi(q_1 - q_2) + \Phi(q_2 - q_3) + \Phi(q_3 - q_1).
$$

and the *controlled* dynamics

$$
\dot{q}_i = p_i, \ i = 1, 2, 3; \n\dot{p}_1 = \phi(q_3 - q_1) - \phi(q_1 - q_2) + u, \tag{18}
$$
\n
$$
\dot{p}_2 = \phi(q_1 - q_2) - \phi(q_2 - q_3), \ \dot{p}_3 = \phi(q_2 - q_3) - \phi(q_3 - q_1),
$$

where $\phi(q) = \Phi'(q)$. We assume the derivative $\phi'(q)$ to be of the form [\(17\)](#page-6-2) with f even ^{[1](#page-7-1)}. Then $\phi(t) = F(t-b) + c$, where $F(t)$ is a primitive of even function $f(t)$, and therefore can be chosen an *odd function*. In this case $\phi(t) =$ $F(t-b) + \phi(b).$

From the differential equations for q_2, p_2, q_3, p_3 in [\(18\)](#page-7-2) we derive

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(q_3 - q_2) = (p_3 - p_2),
$$

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(p_3 - p_2) = 2\phi(q_2 - q_3) - \phi(q_1 - q_2) - \phi(q_3 - q_1) =
$$

$$
= 2F(q_2 - q_3 - b) - F(q_1 - q_2 - b) - F(q_3 - q_1 - b).
$$

Assuming in addition $F(-3b) = 0$, or equivalently $\phi(b) = \phi(-2b)$, we check immediately that the 4-dimensional plane

$$
\Pi_b: p_3 - p_2 = 0, q_3 - q_2 = 2b,
$$

is an invariant manifold for the control system [\(18\)](#page-7-2). Indeed, along Π_b

$$
F (q_2 - q_3 - b) = F(-3b) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
F (q_1 - q_2 - b) + F (q_3 - q_1 - b) = F (q_1 - q_2 - b) + F (q_2 - q_1 + b) = 0.
$$

Hence $\forall \tilde{x} \in \Pi_b$ the orbit $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{x}}$ of the control system [\(18\)](#page-7-2) is contained in Π_b .

2.3 Low-dimensional orbits of nonperiodic multiparticle system

In Part I we mentioned that non periodic single forced multiparticle system may possess low-dimensional orbits for some choices of ϕ , whenever the control force is applied to a particle different from P_1, P_n . Here we provide such example obtained by a variation on the example of the previous subsection.

For a non periodic trimer with the controlling force acting on the particle \mathcal{P}_2 the dynami equations are

$$
\dot{q}_i = p_i, \ i = 1, 2, 3; \ (19)
$$

¹ One can prove that whenever $c = -1$ in [\(16\)](#page-6-1) and respectively f is odd in [\(17\)](#page-6-2) the orbits coincide with \mathbb{R}^{2n}

$$
\dot{p}_1 = -\phi(q_1 - q_2), \ \dot{p}_2 = \phi(q_1 - q_2) - \phi(q_2 - q_3) + u, \ \dot{p}_3 = \phi(q_2 - q_3).
$$

Then

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(q_3 - q_1) = p_3 - p_1, \ \frac{d}{dt}(p_3 - p_1) = \phi(q_2 - q_3) + \phi(q_1 - q_2).
$$
 (20)

Let us choose the function $\phi(t) = f(t-b)$, f - odd function. Considering the 4-dimensional plane Π'_b : $q_3 - q_1 = -2b$, $p_3 - p_1 = 0$. we claim that Π'_b is invariant for the control system [\(19\)](#page-7-3). Indeed restricting the second one of the equations [\(20\)](#page-8-2) to Π_b^\prime we conclude

$$
\frac{d}{dt}(p_3 - p_1) = \phi(q_2 - q_3) + \phi(q_3 + 2b - q_2) = f(q_2 - q_3 - b) + f(q_3 - q_2 + b) = 0,
$$

independently of a choice of control $u(\cdot)$. The first one of the equations [\(20\)](#page-8-2) restricted to Π_b' implies: $\frac{d}{dt}(q_3 - q_1) = (p_3 - p_1) = 0$. Thus the 4-dimensional plane Π'_{b} contains the orbits \mathcal{O}_{x} of the control system [\(19\)](#page-7-3) for each $x \in \Pi'_{b}$.

³ Controllability of periodi multiparti
le system by means of ^a single for
e

In [7] we designed special feedback controls which imposed recurrent behavior on dynamics of *nonperiodic double forced* multiparticle system. This allowed us to apply Bonnard-Lobry theorem (3) for proving global controllability. The same procedure can be repeated for *double forced periodic case*.

Proposition 7 Periodic multiparticle system is globally controllable by means of controlling forces applied to the particles $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_n$. \Box

We are aiming though at a stronger result.

Theorem 8 Periodic multiparticle system with generic interaction potential Φ is globally controllable by means of a single force. \Box

Remark 9 Genericity assumption for the potential Φ is the same one, which appeared in Subsection [2.1](#page-4-0) in the course of computation of orbits. \Box

Remark 10 There are no a priori constraints imposed on the magnitude of the controlling force in the formulation of Theorem [8.](#page-8-0) \Box

In the rest of this contribution we prove Theorem [8.](#page-8-0)

The following definition is slight modification of the notion of Lie saturation introduced by V.Jurdjevic $([4])$

Definition 11 Let F be a family of analytic vector fields, and Lie(F) be the Lie algebra generated by F. Lie extension $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ of F is a family $\hat{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq Lie(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$
clos \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}(\hat{x}) \subseteq clos \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(\hat{x}). \tag{21}
$$

Any vector field from a Lie extension is called compatible with \mathcal{F} . \Box

We specify some types of Lie extensions.

Proposition 12 A closure $\text{clos}(\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal F$ in the Whitney C^∞ -topology is a Lie extension. \Box

This assertion follows from lassi
al result on ontinuous dependen
e of the solutions of ODE on initial data and the r.-h. side.

An important kind of extension whi
h underlies theory of relaxed or sliding mode ontrols is introdu
ed by the following

Proposition 13 For a control system $\mathcal F$ its conic hull

$$
cone(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j f^j | \alpha_j \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), f^j \in \mathcal{F}, N \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_j \geq 0, j = 1, ..., N \right\},\
$$

is a Lie extension. \Box

To introduce another type of Lie extension we define *normalizer* of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 14 (see [4]) Diffeomorphism P is a normalizer for the family $\mathcal F$ of vector fields if $\forall \hat{x}$:

$$
P(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(P^{-1}(\hat{x}))\big) \subseteq \text{clos}\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(\hat{x}). \ \Box
$$

The following sufficient criterion is useful for finding normalizers.

Proposition 15 ([4]) Diffeomorphism P is a normalizer for the family F if both $P(\hat{x})$ and $P^{-1}(\hat{x})$ belong to clos $(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}(\hat{x}))$, $\forall \hat{x}$. \Box

Now we define an extension. Recall that *adjoint action of diffeomorphism* P on a vector field f results in another vector field defined as

AdPf(x) =
$$
P_*^{-1}
$$
_{P(x)} f(P(x)).

Proposition ¹⁶ The set

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \{ \text{AdPf} | f \in \mathcal{F}, P \text{ - } normalizer \text{ of } \mathcal{F} \}
$$

is a Lie extension of \mathcal{F} . \Box

For control-affine of the form (8) the family of vector fields, which determines polidynamics of such system, is $\mathcal{F} = \{f + gu | u \in \mathbb{R}\}.$

According to Propositions [12,](#page-9-0)[13](#page-9-1) the vector fields

$$
\pm g = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \theta^{-1} (f + g(\pm \theta)), \tag{22}
$$

are contained in the closure of the conic hull of $\mathcal F$ and therefore are compatible with \mathcal{F} .

By Proposition [15](#page-9-2) each diffeomorphism e^{ug} is a normalizer of ${\cal F}$ and hence there holds thew following

Lemma 17 The vector fields $\{Ade^{\pm \text{ug}}f \mid u \in \mathbb{R}\}\$ are compatible with the control system (8) . \Box

3.2 Lie extension for single forced periodic multiparticle system

We will employ Lie extensions for proving Theorem [8.](#page-8-0)

Direct computation of $e^{uadg}f$ for the vector fields [\(9\)](#page-2-1) results in

$$
b_u = e^{u \text{ad} \cdot g} f = f + u[g, f];
$$

it suffices to note that $ad^2gf = [g, [g, f]] = 0$.

Consider vector field the $-f$ and join it to the vector fields b_1, b_{-1} . The three vector fields are contained in 2-distribution $\mathcal D$ spanned by f and $[g, f]$.

Above we introduced the plane of zero momentum $\Pi: P = p_1 + \cdots + p_n = 0$, which is invariant under the free motion.

Lemma 18 The hyperplane Π is invariant for 2-distribution D , which is bracket generating on Π . \Box

Proof of Lemma [18](#page-10-0). By direct computation (see formulae $(13),(14)$ $(13),(14)$ $(13),(14)$) one checks that distribution $\mathcal D$ is tangent to Π . Also for *generic* $\phi = \Phi'$, (see Subsection [2.1\)](#page-4-0):

$$
\text{Span } \{ad^2fg, [ad^2fg, adfg] \} = \text{Span } \left\{Y^2, Y^n \right\},
$$

where $Y^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, Y^n = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n}-\frac{\partial}{\partial p}$ ∂p_1 . Again by direct computation

$$
[Y^2, f] = Z^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1}, [Y^n, f] = Z^n = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_n} - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1},
$$

$$
[Z^2, f] = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1}, [Z^n, f] = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{n-1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \text{ (mod Span } \{Y^2, Y^n\}).
$$

We can arrive to the conclusion of the Lemma by induction. \Box

The conic hull of the triple of vector fields $\{-f, b_1, b_{-1}\}$ coincides with \mathcal{D} . Hence by Rashevsky-Chow theorem ([\[2](#page-14-3),4]) for each $\tilde{x} \in \Pi$ positive orbit $\mathcal{O}^+_{\tilde{x}}$ of this triple is dense in the orbit of D , equal to Π . By Remark [3](#page-4-3) it must oin
ide with Π.

Note that

$$
e^{t\mathrm{Ad}(e^{\pm g})f} = \mathrm{Ad}(e^{\pm g})e^{tf} = e^{\pm g} \circ e^{tf} \circ e^{\mp g}.
$$

According to the aforesaid each point of Π is attainable from another point of Π by means of omposition of dieomorphisms from the family

$$
\{e^g \circ e^{tf} \circ e^{-g}, \ e^{-g} \circ e^{tf} \circ e^g, \ e^{-tf}, \ t \ge 0\};\tag{23}
$$

 Π is invariant under the action of diffeomorphisms (23) .

We wish to achieve global controllability on Π without having recourse to e^{-tf} .

Proposition 19 Each point of Π is attainable from another point of Π by means of compositions of diffeomorphisms from the family

$$
\{e^g \circ e^{tf} \circ e^{-g}, e^{-g} \circ e^{tf} \circ e^g, e^{tf}, t \ge 0\}.\square
$$
\n
$$
(24)
$$

The proof of the Proposition [19,](#page-11-1) postponed to Subse
tion [3.4,](#page-12-0) follows the line of the proof of Bonnard-Lobry theorem (see $[3,2]$ $[3,2]$) and is based on the re
urren
e property of the free motion of the multiparti
le system in the plane Π. Meanwhile taking it on
lusion for granted we a

omplish the proof of global ontrollability.

3.3 Proof of global controllability

By dire
t omputation one he
ks that for ontrolled motion the total momentum P varies according to the equation $\dot{P} = u(t)$. Taking two points $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}), (\bar{q}, \bar{p})$ in the state space, we can steer, say in time 1, the system [\(8\)](#page-2-0) from (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) to some point $(\tilde{q}^0, \tilde{p}^0)$ of Π by application of a constant control \tilde{u} . Considering the reverse time dynamics $\dot{P} = -u(t)$ one ensures the possibility to steer the system [\(8\)](#page-2-0) in time -1 from the point (\bar{q}, \bar{p}) to a point (\bar{q}^0, \bar{p}^0) of the plane Π by means of another constant control \bar{u} . In direct time the system [\(8\)](#page-2-0) would shift in time 1 from (\bar{q}^0, \bar{p}^0) to (\bar{q}, \bar{p}) under the action of \bar{u} .

According to Proposition [19](#page-11-1) one can steer the point $(\tilde{q}^0, \tilde{p}^0)$ to the point (\bar{q}^0, \bar{p}^0) by a composition of diffeomorphisms of the form e^g , e^{-g} , e^{tf} , $t \ge 0$. Then this composition of diffeomorphisms preceded by time-1 action of the control \tilde{u} and succeeded by time-1 action of the control \bar{u} steers the system from (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) to (\bar{q}, \bar{p}) in the state space.

According to the limit relation (22) we can approximate arbitrarily well the diffeomorphisms $e^{\pm g}$ in the composition, we have just described, by diffeomorphisms $e^{\theta^{-1}(f\pm g\theta)}$ with sufficiently large $\theta > 0$; these latter are elements of admissible flows $e^{t(f\pm g\theta)}$. Hence one can steer the point (\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) by an admissible control to a point (\bar{q}', \bar{p}') which is arbitrarily close to (\bar{q}, \bar{p}) . As far as (\bar{q}, \bar{p}) is arbitrarily hosen, one on
ludes that the attainable set of the system [\(8\)](#page-2-0)- [\(9\)](#page-2-1) from each point $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Given bracket generating property of the pair [\(9\)](#page-2-1) for a generic potential Φ , we conclude according to Remark [3](#page-4-3) that this attainable set coincides with \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

3.4 Proof of the Proposition [19](#page-11-1)

First note that all points of Π are *nonwandering* for the vector field f, defined by [\(9\)](#page-2-1). Recall that a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is nonwandering for f (see [\[1,](#page-14-6) §6.2]) if for each neighborhood $U \supset x$ and each $T > 0$ there exists $t > T$ such that $e^{tf}(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. We will prove in a moment (Lemma [20\)](#page-13-0).

Basing on this property we conclude that for each point $x \in \Pi$ and any $t > 0$ the points $e^{-tf}(x)$ (contained in Π) are arbitrarily well approximable by points $e^{\tau f}$ with $\tau > 0$.

Acting by a composition of diffeomorphisms $P_N \circ \cdots \circ P_1$ belonging to the family [\(23\)](#page-11-0) on a point $\tilde{x} \in \Pi$ we pick the factors $P_i = e^{-t_i f}$ $(t > 0)$. Each diffeomorphism P_i is applied to a point $y_i = (P_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ P_1)(\tilde{x})$ which belongs to Π. By nonwandering property in Π we can approximate the action of P_i by an action of some diffeomorphism $\hat{P}_i = e^{\theta_i f}, \ \theta > 0.$

Thus we proved that positive orbit of the family (24) is dense in Π (which is positive orbit of the family (23) and hence coincides with Π given the fact that ${Ad(e^g)f,f},$ restricted to Π , form a bracket generating pair of vector fields on Π.

Lemma 20 Each point of the hyperplane Π is nonwandering for the vector field $f.$ \Box

We will derive this property from Poincare theorem $(1, §3.4)$. Indeed the hyperplane Π of zero momentum is invariant for the Hamiltonian vector field f; according to [\[1,](#page-14-6) §3.4] one can introduce a volume form on Π , which is preserved by the flow of f .

Let us introduce the planes $\Pi_Q = \{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = Q\}$ and consider the Lebesgue sets $\{H^p \leq c\}$ of the Hamiltonian [\(3\)](#page-1-1). We will prove in a second (Lemma [21\)](#page-13-1) that intersections of the Lebesgue sets with each Π_Q are compact.

Taking this for granted we see that for each $a, c > 0$ the sets

$$
\bigcup_{|Q|\leq a} \Pi_Q \bigcap \{H^p \leq c\}
$$

are ompa
t and invariant with respe
t to the volume-preserving (and Hamiltonian-preserving) flow of the vector field f . We are under conditions of Poincare theorem according to which $\forall Q, c$ points of $\Pi_Q \cap \{H^p \leq c\}$ are non-wandering. It rests to note that each point of Π is included in some set $\Pi_Q \bigcap \{ H^p \leq c \}.$

Lemma 21 Intersections of the Lebesgue sets of the Hamiltonian H^p with the planes $\Pi_Q = \{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = Q\}$ are compact.

Proof. Closedness of the Lebesgue sets $\{H^p \leq c\}$ is obvious; we prove their boundedness.

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Phi(q_j - q_{j+1}) + \Phi(q_n - q_1)$ is bounded below, say by $-B \le 0$, then the inequality $H^p \leq c$ implies the constraints:

$$
||p||^2 \le c + B, \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Phi(q_j - q_{j+1}) + \Phi(q_n - q_1) \le c.
$$
 (25)

By lower boundedness of the function Φ and by the growth conditions [\(2\)](#page-1-3) we derive from the second one of the relations [\(25\)](#page-13-2)

$$
q_1 - q_2 \le b \bigwedge \cdots q_{n-1} - q_n \le b \bigwedge q_n - q_1 \le b,\tag{26}
$$

for some constant b .

Summing the first k inequalities at the right-hand side of the implication (26) we conclude

$$
q_1 \le q_k + (k-1)b, \ k = 1, \dots, n,\tag{27}
$$

while summing $n + 1 - k$ inequalities, starting from the last one, we obtain

$$
q_k - (n+1-k)b \le q_1, \ k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{28}
$$

If we restrict our consideration onto the plane Π_Q and sum separately the inequalities [\(27\)](#page-14-7) and [\(28\)](#page-14-8) we get

$$
nq_1 \le Q + b(n-1)n/2, \ nq_1 \ge Q - b(n+1)n/2.
$$

Due to invariance with respect to the permutations of particles we conclude

$$
n^{-1}Q - b(n+1)/2 \le q_j \le n^{-1}Q + b(n-1)/2,
$$

for each coordinate q_j of a point $(q, p) \in \Pi \cap \{H^p \leq c\}$.

Referen
es

- [1] Abraham R., Marsden J.E., Foundations of Mechanics, 2nd Edition, Perseus Books, 1978.
- [2] Agrachev A.A., Yu.L.Sachkov, Lectures on Geometric Control Theory, Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [3] Bonnard B. Contrôlabilité des systèmes non linéaires. (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math. 292(1981), no. 10, 535–537.
- [4] Jurdjevic V. Geometric Control Theory. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [5] Moser J. Finitely many mass points on the line under in fluence of an exponential potential – an integrable system. Dynamical Systems, Theory and Appli
ations: Battelle Seattle 1974 Ren
ontres. Editor: J. Moser, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 38, p.467-497.
- [6] Polyanin A.D., Zaitsev V.F. Exact solutions for ordinary differential equations, 2nd edition, Chapman and Hall, 2002.
- [7] Sarychev A.V. Controlling Multiparticle System on the Line. I (to appear in this Journal).